

BEYOND THE CODE:

Unpacking Tacit Knowledge and Embodied Cognition in the Practical Action of Curating Contemporary Art

Sophia Krzys Acord

Supervisors:

Tia DeNora

Robert Witkin

Submitted by Sophia Krzys Acord, to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Sociology, September 2009. This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement. I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.*

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Sophia Krzys Acord', is written over a horizontal line.

NB: This online version has been revised following acceptance for the PhD to remove identifying details of those who participated in the research. This includes editing quotes and fieldnotes excerpts, removing some images, and removing some data excerpts. Brackets have been used to indicate where textual information has been removed or edited.

ABSTRACT

Re-evaluating classic work in the sociology of the visual arts, this Ph.D. thesis explores the tacit and practical bases of artistic mediation with reference to curatorial exhibition making in contemporary art. Data presented here derive from a visual microethnographic study of the exhibition-making process in two elite European centers for contemporary art, combined with an additional thirty-five interviews with other curatorial professionals. By focusing on the visual dimensions of curatorial work, this thesis uses a case study in the sociology of art to think more broadly about aesthetic materials as active mediators of action, or *actants* in the sense of actor-network theory. Drawing on work in the sociology of education, communication studies, and the sociologies of science and technology, this research explores how the material, embodied, and situated interactions between curators, objects, and environments are constructed and understood in reflexive relation to more explicitly cognitive and verbal representations, interpretations, and accounts. In planning and installing an exhibition of contemporary art, curators frame artworks and build meaning based on the material and conceptual resources at hand. The plans made by curators when preparing an exhibition and composing textual documentation are altered and elaborated during the installation of contemporary art in the physical presence of the artworks and gallery space. The disjuncture between curatorial plans and these situated actions has consequences for the public presentation and comprehension of the final exhibition. In documenting these processes as they take shape in real time and in relation to material objects, the body, and the built environment, this work aims to contribute to the on-going developments and debates that center on the creation of a ‘strong’ cultural sociology and to extend core sociological thinking on the social structures and bases of action.

List of Contents

Abstract	2
List of tables	7
List of Figures	8
Note on Translation and copyright.....	9
Acknowledgements	10
1. Introduction	11
1.1 A crisis in contemporary art?	11
1.2 Dawn of the curator	15
1.3 The underbelly of mediation	18
1.4 An aesthetic methodology	20
1.5 Organization of the thesis	21
2. cultural Mediation and culture in action	26
2.1 Mediation in the sociology of the visual arts.....	27
<i>2.1.1 Organizational systems: Influence and consensus.....</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>2.1.2 Pierre Bourdieu: Power and cultural codes</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>2.1.3 Howard Becker: Collective action and tacit conventions.....</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>2.1.4 Nathalie Heinich: Interpretation and values</i>	<i>41</i>
2.1.5 Summary: A sociology of the black box.....	44
2.2 Practical work and object relations.....	48
2.2.1 Micro-interaction	50
2.2.2 Epistemic cultures	53
2.2.3 The sociology of music.....	56
2.2.4 Object-oriented work in the visual arts.....	58
2.2.5 Museum and cultural studies	60
2.3 Conclusion: Towards a meaningful production of culture	62
3. An Aesthetic Methodology	64
3.1 Studying framing in action	65
3.2 Setting up the research	68
3.2.1 The pilot study.....	68
3.2.2 Sample	69
3.2.3 Access.....	71
3.3 Carrying out the research I: Ethnography	73

	4
3.3.1 <i>Producing data: Video and audio</i>	77
3.4 Carrying out the research II: Interviews	79
3.4.1 <i>The video- and photo-elicitation interview</i>	81
3.4.2 <i>The interview in the exhibition space</i>	84
3.5 Data coding, analysis, and validity	86
3.5.1 <i>Multimedia data analysis</i>	87
3.5.2 <i>Validity and the presentation of data</i>	89
3.6 Research ethics and privacy	90
3.7 Conclusion: Reflections on the research relationship	92
4. The Curator in the Museum	94
4.1 A very brief history of exhibition making	95
4.2 Training and trajectories for the curator of contemporary art	100
4.3 Institutional constraints	107
4.3.1 <i>Institutional organization</i>	113
4.4 Conclusion: A profession in flux	114
5. Exhibition Planning	116
5.1 The evolving exhibition	117
5.1.1 <i>Choosing and seeing artworks</i>	118
5.1.2 <i>Working with living artists</i>	121
5.2 Planning the installation	124
5.2.1 <i>Gesture</i>	126
5.2.2 <i>'Off-the-cuff' sketches</i>	127
5.2.3 <i>In the mind's eye</i>	128
5.2.4 <i>Making the gallery plan with the artist</i>	129
5.2.5 <i>Going into the gallery space</i>	130
5.3 Composing the exhibition documentation	132
5.3.1 <i>But what does it all mean? Evolving narratives and the pressure to get it right</i>	133
5.3.2 <i>Theory vs. clarity: Writing for which public?</i>	136
5.3.3 <i>In the artist's own words?</i>	140
5.4 Discussion: Emergent understandings and grey boxes	142
5.5 Conclusion: Mixed materials for building meaning	144
6. Installation I: The Emergent Exhibition	146
6.1 The evolving exhibition	147
6.2 Viewer intéressement	150
6.2.1 <i>Creating the 'good gallery'</i>	152
6.2.2 <i>Drawing the visitor into the space</i>	153

6.2.3 <i>Indicating a trajectory</i>	154
6.3 The work of relating: A series of ‘surprise moments’	157
6.3.1 <i>Editing artworks as we go</i>	158
6.3.2 <i>Restraint as a resource</i>	159
6.4 <i>Thinking and feeling the exhibition</i>	162
6.5 Discussion: The exhibition as a learning process	164
6.6 Conclusion: Situated work and extra-conventional action	166
7. Installation II: Giving it ‘the eye’	168
7.1 The working consensus	169
7.2 Working with the artist	171
7.2.1 <i>Developing a shared understanding</i>	172
7.2.2 <i>Developing a novel, and shared understanding</i>	174
7.2.3 <i>In the absence of the visual</i>	176
7.3 Technical translations	178
7.3.1 <i>Show and tell</i>	179
7.3.2 <i>Let me show you</i>	180
7.4 How many curators does it take to hang a TV?	182
7.4.1 <i>Sculptural work and ‘little tricks’</i>	183
7.4.2 <i>Wall-mounted artwork and the tape measure</i>	185
7.5 Discussion: Consensus and surprise	187
7.6 Conclusion: The distributed work of meaning making	190
8. The Public Presentation	191
8.1 The exhibition as performative act: What is seen and what is read	192
8.2 Signage	193
8.2.1 <i>The exhibition overview text</i>	194
8.2.2 <i>Viewing notes and visitor guides</i>	196
8.2.3 <i>Labels on artworks</i>	197
8.2.4 <i>Security and other texts</i>	199
8.3 Human mediators	201
8.3.1 <i>The education department: Tours and talks</i>	201
8.3.2 <i>Invigilators</i>	203
8.4 The white cube	205
8.4.1 <i>Lighting</i>	205
8.4.2 <i>Painting the walls</i>	207
8.5 Discussion: A distributed sense-making environment	209
8.6 Conclusion: The production of consumption	215

9. Conclusion.....	217
9.1 Theorizing the entry of objects into art worlds	218
9.2 Curating contemporary art	220
9.3 Beyond the tacit cultural code?	225
<i>9.3.1 A relational aesthetic for the sociology of the arts</i>	<i>230</i>
9.4 Future work	231
Appendix A: Information Letter to Participants	235
Appendix B: Interview Schedule*	236
Appendix C: Consent Form	237
Appendix D: Curatorial MapS	238
Bibliography.....	240

List of Tables

Table 1: Summary of Wuthnow (1987), compiled by author	65
Table 2: Interview breakdown by method.....	79

List of Figures

Figure 1: Pointing beyond the camera.....	85
Figure 2: Gallery sketch	127
Figure 3: Planning the placement of signage	131
Figure 4: Pointing to find the right words	137
Figure 5: Knowledge making in the exhibition-planning process	142
Figure 6: The image file	153
Figure 7: Plinths as cityscape	154
Figure 8: Shaping opportunities for visitor discovery	155
Figure 9: Using floor-mounted artworks to shape a visitor trajectory	155
Figure 10: Emergent impact.....	159
Figure 11: Projecting the poster's image onto one possible gallery wall.....	181
Figure 12: Discovering the 'wink'	184
Figure 13: Exhibition overview text mock-up	195

NOTE ON TRANSLATION AND COPYRIGHT

Many of the bibliographic sources referred to in this thesis were published originally in French. If the source also appears in English, the translator's name will appear in the bibliographic citation. Otherwise, any quotes from these sources were translated by Sophia Krzys Acord. Additionally, some of the ethnographic and interview data informing this thesis was collected in a different language. Again, the author has translated any quotes from the original into English for the presentation of data in this thesis.

Unless otherwise cited, all images of artworks and exhibitions appearing in this thesis were taken personally by Sophia Krzys Acord and are reprinted in low resolution within the guidelines of fair use. The reproduction of any images in this thesis for any purpose is strictly prohibited by the terms of informant disclosure.

Acknowledgements

This Ph.D. thesis is dedicated to the inspirational women in my family, particularly my mother Katherine Krzys and grandmother Sophie Krzys. Enormous thanks go to my husband Leslie Justin Murray, Thomas and Nancy Acord, Diane Harley, and Sarah Earl-Novell, for tireless support in the writing process. I am also grateful to my examiners, Jan Marontate and Andrew Pickering, for their thorough engagement with my work and a lively and constructive *viva voce*.

This research was made possible by Colleen McIntyre, Michael Cheng, Ritsuko Ozaki, Emmanouil Vrentzos, the Deveau family, M. Jean Dartigues, and the wonderful housemates of 61 Portland Street, who housed and fed me during my fieldwork. I owe a great deal to my curatorial informants, particularly those who made the ethnographic research possible, as well as the funding bodies who supported my work: the Overseas Research Scholarship, the Exeter Research Scholarship, the British Sociological Association's Support Fund, and the American Sociological Association's ISA Travel Grant funded by the National Science Foundation.

I would also like to thank the many individuals who facilitated research connections and commented on this work in earlier forms, particularly Robert Witkin, Antoine Hennion, Nathalie Heinich, Robin Wagner-Pacifici, Lisa McCormick, Diana Crane, James E. Katz, Massimo Mazzotti, Grace Davie, and Lenny Moss. Valuable support was provided by the intellectual communities in the Department of Sociology and Philosophy at the University of Exeter, the Center de Sociologie de l'Innovation at the École des Mines, the Center for Cultural Sociology at Yale University, and the Center for Studies in Higher Education at UC Berkeley.

Finally, tremendous thanks go out to the SocArts research group, for their tireless support, energy, and chocolate cake. Thank you particularly to my 'writing buddies', Arild Bergh, Ian Sutherland, and Sue Trythall, for the moral support. Finally, I would like to thank Tia DeNora, the best curator a dissertator could have, who tirelessly 'gave it an eye' and helped me figure out 'what worked' in this thesis by moving things 'a little to the left' and a 'little to the right'.