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Abstract—This paper reports on the influences of magnetic media remanent magnetizations on the output levels in digital magnetic tape and disk recording. While at low densities a high magnetization is required for a high output, a lower magnetization is required at high densities. However, for particular applications, an output near to the maximum can be obtained from a wide range of remanent magnetization values, which suggests possible uses for media with diverse properties.

Index Terms—Magnetic recording, remanent magnetization.

I. INTRODUCTION

THERE has been much discussion over the years on the influence of the magnetic hysteresis properties of recording media on their digital recording performances. Much of this discussion has concentrated on the influence of media thickness and coercivity on recorded transition widths, which need to be kept small to ensure large and narrow replayed pulses. It has led to the universally accepted requirement for high-density recording media that they be thin and of high coercivity. When it comes to the influence of the remanent magnetization on high-density operation, there needs to be further discussion, and this is the purpose of this paper. The relevant theoretical background is provided and predictions are made of the magnitudes of the remanent magnetizations needed for high outputs at high recording densities. Two media thicknesses are considered which correspond to thin tapes and thin disks.

II. THEORY

A. Output Voltages

The output voltage amplitude \(e(0)\) generated in a Karlqvist type head by an alternating sequence of arctangent shaped transitions has been calculated by many authors. Here, expression (40) of Middleton et al. [1], with some changes to symbols, has been manipulated to represent the rolloff curve as

\[
e(0) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \mu_0 \eta \mu M_r n g d \left(\frac{\tanh \left(\frac{\pi(a+d)}{\chi}\right)}{\tanh \left(\frac{\pi(a)}{\chi}\right)}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi q}{\chi}\right) (a + d) > 2g
\]

where \(q\) is the arctangent transition width parameter, \(M_r\) is the remanent magnetization, \(d\) is the head to medium spacing, \(\delta\) is the medium thickness, \(g\) is the replay head gap length, \(\chi\) is the wavelength of recording, \(n\) is the number of turns on the head which has efficiency \(\eta\), \(w\) is the track width, \(v\) is the medium velocity, and \(\mu_0\) is the permeability of free space.

Substituting for \(\chi\) in (2a) leads to

\[
a = \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{y}{\pi Q} \left[\frac{\delta}{4} - \frac{y}{\pi Q} \left(1 - S^*\right) + \frac{M_r \delta y (1 + \chi)}{\pi Q H_c} \right]^{1/2} d + \frac{D}{2}.
\]

For rectangular loops, (2a) and (2b) become

\[
\chi \to \infty, \quad S^* \to 1.
\]

Using (1), with transition width given by (2b), the rolloff curves for three media with magnetization values of 300, 150, and 100 kA/m (emu/cc) and \(S^* = 0.8\) are shown in Fig. 1. The medium thickness is assumed to be 100 nm corresponding to a thin tape. At low densities, the medium with the higher magnetization has the highest output, while at very high densities, it is
the medium with the lowest magnetization which produces the highest output.

At high densities, (1) can be approximated to
\[
e(0) = \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right) J_n \nu M_n \sin \left(\frac{2\pi d}{\lambda}\right) e^{-2\pi(a+d)/\lambda} (1 - e^{-2\pi\delta/\lambda})
\]
\[\lambda < (a + d)
\]

and from (3) and (2b), the variation of output amplitude as a function of \( M_r \) is shown in Fig. 2 again for the thicker tape medium. This reveals that while there is a value of magnetization which maximizes the output, there is a wide range of magnetization values that produce outputs near to the maximum. The existence of a maximum comes about because (3) is made up of a linear term involving magnetization multiplied by an exponential term which decreases with increasing magnetization with the result that there is an optimum value [5]. The values of magnetization used in the formation of Fig. 2 are approximately those available in media today and show that current magnetizations are near to the optimum. However, when values closer to those of current thin-film disk media are used, Fig. 3 shows that they are way below the optimum.

**B. Optimum Magnetization at High Densities**

Maximization of the output (3) with respect to \( M_r \) at high densities leads to the condition for optimum magnetization \( M_r^{\text{opt}} \) at wavelength \( \lambda_m \) of
\[
\frac{da}{dM_r} = \frac{\lambda_m}{2\pi M_r^{\text{opt}}}. \tag{4}
\]

Substitution of (2a) into (4) and evaluation leads to
\[
\lambda_m = \frac{2M_r^{\text{opt}} y}{\chi H_c} + \frac{\pi M_r^{\text{opt}}}{\chi H_c} \left\{ \left( \frac{\delta}{4} - \frac{M_r^{\text{opt}}}{\chi H_c} \right) \left( \frac{\delta}{\chi H_c} \right) + \frac{\delta y(1+\gamma)}{\chi H_c} \right\} \tag{5a}
\]
which, with substitution for \( \chi \), becomes
\[
\lambda_m = \frac{2y(1-S^*)}{Q} + \frac{\delta y(1-S^*)}{Q} \left( \frac{M_r^{\text{opt}}}{H_c(1-S^*)} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{H_c}{M_r^{\text{opt}}(1-S^*)} \right) \right] \tag{5b}
\]
For rectangular hysteresis loops, (5a) and (5b) reduce to
\[
\lambda_m = \frac{M_r^{\text{opt}} y}{\chi H_c} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{M_r^{\text{opt}} y}{\chi H_c}} \chi \to \infty \quad S^* \to 1. \tag{5c}
\]
Equations (5a)–(5c) show that as wavelengths decrease, so do the values of $M_{r}^{\text{opt}}$ [5]. Inversion of (5a) and (5b) is only feasible numerically, but inversion of (5c) is straightforward and leads to

\[
M_{r}^{\text{opt}} = \frac{\lambda_{m}^{2}QH_{c}}{2\pi\delta y} \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\pi\delta}{2\lambda_{m}} \right)^{2}} \right\}
\]

where $D_{m} = 2/\lambda_{m}$ is the packing density corresponding to the wavelength $\lambda_{m}$. Equation (6) clearly shows that the optimum values of magnetization reduce as packing densities increase and this is confirmed in Fig. 4, which has been determined using (5b) and the parameters of the thicker media.

The essence of this analysis is not changed by substituting a shielded magnetoresistive head for the inductive head since the output waveforms bear significant similarities [6]. This is so provided the heads remain in their linear regions, otherwise nonlinear effects on replay will need to be considered. However, with a noisy medium, the power signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at the replay head will be important. While noise sources which relate to the granularity of the media may be diverse in their origins, they invariably generate powers proportional to $M_{r}^{2}$ [3], [7]. Thus, the power SNR, on discarding spacing, thickness, and gap losses in (3) is

\[
\text{SNR} \propto e^{-2\pi\alpha/\lambda}
\]

which decreases as $M_{r}$ increases, and so a low magnetization is the requirement. However, noise sources from other than the medium mean that an optimum magnetization occurs.

III. Conclusion

It has been shown that in media of thickness around 100 nm as recording densities increase, low values of magnetization are needed to maximize outputs. However, a wide range of magnetization values are capable of producing outputs near to the maxima leading to the possible use of a range of media for high-density digital recording. When thin-film disk media are considered, the current magnetization values are found to be substantially less than the optima.
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