

The migration of single hot Jupiters

Balance of evidence tips towards dynamical and tidal evolution

Submitted by
Mohammad Nawal Husnoo
to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Physics
March 2013

This dissertation is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement. I certify that all material in this dissertation which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

(Signature)

Abstract

In this thesis, we revisit the seventeen year old question of how hot Jupiters got to their short period orbits, given that gas-giant planet building is supposed to take place beyond the ice-line at about 3 AU. Two major theories are generally used to explain this mystery. Firstly, exchange of energy and angular momentum between the newly-built planet and the progenitor dust and gas disk could result in planetary migration to a short period. This is generally believed to result in planets on circular orbits, with orbital angular momenta that are aligned with the host star spin. The competing theory which has gained more support in recent years, is that gravitational interactions leading to planet-planet scattering and/or Kozai interactions with massive and distant objects caused the planets to migrate violently (scattering) or slowly (Kozai) to short period, eccentric and misaligned orbits. These orbits are then expected to circularise and align under tidal interactions with the host star. In addition, the host star is expected to show evidence of spin-up if the tide on the star is strong enough.

Our contribution to this field is to provide additional support for the scenario involving dynamical interaction and tidal damping. We present observational evidence in the form of 158 new radial velocity measurements for 12 planets and a reanalysis of existing radial velocity data and photometric constraints from the literature for a total of 64 planetary systems. We also critically consider a further 30 newly announced planets from the literature. We show that there is no evidence for a finite eccentricity in several cases that were previously claimed to be “exceptions” to the observed trend that *close-in planets are on circular orbits* and the generally accepted reason that *they underwent strong tidal interactions*. We also show that the dissipative effect of tides raised in the planet by the star and vice versa explain all the eccentricity and spin-orbit alignment measurements available for transiting planets. We find evidence for excess rotation of the star in 6 systems, showing that heavy and close-in objects can exert strong tidal effects on the star. Hot Jupiters on circular orbits clump on the mass-period relation, which thus appears to be related to the stopping mechanism of orbital migration for hot Jupiters.

Contents

Abstract	3
Contents	5
List of Figures	9
List of Tables	11
Author's Declaration	13
Acknowledgments	15
1 Introduction	17
1.1 Other worlds out there	17
1.2 Planet formation	17
1.3 Close-in planets	18
1.4 Disk migration	19
1.5 Dynamical interactions and tidal circularisation	20
1.6 Gravitational scattering	21
1.6.1 Eccentricity distribution	21
1.6.2 Semi-major axis distribution	24
1.6.3 Obliquity distribution	24
1.6.4 Gravitational microlensing constraints	26
1.7 Kozai mechanism	26
1.8 Confusion: Are tides not enough?	29
1.9 Updated observational constraints	32
Conclusion	34
2 Theories of tidal interactions	37
2.1 Tidal influence	37
2.2 The equilibrium tide	37
2.2.1 Constant ϵ and constant Q formulations	39
2.2.2 Constant Δt formulation	40
2.2.3 Eggleton et al. formulation	41
2.3 Equilibrium tide: the weak friction model	41
2.3.1 Tidal bulges	41
2.3.2 Orbital and rotational evolution	42
2.4 The dynamical tide	44
2.4.1 Gravity modes	45
2.4.2 Inertial modes	46
Conclusion	47

3	Methods of observation and analysis	49
3.1	Radial velocity	49
3.1.1	Origin of the radial velocity signal	49
3.1.2	The Rossiter-McLaughlin effect	50
3.1.3	Radial velocity measurements	51
3.2	Transit photometry	52
3.2.1	Secondary eclipse & eccentricity	52
3.3	Bayesian analysis	52
3.4	Parameter estimation	53
3.5	Correlated noise	55
3.6	Stellar prior	57
3.7	Other priors	58
3.8	Merit function	59
3.9	Eccentricity bias	59
3.10	Model selection	60
	Conclusion	61
4	Analysis: WASP-12 and WASP-14	63
4.1	Short period but eccentric orbits	63
4.2	New observations for WASP-12 and WASP-14	64
4.3	The orbital eccentricity of WASP-12b	65
4.3.1	Correlated noise in RV and photometric data	65
4.3.2	Analysis	65
4.3.3	Eccentricity	68
4.3.4	Rossiter-McLaughlin effect	68
4.3.5	Secondary companion	69
4.3.6	Light travel time	70
4.3.7	Apsidal precession	70
4.4	The orbital eccentricity of WASP-14b	71
4.4.1	Secondary companion	72
4.5	Discussion	73
	Conclusion	73
5	Analysis: Known Transiting Objects	75
5.1	Larger sample & new data	75
5.2	Estimating the correlation timescale	76
5.3	Orbits that no longer qualify as eccentric	78
5.4	Planets on circular orbits	86
5.5	Planets on eccentric orbits	93
5.6	Poorly constrained eccentricities	96
5.7	Additional planetary systems	102
	Conclusion	103
6	Orbital evolution of hot Jupiters	105
6.1	The Mass-Period plane	105
6.2	Circularisation	107
6.3	Synchronisation	110
6.4	Spin-orbit alignment	112
	Conclusion	112

7	Conclusions and future work	115
7.1	Main achievements	115
7.1.1	Modified analysis	115
7.1.2	Analysis of new data and reanalysis of existing data	116
7.1.3	The story from the ensemble of transiting planets	116
7.2	Outlook	117
A	Radial velocity measurements	119
	Bibliography	145

List of Figures

1.1	Updated version of system-scale v/s mass-ratio from Pont (2009)	30
2.1	Cartoon illustration of tidal bulges	38
3.1	Instrumental drift of SOPHIE over several months	56
3.2	Inefficient use of computations for correlated parameters	58
4.1	New radial velocity measurements for WASP-12	66
4.2	All available radial velocity measurements for WASP-12	67
4.3	Secondary eclipse flux for WASP-12	69
4.4	Projected spin-orbit angle λ for WASP-12	70
4.5	All available radial velocity measurements for WASP-14	71
5.1	Degeneracy between σ_r and τ	79
5.2	Effects of varying τ	80
5.3	Radial velocity measurements of WASP-10	82
5.4	Radial velocity measurements of WASP-5	85
5.5	Radial velocity measurements of WASP-5 (with linear trend)	86
5.6	HARPS measurements of WASP-4	88
5.7	Radial velocity measurements of WASP-4	89
5.8	Radial velocity measurements for HAT-P-7	91
5.9	Radial velocity measurements for TrES-2	92
5.10	Radial velocity measurements for WASP-2	94
5.11	Radial velocity measurements for HAT-P-16	96
5.12	Radial velocity measurements for HAT-P-4	98
5.13	Radial velocity measurements of WASP-7	99
5.14	Radial velocity measurements for XO-2	101
6.1	The Mass-Period plot	106
6.2	Timescale plot for circularisation	109
6.3	Timescale plot for excess rotation	111
6.4	Timescale plot for spin-orbit alignment	113

List of Tables

4.1	System parameters for WASP-12	68
4.2	System parameters for WASP-14	72
5.1	Derived eccentricity measurements and upper limits	77
5.2	System parameters for WASP-5	87
5.3	System parameters for WASP-4	90
5.4	System parameters for HAT-P-7	90
5.5	System parameters for TrES-2	92
5.6	System parameters for WASP-2	93
5.7	System parameters for HAT-P-4	97
5.8	System parameters for WASP-7	99
5.9	System parameters for XO-2	100
6.1	Objects where excess rotation is detected	110
A.1	SOPHIE Radial velocity measurements for WASP-12	120
A.2	SOPHIE Radial velocity measurements for WASP-14	121
A.3	HARPS Radial velocity measurements for CoRoT-1	121
A.4	HARPS Radial velocity measurements for CoRoT-3	122
A.5	HARPS Radial velocity measurements for WASP-2	122
A.6	HARPS Radial velocity measurements for WASP-4	122
A.7	HARPS Radial velocity measurements for WASP-5	123
A.8	HARPS Radial velocity measurements for WASP-7	123
A.9	SOPHIE Radial velocity measurements for HAT-P-4	124
A.10	SOPHIE Radial velocity measurements for HAT-P-7	124
A.11	SOPHIE Radial velocity measurements for TrES-2	125
A.12	SOPHIE Radial velocity measurements for XO-2	125

Author's declaration

The work described in this thesis was done in collaboration with other researchers at several different institutions. The research problem itself (“Is correlated noise affecting the observational support for tidal effects in the sample of transiting exoplanets?”) was the idea of Frédéric Pont.

The work in Section 3.5 is my own work, with only supervisor oversight from Frédéric Pont. I adapted the approach as described by Sivia (2006), keeping in mind the importance of red-noise as described by Pont, Zucker, and Queloz (2006).

Chapter 4 contains material covered in the paper by Husnoo et al. (2011), which I wrote by expanding a 2 page template that my supervisor Frédéric Pont provided me, along with a lot of advice, into the final 9 page paper. I performed the analysis myself, but I received a lot of advice on the details of the writing-up through extensive discussions with the other co-authors, especially from Tsevi Mazeh (Tel Aviv University), Claire Moutou (Laboratoire d’Astrophysique de Marseille), Guillaume Hébrard (Institut d’Astrophysique de Paris) and François Bouchy (Institut d’Astrophysique de Paris). The radial velocity measurements for the orbits of WASP-12 and WASP-14 were obtained by several members of the SOPHIE team (Anne Eggenberger, Isabelle Boisse, Rodrigo Díaz and Luc Arnold) and reduced by Guillaume Hébrard on behalf of Frédéric Pont. The data for the Rossiter-McLaughlin sequence were captured by the SOPHIE Consortium team on behalf of Elaine Simpson (Queens University Belfast).

Chapters 5 and 6 contain material covered in the paper by Husnoo et al. (2012). Again, I performed all the analysis and wrote the paper myself, but benefited from extensive discussions with the co-authors Frédéric Pont, Tsevi Mazeh and Daniel Fabrycky on the details of the presentation of the paper. The initial results in this paper were originally published in a paper by Pont et al. (2011), which my supervisor wrote and to which I contributed the initial results. This latter paper benefited from discussions in Tel-Aviv with Tsevi Mazeh, and Daniel Fabrycky (Harvard-Smithsonian Centre for Astrophysics). The SOPHIE data in these latter two papers were reduced by Guillaume Hébrard on behalf of Frédéric Pont, while the HARPS data was obtained on behalf of Tsevi Mazeh by ESO. All three papers benefited hugely from the detailed and careful reports of the anonymous referees. I am also grateful to Gilles Chabrier and Tim Naylor for their enthusiastic comments at various points, on tidal effects and Bayesian data analysis, respectively.

The code used to model the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect in Section 3.1.2 was initially implemented by Frédéric Pont using the equations of Giménez (2006) in Python, but the code was too slow for interactive use. I ported this code to C, profiled it, implemented several improvements to speed up the code, and wrote wrapper functions so that the faster version could be called from Python. The code for modelling the transit lightcurve (Section 3.2) was implemented by Suzanne Aigrain following Mandel and Agol (2002), and I used this as is. The code for the MCMC and radial velocity analysis (Section 3.1) was initially implemented by Frédéric Pont in Python, but I have re-implemented it from scratch in Python to include multiple datasets, and ported the slower subroutines to C to speed them up.

The summaries in Sections 7.1.1 and 7.1.2 refer to my own work, whenever they refer to this thesis. The only input from the co-authors at that stage was

to ask questions about the procedure to ensure I wasn't doing anything obviously wrong. The work in Section 7.1.3 is where the co-authors Frédéric Pont, Tsevi Mazeh, and Daniel Fabrycky contributed most, by helping with the interpretation of the Figure 6.1 in terms of the Roche limit, and suggesting the reference to Ford and Rasio, 2006. The actual comparison with the works of Winn et al. (2010) was my own work, although the division of "aligned" and "misaligned" at $\lambda = 30^\circ$ is the suggestion of Frédéric Pont. The Figures 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4 were my own idea, although Frédéric Pont suggested that I use colours to differentiate between "normal" (circular/aligned/normal rotation) and "interesting"(eccentric/misaligned/fast rotation) rather than symbols. The latter suggestion improved the prevention enormously.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Matthew Bate and Chris Brunt, who conducted my interview for a PhD position at the University of Exeter. By granting me the Exoplanet Science Strategy scholarship, they gave me the opportunity to become a scientific researcher, which is something I dreamed about since I was about 13 years old.

I am immensely grateful to my supervisor, Frédéric Pont, who showed me the delights of the Bayesian approach to statistics and science, as well as mentored me through the perilous obstacle course that is a PhD programme. His scientific flair and his numerous and regular contributions to the field are well known, but he still finds time to read around all manners of topics, which have led to a number of stimulating conversations over a glass at the pub after our meetings (also at the pub, usually).

I am also grateful to my boyfriend Daniel Griffin, who has been there for me for the last three years, and has supported me through the hard times when my research progress stalled, and also helped me celebrate the little victories along the way.

I am grateful to Tim Naylor, Nathan Mayne, Isabelle Baraffe, Peter Petrov and Pete Vukusic, for providing me with a lot of advice and pastoral support during the harder times.

I am thankful for my parents (Feeroza and Parvez) and brother Sultan, who kept awake late into the night (four timezones ahead) once a week to chat with me. My parents also made a lot of sacrifices to give me the education that allowed me to get this far in following my dreams.

I am also thankful for my office mates Catherine Huitson, Alex Pettitt and Paul Wilson, from whom I have learnt a lot, and with whom I have had such great times! Last but not least, I am thankful to have such good friends as Adam Armstrong and Andy Birkin, who patiently put up with all my rants and frustrations, as well as my moments of joy during the last seven years or so.

Last but not least, I am grateful to my external examiner, Carole Haswell and my internal examiner, Matthew Browning, for the thesis defence exercise, which was excellent fun.

