

Motor cooperation in bi-directional early endosome motility

Submitted by Martin Schuster

to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in

Biological Sciences

February 2011

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

Martin Schuster

Abstract

In mammalian cells and fungi, early endosomes form a dynamic compartment that undergoes bi-directional motility along microtubules. Previous work has shown that in the model system *Ustilago maydis* early endosome motility involves the opposing motor proteins dynein and kinesin-3. Here I performed a detailed analysis of the role of the motors in early endosome motility, using quantitative live cell imaging of kinesin-3, dynein and the endosomal GTPase Rab5a. In the first part of my work, I analysed the role of dynein at MT plus-ends, where the motor forms a strong accumulation that was thought to be involved in capturing early endosomes. I could demonstrate that ~55 dynein motors build up the dynein accumulation. In collaboration with Ms. Congping Lin and Prof. Peter Ashwin (Institute for Mathematics, Exeter), I found theoretical evidence that ~25 dynein motors concentrate and leave the plus-ends stochastically. In addition, dynein motors are captured by an interaction of dynactin and the plus-end binding protein EB1. Together both mechanisms increase the number of motors, which ensures that EEs will be loaded onto dynein before they reach the end of their track. In a second project, I provide evidence that loading of dynein is not restricted to the plus-ends. Instead, dynein leaves the plus-ends and is able to bind to kinesin-3 delivered early endosomes, which changes their transport direction from anterograde to retrograde. Kinesin-3 remains bound to these retrograde EEs. When dynein leaves the organelle, it switches back to anterograde motility. Interestingly, a single dynein wins over three to five kinesin-3 motors. I discuss these findings in the light of current motor cooperation concepts. In a third part, I demonstrated that kinesin-3 has an unexpected role in long-range retrograde endosome motility. In contrast, dynein is only responsible for the distal 10-20 μm . This is possible because most of the hyphal cells contain a symmetric and bi-polar MT array. This MT organization is reminiscent of that in dendrites. Kinesin-3-based retrograde motility is required to mix the organelles and might support long-range communication between both cell poles.

Table of contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Author's declaration

Acknowledgements

Abbreviations

1.	General Introduction	18
1.1	Bidirectional Transport	18
1.2	Molecular Basis of Organelle Transport along microtubules	19
1.2.1	The Microtubules	19
1.2.2	The molecular motors in long distance organelle transport	20
1.2.2.1	Kinesin-1	21
1.2.2.2	Kinesin-3	22
1.2.2.3	Dynein	23
1.3	Motor cooperation in bidirectional organelle transport	25
1.3.1	Model systems for bi-directional motility	25
1.3.2	Concepts of motor cooperation in bidirectional organelle transport	26
1.4	Early endosomes	27
1.5	The model fungus <i>Ustilago maydis</i>	28
1.6	The role of kinesin-1, kinesin-3 and dynein in early endosome motility in <i>U. maydis</i>	29
1.7	Conclusions and objectives	30
2.	The mechanism of organelle capture at the end of a microtubule	31
	Summary	31
	Introduction	32
	Results	33
	Endosomes are rapidly loaded onto apical dynein	33
	A large number of dyneins form the comet at MT plus-ends	33
	Two different populations of dynein are found in the apical comet	34

An interaction between dynactin and EB1 retains half of the dynein in the comet	34
Transport properties of antergrade and retrograde dynein motility	36
Mathematical modelling suggests that dynein accumulates stochastically	37
The high number in the dynein comet is required to keep EEs on the track	38
Discussion	39
Dynein is anchored at MT plus-ends by an interaction of dynactin and EB1	38
A mathematical model suggests that a stochastic mechanism accounts for about one-half of the dynein comet	40
The comet serves as a 'buffer stop' for arriving endosomes	41
Conclusions	41
Materials and methods	41
Strains and plasmids	41
Growth conditions	41
Freeze-fracture electron microscopy and Laser-based epifluorescence microscopy	41
Quantitative analysis of fluorescent intensities	42
Protein extraction and immunodetection	42
Activation of photoactivatable dynein and photoactivatable Rab5a	42
Fluorescent recovery after photobleaching experiments and photobleaching analysis	42
Determination of the dynein flux from bleaching-step analysis	42
Mathematical modelling	42
Non-linear regression and statistical analysis	42
References	42
Supplementary Methods	45
Strains	45
Plasmid construction	46
Laser-based epifluorescence-microscopy	48
Quantitative photo-bleaching analysis	49

Fluorescent recovery after photo-bleaching (FRAP)	49
experiments and photo-bleaching analysis	
Freeze-fracture transmission electron microscopy	50
Determination of the dynein flux from bleaching step analysis	50
Estimation of mean run-lengths/turning rates	51
Non-linear regression and statistical analysis	51
Mathematical modelling	52
Supplementary movie legends	57
References “Supplementary Information”	59
 3. Dynein controls bidirectional motility of early endosomes	 61
by transient binding to the organelle	
Summary	61
Introduction	62
Results	62
Early Endosomes Switch Between Long Phases of Anterograde and Retrograde Motility.	62
Kinesin-3 and Dynein Mediate Bidirectional Endosome Transport.	63
Single Dynein Opposes Several Kinesin-3 Motors.	63
In Vivo Observation of Cargo and Motors Supports an “On-the-Run” Loading of EEs.	65
Discussion	65
Methods	66
Strains, Plasmids, and Growth Conditions.	66
Microscopy and Image Analysis.	67
References	67
Supporting Information	68
Strains.	68
Plasmid Construction.	68
Microscopy and Image Analysis.	68
Activation of Photoactivatable Rab5a and Photoactivatable Dynein.	68
Visualization of Fluorescent Motor Proteins.	69
CCCP Influence on Signal Intensity.	69

	Quantitative Photobleaching.	69
	Quantitative Analysis of Fluorescent Intensities.	69
	Mathematical Modeling.	69
	References “Supplementary Information”	70
	Supplementary movie legends	73
4.	Kinesin-3 mediates long-distance anterograde and retrograde endosome motility	77
	Summary	77
	Abstract	79
	Introduction	80
	Results	82
	Hyphal cells contain an extended anti-polar array of MT bundles	82
	Motility of early endosomes across the bi-polar MT array is symmetric	84
	Dynein supports short range retrograde EE motility	85
	Kinesin-3 is required for long-range retrograde endosome transport	87
	Discussion	89
	Kinesin-3 and dynein participate in retrograde EE motility	89
	A bi-polar microtubule array allows extended motility of early endosomes	91
	<i>U. maydis</i> cells contain a non-uniform microtubule array similar to dendrites	92
	Conclusion	93
	Material and Methods	95
	Strains and plasmids	95
	Generation of a temperature-sensitive <i>kinesin-3</i> mutant	96
	Growth conditions	97
	Laser-based epi-fluorescent microscopy	98
	Analysis of microtubule bundling	98
	Visualization and analyse the run-length of fluorescent motor proteins and EEs	99
	Analysis of the co-localisation of EE with Dynein and Kinesin-3	100

References	102
Supplementary Movie legends	122
5. General conclusion	125
5.1 The Microtubules in the hyphal cell of <i>U. maydis</i> are symmetrically organized	125
5.2. Dynein prevents early endosomes from falling off the microtubule	125
5.3 A single dynein overcomes three to five kinesin-3 motors.	127
5.4 Motors cooperate to allow long-range early endosomes motility	128
5.5 Summary and outlook	129
References	132
Supplementary movies chapter 2	CD
Supplementary movies chapter 3	CD
Supplementary movies chapter 4	CD

List of Figures

2.1	Figure 1 Loading of photoactivated EEs onto dynein at the apical MT plus-ends.	33
2.2	Figure 2 EE-independent retrograde motility of dynein.	35
2.3	Figure 3 Nuclear pores as internal calibration standard for quantitative fluorescence intensity measurements.	36
2.4	Figure 4 Experimental evidence for two populations of dynein at MT plus-ends.	37
2.5	Figure 5 Motility behaviour and quantitative analysis of motor numbers in moving dynein signals.	38
2.6	Figure 6 A stochastic model for dynein dynamics at MT plus-ends.	39
2.7	Figure 7 Dynein numbers and EE escape rate at MT plus-ends.	40
2.8	Figure 8 Model of the formation and function of the apical dynein comet.	40
2.9	Supplementary Figure 1 Growth of wildtype, a conditional dynein mutant and strains expressing the fusion proteins GFP ₃ -Dyn2 and paGFP ₃ -Dyn2.	54
2.10	Supplementary Figure 2 Double labeling of GFP ₃ -Dyn2 and the EB1-homologue Peb1 fused to monomeric RFP.	55
2.11	Supplementary Figure 3 Immunoblot showing expression of GFP ₃ -Dyn2.	55
2.12	Supplementary Figure 4 A stochastic 2-lane model for dynein dynamics at MT plus-ends.	56
2.13	Supplementary Figure 5 Cytoplasmic background within the apex of a hypha expressing GFP ₃ -Dyn2.	56

2.14	Supplementary Figure 6 Image series from a 13-lane simulation	57
3.1	Figure 1. Motility of early endosomes in <i>U. maydis</i> .	63
3.2	Figure 2. Motility of molecular motors and their cargo.	64
3.3	Figure 3. Determination of motor numbers.	64
3.4	Figure 4. Anterograde-to-retrograde turning of photoactivated EEs and run length of photoactivated dynein.	65
3.5	Figure 5. Role of kinesin-3 and dynein in reversing the direction of endosome motility.	66
3.6	Figure 6. Model for the interaction of kinesin-3 and dynein during bidirectional EE motility.	66
3.7	Supplementary Figure 1 Morphological phenotype of wild-type, kinesin-3 null mutants, kinesin-3 null mutants rescued with a kinesin-3–GFP fusion protein, a temperature-sensitive dynein mutant and a cells that express a 3× GFP–dynein heavy chain fusion protein.	70
3.8	Supplementary Figure 2 Dynein and anterograde EEs.	71
3.9	Supplementary Figure 3 Immobilization of Kin3-GFP and GFP3-Dyn2 in living cells.	71
3.10	Supplementary Figure 4 Run length of paGFP3-Dyn2 signals activated in the hyphal apex.	71
3.11	Supplementary Figure 5 Modelling the “on-the-run” loading.	72
4.1	Figure 1 Microtubule organization in hyphal cells of <i>Ustilago maydis</i>	108
4.2	Figure 2 Motility of early endosomes labeled with	110

	photo-activatable paGFP-Rab5a.	
4.3	Figure 3 Dynein accumulations at MT ends near the tip and the septum.	112
4.4	Figure 4 Retrograde motility of EEs in conditional dynein mutants.	113
4.5	Figure 5 Co-localization of GFP ₃ -dynein and mCherry-Rab5a on retrograde EEs.	115
4.6	Figure 6 Run-length of EEs in temperature-sensitive kinesin-3 mutants.	116
4.7	Figure 7 Motility of EEs, dynein and the temperature-sensitive kinesin-3 ^{ts} protein in kinesin-3 mutants.	118
4.8	Figure 8 Model for motor cooperation in long-range retrograde EE motility.	119
4.9	Supplementary Figure S1 Bi-directional of EEs in temperature-sensitive dynein mutants at permissive temperature.	121
4.10	Supplementary Figure S2 Distribution of EEs in kinesin-3 ^{ts} mutants after 30 minutes at restrictive conditions.	121

List of Tables

2.1	Table I Strains and plasmids used in this study	34
3.1	Table S1. Parameters of early endosome motility	72
3.2	Table S2. Strains and plasmids used in this study	73
4.1	Table 1. Strains and plasmids used in this study	120