- **1** Technical testing and match analysis statistics as part of the talent
- 2 development process in an English football academy
- 3 Adam L. Kelly<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Mark R. Wilson<sup>2</sup>, Daniel T. Jackson<sup>1</sup>, and Craig A.
- 4 Williams<sup>2</sup>
- 5 <sup>1</sup>Research Centre for Life and Sport Sciences (CLaSS), School of Health Sciences, Department of
- 6 Sport and Exercise, Birmingham City University, Birmingham, West Midlands, United Kingdom;
- 7 <sup>2</sup>College of Life & Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, Devon, United
- 8 Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>Exeter City Football Club, Exeter, Devon, United Kingdom
- 9 Correspondence: Dr Adam L. Kelly, Department of Sport and Exercise, Birmingham City
- 10 University, City South Campus, Westbourne Road, Edgbaston, B15 3TN, UK. E-mail:
- 11 Adam.Kelly@bcu.ac.uk

12

# 13 Technical testing and match analysis statistics as part of the talent 14 development process in an English football academy

15	Technical ability is recognised as a fundamental prerequisite to achieve senior
16	professional status in football. However, research is yet to investigate what technical
17	attributes contribute to greater coach perceived potential within an academy
18	environment. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine technical ability and skill
19	behaviour as contributing factors to coach potential ratings in an English football
20	academy. Ninety-eight outfield academy players (Foundation Development Phase
21	[FDP] under-9 to under-11 n=40; Youth Development Phase [YDP] under-12 to under-
22	16 $n=58$ ) participated in the study. Four football-specific technical tests were used to
23	measure technical ability, whilst eight match analysis statistics from competitive
24	match-play across an entire season were observed to measure skill behaviour. A
25	classification of 'higher-potentials' (top third) and 'lower-potentials' (bottom third)
26	were applied through coach rankings. Within the FDP, higher-potentials performed
27	significantly better ( $P < 0.05$ ) on the lob pass test, alongside greater reliability in
28	possession, pass completion, and total touches for match analysis statistics. Within the
29	YDP, higher-potentials performed significantly better ( $P < 0.05$ ) on all four technical
30	tests, alongside greater reliability in possession, dribble completion, and total touches
31	for match analysis statistics. Results suggest football-specific technical tests and 'in
32	possession' skill behaviours may provide discriminative tools that align with perceived
33	potential.

Keywords: Technical ability; Performance analysis; Skill behaviour; Talent
identification, Academy soccer, Football coaching

# 36 Introduction

- 37 Football is a sport that requires the repetition of many complex technical actions, such as
- dribbling, passing, tackling, and shooting (Dardouri, Amin Selmi, Haj Sassi, Gharbi, Rebhi,
- 39 & Moalla, 2014; Figueiredo, Coelho-e-Silva, & Malina, 2011). Historically, objective
- 40 technical analysis was rarely monitored for talent development purposes (Abt, Zhou, &
- 41 Weatherby, 1998). For example, Ali (2011) states how there was a 'dearth' of studies on skill
- 42 execution within academic literature, particularly when it is readily acknowledged that

successful execution of skill is one of the most important aspects in football performance.
More recently however, the growing interest from practitioners, alongside an increase in
technology capabilities, has resulted in researchers focussing on technical tests and match
analysis statistics (e.g., Archer, Drysdale, & Bradley, 2016; Forsman, Grasten, Blomqvist,
Davids, liukkonen, & Konttinen, 2016; Pedretti, Pedretti, Fernandes, Rebelo, & Seabra,
2016).

49 Current research has illustrated the technical demands of contemporary football have 50 increased significantly in recent years (Barnes, Archer, Hogg, Bush, & Bradley, 2014). 51 Furthermore, there is a distinct association between greater ball possession and successful 52 results (Gomez, Mitrotasios, Armatas, & Lago-Penas, 2018; Liu, Hopkins, & Gomez, 2016; 53 Yang, Leicht, Lago, & Gomez, 2018). In addition, players from successful teams have been 54 regularly shown to complete more technical actions compared to their less successful 55 counterparts (Gomez et al., 2018; Rampinini, Impellizzeri, Castagna, Couus, & Wisloff, 56 2009). Therefore, from a talent development perspective, it may be important to monitor both 57 unopposed technique and skill behaviours in youth football, using technical tests and match 58 analysis data respectively, to measure these fundamental attributes to support greater 59 development strategies towards senior expertise.

# 60 Technical testing

The acute motor skills of manipulating a ball effectively are vital factors in the professional game of football and can be tested in isolation (Vaeyens, Malina, janssens, van Retergham, Bourgois, Vrijens, & Philippaerts, 2006). Ali (2011) states the advantages of measuring these technical attributes as: (a) facilitating initial talent identification, (b) providing a strategy for skill acquisition, and (c) offering an alternative predictor for measuring technical ability compared to a skilled execution during competitive match-play. The importance of technical ability and successful football performance has been supported in previous studies, whereby
an association between technical capabilities and performance outcomes at varying
performance levels is demonstrated (e.g., Coelho-e-Silva et al., 2010; Figueiredo, Goncalves,
Coelho-e-Silva, & Malina, 2009; Huijgen, Elferink-Gamser, Lemmink, & Visscher, 2014;
Rebelo et al., 2013; Vaeyens et al., 2006).

72 Vaeyens and colleagues (2006) used a sequence of technical tests as part of their 73 research exploring the relationship between physical and technical performance 74 characteristics in youth football, revealing technical tests can distinguish ability groups in 75 youth football players at under-13 to under-16 age groups. Keller, Raynor, Bruce, and Iredale 76 (2016) used the Loughborough Short Passing Test, long passing test, shooting test, and speed 77 dribbling test to discriminate under-18 national 'elite', 'state elite', and 'sub-elite' youth 78 football players, reporting that the 'elite' group had higher scores compared to the others. 79 Huijgen, Elferink-Gemser, Post, and Visscher's (2010) longitudinal study also found that 80 dribbling performance during adolescence could discriminate between players who achieved 81 senior professional football status and those who reached amateur level. As a result, these 82 technical tests can be considered as valuable measures for assessing young football players' 83 potential.

84 Alongside ability groups, technical proficiency has been illustrated to improve with age among youth football players, with the greatest developments shown to occur in pre-85 86 pubertal years (Huijgen et al., 2010; Valente-dos-Santos et al., 2014; 2012; Wilson et al., 87 2016). Additionally, some studies have reported growth and maturation status may also be 88 associated with technical skill development, with biological maturity impacting the technical 89 progression in young football players (Malina, Cumming, Kontos, Eisenmann, Ribeiro, & 90 Aroso, 2005; Malina, Ribeiro, Aroso, Cumming, Unnithan, & kirkendall, 2007; Valente-dos-91 Santos et al., 2012; 2014). Moreover, time spent within practice activities, such as deliberate

practice, deliberate play, and multi-sports, has been allied with developing technical ability
within a football context (Huijgen, Elferink-Gemser, Ali, & Visscher, 2013; Huijgen et al.,
2010; Valente-dos-Santos et al., 2014). Consequently, this highlights the importance of
investigating technical ability from an age-specific perspective to support appropriate
developmental strategies in youth football.

## 97 Match analysis statistics

115

98 Football is characterised as a free-flowing team sport that requires the execution of many 99 aspects of skill in a dynamic context (Kempe, Vogelbein, Memmert, & Nopp, 2014). Thus, 100 although there are some 'closed skills' (i.e., penalty, corner, free-kick, throw-in), football is 101 an 'open skill' game; whereby players are required to perform the correct action at the right 102 moment to effectively operate (Carling, Williams, & Reilly, 2007). In addition, consistent 103 technique is required for a long period of time during a game, which has been shown to be 104 variable during the later stages of a game when fatigue sets in (Mohr, Krustrup, & Bangsbo, 105 2003). Match analysis refers to the objective recording and examination of behavioural 106 events occurring during competition (Carling et al., 2007). The notational style of analysis, 107 generically applied within academies to recognise key skill behaviours, is an objective 108 method of providing data for player development (Appleby & Dawson, 2002; Hughes, 1988; 109 Hughes, Hughes, & Behan, 2007). The scientific analysis of sports performance aims to 110 advance understanding of game behaviour, with a view to improving future outcomes 111 (McGarry, 2009; Wright, Carling, & Collins, 2014). As such, match analysis, via recording 112 competitive games and objectively analysing them, provides both researchers and 113 practitioners useful data on individual skill execution in football. 114 Maintaining possession, through passing and preserving the ball within a team's

control during competitive match-play, is associated with greater success at the highest levels

116 of professional football (Liu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018). Moreover, players from more 117 successful teams generally possess a greater pass completion percentage, alongside other 118 technical variables such as tackles, dribbles, and shots, during competitive match-play 119 (Rampinini et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2018). Gomez and colleagues (2018) also found greater ball possession, more attacking actions, and lower individual challenges reflected a higher 120 121 league ranking at senior professional level. Although these characteristics are fundamental 122 skills in senior professional football, current research overlooks the potential significance 123 match analysis may provide for recognising and facilitating talent development in youth 124 football (Atan, Foskett, & Ali, 2014; James, 2006). 125 Whilst there are number of studies that have examined groups of youth athletes (i.e., 126 'elite' versus 'non-elite'), which generally elicit superior technical abilities are possessed 127 within advanced cohorts (e.g., Vaeyens et al., 2006; Woods, Raynor, Bruce, & McDonald, 128 2015), there is no exploration regarding technical characteristics within an academy 129 environment that support developmental outcomes. Therefore, the purpose of this study was 130 to examine the discriminant function of technical ability (technical tests) and skill behaviours 131 (match analysis statistics) based on whether they could differentiate 'higher-potentials' and 'lower-potentials' (coach potential rankings) from an age-specific perspective (Foundation 132 133 Development Phase [FDP] and Youth Development Phase [YDP]). It was hypothesised that

134 characteristics across the technical tests and match analysis statistics would differentiate

135 higher-potentials and lower-potentials within both age phases.

## 136 Methods

## 137 Sample

138 Ninety-eight participants were examined within their specific age phase; FDP (under-9 to 139 under-11; n = 40) and YDP (under-12 to under-16; n = 58). All participants were recruited 140 from the same Tier 4 English professional football club and their Category 3 academy. Only

141 outfield players were included due to the contrasting development pathway for goalkeepers

142 (Gil, Zabala-Lili, Bidaurrazaga-Letona, Aduna, Lekue, Santos-Concejero, & Granados,

143 2014). The Institutional Ethics Committee approved this study.

#### 144 Measures

## 145 Technical tests

146 Four football-specific technical tests previously utilised in talent development research were 147 applied (Vaeyens et al., 2006). First, the slalom dribble test requires the player to control the 148 ball through nine cones (2 m apart) from the start to the end line and return. The timings are 149 recorded using timing gates (Brower TC Timing System, Draper, Utah, USA), with each player completing two trials and the quicker of the two recorded for analysis. Second, the lob 150 151 pass test requires the player to kick the football from a distance of 20 m into a target area 152 divided into three concentric circles (3 m, 6 m, and 9.15 m in diameter). Each kick is scored 153 by the circle in which the ball initially landed (3, 2, and 1 point respectively). Ten attempts 154 (five with each foot) are attempted with a maximum of 30 points available. Third, the 155 shooting accuracy test requires the player to kick the ball at a 16 m wide goal target from a 156 shooting distance of 20 m and central to the goal. The goal was divided into five parallel 157 zones; centre, 2 m wide (3 points), two areas 3 m on each side of the centre (2 points), and 158 two areas 4 m wide at each extreme (1 point). Ten attempts (five with each foot) are 159 attempted with a maximum of 30 points available. Fourth, the ball juggling test requires the 160 player to keep a football off the ground with the total number of touches recorded. Two trials 161 are completed, with a maximum of 100 touches per attempt permitted, allowing a maximum 162 number of 200 touches. Each player completed these tests in an indoor sports hall with a 163 hard-wood floor, with generic training kit being worn. In addition, age group-specific balls

were used for the tests in-line with the Football Association regulations; size three for under-9, size four for under-10 to under-13, and size five for under-14 to under-16.

## 166 Match analysis statistics

167 Video footage examined each player during competitive match-play as they performed each 168 skill behaviour. An average score of each skill behaviour is computed from across an entire 169 football season, including reliability in possession percentage, pass completion percentage, 170 number of tackles, number of blocks, number of loose balls retrieved, successful dribble 171 completion, total touches, and goals scored. As a standard pro-forma of match analysis 172 statistics within each academy varies based on its philosophy, this current study applied the 173 academy's existing protocol for its data collection. The specialist software Gamebreaker© 174 was used to perform participant analysis for each game and trained, club-appointed 175 Performance Analysts (who were not part of the research team and were blind to the grouping 176 of the study participants) adopted technical expert definitions (Table 1) to code behaviours (n 177 = 10). Twenty matches (25% of the data) of the matches that were included in the current 178 study were used to calculate the Performance Analysts' reliability (15-day test-retest 179 analysis). One match per team was randomly selected to carry out the intra- and inter-180 reliability analysis. An intra-class correlation coefficient test was executed to analyse the 181 reliability levels (poor, <0.50; moderate, 0.50 to 0.75; good, 0.76 to 0.90; excellent, 0.91 to 182 1.00) (Koo & Li, 2016). Results showed the intra-observer reliability ranged from 0.76 to 183 1.00 and the inter-observer reliability ranged from 0.71 to 1.00 (Table 2).

184

\*\*\*\*Table 1 near here\*\*\*\*

185 \*\*\*\*Table 2 near here\*\*\*\*

186 Only home games were filmed and analysed unless an away team provided appropriate

187 footage (away footage accumulated 8.5% of overall footage). Each age group had a varied 188 number of games filmed and analysed ranging from seven to fourteen. Although all matches 189 analysed were performed on grass, weather and surface quality varied depending on the time 190 of the season. Additionally, as a result of age-specific development, match formats differed 191 throughout the season between age groups; for example, the under-9's generally played four 192 periods of 20 minutes with 5 vs. 5, compared to the under-16's who generally played two 193 periods of 40 minutes with 11 vs. 11. Age appropriate pitches and football size were also 194 applied. Eighty-one matches were filmed across the entire season, with each participant 195 playing a mean number of 7.3 games that were recorded for match analysis statistics. The 196 season accumulation subsequently supplied the match analysis statistics applied to this 197 research. The mean score for each skill behaviour was based on an 80 minute average in-line 198 with a full match duration (i.e., total number of skill behaviours divided by total number of 199 80 minute matches).

# 200 Coach development rankings

- 201 It is important to highlight that coach perception regarding talent development has been used
- 202 in previous empirical research (e.g., Kelly, Wilson, Jackson, Turnnidge, & Williams, 2020;
- 203 MacNamara & Collins, 2013). Indeed, coach observation and opinion is central to the
- 204 subjective nature of youth sport, with modern objective information readily available to
- 205 professional coaches to support their judgement (e.g., Sieghartsleitner, Zuber, Zibung, &
- 206 Conzelmann, 2019; Tangalos, Robertson, Spittle, & Gastin, 2015). Two coaches from each
- 207 age group (n = 16), who were deemed suitably qualified assessors (UEFA Pro, 'A', or 'B'
- 208 Licenced alongside either the FA Advanced Youth Award or the FA Youth Award), were
- asked to rank their players from top to bottom in relation to their perception of the player's
- 210 potential to develop to senior professional status. This created a linear classification of

211 higher-potential players down to their lower-potential peers, with each age group then split 212 into thirds using tertiles. This created a group of 'higher-potentials', who represent the top 213 third, and a group of 'lower-potentials', who represent the bottom third. This enabled a distinct comparison between the higher- and lower-potentials within each age group, with the 214 215 middle third discarded from the study (n = 34). For the purpose of this age-specific research, the higher- and lower-potentials from the under-9 to under-11 were grouped together within 216 217 the FDP (n = 26), and the higher- and lower-potentials from the under-12 to under-16 were 218 grouped together within the YDP (n = 38). The results from the technical tests and match 219 analysis statistics were subsequently compared between the higher- and lower-potentials 220 throughout the FDP and YDP to observe any differences.

#### 221 Data analysis

222 All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. As a consequence of the potential 223 differing results between chronological age groups, such as older players generally 224 anticipated to record superior technical capabilities, data have been standardised using zscores within respective chronological age groups to allow comparisons between players 225 226 within both the FDP and YDP. Initial analysis investigated group differences between higher-227 and lower-potentials using a MANOVA inclusive of all independent variables. Further posthoc analysis used an independent samples *t*-test to compare the higher- and lower-potentials' 228 229 mean scores of technical tests and match analysis statistics within the both FDP and YDP. A 230 binary logistic regression of the technical tests was also used to model higher- and lower-231 potential status within the FDP and YDP, comprising of univariate and multivariate analyses 232 from the technical tests and match analysis statistics. Differences were considered significant 233 if P < 0.05. All analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Version 23.

#### 234 **Results**

- 235 The initial analysis using a MANOVA inclusive of all dependent variables revealed a
- significant difference between groups of higher- and lower-potentials within the FDP
- 237 (F(12,13) = 6.069, P = 0.001; Wilk's  $\Lambda = 0.151$ , partial  $\eta^2 = 0.849$ ) and YDP (F(12,25) =
- 238 4.642, P = 0.001; Wilk's  $\Lambda = 0.310$ , partial  $\eta^2 = 0.690$ ).

## 239 Technical tests

- 240 Within the FDP, a significant difference was observed between the higher- and lower-
- 241 potentials for the lob pass test, with higher-potentials demonstrating a greater mean score (P
- 242 < 0.001). Within the YDP, significant differences were observed between higher- and lower-
- potentials in the ball juggling test (P = 0.012), the slalom dribble test (P = 0.003), the
- shooting accuracy test (P = 0.005), and the lob pass test (P = 0.002), with higher-potentials
- 245 demonstrating superior scores. The descriptive statistics of *z*-scores, *t*-tests, and non-
- 246 standardised mean results for all technical tests are displayed in Table 3.
- 247 \*\*\*\*Table 3 near here\*\*\*\*

The binary logistic regression of univariate factors from the technical tests within the FDP showed a significant association between the lob pass test and higher-potentials, returning a Cox and Snell  $R^2$  of 0.542. Within the YDP, univariate regressions of the ball juggle test, slalom dribble test, shooting accuracy test, and lob pass test showed significant associations with higher-potentials, with Cox and Snell  $R^2$  of 0.162, 0.214, 0.200, and 0.232 respectively. The univariate logistic regressions of *z*-scores for technical tests are displayed in Table 4. \*\*\*\*Table 4 near here\*\*\*\*

Further multivariate regression analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between the higher-potentials and the series of technical tests. Correlation analysis showed low 257 collinearity between the technical tests, with the exception of the lob pass in the FDP, which 258 had a Pearson correlation coefficient of -0.604 for the ball juggle test (P = 0.029) and -0.605 for the slalom dribble test (P = 0.029). Thus, the lob pass test was excluded from the 259 260 multivariate regression for the FDP (Dormann et al., 2012). Results showed no significant association for technical tests with higher-potentials ( $\chi^2(3) = 6.010$ , P = 0.111). The 261 262 explanatory power of the multivariate model did not improve upon the univariate models, and 263 only accounts for 20.6% of variance. The multivariate logistic regression within the YDP showed a significant association between the technical tests and higher-potentials ( $\chi^2(4) =$ 264 265 19.403, P = 0.001), improving the explanatory power from univariate analysis to account for 266 40% of variance. The multivariate logistic regression models for the z-score of technical tests are displayed in Table 5. 267

268

#### \*\*\*\*Table 5 near here\*\*\*\*

#### 269 Skill behaviours

270 Within the FDP, there was a significant difference between higher- and lower-potentials for reliability in possession (P = 0.009), pass completion (P < 0.001), and average touches (P =271 272 0.030). Within the YDP, there was a significant difference between higher- and lower-273 potentials for reliability in possession percentage (P = 0.027), dribble completion percentage 274 (P = 0.001), and average total touches (P < 0.001). The descriptive statistics of z-scores, t-275 tests, and non-standardised mean results for all skill behaviours are displayed in Table 3. 276 The binary logistic regression of univariate factors from the skill behaviours within 277 the FDP showed significant associations between reliability in possession percentage, pass 278 completion percentage, and average total touches with higher-potentials, returning Cox and Snell  $R^2$  of 0.246, 0.405, and 0.206 respectively. Within the YDP, the univariate regressions 279 280 of dribble completion percentage and average total touches showed significant associations

- with higher-potentials, returning Cox and Snell  $R^2$  of 0.274 and 0.409, respectively. The univariate logistic regression of *z*-scores for skill behaviours are displayed in Table 6.
- 283

## \*\*\*\*Table 6 near here\*\*\*\*

Further multivariate regression analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between 284 285 the higher-potentials and the series of skill behaviours within the FDP. Correlation analysis 286 showed some collinearity between the skill behaviours, thus those with a significant Pearson correlation coefficient of greater than 0.5 with one or more variables were excluded from the 287 model. As a result, only reliability in possession percentage, average blocks, dribble 288 289 completion percentage, and average total touches were included in the model. Multivariate 290 logistic regression showed a significant association of technical tests with higher-potentials  $(\gamma^2(4) = 12.475, P = 0.014)$ . The explanatory power of the skill behaviours multivariate 291 292 model improved upon the all univariate models, with the exception of pass completion 293 percentage, and accounts for 38.1% of variance. The multivariate logistic regression model 294 for the z-scores of skill behaviours are displayed in Table 7. Relationships between the 295 individual skill behaviours within the YDP showed high collinearity, thus multivariate 296 regression analysis was not conducted due to bias introduced upon variable selection and to 297 keep variables independent of one another (Myers, 1990).

298

#### \*\*\*\*Table 7 near here\*\*\*\*

## 299 **Discussion**

300 This observational case study within a professional football academy presented the

- 301 opportunity to recognise technical factors that are associated with greater perceived
- 302 development from an age-specific perspective. Key findings in the FDP identified higher-
- 303 potentials had significantly greater lob pass ability, alongside reliability in possession

percentage, pass completion percentage, and average total touches, compared to lowerpotentials. Within the YDP, higher-potentials had significantly greater lob pass, slalom
dribble, shooting accuracy, and ball juggling abilities, alongside reliability in possession
percentage, dibble completion percentage, and average total touches, compared to lowerpotentials.

309 With regards to the technical testing within the FDP, the lob pass characterised the 310 single technical test that distinguished the groups, accounting for 54% of variance in the 311 univariate regression model. Perhaps due to the physical capabilities required for striking the 312 ball a relatively long distance for FDP players, a combination of technical proficiency and 313 physical abilities may partially explain why higher-potentials achieved greater scores on the 314 lob pass (Nicolai, Cattuzzo, Henrique, & Stodden, 2016). When compared to the FDP, the 315 technical tests were collectively a better discriminator of the groups in the YDP; although 316 they only accounted for a moderate variance in the model for all variables, multivariate 317 analysis did account for 21% of the between group variance. Consequently, this highlights 318 technical competency as an influential factor when discriminating talented football players 319 within this developmental context.

320 These results are comparable to those of Vaeyens et al. (2006) who, with the

321 exception of under-12's, studied the same age groups that are analysed in the YDP in this

322 current study. Since this current study incorporated the same battery of tests as Vaeyens and

323 colleagues (2006), it provides further evidence of the discriminative function of these

324 particular technical tests in youth football players. Similarly, the current findings also support

those of Keller et al. (2016), who found that their passing tests, shooting accuracy test, and

- 326 dribble speed test distinguished better performance in their YDP groups. Together, these
- 327 studies offer a range of literature to suggest that technical tests may prove useful in
- 328 identifying and developing youth football players within the YDP. Further, with technical

ability important for the future career progression of youth football players (Barnes et al.,
2014), these tests offer the option for academies to highlight specific technical abilities as key
developmental indicators as part of their talent development process (Hoare & Warr, 2000;
Rosch, Hodgson, Peterson, Graf-Baumann, Junge, Chomiak, & Dvorak, 2000; Vanderfold,

333 Meyers, Skelly, Stewart, & Hamilton, 2004).

334 The age-specific discrepancies in the technical testing results are likely explained by the rate at which technical ability improves with age amongst youth football players. For 335 336 instance, it has been suggested that the greatest improvements are shown to occur in pre-337 pubertal years, after which technical skills are gradually developed towards adulthood 338 (Huijgen et al., 2010; Valente-dos-Santos et al., 2014; 2012; Wilson et al., 2016). 339 Furthermore, with a greater discriminatory functions evident within the YDP, the results also 340 partially support previous studies that have revealed growth and maturation status to be 341 associated with technical skill development (Malina et al., 2005; 2007; Valente-dos-Santos et 342 al., 2014). In the context of this current study, as an example, greater slalom dribble speed may be partially a result of enhanced growth and maturation status that subsequently allows 343 344 more mature players to run faster with the ball (see Kelly & Williams, 2020). Therefore, it 345 may be important to highlight the discriminating technical factors among youth football 346 players that may vary with the timing and tempo of growth, consequently adding to the 347 dynamic talent development process (Kelly, Wilson, & Williams 2018). 348 The outcome of a player's reliability in possession is based on the combined execution of a technical action (i.e., pass or dribble) and a tactical decision (i.e., anticipation 349 350 and awareness). The ability to maintain possession, particularly under pressure, is an 351 important skill in senior professional football (Gomez et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018). Thus, the current findings show that being able to maintain the ball effectively 352 353 (reliability in possession) is also important from a talent development perspective. Likewise,

354 it is proposed that pass completion is a combination of technical execution and cognitive 355 function. For instance, a player requires the ability to execute a pass technically well (i.e., 356 with the correct weight and angle), but also to select the correct option (i.e., decision-making 357 and positioning). Rampinini and colleagues (2009) also demonstrated players from more successful senior professional football teams generally possess a higher pass completion rate 358 359 compared to their less successful counterparts during competitive match-play. As a result, the 360 feature of possessing superior pass completion appears to be a significant characteristic for 361 early talent development.

362 Within both the FDP and YDP, higher-potentials also possessed a greater number of 363 touches on the ball compared to their lower-potential counterparts. This may be due to a self-364 fulfilling prophecy, whereby the better players play in positions where they receive the ball 365 more often; and as such, gain more technical development opportunities during competitive match-play compared to lower-potentials. This finding supports the application of Fenoglio 366 367 (2004a; 2004b) and Thomas and Wilson's (2015) research, which reveals reducing player 368 numbers during competitive match-play in youth sport during childhood increases technical 369 outcomes. If players get more touches on the ball to try new skill behaviours, this provides 370 more opportunities to develop technical capabilities (Katis & Kellis, 2009). Therefore, it is 371 recommended that low player numbers (such as 4 vs. 4 to 6 vs. 6 formats) are utilised within 372 the FDP, to increase individual touches on the ball and subsequently technical development 373 opportunities for all.

Interestingly, average tackles completed, average blocks achieved, and average loose balls retrieved revealed no significant difference when comparing higher- and lowerpotentials in either the FDP or YDP. These 'out of possession' factors do not require control of the ball and may therefore be easier to execute or more cognitive in nature. These findings concur with Gomez and colleagues (2018), who highlighted superior 'in possession' factors (ball possession and ending actions) and a lower 'out of possession' factors (individual
challenges) were associated with a higher league ranking. Consequently, observing skill
behaviours in possession may provide greater reliability from a talent development
perspective in youth football; although position-specific requirements may also need to be
considered.

## 384 Limitations and future directions

385 It is important to recognise that observational case studies contain methodological limitations, 386 such as limited access to participants, who are often difficult to recruit (particularly for 387 technical observation), and low external validity (Morgan, Pullon, Macdonald, McKinlay, & 388 Gray, 2017). To address the former limitation, it is important to recognise the researchers obtained the accessibility to a large enough group of professional football academy players. 389 390 In addition, statistical analyses procedures were applied to reduce potential bias introduced to 391 both the data and models. Thus, this research does not only provide a novel illustration of 392 technical attributes within the talent development process, it also offers a useful 393 benchmarking tool for other football academies. For the latter limitation of external validity, 394 the cultural and social dynamics in the English football talent pathways must be considered, 395 since the technical abilities of these Category 3 players may be different to youth football 396 players in other regions, countries, or categories. Thus, comparisons based on playing level, 397 location, and category status must be made with care.

Regarding the limitations of the measures applied, it may be argued technical tests disregard the technical ability from an ecological perspective. For instance, these tests ignore the physical and mental implications during the latter stages of a competitive game (Reilly, 1997; Russell, Benton, & Kingsley, 2010), whilst also applying an environment that differs to the one that is applied to actual match-play. Nevertheless, the incorporation of a battery of 403 tests alongside match analysis statistics provides a dynamic context, thus supporting a greater
404 determination of technical ability. Furthermore, the variable number of matches that were
405 available for match analysis statistics should also be noted; although it is understood that this
406 is representative of the dynamic nature of academy development. Additionally, these
407 statistics may also provide as useful benchmarking figures for clubs, coaches, and players
408 alike.

409 Future research may offer further investigation into the technical ability and skill 410 behaviour of youth football players, while applying characteristics from other significant 411 talent development variables (i.e., physical performance and psychological characteristics). 412 Consequently, this will offer the novelty of a multidimensional approach required for 413 contemporary talent development literature, while gaining a complete impression of the talent 414 development process (Collins, MacNamara, & Cruickshank, 2018). Furthermore, collecting 415 these variables from a longitudinal perspective will also offer suggestions regarding what 416 technical abilities and skill behaviours are associated with individuals who achieve 417 professional status and those who do not. Additionally, the coaching process surrounding 418 how these technical qualities are developed, from an age-specific context, also requires 419 further investigation.

## 420 Conclusion

These results provide important insights on understanding the age-specific technical abilities that are associated with coach development rankings. First, the results suggest football-specific technical tests may provide discriminative tools to support the talent development process from an age-specific perspective. Second, 'in possession' skill behaviours, alongside gaining more touches on the ball during competitive match-play, may support greater perceived development. Third, these descriptive variables offer a useful benchmarking tool for practitioners to consider for developmental purposes. In summary, a

- 428 combination of technical tests and match analysis statistics provides a broader objective
- 429 context, thus offering a greater determination of technical ability. Thus, through coaches and
- 430 practitioners supporting these technical developmental outcomes during childhood and
- 431 adolescence, youth football players may possess greater developmental opportunities towards
- 432 senior expertise.

# 433 Acknowledgements

- 434 This research was co-funded by the University of Exeter, College of Life & Environmental Sciences,
- the Open Innovation Platform at the University of Exeter, and Exeter City Football Club Academy.
- 436 Thanks to the players, parents, and staff at Exeter City Football Club Academy for their participation
- 437 and support in this project.

## 438 **Disclosure statement**

439 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# 440 Word count

441 4,742 (excluding Title, Abstract, Tables, and References)

#### 442 **References**

- Abt, G., Zhou, S., & Weatherby, R. (1998). The effect of a high-carbohydrate diet on the skill
  performance of midfield soccer players after intermittent treadmill exercise. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 1*(4), 203–212.
- Ali, A. (2011). Measuring soccer skill performance: A review. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 21(2), 170–183.
- 448 Appleby, B., & Dawson, B. (2002). Video analysis of selected game activities in Australian
  449 Rules football. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 5(2), 129–142.
- Archer, D. T., Drysdale, K., & Bradley, E. J. (2016). Differentiating technical skill and motor
  abilities in selected and non-selected 3-5 year old team-sports players. *Human Movement Science*, 47, 81–87.
- Atan, S. A., Foskett, A., & Ali, A. (2014). Special populations: Issues and considerations in
  youth soccer match analysis. *International Journal of Sports Science*, 4(3), 103–114.
- Barnes, C., Archer, D. T., Hogg, B., Bush, M., & Bradley, P. S. (2014). The evolution of
  physical and technical performance parameters in the English Premier League. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *35*(13), 1095–1100.
- 458 Carling, C., Williams, M. A., & Reilly, T. (2007). *Handbook of Soccer Match Analysis*.
  459 London: Routledge.
- Coelho-e-Silva, M. J., Figueiredo, A. J., Simies, F., Seabra, A., Natal, A., Vaeyens, R.,
  Philippaerts, R., Cumming, S. P., & Malina, R. M. (2010). Discrimination of U-14
  soccer players by level and position. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *31*(11), 790–796.
- 464 Collins, D., MacNamara, A., Cruickshank, A. (2018). Research and practice in talent
- 465 identification and development Some thoughts on the state of play. *Journal of*466 *Applied Sport Psychology* [online]. Retrievable here:
- 467 https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10413200.2018.1475430 [accessed 11<sup>th</sup>
- 468 March 2019].Dardouri, W., Amin Selmi, M., Haj Sassi, R., Gharbi, Z., Rebhi, A., &
- 469 Moalla, W. (2014). Reliability and discriminative power of soccer-specific field tests
- 470 and skill index in young soccer players. *Science and Sports*, 29(2), 88–94.
- 471 Dormann, C., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., Marquéz, J., Gruber,
- 472 B., Lafourcade, B., Leitão, P., Münkemüller, T., McClean, C., Osborne, P.,
- 473 Reineking, B., Schröder, B., Skidmore, A., Zurell, D., & Lautenbach, S. (2012).

474 Collinearity: A review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating 475 their performance. *Ecography*, 36(1), 27–46. 476 Fenoglio, R. (2004a). The Manchester United pilot scheme for U9s – Part I. Insight, 7(2), 13– 477 14. 478 Fenoglio, R. (2004b). The Manchester United pilot scheme for U9s – Part II. Insight, 7(4), 479 21 - 24. 480 Figueiredo, A. J., Coelho-e-Silva, M. J., & Malina, R. M. (2011). Predictors of functional 481 capacity and skill in youth soccer players. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and 482 *Science in Sports, 21*(3), 446–454. 483 Figueiredo, A. J., Goncalves, C. E., Coelho-e-Silva, M. J., & Malina, R. M. (2009). 484 Characteristics of youth soccer players who drop out, persist or move up. Journal of 485 Sports Sciences, 27(9), 883-891. Forsman, H., Grasten, A., Blomqvist, M., Davids, K., Liukkonen, J., & Konttinen, N. (2016). 486 487 Development of perceived competence, tactical skills, motivation, technical skills, 488 and speed and agility in young soccer players. Journal of Sports Sciences, 34(14), 489 1311-1318. 490 Gil, S. M., Zabala-Lili, J., Bidaurrazaga-Letona, I., Aduna, B., Lekue, J. A., Santos-491 Concejero, J., & Granados, C. (2014). Talent identification and selection process of 492 outfield players and goalkeepers in a professional soccer club. Journal of Sports 493 Sciences, 32(20), 1931–1939. 494 Gomez, M., Mitrotasios, M., Armatas, V., & Lago-Penas, C. (2018). Analysis of playing 495 styles according to team quality and match location in Greek professional soccer. 496 International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 18(6), 986–997. 497 Hoare, D. G., & Warr, C. R. (2000). Talent identification and women's soccer: An Australian 498 experience. Journal of Sports Sciences, 18(9), 751-758. 499 Hughes, M. (1988). Computerised notation analysis in field games. *Ergonomics*, 31(11), 500 1585-1592. 501 Hughes, M., Hughes, M. T., & Behan, H. (2007). The evolution of computerised notational 502 analysis through the example of racket sports. International Journal of Sports Science 503 and Engineering, 1(1), 3–28. 504 Huijgen, B. C. H., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Ali, A., & Visscher, C. (2013). Soccer skill 505 development in talented players. International Journal of Sports Medicine, 34(8), 506 720–726.

- Huijgen, B. C. H., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Lemmink, K. A. P. M., & Visscher, C. (2014).
  Multidimensional performance characteristics in selected and deselected talented
  soccer players. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *14*(1), 2–10.
- Huijgen, B. C. H., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Post, W., & Visscher, C. (2010). Development of
  dribbling in talented youth soccer players aged 12-19 years: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(7), 689–698.
- James, N. (2006). Notational analysis in soccer: Past, present and future. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 6(2), 67–81.
- Katis, A., & Kellis, E. (2009). Effects of small-sided games on physical conditioning and
  performance in young soccer players. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 8(3),
  374–380.
- Keller, B. S., Raynor, A. J., Bruce, L., & Iredale, F. (2016). Technical attributes of Australian
  youth soccer players: Implications for talent identification. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 11(6), 819–824.
- Kelly, A. L., & Williams, C. A. (2020). Physical characteristics and the talent identification
  and development processes in male youth soccer: A narrative review. *Strength and Conditioning Journal* [ePub ahead of print].
- Kelly, A. L., Wilson, M. R., & Williams, C. A. (2018). Developing a football-specific talent
  identification and development profiling concept The Locking Wheel Nut Model. *Applied Coaching Research Journal*, 2(1), 32-41.
- Kelly, A. L., Wilson, M. R., Jackson, D. T., Turnnidge, J., & Williams, C. A. (2020). Speed
  of thought and speed: Examining perceptual-cognitive expertise and physical
  performance in an English football academy. *Journal of Science in Sport and Exercise*[ePub ahead of print].
- Kempe, M., Vogelbein, M., Memmert, D., & Nopp, S. (2014). Possession vs. direct play:
  Evaluating tactical behaviour in elite soccer. *International Journal of Sports Science*,
  4(6A), 35–41.
- Koo, T. K., & Li, M. Y. (2016). A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation
  coefficients for reliability research. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine*, *15*(2), 155–
  163.
- Liu, H., Hopkins, W. G., & Gomez, M. A. (2016). Modelling relationships between match
  events and match outcome in elite football. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *16*(5),
  516–525.

- MacNamara, A., & Collins, D. (2013). Do mental skills make champions? Examining the
  discriminant function of the psychological characteristics of developing excellence
  questionnaire. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *31*(7), 736–744.
- Malina, R. M., Cumming, S. P., Kontos, A. P., Eisenmann, J. C., Ribeiro, B., & Aroso, J.
  (2005). Maturity-associated variation in sport-specific skills of youth soccer players
  aged 13-15 years. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 23(5), 515–522.
- 546 Malina, R. M., Ribeiro, B., Aroso, J., Cumming, S. P., Unnithan, V., & Kirkendall, D.
- 547 (2007). Characteristics of youth soccer players aged 13-15 years classified by skill
  548 level. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 41(5), 290–295.
- 549 McGarry, T. (2009). Applied and theoretical perspectives of performance analysis in sport:
  550 Scientific issues and challenges. *International Journal of Performance Analysis of*551 *Sport*, 9(1), 128–140.
- Mohr, M., Krustrup, P., & Bangsbo, J. (2003). Match performance in high-standard soccer
  players with special reference to fatigue. *Journal of Sports Science*, 21(7), 519–528.
- Morgan, S. J., Pullon, S. R. H., Macdonald, L. M., McKinlay, E. M., & Gray, B. V. (2017).
  Case study observational research: A framework for conducting case study research
  where observation data are the focus. *Qualitative Health Research*, 27(7), 1060–1068.
- 557 Myers, R. H. (1990). *Classical and Modern Regression with Applications* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Pacific

558 Grove, CA: Brooks Cole Publishing.

- Nicolai, A. H. R., Cattuzzo, M. T., Henrique, R. D. S., & Stodden, D. F. (2016). Physical
  characteristics that predict involvement with the ball in recreational youth soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *34*(18), 1716–1722.
- Pedretti, A., Pedretti, A., Fernandes, J. B. O., Rebelo, A. N. C., & Seabra, A. F. T. (2016).
  The relative age effects in young soccer players and its relations with the competitive
  level, specific positions, morphological characteristics, physical fitness and technical
  skills. *Pensar a Pratica*, 19(2), 72–85.
- 566 Rampinini, E., Impellizzeri, F. M., Castagna, C., Couus, A. J., & Wisloff, U. (2009).
- Technical performance during soccer matches of the Italian Serie A league: Effect of
  fatigue and competitive level. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 12(1), 227–
  233.
- Rebelo, A., Brito, J., Maia, J., Coelho-e-Silva, M. J., Figueiredo, A. J., Bangsbo, J., Malina,
  R. M., & Seabra, A. (2013). Anthropometric characteristics, physical fitness and
  technical performance of under-19 soccer players by competitive level and field
  position. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *34*(4), 312–317.

- Rosch, D., Hodgson, R., Peterson, L., Graf-Baumann, T., Junge, A., Chomiak, J., & Dvorak,
  J. (2000). Assessment and evaluation of football performance. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 28(5), 29–39.
- 577 Reilly, T. (1997). Energetics of high-intensity exercise (soccer) with particular reference to
  578 fatigue. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 15(3), 257–263.
- Russell, M., Benton, D., & Kingsley, M. (2010). Reliability and construct validity of soccer
  skills tests that measure passing, shooting, and dribbling. *Journal of Sports Sciences*,
  28(13), 1399–1408.
- Sieghartsleitner, R., Zuber, C., Zibung, M., & Conzelmann, A. (2019). Science or coaches'
  eye? Both! Beneficial collaboration of multidimensional measurements and coach
  assessments for efficient talent selection in elite youth football. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 18(1), 32–43.
- Tangalos, C., Robertson, S. J., Spittle, M., & Gastin, P. B. (2015). Predictors of individual
  player match performance in junior Australian football. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 10(7), 853–859.
- Thomas, G. L., & Wilson, M. R., (2015). Playing by the rules: A developmentally
  appropriate introduction to rugby union. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 10(2-3), 413–424.
- Vaeyens, R., Malina, R. M., Janssens, M., van Reterghem, B., Bourgois, J., Vrijens, J., &
  Philippaerts, R. M. (2006). A multidisciplinary selection model for youth soccer: The
  Ghent Youth Soccer Project. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 40(11), 928–934.
- 595 Valente-dos-Santos, J., Coelho-e-Silva, M. J., Simoes, F., Figueiredo, A. J., Leite, N.,
- 596 Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Malina, R. M., & Sherar, L. (2012). Modelling
- developmental changes in functional capacities and soccer-specific skills in male
  players aged 11-17 years. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, 24(4), 603–621.

599 Valente-dos-Santos, J., Coelho-e-Silva, M. J., Vaz, V., Figueiredo, A. J., Capranica, L.,

- Sherar, L. B., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., & Malina, R. M. (2014). Maturity-associated
  variation in change of direction and dribbling speed in early pubertal years and 5-year
  developmental changes in young soccer players. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 54(3), 307–316.
- Vanderfold, M. L., Meyers, M. C., Skelly, W. A., Stewart, C. C., & Hamilton, K. L. (2004).
  Physiological and sport-specific skill response of Olympic youth soccer athletes. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 18(2), 334–342.

607	Wilson, R. S., James, R. S., David, G., Hermann, E., Morgan, O. J., Niehaus, A. C., Hunter,
608	A., Thake, D., & Smith, M. D. (2016). Multivariate analyses of individual variation in
609	soccer skill as a tool for talent identification and development: Utilising evolutionary
610	theory in sports science. Journal of Sports Sciences, 34(21), 2074–2086.
611	Woods, T. E., Raynor, J. A., Bruce, L., & McDonald, Z. (2015). The use of skill tests to
612	predict status in junior Australian football. Journal of Sports Sciences, 33(11), 1132-

- 613 1140.
- Wright, C., Carling, C., & Collins, D. (2014). The wider context of performance analysis and
  it application in the football coaching process. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 14(3), 709–733.
- 617 Yang, G., Leicht, A. S., Lago, C., Gomez, M. (2018). Key team physical and technical
- 618 performance indicators indicative of team quality in the soccer Chinese super league.
- 619 *Research in Sports Medicine*, 26(2), 158–167.

# 620 List of tables

- 621 Table 1. Technical definitions for match analysis statistics.
- 622 Table 2. Inter-observer and intra-observer reliability (intra-class correlation coefficient).
- 623 Table 3. The descriptive statistics of *z*-scores, *t*-tests, and non-standardised mean results.
- 624 Table 4. Univariate logistic regression of *z*-scores for technical tests.
- 625 Table 5. Multivariate logistic regression of *z*-scores for technical tests.
- 626 **Table 6.** Univariate logistic regression of *z*-scores for skill behaviours.
- 627 Table 7. Multivariate logistic regression of *z*-scores for skill behaviours.