Male – male social interactions in breeder and bachelor groups of gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla*):

An indication of behavioural flexibility

Submitted by Penelope Kirsten Pullen, to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology, July 2009.

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

Vin Van Tullan

Abstract

The establishment of bachelor gorilla groups in captivity, along with the continued success of the captive breeding programme provides an opportunity for research on social interactions in two differing circumstances. This thesis focuses on male – male social interactions. Emphasis is placed on dominance and affiliative behaviours and gives indications of the level of behavioural flexibility within both breeder and bachelor gorilla groups.

Evaluation of behavioural diversity, to validate the use of multi-institutional research, confirms that behavioural phenomena, such as the effect of age class, are not masked by the potential confound of differing husbandry practices and enclosure design between institutions.

It was found that males in bachelor groups express significantly lower frequencies of both dominance and aggressive behaviours than males in breeder groups. A Relationship Quality Index (RQI, based on the ration of dominance to affiliative behaviours) was developed and again bachelor males exhibited a significantly lower RQI, indicating that bachelor males express a greater frequency of dominance behaviours than affiliative behaviours. This may have a direct impact on the social development of young males, and potentially their social competence in later life, a significant finding for the management of gorillas in captivity. In addition, it can be suggested that affiliative behaviours, which may work to repair damage to social bonds, may not be performed to the same extent in bachelor groups, suggesting that the 'value' of social bonds within a bachelor group may be reduced.

Contents

Abstract		2
Contents		3
List of figures		7
List of tables		10
Acknowledgments		11
Chapter 1 Introduc	tion	
1.1 Gorillas ii	n the wild	12
1.1.1	Taxonomy, ecology and habitat	12
1.1.2	Conservation status	14
1.1.3	Gorilla behaviour (socio-ecology) – an overview	15
1.1.4	Dispersal and philopatry	17
1.1.5	Bachelor groups – the situation in the wild	19
1.2 Western g	gorillas in captivity	21
1.2.1	Gorilla populations in captivity	21
1.2.2	Housing and husbandry techniques	22
1.2.3	Parent vs. hand rearing	24
1.2.4	Bachelor groups in captivity	26
1.3 Social into	eractions	28
1.3.1	Social systems and social conflict	28
1.3.2	Aggression	29
1.3.3	Affiliation	31
1.3.4	Behavioural flexibility	35
1.4 Aims of the	he research	37
Chapter 2 Methods		
2.1 Group des	scriptions and individuals	39
2.1.1	Sampling considerations	39
2.1.2	Bachelor groups	40
2.1.3 1	Breeder groups	46
2.2 Enclosure	e use and husbandry	49

2.2.1 Spread of participation index	50
2.2.2 Activity levels	51
2.2.3 Assessment of social competence	52
2.3 Data collection	
2.3.1 Instantaneous scan sampling	57
2.3.2 All-occurrence data sampling	59
2.3.3 Continuous focal follows	59
2.3.4 Longitudinal research	60
2.4 Statistical analysis	61
Chapter 3 Comparisons of activity and enclosure use across institutions	
3.1 Introduction	64
3.1.1 Multi-institutional research	64
3.1.2 Behavioural patterns	65
3.1.3 Enclosure use	67
3.1.4 Aims and hypotheses	69
3.2 Methods	69
3.2.1 Data manipulation and statistics	70
3.3 Results	71
3.3.1 Activity patterns	71
3.3.2 Enclosure use	75
3.4 Discussion	76
3.4.1 Behavioural diversity	76
3.4.2 Spread of participation index	78
Chapter 4 Male social spacing and dominance hierarchies in breeder and	bachelor
gorilla groups	
4.1 Introduction	80
4.1.1 Dominance hierarchies (despotism and egalitarianism)	81
4.1.2 Social spacing and the implications of proximity	82
4.1.3 Hypotheses / predictions	85
4.2 Methods	
4.2.1 Data manipulation and statistics	86
4.3 Results	89

	4.3.1 Social spacing	89
	4.3.2 Dominance hierarchies	96
	4.3.3 Association indices	97
4.4	4 Discussion	100
Chanter :	5 Social relationships between males in breeder and ba	ichelor groups of
gorillas	po a contraction and a contrac	groups or
C	1 Introduction	106
	5.1.1 Social groups	106
	5.1.2 Aggressive behaviours	107
	5.1.3 Affiliation in social systems	108
	5.1.4 Hypotheses / predictions	110
5.2	2 Methods	111
	5.2.1 Data manipulation and statistics	111
5.3	3 Results	113
	5.3.1 Frequency of dominance behaviours	113
	5.3.2 Frequency of aggressive behaviour	114
	5.3.3 Dominance behaviour index	115
	5.3.4 Frequency of affiliative behaviours	117
	5.3.5 Relationship quality index (RQI)	119
	5.3.6 "Applied Results"	121
	5.3.7 Correlations of strength of hierarchy with DBI	,
	RQI and behaviours	123
5.4	4 Discussion	126
Chapter (6 Longitudinal changes in hierarchy and social relation	nships within a
bachelor	group at Paignton Zoo Environmental Park	
6.1	1 Introduction	134
	6.1.1 Living in bachelor groups	134
	6.1.2 Paignton's bachelor gorilla group	135
	6.1.3 Hypotheses / predictions	136
6.2	2 Methods	137
	6.2.1 Data manipulation and analysis	138
6.3	3 Results	139

139
142
145
149
154
156
157
158
159
159
161
163
164
166
169
171
174
180

List of figures

Chapter 1 Introduction	
1.1 Status of the living captive gorilla population in European and	
North American zoos	22
Chapter 2 Methods	
2.1 Individual gorilla moves in and out of Paignton Zoo	
and data collection periods	43
Chapter 3 Comparisons of activity and enclosure use across institutions	
3.1 Behavioural diversity of captive male western gorillas housed in breeder	
and bachelor groups	72
3.2 Behavioural diversity across institutions	72
3.3 Behavioural equitability of captive male western gorillas housed in breeder	
and bachelor groups	73
3.4 Behavioural equitability of hand reared or mother reared captive	
western gorillas	74
3.5 Behavioural equitability across institutions	74
3.6 Enclosure use of captive male western gorillas held in bachelor groups	75
3.7 Enclosure use of captive male western gorillas held in breeder groups	76
Chapter 4 Male social spacing and dominance hierarchies in breeder and bac	chelor
gorilla groups	
4.1 Nearest neighbour distances between captive male western gorillas housed	
in breeder and bachelor groups (data when females, juveniles and infants	
are the nearest neighbour included)	90
4.2 Nearest neighbour distances and average mean kinship with group members o	f
captive male western gorillas housed in breeder and bachelor groups (data	
when females, juveniles and infants are the nearest neighbour included)	91
4.3 Nearest neighbour distances and average mean kinship with other group members.	bers

of captive western gorillas housed in breeder or bachelor groups (data	
when females, juveniles and infants are nearest neighbours excluded)	92
4.4 Nearest neighbour distances and institutions (data when females, juveniles	
and infants are nearest neighbours excluded)	
4.5 Second nearest neighbour distances and institutions (data when females,	
juveniles and infants are nearest included)	
4.6 Second nearest neighbour distances and institutions (data from females,	
juveniles and infants absent)	95
4.7 Strength (linearity) of dominance hierarchies between males in breeder	
groups and bachelor groups	97
4.8 Association SPI and institutions	98
4.9 Correlation between the strength of hierarchy and breeder group silverback	
SPI	99
Chapter 5 Social relationships between males in breeder and bachelor groups	s of
gorillas	
5.1 Frequency of dominance behaviours in captive male western gorillas	113
5.2 Frequency of dominance behaviours and mean kinship with other group	
members	114
5.3 Frequency of aggressive behaviour and institutions	115
5.4 Dominance behaviour index and institutions	116
5.5 Dominance behaviour index and mean kinship with other group members	
in captive male western gorillas	117
5.6 Frequency of affiliative behaviours in captive male western gorillas that	
have been hand reared or mother reared	118
5.7 Frequency of affiliative behaviours and mean kinship in captive male	
western gorillas	119
5.8 Relationship quality index in captive male western gorillas	120
5.9 Relationship quality index and mean kinship in captive male western gorillas	121
5.10 Frequency of dominance behaviours and institutions	122
5.11 Frequency of affiliative behaviours in captive male western gorillas	
5.12 Correlation between strength of hierarchy and silverback's DBI in bachelor	
gorilla groups	124

125
125
a
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147

List of tables

Chapter 2 Methods	
2.1 Bachelor gorillas observed during this research	41
2.2 Males in breeder groups observed during this research	
2.3 Summary of enclosure designs and husbandry routines	
2.4 Age structure of the Paignton Zoo group at differing data collection sessions	
Chapter 4 Male social spacing and dominance hierarchies in breeder and back	chelor
gorilla groups	
4.1 Weighted distance categories used for nearest and second nearest	
neighbour analysis	
4.2 Summary of factors having significant effects on the distance between	
males gorillas and their nearest and second nearest neighbour	96
Chapter 6 Longitudinal changes in hierarchy and social relationships within	a
bachelor group at Paignton Zoo Environmental Park	
6.1 Age structure of the Paignton Zoo group at differing data collection sessions	137

Acknowledgements

I would like to start by acknowledging the support and advice of my supervisors

Dr. Lisa Leaver and Prof Stephen Lea (Exeter University) and, in particular, Dr. Amy

Plowman (Whitley Wildlife Conservation Trust) for guiding me through this research.

I must also acknowledge the support and encouragement of the Whitley Wildlife Conservation Trust; without the Trust's backing this research could not have been carried out. In addition my colleagues within the Trust's Field Conservation and Research Department have always been prepared to provide encouragement and a cup of tea.

This research was greatly facilitated by the helpfulness of the managers and the staff of each of the zoos that I visited: Calgary Zoo, Columbus Zoo, Apenheul Primate Park, Belfast Zoological Gardens, Disney Animal Kingdom, Boissiere du Doré, Loro Parque, Paignton Zoo Environmental Park and Port Lympne Wild Animal Park. In each of these institutions I received support and encouragement but there are a couple of people who really looked after me: Rose Fodor (Calgary Zoo), Rebecca Phillips and Debbie Machamer (Disney Animal Kingdom), Sam Bremner-Harrison (Belfast), Frank Rietkerk (Apenheul Primate Park).

There are many other people in the zoo community and the university who have always been there with support when necessary. I'd like to thank Andrea Fidgett and Holly Farmer for always listening, Nicole Dorey for keeping me going, Tracey Moore for always answering and Mike Woolham for telling me fairy stories and keeping me laughing.