## Political economy of the Gulf sovereign wealth funds:

A case study of Iran, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia and United Arab Emirates

Sara Bazoobandi

PhD in Arab and Islamic Studies

University of Exeter April 2011

## Political economy of the Gulf sovereign wealth funds:

A case study of Iran, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia and United Arab Emirates

submitted by Sara Bazoobandi to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Arab and Islamic Studies April 2011

This thesis is available for library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that
no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.
I certify that all material on this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no
material has previously been submitted and approved a degree by this or any other university.
(Sara Bazoobandi)

## **Abstract**

Using as case studies three GCC commodity-based sovereign wealth funds – Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, and the UAE – and the SWFs of Iran and Norway for comparison, this study examines and analyses their history, governance and structure, and investment strategies, in the context of on-going debates about their transparency.

Most Gulf CSWFs, were established under colonial rule. Now owned by the region's Arab states they have operated in the global financial system since the 1960s. Since the 1970s and the enormous inflow of oil revenues, the funds have broadened their asset classes and their institutional development. Iran's SWF, one of the youngest funds in the Gulf, differs from its Arab neighbours in terms of structure and operation, and is less active internationally. Characterised by lack of transparency and corruption, Iran's sovereign wealth investments and management also lag behind those of its neighbours.

Debate over the transparency of SWFs has highlighted various global practices. Norway's SWF is reputedly the most transparent in the world; its CSWF provides an operational and structural comparison for the Gulf cases. Recently, organisational measures have been introduced for calculating possible risks from non-commercial investment incentives of SWFs, whose politically-driven investment strategies are viewed as potentially a major threat to the national security of their host countries.

An international working group of 25 countries that sponsor sovereign wealth funds, plus the IMF, has introduced a set of principles and practices for SWF operation, in order to minimise their possible risk of impacting negatively on global financial and political stability. Most western governments are also introducing regulatory codes to identify threats and protect their own strategic economic sectors from certain SWF investments. This study reviews certain incidents that triggered the transparency debate, and scrutinises the reaction of some of the Gulf CSWFs to these recent regulatory codes and\_strategies.

T	•4	· C	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	4 4
1	AST.	OT .	wan	tents

Abstract	3
Acknowledgement	4
List of Contents	5
List of Abbreviations	9
List of Tables	12
List of Figures	14
1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITION OF SWFS	16
1.1. Introduction	. 16
1.2. Definition	
1.3. Significance of the topic	. 19
1.4. Research question and methodology	
1.5. The structure of the thesis	
1.6. Differentiating SWFs from other types of government-owned assets	
1.6.1. Foreign exchange reserves	
1.6.2. Public pension funds	
1.6.3. Sovereign wealth funds (SWFs)	
1.7. Commodity-based sovereign wealth funds (CSWFs)	
2. THE GULF COMMODITY-BASED SWFS	
2.1. Introduction	
2.2. Size and growth of assets of commodity-based SWFs of the Gulf	
2.2.1. The impact of financial crisis on the GCC funds	
2.3. Investment strategy of the Gulf CSWFs	
2.3.1. The Gulf CSWFs" investments in high-profile assets	
2.3.2. Growth of the GCC funds in emerging markets post-crisis	
2.3.3. The Gulf CSWFs' investment risk appetite	
2.3.4. The Gulf CSWFs' passive investments	
2.3.5. Gulf Sovereign Wealth Funds" investment interest in the financial sector.	
2.3.6. De-dollarization of the Gulf CSWFs" portfolio of investments	
2.4. The role of the Gulf CSWFs in the global financial system	. 52
2.5. Conclusion	
3. KUWAIT'S SOVEREIGN WEALTH FUND MANAGEMENT	56
3.1. Introduction	. 56
3.2. History of Kuwait's SWF 1950s-1990s	
3.3. The impact of the Iraqi invasion on Kuwait's sovereign reserves	. 67
3.4. Governance and structure of the KIA	
3.5. The Torras Company Scandal: a case study in managerial weakness	. 72
3.6. Investment strategy of the KIA	81
3.7. Conclusion	86
4 SAUDI ARABIA'S SOVEREIGN WEALTH FUNDS	88
4.1. Introduction	. 88
4.2. The history of the establisment of SAMA	
4.3. The emergence of Saudi Arabia's sovereign assets	
4.4. Investment strategy of SAMA	. 99
4.4.1. Development of SAMA investment strategy in the 1970s	
4.4.2. SAMA investment pattern adjustment during 1980s and 1990s	

4.4.3. The impact of the financial crisis of 2008 on SAMA investment strategy.	106
4.4.4. SAMA investment strategy	107
4.5. Governance and structure	108
4.5.1. History of governance at SAMA	
4.5.2. Data collection and procedures at SAMA	114
4.6. New Saudi SWF	
4.7. Conclusion.	. 118
5. THE UNITED ARAB EMIRATES' SOVEREIGN WEALTH FUNDS.	120
<b>5.1 Introduction</b>	120
5.1.1. Abu Dhabi's sovereign wealth funds	
5.1.2. Origins of government income in Abu Dhabi	
5.2. Introduction to the Abu Dhabi Investment Authority (ADIA)	
5.2.1. The size of assets held by ADIA	
5.2.2. Governance of ADIA	
5.2.2.1. The BCCI Scancal and its impacts on ADIA	
5.2.2.1. The Beet Scancar and its impacts on ABIA	171
5.2.3. ADIA"s investment strategy	144
5.2.3.1. ADIA's risk framework	
5.3. Invest AD	
5.3.1. Investment strategy of Invest AD	
5.3.2. Governance of Invest AD	
5.4. An introduction to Mubadala Development Company	
5.4.1. Governance of Mubadala	
5.5. Emirate Investment Authority	
5.6. An introduction of Dubai's sovereign wealth investment institutions	
5.6.1. Investment Corporation of Dubai (ICD)	
5.6.2. Dubai World	
5.6.3. The financial crisis and Dubai''s government investment vehicles	
5.6.4. Conclusion	
6. IRAN'S EXPERIENCE OF SOVEREIGN WEALTH MANAGEMENT	
6.1. Introduction	
6.2. The financial relationship between the government and the NIOC	
6.3. Iran Foreign Exchange Saving Account	
6.3.1. Governance of IFESA	
6.3.2. Investment strategy of IFESA	
6.3.3. The size of assets of IFESA	
6.3.3.1. The scandal of Tehran Urban and Suburban Railway Company (TUSF	
6.3.4. National Development Fund (NDF)	
6.4. Conclusion	
7. THE GOVERNMENT PENSION FUND OF NORWAY	
7.1. Introduction	
7.2. The GPFG investment strategy	
7.2.1. New investment programmes	
7.3. The GPFG ethical guidelines	213
7.3.1. The ownership rights by the GPFG	
7.3.2. Exclusion of companies from GPFG portfolio on investments	
7.4. Saving regulation of the GPFG	
7.5. Governance and management of GPFG	217
7.5.1. The Executive Board of Norges Bank	

NBIM Leader Group	
7.6. The Government Pension Fund Norway	222
7.7. Transparency of Norwegian sovereign wealth fund	224
7.8. Conclusion	226
8. THE CHALLENGE OF PRACTICE FOR SWF	228
8.1. Introduction	
8.2. What does transparency mean for the Gulf CSWF?	230
8.3. How did the debate start?	235
8.3.1. The CNOOC scandal	
8.3.2. The controversy of Dubai Port World	
8.4. Foreign Investments in the US	
8.5. The OECD regulation for foreign investments	
8.6. The European Union regulation on foreign investments	240
8.7. How transparent are the Gulf CSWFs?	
8.7.1. Measuring transparency of Gulf CSWFs	243
8.7.1.1. Truman Scoreboard	243
8.7.1.2. Linaburg-Maduell Transparency Index	246
8.7.1.3. Abu Dhabi improvements for sovereign wealth funds transparency	
8.8. Generally accepted code of conduct for SWFs	
8.8.1. History of the GAPP	248
8.8.2. Existing IMF standards of governance and transparency	249
8.8.3. Santiago Principles	
8.8.4. The IWG Development after the creation of the GAPP	
8.9. Conclusion	
9. CONCLUSION	
Appendix	261
Bibliography	266