



High resilience wireless mesh
networking characteristics and safety
applications within underground mines

Gareth Allan Kennedy

PhD Thesis

High resilience wireless mesh networking characteristics and safety applications within underground mines

Submitted by

Gareth Allan Kennedy

To the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy

September 2006

This thesis is available for library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgment.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

..... (signature)

Abstract

The work presented in this thesis has investigated the feasibility, characteristics and potential applications of low power wireless networking technology, particularly aimed at improving underground mine safety. Following an initial review, wireless technology was identified as having many desirable attributes as a modern underground data transmission medium. Wireless systems are mobile, flexible, and easily scalable. Installation time can be reduced and there is scope for rapid deployment of wireless sensor networks following an emergency incident such as a mine explosion or roof rock fall. Low power mesh technology, relating to the Zigbee and IEEE 802.15.4 LR-WPAN (low-rate wireless personal area network) standards, has been of particular interest within this research project. The new breed of LR-WPAN technology is specifically designed for low power, low data rate wireless sensor applications. The mesh networking characteristics of the technology significantly increase network robustness and resilience. The self-healing, self-organising, multiple pathway redundancy, and highly scalable attributes of mesh networks are particularly advantageous for underground, or confined space, high-integrity safety and emergency applications. The study and potential use of this type of technology in an underground mine is a novel aspect of this thesis.

The initial feasibility and review examined the current and future trends of modern underground data transmission systems, with particular focus on mine safety. The findings following the review determined the ideal requirements of an underground data transmission in terms of robustness, integrity, interoperability, survivability and flexibility; with wireless mesh networking meeting many of these requirements.

This research has investigated underground wireless propagation characteristics at UHF and microwave frequencies in tunnels. This has involved examining electromagnetic (EM) waveguide theory, in particular the lossy dielectric tunnel waveguide model e.g. (Emslie *et al.*, 1975 and Delogne, 1982). Extensive tests have been carried out in three different underground locations (railway tunnel, hard rock mine, coal mine test facility) using continuous wave (CW), or 'pure' transmission at 2.3GHz and 5.8GHz, along with a range of throughput performance tests using various wireless technologies: IEEE 802.11b, 802.11g, SuperG, SuperG (plus BeamFlex antennas), 802.11pre-n, 802.11draft-n, and Bluetooth. The results of these practical tests have been compared with the lossy dielectric tunnel waveguide model showing good agreement that tunnels will in fact enhance the EM propagation through the waveguide effect. Building on previous research during the last 30 years into high frequency underground radio transmission, this work presents a novel investigation into the performance of modern underground wireless technologies operating in underground mines and tunnels.

The feasibility and performance of low power wireless mesh networking technology, relating to Zigbee/IEEE 802.15.4, operating in various underground and confined space environments has been investigated through a series of practical tests in different locations including: a hard rock test mine, a coal mine and a fire training centre (confined space built infrastructure). The results of these tests are presented discussing the significant benefits in employing 'mesh' topologies in mines and tunnels. Following this, key applications were identified for potential development. Distributed smart sensor network e.g. environmental monitoring, machine diagnostics or remote telemetry, applications were developed to a proof-of-concept stage. A remote 3D surveying telemetry application was also developed in conjunction with the 'RSV' (remote surveying vehicle) project at CSM. Vital signs monitoring of personnel has also been examined, with tests carried out in conjunction with the London Fire Service. 'Zonal location information' was another key application identified using underground mesh wireless networks to provide active tracking of personnel and vehicles as a lower cost alternative to RFID. Careful consideration has also been given to potential future work, ranging from 'mine friendly' antennas, to a 'hybrid Zigbee', such as, optimised routing algorithms, and improved physical RF performance, specifically for high-integrity underground safety and emergency applications. Both the tests carried out and key safety applications investigated have been a novel contribution of this thesis.

In summary, this thesis has contributed to furthering the knowledge within the field of subsurface electromagnetic wave propagation at UHF and microwave frequencies. Key characteristics and requirements of an underground critical safety data transmission system have been identified. Novel aspects of this work involved investigating the application of new wireless mesh technology for underground environments, and investigating the performance of modern wireless technologies in tunnels through practical tests and theoretical analysis. Finally, this thesis has proved that robust and survivable underground data transmission, along with associated mine safety applications, can feasibly be achieved using the low power wireless mesh networking technology. Robust underground wireless networking also has potential benefits for other industrial and public sectors including tunnelling, emergency services and transport.

Acknowledgements

First of all, I wish to thank both the EPSRC and Mines Rescue Service Ltd for funding this work, through an EPSRC CASE Award, without which the work would not have taken place. I would like to thank both project partners, the Camborne School of Mines (CSM) and Mines Rescue Service Ltd (MRSL), for their technical support and contribution to the work, in particular, my supervisors Pat Foster (CSM) and David Brenkley (MRSL) for all of their time, expertise, and contribution to this work. I would also like to thank MRSL colleagues, in particular Mike Bedford, David Gibson and John Ford for their very much valued input into the research. I am also very grateful to Dr Barrie Jones (MRSL Chief Operating Officer), for his own personal support to both this work and my studies. I would also like to thank CSM staff past and present, especially Steve Luke, Ian Faulks and Gus Williams for their continued support. I would like to also mention it was a pleasure to work with James Jobling-Purser (CSM) and Robin Blundy (University of West England) on collaborative application development aspects of this work. I would like to thank God for his provision and the privilege in studying his works through science. And last, but by no means least, I would like to thank my wife Holly, and my children Joshua, Anwen and Ceri, for putting up with me spending this amount of time in working towards my PhD.

Contents

<i>Abstract</i>	3
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	5
<i>Contents</i>	6
<i>List of Figures</i>	11
<i>List of Tables</i>	16
<i>Glossary</i>	17
Chapter 1: Introduction	20
1.1 Scenario	20
1.2 Research Objectives and Overview	20
1.3 Challenges	21
1.3.1 Underground and Confined Space Environments	21
1.3.2 Coal Mining	22
1.3.3 Data Transmission	24
1.4 Contributions of Thesis	25
1.5 Thesis Overview	26
Chapter 2: Advanced Underground Data Transmission Technologies	28
2.1 Underground Telecommunications Review	28
2.1.1 Overview	28
2.1.2 General Underground Communications	29
2.1.2.1 Wired Analogue	30
2.1.2.2 Radio Communications	30
2.1.3 Control and Monitoring Data Communications	32
2.1.3.1 MINOS and SCADA	32
2.1.3.2 'Open Standards' and 'Interoperability' in Mining	33
2.1.3.3 Underground Wireless Data Communication Technology	34
2.1.4 Underground Emergency and Rescue Communications	37
2.1.4.1 Rescue Operation Communications	37
2.1.4.2 Emergency Warning Communications	38
2.2 Emerging Data Transmission Technologies	41
2.2.1 High-Speed Fixed Wire Data Transmission	41
2.2.1.1 DSL Technologies	41
2.2.1.2 Optical Fibre	42
2.2.1.3 Power Line Telecommunication (PLT)	46
2.2.2 Wireless Data Transmission	48
2.2.2.1 Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) Standards	48
2.2.2.2 Bluetooth	50

2.2.2.3	Zigbee/IEEE 802.15.4.....	51
2.2.2.4	Discussion on RF Transmission	54
2.2.3	Hybrid Data Transmission System.....	56
2.3	Requirements of Underground Data Transmission	57
2.4	Summary.....	57
Chapter 3: Wireless Tunnel Propagation Characteristics.....		59
3.1	General Subsurface Radio Behaviour	60
3.2	Underground UHF and Microwave Propagation Characteristics.....	62
3.2.1	Electrical properties of rock	62
3.2.2	Standard Waveguide Model	64
3.2.3	Tunnel Propagation Model	72
3.2.3.1	Lossy Dielectric Waveguide	72
3.2.3.2	Additional Loss Characteristics	74
3.2.4	Previous Experimental Work	77
3.3	Wireless Propagation Tests.....	79
3.3.1	Overview.....	79
3.3.2	Equipment	79
3.3.3	Test Locations	82
3.3.3.1	Railway Tunnel	82
3.3.3.2	Hard Rock Test Mine	83
3.3.3.3	Coal Mine Test Facility	84
3.3.4	Test Procedure	85
3.3.4.1	Continuous Wave	85
3.3.4.2	Wireless Technologies.....	85
3.3.5	Railway Tunnel Results	86
3.3.5.1	2.3GHz CW Transmission	86
3.3.5.2	5.8GHz CW Transmission	87
3.3.5.3	Wireless Technologies Throughput Tests	88
3.3.6	Hard Rock Test Mine Results.....	89
3.3.6.1	2.3GHz CW Transmission	90
3.3.6.2	5.8GHz CW Transmission	96
3.3.6.3	Wireless Technologies Throughput Tests	101
3.3.7	Coal Mine Test Facility Results	102
3.3.7.1	2.3 GHz CW Transmission	102
3.3.7.2	Wireless Technologies Throughput Tests	105
3.3.8	Discussion	107
3.3.8.1	CW Transmission Observations	107
3.3.8.2	Wireless Technologies Observations	111
3.3.8.3	Comparison with Waveguide Theory.....	116
3.3.9	Wireless Propagation Tests Conclusions.....	126
3.4	Summary.....	127

Chapter 4: Mesh Networks in Underground Environments	128
4.1 Mesh Wireless Networking Technology	128
4.2 Potential Underground Applications	130
4.2.1 Local Telemetry of ‘Vital Signs’	130
4.2.2 Remote Machine and Sensor Telemetry.....	131
4.2.3 Zonal Location Information.....	132
4.3 Mesh Routing in LR-WPAN	133
4.3.1 Upper Layer Network Formation Policies and Algorithms	133
4.3.1.1 The Network Topology Decision.....	133
4.3.1.2 PAN Coordinator Selection.....	134
4.3.1.3 The Use of Beacons	135
4.3.1.4 The Star Network.....	135
4.3.1.5 Flat Mesh Network Topology.....	136
4.3.1.6 Cluster Network Topology	137
4.3.1.7 Cluster Tree Network Topology.....	138
4.4 Evaluating LR-WPAN in Underground Mining.....	140
4.4.1 Ember Evaluation Kit.....	140
4.4.1.1 Radio Module Characteristics.....	140
4.4.1.2 EmberNet Embedded Networking Software.....	142
4.4.1.3 Ember Evaluation Kit Testing Programme	143
4.5 Hard Rock Test Mine Trials	147
4.5.1 Network Performance.....	148
4.5.1.1 1020 (868 MHz) Network Performance Test Results.....	148
4.5.1.2 2420 (2.4 GHz) Network Performance Test Results – Network 1.....	151
4.5.1.3 2420 (2.4GHz) Network Performance Test Results – Network 2.....	153
4.5.1.4 2420 (2.4GHz) Network Performance Test Results – Network 3.....	154
4.5.2 RF Performance	156
4.5.2.1 Underground Range	156
4.5.2.2 Tunnel Proximity and Body Shielding.....	157
4.5.2.3 Presence of Intervening Machinery (Dump Truck).....	158
4.5.2.4 Orientation	160
4.5.3 CSM Test Mine Results Summary	161
4.5.3.1 Network Performance Tests	161
4.5.3.2 RF Performance Tests.....	162
4.6 Underground Coal Mine Trials.....	162
4.6.1 Network Performance Tests.....	164
4.6.2 RF Performance Tests	165
4.7 Additional tests using high-gain antennas	167
4.8 Conclusions of Underground Wireless Mesh Tests	169
4.9 Summary.....	170
Chapter 5: Mesh System for Rescue Personnel in Harsh Environments	171
5.1 Overview	171

5.2	Vital Signs Telemetry.....	171
5.3	Southwark Building Trials	173
5.3.1	Results.....	174
5.3.1.1	LFB Training Centre Network 1 – 1 st Floor.....	174
5.3.1.2	LFB Training Centre Network 2 – 1 st and 2 nd Floor	177
5.3.1.3	LFB Training Centre Network 3 – 1 st to 4 th Floor.....	178
5.3.2	Discussion on Packet Loss	182
5.3.3	Southward Trails Conclusions.....	182
5.4	Summary.....	184
Chapter 6: Underground Smart Sensors and Telemetry.....		185
6.1	Outline and Objectives.....	185
6.2	Underground wireless mesh smart sensors	185
6.2.1	Motivation	185
6.2.2	Hardware and Development Tools.....	186
6.2.2.1	Hardware	187
6.2.2.2	Software Development	190
6.2.3	Application (Software) Development.....	190
6.2.3.1	Embedded Software	190
6.2.3.2	Windows Interface	195
6.2.4	Further Work Consideration	196
6.3	Remote Telemetry (3D Surveying).....	197
6.3.1	Motivation	197
6.3.2	Hardware and Development Tools.....	198
6.3.3	Remote Telemetry Software.....	199
6.3.3.1	Embedded Software (Zigbee).....	199
6.3.3.2	TCR Telemetry Software (Windows).....	199
6.3.4	Testing/Evaluation.....	202
6.3.5	Further work consideration.....	203
6.4	Summary.....	203
Chapter 7: Zonal Location Information		204
7.1	RFID and Mining.....	204
7.2	Wireless Location Techniques.....	206
7.2.1	Zonal Location Tracking	207
7.2.2	Positional Location Tracking	208
7.3	Summary.....	209
Chapter 8: Enhancing Underground Wireless Networks.....		210
8.1	Routing Considerations	210
8.1.1	IEEE 802.15.4, Zigbee Standards and Proprietary Solutions.....	210
8.1.2	Further Discussion on Mesh Wireless Network Routing.....	212
8.1.3	Optimal Mesh Routing Characteristics Underground.....	214
8.2	Robust Underground Antenna Consideration.....	214

8.2.1	Overview.....	214
8.2.2	Antenna Technology	215
8.2.3	Compact and Microstrip Antenna Technology	220
8.2.3.1	The microstrip patch antenna	220
8.2.3.2	Advanced Microstrip Patch Antennas.....	227
8.2.3.3	Planar Inverted-F Antenna (PIFA)	230
8.2.3.4	Electromagnetic Bandgap	232
8.2.4	Underground ‘Base Station’ Antenna Consideration.....	233
8.2.5	Underground Antenna Review Summary.....	235
8.2.6	Planar Antenna Tests in Proximity to Metallic Equipment	236
8.3	Hybrid Mine Zigbee.....	239
8.4	True Wireless.....	239
8.5	Summary.....	240
Chapter 9: Conclusions		241
9.1	Introduction	241
9.2	Feasibility and Review	242
9.3	Mesh Networking	242
9.4	Wireless Tunnel Propagation.....	243
9.5	Novel Underground Safety Applications.....	245
9.6	Further Work.....	246
References		247
APPENDICES		252
A.1: Publications.....		252
A.2: Detailed Wireless Technology Results.....		253
A.3: UK Coal Mining Ltd		264
A.4: EmberNet Colliery Trial Preparation		267
A.5: Application Software Details		275

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Typical Coal Mine Overview	23
Figure 1.2: PhD Project Stages	25
Figure 2.1: ISO Open System Interconnection reference model	34
Figure 2.2: The m-Comm in Operation	37
Figure 2.3: The Heyphone	37
Figure 2.4: LAMPS Network Overview	38
Figure 2.5: The PED System	39
Figure 2.6: Optical Fibre: (a) cable structure, (b) transmission modes.....	43
Figure 2.7: Laser Safety in Underground Coal Mines (a) Methane ignition – power vs. diameter (b) Coal dust ignition – power vs. diameter.....	45
Figure 2.8: Broadband over power line system	47
Figure 2.9: Zigbee Protocol Stack.....	52
Figure 2.10: Spectral Plot of Spread Spectrum Techniques.....	55
Figure 2.11: Siemens SpeedStream (Powerline/Wireless/DSL/Cable Router)	56
Figure 3.1: Characteristics of Subsurface EM Propagation.....	60
Figure 3.2: Electrical parameters of select materials – The separate bars for each material represent frequencies of 1, 5, 25 and 100MHz from top to bottom respectively.	63
Figure 3.3: Propagation parameters of a medium with relative permittivity (ϵ_r) = 10.....	64
Figure 3.4: Ideal Rectangular Waveguide.....	65
Figure 3.5: TE mode field lines	67
Figure 3.6: Calculated vs. measured specific attenuation in a circular tunnel.....	71
Figure 3.7: Calculated vs. measured specific attenuation in a rectangular tunnel	71
Figure 3.8: Rectangular tunnel waveguide model	72
Figure 3.9: Dispersion in a waveguide structure with two modes.....	76
Figure 3.10: 2.3209GHz CW beacon.....	79
Figure 3.11: 2.4GHz Antennas	80
Figure 3.12: Willtek 9102 Hand-held Spectrum Analyser.....	80
Figure 3.13: 5.802GHz CW beacon.....	80
Figure 3.14: 5.8GHz Antenna	81
Figure 3.15: Avcom MFC-5060-17/65 5GHz – 6GHz Frequency Converter.....	81
Figure 3.16: Iperf Network Performance Measurement Software	81
Figure 3.17: Ashbourne Railway Tunnel.....	83
Figure 3.18: CSM Hard Rock Test Mine.....	84
Figure 3.19: Maschinenübungszentrum (MÜZ) Test Mine Facility	85
Figure 3.20: Wireless technology test procedure	86
Figure 3.21: Ashbourne 2.3GHz signal strength vs. distance (Centre)	86
Figure 3.22: Ashbourne 2.3GHz 5dBi Patch - signal strength vs. distance (Centre, Left, Right).....	87
Figure 3.23: Ashbourne 5.8GHz 11dBi omni - signal strength vs. distance (Centre, Left, Right).....	87
Figure 3.24: Ashbourne 2.3GHz (9dBi omni) and 5.8GHz (11dBi omni) Comparison	88

Figure 3.25: Ashbourne WiFi Test Summary.....	88
Figure 3.26: Test Locations.....	89
Figure 3.27: Tunnel A – Straight Tunnel Attenuation.....	90
Figure 3.28: Tunnel B – TX Antenna at Centre of Tunnel Cross Section.....	91
Figure 3.29: Tunnel B – TX Antenna at RHS of Tunnel Cross Section.....	91
Figure 3.30: Tunnel C – Straight Attenuation.....	92
Figure 3.31: Tunnel D – Straight Attenuation.....	92
Figure 3.32: Bend Attenuation (Tunnel D into Tunnel A).....	93
Figure 3.33: Detailed Tunnel A/B Intersection Tests.....	94
Figure 3.34: Dump Truck Antenna Screening Test Setup.....	95
Figure 3.35: Vehicle Screening Test using 5dBi Patch.....	95
Figure 3.36: Tunnel A - 5.8GHz vs. 2.3GHz (Omni Antennas).....	96
Figure 3.37: Tunnel B - 5.8GHz vs. 2.3GHz (Omni Antennas), TX Antenna at Centre of Tunnel Cross Section.....	97
Figure 3.38: Tunnel B - 5.8GHz vs. 2.3GHz (Omni Antennas), TX Antenna at RHS of Tunnel Cross Section.....	97
Figure 3.39: Tunnel C - 5.8GHz vs. 2.3GHz (Omni Antennas).....	98
Figure 3.40: Tunnel D - 5.8GHz vs. 2.3GHz (Omni Antennas).....	98
Figure 3.41: 5.8GHz vs. 2.3GHz Bend Attenuation (Tunnel D into Tunnel A).....	99
Figure 3.42: Detailed Tunnel A/B Intersection.....	99
Figure 3.43: Vehicle Screening Test using 11dBi Omni.....	100
Figure 3.44: CSM WiFi Test Summary (Straight Tunnel).....	101
Figure 3.45: CSM WiFi Test Summary (Bend).....	101
Figure 3.46: MÜZ Plan and Test Locations.....	102
Figure 3.47: Main Tunnel 2.3GHz CW Test.....	103
Figure 3.48: East Tunnel 2.3GHz CW Test.....	104
Figure 3.49: Semi-Circle Tunnel 2.3GHz CW Test.....	105
Figure 3.50: MÜZ Main Tunnel WiFi Summary Graph.....	106
Figure 3.51: MÜZ East Tunnel WiFi Summary Graph.....	106
Figure 3.52: MÜZ Semi Circle – Bluetooth vs. WiFi (802.11b).....	107
Figure 3.53: MÜZ ‘Main Tunnel’ – View from RX Equipment.....	108
Figure 3.54: Example showing two distinct attenuation rates (CSM Tunnel A – 9dBi Omni Antenna).....	109
Figure 3.55: 802.11b in an Open Office, Outdoors and along a Straight Tunnel.....	115
Figure 3.56: 802.11g in an Open Office, Outdoors and along a Straight Tunnel.....	115
Figure 3.57: SuperG in an Office, Open Outdoors and along a Straight Tunnel.....	115
Figure 3.58: Belkin pre-n Outdoors and along a Straight Tunnel.....	116
Figure 3.59: Ashbourne Waveguide Mode Attenuation.....	117
Figure 3.60: CSM ‘Tunnel A’ Waveguide Mode Attenuation.....	118
Figure 3.61: CSM ‘Tunnel B’ Waveguide Mode Attenuation.....	119
Figure 3.62: CSM ‘Tunnel C’ Waveguide Mode Attenuation.....	120
Figure 3.63: CSM ‘Tunnel D’ Waveguide Mode Attenuation.....	121

Figure 3.64: Calculated Tunnel Propagation Losses for Tunnel A	124
Figure 4.1: Wireless Star Topology (Point-to-multipoint)	129
Figure 4.2: Wireless Mesh Topology (Multipoint-Multipoint)	129
Figure 4.3: Division of functions between IEEE 802.15.4 and Zigbee	133
Figure 4.4: IEEE 802.15.4 network topologies	134
Figure 4.5: Cluster Tree-based addressing used in Zigbee 1.0 standard	139
Figure 4.6: Ember Evaluation Kit and Modules	140
Figure 4.7: EmberNet Stack	142
Figure 4.8: Plan of Tunnels at CSM Test Mine (50m Scale)	143
Figure 4.9: Ping Test Example	146
Figure 4.10: Trace Route Test Example	146
Figure 4.11 Network 'gateway' station set-up and Ember module	148
Figure 4.12: 1020 Network 1	149
Figure 4.13: 1020 Network 1 – Ping Test (0311 to 031d)	149
Figure 4.14: 1020 Network 1 – Trace Route (031d to 0321)	150
Figure 4.15: 2420 Network 1	151
Figure 4.16: 2420 Network 1 – Trace Route (075c to 0743)	152
Figure 4.17: 2420 Network 2	153
Figure 4.18: 2420 Network 2 – Trace Rout Test (06e7 to 0726)	154
Figure 4.19: 2420 Network 3	154
Figure 4.20: 2420 Network 3 – Trace Route 1 (06f7 to 070d)	155
Figure 4.21: 2420 Network 3 – Trace Route 2 (06f7 to 06ea)	156
Figure 4.22: Proximity to tunnel wall tests.	157
Figure 4.23: Dump Truck Proximity Test	159
Figure 4.24: Transmission tests around dump truck roadway obstruction	159
Figure 4.25: Belt Conveyor Drive – High Density Network Evaluation	164
Figure 4.26: Typical Belt Conveyor Drive	164
Figure 4.27. Belt Conveyor Drive – Ping Test	165
Figure 4.28. 'Daisy Chain' Test	166
Figure 4.29. Operational Range Test	166
Figure 4.30: USR5481 5dBi Omni Antenna Radiation Pattern	167
Figure 4.31: Mine-Wide Mesh Network – 2.4GHz, 5dBi Omni Antennas	168
Figure 4.32: Ping test – Between nodes 070d and 0721	168
Figure 4.33: Trace Route Test - Node 070d to 0721	169
Figure 5.1: Core body temperature rise observed in simulated underground evacuations in hot and humid conditions	172
Figure 5.2: Examples of Heart Rate and Skin Temperature Monitoring – Fire Service	172
Figure 5.3: (a) LFB Southwark Training Centre (b) Main door	173
Figure 5.4: (a) 2 nd Floor 'Bedroom' (b) 1 st Floor 'Garage'	173
Figure 5.5: Southwark Training Centre Network 1 [Floor 1]	175
Figure 5.6: Southwark Training Centre Network 1 – Trace Route Test (06f7 → 06e7)	175
Figure 5.7: Southwark Training Centre Network 1 – Through-Wall Ping Test	176

Figure 5.8: 071c node accelerometer data, mobile subject	177
Figure 5.9: Southwark Training Centre Network 2 [Floors 1 → 2]	179
Figure 5.10: Southwark Training Centre Network 2 – Ping Test (0757 → 072d)	180
Figure 5.11: Southwark Training Centre Network 3 [Floors 1 → 4]	181
Figure 6.1: Wireless Mesh Smart Sensor Application Overview	186
Figure 6.2: EM2420 Development Boards	187
Figure 6.3: EM2420 RCM	187
Figure 6.4: EM2420 Radio Transceiver	188
Figure 6.5: Atmel ATmega128L AVR Microcontroller	189
Figure 6.6: EmberNet Stack	190
Figure 6.7: Sink Software Flow Chart [<i>'sink.c'</i>]	193
Figure 6.8: Sensor Software Flow Chart [<i>'xxx-sensor.c'</i>]	194
Figure 6.9: Smart Sensor Data Acquisition – Windows Interface	195
Figure 6.10: Zigbee-PC Server	196
Figure 6.11: Zigbee-PC Client	196
Figure 6.12: Zigbee and RSV Application	197
Figure 6.13: ETRX1 Radio Module	198
Figure 6.14: Leica TCR 705 Total Station	198
Figure 6.15: Embedded TCR-Zigbee Software Flow Chart [<i>'theod-control-main.c'</i>]	200
Figure 6.16: Windows TCR-Zigbee Software Flow Chart [<i>'surlog-tcr.cpp'</i>]	201
Figure 6.17: Drawpoint Scan using RSV and Remote Telemetry	202
Figure 6.18: 3D Laser Scan of Drawpoint Comparison	203
Figure 7.1: Mine RFID System and Requirements	206
Figure 7.2: Zonal Location Information using EM2420 devices and 'beaconing'	207
Figure 8.1: EmberZNet Stack	211
Figure 8.2: Dipole Antenna and 2.4GHz Radiation Pattern	216
Figure 8.3: Monopole Antenna and 2.4 GHz Radiation Pattern	216
Figure 8.4: Helix Antenna and 2.4 GHz Radiation Pattern	217
Figure 8.5: Yagi-Uda Directional Antenna and Radiation Pattern	218
Figure 8.6: Bow-Tie Antenna and Radiation Pattern	218
Figure 8.7: Rectangular Microstrip Patch above Air Substrate	219
Figure 8.8: Microstrip Patch 3D Radiation Pattern	219
Figure 8.9: (a) Azimuth radiation pattern (b) Elevation radiation pattern	220
Figure 8.10: Performance trends of single layered antennas: (a) impedance bandwidth (b) directivity (c) surface wave efficiency	223
Figure 8.11: Examples of Conductor Shapes for Microstrip Patches	224
Figure 8.12: Edge-fed Microstrip Antenna	225
Figure 8.13: Probe-fed Microstrip Antenna	226
Figure 8.14: Proximity-coupled Microstrip Antenna	226
Figure 8.15: Aperture-coupled Microstrip Antenna	227
Figure 8.16: Single feed CP edge fed microstrip antenna	228
Figure 8.17: Shorted Microstrip Patch Antennas (a) Circular (b) Rectangular	229

Figure 8.18: Impedance bandwidth versus total thickness for single and stacked patch.....	229
Figure 8.19: Prototype of a Folded SPA at 2.4 GHz.....	230
Figure 8.20: PIFA Geometries (a) mounted on PCB (b) printed on PCB.....	231
Figure 8.21: Return loss for printed IFA above metal and wooden surfaces.....	231
Figure 8.22: EBG Surface (a) cross-section (b) top view.....	233
Figure 8.23: MinePoynt Tunnel Antenna.....	234
Figure 8.24: Dumper 5dBi Patch Antenna Proximity Test – Roof Canopy, TX Position versus RX signal (dBm).....	236
Figure 8.25: Dumper 5dBi Patch Antenna Proximity Test – Bucket, TX Position versus RX signal (dBm).....	237
Figure 8.26: Belt Conveyor 5dBi Antenna Proximity Test.....	238
Figure 8.27: Hybrid Mine Zigbee Consideration.....	239
Figure 8.28: Energy Scavenging Microgenerator.....	240

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Comparison of Zigbee and other wireless network technologies	53
Table 2.2: Overview of Wireless Technologies PHY	54
Table 2.3. Comparison of Spread Spectrum Technologies	56
Table 3.1: Radio and Microwave Spectrum	61
Table 3.2: Signal strength vs. tunnel horizontal position (at 30m distance)	94
Table 3.3: Signal strength vs. tunnel horizontal cross section (at 30m distance).....	100
Table 3.4: 2.3GHz and 5.8GHz Tunnel Attenuation Rates (dB/m).....	111
Table 3.5: Representative Summary of Performance Limitations for WiFi Tests	112
Table 3.6: Percentage Reduction in Data Throughput per 100m in the Straight Tunnels	113
Table 3.7: Total Attenuation Rates at 2.3GHz and 5.8GHz Calculated vs. Measured	123
Table 3.8: Calculated antenna coupling losses for each test location and antenna gains	125
Table 4.1: Strengths, weaknesses and applications of wireless network topologies	134
Table 4.2: EM1020 Radio Module Characteristics	141
Table 4.3: EM2420 Radio Module Characteristics	141
Table 4.4: Effect of Packet Size and Packet Delay on Packet Success Rate	147
Table 4.5: Neighbouring Nodes and Link Quality – 1020 Network 1	149
Table 4.6: Ping Test Results – 1020 Network 1.....	150
Table 4.7: Neighbouring Nodes and Link Quality – 2420 Network 1	151
Table 4.8: Ping Test Results – 2420 Network 1.....	152
Table 4.9: Ping Test Results – 2420 Network 2.....	153
Table 4.10. Ping Test Results – 2420 Network 3	155
Table 4.11: EM2420 Tunnel Wall Proximity Results.....	158
Table 4.12: EM1020 Tunnel Wall Proximity Results.....	158
Table 4.13: EM1020 (868 MHz) Dump Truck Proximity Results	159
Table 4.14: EM2420 (2.4GHz) Dump Truck Proximity Results	160
Table 4.15: Antenna and Module Unit Orientation Results (V-Vertical, H-Horizontal)	161
Table 5.1: EmberNet Radio Characteristics.....	174
Table 5.2: Through Floor Test.....	178
Table 7.1: RFID Technology Characteristics	204

Glossary

List of Abbreviations

ACARP	Australian Coal Association Research Program
ADC	Analogue to digital converter
ADSL	Asymmetric digital subscriber line
AFC	Armoured face conveyor
AP	Access point
ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
ATEX	<i>Atmosphériques Explosives</i> – European directive for hazardous environments
bps	bits per second
BPSK	Binary phase shift keying
CAP	Carrierless amplitude/phase modulation
CDMA	Code division multiple access
CDMA	Code division multiple access
CP	Circular polarisation
CSM	Camborne School of Mines
CSMA/CA	Carrier sense multiple access with collision avoidance
CW	Continuous wave
DAC	Digital to analogue converter
dB	Decibels
dBi	Decibels relative to an isotropic radiator
dBm	Decibels relative to mW power (0 dBm = 1 mW)
DMT	Discrete multi tone (see OFDM)
DSP	Digital signal processor
DSSS	Direct sequence spread spectrum
EBG	Electromagnetic bandgap structure – also called PBG (photonic bandgap)
ELF	Extremely low frequency
EM	Electromagnetic
EMC	Electromagnetic compatibility
ERP	Effective radiation power
FFT	Fast Fourier transform
FHSS	Frequency hopping spread spectrum
HF	High frequency
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
ISM	Industrial-Scientific-Medical – license exempt frequency band
IT	Information technology
LED	Light emitting diode
LF	Low frequency
LOS	Line-of-sight

LR-WPAN	Low rate wireless personal area network
MAC	Medium access control
MF	Medium frequency
MIMO	Multiple input multiple output
MINOS	Mine operating system
MRSL	Mines Rescue Service Ltd
MSHA	Mine Safety and Health Administration (US)
MÜZ	Maschinenübungszentrum – Test Facility in Germany, operated by DSK mining company
Node	Data transmission point within a network
OFDM	Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing
O-QPSK	Orthogonal quadrature phase shift keying
PC	Personal computer
PCB	Printed circuit board
PED	Personal emergency device
PHY	Physical layer
PIFA	Planar inverted-F antenna
PLC*	Programmable logic controller
PLT*	Power line telecommunications
QAM	Quadrature amplitude modulation
RF	Radio frequency
RFID	Radio frequency identification
RSV	Robotic surveying vehicle
RX	Receive
SAP	Simple asynchronous protocol
SCADA	Supervisory control and data acquisition
SNR	Signal-to-noise ratio
SPA	Shorted microstrip patch antenna
TCP/IP	Transmission control protocol / Internet protocol
TCR	Tachometer reflectorless (total station with reflectorless laser scanning)
TDMA	Time division multiple access
TE	Transverse electric
TEM	Transverse electromagnetic
TM	Transverse magnetic
TPS	Terrestrial positioning system (also called total station)
TTE	Through-the-earth propagation
TX	Transmit
UHF	Ultra high frequency
ULF	Ultra low frequency
VHF	Very high frequency

* PLC also refers to power line communication. For clarity, this will be referred to as PLT in the text.

UTP	Unshielded twisted pair
WLAN	Wireless local area network
WPAN	Wireless local area network
WSN	Wireless sensor network
xDSL	Family of digital subscriber line technologies
Zigbee	LR-WPAN Standard

List of Symbols

α	Specific attenuation
β	Phase constant
γ	Propagation constant
δ	Skin depth
ϵ	Electric permittivity or dielectric constant
ϵ_0	Electric permittivity of free space $8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F m}^{-1}$
ϵ_r	Relative permittivity
η	Intrinsic impedance
λ	Wavelength
μ	Magnetic permeability
μ_0	Magnetic permeability of free space $4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H m}^{-1}$
π	Constant, $\pi = 3.14159\dots$ (ratio of circle's circumference to its diameter)
σ	Electrical Conductivity
χ_{mn}	Represents the n th zero of the m th order of the Bessel function of the first kind
ω	Angular Frequency ($\omega = 2\pi f$)
a, b	Horizontal and vertical dimensions of rectangular waveguide structure
A_e	Effective area of antenna
C	Speed of light $\approx 3 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1}$
E, \mathbf{E}	Electric field (scalar, vector)
$E_{m,n}^{(h)}$	Electric components of the lossy waveguide in the horizontal direction
$E_{m,n}^{(v)}$	Electric components of the lossy waveguide in the vertical direction
f	Frequency
f_c	Cut-off frequency
f_t	Transitional, or characteristic, frequency
G	Antenna gain
H, \mathbf{H}	Magnetic field (scalar, vector)
k_0	Wave number for free space
m, n	Indices to represent the propagation mode order
P_{mn}	Propagation mode power
P_x	Antenna received power
R_s	Skin resistance of waveguide wall