



COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES

Modelling the Performance of an Integrated Urban Wastewater System under Future Conditions

*Submitted by Maryam Astarai-Imani to the
University of Exeter
as a thesis for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Engineering
in September 2012*

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

..... (Signature)

ABSTRACT

The performance of the Integrated Urban Wastewater Systems (IUWS) including: sewer system, WWTP and river, in both operational control and design, under unavoidable future climate change and urbanisation is a concern for water engineers which still needs to be improved. Additionally, with regard to the recent attention around the world to the environment, the quality of water, as the main component of that, has received significant attention as it can have impacts on health of human life, aquatic life and so on. Hence, the necessity of improving systems performance under the future changes to maintain the quality of water is observed. The research presented in this thesis describes the development of risk-based and non-risk-based models to improve the operational control and design of the IUWS under future climate change and urbanisation aiming to maintain the quality of water in recipients.

In this thesis, impacts of climate change and urbanisation on the IUWS performance in terms of the receiving water quality was investigated. In the line with this, different indicators of climate change and urbanisation were selected for evaluation.

Also the performance of the IUWS under future climate change and urbanisation was improved by development of a novel non-risk-based operational control and design models aiming to maintain the quality of water in the river to meet the water quality standards in the recipient. This is initiated by applying a scenario-based approach to describe the possible features of future climate change and /or urbanisation.

Additionally the performance of the IUWS under future climate change and urbanisation was improved by development of a novel risk-based operational control and design models to reduce the risk of water quality failures to maintain the health of aquatic life. This is initiated by considering the uncertainties involved with the urbanisation parameters considered. The risk concept is applied to estimate the risk of water quality breaches for the aquatic life.

Also due to the complexity and time-demanding nature of the IUWS simulation models (which are called about the optimisation process), there is the concern about excessive running times in this study. The novel “MOGA-ANN β ” algorithm was developed for the optimisation process throughout the thesis to speed it up

while preserving the accuracy. The meta-model developed was tested and its performance was evaluated.

In this study, the results obtained from the impact analysis of the future climate change and urbanisation (on the performance of the IUWS) showed that the future conditions have potential to influence the performance of the IUWS in both quality and quantity of water. In line with this, selecting proper future conditions' parameters is important for the system impact analysis. Also the observations demonstrated that the system improvement is required under future conditions. In line with this, the results showed that both risk-based and non-risk-based operational control optimisation of the IUWS in isolation is not good enough to cope with the future conditions and therefore the IUWS design optimisation was carried out to improve the system performance. The risk-based design improvement of the IUWS in this study showed a better potential than the non-risk-based design improvement to meet all the water quality criteria considered in this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Firstly, I would like to express the deepest gratitude to head of the Centre for Water Systems (CWS), Professor Dragan Savic, who gave me this life-changing opportunity, to do my PhD in the CWS.

My further thanks go to my first supervisor Professor Zoran Kapelan for all his supports, guidance and patience received throughout the period of my studies. I learned a lot from him.

My deepest appreciation goes to my second supervisor Professor David Butler who believed and encouraged me to get to this thesis page today. He taught me how to find my aims.

I would like to acknowledge the financial support received through the University of Exeter Research Scholarship (ERS) which contributed towards successful completion of this PhD thesis.

I would like to thank all the current and past members of the CWS, especially my friends in room 275 of Harrison Building (Innocent Bassupi, Stuart Atkinson, Qi Wang, Istvan Galambos, Dongwon Lee and Sarah Ward) with whom I had the pleasure of working, for creating an inspiring research environment. I am particularly thankful for the help and support received from Dr. Guangtao Fu, Dr. Sarah Ward, Dr. Michael Hammond, Dr. Josef Bick and Kent McClymont.

I would like to thank Mrs. Alexandra Slater, the secretary of the CWS, for all her kindness during this period.

I would like to thank my mother and my father for all their helps, supports and love which helped me to get here.

Finally a special thanks goes to my spouse Farrokh for his love, support, patience and understanding during these years. This thesis is dedicated to them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	2
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
TABLE OF CONTENTS	5
LIST OF TABLES	9
LIST OF FIGURES	10
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	13
CHAPTER 1	16
INTRODUCION	16
1.1. General	16
1.2. Aim and Objectives	18
1.3. Layout of the Thesis	19
CHAPTER 2	21
LITERATURE REVIEW	21
2.1. Introduction.....	21
2.2. Integrated Urban Wastewater Systems Modelling.....	22
2.3. Climate Change and Urbanisation Impacts on IUWS Performance ...	28
2.4. Sensitivity, Uncertainty and Risk Analysis.....	42
2.5. IUWS Performance Optimisation.....	56
2.6. Summary	66
CHAPTER 3	68
INTEGRATED URBAN WASTEWATER SYSTEM MODELLING .	68
3.1 Introduction.....	68
3.2 IUWS Model	69
3.2.1 Sewer System Modelling.....	70
3.2.2 Wastewater Treatment Plant Modelling.....	72
3.2.3 River Modelling	73
3.3 Case study	74
3.3.1 Description.....	74
3.3.2 System Performance in the Base Case.....	77

3.4	Summary	80
CHAPTER 4	82
URBANISATION AND CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS	82
4.1	Introduction.....	82
4.2	Sensitivity Analysis Methods	83
4.2.1	Local Sensitivity Analysis Method.....	83
4.2.2	Global Sensitivity Analysis Method.....	84
4.2.2.1	Regional Sensitivity Analysis Method.....	84
4.2.2.2	Latin Hypercube Sampling Method	86
4.3	Correlation Analysis	87
4.4	IUWS Model Input Parameters and Outputs	88
4.4.1	Input Parameters.....	88
4.4.1.1	Climate Change Parameters	88
4.4.1.2	Urbanisation Parameters	89
4.4.1.3	Operational Control Parameters	90
4.4.2	IUWS Model Outputs.....	91
4.5	Case Study.....	93
4.5.1	Introduction	93
4.5.2	Input Parameter Values Used in Sensitivity Analyses.....	93
4.5.3	LSA Results and Discussion	94
4.5.4	GSA Results and Discussion.....	98
4.5.4.1	General	98
4.5.4.2	GSA to the Urbanisation Parameters.....	98
4.5.4.3	GSA to the Operational Control Parameters.....	101
4.5.5	Correlation Analysis Results and Discussion.....	104
4.5.6	Existing IUWS Performance under Climate Change and Urbanisation	107
4.6	Summary	109
CHAPTER 5	111
IMPROVED INTEGRATED URBAN WASTEWATER SYSTEM	111
OPERATION	111
5.1	Introduction.....	111
5.2	Operational Control Optimisation	112
5.2.1	Optimisation Problem Definition	113

5.2.2	Optimisation Scenarios	113
5.3	MOGA-ANN β Optimisation Algorithm.....	116
5.3.1	Methodology.....	116
5.3.2	MOGA-ANN β Performance Validation.....	121
5.4	Optimisation Results and Discussion	127
5.4.1	Climate Change Scenarios.....	127
5.4.2	Combined Climate Change and Urbanisation Scenarios	129
5.4.3	Comparison of Solutions Obtained in Different Scenarios	132
5.4.3.1	Comparing the Operational Control Strategies.....	132
5.4.3.2	Comparison of Water Quality Before and After Operational Control Optimisation.....	140
5.5	Summary	143
CHAPTER 6.....		144
IMPROVED INTEGRATED URBAN WASTEWATER SYSTEM		
DESIGN		144
6.1.	Introduction.....	144
6.2.	IUWS (Re)Design Problem.....	144
6.2.1.	Introduction	144
6.2.2.	Problem Definition.....	145
6.3.	Optimisation Results and Discussion	150
6.3.1.	Pareto- fronts	150
6.3.2.	Comparison of Solutions Obtained in Operational Control and Design Optimisation Models.....	153
6.4.	Summary	158
CHAPTER 7.....		160
RISK-BASED IMPROVEMENT OF INTEGRATED URBAN		
WASTEWATER SYSTEM PERFORMANCE		160
7.1.	Introduction.....	160
7.2.	Risk of Water Quality Failure in the Recipient.....	161
7.2.1.	Risk of Water Quality Failure Definition	161
7.2.2.	Consequence of Water Quality Failure.....	163
7.2.3.	Probability of Water Quality Failure	166

7.2.4. Uncertainties in Risk Modelling	168
7.3. Risk-based IUWS Optimisation	170
7.3.1 Optimisation Problems	170
7.4. Optimisation Results and Discussion	172
7.4.1. Description	172
7.4.2. Risk-Based Operational Control Optimisation.....	173
7.4.3. Risk-Based Design Optimisation	187
7.5. Summary	192
CHAPTER 8.....	194
CONCLUSIONS	194
8.1. Introduction.....	194
8.2. Contribution of the Thesis	195
8.3. Conclusions.....	197
8.4. Present Work Limitations	200
8.5. Future Work Recommendations.....	201
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	205
Papers Presented by the Candidate.....	205
List of References.....	206

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 List of studies using the integrated modelling approach in urban wastewater.....	27
Table 2.2 Summary of the studies focused on the impacts of climate change and/or urbanisation on the quality of water in the IUWS.....	39
Table 2.3 Summary of the studies focused on risk analysis/uncertainty analysis/sensitivity analysis in water quality management in an IUWS.....	53
Table 2.4 Summary of the studies focused on IUWS performance optimisation.....	64
Table 3.1 Operational control parameters in the BC.....	77
Table 4.1 Climate change, urbanisation and operational control parameters' nominal values.....	94
Table 4.2 KS statistic of each input parameter for the model outputs.....	101
Table 4.3 Correlations between system input parameters and IUWS model outputs.....	104
Table 5.1 Operational control optimisation model scenarios.....	114
Table 6.1 Decision variables of the IUWS design optimisation model.....	150
Table 6.2 Cost of IUWS upgrades for different scenarios.....	151
Table 7.1 Recommended DO concentration levels for aquaculture.....	166
Table 7.2 Risk of DO and AMM/un-ionised ammonia failure in the river.....	173

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 SIMBA5 front page and blocks' library.....	70
Figure 3.2 Schematic diagram of the case study of IUWS (semi-hypothetical case study).....	75
Figure 3.3 Base rainfall Hyetograph and CSO discharge.....	78
Figure 3.4 Schematic of the hypothetical river modelled in SIMBA by SWMM.....	79
Figure 3.5 DO and AMM concentration in the river under the BC.....	80
Figure 4.1 Schematic of the cumulative marginal distribution B and NB in the RSA method.....	85
Figure 4.2 The LSA results to DO concentration.....	96
Figure 4.3 The LSA results to AMM concentration.....	97
Figure 4.4 CDFs of the selected urbanisation parameters from GSA under two climate change parameters with regard to DO (Figure 4.4.1 to Figure 4.4.6) and AMM (Figure 4.4.7 to Figure 4.4.12) in the river, respectively.....	100
Figure 4.5 CDFs of the selected operational control parameters from GSA under two climate change parameters with regard to DO (Figure 4.5.1 to Figure 4.5.6) and AMM (Figure 4.5.7 to Figure 4.5.12) in the river.....	103
Figure 4.6 Scatter plots between IUWS input parameters (PCW and $Q_{\max\text{out}}$) and water quality indicators (DO and AMM) under climate change parameters (RD and RI).....	106
Figure 4.7 Empirical CDFs of water quality indicators in the river under climate change parameters.....	107
Figure 4.8 Water quality indicators in the BC, under a representative climate change feature and under a proposed combination of climate change and urbanisation.....	109
Figure 5.1 Flowchart of the MOGA-ANN β algorithm.....	120
Figure 5.2 Selection of the number of neurons in the hidden layer.....	122
Figure 5.3 Comparisons of optimal Pareto-fronts between NSGA-II and the MOGA-ANN β model.....	124
Figure 5.4 Computational time reduction (%).....	125

Figure 5.5 MOGA-ANN β performance indicators.....	127
Figure 5.6 Optimal Pareto-front for SCB, SCC and SCA.....	128
Figure 5.7 Optimal Pareto-front for SCK ₁ and SCK ₂	130
Figure 5.8 Optimal Pareto-front for SCL ₁ and SCL ₂	131
Figure 5.9 Operational control parameters of the solutions within the compliant region; (a) SCA, (b) SCB, (c) SCC.....	135
Figure 5.10 Operational control parameters of the solutions within the compliant region in SCK ₁ and SCK ₂	136
Figure 5.11 Operational control parameters of the all optimal solutions in SCL ₁ and SCL ₂	137
Figure 5.12 Min-DO concentration in reach 40 of the river.....	138
Figure 5.13 Max-AMM concentration in reach 10 of the river.....	138
Figure 5.14 Min-DO concentration in reach 40 of the river.....	139
Figure 5.15 Max-AMM concentration in reach 10 of the river.....	139
Figure 5.16 Min-DO concentration before and after the optimisation in the reach 40 of the river in SCB.....	140
Figure 5.17 Min-DO concentration before and after the optimisation in the reach 40 of the river in SCL ₁	141
Figure 5.18 Max-AMM concentrations before and after the optimisation in the reach 10 of the river in SCB.....	141
Figure 5.19 Max-AMM concentrations before and after the optimisation in the reach 10 of the river in SCL ₁	142
Figure 6.1 Updated schematic of the analysed IUWS.....	146
Figure 6.2 Schematic diagram of the iterative procedure to determine the minimum <i>c</i>	147
Figure 6.3 Optimal Pareto- fronts obtained for SCB from the design optimisation model.....	152
Figure 6.4 Optimal Pareto- fronts obtained for SCL ₁ from the design optimisation model.....	152
Figure 6.5 Optimal Pareto- fronts obtained in SCL ₂ from the design optimisation model.....	153
Figure 6.6 The parameter <i>a</i> in SCB, SCL ₁ and SCL ₂	155
Figure 6.7 IUWS operational control parameters in SCB, SCL ₁ and SCL ₂	157
Figure 7.1 CDF of freshwater long term data for un-ionised ammonia concentration ($\mu\text{g/l}$) on fish mortality.....	164

Figure 7.2 Empirical CDF of freshwater long term data for DO concentration (mg/l) on fish mortality.....	166
Figure 7.3 A hypothetical DO concentration record.....	167
Figure 7.4 Updated optimisation flowchart for the risk-based modelling approach.....	170
Figure 7.5 Schematic diagram of the iterative procedure to determine the minimum c in the risk-based design model.....	172
Figure 7.6 Risk of water quality failure before and after operational control optimisation under SCA, SCB and SCD (i.e. under RD)	174
Figure 7.7 Risk of water quality failure before and after operational control optimisation under SCA, SCC and SCE (i.e. under RI).....	174
Figure 7.8 DO concentration in the river under SCA, SCB and SCD.....	178
Figure 7.9 AMM/un-ionised ammonia concentration in the river under SCA, SCB and SCD.....	178
Figure 7.10 DO concentrations under SCA, SCC and SCE.....	179
Figure 7.11 AMM/un-ionised ammonia concentrations under SCA, SCC and SCE.....	180
Figure 7.12 CSO volume (m^3).....	181
Figure 7.13 DO concentration under SCD before and after system improvement.....	184
Figure 7.14 AMM/ un-ionised ammonia concentration under SCD before and after system improvement.....	184
Figure 7.15 DO concentration under SCE before and after system improvement.....	185
Figure 7.16 AMM/ un-ionised ammonia concentration under SCE before and after system improvement.....	186
Figure 7.17 Risk-based operational control and design model optimal Pareto-front under SCD (i.e. under RD).....	188
Figure 7.18 Risk-based operational control and design optimisation under SCE (i.e. under RI).....	189
Figure 7.19 Comparison of the risk-based design optimal Pareto- front under SCD and equivalent risk values of the non-risk-based design optimal Pareto-front under SCB (i.e. under RD).....	191

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGA	Accelerating Genetic Algorithm
AMM	Ammonium
A-PIC	High-Performance Integrated Control
AR	Assessment Report
ASM	Activated Sludge Model
B	Behavioural
BC	Base Case
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
BSM	Benchmark Simulation Model
CC	Climate Change
CCU	Combined Climate Change and Urbanisation
CDF	Cumulative Distribution Function
Conc	Concentration
CSO	Combined Sewer Overflow
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DSS	Decision Support System
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
DWF	Dry Weather Flow
EA	Environmental Assessment/Environment Agency
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EQSs	Environmental Quality Standards
EU	European Union
FIS	Fundamental Intermittent Standards
FORA	First Order Reliability Analysis
FORM	First Order Reliability Method
FWLAM	Fuzzy Waste Load Allocation Model
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GB	Grey Box
GCM	General Circulation Model
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GLUE	Generalised Likelihood Uncertainty Estimation
GSA	Global Sensitivity Analysis
IA	Impact assessment/ Impact Analysis

ICM	Integrated Catchment Modelling
ICS	Integrated Catchment Simulator
INCA	Integrated Catchment
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IRA	Integrated Risk Assessment
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
IUWM	Integrated Urban Water Management
IUWS	Integrated Urban Wastewater Systems
IWWTS	Integrated WWTP-Sewer Systems
KS	Kolmogorov-Smirnov
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
LCM	Land Change Modeller
LC50	Lethal to 50% of the organisms
LHS	Latin Hypercube Sampling
LoE	Lines of Evidence
LSA	Local Sensitivity Analysis
MC	Monte Carlo
NB	Non-Behavioural
NLP	Non-Linear Programming
ONS	Office for National Statistics
OPT	Optimisation
OWTS	Onsite Wastewater Treatment System
PCA	Pollution Control Agency
PDF	Probability Distribution Function
POMs	Programs of Measures
QUASAR	Quality Simulation Along River
RA	Risk Analysis/Assessment
RCM	Regional Climate Model
REW	Review Paper
RP	Return Period
RSA	Regional Sensitivity Analysis
RTC	Real Time Control
SA	Sensitivity Analysis
SRES	Special Report on Emission Scenario
SS	Suspended Solids

SWAT	Soil and Water Assessment Tool
SWMM	Storm Water Management
TGICA	Task Group on Data and Scenario Support for Impact and Climate Assessment
TUs	Treatment Units
UA	Uncertainty Analysis
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UPM	Urban Pollution Management
Urb	Urbanisation
VSS	Volatile Suspended Solid
WaterRAT	Water quality Risk Analysis Tool
WFD(L)	Water Framework Directive (Legislations)
WGs	Working Groups
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WQ	Water Quality assessment/management
WUs	Water-Using units
WWF	Wet Weather Flow
WWTP	Wastewater Treatment Plants