

An Exploration of the Interrelationships between Inclusive Education, School  
Structure and Flexibility, Collaboration and School Values

Volume 1 of 1

Submitted by **Gillian Barbara Golder** to the University of Exeter

as a thesis for the degree of

Master of Philosophy in Education

In January 2012

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright  
material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper  
acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified  
and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a  
degree by this or any other University.

Signature: .....



## **Abstract**

School improvement literature and research into inclusive education has advocated a more connected approach to developing an understanding of school effectiveness and inclusion (Florien & Rouse, 2001); yet, knowledge gaps exist in the understanding of how school structure, flexibility, collaborative practice and school values combine to create more inclusive schools. Recent inclusive education research emphasises the need to re-evaluate conceptual models of inclusion and how individual contexts combine process, structure and expertise, to personalise inclusive practice (Kinchella & Senior, 2008). Booth et al.'s (2002) *Index for Inclusion* proposed three dimensions that contribute to the development of inclusion, inclusive culture, inclusive policy and inclusive practice. Dyson et al. (2002) suggested that attempts to develop inclusive schools should pay attention to the development of 'inclusive' cultures and, particularly, to the building of some degree of consensus around inclusive values and development of general principles of school organisation and classroom practice. Concurrently, research by Pang (2004) on school structures suggested four binding forces that hold organisations together, cultural linkage, bureaucratic linkage, loose coupling and tight coupling. This thesis examines the interrelationship between inclusive education, school structure and flexibility, collaboration and school values. A two phase multiple case study approach is used; the first using 6 schools as exploratory case studies, then, 3 as descriptive case studies to help explore the profile of an inclusive school and the interrelationships between the four elements above. The exploratory case studies found a common emerging profile of inclusive schools. The subsequent phase two case studies present a description of the interrelationship between collaborative practices; inclusive schooling and school organisation manifest themselves in similar types of schools. Therefore, this thesis contributes to inclusive education knowledge by proposing how the emerging profiles of inclusive schools contributes to a conceptual model of inclusion that have both theoretical and applied school improvement implications.

## **Acknowledgments**

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisors, Brahm Norwich and Elias Avramidis for their support throughout my research. I sincerely appreciate their encouragement. Thanks also to the schools and staff therein who agreed to participate in this research.

I want to take this opportunity to thank my parents for everything they have done for me and their precious advice through my life, I am only sorry Mum could not see me finish this. I would also like to thank colleagues at work for the patience to enable me to carry on whilst changing jobs and roles and finally, my partner and friends for keeping me in touch with the 'real world' throughout my lengthy research period.

<b>Contents page</b>	
<b>Title Page</b>	1
<b>Abstract</b>	3
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	4
<b>List of Contents</b>	5
<b>List of Tables and Figures</b>	11
<b>List of Appendices</b>	21
<b>Definitions and Abbreviations</b>	22
<b>Chapter 1 - Introduction</b>	25
1.1 Rationale for this area of study	25
1.2 Chapter Outlines	26
1.2.2 Chapter 2 Inclusive education	26
1.2.3 Chapter 3 School structure and flexibility	27
1.2.4 Chapter 4 Collaboration in education	28
1.2.5 Chapter 5 School values and ethos	28
1.2.6 Chapter 6 Methodology	29
1.2.7 Chapter 7 Results Phase One	29
1.2.8 Chapter 8 Exploring the Profile of an Inclusive School (phase two)	29
1.2.9 Chapter 9 Discussion	29
1.2.10 Chapter 10 Summary, Conclusions and implications	30
<b>CHAPTER 2 – INCLUSIVE EDUCATION</b>	31

2.1 Introduction	31
2.2 Legislation impacting on inclusive schooling	31
2.3 What is Inclusive Education?	36
2.4 Special or Inclusive Educational Structures	41
2.5 Measures of Inclusion	46
<b>Chapter 3 – Educational Effectiveness - School Structure and Flexibility</b>	49
3.1 Introduction	49
3.2 The Design of Educational Effectiveness Research	50
3.3 Organisational Structures	52
3.4 Models of organisational theory	53
3.5 Organisational coexistence and educational management and structure	54
<b>Chapter 4 Collaboration</b>	67
4.1 Introduction to collaboration	67
4.2 Concept based models of collaboration	68
4.3 Process based model	70
4.4 Perceived benefits to collaboration	73
4.5 Collegiality and contrived collegiality	74
4.6 School Organisation and Collaboration	77
4.7 Pressure for collaborative work	78

4.8 Different levels of Collaboration	80
<b>Chapter 5: Educational Values and Ethos</b>	83
<i>5.1 Introduction</i>	83
<i>5.2 Philosophy of Education</i>	83
<i>5.3 Defining values in education:</i>	87
<i>5.4 Professional values and practice that govern teaching and teachers in the United Kingdom</i>	94
<i>5.5 Values in Special Education - a shared vision</i>	100
<i>5.6 Conclusion</i>	102
<b>Chapter 6: Research Methods</b>	103
6.1 Introduction- Planning and Research Design	103
6.1.1 Exploring Paradigm	103
6.1.2 Epistemology and Ontology of this research	104
6.1.3 Theoretical Perspective of this research	106
6.1.4 Methodology of this research	109
6.1.5 Methods for this research	111
6.2 Measures	115
6.2.1 The Inclusion Inventory	116
6.2.2 The School Structure and Flexibility Inventory	117
6.2.3 The Collaboration Inventory	118
6.2.4 The School Values Inventory	119
6.3 Reliability	119
6.4 Phase one of data collection	121

6.4.1 The Research Population	121
6.5 Phase two data collection – Multi – approach Case Studies	123
6.5.1 The Research Population	124
6.5.2 Sample populations	125
6.6 Interpreting the inventories	126
6.6.1 Inclusion	126
6.6.2 School Structure and Flexibility	127
6.6.3 Collaboration	130
6.6.4 School Values Inventory	131
6.6 Ethical Considerations	131
6.8 The Research Questions	132
<b>Chapter 7 Results Phase 1</b>	133
7.0 Introduction	133
7.1 Developing a School Profile	133
7.1.1 School 1 Inclusion	133
7.1.2 School 1 School Structure and Flexibility	134
7.1.3 School 1 Collaboration	137
7.1.4 School 1 School Values	139
7.1.5.1 School 1 Qualitative Results Inclusion	141
7.1.5.2 School 1 Qualitative Results School Structure and Flexibility	143
7.1.5.3 School 1 Qualitative Results Collaboration	144
7.1.5.4 School 1 Qualitative Results School Values	145
7.1.6 School 1 Conclusions	146
7.2 Developing a School Profile	147

7.2.1 School 2 Inclusion	147
7.2.2 School 2 School Structure and Flexibility	148
7.2.3 School 2 Collaboration	151
7.2.4 School 2 School Values	153
7.2.5.1 School 2 Qualitative Results Inclusion	155
7.2.5.2 School 2 Qualitative Results School Structure and Flexibility	157
7.2.5.3 School 2 Qualitative Results Collaboration	158
7.2.5.4 School 2 Qualitative Results School Values	159
7.2.6 School 2 Conclusion	160
<b>7.3 Developing a School Profile</b>	<b>161</b>
7.3.1 School 3 Inclusion	161
7.3.2 School 3 School Structure and Flexibility	162
7.3.3 School 3 Collaboration	164
7.3.4 School 3 School Values	167
7.3.5.1 School 3 Qualitative Results Inclusion	169
7.3.5.2 School 3 Qualitative Results School Structure and Flexibility	172
7.3.5.3 School 3 Qualitative Results Collaboration	172
7.3.5.4 School 3 Qualitative Results School Values	174
7.3.6 School 3 Conclusion	175
<b>7.4 Developing a School Profile</b>	<b>176</b>
7.4.1 School 4 Inclusion	176
7.4.2 School 4 School Structure and Flexibility	177
7.4.3 School 4 Collaboration	179
7.4.4 School 4 School Values	182
7.4.5.1 School 4 Qualitative Results Inclusion	184

7.4.5.2 School 4 Qualitative Results School Structure and Flexibility	186
7.4.5.3 School 4 Qualitative Results Collaboration	187
7.4.5.4 School 4 Qualitative Results School Values	188
7.4.6 School 4 Conclusion	189
<b>7.5 Developing a School Profile</b>	<b>190</b>
7.5.1 School 5 Inclusion	190
7.5.2 School 5 School Structure and Flexibility	192
7.5.3 School 5 Collaboration	194
7.5.4 School 5 School Values	196
7.5.5.1 School 5 Qualitative Results Inclusion	198
7.5.5.2 School 5 Qualitative Results School Structure and Flexibility	200
7.5.5.3 School 5 Qualitative Results Collaboration	201
7.5.5.4 School 5 Qualitative Results School Values	202
7.5.6 School 5 Conclusion	203
<b>7.6 Developing a School Profile</b>	<b>204</b>
7.6.1 School 6 Inclusion	204
7.6.2 School 6 School Structure and Flexibility	305
7.6.3 School 6 Collaboration	206
7.6.4 School 6 School Values	210
7.6.5.1 School 6 Qualitative Results Inclusion	212
7.6.5.2 School 6 Qualitative Results School Structure and Flexibility	214
7.6.5.3 School 6 Qualitative Results Collaboration	215
7.6.5.4 School 6 Qualitative Results School Values	217
7.6.6 School 6 Conclusion	217
<b>Chapter 8 – Exploring the Profile of an Inclusive School (phase two)</b>	<b>219</b>

8.0 Exploration of The Profile of an Inclusive School	219
8.1.8 Case study results	224
8.1.1 Case Study 1	224
8.1.2 Case Study 2	239
8.1.3 Case Study 3	253
8.2 Self Improvement Planning	269
8.3 Exploration of difference by role across the three case studies	272
8.4 Exploring a Profile	277
<b>Chapter 9 - Discussion</b>	293
9.1 Initial thoughts	293
9.2 Developing Inclusive Culture, Policies and Practice	294
9.3 Linking a Conceptual Model for Inclusion to School Structure and Flexibility	297
9.4 Collaboration, School Structure and Inclusion	302
9.5 Implications for Leadership of Inclusive Schools	304
<b>Chapter 10 – Summary, Conclusions and Implications</b>	309
10.1 Final thoughts on Inclusive Education	309
10.2 Weakness of this Research	310
10.3 Implications from these Findings and	312
10.4 Recommendations for Future Research	314
<b>Appendices (A – Q)</b>	317



## List of Tables and Figures

	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table 2.1	A summary of definitions of inclusion in primary and secondary education (From Gibson 2004, p10)	38
Table 2.2	Three different Educational Structure identified by Powell (2004, p7).	43
Figure 3.1	A basic systems model on the functioning of education	50
Table 3.1	Important Characteristics of Loosely Coupled Systems (Horne, 1992, p89)	57
Figure 3.2	Sammons' (2007) Processes and Components of Effective Schools	60
Table 3.2	Characteristics of Pang's (1998) binding forces.	62
Table 3.3	Binding Forces effects on four dimensions, Pang (2004)	63
Figure 3.3	Four Factor model of organisational values of secondary Schools in Hong Kong (Pang, 1988, p.324) (Factor loadings and correlation coefficients have been omitted).	65
Figure 4.1	Process bases definition of the liaison - collaboration continuum (Payne, 1993)	67
Figure 4.2	Model of engaging transformational leadership (Alimo-Metcalfe, 2008)	69
Table 4.1	Key Characteristics of Hargreaves Collaborative Cultures.	70
Table 4.2	A Summation of the Proposed Benefits of Collaboration	73
Table 4.3	Critical Factors for 3 levels of collaborative work.	80
<i>Figure 5.1</i>	<i>A framework for teacher reflection of school values (Husu,</i>	91

	<i>2004 [online]</i>	
Figure 5.2	<i>The effect of time on values in education (Le Métais 1997, p.4)</i>	92
Figure 6.1	The four elements of research process (adapted from Crotty, 1998).	104
Figure 6.2	Inductive / deductive cycle – adapted from Strauss and Corbin 1998	110
Figure 6.3	The Research Design	114
Figure 6.4	Four Factor model of organisational values of secondary Schools in Hong Kong (Pang, 1998, p.324) (Factor loadings and correlation coefficients have been omitted).	118
Table 6.1	Reliability of 4 different inventories using Cronbach Alpha	120
Table 6.2	Phase 1 data collection sample group summary	122
Table 6.3	Staff completing the questionnaire by school.	123
Table 6.4	Case study descriptions	125
Table 6.5	The range of staff from each case study	126
Figure 6.5	Four Factor Model of organisational values adapted from Pang (1997)	129
Table 7.1	School 1 Inclusion data	133
Table 7.2	School 1 School Structure and Flexibility data	135
Figure 7.1	School 1 Developing Profile with 2 constructs	136
Table 7.3	School 1 Collaboration Data	137
Figure 7.2	School 1 Developing Profile with 3 constructs	138

Table 7.4:	School 1 School Values Data	139
Figure 7.3	School 1 Full Profile	140
Figure 7.4	School 1-The perceived biggest problems with inclusion (%)	143
Figure 7.5	Most regular forms of informal collaboration used in school 1	144
Figure 7.6	Most regular forms of formal collaboration used in school 1	145
Table 7.5	School 2 Inclusion data	147
Table 7.6:	School 2 School Structure and Flexibility data	148
Figure 7.7	School 2 Developing Profile with 2 constructs	150
Table 7.7	School 2 Collaboration Data	151
Figure 7.8	School 2 Developing Profile with 3 constructs	152
Table 7.8	School 2 School Values Data	153
Figure 7.9	School 2 Full Profile	154
Figure 7.10	School 2 - The perceived biggest problems with inclusion (%)	157
Figure 7.11	Most regular forms of informal collaboration used in school 2	158
Figure 7.12	Most regular forms of formal collaboration used in school 2	159
Table 7.9	School 3 Inclusion data	161
Table 7.10	School 3 School Structure and Flexibility data	162
Figure 7.13	School 3 Developing Profile with 2 constructs	164
Table 7.11	School 3 Collaboration Data	165
Figure 7.14	School 3 Developing Profile with 3 constructs	166
Table 7.12	School 3 School Values Data	167

Figure 7.15	School 3 Full Profile	168
Figure 7.16	School 3 - The perceived biggest problems with inclusion (%)	171
Figure 7.17	Most regular forms of informal collaboration used in school 3	173
Figure 7.18	Most regular forms of formal collaboration used in school 3	174
Table 7.13	School 4 Inclusion data	176
Table 7.14	School 4 School Structure and Flexibility data	177
Figure 7.19	School 4 Developing Profile with 2 constructs	179
Table 7.15	School 4 Collaboration Data	179
Figure 7.20	School 4 Developing Profile with 3 constructs	181
Table 7.16	School 4 School Values Data	182
Figure 7.21	School 4 Full Profile	183
Figure 7.22	School 4 - The perceived biggest problems with inclusion (%)	186
Figure 7.23	Most regular forms of informal collaboration used in school 4	187
Figure 7.24	Most regular forms of formal collaboration used in school 4	188
Table 7.17	School 5 Inclusion data	190
Table 7.18	School 5 School Structure and Flexibility data	192
Figure 7.25	School 5 Developing Profile with 2 constructs	193
Table 7.19	School 5 Collaboration Data	194
Figure 7.26	School 5 Developing Profile with 3 constructs	195
Table 7.20	School 5 School Values Data	196
Figure 7.27	School 5 Full Profile	197

Figure 7.28	School 5 - The perceived biggest problems with inclusion (%)	200
Figure 7.29	Most regular forms of informal collaboration used in school 5	201
Figure 7.30	Most regular forms of formal collaboration used in school 5	201
Table 7.21	School 6 Inclusion data	204
Table 7.22	School 6 School Structure and Flexibility data	205
Figure 7.31	School 6 Developing Profile with 2 constructs	206
Table 7.23	School 6 Collaboration Data	207
Figure 7.32	School 6 Developing Profile with 3 constructs	209
Table 7.24	School 6 School Values Data	210
Figure 7.33	School 6 Full Profile	211
Figure 7.34	School 6 - The perceived biggest problems with inclusion (%)	214
Figure 7.45	Most regular forms of informal collaboration used in school 6	215
Figure 7.36	Most regular forms of formal collaboration used in school 6	216
Figure 8.1	Characteristics of inclusive culture (adapted from Dyson et al 2002)	220
Figure 8.2	Exploratory school profiles	222
Figure 8.3	Case Study 1 Full Profile	226
Table 8.1	Data tables for Case Study 1 Inclusion Inventory	227
Table 8.2	Data tables for Case Study 1 Structure and Flexibility Inventory	228
Table 8.3	Data tables for Case Study 1 Collaboration Inventory	230

Figure 8.4	Most regular forms of informal collaboration used in Case Study 1	231
Figure 8.5	Most regular forms of formal collaboration used in Case Study 1	232
Table 8.4	Data tables for Case Study 1 Values Inventory	133
Figure 8.6	Case Study 1 - The perceived biggest problems with including all learners (%)	134
Table 8.5	Index for Inclusion (Booth and Ainscow, 2002, p.3) - Eleven Points of Reference.	136
Figure 8.7	Case Study 2 Full Profile	140
Table 8.6	Data tables for Case Study 2 Inclusion Inventory	141
Table 8.7	Data tables for Case Study 2 Structure and Flexibility Inventory	143
Table 8.8	Data tables for Case Study 2 Collaboration Inventory	245
Figure 8.8	Most regular forms of informal collaboration used in Case Study 2	246
Figure 8.9	Most regular forms of formal collaboration used in Case Study 2	247
Table 8.9	Data tables for Case Study 2 Values Inventory	248
Figure 8.10	Case Study 2 - The perceived biggest problems with including all learners (%)	249
Figure 8.11	Case Study 3 Full Profile	254
Table 8.10	Data tables for Case Study 3 Inclusion Inventory	255
Table 8.11	Data tables for Case Study 3 Structure and Flexibility Inventory	257

Table 8.12	Data tables for Case Study 3 Collaboration Inventory	260
Figure 8.12	Most regular forms of informal collaboration used in Case Study 3	261
Figure 8.13	Most regular forms of formal collaboration used in Case Study 3	262
Table 8.13	Data tables for Case Study 3 Values Inventory	263
Figure 8.14	Case Study 3 - The perceived biggest problems with including all learners (%)	264
Figure 8.15	The relationship between the SEF and OFSTED inspection process	270
Figure 8.16	Case study profile by role	272
Figure 8.17	Inclusion Inventory - Case Study Data by Role	274
Figure 8.18	School Structure and Flexibility Inventory - Case Study Data by Role	275
Figure 8.19	Collaboration Inventory - Case Study Data by Role	276
Figure 8.20	School Values Inventory - Case Study Data by Role	277
Figure 8.21	Three Case Study Comparison of Profiles.	277
Table 8.14	Summary of the predominant aspects of each Case Study	280
Table 8.15	Review of themes from discussions against Booth and Ainscow (2002) Eleven key reference points	291
Figure 9.1	Conceptual Model of Inclusion (Kinsella and Senior 2008, p.656)	298
Figure 9.2	Key factors taken to be characteristics conducive to distributed leadership (Ritchie and Woods 2007. p. 372)	306



## List of Appendices

		<b>Page</b>
Appendix A	Initial Concept Map of literature (drawn by my father William Quintin Golder)	319
Appendix B	Fundamental principles of inclusive education (adapted from Thomazet 2009)	321
Appendix C	Characteristics of Effective and Ineffective Schools Summary of Research	325
Appendix D	Components of nine main effectiveness-enhancing factors (Scheerens 2004, p10)	329
Appendix E	Outline of federation schools, through schools, trust schools and academies	331
Appendix F	<i>A summary of professional values explicit within English legislation and policy</i>	335
Appendix G	4 Scale Inventory Questionnaire and Case Study Discussion Group Questions	339
Appendix H	Power point slides for Case Study Staff Training	363
Appendix I	Exeter University Ethical Consent	365
Appendix J	Outline of Case Study 1 Staff opinion against the most common occurring points of reference	369
Appendix K	Outline of Case Study 2 Staff opinion against the most common occurring points of reference	373
Appendix L	Outline of Case Study 3 Staff opinion against the most common occurring points of reference	377

Appendix M	Case Study 1 SEF	381
Appendix N	Case Study 2 SEF	389
Appendix O	Case Study 3 SEF	397
Appendix P	Key themes from Focus group discussions	405
Appendix Q	Booth and Ainscow (2002) Index for Inclusion – Indicators (taken from pages 39-41)	413

