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Abstract

This thesis is concerned with the experiences and understandings of young people as they move from their final two years of compulsory education into a range of post-16 destinations including Further Education, work, apprenticeships and unemployment. The participants were all identified as being ‘hard to reach’ by school practitioners. The research responds to a need to deepen understanding of such young people ahead of the age of participation in education and training in England being raised to 18 in 2015.

The research was conducted in the South West of England. The empirical research was undertaken between January 2008 and April 2010 and comprised semi-structured interviews with 51 young people who were interviewed between one and three times during that period. The data presented in this thesis is focused on 11 of those young people who were each interviewed on three occasions.

The findings suggest similarities between the post-16 transition experiences of the young people participating here and those of young people in this age range, not identified as ‘hard to reach’. Notwithstanding these parallels, the research revealed that young peoples’ post-16 transitions and the aspirations they hold are often more nuanced than has been theorised in previous research. The findings raise questions about the implications of labelling young people, and 14-19 policy predicated upon assumptions regarding those who do not participate post-16.

In conclusion it is suggested that at a time of continued economic uncertainty and UK youth unemployment approaching one million¹, young people need more flexibility in the school and post-16 experiences that are available and actively encouraged, rather than increased levels of constraint.

¹ September 2011
Table of Contents

Abstract 2
Acknowledgements 3
Contents 4

Chapter one - Introduction 7
1.1 Personal Context 8
1.2 Rationale and contribution 13
1.3 Background to the research 16
  1.3.1 Globalisation and Skills 17
  1.3.2 Social Exclusion and unemployment 20
  1.3.3 14-19 education and skills 25
  1.3.4 Raising the participation age (RPA) 27
  1.3.5 Structural influences on youth transitions 33
1.4 Overview of thesis structure 35

Chapter two - Literature Review 38
2.1 Labelling and ‘hard to reach’ young people 39
  2.1.1 Labelling young people 41
  2.1.2 A considered label – ‘Hard to reach’ 45
2.2 Researching hard to reach young people 51
  2.2.1 Understanding NEETs and JWT 52
  2.2.2 McIntosh & Houghton – Disengagement measured quantitatively 56
  2.2.3 Atkins – Case study of vocational FE learners 59
2.3 Researching the post-16 transition 61
  2.3.1 Researching transitions 62
  2.3.2 Ashton and Field – Careers 65
  2.3.3 Willis – Working class lads 68
  2.3.4 Bloomer and Hodkinson – Transition from school to FE 72
  2.3.5 Ball, Maguire and Macrae – Wider influences upon transition 77
2.4 The current study 81
  2.4.1 The focus of this research 81
  2.4.2 Research questions 82

Chapter three - Methodology and Methods 85
3.1 The theoretical framework 86
  3.1.1 Paradigmatic assumptions 86
  3.1.2 Interpretivism 90
  3.1.3 Interpretative Methodologies 92
3.1.4 Adapting grounded theory for the current study

3.2 Methods
3.2.1 Longitudinal Study
3.2.2 The sample and its context
3.2.3 Sampling
3.2.3 Interviews
3.2.4 Ethical considerations
3.2.5 Analytical Framework
3.3 Summary

Chapter four - Findings part I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Being hard to reach – a label</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.1 Being hard to reach?</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.2 Experiences beyond school</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.3 Did the young people experience labelling?</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 School and Learning Identities</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1 Imogen – A learning identity changing over time</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2 Zara – School was not for learning</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.3 Ricky – A positive learning identity developed too late?</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.4 Summary</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Imagined Futures</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.1 James – Imagined future to fantasy future to reality</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.2 Kurt and Hayley – Steps towards their own salon</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.3 Imogen and Bethany – Imagining getting away</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.4 Zara and Jennifer – Stable and necessary plans</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.5 Chloe and Kirsty – No imagined future?</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.6 Kevin – Career orientated imagined future.</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.7 Summary</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chapter five - Findings part II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1 Transformations, turning points and the post-16 transition</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1 Jacob – hard to reach, harder to reach and drifting</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2 Kurt – Fragile inter-related transition of career and identity</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.3 Jasmin – Transformations and a fragmented transition from education to work to education to parenthood</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.4 Jonathan – Transforming when the time is right</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.5 Summary</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Constrained choices – Structure versus agency</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.1 Kirsty – perceiving her choice at the end of school</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter six - Conclusion

6.1 The current study
6.2 Summary of findings
6.3 Contribution to Knowledge
6.4 Reflections
6.5 Implications

Appendices

I – Contact sheet for practitioners
II – Parental consent form
III – Interview I Schedule
IV – Interview III schedule
V – Participant contact details sheet
VI – Timeline of research
VII – Field notes made on interview schedule
VIII – Profiles of key participants
IX – Example of extract of coded interview transcript
X – Example of analytical memo
XI – Conventions from conversational analysis used in interview transcription
XII – Post-16 Destinations of those participants where known

References