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I.
Environmental regimes in the Caribbean

Abstract

Over evolutionary time coral reefs have been exposed to the influence of diverse environmental forces which have determined their structure and function. However, the climate of the earth is changing, affecting many biological systems, including coral reefs. Through this thesis the static and dynamic environment of the Caribbean basin was characterized using remote sensing and in situ data sources. This information was used to understand how present environmental conditions have shaped reef ecosystems and how the changing climate might jeopardize them.

Focusing on physical constraints that drive many aspects of coastal ecology, a region-wide categorisation of the Physical Environments of the Caribbean Sea (PECS) was developed. The classification approach is hierarchical; including a first level of 16 physicochemical provinces based on sea surface temperature, turbidity and salinity data; and a second level considering mechanical disturbance from wave exposure and hurricanes. The PECS categorisation will facilitate comparative analyses and inform the stratification of studies across environmental provinces in the region.

Montastraea spp. forereef habitats have the highest biodiversity and support the largest number of ecosystem processes and services in the Caribbean. One of the aspects of the physical environment, wave exposure, was used to predict the distribution of these habitats in the Caribbean basin with high accuracy (79%). The distribution of the habitat is constrained in environments of high exposure, a pattern likely to be driven by high rates of chronic sediment scour that constrain recruitment. This approach constitutes a fast and inexpensive alternative to traditional habitat mapping and complements global efforts to map reef extent.

Recent changes in temperature have impacted ecosystem function across the globe. However, the nature of the responses has depended upon the rate of change of temperature and the season when the changes occur, which are spatially variable. In the Caribbean Sea, temperature trends are highly variable in space (ranging from -0.20 to 0.54°C decade⁻¹) and most of the warming
has been due to increases in summer temperatures. The highly detailed spatial and temporal patterns assessed can be used to elucidate observed ecological responses to climatic change in the region.

In the face of increased temperatures it has been suggested that reefs may become increasingly restricted to locations of naturally low thermal stress, such as upwelling areas. However, when analysing the degree to which seasonal upwelling reduces the local thermal stress experienced by corals, it is clear that upwelling areas do not always offer meaningful protection. Hypothesised areas need to be assessed individually in order to evaluate their capacity as a refuge against climate change.

In this thesis large progress has been made in assessing the ocean climate of the Caribbean basin by quantifying spatial patterns and their rate of change. Although some insight into the consequences of these seascape patterns to the function and distribution of marine systems has been provided, more can be done to fully exploit the datasets produced.
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**Figure 1.1.** Caribbean basin (8-28°N, 58-89°W)  
**Figure 1.2.** Different remote sensing methods and classes of sensors used in satellite oceanography along with their applications (redrawn from Robinson 2004).  
**Figure 1.3.** Conceptual diagram for the thesis chapters. CH2: Environmental provinces of the Caribbean, CH3: Static climate, characterized by wave exposure, as predictor of reef habitats, CH4: Recent temperature trends in the Caribbean, CH5: Upwelling as a refuge from warming.

**Figure 2.1.** Average sea surface temperature map (AVHRR 1993-2008) and marine ecoregions in the Caribbean Sea (8-28°N, 89-58°W) according to Spalding et al. (2007): (43) Northern Gulf of Mexico; (63) Bahamian; (64) Eastern Caribbean; (65) Greater Antilles; (66) Southern Caribbean; (67) South-western Caribbean; (68) Western Caribbean; (69) Southern Gulf of Mexico; (70) Floridian.

**Figure 2.2.** Overview of the SOM algorithm: each pixel within the temperature (average, mM, MMM), turbidity and salinity input maps (1) is taken as an input vector (2), the input for the SOM algorithm. N input vectors (where N is equal to the number of pixels in the image) are linked to the output layer through weighted links (3). The output layer (4) is, in this case, a matrix composed by 16 (4x4) units in a hexagonal grid, where lines indicate the connections among units, and the update neighbourhood of the first (black) unit is defined by the grey gradient, with lighter colours highlighting farther units.

**Figure 2.3.** Metrics used to identify the optimum number of clusters (a) Caliński and Harabasz index (\( \text{CH} \)); (b) within cluster sum of squares (\( wSS \)) and between cluster sum of squares (\( bSS \)). In grey values corresponding to 16 clusters, the partition chosen.

**Figure 2.4.** Spatial arrangement of the 16 physicochemical provinces in the Caribbean Sea and selected examples (A-N), described in Table 2.II.

**Figure 2.5.** Topological arrangement of the SOM showing the relative location of the clusters. (a) The proportion of pixels associated with each numbered cluster is indicated by
the size of each hexagon, where larger hexagons indicate a larger number of pixels represented by that cluster. (b) Euclidean distances between the centre of neighbouring clusters, where the hexagons represent the clusters, the lines connect neighbouring clusters, and the colour in the regions containing the lines indicate distances between clusters. Darker colours represent larger distances and lighter colours smaller distances.

**Figure 2.6.** Grid showing the location of the 16 clusters and the average value for each cluster for each environmental variable (darker colours represent larger values): (a) average SST; (b) maximum monthly mean of SST; (c) minimum monthly mean of SST; (d) turbidity; (e) salinity.

**Figure 2.7.** Chronic and acute physical disturbance regime. (A) Chronic stress given by wave exposure \( (J \text{ m}^{-3}) \). The wind rose in the top right corner shows the average wind conditions (1999-2008) for the entire basin; (B) Acute stress given by the frequency of occurrence of hurricanes category 1-5 in the last 157 years (1851-2008).

**Figure 2.8.** Case study of Northern Bahamas. (A) Physicochemical provinces, (B) chronic and (C) acute physical disturbance regime.

**Figure 3.1.** Study area (16-20°N, 87-89°W) and ground truth locations.

**Figure 3.2.** (A) Logarithm of average wave exposure \( (J \text{ m}^{-3}) \) for the Belize Barrier Reef. Wind rose in top left corner shows the wind distribution for the entire area. (B) Ratio between wave exposure calculated using the daily approach (Figure 3.2A) and the climatological approach commonly followed in the literature. Values of 1 indicate where estimates with both approaches are identical.

**Figure 3.3.** Predicted probability of presence of *Montastraea* reefs against the logarithm of wave exposure. Lines on the x axes show wave exposure values for presence (1) and absence (0) of habitats, the continuous line the fitted model, and the circles and whiskers the average and standard error of presence/absence data for five categories of wave exposure.

**Figure 3.4.** (A) Standardized residuals obtained by the logistic model plotted against their spatial coordinates. (B) Variogram of the residuals.

**Figure 3.5.** Predicted likelihood of *Montastraea* reefs in the Belize Barrier Reef. (A) Map for the entire area. (B) Map showing only predictions in forereef areas as identified by the MCRMP.

**Figure 3.6.** Predicted likelihood of *Montastraea* reefs in forereef areas (as identified by the MCRMP) of Lighthouse reef. Ground truth areas were represented outside the forereef in order to improve readability.

**Figure 3.7.** Histogram of wave exposure values for the entire Caribbean region (A) and Belize (B). Wave exposure was calculated using the same approach described in the
Methods section but at a coarser spatial resolution (1 km) and using only coastlines provided by the freely available Global Self-consistent, Hierarchical, High-resolution Shoreline Database.

**Figure 4.1.** (A) Decadal SST trends in the Caribbean; (B) associated standard deviation (Equation 4); (C) significance of the trend. Stars indicate the locations for Table 4.1 and Figure 4.2.

**Figure 4.2.** Observed monthly SST, model fitting and linear trend ($\omega$) in °C decade$^{-1}$ in four locations of the wider Caribbean marked with stars in Figure 4.1: (A) Barbados (13.12°N, 59.65°W); (B) Belize (18.00°N, 87.50°W); (C) Northern Bahamas (26.65°N, 78.40°W); (D) Yucatan upwelling (21.68°N, 86.98°W); (E) Venezuelan eastern coastal upwelling (10.44°N, 64.35°W).

**Figure 4.3.** Seasonal temperature trends in the Caribbean and associated significance. (A) winter (December-February) trends; (B) significance of the trend in winter; (C) spring (March-May) trends; (D) significance of the trend in spring; (E) summer (June-August) trends; (F) significance of the trend in summer; (G) autumn (September-October) trends; (H) significance of the trend in autumn.

**Figure 4.4.** (A) Trends in annual ranges of temperature (summer-winter); (B) significance of the trend.

**Figure 4.5.** Number of years required to be able to detect the decadal linear trends described in Figure 4.1.A at 95% confidence level (Equation 5). Note this study used time series of 25 year length.

**Figure.** 5.1. Assessed regions in (a) Colombian Caribbean; (b) Pacific Panama; (c) Oman; and (d) Northern Madagascar. Upwelling areas showed in dark gray and non-upwelling areas in light gray.

**Figure.** 5.2. Probability of occurrence of upwelling and warming events, as well as their joint incidence in (a) Colombian Caribbean; (b) Pacific Panama; (c) Oman; and (d) Northern Madagascar. Warming events were identified when HotSpots in the region (considering upwelling and adjacent non-upwelling areas) exceeded 1°C. Upwelling conditions were identified when temperatures in upwelling areas were three standard deviations below the monthly average temperature experienced in the same month in a neighbouring, non-upwelling area. Probabilities were calculated from monthly incidence of upwelling/warming conditions in 21 years; whiskers indicate 95% confidence intervals (1985-2005 AVHRR Pathfinder data).

**Figure.** 5.3. Monthly distribution of temperature averages (lines) and frequency of thermal anomalous events (percentage of weeks when HS>1; bars) in upwelling and non-upwelling
nearby areas in (a) Colombian Caribbean; (b) Pacific Panama; (c) Oman; and (d) Northern Madagascar. Horizontal lines highlight warm months in upwelling (dark gray) and non-upwelling (light gray) areas, when monthly temperatures were less than 1°C below the maximum monthly mean (1985-2005 AVHRR pathfinder data).

**Figure 6.1.** (a) Average turbidity (diffuse attenuation at band 3 or k490, SeaWiFS 1997-2008); (b) Bathymetry (GEBCO_08 grid v 20091120) for the wider Caribbean.

**Figure 6.2.** Number of surface *in situ* salinity observations in 0.25° grid areas included in the WOA database.

**Figure 6.3.** Diagram illustrating the relevant spatial and temporal scales of several oceanographic processes and the approximate resolution of some satellite/sensors (base diagram of ocean processes from Dickey 1990).

**Figure 6.4.** Conceptual diagram for thesis chapters (from Figure 1.3, Chapter 1). CH2: Environmental provinces of the Caribbean, CH3: Static climate, characterized by wave exposure, as a predictor of reef habitats, CH4: Recent temperature trends in the Caribbean, CH5: Upwelling as a refuge from warming.

**Figure 6.5.** (a) Average SST; (b) SST maximum monthly mean and (c) SST trends in sea surface temperature in the wider Caribbean (AVHRR Pathfinder, 1985-2009). Stars show locations in Jamaica, St. Lucia and La Blanquilla (Venezuela) mentioned in the text.
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