

**RECOVERY OF LITHIUM FROM CHINA CLAY WASTE USING A
COMBINATION OF FROTH FLOTATION, MAGNETIC SEPARATION,
ROASTING AND LEACHING**

Edward Siame MSc, BMinSc

Submitted by Edward Siame to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Earth Resources, February 2011.

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of degree by this or any other University.

.....(Signature)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This PhD was made possible by the sponsorship from the Commonwealth Scholarship Commission of the United Kingdom and I am highly grateful.

I sincerely thank my supervisor Dr Richard D. Pascoe for his guidance throughout the research and the writing of this thesis. He was also instrumental in making sure that the material for research and other requisites were readily available.

Thanks to Goonvean Ltd, UK, for allowing us to sample the china clay waste for this research. I would also like to express my gratitude to Mathews Neighbour and Klaas Peter van der Wielen for their assistance with sample collection.

I am also indebted to the Camborne School of Mines technical staff: Ms Sharon Uren, Ms Fiona Thomas and Mr. Steve Pendray for their support during the laboratory and analytical work. Lastly but not the least my regards go to all Camborne School of Mines staff.

ABSTRACT

This study was aimed at recovering lithium from china clay waste using a combination of froth flotation, magnetic separation, roasting and leaching. The china clay waste produced by Goonvean Ltd contains about 0.84% Li_2O and 0.36% Rb_2O , present in some of the mica minerals. Among the mica minerals, zinnwaldite is the major source of lithium with smaller amounts being contributed by muscovite. The results of the flotation tests showed that the dodecylamine collector dosage had a greater effect on the recovery and grade of mica minerals to concentrate than pH over the range tested. It was found that a mica concentrate containing 1.45% Li_2O , 0.55% Rb_2O and 4.47% Fe_2O_3 could be produced at a recovery of 98.6%, 85.2% and 92.8% respectively. Mineralogical analysis of the flotation products showed that the concentrate consisted mainly of muscovite, zinnwaldite and kaolinite with minor amounts of K-feldspar and quartz. The tailing consisted of mainly quartz, K-feldspar and kaolinite with minor amounts of apatite, topaz, zinnwaldite and muscovite.

Further upgrading of the concentrate was found to be possible using a wet high intensity magnetic separator producing a magnetic fraction containing 2.07% Li_2O , 0.74% Rb_2O and 7.42% Fe_2O_3 with a recovery of 73%, 67% and 77% respectively. A mineralogical analysis of the separation products showed that the magnetic fraction consisted of predominantly zinnwaldite with muscovite as the main contaminant. The non-magnetic fraction consisted of muscovite and kaolinite as the main minerals while zinnwaldite, K-feldspar and quartz were subordinate. Electron-microprobe analysis on individual mica grains have shown that zinnwaldite and muscovite contain on average a calculated Li_2O content of 3.88% and 0.13% respectively.

Lithium extraction from the concentrate is only possible after the lithium has been converted into a water-soluble compound. Thus, in order to convert the lithium in concentrate into a water-soluble compound, the gypsum and limestone lithium extraction methods together with the new method of using sodium sulphate were investigated. The process involved roasting a predetermined amount of lithium-mica concentrate with either gypsum, limestone or sodium sulphate at various temperatures and subsequently leaching the pulverised materials in water at 85°C. A lithium extraction efficiency of about 84% was obtained using gypsum at 1050°C while rubidium extraction was very low at 14%. It was found possible to extract about 97% Li and 16% Rb if the concentrate was roasted with sodium sulphate at 850°C. Processing the concentrate with limestone resulted in very low lithium extraction. Iron co-extraction was low in all cases. The XRD analysis of the gypsum and sodium sulphate roast-products showed that the water soluble lithium species were KLiSO_4 and $\text{Li}_2\text{KNa}(\text{SO}_4)_2$ respectively.

Preliminary tests on the leach solution obtained by using sodium sulphate as an additive have shown that a Li_2O_3 product with a purity of > 90% could be produced by precipitation with sodium carbonate although more work is required to reach the industrial target of > 99%. The lithium carbonate obtained with Li_2CO_3 content of about 90% is still suitable for use in the glass and ceramic industries, and as feedstock for the production of high-purity lithium compounds. An economic evaluation of the proposed lithium carbonate production plant has indicated an annual rate of return on the investment before tax of 7.2%.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	12
2. USES OF LITHIUM	16
2.1. Glass.....	16
2.2. Ceramics.....	16
2.3. Batteries.....	17
2.4. Grease.....	17
2.5. Air Conditioning.....	18
2.6. Aluminium.....	18
2.7. Lithium Metal	18
2.8. Miscellaneous.....	18
3. GEOLOGY OF ST AUSTELL	20
3.1. Biotite Granite.....	20
3.2. The Lithium-Mica Granite.....	22
3.3. The Tourmaline Granite.....	22
3.4. Topaz Granite.....	23
4. CHINA CLAY FROM CORNWALL AND DEVON	25
4.1. Processing of China Clay.....	27
4.1.1. High Gradient Magnetic Separation.....	28
4.1.2. Flotation Separation.....	29
5. FROTH FLOTATION	30
5.1. Flotation Cell.....	35
5.2. Flotation Reagents.....	36
5.2.1. Collectors.....	36
5.2.2. Frothers.....	37
5.2.3. Modifiers, Activators and Depressants.....	37
5.3. Mica Separation Process.....	37
5.3.1. Flotation Separation.....	37
5.3.2. Magnetic Separation.....	41
6. LITHIUM	43
6.1. Commercial Lithium Minerals.....	43
6.1.1. Spodumene.....	43
6.1.2. Petalite.....	43
6.1.3. Lepidolite.....	43
6.1.4. Amblygonite.....	44
6.1.5. Eucryptite.....	44
6.1.6. Zinnwaldite.....	44
6.2. Properties of Lithium Minerals.....	45
6.3. Country Lithium Reserve and Resource.....	45
6.3.1. Europe.....	45
6.4. Extraction of Lithium from Lithium-Bearing Materials.....	47
6.4.1. Recovery from Brines.....	47
6.4.2. Sulphuric Acid Leaching Method.....	47
6.4.3. Gypsum and Limestone Method for Zinnwaldite.....	48
6.4.4. Bioleaching of Spodumene.....	49
6.4.5. Caustic Leaching Method.....	49
6.5. Production Cost Components.....	51
6.6. Global Lithium Carbonate Market and Production Capacity.....	51

6.6.1. Specification of Lithium Sources.....	54
6.7. Solubility of Selected Carbonates.....	54
7. EXPERIMENTAL	56
7.1. Materials.....	56
7.2. Particle Size Analysis.....	56
7.3. Chemical and Mineralogical Analysis.....	57
7.3.1. XRF and LOI Analysis of Feed Sample.....	57
7.3.2. XRD Mineralogical Identification.....	59
7.3.3. Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry.....	62
7.4. Flotation of Mica Minerals.....	63
7.4.1. Effect of Impeller Speed, Frother Dosage and Aeration Rate.....	64
7.4.2. Effect of Collector Dosage and pH.....	66
7.4.3. Effect of Stage-Wise Addition of Collector.....	67
7.5. Recovery of Lithium-Mica from Bulk Mica Concentrate.....	68
7.5.1. Induced Roll Magnetic Separation.....	69
7.5.2. Wet High-Intensity Magnetic Separation.....	71
7.5.3. Cleaner Flotation Separation.....	74
7.6. Lithium Extraction by Roasting and Leaching.....	74
7.6.1. Roasting Process.....	75
7.6.2. Leaching Process.....	76
7.6.3. Analysis and Equipment.....	76
7.7. Thermal Analysis of Leaching Materials.....	77
8. RESULTS	79
8.1. Effect of Impeller Speed, Frother Dosage and Aeration Rate.....	79
8.1.1. Flotation Results.....	79
8.1.2. Statistical Analysis of the Floatability Results.....	80
8.2. Effect of Collector Dosage and pH.....	80
8.2.1. Flotation Results.....	80
8.2.2. Statistical Analysis of the Floatability Results.....	82
8.3. Effect of Stage-Wise Addition of Collector.....	83
8.3.1. Flotation Results.....	83
8.4. Recovery of Lithium-Mica from Bulk Mica Concentrate.....	84
8.4.1. Induced Roll Magnetic Separation.....	84
8.4.2. Wet High Intensity Magnetic Separation.....	85
8.4.3. Cleaner Flotation Separation.....	91
8.5. Estimated Mineralogy of the Mica Flotation and Magnetic Separation.....	92
8.6. Lithium Extraction by Roasting and Leaching.....	100
8.6.1. The Gypsum Method.....	100
8.6.2. The Limestone Method.....	112
8.6.3. The Sodium Sulphate Method.....	115
8.6.4. Recovery of Lithium Carbonate from Leach Solution.....	125
8.7. Thermal Analysis of Leaching Materials.....	128
8.7.1. Thermogravimetric Analysis Results.....	128
8.7.2. Differential Thermal Analysis Results.....	134
8.7.3. Summary of TGA and DTA Results.....	141
9. ECONOMIC APPRAISAL FOR THE PRODUCTION OF LITHIUM	142
9.1. Process Flow Diagrams.....	142
9.2. Process Description.....	145
9.3. Cost Estimation.....	146

9.3.1. Capital Costs.....	146
9.3.2. Operating Costs.....	149
9.3.3. Revenue.....	151
9.4. Evaluating the Project Profitability	152
10. LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT – ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES.....	154
11. DISCUSSION.....	156
11.1. Flotation Process.....	156
11.2. Magnetic Separation Process.....	156
11.3. Lithium Extraction by Roasting and Leaching.....	157
11.3.1. The Gypsum Method.....	157
11.3.2. The Limestone Method.....	158
11.3.3. The Sodium Sulphate Method.....	158
11.3.4. Recovery of Lithium Carbonate from Leach Solution.....	159
11.4. Thermal Analysis of Leaching Materials.....	159
11.5. Economic Analysis of the Lithium Carbonate Production Process.....	159
12. CONCLUSIONS.....	160
13. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....	162
REFERENCES.....	163

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Particle size analysis of china clay waste data.....	170
Appendix B1 – Results of the effect of impeller speed, frother dosage and aeration rate flotation tests.....	173
Appendix B2 – Statistical analysis of the floatability results.....	181
Appendix C1 – Results of the effect of collector dosage and pH flotation tests.....	183
Appendix C2 – Statistical analysis of the floatability results.....	197
Appendix D – Results of the effect of stagewise addition of collector dosage flotation tests.....	202
Appendix E – Laboratory induced roll magnetic separation results.....	210
Appendix F1 – Wet high Intensity magnetic separation results.....	217
Appendix F2 – Results of electron-microprobe analysis.....	221
Appendix G – Cleaner flotation tests results.....	228
Appendix H1 - Gypsum leaching results.....	230
Appendix H2 – Limestone leaching results	233
Appendix H3 – Sodium sulphate leaching results.....	234
Appendix H4 – Leaching reagents specifications.....	236
Appendix I – Unit treatment capacity and cost determination.....	237

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 - Experimental and material analysis flow diagram	15
Figure 3.1 - Distribution of china clay pits, spoil heaps and mica lagoons in the St Austell area, Cornwall (from Manning et al., 1996).....	21
Figure 3.2 - Distribution of granite varieties in the western part of St Austell granite (from Manning et al., 1996).....	24
Figure 4.1 - Simplified flow diagram of china clay production	26
Figure 5.1 - Schematic representation of the flotation system	31
Figure 5.2 – Contact angle between bubble and particle in an aqueous medium.....	32
Figure 6.1 – Lithium production capacity in 1999 (Harben, 1999).....	52
Figure 6.2 – Lithium production, Li ₂ O equivalent (Harben, 2002).....	53
Figure 6.3 – Lithium carbonate demand by end use (Smith, 2010).....	53
Figure 6.4 – Solubility of selected carbonates.....	55
Figure 7.1 - Particle size distribution of the 2-inch Hydrocyclone underflow as obtained by Malvern mastersizer.....	57
Figure 7.2 - XRD pattern of the china clay waste.....	61
Figure 7.3 – MinnovEX flotation test cell schematic.....	64
Figure 7.4 - Laboratory flotation flow sheet for mica	65
Figure 7.5- Effect of collector dosage and pH on the flotation recovery of mica minerals flow-sheet.....	66
Figure 7.6- Stage-wise addition of collector laboratory flotation flow-sheet.....	67
Figure 7.7 - Schematic of laboratory induced roll magnetic separator.....	69
Figure 7.8 - Laboratory dry induced roll magnetic separation flow-sheet.....	71
Figure 7.9 - Schematic diagram of the laboratory wet high-intensity separator.....	72
Figure 7.10 - Schematic of three-stage separation on WHIMS	73
Figure 7.11 - Particle size distributions of raw and ground mica concentrate	75
Figure 8.1 - Graphical representation of the obtained results as a function of (a) Fe ₂ O ₃ recovery % and (b) Fe ₂ O ₃ grade % in concentrate.....	79
Figure 8.2 - Recovery profiles of Fe ₂ O ₃ as a function of collector dosage and pH.....	81
Figure 8.3 – Grade profiles of Fe ₂ O ₃ as a function of collector dosage and pH.....	81
Figure 8.4 - Size by assay lithium, rubidium and iron oxides content in concentrate.....	84
Figure 8.5 - Magnetic product weight recovery as a function of magnetic field strength and particle size.....	85
Figure 8.6 - Magnetic product recovery profiles as a function of magnetic field.....	86
Figure 8.7 – Magnetic product grade profiles as a function of magnetic field.....	86
Figure 8.8 - Size by size weight recovery in the magnetic as a function of magnetic field	87
Figure 8.9 - Size by size Li ₂ O recovery in the magnetic product as a function of magnetic field.....	88
Figure 8.10 - Size by size Rb ₂ O recovery in the magnetic product as a function of magnetic field.....	89
Figure 8.11 - Size by size Fe ₂ O ₃ recovery in the magnetic product as a function of magnetic field.....	89
Figure 8.12 – XRD profile of magnetic mica.....	90
Figure 8.13 – XRD profile of non-magnetic mica.....	91
Figure 8.14 – Estimated mineral balance of the mica flotation and magnetic separation products.....	94
Figure 8.15 – Composition diagram showing the overlap in iron content between muscovite and high-Li mica (from Hawkes et al. 1987).....	96
Figure 8.16 – Plots of microprobe data for Si ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃ versus Fe ₂ O ₃ (wt %)......	97
Figure 8.17 – Plots of microprobe data for Si ₂ O versus Li ₂ O (wt %)......	98
Figure 8.18 – Plots of microprobe data for F versus Li ₂ O (wt %)......	98
Figure 8.19 – Plots of microprobe data for Al ₂ O ₃ versus Li ₂ O (wt %)......	99

Figure 8.20 - Effect of roasting temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium, rubidium and iron (leaching at 85°C, l:s = 10:1 and natural pH of about 7).....	100
Figure 8.21 - Effect of roasting temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium, and rubidium (leaching at 85°C, l:s = 10:1).....	102
Figure 8.22 - XRD profile of magnetic mica	103
Figure 8.23 - XRD profile of mica-gypsum roasted at 800°C	104
Figure 8.24 - XRD profile of mica-gypsum roasted at 1050°C.....	105
Figure 8.25 - XRD profile of leach residue of mica-gypsum roasted at 1050°C.....	106
Figure 8.26 - Effect of leaching bath temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium and rubidium; roasting temperature 1050°C	107
Figure 8.27 - Leaching kinetics of lithium and rubidium at 85°C, l:s = 10:1, roasting temperature 1050°C.....	104
Figure 8.28- Effect of concentrate to gypsum ratio in sinter on steady-state lithium and rubidium extractions at 85°C, l:s = 10:1; roasting temperature 1050°C.....	108
Figure 8.29 - Effect of roasting temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium, and rubidium (leaching at 85°C, l:s = 10:1; sinter ratio 3:2:1).....	111
Figure 8.30 - Effect of roasting temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium, rubidium and iron (leaching at 85°C, l:s = 10:1, sinter ratio = 5:1 and natural pH of about 10).....	112
Figure 8.31 - Effect of roasting temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium, rubidium and iron (leaching at 85°C, l:s = 10:1, sinter ratio = 3:1 and natural pH of about 10).....	113
Figure 8.32 - Effect of roasting temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium, rubidium and iron (leaching at 85°C, l:s = 10:1, sinter ratio = 5:2 and natural pH of about 8-12).....	114
Figure 8.33 - Effect of roasting temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium, rubidium and iron (leaching at 85°C, l:s = 10:1).....	115
Figure 8.34 - XRD profile of mica-sodium sulphate roasted at 750°C	117
Figure 8.35 - XRD profile of mica-sodium sulphate roasted at 850°C.....	118
Figure 8.36 - XRD profile of mica-sodium sulphate roast leach residue (850°C).....	119
Figure 8.37 - Effect of leaching bath temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium and rubidium; roasting temperature 850°C	120
Figure 8.38 - Leaching kinetics of lithium and rubidium at 85°C, l:s = 10:1, roasting temperature 850°C.....	121
Figure 8.39 - Effect of concentrate to sodium sulphate ratio in roast on steady-state lithium and rubidium extractions at 85°C, l:s = 10:1; roasting temperature 850°C.....	121
Figure 8.40 - Effect of roasting temperature on steady-state extraction of lithium, rubidium and iron (leaching at 85°C, l:s = 10:1; sinter ratio 3:2:1).....	123
Figure 8.41 – Schematic diagram of the laboratory leaching procedure.....	126
Figure 8.42 – XRD analysis of lithium carbonate precipitate.....	127
Figure 8.43 - Thermogravimetric analysis curve of gypsum showing mass loss due to evolution of H ₂ O between 90 and 180°C (9.93 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate)	128
Figure 8.44 - Thermogravimetric analysis curve of magnetic-mica (8.9 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate)	129
Figure 8.45 - Thermogravimetric analysis curve of mica-gypsum mixture (7.9 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate).....	130
Figure 8.46 - Thermogravimetric analysis curve of limestone (9.1 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate).....	131
Figure 8.47 - Thermogravimetric analysis curve of mica-limestone (9.01 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate).....	131
Figure 8.48 - Thermogravimetric analysis curve of sodium sulphate (thenardite) [9.04 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate].....	132
Figure 8.49 - Thermogravimetric analysis curve of mica-sodium sulphate mixture (2:1)	

	[8.99 mg sample; 10°C/min heating rate].....	132
Figure 8.50 -	Thermogravimetric analysis curve for calcium hydroxide [9.54 mg sample; 10°C/min heating rate].....	133
Figure 8.51 -	Thermogravimetric analysis curve for mica-Na ₂ SO ₄ -Ca(OH) ₂ mixture (6:3:1) [9.26 mg sample; 10°C/min heating rate].....	133
Figure 8.52 -	Thermogravimetric analysis curve for mica-gypsum-Ca(OH) ₂ mixture (3:2:1) [9.56 mg sample; 10°C/min heating rate].....	134
Figure 8.53 -	DTA curve for gypsum (9.93 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate).....	135
Figure 8.54 -	DTA curve for magnetic-mica (8.9 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate).....	135
Figure 8.55 -	DTA curve for mica-gypsum mixture (7.9 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate).....	136
Figure 8.56 -	DTA curve for limestone (9.1 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate).....	137
Figure 8.57 -	DTA curve for mica-limestone mixture (9.01 mg sample, 10°C/min heating rate).....	137
Figure 8.58-	DTA curves of sodium sulphate (thenardite) [9.04 mg sample; 10°C/min heating rate].....	138
Figure 8.59 -	DTA curves for mica-sodium sulphate mixture (2:1) [8.99 mg sample; 10°C/min heating rate].....	139
Figure 8.60 -	DTA curves for calcium hydroxide [9.54 mg sample; 10°C/min heating rate].....	139
Figure 8.61 -	DTA curves for mica-Na ₂ SO ₄ -Ca(OH) ₂ mixture (6:3:1) [9.26 mg sample; 10°C/min heating rate].....	140
Figure 8.62 -	DTA curves for mica-gypsum-Ca(OH) ₂ mixture (3:2:1) [9.56 mg sample; 10°C/min heating rate].....	141
Figure 9.1 -	Proposed qualitative flow diagram for the production of lithium carbonate by the sodium sulphate method.....	143
Figure 9.2 -	Proposed quatitative flow diagram for the production of lithium carbonate by the sodium sulphate method.....	144

LIST OF TABLES

Table 6.1 – Properties of some lithium minerals	45
Table 6.2 – Estimated lithium reserves of various lithium deposits (Garret, 2004).....	46
Table 6.3 – Typical specification of lithium concentrate (Harben, 1999).....	54
Table 6.4 – Lithium carbonate chemical specifications (Harben, 1999).....	54
Table 7.1 – Chemical composition of the Goonvean china clay waste.....	59
Table 7.2 – Chemical composition of minerals.....	60
Table 7.3 – Estimated mineralogy of the Goonvean china clay waste.....	61
Table 7.4 – Variables and levels.....	65
Table 7.5 – Three-variable factorial experiment tested at two levels.....	65
Table 7.6 – Chemical composition of the mica flotation concentrate.....	68
Table 7.7 – Chemical analysis of the separation products at magnetic field of 1.95 Tesla.....	74
Table 8.1 – Analysis of variance of the results expressed in recovery of Fe ₂ O ₃ in the concentrate.....	82
Table 8.2 – Analysis of variance of the results expressed in grade of Fe ₂ O ₃ in the concentrate.....	82
Table 8.3 – Summarised results of the six-stage collector addition.....	83
Table 8.4 – Summarised results of the two-stage collector addition.....	84
Table 8.5 – Cleaner flotation concentrates.....	92
Table 8.6 – Cleaner flotation tails.....	92
Table 8.7 – Average analyses for magnetic fraction (microprobe data).....	93
Table 8.8 – Average analyses for non-magnetic fraction (microprobe data).....	93
Table 8.9 – Chemical analysis of mica feed and separation products.....	95
Table 8.10 – Chemical composition of mica-gypsum roasts.....	101
Table 8.11 – Average composition of leach liquors of 1050°C roasts leached at 85°C.....	109
Table 8.12 – Extraction efficiency of mica cleaner concentrate roasted at 1050°C.....	109
Table 8.13 – Extraction efficiency of non-magnetic-mica roasted at 1050°C	109
Table 8.14 – Extraction efficiency of un-pulverised magnetic mica/gypsum roasted at 1050°C.....	110
Table 8.15 – Summary of leaching results by the gypsum method.....	111
Table 8.16 – Chemical composition of mica-limestone roasts.....	113
Table 8.17 – Average composition of leach liquors of 850°C sodium sulphate roasts.	122
Table 8.18 – Extraction efficiency of mica cleaner concentrate roasted at 850°C.....	122
Table 8.19 – Extraction efficiency of non-magnetic mica concentrate roasted at 850°C.....	122
Table 8.20 – Cost of roasting reagents using data from Harben (2002).....	124
Table 8.21 – Summary of leaching results by the sodium sulphate method.....	125
Table 8.22 – Composition of the processed leach solution.....	126
Table 8.23 – Chemical composition of the Li ₂ CO ₃ precipitate.....	126
Table 9.1 - Densities of flotation and leaching materials (g/cm ³).....	143
Table 9.2 – Total Capital Investment for a 150 TPD Plant	148
Table 9.3 - Operating costs for the lithium carbonate production.....	151

Table of Notations

Abbreviation	Full
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction
XRF	X-Ray Fluorescence
AAS	Atomic Absorption Spectrometry
LOI	Loss on Ignition
WHIMS	Wet High Intensity Magnetic Separation
μm	microns
wt	weight
g	grams
g/t	gram/tonne
cm^3	Cubic centimetres
H_2SO_4	Sulphuric acid
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
F	Fluorine
Li	Lithium
wt%	weight percent
MIBC	Methyl Isobutyl Carbinol
S/L/G	Solid/Liquid/Gas
UK	United Kingdom
ppm	Parts per million
DDCL	dodecylamine chloride
w/v%	weight volume percent
Fe	Iron
TGA	Thermal Gravimetric Analysis
DTA	Differential Thermal Analysis
Rb	Rubidium
t	tonnes
USGS	United States Geological Survey
PZC	Point-of-Zero-Charge
SQM	Sociedad Quimica y Minera
$\gamma_{s/a}$	Surface energy between solid and air
$\gamma_{s/w}$	Surface energy between solid and water
$\gamma_{w/a}$	Surface energy between water and air
$W_{s/a}$	Work of adhesion between solid-air interface
θ	Contact angle