Supplementary Information for 'A spatial emergent constraint on the sensitivity of soil carbon turnover to global warming' by Varney et al.



Supplementary Figure 1: Uncertainties in future total soil carbon change. The change in soil carbon, ΔC_s , against change in global mean temperatures, ΔT , diagnosed from sixteen Earth System Models (seven CMIP6 ESMs and nine CMIP5 ESMs), for three different future scenarios: SSP126, SSP245, SSP585, or RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP8.5 respectively.



Supplementary Figure 2: **Deriving the CMIP6 model-specific** $\log \tau_s$ -**temperature relationships.** Scatter plots of the relationship between soil carbon turnover, $\log \tau_s$, and near surface air temperature, *T*, for each CMIP6 ESM considered in our study. The black points represent the individual grid points of data, and the blue lines show the spatial $\log \tau_s$ -temperature quadratic fits.



Supplementary Figure 3: **Deriving the CMIP5 model-specific** $\log \tau_s$ -**temperature relationships.** Scatter plots of the relationship between soil carbon turnover, $\log \tau_s$, and near surface air temperature, *T*, for each CMIP5 ESM considered in our study. The black points represent the individual grid points of data, and the blue lines show the spatial $\log \tau_s$ -temperature quadratic fits.



Supplementary Figure 4: One to one comparisons of observational datasets. Scatter plots showing one-to-one comparisons of all the observational datasets considered in this study against one another, including: CARDAMOM heterotrophic respiration (Rh) [1], MODIS net primary production (NPP) [2], Raich 2002 soil respiration (Rs) [3], and Hashimoto 2015 Rh [4]. The black data points represent the spatial data for each grid point, and the red lines show a one-to-one comparison. The respective r^2 correlation coefficients are stated on each figure panel.



Supplementary Figure 5: One to one comparisons of $\log \tau_s$ for each observational dataset. Scatter plots showing one-to-one comparisons of $\log \tau_s$ calculated using each of the observational datasets considered in this study: CARDAMOM heterotrophic respiration (Rh) [1], MODIS net primary production (NPP) [2], Raich 2002 soil respiration (Rs) [3], and Hashimoto 2015 Rh [4]. The black data points represent the spatial data for each grid point, and the red lines show a one-to-one comparison. The respective r² correlation coefficients are stated on each figure panel.



Supplementary Figure 6: Comparison of the quadratic fits for different observational datasets. The spatial log τ_s -temperature derived quadratic fits using our observational datasets: CAR-DAMOM Rh [1], MODIS NPP [2], and Raich 2002 soil respiration (Rs) [3].

Supplementary References

- 1. Bloom, A., Williams, M. *et al.* Cardamom 2001-2010 global carbon model-data fusion (mdf) analysis (2015).
- Zhao, M., Heinsch, F. A., Nemani, R. R. & Running, S. W. Improvements of the modis terrestrial gross and net primary production global data set. *Remote sensing of Environment* 95, 164–176 (2005).
- Raich, J. W., Potter, C. S. & Bhagawati, D. Interannual variability in global soil respiration, 1980–94. *Global Change Biology* 8, 800–812 (2002).
- 4. Hashimoto, S. *et al.* Global spatiotemporal distribution of soil respiration modeled using a global database. *Biogeosciences* **12**, 4121–4132 (2015).