What influences student teachers' ability to promote dialogic talk in the primary classroom?'

Submitted by Anne Fisher to the University of Exeter
As a thesis for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy by Research in January 2011
This thesis is available for library use on the understanding that it is copyright material
and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement
I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and
that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree
by this or any other university.

Signature:

Acknowledgements

I would like to offer grateful thanks to my supervisor, Professor Debra Myhill, for her generous, and often intuitive, support during the process of writing this thesis. In understanding when to comment, and when to leave space for me to reflect, she has provided an exemplary role model which I aim to emulate in my own supervisory capacity.

Thanks are also due to Professor Kleine-Staarman for her helpful suggestions and comments about the reader's experience.

To the three cohorts of postgraduate students, and particularly the nine case-study student teachers, I would like to extend my heartfelt thanks. The opportunity to engage in depth with the hopes, thoughts, values and beliefs of these students, rather than simply comment on their attempts to attain the QTS standards, was a privilege. Without their openness, and trust, it would not have been possible to gain insight into the 'hidden' factors which influence practice.

Finally, thanks are due to my two sons, both teachers, who were always sure that their mother was capable of becoming a researcher.

Abstract

This thesis examines what it is that enables postgraduate student teachers to promote the recently introduced curriculum innovation, dialogic talk, in primary classrooms. Drawing on literature relating to the way talk has been enacted in English classrooms for the last thirty five years, it suggests that patterns of verbal interaction have continued to prove resistant to change, despite policy imperatives and university courses.

Adopting a collaborative action research approach, data were collected in three cycles over three years to investigate the perceptions of three successive cohorts of postgraduate students of the role of talk in learning, and the place of the teacher in developing it. Using a sociocultural lens, students' conceptual and pedagogic understanding of dialogic talk, and their ability to promote it, is examined in depth through nine case studies, as are the factors which the participants themselves identify as enabling or inhibiting engagement with innovation.

It is suggested that the lack of a commonly agreed definition, and of readily available theoretical guidance, has reduced dialogic talk to just another label. As such, it can play no significant part in developing practice beyond rapid question-and-answer routines of 'interactive teaching' and the potentially reductive IRF (Initiation, Response, Feedback) script recorded by researchers (Mroz et al, 2000; Myhill, 2006) before, and after the inception of the National Literacy Strategy (1998a).

Turning to the role of the university, it questions the place of the 'demonstration lesson' and whole cohort lectures, urging that significant changes need to be made to the role of the teaching practice tutor, and the nature of 'partnership' between schools and university departments. Finally, it speculates that without a significant change in the way university departments examine, and address, the values, attitudes and memories of talk that student teachers bring with them from their own primary classrooms, there will continue to be replication of practice.

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	i
Abstract	ii
Table of contents	iii
List of figures	x
Chapter 1 - Introduction	1
1.1 Speaking from the heart	1
1.2 The centrality of dialogue and the case for change	3
1.3 Assuming the mantle of expert	4
Chapter 2 - A Conceptual Framework	7
2.1 Systematic literature review	8
2.1.1 Clarification of terms	8
2.1.2 Search strategies	12
2.2 Introduction to students' experiences	13
2.2 Dialogue in the Classroom: Revisiting Barnes	14
2.3 Patterns of discourse in the Literacy Hour	16
2.3.1 Talk routines as a form of democracy	19
2.4 The relationship between dialogue and pedagogy	20
2.4.1 New Literacies	22
2.5 Exploring the social constructivist perspective	24
2.6 Dialogic talk	29
2.6.1 Dialogic Instruction	30
2.6.2 Dialogic enquiry	31
2.6.3 Dialogic teaching	32
2.6.4 Exploratory talk	34
2.6.5 The dialogic ideal and dialogic education	34
2.6.6 Dialogical pedagogy: developing affective conditions for learning	36

2.6.7 Bakhtin in the classroom: dialogic talk and conceptual learning	38
2.7 Developing a professional identity	40
2.7.1 The role of student teachers' beliefs in broadening their teaching repertoire	. 43
2.7.2 The role of the mentor in developing practice	46
2.7.3 The place of the university in developing thinking professionals	49
2.8 Epistemological development as a factor in valuing dialogue	51
2.9 The complexities of teaching literacy	53
2.10 Difficulties relating to the promotion of pedagogic dialogue	55
2.11 Conclusion	57
Chapter 3 – Methodology	62
3.1 Concepts explored by the research question	62
3.1.1 An Interpretive approach to enquiry	63
3.1.2 Interpretive Constructivism: an epistemological stance	65
3.1.3 .Reflexivity	66
3.1.4. Collaborative research	67
3.2 Research Design	69
3.2.1 Working with multiple data sets	74
3.2.2 Case studies	74
3.2.3 Ethical and Political Considerations	76
3.3 Research Instruments	79
3.3.1 questionnaires	79
3.3.2 The open response questionnaire	81
3.3.3 End questionnaire	82
3.3.4 Reflective writing	83
3.3.5 Semi-structured interviews	84
3.3.6 Video Stimulated Reflective Dialogue (VSRD)	88
3.3.7 VSRD Cycle 1	92
3.3.8 Introduction to VSRD Cycle 2 and 3	93
3.3.9 Research instruments: live lesson observations	94
3.3.10 Co-construction of interviews	. 95

3.3.11 Alterations to the English course	96
3.4. Validity	97
3.4.1 Reliability	98
3.4.2 Generalisability	100
3.4.3 Limitations of the study	101
Chapter 4: Dialogic approaches in the classroom: Cycle 1 data analysis	104
4.1 Research objectives	104
4.2. Cycle 1: Case Study 1: Tom	107
4.2.1 VSRD	108
4.2.2 Tom's conceptual understanding of dialogic talk	108
4.2.3 Tom's understanding of the pedagogical purpose of dialogic	talk 109
4.2.4 Tom's critique of his promotion of dialogic talk	110
4.2.5 Tom's concerns about promoting dialogic talk	111
4.2.6 What Tom learned from the process of VSRD	112
4.3 Learning lessons from Cycle 1:	113
4.3.1 Technical difficulties	113
4.3.2 Emerging themes	114
Chapter 5: Dialogic talk in 3 classrooms: data analysis Cycle 2	117
5.1 Whole cohort open response questionnaire	117
5.2 The convenience sample	118
5.2.1 Pedagogical beliefs about talk	120
5.2.2 Beliefs about the role of the teacher	121
5.2.3 Attitude towards noise in lessons	122
5.2.4 Imparting & developing knowledge	122
5.2.5 Concerns about using talk	123
5.3 Critical reflection on the questionnaire data	123
5.4 Partner student researchers	124
5.5 Case study 2: Simon	125
5.5.1 Introduction to the use of video	127

5.5.2 e-mail contact	. 128
5.5.3 VSRD in the final placement	. 129
5.5.4 Simon's understanding of dialogic talk and its pedagogical purpose	. 129
5.5.5 Simon's critique of his promotion of dialogic talk and concerns about it	. 130
5.5.6 What Simon learned from the process of VSRD	. 132
5.5.7 Analysis of Simon's commentary	. 133
5.6 Case study 3: Susie	. 134
5.6.1 Intro to VSRD	. 135
5.6.2 e-mail contact	. 135
5.6.3 VSRD in the final placement: Susie's understanding of dialogic talk ar	nd its
pedagogical purpose	. 136
5.6.4 Susie's critique of her use of dialogic talk and concerns about promoting it	137
5.6.5 What Susie learned from the process of VSRD	. 138
5.6.6 Analysis of Susie's commentary	. 139
5.7 Case study 4: Bill	. 140
5.7.1 Intro to VSRD	. 141
5.7.2 e-mail contact	. 143
5.7.3 VSRD in the final placement: Bill's understanding of dialogic talk an	ıd its
pedagogical purpose	. 143
5.7.4 Bill's critique of his use of dialogic talk and concerns about promoting it	. 144
5.7.5 What Bill learned from the process of VSRD	. 146
5.7.6 Analysis of Bill's commentary	. 146
5.8 Group Exit Questionnaire	. 147
5.8.1 Talk histories	. 149
5.8.2 Pedagogical beliefs	. 149
5.8.3 The role of the teacher	. 149
5.8.4 Conceptualising Dialogic talk	. 150
5.8.5 Emerging themes	. 153
5.8.6 Reflection on Cycle 2	. 154
Chapter 6: Dialogic approaches in five classrooms: Cycle 3 data analysis	. 157
6.1 Talk Histories in the Literacy Biography	. 157

6.1.1 Opportunities for debate and collaborative discussion with peers	157
6.1.2 Ownership of discussion	158
6.1.3 Questioning	159
6.1.4 Opportunities to question and challenge peers and the teacher	160
6.1.5 Putting up one's hand	161
6.1.6 Analysis of the reflective writing	162
6.2 Selection of the case study partners	162
6.3. Case study 5: Francesca	164
6.3.1 First encounters with VSRD	165
6.3.2 Contextual information drawn from field-notes	165
6.3.3 e-mail reflection	166
6.3.4 VSRD Francesca's understanding of dialogic talk and its pedagog	jical purpose
	167
6.3.5 Francesca's critique of her promotion of dialogic talk and concerns	about it 168
6.3.6 Analysis of Francesca 's commentary	169
6.4. Case study 6: Dave	170
6.4.1 First encounters with VSRD	171
6.4.2 Contextual information drawn from field-notes	171
6.4.3 e-mail reflection	172
6.4.4 VSRD in the final placement: Dave's understanding of dialogic	talk and its
pedagogical purpose	173
6.4.5 Dave's critique of his promotion of dialogic talk and concerns abou	ıt it 173
6.4.6 Analysis of Dave's commentary	174
6.5 Case-study 7: Serena	175
6.5.1 First encounters with VSRD	176
6.5.2 Contextual information drawn from field-notes	
6.5.3 e-mail reflection	
6.5.4 VSRD in the final placement: Serena's understanding of dialogic	
pedagogical purpose	
6.5.5 Serena's critique of her promotion of dialogic talk and concerns ab	180 ac
n an Analysis ni Serena's commentary	1,811

6.6 Case study 8: Demelza	181
6.6.1 First encounters with VSRD	182
6.6.2 Contextual information drawn from field-notes	182
6.6.3 e-mail reflection	183
6.6.4 VSRD in the final placement: Demelza's understanding of dialogic	talk and its
pedagogical purpose	184
6.6.5 Demelza's critique of her promotion of dialogic talk and concerns a	bout it 184
6.6.6 Analysis of Demelza's commentary	185
6.7 Case study 9: Elizabeth	187
6.7.1 First encounters with VSRD	187
6.7.2 Contextual information drawn from field-notes	188
6.7.3 e-mail reflection	189
6.7.4 VSRD in the final placement: Elizabeth's understanding of dialogic	talk and its
pedagogical purpose	190
6.7.5 Elizabeth's critique of her promotion of dialogic talk and concerns a	bout it . 190
6.7.6 Analysis of Elizabeth's commentary	191
6.8 Reflection on Cycle 3	192
Chapter 7: Discussion	194
7.1 Introduction: Drawing the threads together	194
7.2 Scratching the surface and digging deeper: deep and surface under	rstanding of
dialogic talk	196
7.3 Constructing a teaching identity	199
7.3.1 Examining prior experience	200
7.3.2 Classroom realities	203
7.4 University versus school?	206
7.4.1 Creating a teaching identity: the dialogic practitioner	209
7.5 Talking to understanding	210
7.5.1 Defining dialogic talk: a sociocultural approach to whole class disco	ourse211
7.5.2 Achieving common understanding, or building new understandings	?214
7.5.3 "Backing up Vygotsky"	217

7.6 Talk as a democratic principle	217
7.6.1 Pace	219
7.7 Probing: using the answer as the fulcrum of the learning exchange	220
7.7.1 IRF a limiting ritual?	222
7.7.2 The place of subject knowledge in developing pupil talk	224
7.8 The way it always has been?	229
7.9 Considering the factors which impact on the promotion of dialogic talk	232
Chapter 8: Recommendations	235
8.1 Introduction	235
8.2 Further research	236
8.2.2 Considering epistemology	237
8.2.3 Developing the research culture through partnership	238
8.2.4 Examining 'utterances'	239
8.2.5 Sustaining innovation	240
8.3 Implications for practice	240
8.3.1 Practice in primary classrooms	241
8.3.2 Practice in university	242
8.4 Recommendations for Future policy	243
8.4.1 Talking the talk at university	243
8.4.2 Walking the walk in school	244
Chapter 9: Endnote	247
Appendix 1 Group questionnaire Cycle 1	251
Appendix 2 Entry Questionnaire Cycle 2	252
Appendix 3 Exit Questionnaire Cycle 2	254
Appendix 4: Talk Histories: Reflective Writing Cycle 3	255
Appendix 5: Characteristics of Concern	256
Appendix 6 Reflective Framework Dialogue	259
Ribliography	262

List of figures

Fig 1: Search terms	11
Fig 2:Literature Search	12
Fig 3: Final research design	73
Fig 4: Changes to the questionnaire design	79
Fig 5: Interview schedule	84
Fig 6: VSRD schedule	88
Fig. 7: Interview questions	92
Fig. 8: introduction to data analysis using video	93
Fig. 9: Lesson observation schedule	94
Fig. 10: Alterations to the English course	96
Fig. 11: Overview of Group C	118
Fig. 12: Categories and themes from the data	119
Fig. 13: Simon's reflective e-mail	128
Fig. 14: Susie's reflective e-mail	136
Fig. 15:Bill's reflective e-mail	143
Fig. 16: Categories and themes from the data	148
Fig. 17: definitions of dialogic talk	151
Fig. 18: Using dialogic talk	152
Fig. 19: deep and surface features of dialogic talk	197
Fig. 20: Pedagogical purpose of DT	198
Fig 21: Factors influencing student teachers' promotion of dialogic talk	234