

**Neurofibromatosis Type 1 (NF1): Family Experiences and
Healthcare Management of a Genetic Syndrome Characterised by
a Highly Uncertain Phenotype**

Submitted by Daniele Carrieri, to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Sociology, October 2011.

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

(Signature)

Abstract

Neurofibromatosis Type 1 (NF1) is a dominantly inherited disorder (births incidence: 1/3000) with a high spontaneous mutation rate. NF1 has been described as a *condition without parameters*; physical features, cognitive symptomatology, and complications such as malignancy, are highly variable, both within and between families, and over the lifetime of affected individuals. This thesis explores the significance of the recent classifications of NF1 as a 'genetic syndrome', in terms of the subjecthood of affected individuals, their family experiences and the way it is managed within the healthcare system. The research is based on qualitative semi-structured interviews of NF1 individuals, their families (n=30) and healthcare professionals who work with NF1 (n=11) and employs Grounded Theory and Narrative Analysis inspired methods of analysis. As such, it provides an empirical investigation of many of the sociological theories which have been developed in response to genetic disease, particularly genetic responsibility, biocitizenship and the medicalization of the family.

NF1 was still experienced by patients and treated by the healthcare system, as a condition without parameters i.e., as a disparate set of symptoms with uncertain meaning, rather than as a 'whole'. The majority of the respondents - regardless of the severity of NF1 - rejected NF1 genetic identities, employing diverse downplaying strategies to normalise it. NF1 was salient at certain critical junctures in individuals' lifecourses, especially in relation to reproductive choices, disclosure and management of pressing symptoms. Family experiences with genetic conditions, the relations of family or kinship, health behaviours, familial surveillance and disclosure did not necessarily follow the lines of biomedical knowledge and genetic inheritance. The analysis also revealed a degree of mirroring between the structure of the healthcare provision for NF1 and patients' constructions of the condition, for example the lack of illness identity. The example of NF1 shows that the identification of the genetic basis of a condition does not necessarily provide patients and healthcare professionals with more parameters to manage it.

Acknowledgments

I would like to begin by thanking Dr. Peter Turnpenny and the Peninsula Clinical Genetic Service for showing their interest in my research and for collaborating with me. Their support has helped to bring this thesis to fruition and I am grateful for their making me feel so welcome among them.

The people in Egenis - and the environment itself - have provided a quiet and stimulating atmosphere that helped me to find the peace to write, think and to grow up.

I am deeply indebted to all the participants of my study; they simply are the core of this work. I did learn a lot from them and talking (actually listening) to them has been the part of this research project I have enjoyed the most. I hope this study will prove to be useful to them and to other individuals and families with NFI. I will continue to do my best to make sure this happens.

I had the privilege to meet Dr. Susan Huson, Lindsey Rennard and other people from the Neuro Foundation. Their incredible enthusiasm towards my project and findings truly helped to inspire me in the last 'writing-up' phase of my research.

Apart from making a few years of this PhD fun, living with my housemates and friends Mattia and Pierre-Olivier allowed me to see and appreciate what it means to have passion for academic work, the pleasure of doing research and to think about the roots of the 'need' to write. The same can be said for Mila, apart from the fact she has not been my housemate.

If the participants are the core of my research, my co-supervisors Hannah Farrimond and Susan Kelly have been marvellous guides and role models. Patience, commitment, stunning brightness, thoroughness and kindness are among the qualities I appreciate the most in them. I genuinely feel lucky to have been able to work with them during these years and I think it will still take me a while to realise how much they thought me (I can be a bit slow, they know that...).

A special thanks to my dear old friends Jean and Andy for proofreading the thesis... it really sounds much more English now!! Thanks also for their wisdom, many meaningful conversations, and friendship.

I am grateful to my parents, two very clever and sensitive people. They have always believed in me, and managed to love me but at the same time make me discover my independence. Their support, patience, and unconditional love play a major role in all my achievements.

Abi has been the person who - without asking me to - convinced me to open my heart (and my whole Self) to the outside world and life in general. This is probably one of the most brave and difficult things that one can do; with her beside me, it has been and still is a wonderful and moving experience. Thank you for having witnessed all my (PhD) doubts, and joys and for loving and inspiring me. Life can really be blessed and magical!

And thanks to whoever and whatever helped me who/which I cannot - or forgot to - mention in these few lines.

Table of Contents

Neurofibromatosis Type 1 (NF1): Family Experiences and Healthcare Management of a Genetic Syndrome Characterised by a Highly Uncertain Phenotype	1
Abstract	2
Acknowledgments	3
1. Introduction	9
1.1 Scope of the Thesis.....	9
1.2 Structure of the Thesis.....	16
2. Neurofibromatosis Type 1 (NF1)	18
2.1 Introduction.....	18
2.2 Historical Notes.....	18
“Prodigies of Nature”	18
Support Groups.....	22
2.3 NF1 Medical Background	27
Prognosis	29
Major Clinical Features	29
Secondary Clinical Features	32
Life Expectancy	36
Research	37
Medical Treatment	37
Management of Clinical Problems.....	38
Genetic Counselling	39
2.4 Molecular Genetics of NF1.....	41
2.5 Cognitive, Psychological and Qualitative Studies on NF1.....	47
Cognitive Characteristics and Symptoms.....	47
Psychological Characteristics and Symptoms	49
Qualitative Studies.....	53
2.6 Concluding Remarks	67
3. Theoretical Framework.....	71
3.1 Introduction.....	71
3.2 The Genetic Self	74
Genetic Medicine and Subjecthood.....	74
Genetic Subjecthood and Support Groups	86
Uncertainty	95
3.3 Genetic Medicine and Family Dynamics	101
Medicalization of the Family.....	101
Genetic Responsibility	106
Lifecourse Foregrounding of Genetic Risk	110
Families and Uncertainty	114
Practical Kinship.....	118
3.4 Concluding Remarks	124

3.5 Rationale for my Research	128
3.6 Research Aims	131
4. Research Method and Process	132
4.1 Introduction	132
4.2 Research Method	132
Qualitative Methods.....	132
Grounded Theory.....	134
Semi-Structured Interviews	140
Family Networks Approach.....	144
Healthcare Providers Interviews.....	148
4.3 Research Procedure	149
Sampling and Recruitment	149
Interview Format and Process	152
Demographic Details.....	154
Analysis of the Interviews.....	157
4.4 Concluding Remarks	161
5. Family Narrative Analysis	162
5.1 Introduction	162
5.2 The Family	166
5.3 Recruiting Method	169
5.4 Grace’s Narrative Arc	170
5.5 Familial Reconstruction of Benjamin’s Story	184
Benjamin and NF1.....	184
Familial Archetypes: Benjamin and the Familial Experience with NF1.....	194
Benjamin- Grace	196
Benjamin-Family	196
5.6 Concluding Remarks	202
6. NF1 Genetic Subjecthood	206
6.1 Introduction	206
6.2 Genetic Subjecthood	207
Downplaying Attitudes	207
Problems.....	214
6.3 Genetic Responsibility	221
Ambivalent Use of the Variability of NF1	228
Reproductive Technologies	230
6.4 Genetic Citizenship and Support Groups	233
Positive Accounts of UK NFA	234
Lack of Support	236
NFA- NF1 Variability.....	239
NFA: “A Negative Message”	241
Engagement with Associations Related to Specific Pressing Symptoms	245

Management Strategies “Not Thinking about NF1 and not Being Group People”	248
Familial Engagement.....	250
“(Bio)Social Service” (Helping Other People with NF1)	251
6.5 Concluding Remarks	252
7. NF1 and Familial Dynamics	263
7.1 Introduction.....	263
7.2 Uncertainty & Family.....	264
7.3 Family Communication and Relations	268
7.4 Parenting, Normative Order of the Family and Uncertainty	283
7.5 Concluding Remarks	287
8. NF1: the Structure of the Medical Service and Patients’ Attitudes towards the Syndrome.....	292
8.1 Introduction.....	292
8.2 Healthcare System	294
8.3 Patients and Families’ Experience with the Healthcare System	314
8.4 Concluding Remarks	322
9. Conclusions	328
9.1 Summary of Main Findings and Interpretations.....	330
Subjecthood and Identity	331
The Impact of Family Dynamics on Individual Experiences	333
The Role of the Healthcare System	336
9.2 Genetic Subjecthood, Uncertainty and Family Dynamics of a <i>Condition without Parameters</i>	337
9.3 Clinical and Policy Suggestions.....	343
9.4 Self-Reflexivity	345
9.5 Limitations of this Thesis and Future Research	348
Appendices	351
Appendix 1	351
Appendix 2	356
Appendix 3	362
Bibliography.....	368

List of Figures

<i>Figure 1</i>	24
<i>Figure 2</i>	25
<i>Figure 3</i>	25
<i>Figure 4</i>	26
<i>Figure 5</i>	26
<i>Figure 6</i>	36
<i>Figure 7</i>	294

List of Tables

<i>Table 1</i>	154
<i>Table 2</i>	155
<i>Table 3</i>	156
<i>Table 4</i>	156
<i>Table 5</i>	156
<i>Table 6</i>	160