1	Rapid, Heuristic Discovery and Design of Promoter Collections in Non-Model		
2	Microbes for Industrial Applications.		
3			
4	James Gilman ¹ , Chloe Singleton ¹ , Richard K. Tennant ¹ , Paul James ¹ , Thomas P.		
5	Howard ² , Thomas Lux ³ , David A. Parker ⁴ & John Love ^{1*}		
6			
7	¹ The BioEconomy Centre, Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences,		
8	Stocker Road, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QD, U.K.		
9			
10	² School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Devonshire Building,		
11	Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne NE1 7RU, U.K.		
12			
13	³ Plant Genome and Systems Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German		
14	Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH), Munich, Germany.		
15			
16	⁴ Biodomain, Shell Technology Center Houston, 3333 Highway 6 South, Houston,		
17	Texas 77082-3101, U.S.A.		
18			
19			
20	Running Title:		
21	Promoter Design for Industrial Applications.		
22			
23			
24	*Author for Correspondence: <u>J.Love@exeter.ac.uk</u>		
25			
26			
27	Keywords: Promoter Design ; Modelling ; <i>Geobacillus</i> ; Industrial Chassis ;		
28			
29			
30			

- 31 Abstract
- 32

33 Well-characterised promoter collections for synthetic biology applications are 34 not always available in industrially relevant hosts. We developed a broadly applicable 35 method for promoter identification in atypical microbial hosts that requires no a priori 36 understanding of *cis*-regulatory element structure. This novel approach combines 37 bioinformatic filtering with rapid empirical characterisation to expand the promoter 38 toolkit, and uses machine learning to improve the understanding of the relationship 39 between DNA sequence and function. Here, we apply the method in Geobacillus 40 thermoglucosidasius, a thermophilic organism with high potential as a synthetic 41 biology chassis for industrial applications. Bioinformatic screening of G. 42 G. G. kaustophilus, stearothermophilus, thermodenitrificans and G. 43 thermoglucosidasius resulted in the identification of 636 100 bp putative promoters, 44 encompassing the genome-wide design space and lacking known transcription factor 45 binding sites. 80 of these sequences were characterised in vivo and activities 46 covered a 2-log range of predictable expression levels. 7 sequences were shown to 47 function consistently regardless of the downstream coding sequence. Partition 48 modelling identified sequence positions upstream of the canonical -35 and -10 49 consensus motifs that were predicted to strongly influence regulatory activity in 50 Geobacillus, and Artificial Neural Network and Partial Least Squares regression 51 models were derived to assess if there was a simple, forward, quantitative method for 52 in silico prediction of promoter function. However, the models were insufficiently 53 general to predict pre hoc promoter activity in vivo, most probably as a result of the 54 relatively small size of the training data set as compared to the size of the modelled 55 design space.

58 59 **Visual Abstract**



60 The predictable control of genetic modules or engineered metabolic pathways 61 is a defining aspiration of synthetic biology¹ requiring thoroughly characterised, 62 robust genetic parts. Although synthetic biology parts and tools of increasing 63 sophistication are available²⁻⁵, the majority have been designed for use in a small 64 number of model organisms⁶ and characterised only or mainly in these biological 65 contexts⁷. Model organisms such as Escherichia coli or Saccharomyces cerevisiae 66 are invaluable for laboratory-scale, proof-of-principle investigations and are used in 67 some industrial applications⁸ but there is a real, practical need to expand the range of 68 microbial chassis available for industrial applications that present more extreme 69 environments for the biocatalyst^{9,6,10-13}.

70

71 Different control points affect the output of gene networks, including levels of 72 transcription, translation, protein half-life and enzyme kinetics¹⁴. On a practical level, 73 the use of promoters with varied and predictable activation and output characteristics 74 ("strengths") are an essential feature of any synthetic biology toolkit^{3,15,14} and are 75 particularly useful for balancing differential expression levels in "hard-wired", steady 76 state genetic modules¹⁶. Promoter collections for synthetic biology applications 77 should therefore cover a broad range of recombinant gene expression levels for 78 nuanced tuning of synthetic pathways¹⁷, with individual promoters providing 79 homogeneous, consistent and predictable outputs independently of the associated 80 downstream coding sequence¹⁸.

81

82 Conventionally, promoters in atypical chassis may be isolated from upstream 83 of genes or operons¹⁵ that are homologous to well-understood regions in model 84 organisms, or identified using genomic or transcriptomic analyses of the host7 85 followed by in-depth characterisation in a range of genetic and environmental 86 contexts. Alternatively, synthetic promoter libraries may be manufactured by 87 mutagenesis of wild-type promoter sequences, again followed by deep analysis of 88 novel activity^{14,19,20}, though this approach tends to reduce, rather than enhance, 89 promoter strength^{9,21-25}. Finally, recent advances in DNA synthesis have facilitated 90 systematic approaches to promoter and regulatory sequence design by enabling the 91 production and high-throughput screening of comprehensive sequence libraries^{26,27}. 92 Due to the scale of DNA synthesis required, however, this approach remains 93 relatively expensive compared to mutagenesis and dependent on ready access to 94 appropriate DNA synthesis facilities.

95

96 In this investigation, we used a bioinformatic approach to explore the 97 promoter design space in Geobacillus thermoglucosidasius, a metabolically 98 versatile^{11,28-30}, thermophilic microbe³¹ with high potential as a synthetic biology 99 chassis for industrial applications^{6,32}. To date, engineering projects in *Geobacillus* 100 have relied on 1 of 3 endogenous promoter sequences^{11,33,34}, the most widely used 101 being the oxygen-dependent *ldh*A promoter^{9,11,31,35,36}. Mutagenesis-derived, synthetic 102 promoters have also been reported for the genus^{9,37,38}, though their characterisation 103 is limited to single genetic contexts.

104

105 Here, we selected 100 putative promoter sequences from the Geobacillus 106 core genome encompassing the genome-wide design space and lacking known 107 transcription factor binding sites. The sequences were synthesised, cloned upstream 108 of 2 different reporter CDS and their activities assessed in vivo. This process was 109 relatively rapid and resulted in a collection of 7 characterised promoter sequences 110 that displayed a range of activities with low internal variance and that functioned 111 independently of the downstream reporter sequence. Additionally, to better 112 understand the relationship between promoter sequence and activity, the data from 113 the in vivo characterisation were used to train and validate a variety of in silico 114 models, including Random Forest partition, Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and 115 Partial Least Squares regression (PLS).

116

117 The method presented here is broadly applicable to any potential bacterial 118 chassis and could be used to expand synthetic biology tools for other biocatalysts 119 and ultimately enhance our fundamental knowledge of genetic regulation in synthetic 120 and natural systems.

Results & Discussion

Bioinformatic identification of putative promoters from the core genome of 4Geobacillus species

125

126 Different *Geobacillus* species have the potential to be used as host organisms 127 for industrial bioproduction^{6, 9, 33}. We therefore aimed to identify promoters that could 128 potentially be used across the entire genus. To obtain a suite of promoters that were 129 representative of the Geobacillus genus, we sequenced and assembled de novo the 130 genomes of 4 Geobacillus species that were available when the project started; G. 131 kaustophilus (DSM7263), G. stearothermophilus (DSM22), G. thermodenitrificans 132 (K1041) and G. thermoglucosidasius (DSM2542). To identify genes that were 133 common to all 4 Geobacillus species, single-copy coding sequences (CDS) were 134 clustered into homologous gene families using the GET HOMOLOGUES software 135 package³⁹. To increase calculation robustness, 3 separate clustering algorithms were 136 used, and the resulting gene families compared. Bidirectional best-hit (BDBH), COG 137 triangles (COG) and OrthoMCL (OMCL) algorithms returned 1,924, 1,914, and 1,902 138 CDS clusters respectively, with 1.886 homologous clusters being identified by all 3 139 algorithms (Figure 1A). The core genome of the selected Geobacillus species 140 therefore contained 1,886 CDS; *i.e.* a total of 7,544 homologous core CDS.

141

142 In prokaryotes, the majority of motifs that affect the initiation of both 143 transcription and translation occur in the 100 bp sequence window immediately 144 upstream of the CDS start codon^{40,41}. 100 bp sequences from immediately upstream 145 of the start codon of the 7,544 core CDS were therefore identified as putative 146 Geobacillus promoter sequences. BPROM software was subsequently used to 147 classify the 100 bp sequences as putative promoters based on the presence and 148 nucleotide composition of known conserved functional motifs⁴². To isolate sequences 149 that were likely orthogonal to endogenous regulatory pathways, putative promoters 150 were screened against BPROMs list of known Transcription Factor Binding Sites 151 (TFBS, Supporting Table 1), and sequences that contained any known TFBS were 152 discarded. A phylogeny of the 1,489 putative, generic sequences that remained after 153 screening was constructed as a representation of the Geobacillus promoter design 154 space (Figure 1B). Although BPROMs list of *E. coli* TFBS may not be exhaustively 155 representative of binding sites that are functional in *Geobacillus*, the lack of extensive 156 genus-specific TFBS characterisation in these non-model organisms renders a 157 genus-specific approach impractical. Given previous successfully applications of BPROM software for promoter identification²⁸, the utilised list of TFBS was judged
likely to provide an adequately generic reference for binding site recognition in *Geobacillus*.

161

162 Multiple studies have used promoters isolated from the genomes of 163 bacteriophage for the control of heterologous expression in E. coli¹⁴. Putative 164 promoters were therefore also identified from the genomes of 2 bacteriophages. 165 Thermus phage Phi OH2 and Geobacillus phage GBSV1, which were chosen due to 166 their ready availability on the GenBank public database. Intergenic regions of at least 167 100 bp were identified in both genomes. From these intergenic regions, the 100 bp 168 sequences immediately upstream of the start codon of the adjacent CDS were 169 extracted. The extracted sequences were subsequently analysed using BPROM 170 software to identify putative promoters, and any sequences that contained known 171 TFBS were discarded. 9 putative promoters were identified from *Thermus* phage Phi 172 OH2, and 7 putative promoters were identified from Geobacillus phage GBSV1.

- 173
- 174

⁷⁴ In vivo characterisation of putative promoters

175

176 A number of studies have considered the effect of genetic context on 177 promoter function in model organisms such as *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae*^{18,41,43-45}. 178 However, the drive for composable, modular regulatory elements in non-model 179 systems is hindered by the fact that many studies still characterise the function of 180 promoter sequences in a single genetic context. 2 previously published Geobacillus 181 synthetic promoter libraries, for example, used only GFP to characterise promoter 182 performance^{9,37}. Putative promoters were therefore characterised upstream of both 183 Dasher GFP and mOrange fluorescent reporters.

184

A trade-off was required between the desire to empirically explore large portions of the *Geobacillus* promoter design space and the experimental feasibility of characterising large numbers of putative sequences in a host organism with low transformation efficiencies. The promoter phylogeny (Figure 1B) was therefore used to rationally select 100 putative promoters from across the *Geobacillus* promoter design space for *in vivo* characterisation using both reporters.

191

A sequence alignment of the 100 selected putative promoters revealed a heavily conserved purine-rich region located at the 3' terminus of the 100 bp sequence space (Supporting Figure 1). Given the similarities in both location and 195 nucleotide composition of the motif to the canonical Shine-Dalgrano sequence⁴⁶, this 196 region was identified as the RBS. We therefore changed the terminology, whereby 197 "promoter" refers to the complete 100 bp sequence, RBS refers to the 15 bp of 198 sequence at the 3' terminus of the sequence space and Distal Regulatory Sequence 199 (DRS) refers to the sequence from -100 to -15 bp upstream of the start codon.

200

201 To facilitate potential future applications of the promoter sequences in which 202 disparate DRS and RBS might be required, the 100 selected putative promoters were 203 split in silico into DRS and RBS parts that were subsequently flanked with type IIs 204 restriction cloning affixes (Supporting Table 2). In vitro cloning of the DRS and RBS 205 parts resulted in the insertion of a 4 bp scar sequence at -19 to -16 bp upstream of 206 the start codon, increasing the length of the promoters to 104 bp. The inclusion of the 207 scar sequence was empirically shown to have no statistically significant effect on 208 promoter activity for 20 out of a set of 24 characterised sequences, with significant 209 alterations in regulatory activity hypothesised to be the result of extreme alterations 210 to mRNA secondary structure (Supporting Information, Supporting Figure 2).

211

212 Of the 100 selected putative Geobacillus promoters, 5 promoter::GFP and 9 213 promoter::mOrange constructs could not be successfully synthesised. Furthermore, 214 promoter::mOrange constructs could not be transformed 11 into G. 215 thermoglucosidasius; 80 sequences were therefore characterised in vivo upstream of 216 both reporters (Figure 2A). The characterised sequences covered a 148-fold range of 217 activity when characterised upstream of GFP, and a 107-fold range of activity when 218 characterised upstream of mOrange. 45 of the characterised promoters showed 219 expression levels for both reporter proteins that were not statistically significantly 220 greater than the negative control, G. thermoglucosidasius transformed with the empty 221 pS797 vector. We therefore defined these 45 sequences as inactive. 19 out of the 222 100 screened promoters showed statistically significant activity with both reporters; 3 223 sequences were active with GFP only, and 13 sequences were active with mOrange 224 only (Figure 2B). A comparison of the codon usage of the 2 reporter proteins showed 225 them to be broadly comparable (Supporting Figure 3). The discrepancies in gene 226 expression between the 2 reporters were therefore assumed to be a result of 227 promoter activity, rather than differential codon utilisation.

228

To identify the promoters that functioned predictably and independently of the downstream CDS, K-means clustering was used to group the characterised sequences into 5 clusters based on their Euclidean distance from the line of 232 equivalence between GFP and mOrange activity, y = x (Figure 2C). No correlation in 233 in vivo activity between the two reporter proteins was observed for the majority of the 234 characterised sequences; clusters 2 and 4 contained promoters that resulted in 235 stronger GFP expression than mOrange expression, whereas clusters 3 and 5 236 resulted in stronger mOrange than GFP expression. Clustering identified 13 237 promoters (cluster 1) with activity that fell close to the line of equivalence, of which 7 238 displayed mean expression levels that were significantly greater than the negative 239 control. The characterised Geobacillus promoter library therefore contained 7 240 functionally composable, active sequences, covering activity levels that were 241 between 1.1 and 4.5 times greater than the G. thermodenitrificans IdhA positive 242 control.

243

244 Such functional composability of *cis*-regulatory sequences is crucial if 245 information regarding promoter performance derived from laboratory-scale 246 characterisation experiments is to be applied to the systematic, scalable, bottom-up 247 engineering of increasingly complex synthetic biological systems^{4,18}. The 248 development of species-specific insulator mechanisms, that reduce the context-249 specificity of regulatory parts through either molecular transcript processing^{47,48} or by 250 physically separating genetic regulatory parts to disrupt context-specific mRNA 251 secondary structures^{18,41}, is required if the majority of the identified promoters are to 252 be used modularly in alternative contexts.

253

254 In addition to being functionally composable, promoter sequences for 255 synthetic biology applications should ideally yield homogenous, predictable 256 expression of the protein of interest at the single-cell level⁴⁹. Flow cytometry was 257 therefore used to analyse the intra-population variation in fluorescence activity of the 258 characterised promoter::reporter fusions in transformed, clonal cultures. Compared to 259 the positive control, the G. thermodenitrificans IdhA promoter, 98% of the 260 characterised promoter::GFP fusions and 73% of the promoter::mOrange fusions returned lower coefficients of variance, indicating that the majority of the 261 262 characterised sequences offered more predictable regulation of protein expression 263 than the current benchmark Geobacillus promoter. Furthermore, the 7 promoters that 264 functioned independently of coding sequence all returned lower coefficients of 265 variation than the positive control *IdhA* promoter (Figure 2D). Although 266 subpopulations of cells expressing the reporters were apparent for 4 of the 267 characterised promoters, the performance of these promoters was less variable and

therefore more predictable than that of the *ldhA* promoter which has been widely used in studies with potential industrial applications^{9,11,31,35,36}.

270

271 Analysis of the genes with which the 80 characterised promoters were 272 natively associated in their source genomes showed that the majority of the 273 sequences homogeneously regulate basic cellular functions, and were therefore 274 likely to be constitutive (Supporting Table 3). Cellular functions with which the 275 promoters were natively associated included biosynthesis, cell membrane formation, 276 catabolism, transcription and protein folding. However, 11 of the characterised 277 promoters were natively associated with proteins relating to sporulation, and may 278 therefore result in altered expression levels under sporulation conditions. The failure 279 of the bioinformatic screening to identify and exclude these sequences highlights the 280 limitations of applying bioinformatic tools that were developed in *E. coli* in non-model 281 organisms; as E. coli is non-sporulating, a list of E. coli TFBS will naturally not 282 contain sporulation-specific TFBS.

283

284 Sequence-function modelling

285

286 Mathematical models with the pre hoc capability to determine promoter 287 function could potentially reduce the need for in vivo characterisation of large 288 numbers of individual cis-regulatory elements. Once a training set of sufficient 289 robustness is established, regulatory elements of the desired strength for a given 290 application could hypothetically be identified from the genome or designed *de novo*, 291 in a manner analogous to tools such as the RBS calculator³. To better understand 292 the basis of promoter function in *Geobacillus*, and to assess if there was a simple, 293 forward method for in silico prediction of promoter function, statistical learning 294 approaches were used to derive models of the design space.

295

We used a variety of techniques to mathematically describe the relationship between DNA sequence and function of the promoters characterised above. Partition modelling was used to identify positions within the sequence space that were having the greatest impact on promoter activity, and ANN and PLS models were subsequently used to make quantitative predictions of promoter activity.

301

302 Partition modelling

Recursive partition modelling is a powerful technique for determining the relationship between a response variable and a set of independent variables without the use of a mathematical model⁵⁰. Partition models were fit to both the GFP and mOrange characterisation data sets. The number of times each promoter sequence position caused partitions in the data set across 100 random forests was quantified; the larger the number of partitions caused by a sequence position, the more important that position was predicted to be in determining promoter activity.

311

Sequence positions across the entirety of the sequence space were predicted to strongly influence regulatory activity for both reporters (Figure 3). In particular, sequence positions towards the 5' terminus of the sequence space were predicted to be important in determining promoter activity. This result suggested that UP elements, sequence motifs that are further upstream than the canonical RBS, -10 and -35 motifs and that boost transcription initiation through interactions with the Cterminal domain of the RNA polymerase alpha subunit^{51,52}, are active in *Geobacillus*.

- 319
- 320

0 Artificial Neural Network & Partial Least squares sequence-function modelling

321

Although the partition models provided useful insights to the relationship between promoter nucleotide sequence and function, they did not provide quantitative predictions of regulatory activity. We therefore applied 2 quantitative modelling approaches, linear Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression and non-linear Artificial Neural Networks (ANN).

327

To asses the predictive capability of PLS and ANNs when applied to Geobacillus cis-regulatory sequences, models were trained using data derived from the 95 characterised *promoter::GFP* fusions (Supporting Figure 4). In all instances, each of the 104 nucleotide positions within the promoter sequence was modelled as an individual *x* variable and GFP fluorescence was used as the response variable, *y*.

333

ANNs have previously been shown to return insufficiently accurate predictions when the response surface under investigation is complex and the number of observations in the training data set is small⁵³. Furthermore, although the PLS algorithm was specifically designed to model data sets in which the number of predictor variables is greater than the number of observations in the training set ⁵⁴, the extreme scale of the promoter design space (there are 4¹⁰⁰ potential 100 bp nucleotide sequences) compared to the number of empirically characterised promoters was thought likely to result in models with limited predictive power. A
reduction in the dimensionality of the modelled design space was therefore deemed
necessary.

344

345 Characterising promoters of shorter length would have immediately reduced 346 the dimensionality of the modelled design space. For example, 50 bp sequences 347 would have been of sufficient length to contain the canonical location of the RBS, -10 348 and -35 consensus motifs. However, the partition results showed that sequence 349 positions upstream of the -50 position were likely to be important in determining 350 regulatory activity (Figure 3). Sequences of reduced length would therefore not have 351 contained vital upstream regulatory motifs and may therefore have shown reduced 352 activity as compared to the longer sequences.

353

The results of the partition modelling were therefore used to reduce the dimensionality of the modelled design space. PLS and ANN sequence-function models were derived that modelled GFP fluorescence as a function of varying number of nucleotide positions. Sequence positions were selected in descending order of the number of partitions caused in the 100 partition models (Figure 3). In all instances, model performance was quantified using an independent test set of 10 promoter sequences that were held-back from model training and validation.

361

The optimum PLS model that was obtained inferred promoter activity as a function of 20 nucleotide positions (Supporting Figure 5). The model returned an R² value of 0.6024 when applied to the training and validation data sets, and an R² value of 0.8901 when applied to the test set (Figure 4). These results suggested that the obtained PLS model provided a reasonable fit of the training data and had good predictive power when applied to previously unseen data.

368

A Design of Experiments (DoE) approach was used to optimise ANN architecture (Supporting Information). In total, over 113,500 single-layer ANNs were fit, varying in terms of the personality of the activation function used, the number of nodes in the hidden layer, the cross validation methodology and the number of promoter sequence positions modelled.

374

The optimal obtained ANN was an ensemble model that contained 2 constituent ANNs. Each of the constituent models used sigmoidal activation functions with 5 nodes in the hidden layer, and modelled promoter activity as a function of 20 378 nucleotide sequence positions. The optimal model returned an R² value of 0.9746 379 when applied to the training and validation data sets, and an R² value of 0.9691 380 when applied to the test set, suggesting a good fit of the training data and strong 381 predictive power (Figure 4). For both ANN and PLS, models that inferred promoter 382 activity as a function of complete 100 bp sequences showed lower predictive 383 accuracy than models of reduced numbers of sequence positions (Supporting 384 Information). This result validated the use of partition modelling to reduce the size of 385 the modelled design space.

- 386
- 387

7 Predicting the function of previously uncharacterised promoters

388

389 To further test the predictive power of the putatively high-performing PLS and 390 ANN models, a secondary test set of previously uncharacterised Geobacillus 391 promoters was selected. 10 putative regulatory sequences were selected at random 392 from across the promoter phylogeny (Figure 1A) and characterised in G. 393 thermoglucosidasius upstream of GFP. However, despite the strong performance of 394 the 2 models on the primary test set, neither model returned accurate predictions of 395 promoter activity for the selected sequences (Figure 4); the PLS model returned an 396 R² value of 0.3595 and the ANN returned an R² value of 0.2283. Consequently, the 397 derived models were insufficiently general to permit accurate predictions of 398 endogenous promoter activity or facilitate rational, forward promoter design.

399

400 Future applications of promoter sequence-function modelling

401

402 The lack of generality shown by the models derived in this investigation was 403 probably the result of the limited number of characterised promoter sequences as 404 compared to the scale of the design space, resulting in training set that does not 405 adequately capture the complexity of the response surface. Although PLS and ANN 406 promoter sequence-function models using comparatively small data sets have been 407 described ⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷, the promoter libraries used in these studies contained considerable 408 sequence homology, thereby restricting the complexity of the response surface under 409 investigation. If accurate predictive models of more complex promoter design spaces 410 are to be obtained, a training data set that contains several orders of magnitude more 411 promoter sequences than the 80 sequences used here is likely necessary^{7, 26, 43}. 412 However, the scale of the required promoter libraries might be impractical in non-413 model organisms.

415 Although high-throughput characterisation of libraries containing thousands of 416 genetic parts using techniques such as a combination of flow cytometry and 417 multiplexed DNA or RNA sequencing has been previously described^{7, 26, 43}, such 418 approaches require the acquisition of large numbers of transformants; approximately 419 50-fold library coverage is necessary to achieve accurate characterisation of 420 individual promoters⁴³. However, low transformation efficiencies in many non-model 421 organisms, including Geobacillus, preclude the production of libraries of the required 422 scale, potentially limiting the usefulness of statistical sequence-function modelling in 423 these contexts.

424

425 In lieu of a massive increase in the number of characterised sequences, the 426 novel bioinformatic approach to promoter identification that was developed in this 427 investigation, coupled with partition modelling to identify those sequence positions 428 that are key for determining promoter activity, could be used to provide an initial 429 screen of the design space in organisms for which understanding of *cis*-regulatory 430 sequences is limited. This information could subsequently be used for DoE inspired 431 promoter optimisation in future studies by facilitating the rational design of limited 432 sequence libraries that vary only at the identified key positions. In vivo 433 characterisation and in silico modelling of the designed libraries could potentially 434 yield models of greater predictive power than those derived here without the need for 435 a large-scale increase in characterisation throughput.

436

437 The models that were derived in this study were based purely on the 438 statistical likelihood of a given nucleotide occurring at a given position within the 439 promoter sequence. Measures of biophysical promoter properties, such as mRNA 440 secondary structures. AT content or the free energy barrier for promoter-RNA 441 polymerase binding were not included on the basis that unsupervised ANN models 442 could potentially learn the effect of biophysical promoter properties without specific 443 terms being explicitly defined in the model. The inclusion of biophysical terms in 444 future modelling attempts may facilitate the derivation of more accurate predictive 445 models^{26,43,58} by providing more information about promoter function than can be 446 gleaned from sequence data alone. Alternatively, the use of distance metrics⁵⁹ as 447 model terms to quantitatively define differences in nucleotide sequence between 448 promoters might also allow for more accurate mapping of the promoter sequence-449 function design space⁶⁰.

451 Finally, although the quantitative sequence-function models derived in this 452 investigation were insufficiently general to determine pre hoc in vivo promoter 453 activity, the potential for statistical modelling to enhance our fundamental knowledge 454 of genetic regulation in complex systems cannot be overlooked. For example, 455 partition modelling of the relationship between nucleotide sequence and in vivo 456 promoter function yielded potentially useful insights into the structure of cis-regulatory 457 elements in Geobacillus; regions of sequence upstream of the likely position of 458 canonical promoter motifs were predicted to be important in determining promoter 459 activity (Figure 3).

460

461 Conclusion

462

463 We developed a generally applicable method for the identification of 464 constitutive promoters that combines bioinformatic filtering, empirical characterisation 465 and machine learning to expand promoter toolkits in atypical host organisms and 466 increase the understanding of the relationship between DNA sequence and function. 467 The method was used to identify 80 promoters, covering a 2-log range of predictable 468 expression levels, in G. thermoglucosidasius, of which 7 were shown to function 469 consistently regardless of downstream coding sequence. Although sufficiently 470 general *in silico* models of promoter activity could not be obtained using ANN or PLS, 471 partition modelling identified regions of sequence upstream of the canonical 472 prokaryotic promoter consensus regions that strongly influenced regulatory activity in 473 Geobacillus.

474

475 Materials & Methods

476

477 Bacterial strains & plasmids

478

Type strains of *Geobacillus kaustophilus* (DSM7263), *G. stearothermophilus* (DSM22) and *G. thermoglucosidasius* (DSM2542) were obtained from the DSMZ (Brunswick, Germany). Cultures were freeze-dried ampoules and rehydrated as required following the DSMZ standard protocol. *G. thermodenitrificans* (K1041) was obtained from ZuvaSyntha Ltd. (Hertfordshire, UK).

484

485 NEB 5-alpha (New England Biolabs, Massachusetts, United States of 486 America) chemically competent *Escherichia coli* strain (genotype: *fhuA2 D(argF-* 487 *lacZ*)U169 *phoA glnV44 f80D(lacZ)M15 gyrA96 recA1 relA1 endA1 thi-1 hsdR17*)
488 was used for microbiological cloning, storage and amplification of plasmid vectors.

489

490 *E. coli* S17-1 (genotype: *recA pro hsdRm RP4-Tc::Mu-Km::Tn7*) was used as 491 the mobilisation host for the conjugal transformation of *Geobacillus* spp. Transfer 492 genes from the RP4 plasmid are integrated into the genome of *E. coli* S17-1, allowing 493 for the conjugal transfer of plasmids containing the requisite mobilisation 494 elements^{7,61}.

495

All putative promoter sequences were characterised *in vivo* using the pS797 vector (Supporting Figure 6). To facilitate conjugal transformation of *Geobacillus* spp., pS797 contained an origin of transfer (ORI T), comprised of the Nic region and *traJ* gene from the conjugal plasmid RP4. pS797 also contained 2 origins of replication, CoIE and BST1, to allow for propagation in *E. coli* and *Geobacillus* spp., respectively. 2 antibiotic selection markers were also present, allowing for selection by Ampicillin in *E. coli* and by Kanamycin in *Geobacillus*.

503

504 Both *E. coli* S17-1 and pS797 were obtained from ZuvaSyntha Ltd. 505 (Hertfordshire, UK).

506

507 Growth media

508

509 All complex growth media were purchased from Becton Dickson UK 510 (Berkshire, UK). E. coli cultures were propagated in Lysogeny Broth (LB; 10 g l⁻¹ 511 tryptone, 10 g l⁻¹ NaCl, 5 g l⁻¹ yeast extract). Lennox Lysogeny Broth (LLB; 10 g l⁻¹ 512 tryptone, 5 g l^{-1} NaCl, 5 g l^{-1} veast extract) was used for co-culture of *E. coli* and *G.* 513 thermoglucosidasius during conjugal transformation of G. thermoglucosidasius. All 514 Geobacillus species were propagated in modified LB (mLB). mLB used a basal 515 composition of LLB, supplemented with 1.05 mM C₆H₉NO₆, 0.91 mM CaCl₂, 0.59 mM 516 MgSO₄ and 0.04 mM FeSO₄ 62 .

517

518 For all media types, agar was supplemented as required to 15 g l⁻¹. When 519 required, *E. coli* growth media was supplemented with 100 μ g ml⁻¹ ampicillin. *G.* 520 *thermoglucosidasius* growth media was supplemented with 12.5 μ g ml⁻¹ kanamycin. 521

522 Bioinformatic identification of putative promoters from the core genome of 4 523 Geobacillus species

525 The genomes of 4 Geobacillus species, G. kaustophilus (DSM7263), G. 526 stearothermophilus (DSM22), G. thermodenitrificans (K1041) and G. 527 thermoglucosidasius (DSM2542) were sequenced and de novo assembled. 528 Genomes were sequenced using an Illumina MiSeg system, using reads with 300 bp 529 paired end sequencing. The resulting raw sequencing reads were trimmed based on 530 quality score using the fastq-mcf tool⁶³ and assembled using SPAdes software 531 (Version 3.5⁶⁴). Following assembly, the genome scaffolds were annotated using 532 Prokka software (Version 1.9⁶⁵).

533

The GET_HOMOLOGUES software package³⁹ was used to identify gene families with homologues in all 4 of the *Geobacillus* species of interest. To increase calculation robustness, 3 disparate algorithms were used to cluster homologous gene families: Bidirectional best-hit (BDBH), COGtriangles (COG) and OrthoMCL (OMCL). In all instances, the "-t" option was used to isolate only those clusters that contained single-copy proteins. All other software parameters were set as default. Only those clusters that were common to all 3 algorithms were selected for further analysis.

541

542 Once identified, the core coding sequences were extracted from the 4 543 genomes. Output files were parsed, reformatted to GenBank file format and imported 544 into the Artemis genome browser⁶⁶. For each entry, the 100 bp immediately upstream 545 of the start codon was extracted. BPROM software⁴² was subsequently used to 546 screen the extracted 100 bp sequences for the presence and nucleotide composition 547 of functional regulatory motifs. Additionally, putative promoters were screened 548 against BPROM's list of known Transcription Factor Binding Sites (TFBS, Supporting 549 Table 2). Any putative promoters containing TFBS were discarded.

550

551 The nucleotide sequences of the putative promoters were aligned using 552 MUSCLE software⁶⁷ and the resultant alignments were used to construct a 553 phylogenetic tree using FastTree software⁶⁸. Putative promoters were subsequently 554 manually clustered into 21 clades using FigTree software⁶⁹. Putative regulatory 555 sequences sequences were selected at random for in vivo characterisation from 556 these 21 clades. True randomness was achieved by using a random number 557 generator that converted atmospheric noise into numerical values⁷⁰. Initially, those 558 promoters that were selected for in vivo characterisation were manually checked 559 using the Artemis genome browser to ensure that they did not overlap with any

adjacent coding sequences. Later, to expedite this process, BEDTools intersect⁷¹
was used to identify those putative promoters which were non-overlapping.

562

563 Putative promoters were aligned to transcripts of each of the 4 Geobacillus 564 species using Bowtie 2 software⁷². Indexes of the genome files were prepared using 565 the "build" command. Putative regulatory sequences were subsequently aligned to 566 each Geobacillus genome using Bowtie 2, with the resultant alignments provided in 567 .sam format. The alignment .sam files were converted to .bam format, sorted and 568 indexed using SAMtools⁷³. The resultant alignments were compared against the 4 569 selected Geobacillus genomes using BEDTools intersect. The "-v" command was 570 used to report only those putative promoters that were non-overlapping with any 571 annotated features in the genome transcripts. Output files were provided in .bam 572 format, and were subsequently converted to FASTA format using bam2fastx 573 software⁷⁴.

- 574
- 575
- 576

Bioinformatic identification of putative promoter sequences from bacteriophage

577 The genomes of 2 bacteriophages, *Thermus* phage Phi OH2 (NC 021784) 578 and Geobacillus phage GBSV1 (NC_00837675) were selected for analysis based on 579 their ready availability from the GenBank database. The retrieved GenBank files 580 were loaded into the Artemis genome browser⁶⁶ and suitable intergenic regions of at 581 least 100 bp length were manually identified. The 100 bp nucleotide sequences 582 immediately upstream of the adjacent CDS were extracted and analysed using 583 BPROM software⁴² to identify putative promoters. Putative promoter sequences were 584 screened against BPROMs list of known TFBS, and any sequences that contained 585 known TFBS were discarded.

586

587 Selection, synthesis and cloning of putative promoters for in vivo characterisation

588

589 Following bioinformatic filtering, putative promoters were synthesised and 590 independently cloned upstream of the coding sequences of 2 reporter proteins, Dasher GFP and mOrange⁷⁶ (Supporting Figure 6). The Geobacillus promoter 591 592 phylogeny (Figure 1B) was used to rationally select putative regulatory sequences for 593 in vivo characterisation in G. thermoglucosidasius. To maximise the portion of the 594 design space that was empirically explored, at least 2 putative promoters were 595 selected at random from each of the 13 clades of the phylogeny that contained more 596 than 50 sequences. 2 putative promoters were also selected from each of the

analysed phage genomes. Initial characterisation of the bacteriophage promoters
showed that only 1 out of the 4 selected sequences was active in *G. thermoglucosidasius* (Supporting Figure 7). This 1 active bacteriophage promoter
was added to 99 putative promoters from the *Geobacillus* phylogeny to create a set
of 100 putative regulatory sequences.

602

603 The 100 selected putative promoters were synthesised and cloned into the 604 pS797 vector (Supporting Figure 6). In all instances, the reporter CDS (GFP or 605 mOrange) was followed by the S718 terminator from the G. thermodenitrificans 606 NG80 2-oxoglutarate ferrodoxin oxioreductase subunit beta⁷⁷. Putative regulatory 607 sequences were either directly synthesised upstream of the relevant reporter CDS in 608 pS797 by ATUM (Previously DNA 2.0, California, USA), or were synthesised as 609 double stranded fragments by IDT (Illinois, USA) and cloned in vitro upstream of the 610 relevant reporter CDS.

611

A type IIs restriction cloning methodology ^{78,79} was used to join DNA parts. Parts were flanked with unique cloning affixes (Supporting Table 3) containing Bsal restriction sites. Part-specific post-digestion overhangs ensured that digested fragments were only able to ligate in a defined manner. In instances where putative promoters were synthesised by ATUM, the scar sequences that would have resulted form *in vitro* cloning of DRS and RBS were inserted into the sequence *in silico* prior to synthesis.

619

620 For *in vitro* cloning, terminator and reporter sequences were synthesised by 621 ATUM in the pJ201 cloning vector. Cloning reactions consisted of 20 fmol of each of 622 the pS797 destination vector and the relevant cloning vectors, with 10 U Bsa1 623 restriction endonuclease and 1 U T4 DNA ligase in 2 µl ligation buffer (10x Thermo 624 Scientific FastDigest buffer supplemented with 0.5 mM ATP). Final reactions were 625 made up to 20 µl with ddH₂O. Reactions were incubated for 50 cycles of 37 °C for 2 626 min then 20 °C for 5 min. This was followed by final incubation steps of 50 °C for 5 627 min then 80 °C for 5 min. 10 µl of the incubated cloning reaction mix was used to 628 transform chemically competent NEB 5-alpha E. coli, following the protocol described 629 below. Plasmid construction was verified by diagnostic digest, gel electrophoresis 630 and Sanger sequencing.

631

632

633 Transformation of chemically competent E. coli

635 E. coli S17-1 were made chemically competent using a modified version of 636 the protocol described by Hanahan⁸⁰. 5 ml overnight cultures of *E. coli* S17-1 were used to inoculate 40 ml LB at a 1:1000 dilution. Inoculated cultures were incubated at 637 638 37 °C, with shaking at 220 rpm, until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.4-0.5 was reached. Cells were 639 harvested by centrifugation at 4,500 g for 8 min at 4 °C and resuspended in 8 ml 640 transformation buffer 1 (TF1: 150 g I⁻¹ Glycerol; 30 ml I⁻¹ 1 M CH₃CO₂K pH 7.5; 0.1 M 641 KCl; 0.01 M CaCl₂.2H₂O. Adjusted to pH 6.4 with CH₃COOH, autoclaved, then 642 supplemented with 50 ml I⁻¹ filter sterilised 1 M MnCl₂.4H₂O). Resuspended cells 643 were subsequently incubated on ice for 15 min, and harvested as above. The 644 resulting cell pellet was resuspended in 4 ml transformation buffer 2 (TF2: 150 g l⁻¹ 645 Glycerol; 0.075 M CaCl₂.2H₂O; 0.01 M KCl. Autoclaved, then supplemented with 20 ml l⁻¹ filter sterilised 0.5 M MOPS-KOH pH 6.8). 100 µl aliquots of competent cells 646 647 were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until required.

648

634

649 For transformation, 100-200 ng plasmid DNA was added to chemically 650 competent E. coli of the relevant strain. Samples were incubated on ice for 40 min, 651 then heat shocked at 42 °C for 2 min and incubated on ice for a further 5 min. 700 µl 652 LB was added and the resulting samples were incubated at 37 °C, with shaking at 653 220 rpm, for 60 min. After incubation, samples were harvested by centrifugation at 654 4,300 g for 5 min, and 500 µl of the supernatant was removed. The cell pellet was 655 resuspended in the remaining supernatant, 200 µl of which was subsequently plated 656 out onto LB agar plates, with antibiotic selection as required. Plates were incubated 657 at 37 °C for 16 h.

- 658
- 659 Conjugal transformation of G. thermoglucosidasius
- 660

661 Approximately 5 µl of transformed E. coli S17-1 was collected from a 662 confluent plate-culture using a microbiological loop, suspended in 600 µl LLB and 663 centrifuged at 4,300 g for 5 min. The supernatant was removed, and the resultant 664 pellet re-suspended in a further 600 µl LLB. Approximately 10-15 µl wild-type G. 665 thermoglucosidasius was collected from a confluent plate-culture using a 666 microbiological loop, added to the E. coli suspension and re-suspended. The 667 resulting bacterial mix was dispensed onto LLB agar plates, in drops of 668 approximately 10 µl.

669

670 LLB plates were incubated at 37 °C for 7 h, followed by incubation at 60 °C 671 for 1 h. The resulting biomass was re-suspended in 1 ml LLB, and used to create 672 dilutions of 1:10 and 1:5 biomass to sterile LLB. 200 μ l aliquots of each dilution were 673 spread onto separate mLB agar plates containing 12.5 μ g ml⁻¹ kanamycin. Plates 674 were incubated at 55 °C for approximately 65 h.

675

676 In vivo characterisation of promoter activity

677

To prepare starter cultures of *G. thermoglucosidasius* for promoter characterisation, transformants were picked and restreaked on mLB agar plates, with antibiotic selection as required. Plates were incubated at 55 °C for 16 h. The resulting biomass was subsequently re-suspended in 5 ml mLB. Bacterial suspensions were then used to inoculate mLB to an OD_{600} of 0.1, with antibiotic selection as required. 683

684 3 200 µl sample aliquots per transformant were loaded onto 96-well plates 685 using either a Corbett Robotics CAS-1200 (Qiagen, Netherlands) or a Gilson 686 Pipetmax 268 (Gilson Inc., Wisconsin, USA). To minimise the effect of position 687 dependant bias, to which assays performed in a 96-well plate format can be 688 susceptible⁸¹, sample aliquots were loaded in a Latin rectangle design; no 689 transformant was represented more than once on any given row or column of the 690 microplate (Supporting Figure 8). 96-well plates with lid covers have been shown to 691 suffer from significant loss of culture in the outermost wells through evaporation⁸². To 692 account for such edge effects, wells at the plate periphery were filled with 200 µl 693 aliquots of sterile growth media. Microplates were incubated using PHMP 694 Thermoshakers (Grant Instruments, UK). Incubation was at 60 °C, with shaking at 695 800 rpm.

696

697 Population-level measurements of culture absorbance and fluorescence were 698 taken using a Tecan Infinite 200 PRO microplate reader (Tecan, Switzerland). For 699 measurements of GFP activity, fluorescence excitation and emission values were 700 477 nm and 515 nm respectively. For measurements of mOrange activity, excitation 701 and emission values were 546 nm and 576 nm respectively. In both cases, the gain 702 of the instrument was set at 56. Absorbance of all cultures was measured at 600 nm. 703

Single-cell measurements of fluorescence activity were obtained using a BD
 FACS Aria II Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorter (FACS), equipped with a 100 μm
 nozzle. A sheath fluid of Phosphate Buffered Saline was used. Culture fluorescence

was excited at 488 nm and fluorescence intensity was recorded using a 530/30 nm
detector in the case of GFP fluorescence, and a 585/42 detector in the case of
mOrange fluorescence. 100,000 events were recorded per population.

- 710
- 711
- 712

713 All sequence-function modelling was performed using JMP pro versions 12 &

- 714 715
- 716 Partition modelling

Promoter sequence-function modelling

13 (SAS Institute Inc., North Carolina, USA).

717

100 random forest models were generated for each of the GFP and mOrange characterisation data sets. In all instances, 20% of the available promoter sequences were randomly selected and withheld from model training to serve as a validation set. Each random forest contained a maximum of 100 decision trees, with early stopping if the addition of further trees to the forest did not improve the validation statistic. Each tree was trained on a data set of 26 randomly selected promoter sequence positions, drawn with replacement.

725

726 To generate partition trees, the selected sequences were divided into groups 727 that differed maximally in terms of the response of interest. For example, the 728 maximum difference in expression activity between 2 groups of promoters might be 729 obtained by splitting the training data into a group of sequences with guanine 730 residues at the -15 position, and another group where adenine, cytosine or thymine 731 residues are present at the -15 position (Supporting Figure 9). The resulting sub-732 groups were further divided, resulting in the formation of a tree like structure. By 733 repeating the process multiple times on different, randomly selected portions of the 734 training data, a "forest"⁸³ of decision trees was formed. Across the entire forest, the 735 more times a given factor caused a split in the data set, the better that factor was 736 predicted to be at explaining variation in the response of interest.

- 737
- 738

3 Selection of an independent test set for PLS & ANN modelling

739

To provide an independent test set on which to measure the predictive power of the derived models, 10 promoter sequences were selected and withheld from model training and validation. So that the test set contained promoters with a range of activity levels, the distribution of GFP expression levels of the 95 characterised sequences was analysed. 2 sequences were subsequently selected at random from
the 1st distribution quartile, 5 promoters were selected from the interquartile range
and 3 sequences were selected from the 4th quartile.

- 747
- 748

Partial Least squares sequence-function modelling

749

750 PLS models were trained that modelled GFP fluorescence as a function of 751 varying numbers of sequence positions. The number of sequence positions modelled 752 was systematically increased from 10 to 50 in increments of 5. Models that fit 753 fluorescence as a function of the complete 104 bp promoters were also generated. 754 For each of the 10 potential groups of x variables, multiple PLS models were fit using 755 the non-iterative linear PLS (NIPALS) algorithm and using either KFold or holdback 756 cross validation to optimise the number of latent variables that were extracted from 757 the original data, with a maximum of 10 latent variables permitted per model. Once 758 trained and validated, the models were used to make predictions of activity for the 10 759 promoters in the withheld test set (Supporting Figure 5). The optimum model was 760 judged to be the one that returned the highest R² and lowest Root Average Squared 761 Error (RASE) value when applied to the test set; i.e. the model that had the lowest 762 prediction error.

763

764 Artificial Neural Network sequence-function modelling

765

ANNs were fit using the multilayer perceptron algorithm of JMP software with
sigmoidal activation functions. Network architecture was optimised using a Design of
Experiments approach (Supporting Information).

769



772 Figure 1: Bioinformatic identification of putative promoter sequences.

773

A) Venn diagram showing the number of homologous gene families identified in the
genomes of the 4 selected *Geobacillus* species by Bidirectional best-hit (BDBH),
COG triangles (COG) and OrthoMCL (OMCL) clustering algorithms.

777

B) Phylogeny of putative promoters, rooted at the midpoint. At least 2 putative
promoters were selected at random for *in vivo* characterisation from each of the
clades containing > 50 sequences (highlighted in yellow).



Figure 2: *In vivo* characterisation of bioinformatically identified promoter sequences.

785

Bioinformatically identified putative promoter sequences were synthesised upstream of *GFP* and *mOrange* reporter sequences, and promoter activity in *G. thermoglucosidasius* was characterised after 24 h growth. In all instances, the positive control, the *G. thermodenitrificans ldhA* promoter is shown in dark grey, and the negative control, *G. thermoglucosidasius* transformed with an empty pS797 vector, is shown in red.

792

793 A) Heat map of GFP and mOrange expression levels of the 80 characterised 794 promoters. Each column represents a disparate promoter. To account for differences 795 in intensity between GFP and mOrange fluorescence signals, the mean fluorescence 796 output of each promoter::reporter fusion was normalised to the fluorescence output of 797 the negative control, G. thermoglucosidasius transformed to express the empty 798 pS797 vector, at the relevant excitation and emission wavelengths. Regulatory 799 sequences were defined as active if reporter fluorescence was statistically 800 significantly greater than the negative control at the relevant wavelengths.

801 Significance was determined by ordinary one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple802 comparisons test and a significance level of 0.05.

803

B) Expression levels of the promoters for which fluorescence activity was statistically significant. Bars represent the mean of n = 3 independent starter cultures arising from independent transformation events, except in the case of the negative controls, where n = 14, and the positive controls, where n = 11. Error bars represent standard deviation.

809

810 C) GFP and mOrange expression levels are normalised to the negative control. 811 Points represent individual promoter sequences. Promoter groupings were 812 determined by K-means clustering based on the Euclidian distance of the points from 813 the line of equivalence, y = x, which is represented by the dashed line.

814

D) Expression levels of the 7 promoters that functioned consistently regardless of
CDS, as determined by flow cytometry. For each *promoter::reporter* fusion and the
negative control, 100,000 events from each of 3 independent starter cultures arising
from independent transformation events were combined to form a single "meta"
population of 300,000 events. + = *IdhA* positive control; - = negative control.



Nucleotide position (relative to start codon)

- 820
- 821

Figure 3: Heat map showing the number of data set partitions caused in 100 random forests by individual regulatory sequence nucleotide positions when

- 824 either GFP or mOrange fluorescence was used as the response variable.
- 825

The grey region represents the ACCT cloning scar between the Distal Regulatory Sequence (DRS) and RBS regions. As all of the characterised promoters were identical in these locations, these 4 positions were not included in the partition modelling.



Figure 4: Empirically measured promoter activity levels plotted against activity levels as predicted by the optimum obtained PLS and ANN models

834

831

Points represent individual promoter sequences. Promoters that were used in model training and validation are shown in black, promoters that were part of the primary test set are shown in red, and sequences from the secondary test set are shown in blue. Empirical values are the mean of n = 3 starter cultures arising from independent transformation events. Standard deviation error bars are shown for both primary and secondary test sets, unless hidden by the points. The dashed lines represent the lines of equivalence, where empirically measured and predicted values are equal.

843 List of Abbreviations

	ANN	Artificial Neural Network	
	BDBH	Bidirectional Best-Hit	
	bp	Base Pair	
	CDS	Coding Sequence	
	COG	COG triangles	
	DoE	Design of Experiments	
	DRS	Distal Regulatory Sequence	
	OMCL	OrthoMCL	
	PLS	Partial Least Squares	
	RBS	Ribosome Binding Site	
	TFBS	Transcription Factor Binding Site	
845			
846			
847	Supporting Information		
848			
849	The Supporting Information file for this submission contains the following:		
850	Supporting Text:		
851	C	Analysis of the effect of type IIs restriction cloning scars on the activity	
852		of promoter sequences	
853	C	Extended methods for Artificial Neural Network sequence-function	
854		modelling	
855	Supporting Figures:		
856	C	Supporting Figure 1: Visualisation of a sequence alignment of 100	
857		putative promoters used to identify the putative location of the	
858		Ribosome Binding Site (RBS).	
859	C	Supporting Figure 2: The effect of cloning scar sequences on	
860		promoter activity.	
861	C	Supporting Figure 3: A comparison of codon usage in the GFP and	
862		mOrange reporter sequences.	
863	C	Supporting Figure 4: Activity levels of putative promoter sequences	
864		characterised upstream of GFP in <i>G. thermoglucosidasius</i> .	
865	C	Supporting Figure 5: R ² and Root Average Squared Error (RASE)	
866		values returned by PLS sequence-function models when applied to a	
867		test data set.	

868 • Supporting Figure 6: Plasmid map of the pS797 expression vector 869 used for in vivo characterisation of putative promoter sequences. 870 • Supporting Figure 7: Initial characterisation of putative promoter 871 sequences isolated from bacteriophage genomes. 872 • Supporting Figure 8: Schematic representation of Latin rectangle 96-873 well plate layout used in promoter characterisation. 874 • Supporting Figure 9: Schematic representation of a random forest 875 partition model, as applied to promoter sequences. 876 • Supporting Figure 10: Assessing the contribution of ANN model 877 parameters to determining predictive power using a PLS model. 878 o Supporting Figure 11: Model performance statistics for ANNs 879 modelling GFP fluorescence as a function of complete 104 bp 880 promoters. 881 Supporting Tables: 882 Supporting Table 1: List of Transcription Factor Binding Sites (TFBS) 883 used in promoter identification. 884 • Supporting Table 2: DNA sequences of cloning affixes used in type IIs 885 restriction cloning. 886 o Supporting Table 3: Analysis of the native genes with which the 887 characterised promoters were originally associated. 888 • Supporting Table 4: Artificial Neural Network parameters included in 889 the architecture optimisation screening design, and the values 890 specified for each parameter. 891 • Supporting Table 5: DNA sequences of the characterised promoters. 892 893 **Author Information** 894 895 Current Address: The BioEconomy Centre, Biosciences, College of Life and 896 Environmental Sciences, Stocker Road, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QD, U.K. 897 898 **Author Contributions** 899 900 J.G., T.P.H., D.A.P. and J.L. designed the study. R.K.T. and T.L. assisted with 901 Bioinformatic analyses. J.G. and C.S. performed the characterisation experiments. 902 J.G. and R.K.T. performed flow cytometry experiments. J.G. analysed the data and 903 performed the sequence-function modelling. J.G. and J.L. wrote the manuscript. All 904 authors commented on and revised the manuscript.

906 Acknowledgements

907

908 This work was supported by a grant from Shell International Exploration and
909 Production. The authors acknowledge the Exeter Sequencing Service for their
910 assistance in sequencing the Illumina libraries.

911

912 Data Availability

913

914 The sequence data for the 4 *Geobacillus* spp. used in this study have been submitted

- to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive and are available under the accession number
- 916 PRJNA521450.

- 917 References
- 918

- 919 1. Canton, B., Labno, A., and Endy, D. (2008) Refinement and standardization of synthetic biological parts and devices. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 787–793.
- 921
 2. Segall-Shapiro, T. H., Sontag, E. D., and Voigt, C. A. (2018) Engineered promoters enable constant gene expression at any copy number in bacteria. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 1, 1399.
- 3. Salis, H. M., Mirsky, E. A., and Voigt, C. A. (2009) Automated design of synthetic ribosome binding sites to control protein expression. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 27, 946–950.
- 4. Nielsen, A. A. K., Der, B. S., Shin, J., Vaidyanathan, P., Paralanov, V., Strychalski, E. A., Ross, D., Densmore, D., and Voigt, C. A. (2016) Genetic circuit design automation. *Science 352*, aac7341.
 5. Boyle, P. M., and Silver, P. A. (2012) Parts plus pipes: Synthetic biology approaches to
 - 5. Boyle, P. M., and Silver, P. A. (2012) Parts plus pipes: Synthetic biology approaches to metabolic engineering. *Metab. Eng.* 14, 223–232.
- 6. Adams, B. L. (2016) The Next Generation of Synthetic Biology Chassis: Moving Synthetic
 Biology from the Laboratory to the Field. ACS Synth. Biol. 5, 1328–1330.
- 7. Johns, N. I., Gomes, A. L. C., Yim, S. S., Yang, A., Blazejewski, T., Smillie, C. S., Smith, M.
 B., Alm, E. J., Kosuri, S., and Wang, H. H. (2018) Metagenomic mining of regulatory elements enables programmable species-selective gene expression. *Nat. Methods* 15, 323–329.
- 8. Brown, S., Loh, J., Aves, S. J., and Howard, T. P. (2018) Alkane Biosynthesis in Bacteria.
 In *Biogenesis of Hydrocarbons* (Stams, A. J. M., and Sousa, D., Eds.), pp 1–20.
 Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- 939 9. Reeve, B., Martinez-Klimova, E., De Jonghe, J., Leak, D. J., and Ellis, T. (2016) The Geobacillus Plasmid Set: A Modular Toolkit for Thermophile Engineering. ACS Synth. Biol. 5, 1342–1347.
- 942
 943
 943
 944
 10. Yan, Q., and Fong, S. S. (2017) Challenges and Advances for Genetic Engineering of Non-model Bacteria and Uses in Consolidated Bioprocessing. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 2060. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.02060
- 945
 946
 946
 947
 11. Cripps, R. E., Eley, K., Leak, D. J., Rudd, B., Taylor, M., Todd, M., Boakes, S., Martin, S., and Atkinson, T. (2009) Metabolic engineering of *Geobacillus thermoglucosidasius* for high yield ethanol production. *Metab. Eng.* 11, 398–408.
- 948
 949
 949
 949
 949
 949
 950
 12. Jiang, Y., Xin, F., Lu, J., Dong, W., Zhang, W., Zhang, M., Wu, H., Ma, J., and Jiang, M.
 (2017) State of the art review of biofuels production from lignocellulose by thermophilic bacteria. *Bioresource Technol.* 245, 1498–1506.
- 951 13. Olson, D. G., McBride, J. E., Shaw, A. J., and Lynd, L. R. (2012) Recent progress in consolidated bioprocessing. *Curr. Opin. Biotech.* 23, 396–405.
- 953 14. Gilman, J., and Love, J. (2016) Synthetic promoter design for new microbial chassis.
 954 *Biochem. Soc. T. 44*, 731–737.
- 955 15. Blazeck, J., and Alper, H. S. (2013) Promoter engineering: Recent advances in controlling
 956 transcription at the most fundamental level. *Biotechnol. J. 8*, 46–58.
- 957 16. Brockman, I. M., and Prather, K. L. J. (2015) Dynamic metabolic engineering: New strategies for developing responsive cell factories. *Biotechnol. J. 10*, 1360–1369.
- 959 17. Goldbeck, C. P., Jensen, H. M., TerAvest, M. A., Beedle, N., Appling, Y., Hepler, M.,
 960 Cambray, G., Mutalik, V., Angenent, L. T., and Ajo-Franklin, C. M. (2012) Tuning
 961 Promoter Strengths for Improved Synthesis and Function of Electron Conduits in
 962 Escherichia coli. ACS Synth. Biol. 2, 150–159.
- 963 18. Mutalik, V. K., Guimaraes, J. C., Cambray, G., Lam, C., Christoffersen, M. J., Mai, Q. A.,
 964 Tran, A. B., Paull, M., Keasling, J. D., Arkin, A. P., and Endy, D. (2013) Precise and
 965 reliable gene expression via standard transcription and translation initiation elements.
 966 Nat. Methods 10, 354–360.
- 967 19. Alper, H., Fischer, C., Nevoigt, E., and Stephanopoulos, G. (2005) Tuning genetic control through promoter engineering. *PNAS 102*, 12678–12683.
- 20. Jensen, P. R., and Hammer, K. (1998) The Sequence of Spacers between the Consensus
 Sequences Modulates the Strength of Prokaryotic Promoters. *Appl. Environ. Microb.*64, 82–87.
- 972 21. Mordaka, P. M., and Heap, J. T. (2018) Stringency of Synthetic Promoter Sequences in Clostridium Revealed and Circumvented by Tuning Promoter Library Mutation Rates.
 974 ACS Synth. Biol. 7, 672–681.
- 975 22. Zhang, S., Liu, D., Mao, Z., Mao, Y., Ma, H., Chen, T., Zhao, X., and Wang, Z. (2018)

- 976 Model-based reconstruction of synthetic promoter library in *Corynebacterium* 977 *glutamicum*. *Biotechnol Lett.* 40, 819–827.
- 978 23. McWhinnie, R. L., and Nano, F. E. (2013) Synthetic Promoters Functional in *Francisella* novicida and *Escherichia coli*. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 80, 226–234.
- 24. DeLorenzo, D. M., Rottinghaus, A. G., Henson, W. R., and Moon, T. S. (2018) Molecular
 Toolkit for Gene Expression Control and Genome Modification in *Rhodococcus opacus*PD630. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 7, 727–738.
- 983 25. Blazeck, J., Garg, R., Reed, B., and Alper, H. S. (2012) Controlling Promoter Strength and
 984 Regulation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Using Synthetic Hybrid Promoters.
 985 *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 109, 2884–2895.
- 26. Cambray, G., Guimaraes, J. C., and Arkin, A. P. (2018) Evaluation of 244,000 synthetic sequences reveals design principles to optimize translation in *Escherichia coli*. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 36, 1005–1015.
- 27. Kosuri, S., and Church, G. M. (2014) Large-scale de novo DNA synthesis: technologies and applications. *Nat. Methods* 11, 499–507.
 28. Bartosiak-Jentys, J., Hussein, A. H., Lewis, C. J., and Leak, D. J. (2013) Modular system
 - Bartosiak-Jentys, J., Hussein, A. H., Lewis, C. J., and Leak, D. J. (2013) Modular system for assessment of glycosyl hydrolase secretion in *Geobacillus thermoglucosidasius*. *Microbiology* 159, 1267–1275.

993

1015

- 994
 995
 995
 996
 996
 997
 29. Bezuidt, O. K., Pierneef, R., Gomri, A. M., Adesioye, F., Makhalanyane, T. P., Kharroub, K., and Cowan, D. A. (2015) Genomic analysis of six new *Geobacillus* strains reveals highly conserved carbohydrate degradation architectures and strategies. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 430 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00430.
- 99830. Zhou, J., Wu, K., and Rao, C. (2016) Evolutionary Engineering of Geobacillus999thermoglucosidasius for Improved Ethanol Production. Biotechnol. Bioeng.113, 2156–10002167.
- 1001 31. Kananavičiūtė, R., and Čitavičius, D. (2015) Genetic engineering of *Geobacillus* spp. *J.* 1002 *Microbiol. Meth.* 111, 31–39.
- 1003 32. Studholme, D. J. (2015) Some (bacilli) like it hot: genomics of *Geobacillus* species.
 1004 *Microb. Biotechnol.* 8, 40–48.
- 33. Blanchard, K., Robic, S., and Matsumura, I. (2014) Transformable facultative thermophile
 Geobacillus stearothermophilus NUB3621 as a host strain for metabolic engineering.
 Appl. Microbiol. Biot. 98, 6715–6723.
- 34. Suzuki, H., Murakami, A., and Yoshida, K. I. (2012) Counterselection System for *Geobacillus kaustophilus* HTA426 through Disruption of pyrF and pyrR. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 78, 7376–7383.
- 1011 35. Bartosiak-Jentys, J., Eley, K., and Leak, D. J. (2012) Application of pheB as a Reporter
 1012 Gene for *Geobacillus* spp., Enabling Qualitative Colony Screening and Quantitative
 1013 Analysis of Promoter Strength. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 78, 5945–5947.
 1014 36. Lin, P. P., Rabe, K. S., Takasumi, J. L., Kadisch, M., Arnold, F. H., and Liao, J. C. (2014)
 - Lin, P. P., Rabe, K. S., Takasumi, J. L., Kadisch, M., Arnold, F. H., and Liao, J. C. (2014) Isobutanol production at elevated temperatures in thermophilic *Geobacillus* thermoglucosidasius. Metab. Eng. 24, 1–8.
- 1017 37. Pogrebnyakov, I., Jendresen, C. B., and Nielsen, A. T. (2017) Genetic toolbox for controlled expression of functional proteins in Geobacillus spp. *PLoS ONE 12*, e0171313.
- 1020 38. Jensen, T. Ø., Pogrebnyakov, I., Falkenberg, K. B., Redl, S., and Nielsen, A. T. (2017)
 1021 Application of the thermostable β-galactosidase, *BgaB* from *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* as a versatile reporter under anaerobic and aerobic conditions.
 1023 *AMB Express* 7, 169. doi:10.1186/s13568-017-0469-z.
 1024 39. Contreras-Moreira, B., and Vinuesa, P. (2013) GET HOMOLOGUES, a Versatile
- 1024 39. Contreras-Moreira, B., and Vinuesa, P. (2013) GET_HOMOLOGUES, a Versatile
 1025 Software Package for Scalable and Robust Microbial Pangenome Analysis. *Appl.* 1026 Environ. Microb. 79, 7696–7701.
- 40. Mendoza-Vargas, A., Olvera, L., Olvera, M., Grande, R., Vega-Alvarado, L., Taboada, B., Jimenez-Jacinto, V., Salgado, H., Juárez, K., Contreras-Moreira, B., Huerta, A. M., Collado-Vides, J., and Morett, E. (2009) Genome-Wide Identification of Transcription Start Sites, Promoters and Transcription Factor Binding Sites in E. coli. *PLoS ONE 4*, e7526.
- 1032 41. Davis, J. H., Rubin, A. J., and Sauer, R. T. (2011) Design, construction and characterization of a set of insulated bacterial promoters. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 1131–1141.
- 1035 42. Solovyev, V., and Salamov, A. (2011) Automatic Annotation of Microbial Genomes and

Metagenomic Sequences. In *Metagenomics and its Applications in Agriculture, Biomedicine and Environmental Studies* (Li, R. W., Ed.), pp 61–78, Nova Science
 Publishers, New York.

- 43. Kosuri, S., Goodman, D., Cambray, G., Mutalik, V. K., Gao, Y., Arkin, A. P., Edny, D., and Church, G. M. (2013) Composability of regulatory sequences controlling transcription and translation in *Escherichia coli. PNAS 110*, 14024–14029.
- 44. Mutalik, V. K., Guimaraes, J. C., Cambray, G., Mai, Q. A., Christoffersen, M. J., Martin, L.,
 Yu, A., Lam, C., Rodriguez, C., Bennett, G., Keasling, J. D., Endy, D., and Arkin, A. P.
 (2013) Quantitative estimation of activity and quality for collections of functional genetic
 elements. *Nat. Methods* 10, 347–353.
- 45. Zong, Y., Zhang, H. M., Lyu, C., Ji, X., Hou, J., Guo, X., Ouyang, Q., and Lou, C. (2017)
 Insulated transcriptional elements enable precise design of genetic circuits. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 52. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00063-z.
 - 46. Shine, J., and Dalgarno, L. (1974) The 3'-Terminal Sequence of Escherichia coli 16S Ribosomal RNA: Complementarity to Nonsense Triplets and Ribosome Binding Sites. *PNAS 71*, 1342–1346.

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

- Lou, C., Stanton, B., Chen, Y.J., Munsky, B., and Voigt, C. A. (2012) Ribozyme-based insulator parts buffer synthetic circuits from genetic context. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 30, 1137– 1142.
- 48. Qi, L., Haurwitz, R. E., Shao, W., Doudna, J. A., and Arkin, A. P. (2012) RNA processing enables predictable programming of gene expression. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 30, 1002–1006.
- 49. Gasser, B., Steiger, M. G., and Mattanovich, D. (2015) Methanol regulated yeast promoters: production vehicles and toolbox for synthetic biology. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 14, 196. doi:10.1186/s12934-015-0387-1.
- 50. Baltagi, Y., and Kussener, F. (2014) Advantages of Bootstrap Forest for Yield Analysis. SAS Institute Inc, Cary.
- 1062 51. Ross, W., Gosink, K. K., Salomon, J., Igarashi, K., Zou, C., Ishihama, A., Severinov, K., and Gourse, R. L. (1993) A Third Recognition Element in Bacterial Promoters: DNA Binding by the α Subunit of RNA Polymerase. *Science* 262, 1407–1413.
- 1065 52. Estrem, S. T., Gaal, T., Ross, W., and Gourse, R. L. (1998) Identification of an UP element consensus sequence for bacterial promoters. *PNAS* 95, 9761–9766.
- 53. Bataineh, M., and Marler, T. (2017) Neural network for regression problems with reduced training sets. *Neural Networks* 95, 1–9.
 54. Wold, S., Sjöstrom, M., and Eriksson, L. (2001) PLS-regression: a basic tool of
 - 54. Wold, S., Sjöstrom, M., and Eriksson, L. (2001) PLS-regression: a basic tool of chemometrics. *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst. 58,* 109–130.
- 55. De Mey, M., Maertens, J., Lequeux, G. J., Soetaert, W. K., and Vandamme, E. J. (2007)
 Construction and model-based analysis of a promoter library for E. coli: an indispensable tool for metabolic engineering. *BMC Biotechnol 7*, 34.
- 56. Jonsson, J., Norberg, T., Carlsson, L., Gustafsson, C., and Wold, S. (1993) Quantitative
 sequence-activity models (QSAM)-tools for sequence design. *Nucleic Acids Res. 12*, 733–739.
- 57. Meng, H., Wang, J., Xiong, Z., Xu, F., Zhao, G., and Wang, Y. (2013) Quantitative Design of Regulatory Elements Based on High-Precision Strength Prediction Using Artificial Neural Network. *PLoS ONE 8*, e60288.
- 58. Li, J., and Zhang, Y. (2014) Relationship between promoter sequence and its strength in expression. Eur. Phys. J. E 37, 86.
- 59. Chen, B., and Yin, H. (2018) Learning category distance metric for data clustering.
 Neurocomputing 306, 160–170.
- 1084 60. Li, D., and Tian, Y. (2018) Survey and experimental study on metric learning methods.
 1085 *Neural Networks 105*, 447–462.
- 1086
 61. Simon, R., Priefer, U., and Pühler, A. (1983) A broad host range mobilization system for *in vivo* genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in gram negative bacteria. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 1, 784–791.
- 1089
 62. Zeigler, D. R. (2001) Media for growth of *Geobacillus* strains. In *The Genus Geobacillus Introduction and Strain Catalog*, 7th ed., pp 20. Bacillus Genetic Stock Center.
- 1091 63. Aronesty, E. (2013) Comparison of Sequencing Utility Programs. *The Open Bioinformatics Journal* 7, 1–8.
- 64. Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., Lesin, V.
 M., Nikolenko, S. I., Pham, S., Prjibelski, A. D., Pyshkin, A. V., Sirotkin, A. V., Vyahhi,
 N., Tesler, G., Alekseyev, M. A., and Pevzner, P. A. (2012) SPAdes: A New Genome

- 1096Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing. J. Comput. Biol.109719, 455–477.
- 1098 65. Seemann, T. (2014) Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics 30*, 2068–2069.
- 66. Rutherford, K., Parkhill, J., Crook, J., Horsnell, T., Rice, P., Rajandream, M. A., and Barrell, B. (2000) Artemis: sequence visualization and annotation. *Bioinformatics* 16, 944–945.
- 1103 67. Edgar, R. C. (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res. 32*, 1792–1797.
- 68. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S., and Arkin, A. P. (2009) FastTree: Computing Large Minimum Evolution Trees with Profiles instead of a Distance Matrix. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 26, 1641–1650.
- 1108
 69. Rambaut, A. (Ed.). FigTree. Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh. Available online: <u>http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/</u> (accessed Jan. 22, 2019).
- 1110 70. Haahr, M., and Haahr, S. (Eds.) (1998) RANDOM.ORG. Available online: 1111 <u>https://www.random.org/</u> (accessed Jan. 22, 2019).
- 1112 71. Quinlan, A. R., and Hall, I. M. (2010) BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26, 841–842.
- 1114 72. Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat.* 1115 *Methods* 9, 357–359.
- 1116
 73. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis,
 G., Durbin, R., 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. (2009) The
 Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics 25*, 2078–2079.
 74. Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., and Salzberg, S. L. (2013)
- 1119
 74. Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., and Salzberg, S. L. (2013)
 TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol. 14*, R36.
 1122
 75. Bin Liu, Zhou, F., Wu, S., Xu, Y., and Zhang, X. (2009) Genomic and proteomic
 - 75. Bin Liu, Zhou, F., Wu, S., Xu, Y., and Zhang, X. (2009) Genomic and proteomic characterization of a thermophilic *Geobacillus* bacteriophage GBSV1. *Res. Microbiol. 160*, 166–171.
 - 76. Shaner, N. C., Campbell, R. E., Steinbach, P. A., Giepmans, B. N. G., Palmer, A. E., and Tsien, R. Y. (2004) Improved monomeric red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from *Discosoma* sp. red fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 22, 1567–1572.
- 77. Feng, L., Wang, W., Cheng, J., Ren, Y., Zhao, G., Gao, C., Tang, Y., Liu, X., Han, W., Peng, X., Liu, R., and Weng, L. (2007) Genome and proteome of long-chain alkane degrading *Geobacillus thermodenitrificans* NG80-2 isolated from a deep-subsurface oil reservoir. *PNAS 104*, 5602–5607.
 - 78. Engler, C., Kandzia, R., and Marillonnet, S. (2008) A One Pot, One Step, Precision Cloning Method with High Throughput Capability. *PLoS ONE 3*, e3647.
- 1134
 79. Kirchmaier, S., Lust, K., and Wittbrodt, J. (2013) Golden GATEway Cloning A
 1135
 1136
 1137
 80. Hanahan, D. (1985) DNA Cloning: A Practical Approach (Glover, D. M., Ed.). IRL Press,
 - 80. Hanahan, D. (1985) DNA Cloning: A Practical Approach (Glover, D. M., Ed.). IRL Press, Oxford.
- 1139
 1140
 1140
 1141
 81. Liang, Y., Woodle, S. A., Shibeko, A. M., Lee, T. K., and Ovanesov, M. V. (2013) Correction of microplate location effects improves performance of the thrombin generation test. *Thromb. J. 11*, 12. doi:10.1186/1477-9560-11-12.
- 1142 82. Chavez, M., Ho, J., and Tan, C. (2016) Reproducibility of high-throughput plate-reader 1143 experiments in synthetic biology. *ACS Synth. Biol. 6*, 375–380.
- 1144 83. Ho, T. K. (1995) Random Decision Forests. In *Proceedings of the Third International* 1145 *Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition*, pp 278–282, Montreal.
- 1146

1124

1125

1126

1127

1132

1133