## Plantar pressure differences between cases with symptoms of chronic exertional compartment syndrome and asymptomatic controls
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#### Abstract

Background: Anterior chronic exertional compartment syndrome of the leg has been hypothesised to develop due to excessive muscle activity and foot pronation. Plantar pressure variables related to lower limb muscle activity and foot type may therefore provide insight into this condition.

Methods. 70 male cases and 70 asymptomatic controls participated. A clinical diagnosis was established from typical symptoms, with clinical examination excluding other pathologies. Plantar pressure variables during walking, hypothesised to be related to anterior compartment muscle activity or had shown predictive validity for general exercise-related lower leg pain, were extracted.

Findings. Cases were shorter in height (mean difference 2.4 cm ), had greater body mass (mean difference 4.4 kg ) and had reduced ankle dorsiflexion range of motion than controls (mean difference 1.5 cm ). Foot-type and toe extensor - related plantar pressure variables did not differ between groups $(P>0.05)$. The magnitude of medial forefoot loading was the strongest plantar pressure predictor of the presence of chronic exertional compartment syndrome (Odds ratio:0.87, $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ ). There was also some evidence of greater lateral heel loading at $5 \%$ of stance time ( $P=0.049-$ 0.054 ).

Interpretation: The lack of association with foot type and toe extensor activity related plantar pressure variables suggest that these are not risk factors for the development of chronic exertional compartment syndrome, contrary to earlier hypotheses. The greater lateral to medial loading could theoretically represent increased Tibialis anterior muscle activity at heel strike but a subsequent loss of control as the ankle is lowered. Future studies directly investigating muscle activity and function are now required.
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## Introduction

Chronic exertional compartment syndrome (CECS) is an overuse condition presenting as pain in the lower limb. It has been described in numerous compartments of the body, although the anterior compartment of the lower leg is most commonly affected (Reneman, 1975). In up to $98 \%$ of cases the condition is bilateral (Reneman, 1975). While the condition is often described as an overuse injury; the mechanism of injury is unclear.

It has also recently been hypothesised to be the underlying cause of pain in CECS rather than a pathological increase in intramuscular compartment pressure (Franklyn-Miller et al., 2014, Roberts and Franklyn-Miller, 2012). However, a casecontrol study has since demonstrated higher resting standing pressures when anterior compartment muscle activity is minimal implying a structural aetiology (Roscoe, Roberts, \& Hulse, 2015). Nevertheless, excessive anterior compartment muscle activity is still a likely candidate as a risk factor for the development of CECS. Despite this, the function of the anterior compartment musculature during gait has never been investigated in this population.

Plantar pressure measurement provides a method of investigating the impact of both muscle activity and anatomy on the forces applied to the foot. It has previously been demonstrated to be related to lower limb muscle activity (Ferris et al., 1995, Morag and Cavanagh, 1999) and foot type (Caravaggi, Giacomozzi, \& Leardini, 2014, Cavanagh and Rodgers, 1987, Sánchez-Rodríguez et al., 2012). Foot type has also been observed to have an effect on Tibialis anterior muscle activity in several studies (Murley et al., 2009). Using plantar pressure, foot type has been directly characterised by the calculation of a dynamic arch index (Cavanagh and Rodgers, 1987). The impulse under all the metatarsals has also been demonstrated to have a strong correlation to medial longitudinal arch range of motion (Caravaggi, Giacomozzi, \& Leardini, 2014) and arch height (Teyhen et al., 2009).

Activity of the toe extensor muscles may also be characterised by plantar pressure. Pressure underneath the toes has previously been demonstrated to be affected by simulated flexor hallucis longus and flexor digitorum longus activity (Ferris et al., 1995). It was assumed in our analysis that activity of the antagonists located in the anterior compartment (extensor hallucis longus and extensor digitorum longus) would have a similar effect (i.e. reduction of toe pressures).

A single study has investigated plantar pressure in 20 patients with CECS (Roscoe et al., 2016). They observed reductions in stance time and the time from initial foot contact to initial full forefoot contact that may be a result of alterations in anterior compartment activity/function. A greater understanding of ankle dorsiflexor and toe extensor activity in this condition is now needed.

This study therefore aimed to compare, in a case-control study, the plantar pressure variables described above that have previously been associated with anterior compartment muscle activity or had shown predictive validity for all-cause exercise-
related lower leg pain (Willems et al., 2006). A secondary aim was to compare the variables investigated by Roscoe et al. (2016) in a larger cohort. We hypothesised that those variables associated with anterior compartment muscle activity and the development of all-cause exercise-related lower leg pain would be associated with CECS.

## Materials and methods

70 male cases with symptoms consistent with CECS of the anterior compartment of the leg and 70 asymptomatic controls participated following informed consent. A consensus diagnosis of CECS was established from typical symptoms, with clinical examination excluding other pathologies. Controls were recruited from the British Armed forces. Cases were recruited from two military rehabilitation centres. Ethical approval was granted by the MOD Research Ethics Committee.

Cases required the following: symptoms of exercise-induced leg pain consistent with a diagnosis of anterior compartment CECS; no diagnosis other than CECS more likely; absence of multiple lower limb pathologies; and, no previous lower limb surgery. While intramuscular compartment pressure measurement is considered the gold standard for diagnosis (Roscoe, Roberts, \& Hulse, 2015); clinical examination alone has been suggested to provide an accurate diagnosis for referral for surgery (Ali et al., 2013, Orlin, Oen, \& Andersen, 2013, van den Brand et al., 2005). As pressure measurement was not available for this study, a clinical diagnosis was used. Controls were included when they had no history of musculoskeletal leg pain in the previous 12 months; and no current pain at any site, including during exercise activities.

Participants completed the Short Pain Inventory that measures both current physical pain and the emotional consequences of pain (Kilminster and Mould, 2002). Participant age, height (stadiometer; SECA, Birmingham, UK)) and body mass (medical grade scales; SECA, Birmingham, UK) were recorded. A weight-bearing dorsiflexion device (Jones et al., 2005) was used to measure the anterior-posterior distance between the knee and the hallux during a weight-bearing lunge; anatomical parameters that could influence this distance were therefore also recorded (UK shoe size/lower leg length (tibial tuberosity to lateral malleolus)).

Plantar pressure measurement and data extraction
Participants were asked to walk over a $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.02 \mathrm{~m}$ pressure plate (RSScan International, Olen, Belgium) fitted flush to the floor of the laboratory; and were free to choose the order of foot placement. Participants completed a dynamic calibration and familiarisation traverses of the laboratory. Data was then collected at a natural, relaxed, self-selected walking velocity until a minimum of 3 valid foot contacts for both left and right feet had been captured at 125 Hz (De Cock et al., 2006). Each foot was automatically divided into 10 zones (Hallux (T1), lesser toes, metatarsals 1-5 (M1,M2,M3,M4,M5), midfoot, medial/lateral heel (HM/HL)) by Footscan ${ }^{\circledR}$ (v7.97, RSScan International) software; these were used to calculate all loading-related variables. Data was extracted from Footscan ${ }^{\circledR}$ using the default exports. These data
were then processed within Scilab (v5.3.2; INRIA, France) to generate mean values of each plantar pressure variable described below for left and right feet.

Primary variables

1. Arch index
2. Impulse under all the metatarsal zones
3. Medio-lateral centre of force (COF) position at last foot contact
4. Antero-posterior COF position at initial foot contact
5. Medio-lateral pressure ratio during forefoot contact phase (initial metatarsal contact to first instant all metatarsals make contact)
a. $[(H M+M 1+M 2)-(H L+M 4+M 5)] /(H M+H L+M 1+M 2+M 3+M 4+M 5+T 1)$
6. Toe contact area at mid-stance
7. Peak force and impulse under the hallux
8. Peak force and impulse under the lesser toes

Secondary variables

1. Stance time
2. Foot progression angle
3. Mean medial-lateral displacement of COF during stance
4. Time from initial foot contact to initial full forefoot contact
5. Medial-lateral distribution of pressure under the heel at at initial foot contact, $5 \%$ of stance time and time of initial full forefoot contact
a. $\mathrm{HM} /(\mathrm{HM}+\mathrm{HL})$
6. Mean ratio between $1^{\text {st }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ metatarsal loading during stance
a. $[(\mathrm{M} 1-\mathrm{M} 5) /((\mathrm{M} 1+\mathrm{M} 5) / 2)]^{*} 100$

Statistical analysis
Bootstrapped t-tests were carried out on all variables using the bias-corrected and accelerated method (Efron, 1987). Significant variables were then entered into a forward stepwise multinomial logistic regression model. The statistic (Likelihood ratio, Wald statistic, and conditional statistic) used in the test for variable inclusion did not affect the variables in the final model. Means and 95\% CIs are reported unless otherwise stated. SPSS (v21; SPSS Inc, USA) was used for all analyses with alpha set to 0.05 .

## Results

Cases reported relatively low levels of pain (mean severity score 0.66 ) at rest although significantly more than controls ( $\mathrm{t}=5.09, P=0.001$ ). This was accompanied with reports of significantly greater sadness (mean difference $=0.53, \mathrm{t}=2.53$, $P=0.016$ ) and anxiety (mean difference $=0.49, \mathrm{t}=2.21, P=0.028$ ) than cases. Pain was not reported to be aggravated by cases or controls during testing demonstrating that sufficient rest was provided between each traverse.

Cases (28(5) years) were marginally younger than controls (32(6) years). Cases (1.759(6.8)m) were 2.4 cm shorter than controls (1.783(7.3)m) although this was
marginally higher than the accepted level of significance ( $\mathrm{P}=0.051$ ). Cases ( $85.8(12.3) \mathrm{kg}$ ) were 4.4 kg heavier ( $\mathrm{P}=0.026$ ) than controls ( $81.4(10.4) \mathrm{kg}$ ). Weightbearing dorsiflexion range of motion was significantly lower (95\% CI of difference [-26.7,-3.5], $P=0.012$, Cohens $d=0.4$ ) in cases (113(40)mm) than controls (128(30)mm). There were no differences ( $P>0.3$ ) in shoe size (cases $9.0(1.3)$ vs controls 9.2(1.4)) or lower leg length (cases $35.8(2.1) \mathrm{cm}$ vs controls $36.2(2.5) \mathrm{cm}$ ).

The primary analysis did not find any significant differences for any of the plantar pressure variables (Table 1). The secondary analysis demonstrated significantly greater medial forefoot loading ( $P=0.019-0.020$ ); and borderline significantly greater lateral heel loading at $5 \%$ of stance time ( $P=0.049-0.054$ ) and greater overall medial $\operatorname{COF}$ ( $P=0.013-0.086$ ) in cases. The results of the primary analysis suggest that the differences observed in the medial-lateral COF normalise by last foot contact. No other significant differences were observed (Table 2).

Table 1 Differences between cases and controls in the primary analysis. 95\% CIs and p-values are bootstrapped. Degrees of freedom = $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ for all plantar pressure variables.

| Variable | Mean difference (95\% CI) | T-value | $P$-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weight-bearing dorsiflexion <br> range of motion (mm) | $-15.0(-26.7,-3.5)$ | -2.52 | 0.012 |
| Arch index | $0.0015(-0.0153,0.016)$ | 0.184 | 0.835 |
| Impulse: metatarsal zones <br> (Ns) | $6.71(-15.3,27.8)$ | 0.653 | 0.533 |
| Medio-lateral centre of force <br> position at last foot contact <br> (mm) | $-0.0069(-0.0372,0.0264)$ | -0.413 | 0.682 |
| Antero-posterior centre of <br> force position at initial foot <br> contact (mm) | $-0.0012(-0.0053,0.0035)$ | -0.488 | 0.645 |
| Medio-lateral pressure ratio <br> during forefoot contact <br> phase | $-0.0908(-3.3108,2.9767)$ | -0.062 | 0.947 |
| Toe contact area at <br> midstance (as percentage of <br> toe contact area during <br> stance phase) | $1.29(-1.29,3.9)$ | 0.964 | 0.346 |
| Peak force: hallux zone (N) | $11.8067(-14.7578,38.7605)$ | 0.851 | 0.418 |
| Peak force: lesser toes (N) | $-4.2064(-12.1415,3.4802)$ | -1.056 | 0.297 |
| Impulse: hallux zone (Ns) | $3.99(-0.74,8.94)$ | 1.579 | 0.125 |
| Impulse: lesser toes (Ns) | $-0.67(-2.13,0.58)$ | -0.984 | 0.345 |

Table 2 Differences between cases and controls in the secondary analysis. 95\% CIs and $p$-values are bootstrapped. Degrees of freedom $=\mathbf{1 3 8}$ for all plantar pressure variables.

| Variable | Mean difference (95\% CI) | T-value | $P$-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stance time (ms) | $0.08(-0.06,0.21)$ | 1.17 | 0.27 |
| Foot progression angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $-0.63(-2.90,1.33)$ | -0.63 | 0.54 |


| Mean medial-lateral displacement <br> of COF during stance (mm) | $0.74(-0.05,1.51)$ | 1.85 | 0.09 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time from initial foot contact to <br> initial full forefoot contact (IFFC; <br> ms) | $8.32(-6.24,23.2)$ | 1.41 | 0.15 |
| Medial-lateral heel pressure at <br> initial foot contact | $-0.02(-0.04,0.005)$ | -1.65 | 0.11 |
| Medial-lateral heel pressure at 5\% <br> of stance time | $-0.02(-0.04,0.0006)$ | -2.08 | 0.054 |
| Medial-lateral heel pressure at <br> time of IFFC | $-0.01(-0.03,0.001)$ | -1.96 | 0.058 |
| Overall medial-lateral forefoot <br> loading | $1.92(0.37,3.49)$ | 2.50 | 0.02 |

Logistic regression demonstrated that height, mass and medial-lateral forefoot loading were the best predictors of group membership. No other variables added any further predictive value and were not entered into the logistic regression model (Table 3). The goodness-of-fit test indicated that the logistic regression model does not misrepresent the data ( $P=0.967$ ).

Table 3 Logistic Regression Analysis Results ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Predictor ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Regression <br> Coefficient <br> (SE) | Wald <br> Statistic | Odds Ratio | $P$-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Height | 0.150 | 14.4 | 1.16 | $<0.001$ |
| Mass | -0.105 | 18.3 | 0.900 | $<0.001$ |
| Overall medial-lateral <br> forefoot loading | -0.136 | 7.82 | 0.873 | 0.005 |
| Intercept $^{\text {c }}$ | -17.9 | 9.63 | $<0.001$ | 0.002 |

aPseudo $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.223-0.298$, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ For each predictor, $\mathrm{df}=1$, ${ }^{\text {}}$ The constant in the model representing the $\log$ odds when all predictors are 0

## Discussion

In this study, we investigated whether anthropometry, ankle range of motion and plantar pressure variables differ between cases and controls. Our results show that
cases appear to be shorter in height with a greater body mass and reduced ankle dorsiflexion range of motion. Cases and controls have similar arch indices and toe extensor activity - related plantar pressure variables; but do demonstrate differences in the medial-lateral distribution of pressure under the heel and forefoot.

The relatively low pain levels at rest observed are in agreement with the typical description of CECS as a type of exercise-induced leg pain (Willems et al., 2006). This study is the first to report mood disturbances in this group of patients. The greater sadness and anxiety are likely related to the potential career implications associated with CECS in the military and the mood disturbances typically induced and associated with pain (Kilminster, Power, \& Fozardz, 2000).

The identification of small stature as a risk factor for the development of CECS supports previous findings for military patients (Roscoe, Roberts, \& Hulse, 2015) strengthening the evidence for this measure. This larger study does however suggest that the effect size may be smaller than originally thought. Shorter stature may result in an increased stride length during marching that could cause an increased demand on Tibialis anterior and subsequent development of CECS (Roberts et al., 2016b).

Two military studies have also observed greater body mass in cases (Birtles et al., 2002, Roberts et al., 2016a). Small effect sizes that were not statistically significant have also been observed in two additional studies (Rorabeck et al., 1988, Varelas et al., 1993). It is unclear whether this is a result of deconditioning following the development of CECS or a risk factor for the condition itself.

Controls demonstrated similar ankle dorsiflexion range of motion values to those previously published (Bennell et al., 1998). Previous studies have reported that long distance runners have tighter plantarflexors and hamstrings than untrained individuals (Kubo et al., 2015, Wang et al., 1993). Similarly, tendon stiffness is increased by resistance training (Kubo, Kanehisa, \& Fukunaga, 2002). A greater body mass index is also associated with decreased joint mobility (Soucie et al., 2011). Our finding may therefore be a reflection of greater usage of the plantarflexors in this population due to the greater body mass and reduced stature, necessitating a relatively longer stride (Roberts et al., 2016b), of cases. Alternatively this finding could be theorised to result in increased anterior compartment activity during swing phase due to the resistance of the flexors. Further research is required to confirm this.

Our results suggest that foot type is not a risk factor for the development of CECS. This is surprising given that Tibialis anterior muscle activity is modulated by foot type, and over-activity of this muscle is proposed to be key to the development of CECS (Tweed and Barnes, 2008). Our results may help explain the poor efficacy of conservative treatment such as the provision of foot orthoses (Fronek et al., 1987, Martens et al., 1984, Sebik and Dogan, 2008, Wiley et al., 1987). Direct measurement of foot type to confirm these findings is warranted in future studies in this population.

To the author's knowledge, no previous studies have quantified toe extension during gait in a healthy population. Our results suggest that some toe extension at midstance is a normal occurrence. This is evidenced by the observation that only $10 \%$ of all the sensors identified as being under the toes were active at mid-stance. Clinical observations of 'persistent toe extension at mid-stance' have previously been described in patients with CECS (Franklyn-Miller et al., 2014). However our findings do not suggest that there is over-activity of these muscles during stance.

Our observations are in contrast to the findings of Willems (2006). This emphasises the need to identify gait-related risk factors for individual conditions, injury locations and populations. The risk factors identified by Willems (2006) are therefore likely to be most predictive of the most common injury observed. Unfortunately the injury distribution was not reported for the Willems (2006) study; although the focus on medial tibial stress syndrome in the discussion suggests that CECS may not have been the primary diagnosis. We also used an automatic zoning method that resulted in larger zones than the semi-automatic identification method used by Willems (2006) that may explain some of the difference in results.

The secondary analysis found differences in variables that had not been identified in the earlier smaller study of plantar pressure in this population (Roscoe et al., 2016). Our results provide some evidence that patients with CECS walk with greater lateral pressure under the heel and stronger evidence that this is followed by greater medial pressure under the forefoot, although this is not associated with a more pronated dynamic arch index. It is suggested that these differences were not identified in the earlier study due to the lower sample size. The differences observed may be due to differences in Tibialis anterior activity and function. For example, a medial shift in heel loading at initial contact has been simulated when the force output of Tibialis anterior is reduced (Gefen, 2001). The greater lateral heel loading at the beginning of stance in cases may therefore be due to increased Tibialis anterior activity. The greater transfer of forces medially however may indicate that the subsequent control of ankle movement is impaired. Impairment of Tibialis anterior has previously been implied from the results of two earlier studies (Roberts et al., 2016b, Roscoe et al., 2016). However, direct observations of the activity and function of Tibialis anterior are required to confirm this hypothesis.

Our study design is limited in its ability to distinguish between cause and effect; the findings would therefore ideally be confirmed in a further longitudinal study. We are unable to rule out the possibility that the age differences observed could also reflect a longer exposure to military tasks such as marching that may have influenced the results. There is no evidence of age-related differences in plantar pressure variables and whereas range of motion is more likely to be reduced in the older group than the younger cohort found here (Vandervoort et al., 1992). Diagnosis of CECS was based on a clear clinical history rather than IMCP measurement due to strong evidence that IMCP testing had poor diagnostic validity at the start of this study (Roberts and Franklyn-Miller, 2012). Recently published data now demonstrates that the diagnosis can only be made accurately using IMCP when it is measured during
exercise to the limits of pain tolerance (Roscoe, Roberts, \& Hulse, 2015). Future studies would therefore ideally use this new diagnostic method for case selection.

In summary, this study demonstrates differences in anthropometry and joint mobility that provide further evidence that small stature may be a key risk factor for the development of CECS in this population. The lack of association with foot type and toe extensor activity - related plantar pressure variables suggest that these are not risk factors for the development of CECS, contrary to earlier hypotheses. The differences observed in the secondary analysis provide insights into the condition that should inform the direction of future studies.
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