



**NON-INVASIVE NEAR-FIELD
MICROWAVE DETECTION OF BREAST
CANCER**

Submitted by

Wael Mouin Saleh

*to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor
of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Engineering, March 2007.*

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

.....

ABSTRACT

The spread of breast cancer worldwide and the need for new technologies to improve breast cancer detection present a challenge to the standard medical screening methods. Tumour detection, in the early stages, is crucial if patients are to be treated effectively and with minimally invasive procedures. Thus, any technique that can improve on, or add to, existing breast tumour detection methods is welcome. In this thesis just such a technique based on near-field microwave imaging is investigated, both theoretically and experimentally. The electromagnetic waves interaction with dielectric structure is fundamental for any microwave application. Thus it is essential to understand the interaction of the microwaves radiated from the sensor (open-ended rectangular waveguide) with the breast structure under investigation.

A detailed mathematical model describing the interaction of microwaves emitted from an open rectangular wave-guide with an N-layer dielectric structure is developed, using the Fourier Transform Matching method. The model is capable of calculating the electric field properties anywhere within the N-layer structure, as well as the complex reflection coefficient existing at the waveguide aperture.

Computer simulations, based on the mathematical formulations derived using the Fourier Transform Matching method, of the near-field radiation patterns in a 3-layer approximation to the general N-layer model are presented. Such simulations are most useful in assessing the suitability of near-field microwave non-invasive testing and evaluation (NIT&E) technique for breast tumour detection. In addition, simulated 1-D and 2-D reflection phase and magnitude images are calculated and presented for the 3-layer structure with an inclusion to represent the presence of a tumour. Parameters controlling the detection sensitivity, specifically the frequency of operation, waveguide filling, and standoff distance dielectric filling, are investigated to obtain the optimal

parameters for the inspection system. The theoretical simulations show that a high sensitivity in both reflection coefficient magnitude and phase should be obtainable.

Experimental measurements of the reflection coefficient magnitude and phase when imaging a breast phantom that imitates real breast dielectric properties contrast are also presented. The phantom comprises a plexiglass container filled with soybean oil to represent normal breast tissue, with a small balloon filled with diacetin solution to represent the tumour. Both uncalibrated and calibrated measurements of reflection coefficient magnitude and phase were performed. The microwave source comprised an open-ended rectangular waveguide operating in the frequency range of approximately 8.2 to 12.4 GHz. Calibrated measurements were performed using a slotted waveguide system. An in-depth analysis between calibrated measurements and simulation results for a simple dielectric structure is illustrated to verify the simulation results. Then, calibrated measurements for breast phantom are obtained. Finally, a theoretical-versus-experimental qualitative assessment for the breast phantom verifies the mathematical model developed in the thesis. Thus, a near-field microwave non-invasive detection prototype is designed to experimentally detect tumour presence via measuring the sensor's aperture reflection coefficient.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost I would like to thank Allah (God) for all what I am and all what I have. Then, I would like to offer my sincere appreciation to those who supported me during this research endeavor. I would like to thank my parents for their understanding, patience and continuing support in every aspect of my life. Their good deeds started more than 28 years ago when I was an embryo (0- 8th week of gestational age before birth) then a fetus (after 8th week - just before birth) then a newborn (0-30 days) then a baby (1 month-1 year) then a toddler (1-4 years) then a middle childhood (4-8 years) then a late childhood (9-13 years) then a teenage (13-19 years) then a young adult (19-25 years) then an early adulthood (20-39 years). At every stage, they had their own way in expressing their mercy, kindness and sympathy. I cannot reward them with these few words but I wrote these words to partially honor them in such occasion where their role is not visible but valuable. My parents: “I will never ever hesitate to impress you and serve you with all the skills that Allah granted me till I die and leave this life!”.

Also, I am very grateful for the patient guidance, valuable advices and the endless support and encouragement given by my supervisor Professor David Wright, Director of Research, and my external supervisor Professor Nasser Qaddoumi, Director of the Microwave Imaging and Nondestructive Evaluation Laboratory (*minel*) at the American University of Sharjah (AUS), UAE. Thanks a lot for every minute they spent, for every paper they signed and read, for every meeting they attended, for every decision they made, for every effort they did and for all the constructive advices they gave.

I would also like to express my gratitude to His Highness Sheikh Dr. Sultan Bin Mohammed Al Qassimi, Supreme Council Member, Ruler of Sharjah and President of American University of Sharjah, and Dr. Hamid Dhiya Jafar, the Chairman and CEO of the Crescent Petroleum Group of Companies, the Executive Chairman of Dana Gas PJSC, the Chairman of GulfTainer Ltd. and member of the Board of Trustees and Chairman of the Finance Committee of the American University of Sharjah (AUS), for their sponsoring and funding this research.

I would like to thank in particular Engineer Mohammed Abou-Khousa for helping me in developing the codes for the mathematical derivations of chapter 2 and everyone at *minel* for their help during this work. Also, I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Moza Al-Shehhi, Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs at the American University of Sharjah, for her support, guidance and encouragement.

Finally, thanks to my two sons, Abd AlRahman (3 ½ years) and Abd AlRahim (1 ¾ years) for allowing me to conduct my research and not giving them enough time for their childhood.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	CHAPTER 1: Introduction.....	20
1.1	<i>Background.....</i>	20
1.2	<i>Motivation.....</i>	21
1.3	<i>The Biology of the Breast.....</i>	22
1.4	<i>Physiology and Pathology of the Breast.....</i>	24
1.5	<i>Risk Factors and Symptoms of Breast Cancer.....</i>	28
1.6	<i>Existing Breast Screening Techniques.....</i>	31
1.7	<i>Previous Research Findings.....</i>	38
1.8	<i>Potential Impact and Benefits.....</i>	43
1.9	<i>Basic Electromagnetic Wave Concepts.....</i>	45
1.9.1	<i>Plane Waves and Characteristic Impedance.....</i>	45
1.9.2	<i>Standing Waves and Standing Wave Ratio (SWR).....</i>	47
1.10	<i>Open-Ended Rectangular Waveguide.....</i>	48
1.11	<i>Near-Field Microwave Theoretical Images.....</i>	50
1.12	<i>Noise in Microwave Systems.....</i>	53
1.13	<i>Breast Tissues Dielectric Properties.....</i>	54
1.14	<i>Methodology.....</i>	59
2	CHAPTER 2: Theoretical Modelling of Open-Ended Rectangular Waveguide Probes Radiating into Multilayered Structures.....	61
2.1	<i>Field Properties in the Near-Field Region of Open-Ended Rectangular Waveguide Probes.....</i>	61
2.1.1	<i>Field Properties for a 3-Layer Dielectric Structure Terminated by Infinite Half-Space (IHS) in the Near-Field Region.....</i>	68

2.1.2	<i>Field Properties for a 3-Layer Dielectric Structure Terminated by a Conducting Sheet (CS) in the Near-Field Region.....</i>	70
2.1.3	<i>Field Properties for a 3-Layer Dielectric Structure Terminated by Infinite Half-Space (IHS) with an Inclusion (Tumour) in the Near-Field Region.....</i>	72
2.1.4	<i>Field Properties for a 3-Layer Dielectric Structure Terminated by a Conducting Sheet (CS) with an Inclusion (Tumour) in the Near-Field Region.....</i>	74
2.1.5	<i>Field Properties for General N-Layer Dielectric Structure Terminated by Infinite Half-Space (IHS) in the Near-Field Region.....</i>	77
2.1.6	<i>Field Properties for General N-Layer Dielectric Structure Terminated by a Conducting Sheet (CS) in the Near-Field Region.....</i>	78
2.1.7	<i>Field Properties for General N-Layer Dielectric Structure Terminated by Infinite Half-Space (IHS) with an Inclusion (Tumour) in the Near-Field Region.....</i>	79
2.1.8	<i>Field Properties for General N-Layer Dielectric Structure Terminated by a Conducting Sheet (CS) with an Inclusion (Tumour) in the Near-Field Region.....</i>	80
2.2	<i>Electric Field and Power Pattern Analysis for General N-Layer Dielectric Structure.....</i>	81
2.3	<i>Reflection Coefficient Analysis at the Aperture of the Open-Ended Rectangular Waveguide Sensor in the Near-Field Region.....</i>	82
2.4	<i>Summary and Remarks.....</i>	85
3	CHAPTER 3: Radiation Patterns and Reflection Coefficient Simulation Analysis Applied to Breast Cancer Detection.....	87

3.1	<i>Code Development.....</i>	87
3.2	<i>Radiation Patterns and Reflection Images of Cancerous Breast Tissues for Different Tumour Depths.....</i>	89
3.3	<i>Effect of Loading an Open-Ended Rectangular Waveguide Probe on the Radiation Patterns and Reflection Images of Cancerous Breast Tissues.....</i>	99
3.4	<i>Influence of Standoff Distance Dielectric Properties on the Radiation Patterns and Reflection Images of Cancerous Breast Tissues.....</i>	108
3.5	<i>Summary and Remarks.....</i>	116
4	CHAPTER 4: Uncalibrated Experimental Measurements for Breast Cancer Detection.....	118
4.1	<i>Breast Phantom.....</i>	118
4.2	<i>Uncalibrated Microwave Measurement Setup.....</i>	121
4.3	<i>Uncalibrated Experimental Results.....</i>	130
4.4	<i>Summary and Remarks.....</i>	137
5	CHAPTER 5: Calibrated Experimental Measurements for Breast Cancer Detection.....	138
5.1	<i>Calibrated Microwave Measurement Setup.....</i>	138
5.2	<i>Validation of the Calibrated Microwave Measurement Setup Using Simple Dielectric Structure.....</i>	142
5.3	<i>Calibrated Experimental Results for Breast Phantom.....</i>	146
5.4	<i>Summary and Remarks.....</i>	156
6	CHAPTER 6: Conclusions and Further Work	159

APPENDICES.....	167
<i>Appendix A: List of Publications.....</i>	167
<i>Appendix B: List of Awards and Certificates.....</i>	169
REFERENCES.....	174

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1:	Female breast anatomy [Web.1].....	23
Figure 1-2:	Number of new cases and age-specific incidence rates for female breast cancer, UK, 2002 [Web.2].....	30
Figure 1-3:	Female breast cancer incidence and mortality trends in UK [Web.2].....	30
Figure 1-4:	X-ray mammography imaging unit [Web.3].....	32
Figure 1-5:	Ultrasound hand-held transducer.....	33
Figure 1-6:	MRI unit [Web.3].....	34
Figure 1-7:	Nuclear medicine imaging unit [Web.4].....	35
Figure 1-8:	Several open-ended rectangular waveguides with different frequency bands.....	49
Figure 1-9:	Single-pole Debye curve fits of measured baseline dielectric-properties data for normal and malignant breast tissue at radio and microwave frequencies [Li.01].....	57

Figure 1-10:	Breast model with tumour embedded at different depths in front of the microwave sensor.....	59
Figure 2-1:	The cross-section of an open-ended rectangular waveguide probe radiating into an N-layer dielectric structure.....	62
Figure 3-1:	Flowchart for the code developed.....	89
Figure 3-2:	Breast model analogy with N-layer dielectric model developed in chapter 2 with an open-ended rectangular waveguide sensor for tumour detection	91
Figure 3-3:	Normalized electric field as a function of z at $x=a/2$ and $y=b/2$ with a $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at different depths and for 10.5 GHz operation	92
Figure 3-4:	Real power as a function of z at $x=a/2$ and $y=b/2$ with a $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at different depths and for 10.5 GHz operation	93
Figure 3-5:	Imaginary power as a function of z at $x=a/2$ and $y=b/2$ with a $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at different depths and for 10.5 GHz operation	93

Figure 3-6:	Real power as a function of x at $y=b/2$ and z =tumour depth with a $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at different depths and for 10.5 GHz operation	94
Figure 3-7:	Real power pattern (dB) in the yz -plane of the breast with $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at 5 mm depth operating at 10.5 GHz	95
Figure 3-8:	Real power pattern (dB) in the xz -plane of the breast with $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at 5 mm depth operating at 10.5 GHz	96
Figure 3-9:	Imaginary power pattern (dB) in the yz -plane of the breast with $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at 5 mm depth operating at 10.5 GHz	97
Figure 3-10:	Imaginary power pattern (dB) in the xz -plane of the breast with $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at 5 mm depth operating at 10.5 GHz	98
Figure 3-11:	Superimposing real power pattern (dB) of the breast with $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at 20 mm depth at 10.5 GHz on the breast model	99
Figure 3-12:	The magnitude difference of the effective reflection coefficient at the sensor's aperture for breast tissue with tumour located at 5 mm depth operating at X-Band.....	101

Figure 3-13: The phase difference of the effective reflection coefficient at the sensor's aperture for breast tissue with tumour located at 5 mm depth operating at X-Band.....	102
Figure 3-14: Theoretical 2-D reflection magnitude image of the breast with 6x6x3 mm ³ tumour at 5 mm depth: (a) at 10.5 GHz for empty waveguide probe (b) at 3.0 GHz for loaded waveguide probe with dielectric material of $\epsilon_r = 12.25$	104
Figure 3-15: Theoretical 2-D reflection phase image of the breast with 6x6x3 mm ³ tumour at 5 mm depth: (a) at 10.5 GHz for empty waveguide probe (b) at 3.0 GHz for loaded waveguide probe with dielectric material of $\epsilon_r = 12.25$	105
Figure 3-16: Superimposing theoretical 2-D reflection phase image of the breast with 6x6x3 mm ³ tumour at 5 mm depth on the breast model.....	106
Figure 3-17: Real power as a function of x at y=b/2 and z=tumour depth of the breast with 6x6x3 mm ³ tumour operating at 3.0 GHz for different tumour depths.....	107
Figure 3-18: Real power as a function of z (direction of propagation) at x=a/2 and y=b/2 of the breast with 6x6x3 mm ³ tumour for 5 mm tumour depth.....	108

Figure 3-19:	The magnitude difference of the effective reflection coefficient at the sensor's aperture as a function of standoff distance relative permittivity for breast tissue with tumour 10 mm deep, and for operation at 3.0 GHz...	110
Figure 3-20:	The phase difference of the effective reflection coefficient at the sensor's aperture as a function of standoff distance relative permittivity for breast tissue with tumour 10 mm deep, and for operation at 3.0 GHz...	111
Figure 3-21:	Real power as a function of z (direction of propagation) at $x=a/2$ and $y=b/2$ of the breast with $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour and 5 mm deep.....	112
Figure 3-22:	Zoomed version of Figure 3-21.....	112
Figure 3-23:	Magnitude image of the breast with $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at 10 mm depth at 3.0 GHz in contact fashion.....	114
Figure 3-24:	Magnitude image of the breast with $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at 10 mm depth at 3.0 GHz with 1 mm dielectric standoff distance of relative permittivity $\epsilon_r = 35.3$	114
Figure 3-25:	Phase image of the breast with $6 \times 6 \times 3$ mm ³ tumour at 10 mm depth at 3.0 GHz in contact fashion.....	115

Figure 3-26:	Phase image of the breast with 6x6x3 mm ³ tumour at 10 mm depth at 3.0 GHz with 1 mm dielectric standoff distance of relative permittivity $\epsilon_r = 35.3$	115
Figure 4-1:	(a) Back view (b) side view (c) schematic diagram of the cancerous breast phantom under inspection.....	121
Figure 4-2:	Near-field microwave uncalibrated measurement system: (a) schematic diagram (b) real system.....	124
Figure 4-3:	HP/Agilent 83752A Synthesized Microwave Sweeper.....	125
Figure 4-4:	HP/Agilent 8757A Scalar Network Analyzer.....	126
Figure 4-5:	HP/Agilent 85027B Directional Bridge.....	126
Figure 4-6:	Network Analyzer/Sweep Oscillator System Interface [Hew.85] [Agi.96] [Agi.00].....	128
Figure 4-7:	System performance test setup [Hew.85] [Agi.96] [Agi.00].....	129
Figure 4-8:	A 3.5 mm open/short load.....	129

Figure 4-9:	The magnitude of the reflection coefficient as a function of frequency at X-band for cancerous and normal breast tissue.....	133
Figure 4-10:	The magnitude difference of the reflection coefficient as function of frequency at X-band for cancerous and normal breast tissue.....	133
Figure 4-11:	The magnitude difference of the reflection coefficient at 9.5 GHz (optimum frequency) as a function of the breast x- position for cancerous and normal breast tissue.....	135
Figure 4-12:	The magnitude difference of the reflection coefficient for two tumour locations, at 0.4 cm and 2.4 cm, at X-band for cancerous and normal breast tissue.....	136
Figure 5-1:	Near-field microwave calibrated measurement system: (a) schematic diagram (b) real system.....	141
Figure 5-2:	Standing wave patterns in a waveguide produced with and without a defect.....	141
Figure 5-3:	Standard loads; a metallic sheet (left) and a matched load (right).....	144

Figure 5-4:	(a) Front view and (b) side view of: Plexiglass sheet of 4.0 mm thickness with Vernier calliper measuring its thickness.....	145
Figure 5-5:	Magnitude of the reflection coefficient for 4.0 mm plexiglass sheet as function of frequency at X-band. Experimental results (a) are conducted with the VNA and (b) with the slotted waveguide technique.....	145
Figure 5-6:	Phase of the reflection coefficient for 4.0 mm plexiglass sheet as function of frequency at X-band. Experimental results (a) are conducted with the VNA and (b) with the slotted waveguide technique.....	146
Figure 5-7:	Near-field microwave calibrated measurement system with breast phantom under inspection: (a) schematic diagram (b) real system.....	148
Figure 5-8:	Calibrated magnitude line scan of the reflection coefficient as a function of the breast x-dimension for breast phantom with a tumour located at the centre in the xy-plane.....	149
Figure 5-9:	Calibrated phase line scan of the reflection coefficient as a function of the breast x-dimension for breast phantom with a tumour located at the centre in the xy-plane.....	149

Figure 5-10: Comparison between the theoretical and measured magnitude of the reflection coefficient as a function of the breast x-dimension for breast phantom with a tumour located at the centre in the xy-plane operating at 9.5 GHz	151
Figure 5-11: Comparison between the theoretical and measured phase of the reflection coefficient as a function of the breast x-dimension for breast phantom with a tumour located at the centre in the xy-plane operating at 9.5 GHz.....	151
Figure 5-12: Measurement uncertainty display of the calibrated magnitude line scan of the reflection coefficient as a function of the breast x-dimension for breast phantom with a tumour located at the centre in the xy-plane operating at 9.5 GHz.....	152
Figure 5-13: 2-D experimentally calibrated obtained image of the magnitude of the reflection coefficient at 9.5 GHz for breast phantom with a tumour located at the centre in the xy-plane: (a) signal intensity, (b) plan view.....	154
Figure 5-14: Theoretical 2-D reflection magnitude image of the reflection coefficient at 9.5 GHz for breast phantom with a tumour located at the centre in the xy-plane.....	155

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Microwave frequency band letter designation for the operating frequency of rectangular waveguides [Poz.05].....	50
---	----