### Reconstructing the Medieval Landscape of Devon:

# Comparing the Results of Cartographic Analysis and the Domesday Survey

## Submitted by Richard John Sandover, to the University of Exeter, as a Thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy by Research in Archaeology 27 January, 2012.

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is Copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award by this or any other University.

.....

R. J. Sandover

Abstract

#### Abstract

This thesis sets out to create a map of parts of Devon at Domesday. This will be achieved by pursuing two themes. The first is a map regression that will identify the core farmland (that is the land that was 'anciently' enclosed), while the second establishes an interpretative framework that will allow selected Domesday metrics to be interrogated. The Domesday metrics will be used to corroborate the results of the map regression.

Five case study areas have been selected to cover a series of different *pays* within the county, extending from the floodplains in the south-east across to the top of the Blackdown Hills and up to the fringes of Exmoor. Each case study area was created around two parishes, and their tithe maps and associated apportionments have been transcribed into a GIS to serve as the basis of the map regression.

The map regression will follow two paths. The first analyses the fieldscape, removing evidence of 'modern' enclosure and arriving at a map of the land that was enclosed early in the historic period, while the second concentrates upon the settlement patterns, trying to establish a counterpart to the map of the fields. The Domesday data pertaining to the agricultural exploitation of the land and to the population will be interpreted to provide two products: one that may be used to corroborate the 'Domesday' map of the fieldscape and the other to both inform, and assess the postulated settlement pattern of the same date The

2

Abstract

establishment of continuity is fundamental to the success of this project and a lot of effort is expended attempting to identify links between the Domesday and tithe data. Additional mechanisms that may also promote continuity have been identified and are utilised throughout. In addition to the use of the limited records, the work in each case study area is supported by palynological analyses from pollen sequences within the locale and attention has been paid to the existence of ancient woodland in each parish.

Working in a county that has a dearth of early records, the combination of map regression with corroborative evidence from Domesday works very effectively at a parochial level, but difficulties in determining the extents of the Domesday manors complicate a similar check at that more local level. Credible maps for each parish, which can probably be dated to *ca.* 1086, have been produced, using a methodology that may be adapted for use elsewhere.

#### **Table of Contents**

Title and De	claration	Page 1
Abstract		2
Table of Cor	ntents	4
List of Table	S	6
List of Figure	es	8
Acknowledge	ements	13
Chapter 1	Introduction Peripheral? The Domesday Book	15 16 23
Chapter 2	<b>Devon Fieldscapes</b> Field Systems Morphological Analysis Pollen Sequences The Domesday Book	27 28 41 49 50
Chapter 3	Sources and Methodology Parishes and Case Studies The Base Map The Apportionment Additional Layers of Data Fieldscape Analysis Interpreting Domesday The Comparison with Domesday Parishes and Manors	59 60 62 67 77 84 96 99 102
Chapter 4	Case Study IBroadclyst and PoltimoreNatural EnvironmentLand-use HistoryParochial HistoryComparison with DomesdaySummary	107 108 115 120 147 161

Chapter 5	Case Study II	Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme	165
	Natural Environmer Land-use History Parochial History Comparison with Do Summary		167 174 180 216 234
Chapter 6	<b>Case Study III</b> Natural Environmer Land-use History Parochial History Comparison with Do Summary		237 239 246 251 275 284
Chapter 7	Case Study IV	Cruwys Morchard and Templeton	289
	Natural Environmer Land-use History Parochial History Comparison with Do Summary	ht	291 296 302 324 338
Chapter 8	Case Study V Natural Environmer Land-use History Parochial History Comparison with Do Summary		343 344 350 355 379 390
Chapter 9	<b>Discussion of Res</b> Domesday Populati Domesday Land Records		395 400 412 425
Chapter 10	Conclusion		427
Appendix 1	The Domesday Re	cord	441
Bibliography			
	Primary Sources (u Primary Sources (p Secondary Sources	ublished)	449 452 454

#### List of Tables

		Page
3.1. 3.2.	Extract from the tithe apportionment for Molland. Extract from the tithe apportionment for Molland. Additional columns: selected field-names and standard use.	69 68
3.3. 3.4.	Land use 'standard' categories. Field-name elements that may be associated with ancient and new enclosure, and with former open fields and	71 72
3.5.	possible settlement. Additional data recorded in the 'master' tithe apportionment for Molland.	73
3.6. 3.7.	The various characterisation types used. Example of the 'Domesday agricultural land table'.	97 103
4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – soils. Broadclyst and Poltimore – Domesday population. Broadclyst and Poltimore – Domesday villans and tenements. Broadclyst and Poltimore – Domesday agricultural land.	114 148 150 153
5.1. 5.2.	Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – soils. Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – Domesday population.	173 218
5.3. 5.4. 5.5.	Blackborough and Kentisbeare - villans and tenements. Uffculme – villans and tenements. Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – agricultural land	220 223 225
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4.	Cotleigh and Stockland – soils. Cotleigh and Stockland – Domesday population. Cotleigh and Stockland – Domesday villans and tenements. Cotleigh and Stockland – Domesday agricultural land.	244 276 277 281
7.1. 7.2. 7.3.	Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – soils. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – Domesday population. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – Domesday villans and tenements.	295 330 332
7.4.	Cruwys Morchard and Templeton - Domesday agricultural land.	335
8.1. 8.2. 8.3.	Molland and West Anstey – soils. Molland and West Anstey – Domesday population. Molland and West Anstey – Domesday villans and tenements.	349 381 382
8.4.	Molland and West Anstey - Domesday agricultural land.	387

9.1.	A full list of the settlement indicative field-names discovered during the thesis.	406
9.2.	Results of the comparison of field-name with the results of the fieldscape characterisation and interpretation 1.	417
9.3.	Results of the comparison of field-name with the results of the fieldscape characterisation and interpretation 2.	417
9.4.	Results of the comparison of field-name with the results of the fieldscape characterisation and interpretation 3.	418
9.5.	Summary of the 'gross' check of core farmland extent.	421
9.6.	The calculated size of a ploughland in three selected manors.	425

#### List of Figures

Page
------

1.1.	The 'peripheral' counties of Devon and Cornwall.	17
1.2.	The work of Gonner and Gray.	18
1.3.	Rackham's regions of the British Isles.	19
1.4.	Roberts' and Wrathmell's map of the provinces of England.	20
2.1.	Three separate nationwide studies.	30
2.2.	Evidence for open fields in Devon presented by Finberg and Fox.	31
2.3.	Evidence for 'strip-fields' in Devon and Cornwall.	32
2.4.	Turner's 'distribution of fields with origins as 'medieval fields based on strips'' in Devon.	34
2.5.	An example of Turner's 'medieval fields based on strips'.	36
2.6.	Turner's 'boundaries of former strip divisions''.	36
2.7.	The 'north lobe' on Holne Moor.	38
2.8.	The results of retrogressive and deconstructive	43
	morphological analysis in the Bourn valley, Cambs.	
2.9.	Taylor's Reconstruction of the landscape of the parish of Whiteparish (Wilts.).	44
2.10.	Hill's reconstruction of the landscape of Ercall Manor, Ca. 1086-1100.	46
2.11.	Cross valley prehistoric alignments identified by Oosthuizen in the Bourn valley.	47
2.12.	Lowland pollen sequences in Devon.	51
3.1.	The topography affecting the selection of the case study areas.	63
3.2.	The geology of the case study areas.	63
3.3.	Changes in the fieldscape.	67
3.4.	Prevailing wind recorded at four locations.	82
3.5.	The generic pollen catchment area.	83
3.6.	Examples of early and more modern enclosure.	85
3.7.	Exploring relationships between field shape, topography,	86
	land use and settlement patterns.	
3.8.	Parliamentary enclosure of common land.	88
3.9.	Fieldscape characterisation of the fields to the south-west	89
	of Northcote Farm (Cruwys Morchard).	
3.10.	Fieldscape rationalisation of the fields to the south-west	91
	of Northcote Farm (Cruwys Morchard).	
3,11.	Tatworth Middle Field.	93
-	The possible former open field at Kentisbeare.	93
	Fieldscape interpretation of the fields to the south-west	96
	of Northcote Farm (Cruwys Morchard).	-

4.1.	Broadclyst and Poltimore - location.	108
4.2.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – topography.	109
4.3.	Gently undulating; the view from Columbjohn.	110
4.4.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – geology.	112
4.5.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – soils.	113
4.6.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – land-use <i>ca.</i> 1840.	116
4.7.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – ancient woods and pollen traps.	117
4.8.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – field-names (black).	121
4.9.	Broadclyst and Poltimore - settlement indicative field-names	.123
4.10.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – settlement <i>ca.</i> 1840.	125
4.11.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – settlement <i>ca.</i> 1750.	126
4.12.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – settlement during the	127
	Middle Ages.	
4.13.	Broadclyst and Poltimore - land ownership.	129
4.14.	Poltimore: land occupancy ca. 1840.	132
4.15.	Broadclyst land occupancy ca. 1840.	133
4.16.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – farm pattern ca. 1840.	135
4.17.	Broadclyst <i>ca.</i> 1840 – farms overlaid upon occupancy.	136
4.18.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – fieldscape characterisation.	137
	Broadclyst and Poltimore – fieldscape rationalisation.	139
4.20.	Divided fields at Columbjohn (Broadclyst).	142
4.21.	Long-thin fields to the south-east of Broadclyst.	143
4.22.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – fieldscape interpretation.	145
4.23.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – Domesday manor extents.	149
4.24.	Broadclyst between 1086 and 1291.	152
4.25.	Broadclyst and Poltimore – mapping <i>ca.</i> 1086.	159
5.1.	Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – location.	166
5.2.	Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – topography.	168
5.3.	View north, across the floodplain, towards Uffculme.	169
5.4.	View from Stowford Water west, towards the scarp at	169
	Blackborough.	
5.5.	Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – geology.	170
5.6.	Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – soils.	172
5.7.	Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – land-use	175
	<i>ca.</i> 1840.	
5.8.	Pollen sequences from the Blackdown Hills.	177
5.9.	Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – ancient woods.	179
5.10.	Settlement indicative field-names - east Uffculme.	181
5.11.	Settlement indicative field-names – Kentisbeare.	183
5.12.	Blackborough and Kentisbeare – settlement pattern	185
	са. 1840.	
5.13.	Uffculme – settlement pattern <i>ca.</i> 1840.	186
	Kentisbeare 1769 road map.	187
5.15.	Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – settlement	188
	pattern ca. 1750.	

5.18. Kentisbeare and Blackborough - lands belonging to 1 Egremont and Walrond.	92 94
5.18. Kentisbeare and Blackborough - lands belonging to Egremont and Walrond.	
5	
	98
5.20. Former common meadow in Uffculme.	99
5.21. Probable open fields around Ashill village. 2	200
5.22. Blackborough and Kentisbeare – land occupancy. 2	201
5.23. The southern part of Blackborough – 'new' fields. 2	202
5.24. The major farms of Kentisbeare and Blackborough, 2 <i>ca</i> .1840.	204
5.25. The major farms of Uffculme, <i>ca.</i> 1840.	205
-	207
5.27. Blackborough, Kentisbeare and Uffculme – fieldscape 2 rationalisation.	209
	212
5	13
interpretation.	
	215
5.31. Blackborough, Kentisbeare and parts of Cullompton 2 between 1086 and 1311.	19
5.32 Uffculme between 1086 and 1254. 2	23
5.33. Blackborough and Kentisbeare - mapping <i>ca.</i> 1086. 2	29
5.34. Uffculme – mapping <i>ca</i> .1086. 2	31
5	38
6.2. Cotleigh and Stockland – topography. 2	240
6.3. The view east from the ridge top in Cotleigh. 2	241
6.4. The Umborne Valley. 2	241
6.5. Cotleigh and Stockland – geology. 2	242
6.6. Cotleigh and Stockland – soils. 2	243
6.7. Cotleigh and Stockland – land-use <i>ca.</i> 1840. 2	247
6.8. Cotleigh and Stockland - ancient woods and pollen traps. 2	249
6.9. Cotleigh – settlement indicative field-names. 2	252
6.10. Stockland - settlement indicative field-names. 2	253
6.11. Cotleigh and Stockland – settlement pattern <i>ca.</i> 1840. 2	255
6.12. Cotleigh and Stockland – settlement pattern <i>ca</i> .1750. 2	256
•	257
	260
	262
	264
	266
	267
	270

6.21. 6.22. 6.23.	Cotleigh and Stockland – fieldscape rationalisation. Cotleigh and Stockland – fieldscape interpretation. Cotleigh – Domesday manors and early farms. Stockland between 1086 and 1288. Cotleigh and Stockland mapping <i>ca</i> .1086	271 273 278 279 285
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5.	Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – location. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – topography. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – geology. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – soils. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – land-use <i>ca.</i> 1840.	290 292 293 294 297
7.6. 7.7.	Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – regional pollen 'traps'. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton - ancient woods and pollen traps.	299 300
7.8.	The Middle North Combe putative pollen catchment area and land-use <i>ca.</i> 1840.	302
7.10. 7.11. 7.12.	Templeton - settlement indicative field-names. Cruwys Morchard – settlement indicative field-names. Templeton – settlement pattern <i>ca.</i> 1840. Cruwys Morchard - settlement pattern <i>ca.</i> 1840.	304 305 307 308 309
7.14.	<i>ca.</i> 1750. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – medieval settlement pattern.	310
7.16. 7.17.	Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – land ownership. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton - land occupancy The smallholdings and tenements at Way Village. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – farm boundaries ca. 1840.	312 315 316 317
	'For Sale' – Fork Farm survey, 1777. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – fieldscape characterisation.	318 321
	Way Village – pattern of fields to the west. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton - fieldscape rationalisation.	320 323
7.23.	Cruwys Morchard and Templeton – fieldscape Interpretation.	327
7.25. 7.26.	Long-thin fields near Cruwys Morchard. Templeton – Domesday manors and medieval farms. Cruwys Morchard – Domesday manors and medieval farms. Cruwys Morchard and Templeton - mapping <i>ca.</i> 1086.	326 331 333 339
8.1. 8.2. 8.3.	Molland and West Anstey – location. Molland and West Anstey – topography, Looking north, initially down the slope to Yeo Mill and the River Yeo.	344 345 346

8.4.	Molland and West Anstey – geology.	347
8.5.	Molland and West Anstey – soils,	348
8.6.	Molland and West Anstey - land-use ca.1840.	351
8.7.	Molland and West Anstey - ancient woods and pollen traps.	352
8.8.	Molland – settlement indicative field-names.	356
8.9.	West Anstey - settlement indicative field-names.	358
8.10.	Molland and West Anstey – settlement pattern <i>ca.</i> 1840.	359
8.11.	Molland and West Anstey – settlement pattern <i>ca.</i> 1750.	360
8.12.	Molland and West Anstey – medieval settlement pattern.	361
8.13.	Molland and West Anstey - land ownership <i>ca</i> .1840.	363
8.14.	Where were the Domesday Manors in West Anstey?	365
8.15.	Molland - land occupancy <i>ca.</i> 1840	366
8.16.	West Anstey - land occupancy ca. 1840	367
8.17.	Molland and West Anstey - the pattern of the farms.	368
	Molland and West Anstey - fieldscape characterisation.	371
8.19.	Molland and West Anstey - fieldscape rationalisation.	373
8.20.	Fieldscape rationalisation in Molland.	370
8.21.	Long-thin fields in Molland.	376
8.22.	Molland and West Anstey - fieldscape interpretation.	377
8.23.	Molland Bottreaux and Molland Champeaux.	380
	Molland – Domesday manors and farms.	383
8.25.	West Anstey – Domesday manors and farms.	384
8.26.	The Royal Forest of Exmoor, 1675.	386
8.27.	Molland and West Anstey – mapping <i>ca.</i> 1086.	391
9.1.	The parishes of the five case studies.	396
9.2.	Comparison of the road pattern in two parishes.	404
9.3.	The extent of those open fields that have been identified in this thesis.	410
0.4	The wellow encourses and in this thesis	440

9.4. The pollen sequences used in this thesis. 413