"Walking the Line Between Structure and Freedom"

A Case Study of Teachers' Responses to Curriculum Change using Complexity Theory

Submitted by Lindsay Ellen Joan Hetherington to the University of Exeter for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Education

In May 2012

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

Signature:

Abstract

This thesis uses complexity theory to explore education in the context of a changing curriculum called 'Opening Minds'. This new curriculum was introduced in the case study school in response to a wider curriculum change which emphasised 'learning to learn' and the development of 'skills for the 21st Century'. In this study, a 'complexity thinking' theoretical framework was adopted, drawing especially on the work of Osberg and Biesta (Osberg et al., 2008, Osberg and Biesta, 2007, Biesta and Osberg, 2007) and Davis and Sumara (2006; 2007), paying particular attention to concepts of emergence and complexity reduction. Complexity theory, through the 'logic of emergence' offers a challenge to mechanistic approaches to understanding the world which, despite the work of postmodern and poststructural scholars in education, remains dominant in educational practice. The Opening Minds curriculum that is the focus of this case study demonstrated the potential to challenge this mechanistic approach, as the teachers expressed a desire to work in different, flexible and creative ways: this thesis therefore explores complexity theory's challenge to a mechanistic approach in this particular case. It also addresses the relationship between Opening Minds and science education using complexity thinking. To facilitate exploration and analysis of the case, concepts of temporal and relational emergence and complexity reduction to develop a 'complexity thinking' understanding of concepts of agency/structure, power, identity and reflexivity. This entailed reconceptualisation of these ideas in a temporal-relational sense that explicitly incorporates a sensitivity to emergence. Specifically, an additional dimension to Emirbayer and Mische's (1998) construction of multidimensional agency was added: that of creative agency.

The research was conducted as a case study in which a 'bricolage' approach to data collection and analysis was used as part of an explicitly 'complex' methodology, addressing questions of the challenge of complexity reduction and ethics in research drawing on complexity theory. The findings indicated a challenge for teachers in negotiating tensions as they attempted to adopt approaches that could be considered 'emergent' *alongside* other 'mechanistic' practices. These tensions were explored in detail in relation to the concept of 'reflection', and in the interaction between science and Opening Minds. Bringing together the empirical and theoretical work in this study, it is suggested that mechanistic and emergent aspects may helpfully be viewed as a 'vital simultaneity' within the educational

relationship (Davis, 2008) with the interaction between them facilitated by creative agency within a 'pedagogy of interruption' (Biesta, 2006). It was further argued that reflection could be used in responsive and flexible ways to support both learning and assessment as a crucial aspect of a pedagogy of interruption. Such a 'contingently responsive and creative pedagogy' may support the interaction between science and Opening Minds productively. It is suggested that complex approach to a pedagogy of interruption could support teachers in engaging with the creative and diverse elements of science or learning to learn curricula whilst maintaining the mechanistic aspects of teaching that support students in learning key concepts and skills.

Table of Contents

Abstract	3
List of Tables/Illustrations	9
List of Accompanying Material	10
Acknowledgements	11
Chapter 1 - Introduction: The Initial Space of the Possible	12
1.1 Introduction	12
1.2 Complexity Theory	14
1.3 Changing curriculum context	19
1.3.1 Education and curriculum policy in the UK	23
1.3.2 Influences on the culture of education in the UK	24
1.3.3 'Learning to Learn'	26
1.3.4 'How Science Works'	31
1.4 Rationale for the study	35
Chapter 2 - Developing a Complexity Theoretical Framework	38
2.1 Introduction	38
2.2 Complexity theory and education	41
2.2.1 Critiques of complexity theory as applied to education settings	41
2.2.2 Developing 'complexity thinking' in education	47
2.3 A Complexity theoretical framework for researching a case of curriculum chang	şe .53
2.3.1 Relational emergence	53
2.3.2 Temporal emergence	55
2.3.3 Complexity Reduction	64
2.4 Summary	73
Chapter 3 - Complexity Thinking and Social Theory	74
3.1 Introduction	74

	3.2 Agency	77
	3.3 Reflexivity	89
	3.4 Power	93
	3.5 Identity/Subjectivity	96
	3.6 Summary	100
Ch	hapter 4 - Methodology	101
	4.1 Introduction	101
	4.2 'Complexity Thinking' Methodology	102
	4.2.1 Complexity reduction and methodology	107
	4.2.2 'Relational' considerations	109
	4.2.3 'Temporal' considerations	114
	4.3.4 Drawing together relational and temporal	115
	4.2.5 Validity, Rigour and Trustworthiness in Complexity-based research	118
	4.2.6 Responsible, responsive and ethical complexity-based research	121
	4.3 A Case Study of Curriculum Change	125
	4.3.1 Data Collection	
	4.3.1 Data Collection	125
		125
Ch	4.3.3 Analysis	125
	4.3.4 Ethical Procedures	125 131 138
	4.3.3 Analysis	125 131 138 141
	4.3.3 Analysis	125 131 138 141 142
	4.3.3 Analysis 4.3.4 Ethical Procedures hapter 5 - Findings: Describing the Case 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Diagrammatic interpretation of the case	125131141141142
	4.3.3 Analysis 4.3.4 Ethical Procedures hapter 5 - Findings: Describing the Case 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Diagrammatic interpretation of the case 5.3 Describing the case	125131141141142144
	4.3.3 Analysis 4.3.4 Ethical Procedures hapter 5 - Findings: Describing the Case 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Diagrammatic interpretation of the case 5.3 Describing the case 5.3.1 The School	125131141141142144144

5.3.5 The Science Department	154
5.3.6 Year Groups and Tutor Groups	155
Chapter 6 Analysis and Interpretation	158
6.1 Introduction	158
6.2 Teachers' beliefs about education	160
6.2.1 Education is about developing skills	160
6.2.2 Education is about knowledge	165
6.2.3 Education is about raising aspirations and helping children realise them	167
6.2.4 Education is about thinking and questioning	169
6.2.5 Teacher's Beliefs about Education – Summary	170
6.3 Ideas about Opening Minds	171
6.3.1 Opening Minds is about posters and presentations	172
6.3.2 Opening Minds is about transferrable skills	176
6.3.3 Opening Minds is different: looser and less constrained	178
6.3.4 OM is about breaking down barriers between subjects	182
6.3.5 Opening Minds is about thinking, process, metacognition and reflection .	186
6.3.6 Opening Minds encourages student discussion and questioning	189
6.3.7 Ideas about Opening Minds – summary	195
6.4 Reflection and assessment in Opening Minds	196
6.4.1 Student reflection and the language of Opening Minds	197
6.4.2 Developing reflection as a form of assessment in OM	205
6.4.3 Summary of reflection in Opening Minds	209
6.4 Relationship between science and OM	209
6.4.1 Science at Bridge Community School	210
6.4.2 Science teacher's perceptions of Opening Minds	212
6.4.3 OM teachers perceptions of science in relation to OM	218

	6.4.4 Summary of relationship between science and Opening Minds	223
	6.5 Analysis and interpretation summary	223
Cł	napter 7 Discussion	225
	7.1 Introduction	225
	7.2 Mechanistic and emergent approaches in tension	227
	7.2.1 Tension between facilitation of agency and learning skills	229
	7.2.2 Opening Minds, Opening Mouths	232
	7.3.3 Contingently responsive and creative teaching	238
	7.3 Tensions in use of 'reflection'	240
	7.3.1 Reflection-as-process	242
	7.3.2 Reflection-as-interaction	244
	7.3.3 Reflection-as-assessment	246
	7.3.4 Reflection-as-action	247
	7.4 Tensions between science and Opening Minds	250
	7.5 Summary	254
Cł	napter 8 - Summary	256
	8.1 Introduction	256
	8.2 Summary and implications	256
	8.3 Reflection on methodology and design	259
	8.4 Thoughts about further exploration	263
i	st of References	265

List of Tables/Illustrations

Box 1: Characteristics of complex adaptive systems, drawing on Cilliers (1998) and D	avis and
Sumara (2006)	17
Table 1: Discourses of curriculum policy (Moore and Young 2001)	25
Table 2: Learning theories in relation to 'learning to learn'	27
Figure 1: The Big Picture of the National Curriculum.	30
Table 3: Summary of the KS3 national curriculum for science	32
Figure 2: Visualisations of complex systems, taken from Davis and Sumara (2012), p.	3143
Figure 3: Visual representation of a complex systems view of a learner in higher ed	ducation,
taken from Haggis (2008).	44
Table 4: Conditions for Emergence drawn from Davis and Sumara (2006) Chapter 7.	52
Figure 4: Sketch of possible 'trajectories' of complex dynamic system	59
Figure 5: 'Enlarging the Space of the Possible', after Rasmussen (2010)	69
Box 2: Example of agency/structure in complex terms	82
Table 5: Timescale of data collection in context.	128
Figure 6: Diagrammatic representation of the case	143
Table 6: List of OM topics	149
Table 7: The 'CLIPS' competencies underpinning the new Opening Minds curriculum.	150
Figure 7: Students who had studied OM were significantly more likely to respond the	at 'doing
a presentation helped them learn'	174
Figure 8: Tag cloud of responses to open question, 'what do you do in Opening Mind	ls'175
Figure 9: Images of the national curriculum	182
Box 3: Extract from Lesson Observation Notes; Kate's Opening Minds lesson, 11/6/10	0192
Box 4: Extract from staff journal article about OM, by Declan in 08-09	198
Box 5: Examples of using CLIPS in lesson objectives during OM lessons	201
Figure 10: Self-assessing my work.	207
Figure 11: Peer assessment.	208
Table 8: Mean values of science teacher's responses to Teacher Questionnaire	212
Figure 12: Nested levels of schooling, adapted from Davis and Sumara (2006)	237
Figure 13: Network shapes, adapted from Davis and Sumara (2006) p. 52	237

List of Accompanying Material

Appendix 1: Annotated examples of interview schedules: teacher and student

Appendix 2: Lesson observation schedules

Appendix 3: Questionnaires and associated procedures

Appendix 4: Example of matrix analysis

Appendix 5: Certificate of ethical approval

Appendix 6: Example of coding process

Appendix 7: Sketch of data

Appendix 8: Coding Manual