

Eliciting the views of disabled young people on friendship and belonging: Authentic voices for action research engaging schools in change towards social inclusion.

Submitted by Claire Hoskin to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Educational, Child and Community Psychology, May, 2010.

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

(Signature)

Abstract of Thesis

This small-scale study is positioned within a social constructionist interpretive paradigm using a mixed methodology employing principles of adapted grounded theory, simple scale-based questionnaires and action research. Fourteen disabled young people from one specialist and three secondary mainstream settings were interviewed using semi-structured interviews to gain their views on friendship and belonging in schools. Three groups of parents, TAs, SENCos and allied education professionals engaged in action research to examine these views, their own views and values and those of disabled young people in their families and schools in order to better understand the sensitivities and subtleties of successful social inclusion and to consider change to whole school practice. The limitations that non-disabled adults unwittingly 'construct' that act as barriers to friendship and social inclusion were explicitly recognised in this interpretive research as a contribution to informing practice and theory in this domain.

Paper One focuses on the views of young people and the meaning and importance they placed on friendship and social relationships in their lives. Findings included that young people in specialist and mainstream setting highly valued friendships but that sustaining friendships in the wider community was often problematic for those participants who attended schools outside their local community. This mainly affected the specialist setting students but was also recognised as an issue by some of the mainstream parents who lived outside the catchment area. Findings also

revealed that young people gained their sense of belonging from the positive relationships they formed with TAs and teachers as well as friends. In order for friendship and positive social inclusion to develop, however, participants required schools to provide a supportive environment of accessible rooms, doors and lifts and adults who trusted them to have the competency and agency to manage their social times and spaces with choice and autonomy. The issue of 'surplus visibility' was highlighted by disabled young people who spoke of an experience of school where lack of choice concerning *where* and *with whom* to spend break times limited their friendship opportunities and sense of well-being and inclusion. This was compounded by an expectation of compliance by adults and automatic assumption of their *belonging* to a *disabled* group despite differences of sex, gender or common interests. The participants valued genuine connection through humour, interests and social support and were active in seeking private time for talking with friends. Schools that provided a range of highly social or quieter, more private, locations for students were highly valued. Paper Two describes using these views as stimulus for action research to bring about change towards improved social inclusion. Groups of parents, senior management, SENCos, TAs and other education professionals met formally three times over a four month period. These groups examined vignettes selected from data from paper one, engaged in debate and discussions, interviewed disabled young people themselves, formulated key concept maps leading to revised theoretical frameworks, reflected and evaluated the process of the action research and considered

practice change or further research. This stage was a continued process of seeking to hear authentic voices, in depth discussion and reflection on what we were learning from disabled young people combined with our own knowledge, values, and beliefs. This led to the development of conceptual models and practical change intentions to promote social inclusion. Intentions to change included

- Developing alternative social rooms with minimal TA presence
- Including disabled young people in TA selection processes
- Involving parents in reviewing the school inclusion policy
- Establishing a regular parents' support group
- A commitment to keep listening to young people's voices and preferences on key matters rather than 'assuming that we already know!'
- Lengthening the lunch break in the specialist setting to ensure time for socialising and friendship building

These commitments to change demonstrated that schools were able to engage successfully in the action research process, valued parents' contributions further and were willing to change practice towards greater social inclusion of disabled young people and parents.

Contents	Page no.
Title page	1
Overview	2
Contents	7
List of tables and figures	9
Paper One	10
Abstract	11
Introduction	14
Selected literature and theoretical aspects	16
Research aims	23
Design and Methods	25
Measures	30
Procedures and ethical practices	31
Results	32
Discussion and conclusion	43
Bibliography : Paper One	55
Paper Two	72
Abstract	73
Introduction	75
Selected literature and theoretical aspects	77
Research aims	84
Design and Methods	86
Procedures and measures	90
Ethics	93
Results	94
Discussion and conclusion	111
Bibliography: Paper Two	126

Appendices for Paper One and Paper Two

Page no.

Appendix 1 Examples of transcript Jackie	144
Examples of vignettes taken from transcripts	
Appendix 2 'A room of our own' vignette	149
Appendix 3 'Social networking' vignette	150
Appendix 4 'Accessibility' vignette	151
Appendix 5 'Being included, participating' vignette	152
Appendix 6 'Wheelchair tennis and friendship' vignette	153
Details of data collection	
Appendix 7 Semi-structured interview question schedule and Additional questions for semi-structured interview	154
Appendix 8 Pupil scaled-based questionnaire	157
Appendix 9 Pro-forma for Evaluative comments from action research group	158
Details of data analysis	
Appendix 10 Example of grounded theory coded analysis from semi- structured interview with Chris: Paper One (Qualitative)	159
Appendix 11 Responses from, 'How important are friends to you?' question . Paper One (Qualitative)	160
Appendix 12 Graphs from pupil scaled-based questionnaire. Paper One (Quantitative)	161
Appendix 13 Discussion data from action research group. Paper Two (Qualitative)	167
Ethical considerations	
Appendix 14 University of Exeter ethical approval form	177
Appendix 15 Participants' consent forms	178
Appendix 16 Aims and Purposes' letters	181
Appendix 17 Literature review (pre-examined)	185

List of tables and figures	Page no.
Table 1. Disability models (Oliver, 1996)	20
Table 2. Participants	27
Table 3. An example of comparison of category coding of semi-structured interviews	33
Table 4. Example of category coding from Chris's data	159
Table 5. A Comparison between the myth of hero innovator and complex change lessons	79
Table 6. Integration or Inclusion Table: Old views, New views (Oliver, 1996)	83
Table 7. Drawn from conceptual maps of Five key points for developing social inclusion by action research groups	101
Figure 1. Graph showing participants' perceptions of frequency of seeing friends out of school.	42
Figure 2. Social inclusion model 1	52
Figure 3. Social inclusion model 2 (Social inclusion model 1 revised)	106 and 121
Figure 4. Conceptual social inclusion model	107
Figure 5. Six steps to social inclusion? Discuss	125