# **1** Interactions of microplastic debris throughout the marine ecosystem

2

## 3 Tamara S. Galloway<sup>\*1</sup>, Matthew Cole<sup>1</sup> and Ceri Lewis<sup>1</sup>

4

<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>College of Life and Environmental Sciences: Biosciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QD, UK.

6 \*Corresponding author (TSG).

7

## 8 Abstract

9 Marine microscopic plastic (microplastic) debris is a modern societal issue, illustrating the challenge 10 of balancing the convenience of plastic in daily life with the prospect of causing ecological harm by careless disposal. Here we develop the concept of microplastic as a complex, dynamic mixture of 11 12 polymers and additives, to which organic material and contaminants can successively bind to form an 13 'ecocorona', increasing the density and surface charge of particles and changing their bioavailability 14 and toxicity. Chronic exposure to microplastic is rarely lethal, but can adversely affect individual animals, reducing feeding and depleting energy stores, with knock on effects for fecundity and growth. 15 16 We explore the extent to which ecological processes could be impacted, including altered behaviours, bioturbation and impacts on carbon flux to the deep ocean. We discuss how microplastic compares 17 18 with other anthropogenic pollutants in terms of ecological risk, and consider the role of science and 19 society in tackling this global issue in the future.

20

Keywords: microplastic, marine debris, ecotoxicology, ecocorona, bioavailability, biological pump,
 carbon cycling, bioturbation, infochemical, quorum sensing

23

## 24 Introduction

Research reporting the presence of plastic debris in the oceans has appeared in the literature since 25 26 the 1970s<sup>1</sup>, when mass production methods first started to increase the scale and scope of plastic use. 27 Fast forward to the present day and plastics have become a ubiquitous feature of modern life and a dominant material in the consumer marketplace, with global production figures currently in excess of 28 29 300 million tonnes per year<sup>2</sup>. Around 50% of plastic items are used just once before being discarded, 30 resulting in a growing burden of plastic waste, enough, it has been suggested, to leave an identifiable 31 imprint in the geochemical fossil record<sup>3</sup>. An estimated 4.8-12.7 million tonnes of plastic was discharged into the oceans in 2010<sup>4</sup>, and models have conservatively estimated over 5 trillion pieces 32 33 of plastic are floating in the world's oceans<sup>5</sup>. Tiny plastic fragments, fibres and granules, termed 34 microplastic (1  $\mu$ m–5 mm in diameter) are the predominant form of ocean plastic debris<sup>6</sup>. Microplastic

includes items manufactured to be small, such as exfoliating microbeads added to cosmetics, synthetic particles used in air blasting and antifouling of boats, and microspheres used in clinical medicine for drug delivery (Cole, et al. <sup>7</sup> and references therein). Secondary microplastic forms via fragmentation of plastic debris in the environment through photo-oxidation, mechanical action and biodegradation<sup>8,9</sup>. The timescale and scope of fragmentation is uncertain; in the cold, oxygen limiting conditions found in marine waters and sediments it could take over 300 years for a 1 mm particle to reach a diameter of 100 nm<sup>10</sup>.

42

43 Microplastic is a concern because its small size is within the optimal prey range for many animals 44 within the marine food web<sup>11</sup>. Microplastic is ingested by filter, suspension and detritus feeders living in the water column and bottom sediments, and has been found in the guts of invertebrates, fish, 45 46 turtles and other larger animals, including species intended for human consumption or those playing critical ecological roles<sup>12</sup>. Modern plastics are typically a complex cocktail of polymers, residual 47 monomers and chemical additives. Absorbed organic matter<sup>13</sup>, bacteria<sup>14</sup> and chemical 48 contaminants<sup>15</sup> add to their complexity. The transfer of these substances to animal tissues adds to 49 their potential to cause harm, since many plastic additives and persistent waterborne chemicals are 50 51 endocrine disruptors, capable of activating hormone signal transduction pathways in target tissues and altering metabolic and reproductive endpoints<sup>15,16</sup>. The current consensus drawn from laboratory 52 53 experiments, quantitative assessments and modelling studies is that the net contribution of plastics to bioaccumulation of hydrophobic contaminants by marine animals is likely to be small in comparison 54 with uptake of contaminants directly from water<sup>15</sup>. Instead, it is the selective nature of the 55 56 compounds transferred and the ways in which they are presented to tissues and cell receptors that poses a novel risk<sup>13</sup>. 57

58

59

There have been calls for microplastic to be reclassified as hazardous<sup>17</sup>, but regulation to restrict the 60 61 mass flow of plastic debris into the oceans has been hampered by a lack of knowledge of how impacts 62 on individual organisms might lead to ecological harm. This is confirmed by a recent systematic review 63 of 245 studies in which biological impacts of marine debris were reported, identifying that the majority of studies were at the sub-organismal or individual level, with few, if any, able to demonstrate 64 ecological harm at higher levels of biological organisation<sup>18</sup>. What, then, are some of the main areas 65 for ecological concern? How do we extrapolate from the effects on individuals to the ecological 66 processes most likely to be impacted? How does microplastic compare with other anthropogenic 67 68 stressors threatening ocean life?

69

## 70 The dynamic nature of microplastic

71 A key issue in understanding the ways in which microplastic interacts with the surrounding 72 environment is its dynamic nature (Figure 1). The size, shape, charge and other properties of 73 microplastic is constantly changing, altering its biological fate and bioavailability. The vast majority of 74 microplastic in the oceans is believed to originate from weathering of larger items<sup>8</sup>, through 75 mechanical action and degradation, driven largely by UV radiation induced photo-oxidation, releasing 76 low molecular weight polymer fragments such as monomers and oligomers, and forming fragments of increasingly smaller size<sup>9</sup>. A mismatch in the expected size distribution of microplastic in ocean 77 78 surface field surveys highlights the plausibility that millimetre scale debris may be fragmenting to form nanoplastic<sup>19</sup>. Although measuring plastic of this minute size in the oceans presents technical 79 challenges that have not yet been met, Gigault, et al. 20 used a solar reactor to illustrate that 80 nanoplastic could form from the fragmentation of weathered polyethylene and polypropylene 81 82 microplastic collected from marine waters. The nanoplastic consisted of smaller, <50 nm spherical particles, and larger, uneven fractal fragments, likely to exhibit differences in diffusion properties and 83 84 porosity.

85

86 The presence of nanoplastic is important from an ecological context because its microscopic size 87 allows it to pass across biological barriers and to enter cells, whilst high surface area to volume ratios enhance its reactivity<sup>21</sup>. In addition, the atoms located at the surface of a nanoplastic have fewer 88 89 particles around them, compared with micron scale particles, and this leads to a lower binding energy 90 per atom with decreasing particle size. Nanoparticles hence have a tendency to aggregate with other particles, natural colloids and suspended solids<sup>22</sup>; for example, 30 nm nanopolystyrene rapidly formed 91 92 millimetre sized aggregates in seawater with high attachment efficiencies<sup>23</sup>. Since aggregates will have 93 a higher density than dispersed particles, their settling rate through the water column will be 94 increased. Settling of micro- and nano- plastic through the water column varies depending on the type of polymer, surface chemistry and the extent of biofouling by microbial biofilms and rafting 95 organisms<sup>24</sup>. Microplastic will settle until it reaches the often variable density of surrounding 96 97 seawater, allowing it to remain adrift and potentially to move long distances through the action of ocean currents<sup>19</sup>. The timescale for these processes remains unknown: whilst plastics can disperse 98 rapidly across the ocean surface, particles may take many weeks or years to reach the ocean floor<sup>25</sup>. 99

100

#### 101 Particle surfaces, the absorbsome and the ecocorona

102 The surface properties of microplastic play an important part in determining its ecological impacts. 103 Plastics characteristically have smooth, hydrophobic surfaces that have no net charge, but this 104 changes rapidly in seawater. Substances from the water column or sediment are rapidly accumulated, 105 including organic matter, nutrients, hazardous hydrophobic contaminants and bacteria, the latter 106 attracted by the nutritious content of organic material.

107

108 A better understanding of the factors that can influence absorption onto the surface of microplastic 109 can be gleaned from the literature relating to the protein corona that forms on nanoparticles from 110 biological fluids such as serum and cytoplasm. According to this paradigm, the surface of 111 nanomaterials in biological fluids rapidly become coated with proteins and biomolecules, which strongly influence the interaction of nanoparticles with cells and tissues, and ultimately their 112 113 persistence, bioavailability, toxicity<sup>26,27</sup>, and ecotoxicity<sup>28</sup>. The protein corona concept recognises a tightly adhered 'hard corona' which remains strongly bound to the particle as it moves between 114 115 compartments, and a 'soft corona' made up of more loosely bound proteins in dynamic exchange with surrounding molecules<sup>29</sup>. Importantly, of the many thousands of proteins present in serum, only a 116 117 limited number of around 125 proteins selectively bind to particle surfaces, and these are not always 118 the most abundant ones. This so-called absorbome forms in layers, with some proteins recognising 119 the nanoparticle surface directly, and others associating with the already coated particle through 120 protein-protein interactions<sup>30</sup>. Why this happens is unknown, but may relate to the propensity for 121 certain extracellular proteins (e.g. lipoproteins) to form nanoscale biomolecule clusters. Hence, the 122 nanoparticles act like scaffolds and in turn may alter the conformation of the absorbed proteins, 123 changing their epitope recognition and/or modifying interactions with cellular receptors<sup>13</sup>. The corona 124 can also contain other biomolecules such as carbohydrates, which tend to be multivalent and the net 125 effect is to engage the nanoparticle surface with multiple, varied receptors on the cell surface, 126 enhancing or sometimes inhibiting their internalisation into cells<sup>31</sup>.

127

A parallel concept for understanding the behaviour and ecological impacts of micro- and nano- plastics 128 is that of the ecocorona<sup>13</sup>. Natural waters contain natural organic macromolecules (NOM) that 129 130 typically host high amounts of humic and fulvic acids, excreted waste products and exuded lipids and 131 polysaccharides, proteins and macromolecules, all forming a complex polymeric mixture that varies seasonally and spatially. The way in which NOM interacts with particle surfaces in the aquatic 132 environment mirrors the formation of the protein corona in biological fluids. Components of NOM can 133 134 be absorbed by particles in layers, varying in thickness from flat monolayers to multilayers, consistent with the notion of the hard and soft protein corona<sup>32</sup>. This means that microplastic could retain a 135

136 record of its environmental progress into different compartments, in much the same way as 137 nanoparticles do in serum and when moving into different cellular locations. For example, Cole, et al.<sup>33</sup> showed that microplastic ingested by planktonic copepods were egested within faecal pellets along 138 139 with high concentrations of organic matter. Under these circumstances, the microplastic may retain 140 an ecocorona composed of macromolecules absorbed from biological fluids that will subsequently 141 exchange and interact with organic materials, minerals and other components of marine snows in 142 their new environment. This could explain why microplastic behaves differently to other inert 143 materials such as clay when it is ingested, often being retained for longer in the gut<sup>34</sup>.

144

145 The idea of absorbed layers also supports the notion of microplastic contributing towards a Trojan 146 Horse effect for pollutants, in which particles contribute towards the flux of contaminants acquired 147 from the surrounding environment and released into the gut fluids, tissues or cells of the ingesting organism<sup>35</sup>. Contaminants bound onto microplastic in layers could be more bioavailable to organisms 148 149 if absorbed via an ecocorona layer rather than directly to the surface of the plastic<sup>35</sup>. This concept supports a study of the bioavailability of silver to zebrafish (Danio rerio), which was reduced when fish 150 151 were presented with microplastic to which silver was already absorbed, compared with co-exposure 152 to plastic and silver at the same time<sup>36</sup>.

153

154 Ecocorona components could also influence the movement and behaviour of microplastic. Humic 155 substances are weak acids and are negatively charged under environmental pH conditions. Their propensity to bind to particles in marine waters is borne out by the finding that virtually all weathered 156 microplastic isolated from seawater has a negative charge<sup>37</sup>. Once absorbed to particles, the charge 157 158 and flexibility of humic substances will tend to stabilise and disperse particles into the water column, which could enhance their bioavailability for filter feeding and suspension feeding organisms. 159 160 Exopolymeric substances are exuded by unicellular and multicellular organisms including bacteria and 161 phytoplankton and consist largely of long chained polysaccharides that can form rigid, fibrillar chains. 162 Exopolymeric substances can link to form gels, mucilage and slime aggregates which play an essential role in nutrient cycling<sup>38</sup>. When absorbed to microplastics such substances are likely to encourage 163 164 aggregation, increasing the density, sinking rate and bioavailability of microplastic to detritus feeders 165 on the ocean floor.

166

#### 167 Infochemicals

168 Perhaps of most interest in considering the ecological interactions of microplastic is the concept of 169 selective binding of secretory molecules. The protein corona formed in biological fluids contains a 170 significant proportion of proteins involved in transport and signalling, including immunoglobulins and albumins<sup>30</sup>. Natural organic matter likewise contains many molecules that are deliberately excreted 171 172 or exuded to perform specific biological functions for marine animals. Chemical sensing is a ubiquitous 173 means of communication and allows for many inter- and intra-species interactions, including 174 symbiosis, mate detection and predator-prey cues. A core selection of chemical cues drive complex 175 foraging cascades across multiple trophic levels, from behavioural attractions in locating foraging 176 zooplankton to global scale impacts on climate<sup>39</sup>. These 'infochemicals' include dimethylsulphide 177 (DMS), a sulphur containing compound produced by phytoplankton that induces foraging activity in a 178 wide range of animals. Experimental studies using polypropylene and polyethylene, both abundant in 179 marine debris, showed that both could acquire an active DMS signature after less than a month of exposure in the ocean. Responsiveness to DMS can occur at concentrations as low as 10<sup>-12</sup> M and a 180 181 positive relationship was found between DMS responsiveness and plastic ingestion using data from over 13,000 seabirds<sup>40</sup>. These results provide compelling evidence to explain the high rates of 182 183 ingestion of plastic debris by seabird and also support the notion of an ecocorona showing selective 184 binding of an important marine infochemical.

185

In another study of predator prey cues, *Daphnia magna*, small crustaceans central to aquatic food
 webs, were exposed to nanopolystyrene preconditioned in water from neonate cultures. The toxicity
 of the nanopolystyrene was enhanced and the particles were retained for longer in the animal's guts.
 *Daphnia* show profound changes in feeding, reproduction and other traits in response to predator
 kariomones or interspecies pheromones and inspection of the particle surface confirmed the presence
 of a protein layer which was exchanging and rearranging over time<sup>41</sup>.

192

These results support the notion that a secreted protein ecocorona can form on microplastic and can mediate its ingestion in both microfauna and macrofauna. Thus the ecocorona concept could help to explain the high rates of ingestion of microplastic reported in so many animals across multiple trophic levels<sup>34</sup>, by enhancing the attractiveness of microplastic as a food item.

197

### 198 Microbial communities and marine snows

The ecorona could additionally modulate the absorption of bacteria. Analysis of weathered microplastic debris collected from the sea surface revealed a diverse microbial community of colonising bacteria, including heterotrophs, autotrophs, predators and symbionts<sup>14</sup>. Opportunistic bacteria form biofilms on any available surface, gaining access to nutritious matter, protection and enhanced dispersal. Microplastic biofilms appear distinct compared with those on other marine 204 substrata and are shaped by spatial and seasonal factors<sup>42</sup>. Vibrios are ubiquitous marine bacteria 205 frequently reported in plastics associated biofilms<sup>43</sup> and some species, such as Vibrio crassostrea are 206 associated with pathogenic infections in oysters. Colonisation of microplastics by V. crassostrea is 207 enhanced when the microplastic was already coated by a layer of marine aggregates containing a multispecies natural assemblage, i.e. they are secondary colonisers<sup>44</sup> rather than primary colonisers 208 209 showing chemotactic attraction to the particle surface. The layering of primary and secondary 210 colonising bacteria provides further support for the concept of a layered ecocorona documenting the 211 movement of particles through different environmental compartments over time.

212

The tendency for microplastic to become incorporated into excreted and egested organic materials<sup>33</sup> 213 and marine aggregates is an important observation<sup>45</sup>. The sinking of organic and inorganic aggregated 214 215 matter (marine snow) from the surface is crucial for removal of inorganic photosynthetically fixed 216 carbon and the cycling of essential nutrients to the deep ocean, and marine snows contain diverse 217 microbial communities that degrade organic matter during the sinking process. Hence they secrete a wide range of hydrolytic enzymes for degrading proteins, lipids and other macromolecules associated 218 219 with these complex particles. The attached microbial communities at depth appear to be 'inherited' 220 from the microbial communities found at the ocean surface, i.e. they are carried there with the sinking 221 particles<sup>46</sup>. This is intriguing, since sinking microplastics could host a different portfolio of microbes to 222 those found on marine snow particles. Microbial communities are highly concentrated in marine 223 snows, reaching concentrations 10,000 times higher than in surrounding waters and this enhances the release of quorum sensors by marine snow communities<sup>47</sup>. Quorum sensors are signalling molecules 224 225 released by bacteria in response to cell density that control many metabolic processes including the 226 hydrolysis of complex organic materials. It is an interesting speculation that such quorum sensing 227 regulators could, in doing so, favour the formation of communities capable of degrading hydrocarbon 228 polymers, allowing in time for degradation and mineralisation of the plastics themselves.

229

Characterising the relationship between microplastic, marine aggregates, the microbial communities
associated with them and the extent to which both microplastic and microbial communities change
as they sink to the ocean floor is likely to be a fruitful and important future research priority.

233

## 234 Biological effects to individuals

235 Microplastic poses a risk to organisms across the full spectrum of biological organisation from cellular 236 to population level effects (summarised in Figure 2)<sup>48</sup>. Understanding the potential impacts of 237 microplastic across all biological levels is key for the development of effective risk assessments, for 238 example using the Adverse Outcomes Pathway (AOP) approach, common in chemical regulation<sup>49</sup>. 239 Most studies have focussed on individual level effects of microplastic ingestion in adult organisms, 240 often combined with effects of microplastics at the cellular and sub-cellular level. For example Jeong, et al.<sup>50</sup> observed negative impacts of polystyrene microbead ingestion by rotifers on adult growth rate, 241 242 fecundity and lifespan. They then used in vitro tests to relate these effects to activation of antioxidant-243 related enzymes and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) signalling pathways associated with 244 inflammation and apoptosis. Sub-cellular oxidative stress responses to polystyrene microbead (2-6 μm) ingestion have also been reported by Paul-Pont, et al. <sup>51</sup> in mussels exposed to 2000 particles mL<sup>-</sup> 245 1. 246

247

Microplastic ingestion rarely causes mortality, with few significant impacts on survival rate. As a result,
LC<sub>50</sub> values are rarely reported. Notable exceptions include: 100% mortality of common goby following
96 h exposure to polyethylene with 200 µg L<sup>-1</sup> Pyrene<sup>52</sup>; 0% survival of Asian green mussels exposed
to 2160 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of PVC for 91 days<sup>53</sup>; and 50% survival of *Daphnia magna* neonates after 14 days
exposure to 100,000 particles mL<sup>-1</sup> of polyethylene<sup>54</sup>. In all such cases, concentrations far exceeded
environmental relevance.

254

255 An emerging paradigm is that chronic exposure to microplastic is associated with reduced ingestion 256 of natural prey, resulting in shortfalls in energy and reduced growth and fecundity<sup>55</sup>. Reduced food consumption associated with ingestion of microplastic is associated with reductions in: metabolic rate, 257 byssus production and survival in Asian green mussels<sup>53</sup>; fecundity and survival in copepods<sup>56</sup>; growth, 258 development and survival in *Daphnia*<sup>54</sup>; nutritional state and growth in langoustine<sup>57</sup>; and energetic 259 260 reserves in shore crabs and lugworms<sup>58,59</sup>. However, impacts on feeding are not always evident, with 261 a number of suspension-feeding (e.g. oyster larvae, urchin larvae, European flat oysters, Pacific oysters)<sup>60-63</sup> and detritivorous (e.g. isopods, amphipods)<sup>64,65</sup> invertebrates showing no indication of 262 263 impaired ingestion when exposed to microplastics.

264

Reproductive output is a particularly sensitive endpoint, with energetic depletion resulting from microplastic exposure affecting fecundity and fertility. In adult Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), an 8 week exposure to polystyrene microbeads across a reproductive cycle resulted in reduced sperm motility, oocyte numbers (fecundity) and size (energetic investment per oocyte). Following fertilisation, larval yield and growth were also significantly reduced without any further microplastic exposure as a carryover from the adult exposures<sup>63</sup>. Similar effects have been observed with the copepods *Tigriopsus japonicus*<sup>66</sup> and *Calanus helgolandicus*<sup>56</sup>, and rotifer *Brachinous koreanus*<sup>50</sup>, with

- reduced fecundity, egg size, hatching success and survival of progeny. These findings suggest that the
   physical presence of microplastic particles where there should otherwise be food, and the longer gut
- 274 passage times of these non-nutritious particles is associated with adverse biological impacts.
- 275

#### 276 From individuals to ecological processes

277 A general paradigm of ecotoxicology is that the impact of a pollutant cascades through levels of 278 biological organisation such that biochemical changes at subcellular levels precede changes to cells 279 and tissues, which in turn affect physiological functions and individual fitness (i.e. populations) and 280 ultimately ecosystems<sup>49</sup> (Figure 2). Directly linking sub-organism level impacts to the ecosystem level 281 is hugely challenging for any environmental pollutant, yet it is the ecosystem level impact of a 282 contaminant that is of ultimate concern. An individual's behaviour forms an important link between 283 physiological and ecological processes and is a sensitive measure of response to environmental stress or pollutants<sup>67</sup>. Hence behavioural changes can serve as early warning signs for ecosystem level 284 285 effects<sup>68</sup>. Understanding how the presence of microplastic changes complex behaviours such as 286 predator-prey interactions, burrowing and orientation are essential to understanding its ecological 287 impact<sup>67</sup>.

288

## 289 Behaviour

290 A handful of studies have considered altered behaviour, such as motility, hiding responses and 291 predator prey interactions, resulting from microplastic exposure. The predatory performance of 292 juvenile gobies (Pomatoschistus microps) in catching prey (Artemia spp.) was reduced by 65% and 293 feeding efficiency by 50% in laboratory bioassays when fish were simultaneously exposed to polyethylene microspheres of a similar size and abundance to prey<sup>69</sup>. Artemia are highly mobile, 294 295 raising the possibility that the stationary microplastic reduced the discrimination of the fish for their 296 prey. Beachhoppers show distinctive jumping behaviour, a highly energy dependent process, and 297 shelter relocation post disturbance, driven largely by hygrokinetic (favouring movement towards humid conditions) and intraspecific interactions<sup>70</sup>. Exposure of the Australian beachhopper 298 299 *Platorchestia smithi* to beach sediments containing 3.8% by weight polyethylene microspheres led to 300 reduced jumping, whilst the time taken to return to shelters post disturbance was not changed<sup>71</sup>. 301 Beachhoppers that ingested microplastic were significantly heavier, with an increase in gut retention 302 times. Similarly, in the freshwater crustacean Daphnia magna, ingestion of 1 µm polyethylene 303 particles from the water column caused immobilisation in a dose and time dependent manner<sup>72</sup>. 304 Weight gain may contribute directly to reduced motility, but motility may also be affected indirectly as a result of reduced energy uptake from the diet. Reduced energy reserves <sup>e.g.34,56</sup> could influence a 305

wide range of behaviours, including those associated with risk versus benefit decisions in feeding behaviour. Studies in social vertebrates (e.g. birds and fish) show how individuals will accept a greater risk of predation to obtain food with increasing hunger or energy deficit <sup>e.g.73-75</sup>. The internal state of animals can significantly determine their choice between alternative behavioural tactics<sup>76</sup>, providing an interesting hypothetical mechanism by which microplastic ingestion may influence complex behaviour and species interactions.

312

#### 313 Bioturbation

314 Bioturbation contributes towards ecosystem functioning by modifying benthic seascapes, increasing 315 nutrient flux across the benthic boundary layer and altering habitat structure for other benthic 316 organisms. Hence it can link individual physiology with ecosystem function. Throughout coastal and 317 shelf seas benthic environments, the burrowing activities of meiofaunal and macrofaunal 318 invertebrates such as polychaete worms, brittlestars and amphipods, whose biomass in continental shelf sediments can be up to 200 g dry wt. m<sup>-2 77</sup>, influences the physical and chemical properties of 319 the sediment where they live. When the large deposit feeding polychaete worm Arenicola marina was 320 321 chronically exposed for a month to sediment containing 5% polyvinylchloride (PVC) by weight, there 322 was a significant reduction in feeding activity and the gut passage time of sediments was 1.5 times 323 longer<sup>34</sup>. Extrapolation of this data to the Wadden Sea predicted this level of contamination would 324 lead to 130 m<sup>-3</sup> less sediment being turned over annually for that population alone. A subsequent 325 study suggested that exposure of A marina to polyethylene and PVC in sediments would reduce the 326 surface area available for sediment-water exchange, and hence the release of inorganic nutrients, by 327  $10 - 16\%^{78}$ .

328

329 The feeding behaviour of A. marina, and other bioturbators such as brittlestars, could alter the 330 distributions of microplastics at the water-sediment interface, enhancing mixing of particles deeper 331 into the sediments (Figure 3) making them bioavailable for other meiofauna. Benthic filter feeders 332 such as mussels and sea squirts process large volumes of seawater per hour through their siphons. 333 Expelled waste water and pseudofaeces could draw down microplastics from the water column to the 334 benthic boundary layer, leading to incorporation into sediments by burrowing species. Hence 335 microplastics may impact feeding rates of key species, whilst the same feeding activities may impact 336 the fate of microplastics within the marine environment.

- 337
- 338

339 Zooplankton feeding and carbon export

Altered feeding behaviour in zooplankton in the presence of microplastics may contribute towards larger scale effects due to their important role within pelagic ecosystems. For example, prey selection by zooplankton can have a disproportionate impact on both the biogeochemistry and the timing of food presence in pelagic food webs<sup>79</sup>. Microplastics ingestion reduced the energetic intake of the copepod *Calanus helgolandicus* by 40% in laboratory exposures, even when the abundance of microplastic was an order of magnitude less than that of prey<sup>56</sup>

346

347 If similar reductions in consumption are observed across entire zooplankton communities as a result 348 of microplastic ingestion this could have knock-on effects for pelagic ecosystems. However, whilst zooplankton ingestion of microplastic has been reported for naturally caught animals<sup>80</sup>, we know little 349 350 of the extent of microplastic consumption within communities in their natural settings, let alone how 351 it might influence the dynamics of mixed species assemblages. Zooplankton not only influence planktonic assemblages via their feeding behaviour and prey selection but contribute to carbon 352 transport to deeper waters through excretion of ingested organic matter<sup>81-83</sup>. In laboratory exposure 353 studies copepods egested micropolystyrene- laden faecal pellets of reduced density and integrity and 354 which had a 2.25-fold reduction in sinking rate<sup>33</sup>. Extrapolating these results to the average depth of 355 356 the ocean would hypothetically result in faecal pellets taking on average 53 days longer to sink to the 357 benthos. Polyethylene and polypropylene microplastics, which are very common in surface waters of 358 oceanic regions, may have an even more pronounced effect on faecal pellet sinking speed, because 359 they are less dense than the polystyrene used in these experiments. Given the importance of 360 zooplankton faecal material in driving carbon export from surface waters, such reductions in density 361 and sinking rates could potentially contribute to global scale alterations in carbon flux if zooplankton 362 across the oceans are indeed consuming microplastic particles in sufficient quantities<sup>25</sup>.

363

## 364 **Conclusions and future directions**

365 What emerges from this account are the varied ways in which the influx of microplastic into the oceans 366 could plausibly be impacting ecological processes. Microplastic represents a novel matrix, providing 367 an alternative surface for pollutants, bacteria and other types of organic matter to absorb, interact, 368 and be transported. Its bioavailability to marine animals appears to be rarely lethal, but chronic 369 exposures can evidently alter feeding, energy assimilation, growth and reproductive output. 370 Extrapolating these impacts to the ecosystem level challenges our current abilities to measure and 371 model relevant processes on a global scale, but we can deduce that potential impacts include 372 behavioural changes to predator-prey relationships, bioturbation, and perturbations to carbon 373 cycling. How do we respond to these observations and what can we do to mitigate them? How does

374 microplastic compare with other anthropogenic stressors and can we use existing tools for monitoring

and remediation?

376

## 377 Is microplastic a persistent pollutant?

378 A wide range of policy documents and procedures are in place to assess and restrict the release of 379 chemical pollutants, including international treaties, e.g. the Montreal Convention, Stockholm 380 Convention, Minamata Convention, and diverse national legal instruments. In general, chemicals are 381 assessed and controlled according to their persistence, bioaccumulation potential and toxicity and 382 controlled accordingly<sup>84</sup>. It could be argued that since these measures have been so successful in 383 controlling other persistent pollution threats, such as organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated 384 biphenyls, they should also be sufficient to curtail microplastic pollution. An immediate problem is 385 presented by the observation that a microplastic is not an individual entity, but consists of a complex 386 mixture of polymers, additive chemicals, absorbed organics and living substances. The assessment of 387 each substance individually is unlikely to reflect the net sum of their action or to adequately assess their bioavailability to organisms<sup>85</sup>. Despite this limitation, comparison of microplastic against the 388 389 criterion for classification as a Persistent Organic Pollutant under the Stockholm Convention shows 390 the concept of including them to be worthy of discussion (Figure 4).

391

#### 392 Is microplastic a planetary boundary threat?

Another way of viewing microplastic could be as a planetary boundary threat. Chemical pollution has been identified as one of the anthropogenic impacts of such magnitude that it threatens to exceed global resilience, alongside stressors such as climate change, biodiversity and ocean acidification<sup>86</sup>. By identifying these science-based planetary threats, we can theoretically encourage boundaries to be set at a global scale to allow humanity to flourish without causing unacceptable global change. Assessing microplastic against the criteria of planetary boundary threats could therefore be one way of encourage global action towards remediation and control (Figure 4).

400

#### 401 Is microplastic a marker of the Anthropocene?

402 Microplastic could also be viewed as a new anthropogenic material, alongside the products of mining, 403 waste disposal and urbanisation, identified in Waters et al.<sup>3</sup> as geological materials displaced by 404 human activity with the potential for long term persistence. According to this view, the massive 405 increase in the production and release of plastics is mirrored by several other substances, including 406 aluminium, concrete and synthetic fibres for which hundreds of thousands of tonnes are 407 manufactured each year, sufficient to leave an imprint of population growth and industrialisation in the fossil record. By defining these products as markers of a new geological epoch, the Anthropocene,
the authors argue that this places the impetus on human society to acknowledge the consequences
of its own actions.

411

412 The opportunity for change and remediation is not outside the realms of possibility. Figure 5 shows 413 how global action has been successful in reducing the amount of spilled oil reaching the oceans each 414 year as a result of concerted global action to improve tanker safety<sup>87</sup>. Statistical data for global 415 emissions of hazardous waste is hard to come by, but systematic data gathered by the US Environment 416 Protection Agency on chemical waste emissions by US industries revealed impressive reductions, from 417 some 278 million tonnes of hazardous waste generated by chemical plants in 1991, to just 35 million tonnes in 2009<sup>88</sup>. This latter improvement was brought about through an industry-led move towards 418 419 Green Chemistry, which aimed to redesign chemical processes to make them cleaner, safer and more 420 energy efficient. Polymers make up around 24% of the output of chemical industries worldwide<sup>89</sup>, 421 raising the possibility that concerted action to improve current chemical management and disposal 422 practices for polymers is a real possibility that could lead to a similar positive reduction in waste.

423

424 Meeting the challenges posed by microplastic requires us, as a society, to actively engage and consider 425 our role in patterns of consumption and careless disposal. Industry can play its role by reassessing the 426 integrated management of chemical production. Finally, we have a golden opportunity as scientists to 427 find innovative ways of rising to the multidisciplinary global challenge posed by the vast tide of marine 428 microplastic debris which threatens to engulf our oceans, before it causes irreversible harm. 429

430

#### 431 Corresponding author

432 Professor Tamara Galloway, College of Life and Environmental Sciences: Biosciences, University of
433 Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QD, UK tel 0(44) 1392 2623436 email t.s.galloway@exeter.ac.uk

434

#### 435 Author contributions.

- 436 All authors contributed to writing and revising the manuscript.
- 437

### 438 Acknowledgements

Funding was provided by the Natural Environment Research Council (Grants NE/L007010 and
 NE/N006178). We gratefully acknowledge helpful discussions with colleagues, including Professor
 Rainer Lohmann (University of Rhode Island) for discussions on persistent organic pollutants.

442

#### 443 Figure legends

444

445 Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the dynamic changes experienced by microplastic in the water 446 column. Plastic entering marine ecosystems from terrestrial and maritime sources is vulnerable to 447 photooxidation by Ultraviolet (UV), mechanical and biological degradation resulting in fragmentation 448 to smaller sizes. Adherence of macromolecules and microbes to the surface of micro- and nano-plastic 449 result in the formation of an ecocorona. Interactions with biota and marine aggregates repackage 450 microplastic into faeces and marine snows. These biological processes increase the relative size, 451 chemical signature and density of the plastic particles. The density of a plastic particle will affect its 452 position within the water column, potentially resulting in export to the seafloor.

453

454 Figure 2. Simplified scheme illustrating potential impacts of exposure to microplastic across successive
455 levels of biological organisation.

456

Figure 3. Mechanisms by which benthic organisms could influence the partitioning of microplastics between the water column and sediments. The filter feeding action of benthic mussels and sea squirts can draw down microplastic from the water column towards the benthos, increasing its bioavailability to sediment dwelling organisms. Bioturbating species such as brittlestars and deposit feeding polychaetes may then incorporate microplastic into sediments to varying depths through burrowing behaviour.

463

Figure 4. Comparison of microplastic against the criteria proposed for classification of pollutants as
 persistent organic pollutants under the Stockholm Convention (top panel)<sup>90,91</sup>, and against the criteria
 for recognition as a Planetary Boundary Threat <sup>86, 92</sup> (bottom panel).

467

Figure 5. Graph showing global statistics for the amount of crude oil spilled at sea (tonnes x10<sup>3</sup>)
compared with the increase in terrestrial plastics export into the oceans, as a function of time. Blue
line: global spillages of crude oil compiled by the International Tanker Owners Pollution Federation<sup>87</sup>.
Orange line: estimated amount of plastic debris discharged to the oceans, extrapolated from <sup>4</sup> and <sup>93</sup>

472

### 473 References

474 1 Carpenter, E. J. & Smith, K. L. Plastics on the Sargasso Sea Surface. *Science* 175, 1240-1241,
475 doi:10.1126/science.175.4027.1240 (1972).

476	2	PlasticsEurope. Plas	stics	-	the	facts	2014/2015	5.
477		(http://www.plasticseurope.	.org/Documen	t/plastics-t	he-facts-201	42015.asp	x?FolID=2,	
478		2015).						
479	3	Waters, C. N. et al. The Ant	hropocene is f	unctionally	/ and stratigi	aphically o	distinct from th	ie
480		Holocene. Science <b>351</b> , aad2	622 (2016).					
481	4	Jambeck, J. R. et al. Plastic	waste inputs	from land	into the oc	ean. <i>Scien</i>	ice 347, 768-77	1'
482		(2015). Combines data on w	aste productio	on with a n	nodel that us	ses popula	tion density an	d
483		economic status to estimate	e the amount o	of land-bas	ed plastic wa	aste enteri	ing the ocean.	
484	5	Eriksen, M. et al. Plastic Pol	lution in the W	/orld's Oce	ans: More tl	1an 5 Trilli	on Plastic Piece	es
485		Weighing over 250,000 Tons	Afloat at Sea.	PloS one <b>9</b>	, e111913 (2	014).		
486	6	Thompson, R. C. et al. I	Lost at Sea:	Where Is	All the P	astic? Sci	ience <b>304</b> , 838	8,
487		doi:10.1126/science.109455	9 (2004).					
488	7	Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsl	band, C. & Gal	loway, T. S	S. Microplast	ics as con	taminants in th	۱e
489		marine environment: A revie	ew. Marine Pol	lution Bulle	etin <b>62</b> , 2588	-2597 (201	L1).	
490	8	Andrady, A. L. in Marine Anth	hropogenic Litt	er (eds Me	elanie Bergm	ann, Lars G	outow, & Michae	el
491		Klages) 57-72 (Springer, 201	.5).					
492	9	Gewert, B., Plassmann, M. N	И. & MacLeod,	M. Pathw	ays for degra	adation of	plastic polymer	rs
493		floating in the marine envir	onment. <i>Envir</i>	onmental :	Science: Proc	esses & In	npacts <b>17</b> , 1513	3-
494		1521 (2015).						
495	10	Harshvardhan, K. & Jha, B.	Biodegradatio	n of low-d	ensity polyet	hylene by	marine bacteri	ia
496		from pelagic waters, Arabian	n Sea, India. <i>M</i> e	arine pollu	tion bulletin	<b>77</b> , 100-10	6 (2013).	
497	11	Wright, S. L., Thompson, R. C.	. & Galloway, T	. S. The phy	sical impacts	of microp	lastics on marin	ıe
498		organisms: A review. Enviror	nmental Polluti	on <b>178</b> , 48	3-492 (2013)	•		
499	12	Lusher, A. in <i>Marine Anthro</i>	pogenic Litter	(eds Mel	anie Bergma	nn, Lars G	utow, & Micha	el
500		Klages) 245-307 (Springer, 2	2015).					
501	13	Lynch, I. <i>et al.</i> Macromole	ecular corona	s and the	ir importan	e in nan	otoxicology an	d
502		nanoecotoxicology. JR Lead	& E. Valsami-	Jones E.(E	ds.), Nanosci	ence and t	the environmer	nt
503		7 (2014). Introduces the ed	cocorona as a	n importa	nt concept t	hat helps	to explain how	w
504		nanoparticles behave in the	environment.					
505	14	Zettler, E. R., Mincer, T. J.	. & Amaral-Ze	ettler, L. A	A. Life in the	e 'Plastisp	here': Microbia	al
506		communities on plastic mar	ine debris. <i>En</i>	vironmento	al Science &	Technolog	iy <b>47</b> , 7137-714	16
507		(2013).						

- Koelmans, A. A., Bakir, A., Burton, G. A. & Janssen, C. R. Microplastic as a vector for chemicals
  in the aquatic environment: critical review and model-supported reinterpretation of empirical
  studies. *Environmental science & technology* **50**, 3315-3326 (2016).
- 511 16 Galloway, T. S. in *Marine anthropogenic litter* 343-366 (Springer, 2015).
- 512 17 Rochman, C. M. *et al.* Policy: Classify plastic waste as hazardous. *Nature* **494**, 169-171 (2013).
- 51318Rochman, C. M. *et al.* The ecological impacts of marine debris: unraveling the demonstrated514evidence from what is perceived. *Ecology* 97, 302-312 (2016).
- 515 19 Cózar, A. *et al.* Plastic debris in the open ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of* 516 *Sciences* 111, 10239-10244 (2014).\_ Provides a first-order approximation of how much 517 plastic pollution there is in surface waters, resulting in a global map of high density areas.
- 518 20 Gigault, J., Pedrono, B., Maxit, B. & Ter Halle, A. Marine plastic litter: the unanalyzed nano-519 fraction. *Environmental Science: Nano* **3**, 346-350 (2016).
- 520 21 Nel, A. E. *et al.* Understanding biophysicochemical interactions at the nano-bio interface.
  521 *Nature materials* 8, 543-557 (2009).
- 522 22 Koelmans, A. A., Besseling, E. & Shim, W. J. in *Marine Anthropogene Litter* (eds M. Bergmann,
  523 L. Gutow, & M. Klages) 325-340 (Springer, 2015).
- Wegner, A., Besseling, E., Foekema, E., Kamermans, P. & Koelmans, A. Effects of
   nanopolystyrene on the feeding behavior of the blue mussel (Mytilus edulis L.). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **31**, 2490-2497 (2012).
- 527 24 Turner, J. T. Zooplankton fecal pellets, marine snow, phytodetritus and the ocean's biological
  528 pump. *Progress In Oceanography* 130, 205-248 (2015).
- 529 25 Clark, J. R. *et al.* Marine microplastic debris: a targeted plan for understanding and quantifying
   530 interactions with marine life. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 14, 317–324 (2016).
- 531 26 Monopoli, M. P., Åberg, C., Salvati, A. & Dawson, K. A. Biomolecular coronas provide the 532 biological identity of nanosized materials. *Nature nanotechnology* **7**, 779-786 (2012).
- 53327Tenzer, S. *et al.* Rapid formation of plasma protein corona critically affects nanoparticle534pathophysiology. *Nature nanotechnology* **8**, 772-781 (2013).
- 535 28 Smita, S. *et al.* Nanoparticles in the environment: assessment using the causal diagram 536 approach. *Environmental Health* **11**, 1 (2012).
- Walczyk, D., Bombelli, F. B., Monopoli, M. P., Lynch, I. & Dawson, K. A. What the cell "sees" in
  bionanoscience. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **132**, 5761-5768 (2010).
- Walkey, C. D. & Chan, W. C. Understanding and controlling the interaction of nanomaterials
  with proteins in a physiological environment. *Chemical Society Reviews* 41, 2780-2799 (2012).

- 541 31 Lundquist, J. J. & Toone, E. J. The cluster glycoside effect. *Chemical reviews* **102**, 555-578 (2002).
- 543 32 Gibson, C., Turner, I. J., Roberts, C. J. & Lead, J. Quantifying the dimensions of nanoscale
  544 organic surface layers in natural waters. *Environmental science & technology* 41, 1339-1344
  545 (2007).
- 54633Cole, M. et al. Microplastics alter the properties and sinking rates of zooplankton faecal547pellets. Environmental Science & Technology 50, 3239-3246 (2016). Introduces the548hypothesis that faecal pellets provide a route for the sinking of microplastics from surface549waters to the ocean floor.
- Wright, S. L., Rowe, D., Thompson, R. C. & Galloway, T. S. Microplastic ingestion decreases
  energy reserves in marine worms. *Current Biology* 23, 1031-1033 (2013).
- Park, E.-J., Yi, J., Kim, Y., Choi, K. & Park, K. Silver nanoparticles induce cytotoxicity by a Trojanhorse type mechanism. *Toxicology in Vitro* 24, 872-878 (2010).
- Khan, F. R., Syberg, K., Shashoua, Y. & Bury, N. R. Influence of polyethylene microplastic beads
  on the uptake and localization of silver in zebrafish (Danio rerio). *Environmental Pollution* 206,
  73-79 (2015).
- 557 37 Fotopoulou, K. N. & Karapanagioti, H. K. Surface properties of beached plastic pellets. *Marine* 558 *environmental research* **81**, 70-77 (2012).
- Wotton, R. S. The essential role of exopolymers (EPS) in aquatic systems. *Oceanography and marine biology: an annual review* 42, 57-94 (2004).
- 561 39 Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreae, M. O. & Warren, S. G. Oceanic phytoplankton, 562 atmospheric sulphur, cloud albedo and climate. *Nature* **326**, 655-661 (1987).
- 563 40 Savoca, M. S., Wohlfeil, M. E., Ebeler, S. E. & Nevitt, G. A. Marine plastic debris emits a 564 keystone infochemical for olfactory foraging seabirds. *Science Advances* **2**, e1600395 (2016).
- 56541Nasser, F. & Lynch, I. Secreted protein eco-corona mediates uptake and impacts of566polystyrene nanoparticles on Daphnia magna. *Journal of proteomics* **137**, 45-51 (2016).
- 567 42 Oberbeckmann, S., Löder, M. G. & Labrenz, M. Marine microplastic-associated biofilms–a 568 review. *Environmental Chemistry* **12**, 551-562 (2015).
- 569 43 De Tender, C. A. *et al.* Bacterial community profiling of plastic litter in the Belgian part of the 570 North Sea. *Environmental science & technology* **49**, 9629-9638 (2015).
- Foulon, V. *et al.* Colonization of polystyrene microparticles by Vibrio crassostreae: light and
  electron microscopic investigation. *Environmental Science & Technology* 50, 10988-10996
  (2016).

- 574 45 Long, M. *et al.* Interactions between microplastics and phytoplankton aggregates: Impact on
  575 their respective fates. *Marine Chemistry* **175**, 39-46 (2015).
- 576 46 Thiele, S., Fuchs, B. M., Amann, R. & Iversen, M. H. Colonization in the photic zone and 577 subsequent changes during sinking determine bacterial community composition in marine 578 snow. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **81**, 1463-1471 (2015).
- Jatt, A. N., Tang, K., Liu, J., Zhang, Z. & Zhang, X.-H. Quorum sensing in marine snow and its
  possible influence on production of extracellular hydrolytic enzymes in marine snow
  bacterium Pantoea ananatis B9. *FEMS microbiology ecology* **91**, 1-13 (2015).
- Lusher, A., Welden, N., Sobral, P. & Cole, M. Sampling, isolating and identifying microplastics
  ingested by fish and invertebrates. *Analytical Methods* (2016).
- 584 49 OECD. Adverse Outcome Pathways, Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics,
   585 <a href="http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/adverse-outcome-pathways-molecular-streening-and-toxicogenomics.htm">http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/adverse-outcome-pathways-molecular-</a>
   586 screening-and-toxicogenomics.htm
   (2016).
- 587 50 Jeong, C.-B. *et al.* Microplastic Size-Dependent Toxicity, Oxidative Stress Induction, and p-JNK 588 and p-p38 Activation in the Monogonont Rotifer (Brachionus koreanus). *Environmental* 589 *Science & Technology* **50**, 8849-8857 (2016).
- 51 Paul-Pont, I. *et al.* Exposure of marine mussels Mytilus spp. to polystyrene microplastics:
  591 Toxicity and influence on fluoranthene bioaccumulation. *Environmental Pollution* 216, 724592 737 (2016).
- 593 52 Oliveira, M., Ribeiro, A., Hylland, K. & Guilhermino, L. Single and combined effects of 594 microplastics and pyrene on juveniles (0+ group) of the common goby Pomatoschistus 595 microps (Teleostei, Gobiidae). *Ecological indicators* **34**, 641-647 (2013).
- 59653Rist, S. E. *et al.* Suspended micro-sized PVC particles impair the performance and decrease597survival in the Asian green mussel Perna viridis. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **111**, 213-220 (2016).
- 598 54 Ogonowski, M., Schür, C., Jarsén, Å. & Gorokhova, E. The Effects of Natural and Anthropogenic
  599 Microparticles on Individual Fitness in Daphnia magna. *PloS one* **11**, e0155063 (2016).
- Galloway, T. S. & Lewis, C. N. Marine microplastics spell big problems for future generations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 2331-2333 (2016).
- 602 56 Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Fileman, E., Halsband, C. & Galloway, T. The impact of polystyrene
  603 microplastics on feeding, function and fecundity in the marine copepod *Calanus*604 *helgolandicus. Environmental Science & Technology* **49**, 1130-1137 (2015).
- Welden, N. A. C. & Cowie, P. R. Environment and gut morphology influence microplastic
  retention in langoustine, Nephrops norvegicus. *Environmental Pollution* 214, 859-865,
  doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.067</u> (2016).

- Watts, A. J. R., Urbina, M. A., Corr, S., Lewis, C. & Galloway, T. S. Ingestion of plastic microfibers
  by the crab *Carcinus maenas* and its effect on food consumption and energy balance. *Environmental Science & Technology* 49, 14597-14604 (2015).
- 611 59 Wright, S., Rowe, D., Thompson, R. C. & Galloway, T. S. Microplastic ingestion decreases
  612 energy reserves in marine worms. *Current Biology* 23, 1031-1033 (2013).
- 613 60 Green, D. S. Effects of microplastics on European flat oysters, Ostrea edulis and their 614 associated benthic communities. *Environmental Pollution* **216**, 95-103 (2016).
- 615 61 Cole, M. & Galloway, T. Ingestion of nanoplastics and microplastics by Pacific oyster larvae.
  616 *Environmental Science & Technology* 49, 14625–14632 (2015).
- 617 62 Kaposi, K. L., Mos, B., Kelaher, B. & Dworjanyn, S. A. Ingestion of microplastic has limited
  618 impact on a marine larva. *Environmental Science & Technology* 48, 1638-1645 (2013).
- 619 63 Sussarellu, R. *et al.* Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure to polystyrene
   620 microplastics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113, 2430-2435 (2016).
   621 Provides data to illustrate multigenerational effects of microplastic in an invertebrate model,
- 622 illustrating potential ecological impact in marine ecosystems.
- 64 Hämer, J., Gutow, L., Köhler, A. & Saborowski, R. Fate of microplastics in the marine isopod
  624 Idotea emarginata. *Environmental science & technology* 48, 13451-13458 (2014).
- 65 Blarer, P. & Burkhardt-Holm, P. Microplastics affect assimilation efficiency in the freshwater
  amphipod Gammarus fossarum. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 23, 2352223532 (2016).
- 628 66 Lee, K.-W., Shim, W. J., Kwon, O. Y. & Kang, J.-H. Size-Dependent Effects of Micro Polystyrene
  629 Particles in the Marine Copepod *Tigriopus japonicus*. *Environmental Science & Technology* 47,
  630 11278-11283 (2013).
- 631 67 Weis, J. S. Developmental and behavioural effects of marine pollution. (Springer, 2014).
- 68 Wong, B. B. & Candolin, U. Behavioral responses to changing environments. *Behavioral*633 *Ecology* 26, 665-673 (2015).
- 634 69 de Sá, L. C., Luís, L. G. & Guilhermino, L. Effects of microplastics on juveniles of the common
  635 goby (Pomatoschistus microps): Confusion with prey, reduction of the predatory performance
  636 and efficiency, and possible influence of developmental conditions. *Environmental Pollution*637 **196**, 359-362 (2015).
- Waloff, N. The mechanisms of humidity reactions of terrestrial isopods. *Jour. Exp. Biol* 18, 8135 (1941).
- Tosetto, L., Brown, C. & Williamson, J. E. Microplastics on beaches: ingestion and behavioural
  consequences for beachhoppers. *Marine Biology* 163, 199 (2016).

- Rehse, S., Kloas, W. & Zarfl, C. Short-term exposure with high concentrations of pristine
  microplastic particles leads to immobilisation of Daphnia magna. *Chemosphere* 153, 91-99
  (2016).
- Godin, J.-G. J. & Crossman, S. L. Hunger-dependent predator inspection and foraging
  behaviours in the threespine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) under predation risk. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 34, 359-366 (1994).
- 648 74 Dill, L. M. & Fraser, A. H. Risk of predation and the feeding behavior of juvenile coho salmon
  649 (Oncorhynchus kisutch). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 16, 65-71 (1984).
- Gotceitas, V. & Godin, J.-G. J. Foraging under the risk of predation in juvenile Atlantic salmon
  (Salmo salar L.): effects of social status and hunger. *Behavioral ecology and sociobiology* 29,
  255-261 (1991).
- 653 76 Houston, A. I. & McNamara, J. M. *Models of adaptive behaviour: an approach based on state*.
  654 (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- 655 77 Meadows, P. S., Meadows, A. & Murray, J. M. Biological modifiers of marine benthic
  656 seascapes: Their role as ecosystem engineers. *Geomorphology* 157, 31-48 (2012).
- 657 78 Green, D. S., Boots, B., Sigwart, J., Jiang, S. & Rocha, C. Effects of conventional and 658 biodegradable microplastics on a marine ecosystem engineer (Arenicola marina) and 659 sediment nutrient cycling. *Environmental Pollution* **208**, 426-434 (2016).
- Sailley, S. F., Polimene, L., Mitra, A., Atkinson, A. & Allen, J. I. Impact of zooplankton food
  selectivity on plankton dynamics and nutrient cycling. *Journal of Plankton Research* 37, 519529 (2015).
- Besforges, J.-P. W., Galbraith, M. & Ross, P. S. Ingestion of Microplastics by Zooplankton in
  the Northeast Pacific Ocean. Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology 69,
  320-330 (2015). First report of ingestion of microplastics in situ by zooplankton\_ indicating
  that species at lower trophic levels can mistake plastic for food. This highlights the potential
  risks to higher trophic level species.
- Buesseler, K. O. *et al.* Revisiting carbon flux through the ocean's twilight zone. *Science* **316**,
  567-570 (2007).
- 670 82 Small, L., Fowler, S. & Ünlü, M. Sinking rates of natural copepod fecal pellets. *Marine Biology*671 **51**, 233-241 (1979).
- 672 83 Giering, S. L. *et al.* Reconciliation of the carbon budget in the ocean/'s twilight zone. *Nature*673 **507**, 480-483 (2014).

- 674 84 UNEP. Report of the first session of the INC for an international legally binding instrument for
  675 implementing international action on certain persistent organic pollutants (POPs)
  676 <u>http://irptc.unep.ch/pops/</u>. (1998).
- 677 85 Diamond, M. L. *et al.* Exploring the planetary boundary for chemical pollution. *Environment*678 *international* **78**, 8-15 (2015).
- 679 86 Rockström, J. et al. A safe operating space for humanity. Nature 461, 472-475 (2009).
- 680 87 ITOPF. Oil Tanker Spill Statistics. (<u>http://www.itopf.com/knowledge-resources/data-</u>
  681 <u>statistics/statistics/</u>, 2016).
- 682 88 Sanderson, K. It's not easy being green. *Nature* **469**, 18-20 (2011).
- 683 89 CEFIC. Guide to the Business of Chemistry, American Chemical Council Facts and Figures 2011.
   684 (www.essentialchemicalindustry.org/, 2011).
- Scheringer, M. Characterization of the environmental distribution behavior of organic
  chemicals by means of persistence and spatial range. *Environmental science & technology* 31,
  2891-2897 (1997).
- Scheringer, M. Persistence and spatial range as endpoints of an exposure-based assessment
  of organic chemicals. *Environmental Science & Technology* **30**, 1652-1659 (1996).
- Persson, L. M. *et al.* Confronting unknown planetary boundary threats from chemical
  pollution. *Environmental science & technology* 47, 12619-12622 (2013).
- 69293STATISTA.Globalplasticproductionfrom1950to2015.693(<u>https://www.statista.com/statistics/282732/global-production-of-plastics-since-1950/</u>
- 694 2016).
- 695