

Assessing Implicit Large Eddy Simulation for Two-Dimensional Flow

Submitted by

James Kent

to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics, November 2009.

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material is included for which a degree has previously been conferred upon me.

.....

James Kent

Abstract

Implicit large eddy simulation (ILES) has been shown, in the literature, to have some success for three-dimensional flow (e.g. see [Grinstein, F. F., Margolin, L. G. and Rider, W. *Implicit Large Eddy Simulation. Cambridge. 2007*]), but it has not previously been examined for two-dimensional flow. This thesis investigates whether ILES can be applied successfully to two-dimensional flow. Modified equation analysis is used to demonstrate the similarities between the truncation errors of certain numerical schemes and the subgrid terms of the barotropic vorticity equation (BVE). This presents a theoretical motivation for the numerical testing.

Burgers equation is first used as a model problem to develop the ideas and methodology. Numerical schemes that are known to model Burgers equation well (shock capturing schemes) are shown to be implicitly capturing the subgrid terms of the one-dimensional inviscid Burgers equation through their truncation errors.

Numerical tests are performed on three equation sets (BVE, Euler equations and the quasi-geostrophic potential vorticity equation) to assess the application of ILES to two-dimensional flow. The results for each of these equation sets show that the schemes considered for ILES are able to capture some of the subgrid terms through their truncation errors. In terms of accuracy, the ILES schemes are comparable (or outperform) schemes with simple explicit subgrid models when comparing vorticity solutions with a high resolution reference vorticity solution. The results suggest that conservation of vorticity is important to the successful application of ILES to two-dimensional flow, whereas conservation of momentum is not. The schemes considered for ILES are able to successfully model the downscale enstrophy transfer, but none of the schemes considered for ILES (or the schemes with simple subgrid models) can model the correct upscale energy transfer from the subgrid to the resolved scales.

Energy backscatter models are considered and are used with the ILES schemes. It is shown that it is possible to create an energy conserving and enstrophy dissipating scheme, composed of an ILES scheme and a backscatter model, that improves the accuracy of the vorticity solution (when compared with the corresponding ILES scheme without backscatter).

Acknowledgements

First of all I would like to thank my supervisors, John Thuburn and Nigel Wood, for all their help and support over the course of my PhD. Also at Exeter I would like to thank all the Maths PhDs, particularly my office-mates for making it an enjoyable 3 years. Thanks are also due to Great Western Research and the Met Office for funding this project.

I want to thank my parents for all their help and for giving me somewhere to live, and extra thanks to my mother for actually reading my thesis (twice!). I'd like to thank Dave for all the music; all the jamming and gigging was an excellent distraction!

Most of all I would like to thank my fiancée Vicki, for all her support, for putting up with me, for helping me with my work and for taking me on so many holidays!

Contents

Acknowledgements	3
Contents	4
List of Figures	9
Acronyms	16
1 Introduction	17
1.1 Introduction	17
1.2 Parametrization	18
1.3 Large Eddy Simulation	19
1.3.1 LES Subgrid Model	21
1.4 Implicit Large Eddy Simulation	24
1.5 Two-Dimensional Turbulence	27
1.6 Thesis Structure	30
2 Modified Equation Analysis and The Equations of Finite Scale	33
2.1 Modified Equation Analysis	34
2.1.1 Advection Equation	34
2.1.2 Numerical Testing of Modified Equation Analysis for the One-Dimensional Advection Equation	38
2.2 Modified Equation Analysis of the TVD Scheme for One-Dimensional Advection	41
2.3 Limitations of MEA	46
2.4 The Equations of Finite Scale	47

	5
2.4.1	The Filtered Equations 47
2.4.2	Cell Average Filter 49
2.4.3	Subgrid Analysis 51
2.4.4	Limitations of Subgrid Analysis 55
2.5	Burgers Equation 55
2.5.1	Subgrid Analysis of Burgers Equation 56
2.5.2	MEA for Burgers Equation 57
2.5.3	Implicit Subgrid Modelling of Burgers Equation 60
2.6	Barotropic Vorticity Equation 61
2.6.1	Subgrid Analysis of The Barotropic Vorticity Equation 61
2.6.2	MEA for The Barotropic Vorticity Equation 66
2.7	Justification for ILES for Two-Dimensional Turbulence 70
3	Burgers Equation 73
3.1	Introduction to Burgers Equation 73
3.1.1	The Filtered Equation 74
3.2	Numerical Schemes 75
3.2.1	Modified Equation Analysis For Burgers Equation 77
3.2.2	Shock Modelling 78
3.3	Subgrid Analysis of Burgers Equation 80
3.3.1	Cell Average Filter 80
3.3.2	Fourier Projection Filter 83
3.4	Methodology 83
3.4.1	The One-Step Test 84
3.4.2	The Cumulative Test 85
3.4.3	The One-Step Test Results 86
3.5	Cumulative Test Results 88
3.5.1	Explicit Subgrid Model tests 96
3.6	Burgers Equation Conclusions 98
4	Barotropic Vorticity Equation: Theory 100
4.1	Introduction 100

4.2	Subgrid Analysis of the Barotropic Vorticity Equation	101
4.2.1	Filtered Equations	102
4.2.2	Equations of Finite Scale Approximation	103
4.2.3	Definition of Subgrid Terms	104
4.2.4	Numerical Subgrid Terms	105
4.2.5	‘Short Term’ and ‘Long Term’ Subgrid Terms	109
4.3	Energy and Enstrophy	110
4.4	Aim of the Numerical Testing	118
4.4.1	Methodology	118
4.5	Numerical Schemes	122
4.5.1	Subgrid Models	124
4.5.2	Benchmark Scheme	126
4.5.3	Numerical Schemes: Energy and Enstrophy	126
4.6	Forced Turbulence	127
4.6.1	Energy and Enstrophy of Forced Turbulence	127
4.7	Numerical Test Cases	129
4.7.1	Building Blocks	130
4.7.2	Freely Decaying Turbulence	131
4.7.3	Forced Turbulence	131
5	Vorticity Equation Results	132
5.1	The Building Blocks of Turbulence	132
5.1.1	Advection	133
5.1.2	Dipole	140
5.1.3	Shear Flow	142
5.1.4	Vortex Merger	151
5.1.5	Vorticity Strip	160
5.1.6	Building Blocks Conclusions	169
5.2	Freely Decaying Turbulence	171
5.2.1	Short Term Results	172
5.2.2	Long Term Results	180

5.2.3	Freely Decaying Turbulence Conclusions	185
5.3	Forced Turbulence	186
5.3.1	Forced Turbulence Conclusions	190
6	The Euler Equations	192
6.1	Introduction	192
6.1.1	Subgrid Analysis	193
6.1.2	Energy and Enstrophy	193
6.2	Methodology	194
6.2.1	Numerical Schemes	195
6.3	Numerical Results	197
6.3.1	Shear Flow	197
6.3.2	Vortex Merger	199
6.3.3	Vorticity Strip	201
6.3.4	Freely Decaying Turbulence	202
6.4	Euler Equations Conclusions	207
7	The Quasi-Geostrophic Potential Vorticity Equation	209
7.1	Introduction	210
7.2	Subgrid Analysis	213
7.2.1	Energy and Enstrophy	214
7.3	Methodology	215
7.3.1	Numerical Schemes	216
7.4	Results	217
7.4.1	Vortex Merger	217
7.4.2	Shear Flow	221
7.4.3	Freely Decaying Turbulence	221
7.5	PV Equation Conclusions	226
8	Backscatter	228
8.1	Introduction	228
8.2	Energy and Enstrophy	229

8.3	Three Backscatter Models	231
8.4	Numerical Results	235
8.4.1	Shear Flow	236
8.4.2	Vortex Merger	237
8.4.3	Vorticity Strip	237
8.4.4	Freely Decaying Turbulence	238
8.4.5	Forced Turbulence	240
8.5	Backscatter Conclusions	242
9	Conclusions	244
9.1	Summary of Results	247
9.2	Discussion	249
A	Numerical Methods	252
A.1	One-Dimensional Advection Equation	252
A.1.1	Stability	253
A.1.2	TVD Schemes	254
A.1.3	ENO Schemes	257
A.1.4	Semi-Lagrangian Schemes	258
A.1.5	Numerical Testing of the One-Dimensional Advection Schemes	259
A.2	Burgers Equation	260
A.3	Barotropic Vorticity Equation	262
A.4	Euler Equations	270
A.5	QGPV Equation	272
A.6	Poisson Solver	273
B	Numerical Test Cases	274
B.1	One-Dimensional Test Cases	274
B.2	Burgers Equation Test Cases	275
B.3	BVE Test Cases	276

List of Figures

2.1	The Sine Wave and the Mixed Profile	39
2.2	Accuracy of MEA for a sine wave	39
2.3	Accuracy of MEA for the mixed profile	40
2.4	Accuracy of the approximation of r	43
2.5	Accuracy of the approximation of ϕ	45
2.6	Accuracy of MEA for the TVD scheme	46
2.7	Continuous and filtered sine wave and mixed profile	53
2.8	Accuracy of the one-dimensional subfilter scales approximation . . .	53
2.9	Accuracy of the approximation of the subgrid terms for Burgers equation	58
2.10	Accuracy of the approximation of the subgrid terms for the barotropic vorticity equation	65
3.1	Initial and final shock	79
3.2	Numerical schemes modelling the shock	80
3.3	Shock modelling errors	81
3.4	Comparing the one-step test and the cumulative test	87
3.5	Flux Limiter for the one-step and cumulative tests	87
3.6	Solutions for profile 1	89
3.7	Error norms for profile 1	89
3.8	Numerical subgrid terms for profile 1	90
3.9	Solutions for profile 2	91
3.10	Error norms for profile 2	92
3.11	Numerical subgrid terms for profile 2	92

	10
3.12 Solutions for profile 3	93
3.13 Error norms for profile 3	93
3.14 Numerical subgrid terms for profile 3	94
3.15 Solutions and error norms for profile 4	94
3.16 Solutions for profile 5	95
3.17 Error norms for profile 5	95
3.18 Error norms for explicit subgrid model schemes for profiles 1 and 2	97
4.1 Initial vorticity and vorticity solution at time 24.4 for the freely decaying turbulence test case	107
4.2 Vorticity solution without the effects of subfilter terms	108
4.3 The vortex merger vorticity solution and the cumulative effect of the subgrid terms	108
4.4 Cross sections of vorticity being stretched beyond the grid scale and the effect of the subgrid terms	109
4.5 Total energy and enstrophy against time	114
4.6 Change in energy and enstrophy at each scale	115
4.7 The cumulative effect of ‘subgrid scales’ on the energy at each grid scale	116
4.8 The cumulative effect of ‘subgrid scales’ on the enstrophy at each grid scale	117
4.9 Conservation properties of the centred difference Jacobians	123
4.10 Comparing the numerical subgrid terms, $\nabla^2\zeta$ and $\nabla^4\zeta$	125
4.11 Total energy against time for the CR resolved scales	127
4.12 Vorticity on HR grid at time 292	128
4.13 Energy and enstrophy spectra for the statistically steady forced tur- bulence test case	129
5.1 Initial vorticity for the vortex advection test case	133
5.2 The effects of the subgrid terms for the Gaussian vortex advection case	134

5.3	Numerical schemes' vorticity solutions for the Gaussian vortex advection case	135
5.4	l_2 vorticity error norms for the Gaussian vortex advection case . . .	136
5.5	The effects of the subgrid terms for the steep sided vortex advection case	138
5.6	Numerical schemes' vorticity solutions for the steep sided vortex advection case	139
5.7	l_2 vorticity error norms for the steep sided vortex advection case . .	140
5.8	Vorticity on the HR grid for the dipole test case	141
5.9	HR vorticity solution for the shear flow test case	142
5.10	Numerical schemes' solutions for the shear flow test case	144
5.11	Effect of the subgrid terms for the shear flow test case	145
5.12	The truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the shear flow test case	147
5.13	l_2 vorticity error norms for the shear flow test case	148
5.14	l_2 vorticity error norms for the shear flow test case	149
5.15	l_2 vorticity error norms for the shear flow test case	150
5.16	HR vorticity solution for the vortex merger test case	151
5.17	Numerical schemes' solution for the vortex merger test case	153
5.18	Effect of the subgrid terms for the vortex merger test case	154
5.19	Truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the vortex merger test case	155
5.20	Effect of the subgrid terms for the vortex merger test case	156
5.21	Truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the vortex merger test case	157
5.22	l_2 vorticity error norms for the vortex merger test case	158
5.23	l_2 vorticity error norms for the vortex merger test case	158
5.24	HR vorticity solutions for the vorticity strip test case	160
5.25	The numerical schemes' vorticity solutions for the vorticity strip test case	161
5.26	Utopia 2D Limiter vorticity solution for each CR grid	162

5.27 Utopia 2D Limiter vorticity solution and where the velocities change sign	163
5.28 Effect of the subgrid terms for the vorticity strip test case	163
5.29 Truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the vorticity strip test case	164
5.30 l_2 error norms for the vorticity strip test case	166
5.31 l_2 error norms for the vorticity strip test case	166
5.32 HR total energy and enstrophy for the vorticity strip test case	167
5.33 Total energy and enstrophy for the vorticity strip test case	169
5.34 l_2 error norms for the steep vorticity strip test case	169
5.35 Initial vorticity for the freely decaying turbulence test	171
5.36 HR vorticity for the freely decaying turbulence test	173
5.37 J_{EZ} vorticity solution for the freely decaying turbulence test	173
5.38 J_{EZ} with scale selective dissipation vorticity solution for the freely decaying turbulence test	174
5.39 Lax Wendroff Flux Limiter vorticity solution for the freely decaying turbulence test	174
5.40 Utopia vorticity solution for the freely decaying turbulence test	175
5.41 APVM vorticity solution for the freely decaying turbulence test	175
5.42 The effect of the subgrid terms for the freely decaying turbulence test case	176
5.43 Truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the freely decaying turbulence test case	177
5.44 l_2 vorticity error norms against time for the freely decaying turbu- lence test case	178
5.45 l_2 vorticity error norms against time for the freely decaying turbu- lence test case	179
5.46 l_2 vorticity error norms against time for the freely decaying turbu- lence test case	180
5.47 HR vorticity solution for the freely decaying turbulence test	181

5.48	Numerical schemes' vorticity solutions at time 146 for the freely decaying turbulence test	181
5.49	Numerical schemes' vorticity solutions at time 146 for the freely decaying turbulence test	182
5.50	HR total energy and enstrophy against time for the freely decaying turbulence test case	183
5.51	Total enstrophy against time for the freely decaying turbulence test	184
5.52	Total energy against time for the freely decaying turbulence test . .	185
5.53	Total energy and enstrophy against time for the freely decaying turbulence test	185
5.54	HR vorticity solution for the forced turbulence test	187
5.55	Numerical schemes' vorticity solution for the forced turbulence test	188
5.56	Total energy and enstrophy for the forced turbulence test	189
5.57	Energy spectra for the forced turbulence test	190
5.58	Enstrophy spectra for the forced turbulence test	190
6.1	HR Vorticity solution for the shear flow test case for the Euler equations	197
6.2	Effect of the subgrid terms and the truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the shear flow test case for the Euler equations	198
6.3	l_2 vorticity error norms for the shear flow test case for the Euler equations	199
6.4	Effect of the subgrid terms and the truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the Vortex Merger test case for the Euler equations . .	200
6.5	l_2 vorticity error norms for the vortex merger test case for the Euler equations	201
6.6	Numerical schemes' vorticity solution for the vorticity strip test case for the Euler equations	202
6.7	Effect of subgrid terms and the truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the freely decaying turbulence test case	203
6.8	l_2 error norms for the freely decaying turbulence test case for the Euler equations and BVE	204

6.9	l_2 error norms for the freely decaying turbulence test case for the Euler equations and BVE	205
6.10	l_2 error norms for the freely decaying turbulence test case for the Euler equations	205
6.11	Total energy and enstrophy for the freely decaying turbulence test case for the Euler equations	206
7.1	The effect of the Rossby radius on the v component of velocity for a point vortex	213
7.2	Total energy against time for the freely decaying turbulence test case with different values of λ	214
7.3	Total enstrophy against time for the freely decaying turbulence test case	215
7.4	HR PV solution for the vortex merger test case	218
7.5	The cumulative effect of the subgrid terms for the vortex merger test case with $\lambda = 0.05$ and $\lambda = 0.25$	218
7.6	The cumulative effect of the truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the vortex merger test case with $\lambda = 0.05$	219
7.7	Error norms for the vortex merger test case with $\lambda = 0.05$	220
7.8	Error norms for the vortex merger test case with $\lambda = 0.25$	220
7.9	HR PV solution for the freely decaying turbulence test case	222
7.10	The cumulative effect of the subgrid terms for the freely decaying turbulence test case with $\lambda = 0.05$ and $\lambda = 0.25$	222
7.11	The cumulative effect of the truncation errors of the numerical schemes for the freely decaying turbulence test case with $\lambda = 0.05$	223
7.12	Vorticity error norms for the freely decaying turbulence test case with $\lambda = 0.05$	224
7.13	Vorticity error norms for the freely decaying turbulence test case with $\lambda = 0.1$	224
7.14	Error norms comparing the advective and flux forms of the numerical schemes	225

7.15	Energy and enstrophy for the freely decaying turbulence test with $\lambda = 0.05$	225
7.16	Energy and enstrophy for the freely decaying turbulence test with $\lambda = 0.25$	226
8.1	The effect of adding $\delta\zeta$ to the cosine squared hill	231
8.2	Effect of different forms of $\delta\zeta$ and the energy spectra of the Utopia scheme for the freely decaying turbulence test case	235
8.3	Total energy against time for the shear flow test case	236
8.4	Vorticity solutions for the freely decaying turbulence test case	238
8.5	Error norms for the freely decaying turbulence test case	238
8.6	Total enstrophy against time for the freely decaying turbulence test case	239
8.7	Total energy against time for the freely decaying turbulence test case	240
8.8	Vorticity solutions for the forced turbulence test case	241
8.9	The total energy and enstrophy against time for the forced turbulence test case	241
8.10	Energy spectra for the forced turbulence test case	242
A.1	The TVD region	256
A.2	One-dimensional advection test results	259

Acronyms

APVM	Anticipated Potential Vorticity Method
BVE	Barotropic Vorticity Equation
CR	Coarse Resolution
DNS	Direct Numerical Simulation
EFS	Equations of Finite Scale
ENO	Essentially Non-Oscillatory
GCM	General Circulation Model
HR	High Resolution
ILES	Implicit Large Eddy Simulation
LES	Large Eddy Simulation
MEA	Modified Equation Analysis
MILES	Monotonically Integrated Large Eddy Simulation
PDE	Partial Differential Equation
PV	Potential Vorticity
QGPV	Quasi-Geostrophic Potential Vorticity
QGSWE	Quasi-Geostrophic Shallow Water Equations
SG	Subgrid
SGM	Subgrid Model
SL	Semi-Lagrangian
TE	Truncation Error
TVD	Total Variation Diminishing
UNO	Uniformly high order Non-Oscillatory