Can young people develop and deliver effective creative anti-bullying strategies?

Submitted by Andy Hickson (ID Number 550018863) to the University of Exeter as	a
thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Education, 30 th April 2010.	

This thesis is available for library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement. I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other university.

Signed	(Andy)	Hickson)
--------	--------	----------

Abstract

Using action research within a critical paradigm framework the author investigated young people's ability to develop a programme of work that raises awareness of bullying in schools. The research group was made up of six young people, to whom the author and other specialists offered anti-bullying and participatory training techniques. The group eventually designed their own anti-bullying activity programme, which they delivered in creative workshop style sessions to other young people in schools. The author located this research in critical enquiry, engaging the group in a self-reflective process that aimed to be democratic, equitable, liberating and life enhancing.

This report is written in the form of a narrative and evaluates the author's practice as an educative theatre practitioner. Central themes to this research are bullying, power, creative activity and youth participation.

Schools, teachers and adults are often described as *sucking* out the creativity of young people and thus not allowing many of them achieve their full potential. In this context young people are often powerless to deal with some of the difficult issues in their lives such as bullying. The author suggests that peer support is a key strategy to deal with bullying in schools. The author introduces a new concept of peer support called external peer support, which he has evaluated against the current literature.

The definition of bullying is explored in depth, as is its relationship to power. The author suggests peer support to be a key strategy in youth participation and ultimately helping youth empowerment.

<u>List of contents</u>

		<u>Page</u>
Title page		1
Abstract		2
List of conte	nts	3
List of tables	3	5
List of pictui	res	6
Acknowledg	ements	7
Abbreviation	ns and key terms	8
Chapter 1.	Introduction	9
Chapter 2.	Research Methodology	21
	2.1. Action Research	24
	2.2. Participant observation	34
	2.3. Interviews and questionnaires	36
	2.4. Data	38
	2.5. Attribution theory	39
	2.6. Grounded theory	41
	2.7. Ethics	42
	2.8. Validity and reliability	47
	2.9. Contribution to area of study	52
Chapter 3.	Literature Review	54
	3.1. Bullying	54
	3.2. What is bullying	70
	3.2. Youth participation	68
	3.3. Power	71
	3.4. Peer support	83
	3.5. Theatre and creativity	92
	3.6. Social theatre and	
	theatre-in-education	95
Chapter 4.	The research project process	103
	4.1. The training period	103
	4.2. The Japanese experience	125
	4.3. Project difficulties	146
	4.4. Recommended outline	155

Chapter 5.	Youth participation	160
	5.1. Empowerment	176
	5.2. Power	187
	5.3. Outcomes for peer supporters	190
	5.4. Outcomes for users	194
	5.5. What the teachers thought	202
Chapter 6.	Learning	205
	6.1. Definition of bullying	207
	6.2. Empathy	212
	6.3. Success or failure snapshot	217
	6.4. Satisfaction of core group	230
	6.5. Success or failure of the project	235
	6.6. Training and development	242
Chapter 7.	Conclusions	252
Bibliography		259
Appendix 1	Details of schools and institutions	288
Appendix 2	25 definitions of bullying	292
Appendix 3	Coding of bullying definitions	294
Appendix 4	Core group poem	296
Appendix 5	Parental consent form	297
Appendix 6	Evaluation sheet	298
Appendix 7	Sample activities with core group	299
Appendix 8	EPS training module	304
Appendix 9	Workshop feedback totals	309
Appendix 10	Example core group transcript	313
Appendix 11	Relevant psychological perspectives	328

List of tables

- Page 59. Table 1. Defining terms in definitions of bullying.
- Page 86. Table 2. Forms of peer support.
- Page 89. Table 3. Two Models of peer support.
- Page 117. Table 4. Evolution of the core group's creative workshop plan.
- Page 118. Table 5. The core group's final creative workshop plan.
- Page 136. Table 6. Terry and Michelle's 1-hour anti-bullying workshop plan.
- Page 146. Table 7. Outline of project events.
- Page 153. Table 8. The big ask.
- Page 155. Table 9. Recommended outline.
- Page 163. Table 10. Group equality and inequality.
- Page 167. Table 11. First demonstration of the movement of power.
- Page 171. Table 12 Second demonstration of the movement of power.
- Page 178. Table 13. Collated empowerment categories for the core group.
- Page 179. Table 14. Tanya's empowerment timeline.
- Page 180. Table 15. Michelle's empowerment timeline.
- Page 181. Table 16. Terry's empowerment timeline.
- Page 182. Table 17. Chantelle's empowerment timeline.
- Page 182. Table 18. Robin's empowerment timeline.
- Page 183. Table 19. Core project member's empowerment process.
- Page 190. Table 20. Total hours of training for core group members.
- Page 193. Table 21. Outcomes for peer supporters.
- Page 199. Table 22. What users said they learned from the sessions.
- Page 200. Table 23. Outcomes for external peer support users.
- Page 201. Table 24. What the users liked most about the workshop.
- Page 202. Table 25. What the users liked least about the workshop.
- Page 203. Table 26. Staff feedback from the sessions ran by core group.
- Page 203. Table 27. What the staff liked most about the sessions.
- Page 203. Table 28. What the staff liked least about the sessions.
- Page 203. Table 29. What the staff thought it was like having the session run by young people.
- Page 222. Table 30. Attributions for doing well.
- Page 223. Table 31. Attributions for not doing well.
- Page 224. Table 32. Terry's attributions for doing well.

Page 225. Table 34. Chantelle's attributions for doing well.
Page 226. Table 35. Chantelle's attributions for not doing well.
Page 227. Table 36. Tanya's attributions for doing well.
Page 227. Table 37. Tanya's attributions for not doing well.
Page 229. Table 38. Robin's attributions for doing well.
Page 229. Table 39. Robin's attributions for not doing well.
Page 232. Table 40. Attributions of satisfaction and dissatisfaction.
Page 234. Table 41. Collated categories of satisfaction for the core group
members.
Page 234. Table 42. Collated categories of dissatisfaction for the core group
members.

<u>Page</u>

33

64

105

109

List of figures, pictures and photographs.

Figure 3. Pictures drawn in collaboration.

Figure 2. The bully, the bullied and the bystander.

Figure 4. Photographs of masks created by the core group.

Figure 1. The Action research cycle.

Page 224. Table 33. Terry's attributions for not doing well.

Acknowledgements

This research would not have been possible without the young people who were involved. They committed a huge amount of time, effort and energy to it.

I owe a huge debt of thanks to my Supervisor, Robert Burden, who has stayed with me through the many trials and tribulations of this project. Without his continued support, encouragement and wisdom I would have been unable to complete this thesis. I would also like to thank my two other assistant Supervisors Nick Givens and Debbie Morgan who helped me look down alternative pathways and encouraged me through this long process.

Special thanks goes to my partner Cath, my children Sophie, Kaia, and Mir, and my mother, Sue, all of whom are very creative people and have patiently stood by and supported me during this project.

Thank you to all the staff at Exeter University for giving me the chance to do this research and assisting me in the research process.

Many thanks to the following people and organisations for their participation, support and help: Rebecca Brynolf, Zak Chowdhury, Yong Shi Zhang, Sarah Jane Clews, Chris Kemp, Leah Richards, Sandy Akerman, Sarah Normandale, Amanda Cottrill, Julia Morgan, Yuko Tsuda, Miyake Katsudhide, Kim Kayuri, Misae Masumura, Eleanor Monger, Abeer Harbi, Zaimuariffudin Nordin, Julia Collar, Jo Barker, Helen Cowie, Sasa Kawa Foundation, Worle Community School, Holistic Education Active Laboratory, Central Foundation Boys School, Oldmixon Primary School, Sandford Primary School, Barcode Youth Cafe and South Devon College.

Abbreviations and key terms.

Core Group The Core Group refers to the small group of young people who worked

and trained with me during the course of this project. The 'Core

Group' should not be confused with the 'Users' who were the young

people whom the Core Group delivered their creative anti-bullying

sessions to.

Users The Users refers to the young people who the Core Group delivered

their creative anti-bullying sessions to.

ZPD Zone of proximal development. Theory of Lev Vygotsky who defined

ZPD as the distance between the most difficult task a child can do and

the most difficult task a child can do with help (Mooney, 2000).

EPR Embodiment – projection – role. A child developmental theory

developed by Sue Jennings (1999, 2009).

TIE Theatre-in-education.

EPS External peer support.

NGO Non governmental organisation.

NPO Non profit organisation.

SNS Second night syndrome.