

The volume-limited A-star survey

Exploring the multiplicity of intermediate-mass stars

Mr Robert John De Rosa

Submitted by Mr Robert John De Rosa to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Physics, January, 2012.

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

Signed:

Mr Robert John De Rosa

Date:

I present the results of the first volume-limited adaptive optics multiplicity survey of A-type stars. Using high-resolution observations obtained using adaptive optics instruments at five observatories, I have estimated the frequency of binary companions to a sample of 233 A-type stars within 60 parsecs. The survey is complete within a projected separation range of $56 < a[\text{AU}] \leq 891$, and a companion mass ratio range of $0.05 \leq q \leq 1.00$, corresponding to the bottom of the Main Sequence for companions to A-type stars. The multiplicity fraction of the sample, when corrected for completeness, is measured to be $24.2\% \pm 3.5\%$, continuing the trend of increasing multiplicity as a function of increasing primary mass reported in previous volume-limited surveys of Solar-type and M-dwarf primaries. A companion mass ratio and separation distribution are constructed over the restricted separation range, and are compared with previous observations of lower-mass primaries and theoretical predictions. The mass ratio distribution is strongly skewed towards lower-mass companions, consistent with the formation of binary companions within large circumstellar disks, while the shape of the separation distribution is not fully resolved due to the incompleteness of the survey. Over the separation range to which the observations are sensitive, a significant separation dependence on the mass ratio is observed, with a greater frequency of lower-mass companions measured at wider separations.

Using this large dataset of adaptive optics observations, I have also explored the unexplained X-ray detection of a subset of A-type stars, whose interior structures theoretically preclude the generation of X-rays. By constructing two similarly sensitive samples of X-ray and non X-ray detected early-type stars, I have tested the hypothesis that an unresolved, lower-mass companion is responsible for the emission. A comparison of the fraction of targets with resolved binary companions within both samples reveals a significantly higher multiplicity fraction for the X-ray detected sample of A-type stars, consistent with the prediction of this companion hypothesis. I have also studied the orbital motions of thirteen A-type stars, and determined the dynamical mass of each system. These dynamical mass estimates are compared with theoretical values from stellar evolutionary models, with discrepancies between these values within several systems used as evidence of unresolved companions, providing potential targets for future interferometric and spectroscopic observations.

CONTENTS

Abstract	2
List of Figures	10
List of Tables	11
Declaration	11
Acknowledgements	12
Definitions	13
1 Introduction	16
1.1 Binary star formation theories	18
1.1.1 Fragmentation	18
1.1.2 Disk fragmentation	20
1.1.3 Capture	21
1.1.4 Simultaneous ejection	22
1.1.5 Fission	23
1.2 Multiplicity and A-type stars	23
1.3 Adaptive optics	27
1.3.1 Atmospheric turbulence	27
1.3.2 Wavefront detection	29
1.3.3 Wavefront correction	31
2 The VAST sample	33
2.1 Sample completeness	33
2.2 Sample properties	35
2.2.1 Distance and absolute photometry estimates	35
2.2.2 Metallicity estimates	35
2.2.3 Age and mass estimates	36

2.2.4	Spectral type distribution	38
2.2.5	Spatial distribution	38
3	Primary comparison samples	49
3.1	Volume-limited surveys	49
3.1.1	Solar-type primaries	49
3.1.2	M-dwarf primaries	52
3.1.3	Brown dwarfs primaries	54
3.2	Environment-specific surveys	55
3.3	Other surveys	57
3.3.1	Southern early-type stars	57
3.3.2	Herbig AeBe stars	57
4	Observations and data reduction	58
4.1	Dedicated observations	60
4.1.1	CFHT - PUEO/AOB + KIR	60
4.1.2	Gemini North - ALTAIR + NIRI	60
4.1.3	Lick Observatory (Shane Telescope) - IRCAL	61
4.1.4	Palomar Observatory (Hale Telescope) - PHARO	62
4.2	Archival data	63
4.3	Data reduction pipeline	65
4.3.1	Image processing	65
4.3.2	Image registration	70
4.4	Astrometric calibration	71
4.4.1	Trapezium observations	71
4.4.2	Calibration binary observations	71
4.5	Candidate identification	73
4.5.1	Separation and position angle estimates	73
4.5.2	Photometry estimates	75
4.5.3	Mass-magnitude relations	77
4.5.4	Background probability	77
4.6	Detection limits	79
5	Statistical results	81
5.1	Survey completeness	81
5.2	Correction for incompleteness	87
5.3	Identified companion candidates	87
5.4	Mass ratio distribution	93
5.5	Separation distribution	93

6	Comparison with previous observations and theoretical predictions	95
6.1	Multiplicity as a function of primary mass	95
6.2	Comparison of mass ratio distributions	98
6.3	Comparison of separation distributions	103
6.4	Summary	105
7	Companions and the unexplained X-ray detection of B6 – A7 stars	107
7.1	X-ray emission of Main Sequence stars	107
7.2	Previous observations	108
7.2.1	X-ray detection of B6-A7 stars	108
7.2.2	High-resolution imaging companion searches	109
7.3	Sample	117
7.4	Observations	122
7.5	Data analysis	122
7.6	Results	123
7.6.1	Detections	127
7.6.2	Detection limits	127
7.6.3	Probability of chance superpositions	127
7.7	Discussion	129
7.7.1	Multiplicity comparison	129
7.7.2	<i>ROSAT</i> positional uncertainty	130
7.7.3	Comparison of measured and expected X-ray luminosities	131
7.8	Summary	134
8	Orbital motion monitoring of A-type star multiples	136
8.1	Introduction	136
8.2	Sample	137
8.3	Observations	138
8.4	Data analysis	143
8.4.1	AO image processing	143
8.4.2	Orbital determination	143
8.4.3	Theoretical mass-magnitude relations	145
8.5	Results	156
8.5.1	Astrometric results	156
8.5.2	Orbital elements and dynamical masses	156
8.6	Discussion	158
8.6.1	Comparison to theoretical models	162
8.6.2	Higher-order multiplicity	169
8.6.3	Continued monitoring targets	172
8.7	Summary	174

9	Future prospects for research	177
9.1	Continued study of A-type binaries	177
9.2	Future research areas	178