The Optical Response of Rectangular Metallic Gratings and Metal/Dielectric Multilayers

Submitted by

Martyn Richard Gadsdon

To the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Physics, June 2009.

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

..... (signature)

Abstract

HE ABILITY OF periodic surface variations to influence and control the electromagnetic response of interfaces and structures has been recognised for many years. Concurrently with these investigations, it has been found that individual particles and wires support interesting electromagnetic resonances. It has also long been established that multi-layer structures of planar interfaces may also result in interesting electromagnetic responses. Multi-layer structures of alternating dielectrics have been shown to produce periodic transmission resonances, however, if one of the dielectrics is replaced with a thin metallic film, it has recently been demonstrated that wide band-pass regions are formed in the electromagnetic response of the structure.

The work presented in this thesis can be considered to be separated into two distinct, but related, areas. One of the areas involves the analysis of wire grid arrays. It is demonstrated that, like the case of deep surface relief perturbations, the waveguide modes in the slits can be considered as the evolution of surface modes on shallow surface relief perturbations. The perturbation effects of the slits on the surface modes and the effect of their excitation on optically thick and thin wires are also investigated. Finally, a new electromagnetic resonance is presented on both 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional wire grid arrays. It is shown that this is closely related to the localised surface modes that have been shown to occur on individual particles and wires. However, the resonance presented is shown to be subtly different from these modes, which typically result in a transmission and reflection extinction, because the planar geometry of the wires are optically thin.

The second area of this work may be separated into two distinct sub-sections.

The first section examines the electromagnetic response of dielectric/metal multilayer stacks. These are confirmed to exhibit a periodic series of broad band-pass regions, with the spectral location of these regions being dependent only on the unit cell, not the full extent of the structures. The location of each band-edge of these regions are then demonstrated to be a result of the matching of boundary conditions between standing waves in the cavities having either a *cos* or a *sin* standing wave function, and the evanescent fields inside the metal layers having either a *sinh* or a *cosh* field distribution.

The second section examines the electromagnetic response of continuous surface relief gratings, with a rectangular cross-section, whose ridges are very thin. It is shown that vertical standing waves form, similar to the cavity waveguide modes, except with the fields coupled through the wires not across the grooves. These are then shown to reach a finite limit frequency as the grating height tends to infinity. Thus, the resonances have evolved into a different mode beyond a certain grating amplitude. This mode is shown to to be equivalent to the band-pass region described in multi-layer metal/dielectric stacks. However, scattering and periodicity considerations require that only the low frequency band-edge can be coupled to at normal incidence, while only the high frequency band-edge may be coupled to at grazing incidence.

Contents

A	bstra	ct	2
Li	st of	Figures	8
A	cknow	vledgements	27
1	Intr	oduction	31
2	Surf	face Plasmon Polaritons	37
	2.1	Introduction	37
	2.2	The Surface Plasmon Polariton	39
	2.3	The Surface Plasmon Polariton Dispersion Relation	40
	2.4	Surface Plasmon Polariton Penetration Depth	45
	2.5	Surface Plasmon Polariton Propagation Length	46
	2.6	Summary of the Surface Plasmon Polariton on a Planar Interface	47
	2.7	Prism Coupling to the Surface Plasmon Polariton	48
	2.8	Grating Coupling to the Surface Plasmon Polariton	50
		2.8.1 Introduction	50
		2.8.2 1-dimensional Grating Coupling	51
		2.8.3 2-dimensional Grating Coupling	58
	2.9	Surface Plasmon Polariton Dispersion Band-Gaps	61
	2.10	Efficiency of Grating Coupling	65
	2.11	Surface Plasmon Polaritons on Thin Metallic Films	74
	2.12	Localised Surface Plasmon Resonances	78
	2.13	Summary	83

3	Rig	orous '	Theory		85
	3.1	Introd	uction .		85
	3.2	Review	w of Meth	ods	86
		3.2.1	The Per	turbation Methods	86
		3.2.2	The Inte	egral Methods	87
		3.2.3	The Diff	erential Methods	88
		3.2.4	Modal N	Iethods	89
			3.2.4.1	The Exact Modal Method	89
			3.2.4.2	The Fourier Modal Method	90
	3.3	The F	ourier Mo	dal Method in Detail	94
		3.3.1	The Diff	erential Form of Maxwell's Equations	94
		3.3.2	Transver	rse Magnetic Incidence in the Classical Mount	95
			3.3.2.1	Representation of the Fields in Each Layer	95
			3.3.2.2	Application of the Boundary Conditions $\ldots \ldots$	105
			3.3.2.3	Calculating the Dispersion of the Resonances of the	
				System	112
			3.3.2.4	Field Calculation	113
		3.3.3	Transver	rse Electric Incidence in the Classical Mount \ldots	113
		3.3.4	Conical	Incidence	115
		3.3.5	2-dimen	sional Periodicity	119
		3.3.6	Testing	of the Codes	129
		3.3.7	Converg	ence	133
	3.4	Summ	ary		135
4	Sur	face Pi	lasmon I	Polaritons on Lamellar Gratings	136
	4.1	Introd	uction .		136
	4.2	The E	nhanced '	Transmission Phenomenon	140
	4.3	Cavity	v Resonan	ces on Lamellar Gratings	146
	4.4	Coupl	ing betwe	en Cavity Resonances and Surface Modes	152
	4.5	Locali	sed Resor	nances on Metallic Wires	160
	4.6	The P	erturbatio	on Effect of Lamellar Gratings	163

	4.7	Summary
5	Opt	ical Resonances on Sub-wavelength Silver Lamellar Gratings 183
	5.1	Introduction
	5.2	Theoretical Model
	5.3	Initial Results
	5.4	Resonance Dependence
		5.4.1 Dependence of Modes with Grating Height
		5.4.2 Dependence of Cavity Resonance on Slit Width and In-plane
		Momentum $\ldots \ldots 192$
		5.4.3 Dependence of Horizontal Plasmon Resonance on Wire Width,
		Slit Width and In-plane Momentum
	5.5	The origin of the particle plasmon mode
	5.6	Comparison with Experiment
	5.7	Conclusions
6	Eleo	tromagnetic Resonances of Multi-layer Metal/Dielectric Stacks217
	6.1	Introduction
	6.2	Initial Results
	6.3	Theoretical Analysis
	6.4	Further Results
	6.5	Generalisation
	6.6	Conclusions
7	Sur	ace plasmon polaritons on deep narrow ridged rectangular grat-
	ings	236
	7.1	Introduction
	7.2	Results
	7.3	Conclusions
8	Exp	erimental Verification of the Particle-Plasmon Mode 253
	8.1	Introduction
	8.2	Sample Fabrication

		8.2.1	The Photoresist Grating	. 255
			8.2.1.1 Substrate Cleaning and Photoresist Spinning	. 255
			8.2.1.2 Photo-interference Lithography	. 256
		8.2.2	Angled Evaporation of Chrome	. 261
		8.2.3	Reactive Ion Etching	. 263
		8.2.4	Final Evaporation and Removal of Unwanted Materials	. 265
	8.3	Measu	rement of the Optical Response of the Structures	. 266
	8.4	Result	s and Discussions	. 268
		8.4.1	Alternative Fabrication Techniques	. 271
		8.4.2	Experimental Results	. 273
		8.4.3	Theoretical Modelling of Lamellar Gratings	. 275
		8.4.4	Analysis of Results	. 278
	8.5	Summ	ary	. 286
9	Con	clusio	ns	287
	9.1	Summ	ary and Possible Applications	. 287
	9.2	Future	e Work	. 292
Pι	ıblica	ations		295
Bi	bliog	raphy		296

List of Figures

2.1	A schematic diagram of radiation, with wavevector k_d , incident from	
	a dielectric medium, with permittivity ϵ_d , on a planar metal surface,	
	with permittivity ϵ_m	41
2.2	Schematic diagram of the SPP dispersion curve (solid line) for a pla-	
	nar metal/dielectric interface. Also shown are the plasma frequency	
	limit ω_{sp} (dotted line) and the light line (dashed line), the region	
	this bounds (the grey area) defines the range of in-plane momentum	
	accessible to incident radiation.	44
2.3	Schematic representation of the polarisation surface charge density	
	and associated electric field distribution for the SPP resonance. The	
	electric field decays exponentially into both media, but faster into the	
	metal than the dielectric	47
2.4	Dispersion curve showing the region of reciprocal space where cou-	
	pling between light incident at the silica prism-metal interface and	
	the SPP mode propagating at the air-metal interface can occur (light	
	grey region)	50
2.5	Schematic diagram of the two ATR configurations for the excitation of	
	SPPs. (a) the Otto configuration and (b) the Kretschmann-Raether	
	configuration.	51
2.6	Schematic representation of a 1-dimensional rectangular cross-section	
	grating in the conical mount. The diagram displays the grating pa-	
	rameters, the angles that define the incidence of the plane wave and	
	its linear polarisation, and the hypothetical discretisation of the struc-	
	ture into three different layers	52

- 2.7 Schematic representation of the dispersion diagram for a shallow surface relief grating. The solid lines are the SPP dispersion curves while the dashed lines are the light lines and diffracted light lines. Scattering from the grating vector k_g folds the SPP dispersion curves back inside the radiative region (grey area) so that excitation can occur. 55

2.12	Electric field and surface charge distributions for the two standing	
	wave solutions of counter propagating SPPs. The field lines in the	
	lower sketch are more distorted, therefore this is the higher energy	
	solution.	63
2.13	Schematic diagram of the band gap associated with the lowest fre-	
	quency crossing point at normal incidence, i.e. the band-gap associ-	
	ated with the standing wave that results from the counter propagation	
	of the $\pm k_g$ SPPs	64
2.14	Zero-order TM reflectivity, as a function of frequency, for a 1 μm pitch	
	$30\ nm$ amplitude rectangular cross-section continuous silver grating	
	for normal incidence in the classical mount ($\varphi = 0^{\circ}$)	66
2.15	The dependence of the zeroth-order TM reflectivity of the grating in	
	figure 2.14 as a function of grating amplitude, $h. \ldots \ldots \ldots$	70
2.16	The dependence of the zeroth-order TM reflectivity of the grating in	
	figure 2.14 as a function of mark-to-space ratio, f_x , (a) fundamental	
	SPP, (b) first Harmonic SPP	71
2.17	Spectral location of the fundamental (a) and first harmonic (b) TM	
	reflection minima of the grating in figure 2.14 as a function of mark-	
	to-space ratio, f_x	72
2.18	Schematic diagram of the dispersion curves of the high and low fre-	
	quency coupled SPPs on an optically thin planar metal film	76
2.19	Schematic representation of the profile of the tangential H_z fields for	
	the low ((a): asymmetric - SRSPP) and high frequency ((b): sym-	
	metric - LRSPP) coupled SPPs on an optically thin planar metal film.	
	Also shown are the corresponding surface charge distributions	77
2.20	Schematic diagram of the dipolar surface charge distribution gener-	
	ated on a nano-particle due to an incident electromagnetic wave. Also	
	shown is the re-radiation of light over a range of angles rather than	
	in only the specular direction	80

3.1	Schematic representation of the definition of one unit cell of a periodic
	layer. The shaded area defines the width of the wires/ridges $(f_x d_x)$,
	which are centred about $x = 0$, while the dashed lines denote the
	slits/grooves of width $d_x(1-f_x)$ (half either side of the wire/ridge as
	a result of the symmetry
3.2	Abstract layered grating structure, where the horizontal lines repre-
	sent the interfaces between the regions defined in figure 2.6 in chapter
	2
3.3	Schematic representation of the definition of one unit cell of a periodic
	layer for 2-dimensional periodicity. The shaded area defines the width
	of the wires/ridges $(f_x d_x, f_z d_z)$, which are centred about $x = 0$, while
	the dashed lines denote the slits/grooves of width $(1-f_x)d_x, (1-f_z)d_z$.
	An array of grooves/holes rather than ridges/particles can be created
	by reversing the permittivities $\epsilon_{a,l}$ and $\epsilon_{b,l}$
3.4	Abstract representation of the simplified calculation of the Fourier
	coefficients of a 2-dimensional grating
3.5	The convergence of the zeroth (a) and negative first diffracted (b) or-
	ders for increasing truncation order of a gold grating, with $h = 1 \ \mu m$,
	$d_x = 1 \ \mu m, \ f_x = 0.5$, illuminated by TM radiation of wavelength
	$\lambda = 1 \ \mu m$ at $\theta = 30^{\circ}$ in the classical mount ($\varphi = 0^{\circ}$). The solid
	line denotes the correct Fourier factorisation, the dashed lines the old
	incorrect factorisation and the dotted line is the exact value 130
3.6	The convergence of the zeroth (a) and negative first diffracted (b)
	orders for increasing truncation order of a gold grating, with $h =$
	1 μm , $d_x = 1 \ \mu m$, $f_x = 0.5$, illuminated by radiation with its electric
	vector at an angle $\psi = 45^{\circ}$ to the plane of incidence, with wavelength
	$\lambda = 1 \ \mu m$, polar angle $\theta = 30^{\circ}$, and an azimuthal angle of ($\varphi = 30^{\circ}$).
	The solid line denotes the correct Fourier factorisation, the dashed
	lines the old incorrect factorisation and the dotted line is the exact
	value

- 4.1 Schematic representation of the transmission enhancing mechanisms:
 (a) no SPP excitation, (b) SPP is excited on one interface, (c) SPP excited on both interfaces.

- 4.4 Dispersion curves for 200 nm pitch, 50 nm wide, Gaussian-grooved silver gratings in the classical mount illuminated by TM radiation, with (a) h = 100 nm, (b) h = 150 nm, and (c) h = 300 nm. 150
- 4.6 Top: The magnetic field profile for a TM polarized, $0.84 \ eV$ normal incidence plane wave. The geometry of the sub-grooves create cavity modes that concentrates the energy of the incident beam within the sub-grooves. Bottom: The Poynting vector shows that energy is being channeled from the subgroove CMs to and through the main groove. 153
- 4.7 Photonic band structure (black dots) of the SPP bands responsible for coupled SPP-cavity mode transmission resonances for two different grating heights, (a) $h = 0.6 \ \mu m$ and (b) $h = 3 \ \mu m$. In (b) the coupling occurs when the cavity resonance (flat banded mode) occurs in the band gap region of an SPP anti-crossing, as at $k_x = 0$ and $E = 0.17 \ eV$. The blue dots represent the frequency of the SPP bands for the limit of infinitesimal amplitude (and no SPP coupling). 155
- 4.8 The **E**-field over two periods of transmission metal gratings ($d_x = 3.5 \ \mu m, \ f_x d_x = 0.5 \ \mu m$) of thickness, $h = 0.6 \ \mu m$, in vacuum. The wavelength of the normal incident TM radiation is $\lambda = 3.6 \ \mu m$ 157
- 4.9 Schematic diagram of the two models that allow the excitation of cavity modes but prevent the existence of horizontal SPPs. 159

- 4.16 Poles of the S matrix of a silver rectangular grating as a function of both the incident frequency and in-plane momentum for decreasing t. The fixed grating parameters are $d_x = 500 \ nm$, $f_x d_x = 480 \ nm$, $h = 10 \ nm$ and the substrate is vacuum. The frequency, wavelength, and in-plane momentum ranges are the same as in figure 4.12. 174
- 4.17 Poles of the S matrix of a silver lamellar grating as a function of both the incident frequency and in-plane momentum for increasing slit widths $(1 - f_x)d_x = 1$ nm. The fixed grating parameters are $d_x = 500$ nm, h = 10 nm and the substrate is vacuum. The frequency and in-plane momentum ranges are the same as in Figure 4.12. 177

5.5	Reflection efficiency as a function of grating height for two high f_x
	values. The grating parameters are $d_x = 144 \ nm, \ f_x = 0.9$ and
	$f_x = 0.98, \ \lambda = 550 \ nm. \ \dots \ $
5.6	The $ \underline{\mathbf{H}}_z $ fields for the two reflection minima of figure 5.5, with $d_x =$
	144 nm, $f_x = 0.9, \lambda = 550$ nm
5.7	Reflection efficiency response of the cavity mode resonance as a func-
	tion of both the incident frequency and slit width, for a constant wire
	width of 64.8 nm . The grating height is $h = 91 nm$. The frequency
	is in the same range as in Figure 5.3 and the slit width is in the range
	$10 \ nm \le (1 - f_x)d_x \le 100 \ nm. \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $
5.8	Reflection efficiency response of the cavity mode resonance as a func-
	tion of both the incident frequency and in-plane momentum. The
	grating parameters are $h = 91 nm$, $d_x = 144 nm$ and $f_x = 0.9$.
	The frequency is in the same range as in Figure 5.3 and the in-plane
	momentum is in the range $0 \le 2k_x/k_g \le 1. \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 193$
5.9	Reflection efficiency response of the coupled horizontal particle plas-
	mon resonance as a function of both the incident frequency and slit
	width, for a constant wire width of 64.8 nm . The grating height is
	h = 9 nm. The frequency is in the same range as in figure 5.3. The
	slit width is in the range $0.2 \ nm \le (1 - f_x)d_x \le 100.2 \ nm$
5.10	Reflection efficiency response of the coupled horizontal particle plas-
	mon resonance as a function of both the incident frequency and wire
	width, for a constant slit width of 79.2 nm . The grating height is
	h = 9 nm. The frequency is in the same range as in figure 5.3. The
	wire width is in the range 40 $nm \le f_x d_x \le 184 nm. \ldots 196$

- 5.12 Reflection efficiency response of the coupled horizontal particle plasmon resonance as a function of both the incident frequency and in-plane momentum. The grating parameters are $d_x = 144 \ nm$, $f_x = 0.45$ and $h = 9 \ nm$. The frequency is in the same range as in figure 5.3 and the in-plane momentum is in the range $0 \le 2k_x/k_g \le 1$. 198

- 5.15 Poles of the S matrix and reflection efficiency response of a silver lamellar grating as a function of both the incident frequency and inplane momentum. The fixed grating parameters are $d_x = 140 \ nm$, $f_x = 0.5, \ h = 10 \ nm$ and the substrate is vacuum. The frequency and in-plane momentum ranges are the same as in Figure 5.14. 202

- 5.18 Reflection and transmission efficiency responses of a silver rectangular grating as a function of both the incident frequency and in-plane momentum. The fixed grating parameters are $d_x = 140 \ nm, f_x = 0.5$, $h = 10 \ nm$ and the substrate is vacuum. The frequency and in-plane momentum ranges are the same as in figure 5.14, and $t = 2 \ nm.$. . . 206
- 5.19 Repeat of the reflection efficiency investigation of figure 5.15(b), except illuminated in an Otto configuration using a silica prism spaced
 200 nm from the grating to allow observation of the resonance in the non-radiative region. The dotted line is the air light line while the dashed line is the light line in silica.

- 5.22 Experimental data published in figure 8(c) of Schider *et. al.* (points), with theoretical calculations using the present model (line). Experimental parameters are given as $d_x = 350 \ nm, \ h = 25 \ nm, \ f_x = 0.43$; theoretical parameters are $d_x = 356 \ nm, \ h = 27 \ nm, \ f_x = 0.43$ 212
- 5.24 The $|\underline{\mathbf{H}}_z|$ fields for the fundamental reflection peak $(h = 27 \ nm)$ observed in figure 5.23, with $d_x = 356 \ nm$, $f_x = 0.43$, $\lambda = 601 \ nm$. . . 213

- 6.3 Reflection efficiency response of the multi-layer structure comprising of ten 6.5 nm silver layers separated by nine 166 nm air layers. The incident and transmission materials are also air and the structure is illuminated at normal incidence. The permittivity of the silver layers are approximated by the Drude Model with the parameters as defined in the main text (solid line) and by the Drude model with the imaginary part of the permittivity removed (dashed line). The frequency range is $0 \times 10^{15} \ rad.s^{-1} < \omega \leq 6.28 \times 10^{15} \ rad.s^{-1}$ 221

Reflection efficiency response of the grating as a function of both the 7.3incident frequency and wire width, $f_x d_x$. The fixed grating parameters are $(1 - f_x)d_x = 166 nm$, h = 350 nm and $\theta = 4.89^{\circ}$. The frequency range is the same as in figure 7.1. The wire width is in the range 1 $nm \le f_x d_x \le 50 \ nm \ldots 240$ The $|H_z|$ fields for the two lowset frequency reflection minima in figure 7.47.1 for $f_x = 0.17$. Both fields are plotted at a phase of $\varphi = \pi/2$ relative to the incident radiation at a phase $\varphi = 0$. The black line indicates Reflection efficiency response of the grating as a function of both the 7.5incident frequency and grating height, h. The fixed grating parameters are $(1 - fx)d_x = 225 \ nm, \ f_x d_x = 10 \ nm$ and $\theta = 4.89^\circ$. The frequency range is the same as in figure 7.1. The height is in the range 10 $nm \le h \le 1000 nm$. $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 243$ Poles of the scattering matrix of a silver rectangular grating as a 7.6function of both the incident frequency and in-plane momentum for increasing h. The fixed grating parameters are $d_x = 172.5 nm$, $f_x d_x =$ 6.5 nm. The frequency is in the range $0 < \omega \leq 6.28 \times 10^{15} \ rad.s^{-1}$, giving a wavelength range $\infty > \lambda \geq 300 \ nm$, and the in-plane momentum is in the range $0 \le 2k_x/k_g \le 1$. The dotted lines indicates Reflection efficiency response of the multi-layer structure, comprising 7.7of ten silver layers of thickness 1 $nm \leq b \leq 50 nm$ separated by nine 166 nm air layers. The black squares are the limit solutions to equations 7.1 and 7.2. The incident and transmission materials are also air and the structure is illuminated at normal incidence. The

- 8.1 Schematic representation of the fabrication method applied in the manufacture of the lamellar gratings studied experimentally. 254

8.5	Schematic diagram of the system used to measure the wavelength	
	dependent reflection and transmission of the samples. The polariser	
	allows selection of the incident polarisation.	. 267
8.6	SEM image of a lamellar aluminium grating fabricated by the manu-	
	facturing process described in the present chapter	268
8.7	Schematic representation of the alternative fabrication method at-	
	tempted in the manufacture of lamellar gratings	. 272
8.8	Wavelength dependent reflection and transmission efficiencies of the	
	lamellar grating shown in figure 8.6 in the classical mount and with	
	an incidence angle of θ = 5°, for (a) TE polarisation and (b) TM	
	polarisation.	275
8.9	SEM image of a thick silver lamellar grating fabricated using a focused	
	ion beam system	. 276
8.10	Wavelength dependent TM reflection efficiency of the lamellar grating	
	shown in figure 8.9 in the classical mount and with an incidence angle	
	of $\theta = 5^{\circ}$. 278
8.11	The modification of the SEM image of the lamellar grating (figure	
	8.6 such that the white regions denote areas of metal and the black	
	regions the slits	. 279
8.12	Normalised histograms of the wire (a) and slit (b) widths of the sam-	
	ple shown in figure 8.6 utilising the intermediary image shown in	
	figure 8.11	. 281
8.13	Wavelength dependent TM reflection and transmission efficiencies of	
	the lamellar grating shown in figure 8.6 in the classical mount and	
	with an incidence angle of $\theta = 5^{\circ}$. The black lines are experimental	
	data while the blue lines are modelled	. 281

and transmission efficiencies of	8.14 Wavelength dependent TM reflect
8.6 in the classical mount and	the lamellar grating shown in fig
ne black lines are experimental	with an incidence angle of $\theta = 5$
elled data including the statis-	data while the blue lines are the
width	tical analysis of the variations in
on and transmission efficiencies	8.15 Grating height dependent TM re
8.6 in the classical mount and	of the lamellar grating shown in f
an illuminating wavelength of	with an incidence angle of $\theta = 5^\circ$
	$\lambda = 513 \ nm. \ \dots \ \dots \ \dots \ \dots$
odelled reflection maximum in	8.16 The $ \underline{\mathbf{H}}_z $ fields calculated for the
line denotes the location of the	figure 8.13 at $\lambda = 513 \ nm$. The b
	wire

Acknowledgements

HAVE BEEN very fortunate to have undertaken my PhD in such a friendly, personable and high quality research group. Everyone has consistently been welcoming and always willing to help, from sitting down with me to discuss problems I may have had, and graciously offering the benefit of their knowledge and experience, to being prepared to work through experiments/fabrication techniques/theoretical work to ensure I was doing such things correctly.

Of course I must give the first specific mention to my Supervisor, Professor J. Roy Sambles, who generously gave me the opportunity to undertake this doctorate in the first place. Sadly I have heard many horror stories about Supervisors who spend unreasonably small amounts of time with their students, who offer very little in the way of academic insight/understanding, who regularly insist on their students undertaking futile investigations, and who take an extremely long time to return pieces of work that they are to review before publication/presentation causing excessive productivity bottlenecks. As such, I consider myself incredibly lucky to have had Prof. Sambles as my supervisor, his apparently boundless enthusiasm and excitement for science has never ceased to amaze me, I am sure you don't need me to tell you how fortunate science, and physics in particular, has been to have him. Personally, he has always made an effort to ensure I have had more than adequate supervision, by ensuring that he constantly knew the current state of my work, and always made sure I was being productive and heading in a sensible direction, particularly during periods when my motivation could have waned when things were not going as straightforwardly as I wished. I must also thank him for always being prepared to sit down with me to ensure I always fully understood the scientific implications of my results, particularly the more surprising ones. Finally I must say thank you for the extraordinary speed with which he returned pieces of my work he was reviewing, because this always meant that the only bottleneck in my productivity came from my side. Without him I would certainly not be sat here writing these acknowledgments.

I must also say a huge thank you to Dr Ian Hooper, my surrogate supervisor, who helped me with far too many aspects of my PhD to note down in these acknowledgements; of which these include demonstrating the vast majority of the experimental and fabrication techniques I used, and constantly and patiently explaining all of my questions about every scientific, technical and practical aspect of my PhD (especially the stupid ones). I would also not be writing these acknowledgments if it was not for him. I hope he is enjoying his well deserved break travelling round the world, but I also hope he is given the opportunity to return to academia because I think it would be a shame to lose someone of his quality.

Thanks also to all at Sharp Laboratories of Europe for their financial support and showing me that their is a bigger picture than just academia, especially my industrial supervisor Jonathan Mather.

One of my basement colleagues, Stephen Cornford, must also get a special mention for giving me the benefit of his wisdom on numerous occasions, usually, but not exclusively, of a mathematical and computational nature. If he were to flick through Chapter 3 he'll see a figure that represents a significant simplification of the mathematics I used, and simply wouldn't be there without his advice. Further, I cannot thank him enough for introducing me to the wonderful Ubuntu, Latex, R, and all things open source.

I would also like to thank two members of our technical support staff, Dave Jarvis and Pete Cann. Dave for carrying out many of the evaporations for which I did not have access to appropriate equipment, and for always doing them far quicker than I expected. Pete for helping me out with several technical issues, in particular making many of my substrates, and a clever little angled evaporation mount.

I must also thank my mentor Dr Feodor Ogrin for several useful discussions and comments from an outside perspective. In no particular order the following members of our group have also helped me in one way or another, be it directly, or simply by imbuing the group with such a friendly and productive atmosphere: Dr Tim Atherton, Dr Tim Taphouse, Dr John Birkett, Dr Sharon Jewell, Dr Alastair Hibbins, Dr Andy Murray, Baptiste Auguié, James Parsons (some may say my partner in crime, but we did talk sensibly about physics on many occasions, just never when we had an audience!), James Edmunds, Dr Matt Lockyear, Ciarán Stewart, Ed Stone, Dr Rob Kelly, Dr Euan Hendry, Dr Pete Vukusic, Prof. Bill Barnes, Prof. Fuzi Yang, Dr Lizhen Ruan, Dr George Zorinyants, Chris Burrows, Tom Isaac, Rich Hartman, Mel Taylor, Joe Noyes, Tomasz Trzeciak, and of course Dr Death and Mr Doom.

From reading several other acknowledgments it appears to be customary at this stage to reveal embarrassing nicknames and to generally dish the dirt on your fellow colleagues. However, I am going to disappoint everyone and break that trend, mainly because I am not witty enough to carry it off particularly well. So, I won't mention Mr Justice, nor will I talk about how shockingly behaved Al, Euan and Sharon were on the conference, while Matt, Rob and myself behaved impeccably, and I most definitely wont mention any of the numerous scrapes and incidents a certain Mr J. Asbo has got himself involved in.

I would like to finish my Exeter acknowledgements with a general warning to new PhD students in our group, or maybe it is more a clarification. Don't worry about the various offensive words that you are bound to have directed at you from Matt, it appears to be a strange sign of affection, perhaps some sort of rite of passage. The only time you need to worry is when he isn't calling you all the names under the sun. Put it this way, I certainly know I didn't feel like I'd truly made it until I was subjected to a torrent of abuse while standing atop a mountain in Austria as a result of a less than salubrious comment on my part!

Thank you all again and good luck to everyone, I will miss both working and socialising with you.

I must now take a brief moment to make a special mention of an old teacher from secondary school (old as in years ago, not as in he is old!), who I really ought to have gone and thanked in person before now. Nigel Bispham, who taught me science from my first day in secondary school, through to my final days as an Alevel physics student. I suppose I must have had some natural inclination towards science, and physics in particular, but there is no doubt in my mind that, without him spotting this and then using his extraordinary enthusiasm for teaching science (not to mention his patience in dealing with me!), my love of physics would not have been nurtured as carefully and attentively as it was. I know I'll never forget him explaining exponential growth/decay using the area of his bald spot as a function of the length of time he had been teaching me! When I started university, realising how much effort he had put in to me was one of the significant reasons why I finally pulled my finger out and started trying at, not just enjoying, physics, which was something I had not always done before! It is a cliche, but teachers like him really are worth their weight in gold, I certainly would not have reached the level that brings me to write these acknowledgements without him.

The last section of my acknowledgements must, of course, be devoted to thanking my family. I cannot ever fully explain how appreciative I am for everything they have done for me, both practically and more intangibly, but I hope a few words here will go some way towards redressing the balance. They have always been hugely encouraging and supportive of my education, even when I chopped and changed what I was doing, making sure I understand the importance of bettering yourself, but importantly, without ever being too pressurising. I have always been free to make my own decisions without feeling I have to do what is expected – without that encouragement yet freedom I would not be here now.

Right, that should be everyone I think. If in the unlikely event that an unmentioned person who deserves thanks is reading this then I apologise sincerely that I have not mentioned you. I hope you realise that the fact I have omitted you does not mean I do not appreciate your help, it just means I have a terrible memory and I hope you take consolation in that fact!

Thank you all again.