Analysing controversies in energy policy: Assessing the evidence for rebound effects and global oil depletion

Submitted by Steve Sorrell to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy by publication in Human Geography

March 2012

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

Signature

Abstract

This thesis is submitted as a PhD by Publication. Part A provides an overview of the thesis and summarises its context, research questions, methodological approach and key findings. Part B is a collection of nine, first-named academic papers.

The thesis addresses two highly complex and controversial questions within energy policy, namely the nature and magnitude of 'rebound effects' from energy efficiency improvements and the extent and rate of depletion of global oil resources. Both of these questions are critically important to the development of a sustainable energy system and both are the subject of long-standing and highly polarised disputes. The thesis adapts, develops and applies a common methodology for reviewing the evidence on these questions, supplements this with original primary research and syntheses the results in a way that improves understanding and provides new insights.

The thesis includes four papers examining different aspects of rebound effects and four examining different aspects of global oil depletion. Given the complexity of the chosen topics, the papers cover a wide range of questions, issues and approaches. Collectively the papers: clarify relevant definitional and conceptual issues; evaluate competing methodological and analytical techniques; appraise the methodological quality of empirical studies; identify levels of uncertainty and potential sources of bias; develop simple mathematical models; conduct statistical analyses of primary data; compare and evaluate the results of modelling studies; and synthesise results from multiple research areas to provide novel insights into poorly understood phenomena. A ninth paper evaluates the strengths and limitations of systematic review techniques when applied to complex, policy-relevant questions such as these.

The thesis draws two main conclusions. First, rebound effects are frequently large and can substantially reduce the energy and carbon savings achieved from improved energy efficiency. Second, there is a significant risk that the global production of conventional oil will enter sustained decline before 2020. These conclusions run counter to conventional wisdom and have significant implications for public policy. The thesis also shows how the methodology of systematic reviews can be adapted and modified to make a valuable contribution to energy and climate policy research.

Acknowledgements

The research reported in this thesis was primarily funded by the UK Energy Research Centre (UKERC) which in turn is funded by the UK Research Councils. Additional funding was provided by the UK Economic and Social Research Council through their support for the Sussex Energy Group (SEG).

The research would not have been possible without the help of my colleagues in UKERC and SEG. I am particularly grateful to John Dimitropoulos for his invaluable contribution to the project on rebound effects, and to Jamie Speirs for his contribution to the project on oil depletion. Thanks also to Jim Skea, Rob Gross and Phil Heptonstall for their guidance, comments, patience and support.

The project on rebound effects benefited enormously from contributions by Grant Allan, Karen Turner, Matt Sommerville and the late Dennis Anderson, while the project on oil depletion benefited similarly from contributions by Adam Brandt, Richard Miller and Roger Bentley.

Many other colleagues contributed through sitting on advisory groups, commenting on early drafts, participating in discussions and providing data and information. These include Lester Hunt, David Broadstock, Peter McGregor, Kim Swales, Jake Chapman, Blake Alcott, Gordon Mackerron, Jim Watson, Horace Herring, Tina Dallman, Erica Thompson, Ken Chew, John Mitchell, Chris Skrebowski, Michael Smith, Paul Stevens, David Strahan, Jean Laherrère and Fabiana Gordon. I would particularly like to thank Harry Saunders for his insights and unceasing encouragement, and Manuel Frondel, and Robert Kaufmann for their careful peer reviews.

Many thanks to my supervisor Catherine Mitchell, for encouraging me to apply for a PhD by publication, for her supportive words and for insisting upon high standards!

Finally, special thanks to Angie for her love and support and to Rene and Layna for enriching my life. I dedicate this work to them.

Contents – Part A

INTRODUCTION	11
RESEARCH QUESTIONS	15
METHODOLOGY	15
CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE	17
CONTRIBUTION TO THE UNDERSTANDING OF REBOUND EFFECTS	17
CONTRIBUTION TO THE UNDERSTANDING OF GLOBAL OIL DEPLETION	19
ORIGINALITY	20
INDEPENDENCE OF STUDY	22
ACADEMIC AND WIDER IMPACT	23
SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTION OF EACH PAPER	25
METHODOLOGICAL PAPER	25
Paper 1 - Improving the evidence base for energy policy: the role of systematic reviews	25
REBOUND EFFECT PAPERS	26
Paper 2 - The rebound effect: Microeconomic definitions, limitations and extensions	26
Paper 3 - Empirical estimates of direct rebound effects: A review	27
Paper 4 - Energy-capital substitution and the rebound effect	29
Paper 5 - Jevons Paradox revisited: The evidence for backfire from improved energy efficiency.	30
GLOBAL OIL DEPLETION PAPERS	31
Paper 6 - Global oil depletion: A review of the evidence	31
Paper 7 - Oil futures: A comparison of global supply forecasts	32
Paper 8 - Hubbert's legacy: A review of curve-fitting methods to estimate ultimately recoverab	ole
resources	33
Paper 9 - Shaping the global oil peak: A review of the evidence on field sizes, reserve growth,	
decline rates and depletion rates	35
FURTHER RESEARCH	36
DEEEDENCES	27

Contents – Part B

Paper 1	Sorrell, S. (2007), Improving the evidence base for energy policy: the role	
	of systematic reviews, Energy Policy, 35(3), 1858-1871	
Paper 2	Sorrell, S. and J. Dimitriopolous (2008), 'The rebound effect:	
	microeconomic definitions, limitations and extensions, Ecological	
	Economics, 65 (3), 636-649	
Paper 3	Sorrell, S. (2008), Energy-capital substitution and the rebound effect, Paper	
	to the British Institute of Energy Economics Academic Conference, St.	
	John's College, Oxford, September 24-25	
Paper 4	Sorrell, S., J. Dimitriopolous and M. Sommerville (2009), 'Empirical	
	estimates of direct rebound effects: a review', Energy Policy, 37, 1356-	
	1371	
Paper 5	Sorrell, S. (2009), 'Jevons Paradox revisited: the evidence for backfire from	
	improved energy efficiency', Energy Policy, 37(4), 1456-1569	
Paper 6	Sorrell, S., A. Brandt, J. Speirs, R. Miller, R. Bentley, (2010), 'Global Oil	
	Depletion: a review of the evidence', Energy Policy, 38(9), 5290-5295	
Paper 7	Sorrell, S., R. Miller, R. Bentley and J. Speirs (2010), 'Oil Futures: a	
	comparison of global supply forecasts', Energy Policy, 38(9), 4990-5003	
Paper 8	Sorrell, S. and J. Speirs (2010), ' <u>Hubbert's Legacy: a review of curve-fitting</u>	
	methods to estimate ultimately recoverable resources', Natural	
	Resources Research, 19(3), 209-230	
Paper 9	Sorrell, S., J. Speirs, R. Bentley, R. Miller and E. Thompson (2012), 'Shaping	
	the global oil peak: a review of the evidence on field sizes, reserve	
	growth, decline rates and depletion rates', Energy, 37(1), 708-724	
	. 3,, (), ==	