Drug repositioning and repurposing for Alzheimer disease

Clive Ballard^{1,†}, Dag Aarsland^{2,3}, Jeffrey Cummings⁴, John O'Brien⁵, Roger Mills^{2,6}, Jose Luis Molinuevo⁷, Tormod Fladby⁸, Gareth Williams², Pat Doherty², Anne Corbett¹ and Janet Sultana⁹ 1. College of Medicine and Health, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK 4 2. Institute of Psychiatry Psychology and Neuroscience and Wolfson Centre for Age Related Diseases, King's College London, London, UK 3. SESAM (Regional Center for Elderly Medicine and Interaction), University Hospital Stavanger, Stavanger, Norway 4. Department of Brain Health, University of Nevada Las Vegas, NV, USA 9 5. Cleveland Clinic Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health, Las Vegas, NV, USA 10 6. School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK 11 7. Vincere Consulting, LLC 12 8. BarcelonaBeta Brain Research Center (BBRC), Barcelona, Spain 14 9. Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway 10. Department of Biomedical and Dental Sciences and Morpho-functional Imaging, University of Messina, Messina, Italy 16 +email: c.ballard@exeter.ac.uk 18

19

20 Abstract

Drug repositioning and repurposing can enhance traditional drug development efforts and could 21 accelerate the identification of new treatments for individuals with Alzheimer disease (AD) dementia 22 and mild cognitive impairment. Transcriptional profiling offers a new and highly efficient approach 23 to the identification of novel candidates for repositioning and repurposing. In the future, novel AD 24 transcriptional signatures from cells isolated at early stages of disease, or from human neurons or 25 microglia that carry mutations that increase risk of AD, might be used as probes to identify 26 additional candidate drugs. Phase II trials assessing repurposed agents must consider the best target population for a specific candidate therapy as well as the mechanism of action of the treatment. In 28 this Review, we highlight promising compounds to prioritise for clinical trials in individuals with AD, 29 and discuss the value of Delphi consensus methodology and evidence-based reviews to inform this 30 prioritization process. We also describe emerging work, focussing on the potential value of transcript 31 32 signatures as a cost-effective approach to identify novel candidates for repositioning.

- 33
- 34
- 35

36 [H1] Introduction

The growing global health challenge posed by dementia needs to be addressed. Currently, more 37 than 40 million people have Alzheimer disease (AD) worldwide and this number is expected to 38 increase to more than 100 million by 2050¹. In addition, estimates indicate that at least 15% of 39 people aged 60 or above have mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and that 8–15% of these individuals 40 will progress to dementia each year, most commonly to AD². AD is a devastating, progressive 41 neurodegenerative disease that has a massive personal and financial impact on individuals, families 42 and society. The estimated annual cost of dementia worldwide is US\$818 billion, which is predicted 43 to increase to US\$1 trillion within this decade¹. In the last 20 years only two new pharmacological 44 therapies have become available for the treatment of AD. One of the treatments, memantine, has 45 been licensed for the treatment of AD globally, whereas the other, oligomannate, is only licensed in 46 China. Importantly, no pharmacological treatments have been licensed for use in individuals with 47 48 MCI.

49 The core pathological substrates of AD in the brain are amyloid plaques and neurofibrilliary tangles; the latter involve the hyper-phosphorylation of tau³. The importance of other potential mechanisms, 50 including neuro-inflammation, protein misfolding, mitochondrial dysfunction and clearance of 51 abnormal proteins, in the pathophysiology of AD has become increasingly apparent⁴. Despite a 52 number of controversies regarding the role of amyloid in the pathogenesis of AD, including the question of whether neuronal death is driven by amyloid plaques or soluble amyloid and oligomers⁵, 54 the vast majority of treatments evaluated in clinical trials have focussed on amyloid-related targets. 55 The last decade has seen a number of high profile unsuccessful randomised clinical trials (RCTs) of 56 amyloid-focussed treatments, for example the anti-amyloid immunotherapy Solanezumab⁶ and the 57 β -secretase inhibitor Verubecestat ⁷. A recent review of the NIH clinical trial registry identified only 58 29 pharmacological or biological treatments in ongoing phase II or phase III trials for disease 59 modification in AD or MCI⁸. This number is 40-fold less than the number of ongoing RCTs for cancer⁸ 60 and the number of RCTs of disease-modifying therapies for AD has not substantially increased since 61 2012⁹. Despite the enormous potential value of an effective disease-modifying therapy for AD or 62 MCI, this area of research is considered to be high risk by the pharmaceutical industry, particularly as 63 a result of low clinical trial success rates, and a number of global pharmaceutical companies have 64 withdrawn investment from this therapeutic area¹⁰. Multiple factors could be responsible for the 65 failed trials of disease-modifying therapies for AD, for example, the use of sub-optimal treatments 66 and targets, a narrow range of targets, and methodological issues with the trials (Box 1). 67 Furthermore, owing to the low sensitivity of clinical and neuropsychological outcome measures, 68 69 nearly 500 participants per treatment arm are needed for adequately powered phase II trials in

individuals with MCI, which means that many phase II trials in individuals with this condition are
 significantly underpowered and the results are difficult to interpret¹¹.

72

Emerging results from trials of the amyloid-targeting antibody aducanumab indicated that, in one of 73 the two completed phase III trials, participants receiving aducanumab showed a statistically 74 significant improvement in cognition and function compared with participants receiving placebo, 75 particularly in the groups of participants carrying APOE $\epsilon 4^{12}$. The data from the other phase III trial 76 were less clear, although some indication of benefit in participants exposed to higher doses was 77 reported¹². The results of these trials are not yet fully in the public domain and have not been 78 subjected to peer review, so interpretation needs to be cautious. Therapies that focus on other key 79 treatment targets such as tau and neuro-inflammation are at an earlier stage of development than 80 aducanumab, but the preclinical data is promising¹³. These encouraging results might have a positive 81 impact on AD drug discovery, for example, by attracting increased investment from the 82 83 pharmaceutical industry. However, complementing traditional drug discovery with a broader range of approaches, such as drug repositioning and repurposing, will maximize drug development efforts. 84 We used a systematic review of the literature and a Delphi consensus approach to highlight existing 85 compounds that we feel should be prioritised for clinical trials in individuals with AD. In this Review, 86 we present the results of that Delphi consensus and describe the evidence underlying the consensus 87 prioritisation. We then describe emerging work, focussing on the potential value of transcript 88 signatures as a cost-effective approach to identify novel candidates for repositioning. 89

90

91 [H1] Drug repositioning and repurposing

Drug repositioning occurs within the biopharma industry during drug development and refers to the 92 development of an agent for an indication other than the indication it was originally intended for. 93 This new indication is prioritised during the development process and before approval. In contrast, 94 drug repurposing is defined as "the application of established drug compounds to new therapeutic 95 indications"¹⁴ and offers a route to drug development that is accessible to academic institutions, 96 government and research council programs, charities and not-for-profit organizations, thus 97 complementing the work of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. Repositioning and 98 repurposing offer an attractive way of enhancing traditional drug development and accelerating the 99 arrival of new treatments for AD dementia and MCI in the clinic. Phase II trials assessing repurposed 100 agents must consider the best target population for a specific candidate therapy as well as the mechanism of action of the treatment.

Drug repurposing has enabled the identification of successful therapies for many diseases ranging 104 from cancer¹⁵ to Parkinson disease¹⁶. One important advantage of this approach is that the safety of 105 the candidate compound has already been established, which removes the need for further pre-106 clinical safety testing, chemical optimization or toxicology studies, and thus substantially reduces the time and cost involved in progressing the potential treatment into clinical trials. Marketed drugs are 108 likely to have a reasonable safety database derived from previous registrational programmes, post-109 110 marketing experience and safety surveillance. In many cases, understanding this safety profile offers a solid 'freedom to operate' when repurposing the drug in a relatively fragile population, such as 111 individuals with AD. Drug repurposing might also offer the further key advantage of bypassing the early preclinical, phase II and even phase IIa trials, all of which are time consuming and represent periods of relatively high drug attrition. In addition, many of the costs of drug development that are 114 not always readily recognized, such as those associated with formulation optimisation, 115 116 manufacturing development, and drug-drug interaction studies, have been addressed by the 117 biopharmaceutical company that originally developed the drug. The estimated cost of developing a drug to the point of approval is US\$5.6 billion¹⁷, but these extreme costs can be lower in 118 119 programmes that focus on repurposed agents. Furthermore, for repurposed agents, clinical evidence of potential efficacy can be derived from existing pathophysiological observations, epidemiological 120 cohort studies, open-treatment studies and preliminary clinical trials. This clinical information provides an important added dimension to the available evidence, particularly given the limitations of animal models. 123

124

Candidates for drug repurposing can be selected via a number of different routes, one of which is 125 the use of large datasets to detect drug-associated patient outcomes that would otherwise have not 126 been identified¹⁸. An alternative route is hypothesis-driven repurposing, which combines 127 information about the disease of interest and the properties and targets of existing drugs for other 128 conditions to identify potential candidates⁹. Similarly, high-throughput screening using in vitro models designed to assess the effects of compounds on known target mechanisms, such as amyloid 130 toxicity, can be used¹⁹. A novel method is the use of disease-associated transcriptional signatures as 131 a tool for identifying candidate therapies²⁰. Another approach is to combine several of the above 132 sources of information by manually reviewing the existing literature to identify candidates for repurposing. The challenge is that the kind of evidence available often varies among different 134 135 compounds, for example, strong in vitro or in vivo evidence might exist for some candidates, whereas strong epidemiological evidence might exist for others. In addition, any identified 136 treatment has to also be suitable for the target population, which for AD is older individuals with dementia. One way of addressing this challenge is to combine systematic review of the evidence 138

with rigorous expert interpretation and consensus using methodologies such as the Delphi
 consensus approach, which is a standardized approach to achieving expert consensus based on a
 standardized review of the evidence and serial re-rating of priorities by a panel of experts.

142

143 [H1] The Delphi consensus process

In writing this Review we combined available evidence from the repurposing routes described in the 144 previous section with the aim of identifying the best candidate compounds for the treatment of AD 145 or MCI. This process involved a comprehensive assessment of the published literature, a systematic 146 evaluation of the evidence and a formal Delphi consensus process involving an expert panel. The 147 Delphi panel had 12 members, with expertise from the pharmaceutical industry, academia or drug 148 development funding within the charity sector, including the authors of this Review (with the 149 exception of G.W., P.D., A.C. & J.S.) and 3 additional panel members who represented patient 150 151 organizations (see acknowledgements section). Each panel member was asked to nominate up to 152 ten candidate compounds for further consideration. A full systematic review of the literature was prepared for all five candidate compounds that were identified by at least three members of the 154 panel. The members of the panel then ranked these five drug candidates in order of priority on the basis of the strength of evidence. The key factors used for this ranking included the mechanism and 155 efficiency of brain penetration, the safety profile of the compound and whether or not the dosage of 156 the drug used in preclinical studies was equivalent to the safe human dosage. The prioritization ratings of each panel member were shared with the panel at a face-to-face meeting and a second 158 prioritization exercise was undertaken by e-mail. The prioritization was then finalized at a further 159 face-to-face meeting of the panel. This methodology was designed to update the systematic review 160 and Delphi consensus published in 2012 in Nature Reviews Drug Discovery⁹. As the aim of this 161 second Delphi consensus was to identify new candidate compounds, priority candidates from the 162 2012 census were excluded, but candidates not prioritised by the 2012 consensus were eligible if 163 new evidence had emerged. 164

165

166 [H1] Update on existing priority compounds

The 2012 Delphi consensus⁹ prioritised five classes of compounds for repurposing as treatments for AD: tetracycline antibiotics, calcium channel blockers, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) analogues and retinoid therapy. With the exception of retinoid therapy, all of the prioritised classes of compounds have now been taken into clinical trials. Trials of the tetracycline antibiotic minocycline²¹, the calcium channel blocker nilvadipine²² and the ARB losartan²³ have been completed and did not find any significant benefits of treatment on the cognition or function of individuals with AD. 175 [H2] Tetracycline antibiotics

The RCT of minocycline²¹ was a 3-arm 24-month trial that compared the effects of either 400 mg 176 minocycline per day, 200 mg minocycline per day, or placebo, in a total of 554 participants with mild AD and a Mini Mental Status Examination (MMSE) score of ≥24. The two groups of participants who 178 received the minocycline treatment were combined for the data analysis. In this combined group, 179 the change in mean MMSE, the primary outcome measure, over 24 months was only 0.1 points less 180 than in the group that received placebo. No difference in the change in ability to perform activities 181 of daily living over the 24 months was detected between the two groups. This was a pragmatic, but 182 well-designed study, and provides a clear negative result, which suggests that further trials of 183 minocycline for the treatment of AD are not warranted.

185

186 [H2] Calcium channel blockers

Nilvadipine (8 mg per day) was evaluated in an 18-month double-blind RCT in 511 participants, of 187 whom 253 received nilvadipine and 258 received placebo²². The participants were over the age of 50 188 and had an MMSE score between 12 and 27, thus meeting the National Institute of Neurologic and 189 Communicative Disorders and Stroke - Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association 190 (NINCDS-ADRDA) criteria for probable AD^{24} . The primary outcome measure was a change in 191 Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale – cognitive subscale (ADAS–COG) score; however, only a 0.21-192 point non-significant difference in average ADAS-COG was observed between the two treatment 193 groups over 18 months. For context, studies of cholinesterase inhibitors have reported differences of 194 > 2 points on the ADAS-COG score between groups of participants receiving treatment and groups 195 of participants receiving placebo 25 and this would usually be regarded as the minimum clinically 196 meaningful degree of change²⁶. No benefit of treatment with nilvadipine was detected with the co-197 primary outcome measure (Clinical Dementia Rating – sum of boxes), or on any of the secondary or 198 exploratory outcome measures. This trial was well-designed and adequately powered and the 199 absence of any significant differences between groups is clearly a negative result, and plans for 200 further studies of nilvadapine for the treatment of AD have not been reported. 201

202

[H2] Angiotensin receptor blockers

In a preliminary study, 20 participants with probable AD and essential hypertension were randomly assigned to receive either the ARB telmisartan (10 participants, 40–80 mg per day) or the calcium channel blocker amlodipine (10 participants, 5–10 mg per day) for 6 months²⁷. The group of participants who received telmisartan had increased regional cerebral blood flow in the right supramarginal gyrus, superior parietal lobule, cuneus, and lingual gyrus compared with the group of

participants that received amlodipine. No differences in cognition were observed between the two 209 groups, but the study was very underpowered for detecting neuropsychological outcomes. More 210 recently, in an RCT of the ARB losartan, 211 participants with mild or moderate AD were randomly 211 assigned to receive either 100mg losartan or placebo once daily for 12 months²³. Preliminary results from the trial were presented at the Clinical Trials on Alzheimer's Disease (CTAD) conference in 2019. No significant reduction in the rate of cortical atrophy, which was the primary outcome 214 measure, was observed in the participants receiving losartan compared with those receiving placebo, and the other clinical and cognitive outcomes measures showed no indication of 216 improvement associated with losartan treatment. Although the trial was underpowered for detecting changes in clinical outcomes, the absence of any trends towards improvement in the 218 treatment group was disappointing²³. 219

220

Despite these negative clinical trial results, a solid body of in vitro and in vivo work supports the potential utility of ARBs as a treatment for AD²⁸⁻⁴⁰. In vitro work has identified multiple effects of centrally acting angiotensin II, including vasoconstriction, mitochondrial dysfunction, inhibition of 224 acetylcholine release, increased production of angiotensin IV and release of inflammatory mediators²⁸⁻³⁰, that suggest ARBs could be suited to repurposing for AD. Many commonly used ARBs, 225 such as candesartan and losartan, have known blood-brain barrier penetration properties and have 226 been shown to attenuate the central effects of angiotensin II in animal studies³¹. For example, in one study treatment with the ARB valsartan was associated with reduced amyloid-β aggregation in 228 vitro³², and improvements in behavioural tests of cognitive performance and reductions in amyloid 229 pathology in a mouse model of AD³². In other studies of mouse models of AD, animals treated with 230 ARBs showed reduced brain levels of total amyloid or amyloid- β aggregation, improvements in cognition and reduced neuroinflammation compared with animals treated with saline³³⁻³⁷. Studies of ARBs in Sprague Dawley rats have produced contradictory results, with some studies reporting an ARB-associated decrease in tau phosphorylation and some studies reporting an ARB-associated 234 increase in tau phosphorylation³⁸⁻⁴⁰.

236

Some epidemiological evidence also supports use of ARBs for the treatment of AD. A large 4-year study of the medical records of 800,000 adults over 65 reported an almost 50% reduction in incident AD in individuals receiving ARBs compared with individuals receiving other cardiovascular treatments. The ONTARGET trial included 16,000 participants with hypertension and significantly fewer participants declined to an MMSE score <18 in the group receiving the ARB telmisartan than in the group receiving the ACE inhibitor ramipril⁴¹. However, this finding was not replicated in the parallel TRANSCEND trial in 5,000 participants with hypertension, which compared telmisartan with placebo⁴¹, nor in the SCOPE trial in nearly 5,000 participants with hypertension, which compared the
 ARB candesartan with placebo. However, a sub-group analysis in participants from the SCOPE trial
 with pre-treatment MMSE scores of 24–28 showed a modest benefit of treatment on cognitive
 ability⁴².

248

The overall evidence for the use of ARBs to treat AD is mixed, and the absence of any benefits in the 249 RCT of losartan is disappointing. However, the evidence reviewed in this section focuses on specific treatment mechanisms that are related directly to actions on the rennin angiotensin system. These 251 observations must be interpreted in the context of strong epidemiological evidence indicating that 252 hypertension is a risk factor for AD dementia⁴³ and the results of the recent SPRINT MIND trial, 253 which demonstrated a significant reduction in the of MCI and probable AD dementia in participants receiving intensive anti-hypertensive management (target systolic blood pressure <120 mm hg) compared with the usual anti-hypertensive management (target systolic blood pressure <140 mm 256 hg)⁴⁴. The potential overall benefits of blood pressure reduction for heart and brain health should 257 also be considered. Indeed, RCTs of candesartan and telmisartan in individuals with or at risk of AD 258 259 are ongoing, and we should not discount ARBs as a potential treatment until the results of these trials are reported^{45,46,47}. 260

261

262

263 [H2] GLP1 analogues

The emerging evidence base for the use of GLP1 analogues to treat AD is more encouraging than 264 that of the other compounds prioritised by the 2012 Delphi consensus⁹. GLP1 analogues were 265 prioritised on the basis of several in vivo studies in mouse models of AD that demonstrated an effect 266 of this treatment on amyloid and tau pathologies⁴⁸⁻⁵¹as well as oxidative stress, apoptosis, synaptic 267 plasticity and other core neuronal functions^{49, 51-57}. More recently, this work was extended by a study 268 of the GLP1 analogue liraglutide⁵⁸. In this study, treatment of APP-PS1 mice (which carry AD-269 associated mutations in APP and presenilin) with liraglutide from the age of 2 months attenuated the development of progressive AD-related pathological changes, such as synapse loss, synaptic 271 plasticity and amyloid plaques. Indeed, treatment with liraglutide has consistently been associated with improvements in cognition and memory in animal models of AD⁵⁸⁻⁶¹.

274

Three randomised, double-blind, multicentre, placebo-controlled trials examining of the cardiovascular effects of liraglutide or semaglutide also included the development of dementia as an exploratory outcome. A total of 15,820 participants were included in the 3 trials and the median follow-up period was 3.6 years. Across the 3 trials, 15 participants who received a GLP1 analogue

and 32 participants who received placebo developed dementia, with an estimated hazard ratio of 279 0.47 (95% CI 0.25; 0.86) in favour of the GLP1 analogue treatment (C.B., unpublished work). This 280 analysis is exploratory, and the frequency of incident dementia was modest. A post-hoc analysis of 281 the data from a RCT of another GLP-1 analogue, dulaglutide, for the prevention of adverse 282 cardiovascular outcomes in people with diabetes, also reported a significant reduction in incident 283 dementia in participants treated with dulaglutide compared with participants receiving placebo⁶². 284 The findings of these RCTs need to be interpreted cautiously as they are based on post-hoc analyses, 285 but are consistent with a role for GLP1 analogue treatment in preventing the development of 286 dementia. 287

Several more recent studies of GLP1 analogues in individuals with AD are underway or have been completed. A preliminary randomized, placebo-controlled clinical ²⁰F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET 289 study in 38 individuals with AD demonstrated that, compared with placebo, 6 months of treatment 290 291 with liraglutide at a dose of 1.8 mg per day by subcutaneous injection prevented a decline in glucose metabolism in the brain⁶³. Glucose metabolism is used as a marker of brain activity, and a lack of 292 293 decline in glucose metabolism is usually taken to indicate preservation of biological brain function. 294 Further analysis indicated that the underlying mechanism for this effect is an increase in blood-brain glucose transfer capacity and that, in the group of participants who received liraglutide, transfer 295 capacity was the same as in healthy controls. A larger phase II RCT involving 204 participants with AD 296 was completed in 2019⁶⁴. The results of an 18-month pilot double blind placebo controlled RCT of 297 exenatide have also been reported⁶⁵. The study, which included only 21 participants, found that the 298 exenatide was well-tolerated, although an expected increase in nausea and decreased appetite was 299 observed in the group that received the drug compared with the group that received placebo. The 300 study found no significant difference in clinical, cognitive, neuroimaging or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) 301 measures between the two groups; however, given the very limited power of this study, these 302 observations cannot be meaningfully interpreted. The levels of $A\beta_{42}$ in plasma extracellular neuronal 303 vesicles were lower in participants receiving exenatide than in participants receiving placebo, which 304 is an interesting result⁶⁵. 305

306

The results of these studies of GLP-1 analogues are promising and provide increasing evidence that these drugs might prevent incident dementia in people with diabetes. A broader question is the potential utility of GLP-1 analogues for the treatment of MCI due to AD or AD outside the context of diabetes. The pre-clinical studies in this area are encouraging, but further trials are needed and the results of the ongoing Evaluating Liraglutide in Alzheimer's Disease (ELAD) trial are eagerly awaited.

312

313 [H1] New priority compounds

During the 2018–2019 Delphi process a total of five compounds (or classes of compounds) were nominated for further consideration by at least three members of the panel. These compounds were ACE inhibitors, anti-viral drugs, disease-modifying agents for rheumatoid arthritis, fasudil and phenserine (Table 1). Following several rounds of prioritisation, the panel came to a clear consensus that the three highest priority candidates for repurposing in AD were fasudil, anti-viral drugs and phenserine. Each of these compounds achieved the same prioritisation rating and there was no specific prioritization within the three identified candidates.

321

[H2] Fasudil

Fasudil, a selective inhibitor of Rho Kinase (ROCK) 1 and 2, is a potent vasodilator, particularly of the cerebral vasculature⁶⁶, and is approved in Japan and China for the treatment of cerebral vasospasm following subarachnoid haemorrhage⁶⁷. Fasudil was first suggested as a potential treatment for AD 325 in 2009 when a study found that administration of the compound was associated with protection 326 against age-related memory impairment in rats⁶⁸. In a subsequent study, fasudil was mixed into 327 artificial CSF administered directly into the brain in the APP–PS1 mouse model of AD. The aberrant 329 dendritic arborisation phenotype of this mouse model was reduced in mice receiving fasudil compared with mice receiving artificial CSF alone⁶⁹. Fasudil administration was also associated with 330 protection against hippocampal neurodegeneration induced by intracerebroventricular injection of A β_{1-42} in rats. The authors reported increased IL-1 β , increased tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) 332 production, and increased activation of NF-kB in rats receiving fasudil treatment compared with rats receiving placebo and postulated that the protection against amyloid might be related to 334 suppression of inflammatory responses⁷⁰. More recent work using cell culture and several different transgenic mouse models of AD suggests that fasudil can protect against synaptic loss and cognitive 336 impairment mediated by A β through the Dkk1-driven Wnt–PCP pathway⁷¹⁻⁷². Fasudil, delivered 337 intraperitoneally, was also associated with reduced brain amyloid burden in the 3xAD-TG mouse 338 model of AD⁷³.

340

Fourteen randomized placebo-controlled trials of fasudil were identified in the literature⁷⁴. These 341 trials included a combined total of >500 participants with a range of indications from coronary heart 342 disease to pulmonary hypertension. Fasudil was administered at doses of 60–240 mg per day, and 343 most trials reported good tolerability with no significant safety concerns. However, one double-344 blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial of a new extended release formulation of fasudil for 345 pulmonary arterial hypertension did highlight several safety concerns⁷⁵. In this trial, 2 out of 12 346 347 patients in the active treatment group discontinued the treatment, one because of renal impairment and the other because of death from heart failure. One small 2-month randomized clinical trial 348

conducted in China investigated the efficacy of fasudil for treatment of AD⁷⁶. In this trial, 106 male participants with MCI treated with nimodopine were randomly assigned to receive either 30 mg intravenous fasudil (once per day) or placebo for 2 months. Preliminary results indicate that fasudil was well tolerated and the group treated with fasudil had significantly higher MMSE scores the than the group that received placebo. This efficacy data should be interpreted cautiously, but good tolerability in individuals with MCI is important.

Overall, there is high concordance between the results of different preclinical studies, which suggest that fasudil targets classical AD neuropathology⁷⁷ by reducing amyloid burden, and also targets other pathological mechanisms that contribute to AD, for example, by protecting against inflammation and synaptic damage⁷⁷⁻⁷⁸. These biochemical and physiological benefits have consistently translated into cognitive improvement using in vivo AD models^{70,77-78}.

360

361 [H2] Phenserine

Phenserine was initially developed and evaluated as a cholinesterase inhibitor⁷⁹. However, several 362 mechanisms exist by which phenserine might reduce neuronal and synaptic loss^{80,} which are 363 important pathways in AD, traumatic brain injury and other neurodegenerative diseases. The results 364 of a range of preclinical studies indicate that phenserine suppresses production of IL-1b, reduces 365 glutamate-induced excitotoxicity, protects against H₂O₂-induced oxidative toxicity, reduces levels of 366 A β , improves neural precursor cell viability, elevates neurotrophic brain-derived neurotrophic factor, 367 and inhibits amyloid precursor protein (APP) and α -synuclein synthesis⁸⁰⁻⁸³. In particular, the results 368 of several preclinical studies indicate that phenserine can reduce APP levels in vitro and in vivo ⁸⁴⁻⁸⁸. 369 Although these potential actions are of interest, more importantly, recent work has suggested that 370 phenserine might confer significant neuroprotection by inhibiting apoptosis via actions on a pre-371 programmed cell death pathway⁸³. This hypothesis has been evaluated in several rodent models of neuronal loss, including the APP–PSEN1 mouse model of AD, a rat model of post-stroke re-perfusion injury and a weight drop mouse model of traumatic brain injury⁸¹⁻⁸³. In all of these animal studies, 374 treatment with phenserine was associated with significant reductions in the severity of 375 neurodegenerative lesions, and decreases in the neuroinflammatory response (via suppression of 376 the IBA1 and TNF- α pathways) in the hippocampus and/or cortex^{80,82,83}. Phenserine treatment was also associated with protection against reductions in synaptic density and levels of synaptophysin in 378 animal models of AD and TBI⁸⁰⁻⁸³. The multi-faceted pharmacological action of phenserine as a 379 380 neuroprotective agent was an important factor in the prioritisation of this compound by the panel. In addition, administration of phenserine was associated with improved cognition in rats with 381 NMDA-receptor antagonist-induced impairments in learning⁸⁹. 382

Phenserine has been evaluated in two phase II placebo controlled trials in individuals with mild to 384 moderate AD^{79,90}. The results of a phase II, 12-week RCT in 164 participants with AD indicated that (-385)-phenserine (10–15 mg twice per day) had a favourable safety profile and the group of participants 386 receiving the drug showed significantly improved cognitive function compared with the group of 387 participants receiving placebo⁷⁹. A trend towards improvement in global outcome was observed in 388 participants who received the higher dose of phenserine^{79,91}, with Cohen's D effect sizes of 0.3–0.4 389 for symptomatic benefits, which is similar to the effect sizes seen with other cholinesterase 390 inhibitors⁹². A second, smaller RCT randomized 20 participants with mild AD to receive either 391 phenserine (15mg twice per day) or placebo for 3 months⁹⁰. Over the subsequent 3 months, the 392 patients allocated to phenserine continued to receive phenserine treatment while the placebo group 393 then received donepezil in an open design. At the end of the first 3 months, the group of participants 394 receiving phenserine had significantly better cognitive function (measured with a composite 395 396 neuropsychological test) than the group of participants receiving placebo, and this significant 397 difference between the two groups was maintained after the group receiving placebo had switched to donepezil for 3 months⁹⁰. Although these results are encouraging, they must be interpreted 398 399 cautiously given the small sample size of the study. Furthermore, a phase III trial of phenserine was discontinued early for commercial reasons and did not demonstrate a significant benefit of 400 treatment on the primary outcome measures, which were ADAS-COG score and clinician's 401 interview-based impression of change with caregiver input (CIBIC+)⁹³. The results of this phase III 402 trial have not been published in full, but a press release described non-significant trends towards 403 improvement with 10 and 15mg doses⁹³. These results are difficult to interpret on the basis of the 404 preliminary reports, especially as the study was significantly under-powered to detect changes in 405 cognitive and functional outcomes, with only 284 participants randomized in a 2:2:1 design. In 406 addition, the dosing regime was probably sub-therapeutic as the compound has a half-life of 5-6 407 hours, but was only administered twice per day, which led to criticism of the trial design⁹⁴. 408

409

Overall, the preclinical evidence that phenserine has biological effects that are relevant to the treatment of AD and other neurodegenerative conditions is strong. These effects include a newlyidentified influence on apoptosis. Phenserine also has a good clinical safety profile. Although the results from phase II studies are encouraging, they need to be interpreted cautiously given the small sample sizes and short trial durations. Trials of at least 12 months would be needed to identify disease-modifying effects. The potential of phenserine to combine the symptomatic benefits of a cholinesterase inhibitor with additional disease-modifying actions is, however, an exciting prospect.

417

418 [H2] Anti-viral drugs

The potential role of Herpes Simplex virus (HSV) as a risk factor or mediating factor in the 419 development of AD emerged as a hypothesis in 1991, when HSV 1 was found in an active form in the 420 brains of a large number of older people⁹⁵. In 1991, a case–control post-mortem study found an 421 association between HSV-1 infection and an increased risk of AD⁹⁶. Little progress was made until the 422 2000s and 2010s, when further studies identified HSV-1 DNA within amyloid plaques in individuals 423 with AD^{97} , and provided evidence for a role of HSV-1 in promoting the accumulation of $A\beta^{98-100}$ and the abnormal phosphorylation of tau¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³. In 2011, the authors of one study used quantitative 425 immunocytochemistry in a kidney cell in vitro model to demonstrate that the changes in A β and 426 phospho-tau production, did not occur with the initial entry of the virus into the cell, but were 427 related to subsequent viral replication¹⁰⁴. In vitro, the antivirals acyclovir (the active form of the prodrug valaciclovir), penciclovir (the active form of the prodrug famciclovir) and foscarnet were 429 associated with reductions in A β and phospho-tau accumulation, as well as levels of HSV-1. 430 431 However, foscarnet had a more modest effect than the other two treatments. The accumulation of 432 phospho-tau was dependent on HSV 1 DNA replication, whereas the accumulation of A β was not. 433 This work was important in highlighting mechanisms that could link HSV1 to the development of AD 434 pathologies and in identifying candidate therapies.

435

More recently, the results of several epidemiological studies have supported the potential value of 436 anti-viral therapies in the treatment of AD. The authors of one study used Taiwan's National Health 437 Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) to evaluate the records of 33,448 individuals and identified 438 8,362 individuals with a newly diagnosed HSV infection as well as 25,086 randomly selected sex-439 matched and age-matched controls without HSV infections¹⁰⁵. The adjusted hazard ratio for the 440 development of dementia in the participants with HSV-1 relative to the control participants was 2.6 441 (P < 0.001). Participants with HSV 1 who were treated with anti-herpetic medication had a 442 significantly lower risk of developing dementia than participants with HSV 1 who were not treated 443 with these agents. The risk of dementia was lower among participants who used anti-herpetic 444 medication for \geq 30 days than in participants who used these drugs for a shorter duration. Using the 445 same database, a larger study of the records of 78,410 individuals identified a significant but more 446 modest increase in the risk of dementia in participants with herpes zoster infection than in 447 participants without the infection. This study also found that treatment with antiviral therapy 448 significantly reduced the risk of developing dementia following the diagnosis of herpes zoster 449 infection¹⁰⁶.

451

452 Overall, the evidence from in vitro and post-mortem studies suggests that HSV infection, and 453 possibly Herpes Zoster virus infection are risk factors for AD. Although the absence of substantive in vivo studies is a concern, emerging evidence from large-scale epidemiological studies confirms the association between risk of cognitive decline and HSV or Herpes Zoster infections. The results of these epidemiological studies also suggest that this risk can be mitigated by anti-viral therapy. Therefore, strong arguments exist for exploring the potential benefit of antiviral drugs in individuals AD. An ongoing phase II study of valaciclovir aims to recruit 130 participants with mild AD¹⁰⁷. The existing evidence suggests that anti-viral compounds might be more effective at diminishing the risk of AD or delaying the onset of AD in people with MCI, than as a treatment for individuals who have already developed AD.

In summary, three main classes of compound have emerged from the Delphi consensus process in 462 2018–2019: fasudil, phenserine and anti-viral drugs. GLP analogues were prioritised by the 2012 463 Delphi consensus process and remain a high priority candidate for repurposing. The prioritisation of these compounds is supported by strong packages of preclinical data, most of which include 465 466 evidence from a number of different preclinical models. The preclinical data also suggest that each 467 of these compounds can have an effect on multiple AD-related therapeutic targets in addition to amyloid. One advantage of repurposed compounds as opposed to newly developed therapeutics, is 468 that additional data can be gained from epidemiological studies, clinical cohort studies and clinical 469 trials designed to measure a different outcome. For GLP analogues and anti-viral drugs, clinical 470 information from epidemiological studies or clinical trials with different primary outcomes support 471 the potential utility of the treatment as an AD therapeutic. However, information from clinical trials of any of the prioritised compounds in individuals with MCI or AD is much more limited. As discussed 473 earlier, several clinical trials of phenserine have been performed, and the results of two phase II 474 trials suggested that in individuals with AD the treatment was associated with improved cognition. 475 However, these results are difficult to interpret because the studies used a sub-optimal dose of the compound, were of short duration and had limited statistical power. Almost 500 participants per 477 group is needed to provide reasonable power to detect changes in standard neuropsychology 478 measures in an RCT in individuals with mild-moderate AD¹¹. For GLP analogues, only very small 479 preliminary studies have been performed, although the results of these studies are encouraging. The 480 only reported study of fasudil in individuals with MCI or AD showed good tolerability of the 481 compound, but was too small to allow conclusions to be drawn about the effect of the treatment on 482 cognition. No RCTs of anti-viral drugs in individuals with MCI or AD were identified in our literature 483 searches. Therefore, the prioritisation of these candidates was predominantly based on the preclinical evidence, but with support from clinical information for most of the compounds. 485

486

[H2] Compounds not short-listed

[H3] Disease-modifying agents for rheumatoid arthritis

Although the anti-inflammatory action of disease-modifying agents for rheumatoid arthritis 489 (DMARDs) could theoretically reduce neuroinflammation in individuals with AD, the preclinical 490 evidence supporting their usefulness was very limited¹⁰⁸. The main evidence in favour of DMARDs 491 was from an epidemiological population-based study that found a reduction in dementia risk in 492 individuals receiving DMARDs compared with individuals not receiving DMARDs; however, the 493 reported survival curves showed that the reduction in incidence new-onset dementia among 494 DMARD users compared with non-DMARD users was very small¹⁸. The study did not assess the effect 495 of any single drug within the DMARD class, which is a limitation as these drugs vary widely in terms 496 of pharmacological action, efficacy and tolerability. Furthermore, a placebo controlled RCT of 497 DMARDs in individuals with AD had negative findings¹⁰⁹. On the basis of this evidence, the Delphi 498 consensus panel concluded that DMARDs should not be prioritised as candidates for clinical trials in 499 500 individuals with AD.

501

502 [H3] ACE inhibitors

Some evidence from preclinical studies suggests that ACE inhibitors can protect against AD 503 pathology, for example, in a transgenic mouse model of AD treatment with perindopril was 504 associated with significantly reduced amyloid and tau burdens and levels of oxidative stress¹¹⁰. The 505 clinical evidence in favour of ACE inhibitors was very weak. An open-label study in 113 individuals 506 with AD¹¹¹ showed no significant benefits of perindopril treatment. A 4-month randomised, double-507 blind, placebo-controlled, pilot clinical trial of ramipril in 14 individuals with hypertension at risk of 508 AD reported that compared with placebo, treatment with ramipril was not associated with an 509 improvement in cognition or a reduction incerebrospinal fluid levels of $A\beta_{1-42}^{112}$. These poor 510 preliminary clinical results led the panel to conclude that ACE inhibitors are not high-priority agents 511 for repurposing as an AD treatment, although the cardiovascular and cerebrovascular benefits of 512 these drugs might indirectly reduce the risk of AD.

514 **[H1] Transcriptional approaches**

515

Above, we have prioritised drugs on the basis of their established mechanisms of action. Strategies for identifying novel compounds for preclinical testing and clinical trials include transcriptional profiling, which could also be applied to the identification of drugs for repurposing. Disease or injury can perturb gene expression in a characteristic manner in a specific tissue, creating a 'transcriptional signature'. If a drug perturbs gene expression in an opposing manner to the disease or injury, it

might have therapeutic effects. Therefore, assessing the transcriptional changes induced by libraries 521 of compounds could provide an important way of identifying novel candidates for repurposing. The Broad Institute Connectivity Map (CMAP) collated the transcriptional signatures induced by 1,300 drug-like compounds when applied to three cancer cell lines; importantly the CMAP data reflect 524 responses specific to the known targets of the compounds as well as off-target responses¹¹³. The 525 CMAP has been complemented by the LINCS L1000 project, which profiled the changes in 1,000 526 'landmark' transcripts induced by different compounds and used algorithms to predict the likely changes in expression levels of the non-measured transcripts to generate a full transcriptional 528 signature¹¹⁴. The LINCS L1000 program has generated a database of transcriptional signatures for 529 ~20,000 compounds, ~300 biologics, and shRNA and/or cDNA against ~5,000 genes in ~100 human 530 cell lines, including iPSC-derived cortical neurons. The same approach could be applied to other compound libraries. 532

533

534 Transcriptional profiles are widely available for early, middle and late stages of AD and other dementias¹¹⁵ and for almost all of the interventions, including genetic modifications, that are used to 535 generate animal models of these diseases¹¹⁵⁻¹¹⁶. However, these data come from a variety of 536 platforms and are hosted in different databases. The searchable, platform-independent expression 537 database (SPIED) was developed to facilitate meta-analysis, with the aim of identifying diseaseassociated transcriptional perturbations that are common to multiple datasets, including data from 539 AD post-mortem samples¹¹⁷⁻¹¹⁸. This approach has identified shared transcriptional changes within 540 multiple, independent AD-associated transcriptional signatures and the transcriptional signatures 541 associated with other neurodegenerative diseases¹¹⁵. When the AD transcriptional signature was 542 probed in CMAP, 153 drugs that perturb the cancer cell transcriptome in an opposing manner were 543 identified¹¹⁵. Importantly, transcriptional changes that oppose those comprising the AD 544 transcriptional signature were also observed when many of these drugs were applied to human 545 iPSC-derived cortical neurons²⁰. In a further study, transcriptional signatures for early and mild AD 546 were used to probe both the CMAP and LINCS L1000 data, and 78 drugs with a significant inverse 547 correlation were identified and screened using 6 independent in vitro assays that are designed to 548 mimic various aspects of AD pathology¹¹⁹. Of these 78 agents, 19 significantly reduced the AD-549 associated changes in in at least two assays, and 8 of these 19 agents were novel candidates known 550 or likely to be brain penetrant. Some interesting candidates identified by this study included the 552 adrenergic α -1 receptor antagonist doxasosin, the antibiotic thiostrepton, which is known to have proteasome inhibitor properties, and the histamine H2-receptor antagonist famotidine. In addition 554 to the identification of novel candidates for repositioning, the work supports the hypothesis that 555 transcriptional profiling could be an effective way of identifying or triaging compounds for in vitro screening. For example, other hits included drugs already considered to be repositioning candidates
 in AD, such as metformin, nabumetone and several flavonoids¹¹⁹.

558

560 [H1] Future directions

The global transcriptional signatures discussed in the previous section were generated without 561 considering the functions of the individual transcripts or the known mechanisms of drug action. 562 Therefore, this process is a 'black-box' approach that operates independently of any mechanism-563 based hypothesis. Almost 30 risk genes for AD have now been detected¹²⁰ and the identification of 564 drugs that alter the expression of some of these genes, or the expression of another gene with 565 known therapeutic potential, would enable a hypothesis-driven approach to drug repositioning. 566 There are no well-developed examples of this approach in the AD field, but we briefly discuss three 567 568 examples from related diseases that highlight the promise of this 'targeted' repurposing approach. 569

570 Accumulation of glutamate at synapses results in neuronal loss via 'excitotoxicity' and this process has been implicated as a causative mechanism in both acute brain injury and chronic 571 neurodegenerative diseases such as AD¹²¹. Glutamate accumulation can result from the loss or 572 failure of transporters that recycle this neurotransmitter, and reduced levels of the astrocyte glutamate transporter GLT1 (as known as EAAT2) is a characteristic feature of amyotrophic lateral 574 sclerosis (ALS)¹²². In a milestone paper, Rothstein et al. postulated that drugs that increase the 575 expression of GLT1 would be neuroprotective in a range of conditions, including ALS¹²³. To test this 576 hypothesis, the authors used neuronal cultures to screen 1,040 FDA-approved drugs and nutritionals 577 and identified agents that increased levels of GLT1. The surprising finding was that the application of β -lactam antibiotics to neuronal cultures at concentrations similar to those in the brains of 579 individuals being treated with these antibiotics increased GLT1 levels via a transcriptional 580 mechanism. Moreover, treatment with the β -lactam ceftriaxone was associated with delayed 581 neuronal loss and increased lifespan in a mouse model of ALS¹²⁴. Beneficial effects of ceftriaxone 582 have been reported in a wide range of nonclinical studies of pathologies that involve excitotoxicity, including models of AD¹²⁴. Only one phase III clinical trial has tested the effects of ceftriaxone in 584 neurodegenerative disease. The study cohort consisted of individuals with ALS and no significant 585 586 difference in survival or functional decline (both primary endpoints) between the group of participants receiving ceftriaxone and the group of participants receiving placebo was detected¹²⁵. 587 588 Nonetheless, these findings are a useful example of a targeted repurposing approach and suggest that a trial of ceftriaxone or a related drug in individuals with AD could have positive results. 589

As opposed to increasing the expression of a protective gene, other researchers have sought to 591 identify drugs that can reduce the expression of a risk gene. This strategy was recently applied to the 592 search for Parkinson disease (PD) therapies. Reducing α -synuclein transcription might be protective 593 against PD¹²⁶ and a biological screen of FDA-approved drugs showed that α 2-adrenergic agonists, 594 such as salbutamol, suppress α -synuclein transcription¹²⁷. Moreover, in a preclinical rodent model of 595 PD, salbutamol was associated with some protection against pathology and motor deficits, and 596 analysis of clinical records showed that the risk of developing PD was lower in individuals treated 597 with salbutamol than in individuals not treated with the drug¹²⁷. This association was confirmed in 598 an independent patient cohort¹²⁸; however, other researchers have suggested that the association 599 might in part arise from the use of salbutamol to treat smoking-related pulmonary disease, which 600 means that the cohort treated with salbutamol are likely to already have a reduced risk of 601 developing PD as a result of nicotine exposure¹²⁹. Future clinical trials will be needed to establish the 602 effects of salbutamol on PD, but nonetheless similar approaches could be used to identify 603 604 compounds that reduce the expression of AD risk genes.

605

606 Boosting levels of endogenous growth factors is another potential therapeutic approach that has been poorly explored in AD, but might be feasible, as shown by several studies in the field of PD¹³⁰⁻ 607 ¹³⁴. Recombinant human fibroblast growth factor 20 (FGF20) can limit neuronal loss in preclinical models of PD^{130,131}; however, delivery and target engagement of growth factors remains a challenge 609 in the clinical setting¹³². Endogenous FGF20 is enriched in the nigrostriatal pathway ¹³³ and a simple 610 in silico interrogation of CMAP identified 50 FDA-approved drugs that increase FGF20 transcript 611 levels in cancer cell lines, 16 of which had transcriptional profiles that suggest they might be 612 beneficial in PD¹³⁴. Salbutamol and triflusal were in included in these 16 promising candidates and 613 were then tested in vivo. In the 6-hydroxydopamine rat model of PD, treatment with either 614 salbutamol or triflusal was associated with elevated levels of endogenous FGF20 in the nigrostriatal 615 tract and a degree of neuroprotection. Evidence for salbutamol protecting humans against PD was 616 discussed in the previous paragraph. Triflusal is a trifluoromethyl derivative of acetylsalicylic acid 617 that inhibits platelet aggregation and, thereby, reduces risk of stroke¹³⁵. The drug also has anti-618 inflammatory, anti-excitotoxicity, and anti-Zn²⁺-toxicity effects that might limit ischemic brain 619 damage¹³⁶. 620

621

Limitations of the targeted repurposing approach include the fact that a drug is likely to alter the expression of perhaps hundreds of transcripts. For example, whether salbutamol is neuroprotective because it reduces α -synuclein expression, increases FGF20 expression, acts via a third unknown mechanism, or acts via a combination of multiple mechanisms is not clear. Likewise, triflusal could

be neuroprotective in PD because it elevates FGF20 and/or because it has antioxidant and anti-626 inflammatory properties and/or because it acts via other unknown mechanisms. Similarly, although 627 the parsimonious explanation for the neuroprotective properties of β -lactam antibiotics is an 628 increase in glutamate uptake¹²³, these drugs also have antioxidant and metal chelating properties 629 that might explain or contribute to their efficacy as neuroprotective drugs¹²⁴. This targeted 630 repurposing approach is still in its infancy — transcriptional profiles have been successful in 631 632 predicting some effects of compounds in vitro and in vivo, but it will be several years before we have any proof-of-concept clinical trials or examples of clinically available treatments. Nonetheless, the 633 hypothesis-driven nature of targeted repurposing facilitates the design of experiments to directly 634 test postulated mechanism of action of a specific compound. 635

636

637 [H1] Conclusions

638 Drug repositioning or repurposing offers an attractive and cost-effective approach that can 639 complement traditional drug development. We used a Delphi consensus process to identify promising classes of compound for repurposing that we feel merit evaluation in clinical trials. GLP1 640 analogues were identified as priority compounds in a Delphi consensus in 2012⁹, but in this Review 641 we discussed further supportive evidence that has subsequently emerged. We also presented and 642 discussed three new compounds or classes of compound that were prioritised by the new Delphi 643 consensus process. These compounds include the ROCK2 inhibitor fasudil, the cholinesterase 644 inhibitor phenserine, which also has novel anti-apoptotic properties, and the anti-viral drugs 645 aciclovir, valaciclovir and famciclovir. We also reviewed the evidence for a novel transcriptomic 646 approach to drug repurposing that could substantially increase the scale of identification of 647 candidate compounds. 648

649

The potential advantages of complementing traditional drug discovery approaches with drug repositioning or repurposing include reduced costs and faster approval. However, several challenges to the expansion of this field remain, including the need for novel methodologies to identify and screen new candidates, for example, transcriptomic approaches. Creating and expanding funding streams to prioritise this work and providing better commercial incentives for repurposing, perhaps through better protection by use patents, will also be important.

657

656

658 Acknowledgements

The authors thank James Pickett from the Alzheimer's Society (UK), Carol Routledge from Alzheimer Research UK and Howard Fillit from the Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation for their invaluable contributions to the 2018–2019 Delphi consensus. We would also like to thank the Wellcome Trust for supporting much of the work pertain to transcript signatures presented in the current paper. Dr Cummings is supported by KMA; NIGMS grant P20GM109025; NINDS grant U01NS093334; and NIA grant R01AG053798.

665

666 Author contributions

⁶⁶⁷ The authors contributed equally to all aspects of the article.

668 **Competing interests**

C.B. reports grants and personal fees from Acadia pharmaceutical company and H. Lundbeck, as well 669 as personal fees from AARP, Biogen, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, Otsuka, Roche and Synexus, outside the 670 submitted work. D.A. has received research support and/or honoraria from Astra-Zeneca, GE Health, 671 H. Lundbeck and Novartis Pharmaceuticals, and served as a paid consultant for Biogen, Eisai, H. 672 Lundbeck, Heptares and Mentis Cura. J.C. reports grants from National Institute of General Medical 673 674 Sciences Centers of Biomedical Research Excellence (grant no. P20GM109025), during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Acadia, Actinogen, AgeneBio, Alkahest, Alzheimer Drug Discovery 675 Foundation, Alzheon, Avanir, Axsome, Biogen, Cassava, Cerecin, Cerevel, Cognoptix, Cortexyme, EIP 676 Pharma, Eisai, Foresight, Green Valley, Grifols, Hisun, Karuna, Nutricia, Otsuka, reMYND, Resverlogix, 677 Roche, Samumed, Samus Therapeutics, Third Rock, Signant Health, Sunovion, Suven, and United 678 Neuroscience pharmaceutical and assessment companies; other from ADAMAS, BioAsis, MedAvante, 679 QR Pharma, United Neuroscience, other from Keep Memory Alive; outside the submitted work. J.C. 680 has copyright for the Neuropsychiatric Inventory with royalties paid. J.O. reports personal fees from 681 Axon, Eisai, GE Healthcare, Roche and TauRx; grants and personal fees from Avid Technology and Eli 682 683 Lilly; grants from Alliance Medical and Merck, outside the submitted work. J.L.M. reports personal 684 fees from Alector, Alergan, Eisai, Genentech, Oryzon, Green Valley, H. Lundbeck, Janssen, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novartis and Raman Health; personal fees and other from Biogen, outside the submitted work. 685 All other authors declare no competing interests. 686

687 Publisher's note

688 Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and 689 institutional affiliations.

690

691 Review criteria

692	Searches were performed in EMBASE, PsycINFO, MEDLINE and Cochrane databases for papers				
693	published after 1960. Search terms were as follows: Generic class OR specific drug names OR any				
694	known alternative name (obtained from the electronic Medicines Compendium and the British				
695	National Formulary) AND Dement* OR Alzheim* OR Mild Cognitive Impairmen* OR Neuropsych*				
696	test* OR cognitive func*.				
697	Key points				
698	• Drug repositioning and re-purposing offers a valuable alternative route for the identification				
699	of effective disease-modifying treatments for Alzheimer Disease.				
700	• The Delphi method can be used to bring together the opinion of multiple experts to suggest				
701	candidates for repurposing.				
702	An expert Delphi consensus published in 2012 prioritised five compounds for repurposing as				
703	treatments for AD, of which glucagon-like-peptide analogues remain high priority				
704	candidates.				
705	• A Delphi consensus involving the authors of this Review was conducted in 2018–2019 and				
706	identified the ROCK inhibitor fasudil, the cholinesterase inhibitor phenserine, and antiviral				
707	treatments such as valacycylovir as high priority candidates for trials in individuals with AD.				
708	• The prioritisation of these compounds was supported by strong packages of preclinical data,				
709	most of which include evidence from a number of different preclinical models.				
710	Transcriptional screening approaches offer a novel means of identifying potential treatment				
711	candidates by targeting AD-associated transcriptional profiles.				
712					

References 713 1. Alzheimer's Disease International. World Alzheimer Report 2016. 714 715 https://www.alz.co.uk/research/world-report-2016 (2016). 716 2. Petersen, R. C. Mild Cognitive Impairment Continuum Minneap Minn. 2, 404-418 (2016). 718 3. Jack, C.R. et al. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated 719 hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. Lancet Neurol. 12,207-16 (2013). 720 4. Khan, A. et al. Emerging treatments for Alzheimer's disease for non-amyloid and non-tau 722 targets. Expert Rev Neurother. 17:683-695 (2017). 724 5. Morris, G.P. et al. Inconsistencies and controversies surrounding the amyloid hypothesis of 725 726 Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2:135 (2014). 727 Honig, L. S. et al Trial of Solanezumab for Mild Dementia Due to Alzheimer's Disease. N Engl J 6. 728 Med. 378, 321-330 (2018). 729 730 Egan, M. F. et al. Randomized Trial of Verubecestat for Prodromal Alzheimer's Disease. N Engl J 7. 732 Med. 380, 1408-1420 (2019). 8. Ballard, C. & Murphy, C. Clinical trial pipelines for Alzheimer's disease pose challenged for future 734 effective treatment and therapies [abstract P4-017] Alzheimer's & Dementia 14 (Issue 7 735 suppl.)1439 736 9. Corbett, A. et al. Drug repositioning for Alzheimer's disease. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 11, 833-46 738 (2012). 739 740 10. Crow, D. Big pharma efforts on Alzheimer's tested by Pfizer exit. Financial Times 741 https://www.ft.com/content/c4e1241e-f731-11e7-88f7-5465a6ce1a00 (2018) 742 743 11. AlzForum. More ADNI Results 2: It's All About Power. Alzforum https://www.alzforum.org/news/research-news/more-adni-results-2-its-all-about-power (2008) 744 12. Biogen. Aducanumab update. Investors.biogen.com https://investors.biogen.com/static-745 files/5a31a1e3-4fbb-4165-921a-f0ccb1d64b65 (2019) 746 747 748 13. Loera-Valencia, R. et al. Current and emerging avenues for Alzheimer's disease drug targets. J Intern Med. 286, 398-437 (2019). 749 750 751 14. Langedijk, J. et al Drug repositioning and repurposing: terminology and definitions in literature. Drug Discov Today. 20, 1027-34. (2015) 752 753 15. Stewart, A.K. Medicine. How thalidomide works against cancer. Science. 343, 256-257 (2014). 754 755 16. Hubsher, G., Haider, M. & Okun, M.S. Amantadine: the journey from fighting flu to treating 756 Parkinson disease. Neurology 78, 1096–1099 (2012). 757 758 17. Scott, T. J., O'Connor, A. C., Link, A. N. & Beaulieu, T. J. Economic analysis of opportunities to 759 accelerate Alzheimer's disease research and development. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1313, 17-34 760 (2014). 761

762 18. Judge, A., Garriga, C., Arden, N. K., Lovestone, S., Prieto-Alhambra, D., Cooper, C. & Edwards, C. 763 J. Protective effect of antirheumatic drugs on dementia in rheumatoid arthritis patients. 764 Alzheimers Dement). 3, 612-621 (2017). 765 766 19. Seyb, K.I., Schuman, E.R., Ni, J., Huang, M.M., Michaelis, M.L. & Glicksman, M.A. Identification 767 of small molecule inhibitors of beta-amyloid cytotoxicity through a cell-based high-throughput 768 screening platform. J Biomol Screen. 13,870-8 (2008). 769 770 20. Williams, G., Gatt, A., Clarke, E., Corcoran, J., Doherty, P., Chambers, D. & Ballard, C. Drug 771 repurposing for Alzheimer's disease based on transcriptional profiling of human iPSC-derived 772 cortical neurons. Transl Psychiatry. 9, 220 (2019). 774 775 21. Howard, R. et al. Minocycline at 2 different dosages vs placebo for patients with mild Alzheimer disease: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Neurology. 77, 164-174 (2020). 776 22. Lawlor, B. et al. NILVAD Study Group. Nilvadipine in mild to moderate Alzheimer disease: A 778 randomised controlled trial. PLoS Med. 15, e1002660 (2018). 779 780 23. Kehoe, P.G. et al. Results of the reducing pathology in Alzheimer's disease through angiotensin 781 targeting (RADAR) trial [abstract LB3]. J Prev Alzheimers Dis. 6, suppl 1, S31–S32 (2019) 783 24. McKhann, G. et al. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work 784 Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on 785 Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology. 34, 939–944 (1984). 786 787 25. Birks, J.S. Cholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease. Cochrane Databast Syst. Rev. 1, 788 CD005593 (2006). 789 790 26. Howard, R. et al. Determining the minimum clinically important differences for outcomes in the 791 DOMINO trial. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 26, 812-7 (2011). 792 793 27. Kume K., Hanyu H., Sakurai H., Takada Y., Onuma T. & Iwamoto T. Effects of telmisartan on 794 cognition and regional cerebral blood flow in hypertensive patients with Alzheimer's disease. 795 Geriatr Gerontol Int. 12, 207-14 (2012). 796 797 28. Kehoe, P. G., Miners, J. S. & Love, S. Angiotensins in Alzheimer's disease — friend or foe? Trends 798 Neurosci. 32, 619-628 (1997). 799 800 29. Kehoe, P. G. & Passmore, P. A. The renin-angiotensin system and antihypertensive drugs in 801 802 Alzheimer's disease: current standing of the angiotensin hypothesis? J. Alzheimers Dis. 30, S251-S269 (2012). 803 804 30. Wright, J. W. & Harding, J. W. Brain renin-angiotensin — a new look at an old system. Prog. 805 Neurobiol. 95, 49-67 (2011). 806 807 31. Culman, J., Blume, A., Gohlke, P. & Unger, T. The renin-angiotensin system in the brain: possible 808 therapeutic implications for AT1-receptor blockers. J. Hum. Hypertens. 16, S64–S70 (2002). 809 810 32. Wang, J. et al. Valsartan lowers brain β -amyloid protein levels and improves spatial learning in a 811 mouse model of Alzheimer disease. J. Clin. Invest. 117, 3393–3402 (2007). 812 813

- Mogi, M. et al. Telmisartan prevented cognitive decline partly due to PPAR-γ activation.
 Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 375, 446–449 (2008).
- 817 34. Papadopoulos, P., Tong, X. K., Imboden, H. & Hamel, E. Losartan improves cerebrovascular
 818 function in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease with combined overproduction of amyloid-β
 819 and transforming growth factor-β1. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 37, 1959-1970 (2017).
- 35. Royea, J., Zhang, L., Tong, X. K. & Hamel, E. Angiotensin IV Receptors Mediate the Cognitive and
 Cerebrovascular Benefits of Losartan in a Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *J Neurosci.* 37,
 5562-5573 (2017).
- 36. Ongali, B. et al. Angiotensin II type 1 receptor blocker losartan prevents and rescues
 cerebrovascular, neuropathological and cognitive deficits in an Alzheimer's disease model.
 Neurobiol Dis. 68, 126-36 (2014).
- 37. Danielyan, L. et al. Protective effects of intranasal losartan in the APP/PS1 transgenic mouse
 model of Alzheimer disease. *Rejuvenation Res.* 13, 195-201 (2010).
- 38. Liu, X. et al. Losartan-induced hypotension leads to tau hyperphosphorylation and memory
 deficit. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 40, 419-27 (2014).
- 39. Tian, M., Zhu, D., Xie, W. & Shi, J. Central angiotensin II-induced Alzheimer-like tau phosphorylation in normal rat brains. *FEBS lett* **586**, 3737-45 (2012).
- 40. Zhu, D. et al. Central angiotensin II stimulation promotes β amyloid production in Sprague Dawley rats. **28**, e16037 (2011).
- 41. Anderson, C. et al. Renin-angiotensin system blockade and cognitive function in patients at high
 risk of cardiovascular disease: analysis of data from the ONTARGET and TRANSCEND studies.
 Lancet Neurol. 10, 43–53 (2011).
- 42. Lithell, H. et al. The study on cognition and prognosis in the elderly (SCOPE): principal results of a randomized double-blind intervention trial. *J. Hypertens.* **21**, 875–886 (2003).
- 43. Livingston, G. et al. Dementia: prevention, intervention, and care. *Lancet* **390**, 2673-2734 (2017).
- 44. Williamson, J. D. et al. Effect of Intensive vs Standard Blood Pressure Control on Probable Dementia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* **321**, 553-561 (2019).
- 853 45. US National Library of Medicine. ClinicalTrials.gov https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02471833 (2019). 854 855 856 46. US National Library of Medicine. ClinicalTrials.gov https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02085265 (2020). 857 858 47. US National Library of Medicine ClinicalTrials.gov 859 https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02646982 (2020). 860 861 48. Schrijvers, E. M. C. et al. Insulin metabolism and the risk of Alzheimer disease — the Rotterdam 862 study. Neurology 75, 1982–1987 (2010). 863
- 864

820

824

828

831

834

837

840

844

847

849

49. Perry, T. et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 decreases endogenous amyloid-β peptide (Aβ) levels and
protects hippocampal neurons from death induced by Aβ and iron. J. Neuroscience Res. 72,
603–612 (2003).

868

871

875

879

883

886

890

893

897

901

906

910

- 50. Holscher, C. in Vitamins and Hormones: Incretins and Insulin Secretion (ed. Litwack, G.) 331–354
 (Academic Press, 2010).
- 51. Li, L. et al. (Val⁸) glucagon-like peptide-1 prevents tau hyperphosphorylation, impairment of
 spatial learning and ultra-structural cellular damage induced by streptozotocin in rat brains. *Eur.*J. Pharmacol. 674, 280–286 (2012).
- Perry, T. et al. A novel neutrotrophic property of glucagon-like peptide 1: a promoter of nerve
 growth factor-mediated differentiation in PC12 cells. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **300**, 958–966
 (2002).
- Wang, X. H. *et al.* Val8-glucagon-like peptide-1 protects against Aβ1–40-induced impairment of
 hippocampal late-phase long-term potentiation and spatial learning in rats. *Neuroscience* 170,
 1239–1248 (2010).
- 54. Kastin, A. J., Akerstrom, V. & Pan, W. Interactions of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) with the blood-brain barrier. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* **18**, 7–14 (2002).
- McClean, P. L., Parthsarathy, V., Faivre, E. & Holscher, C. The diabetes drug liraglutide prevents
 degenerative processes in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurosci.* **31**, 6587–6594
 (2011).
- 56. Gengler, S., McClean, P. L., McCurtin, R., Gault, V.A. & Hölscher, C. Val(8)GLP-1 rescues synaptic plasticity and reduces dense core plaques in APP/PS1 mice. *Neurobiol Aging* **33**, 265-76 (2012).
- 57. Hamilton, A., Patterson, S., Porter, D., Gault, V. A. & Holscher, C. Novel GLP-1 mimetics
 developed to treat type 2 diabetes promote progenitor cell proliferation in the brain. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 89, 481–489 (2011).
- 58. McClean, P.L., Jalewa, J. & Hölscher, C. Prophylactic liraglutide treatment prevents amyloid
 plaque deposition, chronic inflammation and memory impairment in APP/PS1 mice. *Behav Brain Res.* 293, 96-106 (2015).
- 90259.Qi, L. et al. Subcutaneous administration of liraglutide ameliorates learning and memory903impairment by modulating tau hyperphosphorylation via the glycogen synthase kinase-3 β 904pathway in an amyloid β protein induced alzheimer disease mouse model. *Eur J Pharmacol.***783**,90523-32 (2016).
- Hansen, H.H. et al. The GLP-1 Receptor Agonist Liraglutide Improves Memory Function and
 Increases Hippocampal CA1 Neuronal Numbers in a Senescence-Accelerated Mouse Model of
 Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 46, 877-888 (2015).
- Kiong, H. et al. The neuroprotection of liraglutide on Alzheimer-like learning and memory
 impairment by modulating the hyperphosphorylation of tau and neurofilament proteins and
 insulin signaling pathways in mice. *J Alzheimers Dis.* **37**, 623-35 (2013).
- Ballard C, Nørgaard CH, Friedrich S, Mørch LS, Gerds T, Møller DV, Knudsen LB, Kvist K, Zinman
 B, Holm E, Torp-Pedersen C, Thim Hansen C. Liraglutide and semaglutide: pooled *post-hoc*analysis to evaluate risk of dementia in patients with type 2 diabetes AAIC Amsterdam 2020.

- 63. Gejl, M., Brock, B., Egefjord, L., Vang, K., Rungby, J. & Gjedde, A. Blood-Brain Glucose Transfer in Alzheimer's disease: Effect of GLP-1 Analog Treatment. *Sci Rep.* **7**, 17490 (2017).
- 64. US National Library of Medicine. *ClinicalTrials.gov* https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01843075 (2019).

921

924

927

930

933

936

940

944

947

950

953

956

959

965

- 65. Mullins, R.J., et al., A Pilot Study of Exenatide Actions in Alzheimer's Disease. *Curr Alzheimer Res.* **16**, 741-752 (2019).
- 66. Ono-Saito, N., Niki, I. & Hidaka, H. H-series protein kinase inhibitors and potential clinical applications. *Pharmacol Ther* **82**, 123-131 (1999).
- 67. Sellers, K. J. et al. Amyloid β synaptotoxicity is Wnt-PCP dependent and blocked by fasudil. Alzheimers Dement. **14**, 306-317 (2018).
- 68. Huentelman, M. J.et al. Peripheral delivery of a ROCK inhibitor improves learning and working memory. *Behav Neurosci* **123**, 218-223 (2009).
- 69. Couch, B. A., DeMarco, G. J., Gourley, S. L. & Koleske, A. J. Increased dendrite branching in
 AbetaPP/PS1 mice and elongation of dendrite arbors by fasudil administration. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 20, 1003-1008 (2010).
- 70. Song, Y., Chen, X., Wang, L. Y., Gao, W. & Zhu, M. J. Rho kinase inhibitor fasudil protects against
 β-amyloid-induced hippocampal neurodegeneration in rats. *CNS Neurosci Ther.* 19, 603-610
 (2013).
- 71. Killick, R. et al. Clusterin regulates beta-amyloid toxicity via Dickkopf-1-driven induction of the
 wnt-PCP-JNK pathway. *Mol Psychiatry* **19**, 88-98 (2014).
- 72. Sellers, K. J. et al. Amyloid β synaptotoxicity is Wnt-PCP dependent and blocked by fasudil.
 Alzheimers Dement. 14, 306-317 (2018).
- 73. Elliott, C. et al. A role for APP in Wnt signalling links synapse loss with beta-amyloid production.
 Transl Psychiatry 8, 179 (2018).
- 74. Killick, R., Ballard, C., Aarsland, D. & Sultana J. Fasudil for the treatment of Alzheimer's Disease.
 Preprint at OSF https://osf.io/3e4y6 (2020).
- 75. Fukumoto, Y. et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial with a rho-kinase inhibitor in pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Circ J.* **77**, 2619-2625 (2013).
- Yan, B.et al. Curative effect of Fasudil injection combined with Nimodipine on Alzheimer disease
 of elderly patients. *Journal of Clinical Medicine in Practice* 14, 36 (2011).
- 77. Yu, J.Z. et al. Multitarget Therapeutic Effect of Fasudil in APP/PS1transgenic Mice. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. 16:199-209 (2017).
- 78. Hou, Y. et al. Changes in hippocampal synapses and learning-memory abilities in a streptozotocin-treated rat model and intervention by using fasudil hydrochloride. *Neuroscience*.
 200:120-9 (2012).

970 971 972	79.	Winblad, B., Giacobini, E., Frölich, L., Friedhoff, L. T., Bruinsma, G., Becker, R. E. & Greig, N. H. Phenserine efficacy in Alzheimer's disease. <i>J Alzheimers Dis.</i> 22 , 1201-1208 (2010).
973 974 975	80.	Becker, R. E. et al. Phenserine and Inhibiting Pre-Programmed Cell Death: In Pursuit of a Novel Intervention for Alzheimer's Disease. <i>Curr Alzheimer Res.</i> 15 , 883-891 (2018).
976 977	81.	Chang, C-F. et al. (-)-Phenserine Inhibits Neuronal Apoptosis Following ischemia/reperfusion Injury. <i>Brain Res</i> 1677 , 118-128.(2017).
978 979 980	82.	Tweedie, D. et al. Cognitive Impairments Induced by Concussive Mild Traumatic Brain Injury in Mouse Are Ameliorated by Treatment With Phenserine via Multiple Non-Cholinergic and Cholinergic Mechanisms <i>PLoS One</i> , e0156493 (2016).
981 982 983	83.	Lecca, D. et al (-)-Phenserine and the prevention of pre-programmed cell death and neuroinflammation in mild traumatic brain injury and Alzheimer's disease challenged mice <i>Neurobiol Dis</i> . 130 , 104528.(2019).
984 985 986 987	84.	Sugaya, K. et al. Practical Issues in Stem Cell Therapy for Alzheimer's Disease <i>Curr Alzheimer Res.</i> 4 , 370-377 (2007).
988 989 990	85.	Marutle, A. et al. Modulation of human neural stem cell differentiation in Alzheimer (APP23) transgenic mice by phenserine. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.</i> 104 , 12506-12511 (2007).
991 992 993 994	86.	Lahiri, D.K. et al. Differential effects of two hexahydropyrroloindole carbamate-based anticholinesterase drugs on the amyloid beta protein pathway involved in Alzheimer's disease. <i>Neuromolecular Med.</i> 9 , 157-168 (2007).
995 996 997	87.	Lahiri, D.K. et al. The experimental Alzheimer's disease drug posiphen [(+)-phenserine] lowers amyloid-beta peptide levels in cell culture and mice. <i>J Pharmacol Exp Ther.</i> 320 , 386-396 (2007).
998 999 1000 1001	88.	Haroutunian, V. et al. Pharmacological modulation of Alzheimer's beta-amyloid precursor protein levels in the CSF of rats with forebrain cholinergic system lesions. <i>Brain Res Mol Brain Res.</i> 46 , 161-168 (1997).
1002 1003 1004 1005	89.	Patel, N. et al. Phenserine, a novel acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, attenuates impaired learning of rats in a 14-unit T-maze induced by blockade of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor. <i>Neuroreport</i> . 9 , 171-176 (1998).
1005 1006 1007 1008	90.	Kadir, A. et al. Effect of phenserine treatment on brain functional activity and amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. <i>Ann Neurol.</i> 63 , 621-631 (2008).
1009 1010 1011 1012	91.	Greig, N. H., Sambamurti, K., Yu, Q. S., Brossi, A., Bruinsma, G. B. & Lahiri, D. K. An overview of phenserine tartrate, a novel acetylcholinesterase inhibitor for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. <i>Curr Alzheimer Res.</i> 2 , 281-290 (2005).
1013 1014	92.	Birks, J.S. & Harvey, R.J. Donepezil for dementia due to Alzheimer's disease. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 6, CD001190 (2018).
1015 1016 1017 1018	93.	Axonyx. Axonyx announces results of curtailed phase III clinical trials for phenserine in Alzheimer's disease. <i>Businesswire</i> https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20050920005633/en/Axonyx-Announces-Results- Curtailed-Phase-III-Clinical (2005)

- 94. Schneider, L.S. & Lahiri, D.K. The perils of Alzheimer's drug development. Curr Alzheimer Res.
 6:77-8 (2009)..
- 1021

1027

1030

1035

1042

1045

1048

1052

1057

1059

1060

1061

1062 1063 1064

1065

1066 1067

- Jamieson, G. A., Maitland, N. J., Wilcock, G. K., Craske, J. & Itzhaki, R. F. Latent herpes simplex
 virus type 1 in normal and Alzheimer's disease brains. *J Med Virol.* 33, 224-227 (1991).
 - 96. Iltzhaki, R. F., Lin, W. R., Shang, D., Wilcock, G. K., Faragher, B. & Jamieson, G. A. Herpes simplex virus type 1 in brain and risk of Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* **349**, 241-244 (1997).
- Wozniak, M. A., Frost, A. L. & Itzhaki, R. F. Alzheimer's disease-specific tau phosphorylation is
 induced by herpes simplex virus type 1. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 16, 341-350 (2009).
- 103198. Santana, S., Recuero, M., Bullido, M. J., Valdivieso, F. & Aldudo, J. Herpes simplex virus type I1032induces the accumulation of intracellular β-amyloid in autophagic compartments and the1033inhibition of the non-amyloidogenic pathway in human neuroblastoma cells. Neurobiol Aging.1034**33**, 19-33 (2012).
- Wozniak, M. A., Itzhaki, R. F., Shipley, S. J. & Dobson, C. B. Herpes simplex virus infection causes
 cellular beta-amyloid accumulation and secretase upregulation. *Neurosci Lett.* 429, 95-100
 (2007).
- 1040 100. Piacentini, R. et al. HSV-1 promotes Ca2+ -mediated APP phosphorylation and Aβ accumulation
 in rat cortical neurons. *Neurobiol Aging*. **32**, 2323.e13-2323.e26 (2011).
- 1043 101. Wozniak, M. A., Mee, A. P., Itzhaki, R. F. Herpes simplex virus type 1 DNA is located within 1044 Alzheimer's disease amyloid plaques. *J Pathol.* **217**, 131-138 (2009).
- 1046 102. Lerchundi, R. et al. Tau cleavage at D421 by caspase-3 is induced in neurons and astrocytes 1047 infected with herpes simplex virus type 1. *J Alzheimers Dis.* **23**, 513-520 (2011).
- 1049 103. Zambrano, A., Solis, L., Salvadores, N., Cortés, M., Lerchundi, R. & Otth, C. Neuronal cytoskeletal
 dynamic modification and neurodegeneration induced by infection with herpes simplex virus
 type 1. J Alzheimers Dis. 14, 259-269 (2008).
- 1053 104. Wozniak, M. A., Frost, A. L., Preston, C. M. & Itzhaki, R. F. Antivirals reduce the formation of key
 1054 Alzheimer's disease molecules in cell cultures acutely infected with herpes simplex virus type 1.
 1055 PLoS One. 6, e25152 (2011).
 - 105. Tzeng, N.S. et al. Anti-herpetic Medications and Reduced Risk of Dementia in Patients with Herpes Simplex Virus Infections-a Nationwide, Population-Based Cohort Study in Taiwan. *Neurotherapeutics.* **15**, 417-429 (2008).
 - 106. Chen, V. C. et al. Herpes Zoster and Dementia: A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Study. J Clin Psychiatry. **79**, 16m11312 (2018).

 Devanand, D.P. et al. Antiviral Therapy: Valacyclovir Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease (VALAD) Trial: Protocol for a Randomised, Double-Blind,placebo-Controlled, Treatment Trial
 BMJ Open, e032112 (2020).

108. Sultana, J. & Ballard, C. Disease-modifying agents for rheumatoid arthritis: methotrexate,
 chloroquine phosphate/proguanil hydrochloride, cyclosporine, cyclophosphamide,
 hydroxychloroquine sulphate, sodium aurothiomalate, and sulfasalazine as potential

- repurposing treatments for Alzheimer's Disease; a comprehensive literature review Preprint at
 OSF https://mfr.osf.io/render?url=https%3A%2F%2Fosf.io%2F6g57a%2Fdownload (2020).
- 1074 109. Van Gool, W.A., et al. Effect of hydroxychloroquine on progression of dementia in early
 1075 Alzheimer's disease: an 18-month randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Lancet*.
 1076 2001 **358**,]455-60 (2001).
- 1077 1078

1080

1081 1082

1086

1089

1092

1095

1098

1104

1107

1110

1113

1116

1119

- 110. Ali, M.R. et al. Tempol and perindopril protect against lipopolysaccharide-induced cognition impairment and amyloidogenesis by modulating brain-derived neurotropic factor, neuroinflammation and oxido-nitrosative stress. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol.* **389**, 637-656 (2016).
- 1083 111. de Oliveira, F. et al. Brain penetrating angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and cognitive
 change in patients with dementia due to Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 42 Suppl 3, S321 S324 (2014).
- 1087 112. Wharton, W. et al. The effects of ramipril in individuals at risk for Alzheimer's disease: results of 1088 a pilot clinical trial. *J Alzheimers Dis.* **32**, 147-156 (2012).
- 1090 113. Lamb, J. et al. The Connectivity Map: using gene-expression signatures to connect small 1091 molecules, genes, and disease. *Science*, **313**, 1929-1935 (2006).
- 1093 114. Subramanian, A. et al. A Next Generation Connectivity Map: L1000 Platform and the First 1,000,000 Profiles. *Cell*. **171**, 1437-1452.e17 (2017).
- 1096 115. Gatt, A., et al. Alzheimer's Disease Progression in the 5×FAD Mouse Captured with a
 1097 Multiplex Gene Expression Array. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* 72, 1177-1191 (2019).
- 1099 116. Hargis, K.E. & Blalock, E.M. Transcriptional signatures of brain aging and Alzheimer's disease:
 What are our rodent models telling us? *Behav Brain Res.* **322 part B**, 311-328 (2017)145
- 1102 117. Williams, G. A searchable cross-platform gene expression database reveals connections
 1103 between drug treatments and disease. *BMC Genomics*. 13, 12 (2012).
- 1105 118. Williams, G. SPIEDw: a searchable platform-independent expression database web tool. *BMC* 1106 *Genomics.* 14, 765 (2013).
- 1108 **119.** Ballard, C. et al. Identifying Novel Candidates for Re-Purposing as Potential Therapeutic 1109 Agents for AD. Preprint at *bioRxiv* https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/622308v1 (2019).
- 1111 120. Bertram, L. & Tanzi, R. E. Alzheimer disease risk genes: 29 and counting. *Nat Rev Neurol.* 15, 191-192 (2019).
- 1114 121. Maragakis, N. J. & Rothstein, J. D. Glutamate transporters in neurologic disease. Arch Neurol.
 1115 58, 365-370 (2001).
- 1117 122. Rothstein, J. D. et al. Selective loss of glial glutamate transporter GLT-1 in amyotrophic lateral
 1118 sclerosis. *Ann Neurol.* 38, 73-84 (1995).
- 123. Rothstein, J. D. et al. Beta-lactam antibiotics offer neuroprotection by increasing glutamate
 transporter expression. *Nature* 433, 73-77 (2005).
- 1122

1123	124. Yimer, E. M., Hishe, H. Z. & Tuem, K. B. Repurposing of the beta-Lactam Antibiotic, Ceftriaxone
1124	for Neurological Disorders: A Review. Front Neurosci. 13, 236 (2019).

- 1126 125. Cudkowicz, M. E. et al. Safety and efficacy of ceftriaxone for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a
 multi-stage, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol.* 13, 1083-1091
 (2014).
- 1130 126. Singleton, A. B. et al. Alpha-Synuclein locus triplication causes Parkinson's disease. *Science* 302,
 1131 841 (2003).
- 1133 127. Mittal, S. et al. Beta2-Adrenoreceptor is a regulator of the alpha-synuclein gene driving risk of
 1134 Parkinson's disease. *Science* 357, 891-898 (2017).
- 1136 128. Gronich, N. et al, Beta2-adrenoceptor agonists and antagonists and risk of Parkinson's disease.
 1137 Mov Disord. 33, 1465-1471 (2018).
- 1139 129. Searles Nielsen, S. et al. Beta2-adrenoreceptor medications and risk of Parkinson disease. Ann
 1140 Neurol. 84, 683-693 (2018).
- 1142 130. Boshoff, E. L., Fletcher, E. J. R. & Duty, S. Fibroblast growth factor 20 is protective towards
 1143 dopaminergic neurons in vivo in a paracrine manner. *Neuropharmacology* 137, 156-163 (2018).
- 1145 131. Niu, J. et al. Efficient treatment of Parkinson's disease using ultrasonography-guided rhFGF20
 1146 proteoliposomes. *Drug Deliv.* 25, 1560-1569 (2018).
- 1148 132. Weissmiller, A. M. & Wu, C. Current advances in using neurotrophic factors to treat 1149 neurodegenerative disorders. *Transl Neurodegener*. **1**, 14 (2012).
- 133. Ohmachi, S. et al. FGF-20, a novel neurotrophic factor, preferentially expressed in the substantia nigra pars compacta of rat brain. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* **277**, 355-60 (2000).
- 134. Fletcher, E. J. R. et al. Targeted repositioning identifies drugs that increase fibroblast growth
 factor 20 production and protect against 6-hydroxydopamine-induced nigral cell loss in rats. *Sci Rep.* 9, 8336 (2019).
- 1158 135. Murdoch, D. & Plosker G. L. Triflusal: a review of its use in cerebral infarction and myocardial 1159 infarction, and as thromboprophylaxis in atrial fibrillation. *Drugs* **66**, 671-92 (2006).
- 1161 **136.** Kim, S. W. et al. Neuroprotective effect of triflusal and its main metabolite, 2-hydroxy-4-1162 trifluoromethylbenzoic acid (HTB), in the postischemic brain. *Neurosci Lett.* **643**, 59-64 (2017).
- 1164 137. Vicari, R. M. et al. Fasudil Study Group. Efficacy and safety of fasudil in patients with stable 1165 angina: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* **46**, 1803-11 (2005).
- 138. Kamei, S., Oishi, M. & Takasu, T. Evaluation of fasudil hydrochloride treatment for wandering
 symptoms in cerebrovascular dementia with 31P-magnetic resonance spectroscopy and Xe computed tomography. *Clin Neuropharmacol.* 19, 428-438 (1996).
- 1170 139. Feasibility and Effects of Valaciclovir Treatment in Persons with Early Alzheimer's Disease
 1171 (VALZ-
- Pilot)(NCT02997982).Availablefrom:https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02997982?cond=vala
 ciclovir+AND+ALzheimer&rank=1 Accessed on 13/01/2019.
- 1174

1129

1132

1135

1138

1141

1144

1147

1150

1157

1160

1163

- 1175 140. Anti-viral Therapy in Alzheimer's Disease (NCT03282916). Available from:
- https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03282916?cond=valaciclovir+AND+ALzheimer&rank=2
 Accessed on 13/01/2019
- 1178 141. Nitsch. R.M., Deng, M., Tennis, M., Schoenfeld, D & Growdon, J.H. The selective muscarinic
- 1179 M1 agonist AF102B decreases levels of total Abeta in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with
- 1180 Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol. **48**, 913-918 (2000).

- 142. AbdAlla, S., El Hakim, A., Abdelbaset, A., Elfaramawy, Y. & Quitterer, U. Inhibition of ACE
 Retards Tau Hyperphosphorylation and Signs of Neuronal Degeneration in Aged Rats Subjected
 to Chronic Mild Stress. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015, 917156 (2015).
- 143. AbdAlla, S., Langer, A., Fu, X. & Quitterer, U. ACE inhibition with captopril retards the
 development of signs of neurodegeneration in an animal model of Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* 14, 16917-16942 (2013)
- 1188 144. Sultana, J. & Ballard, C. ACE inhibitors: captopril, ramipril, lisinopril, perindopril as potential
- repurposing treatments for Alzheimer's Disease; a comprehensive literature review. Preprint at
- 1190 OSF https://mfr.osf.io/render?url=https%3A%2F%2Fosf.io%2Fz9uc4%2Fdownload (2020).
- 1191
- 1192
- 1193
- 1194
- 1195 1196
- 1197

Table 1: Priority candidates from the 2018–2019 Delphi consensus

Drug classes	Proposed candidates	Proposed mechanism of action	Summary of evidence	Remaining work required
Shortliste	d candidates			
ROCK inhibitors	Fasudil	Reduction of Aβ levels in vitro through the Dkk1-driven Wnt-PCP pathway ⁷³ ; reduction of inflammation ⁷⁰ ; prevention of synaptic damage ⁷¹ and impaired dendritic arborisation ⁶⁹ .	evidence of synaptic protection, reduction of amyloid and cognitive benefits in a	

			study has not been	
AChE inhibitors	Phenserine	Suppression of IL-1b production; reduction of glutamate-induced excitotoxicity; protection against oxidative stress; reduction in A β levels; increase in production of BDNF; inhibition of APP and α -synuclein synthesis; and anti- apoptosis action on re-programmed cell death pathway ¹⁹ .	published in English ⁷⁶ Several preclinical studies showed that phenserine reduces APP levels in cultured cells and in the brains of animal models ⁸⁴⁻⁸⁸ ; phase II studies of phenserine showed good tolerability and demonstrated some indication of cognitive benefit, although the study was underpowered to properly examine cognitive function ⁷⁹ .	Further studies are needed to verify the potential mechanism of action in humans; these studies need to have adequate power to measure cognitive benefits
drugs	Acyclovir, penciclovir, valaciclovir and foscarnet	In vitro evidence suggests that HSV can accelerate the accumulation of amyloid ⁹⁸⁻¹⁰⁰ and promote abnormal tau phosphorylation ¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ ; anti-viral drugs might mitigate these effects.	A post-mortem case– control study in carriers of <i>APOE</i> ε4 found that AD was more common among individuals who had HSV compared with individuals who did not have HSV ⁹⁶ ; An epidemiological study also showed that a cohort of persons with HSV had a higher risk of developing dementia than those without HSV ¹⁰⁵ ; recent large-scale studies suggest that the association between HSV and dementia is mitigated or reversed by anti-viral therapy ^{95,105}	At least two small RCTs in a combined total of 163 individuals with AD are in progress ^{139,140} , but a well- powered RCT is needed.
	tlisted compounds		I	
	hydroxychloroquine	The potent anti- inflammatory actions of this class of agents might be a potential mechanism of action, but this has not been clarified in preclinical studies	A population-based retrospective cohort study found that participants using DMARDs had a modestly reduced risk of dementia than participants not using DMARDs ¹⁸ ; a double- blind RCT in 168 individuals with mild AD over 18 months showed that hydroxychloroquine did not prevent	More robust preclinical studies are needed to establish mechanism of action; high-powered RCTs are also needed to confirm findings from observational studies.

		102	
		cognitive decline ¹⁰⁸	
		compared with	
		placebo; an open-label	
		trial in 10 individuals	
		with AD treated with	
		hydroxychloroquine	
		showed that CSF levels	
		of Aβ did not change	
		after	
		treatment ¹⁴¹ .Reviewed	
		elsewhere ¹⁰⁸	
ACE Captopril, ramipril,	Reduction of amyloid	Evidence of benefit	Although there is some
inhibitors lisinopril and	deposition and tau	inconsistent across	supportive preclinical
perindopril	hyperphosphrylation	studies 144	evidence, the
	¹⁴² ; protection against		epidemiological evidence
	oxidative stress ^{110,143} ;		is fairly weak. RCTs,
	reduction of blood		several of which are
	pressure.		already ongoing, are
			needed to distinguish
			between the effect of
			hypertension control and
			the specific effects of ACE

Abbreviations: ACE : angiontensin converting enzyme; AChE acetylcholinesterase; AD: Alzheimer's disease; ARBs: angiotensin receptor blockers; BDNF: brain-derived neurotrophic factor; COX-2 inhibitors: cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors; DMARD- disease modifying antirheumatic drugs; MCI: mild cognitive impairment; NSAIDS: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; RCTS-randomised clinical trials; ROCK inhibitor: rho kinase inhibitor

1204

1206			
1207			
1208	Box 1: Potential reasons for high rates of failure in RCTs of disease-modifying therapies for AD		
1209	Therapeutics and targets		
1210	• The vast majority of trials have focused on amyloid targets, resulting in a lack of breadth		
1211 1212	• There is uncertainty regarding the specific disease mechanisms related to different amyloid species		
1213	Some therapeutics show poor brain penetration		
1214 1215	 Reducing amyloid deposition alone might not be sufficient to induce disease-modifying changes 		
1216 1217	• There has been only limited use of target engagement biomarkers in phase II studies to inform phase III studies		
1218	Trial design		
1219 1220 1221	 Many trials might be performed in individuals with AD that has progressed too far to therapies to have a disease-modifying effect. An increased focus on preclinical AD and at-risk groups has been seen in more recent trials 		
1222 1223	• The results of phase II trials have been interpreted in an overly-optimistic manner, leading to the progression of some compounds to larger trials that might not have been warranted		
1224 1225	 Populations that are appropriately enriched for core AD pathologies were only included in more recent trials. 		
1226 1227 1228	 The neuropsychology measures used in trials can have a poor sensitivity to change. This insensitivity is a particular issue in phase II trials, which have usually been underpowered to detect changes in neuropsychology and clinical outcomes. 		
1229			
1230			
1231	Drug repositioning and repurposing can enhance traditional drug development efforts and could		
1232	accelerate the identification of new treatments. In this Review, Ballard and colleagues highlight		
1233	priority compounds for repurposing in Alzheimer disease.		
1234			
1235			