The intrinsic shape of the human lumbar spine in the supine, standing and sitting postures: characterisation using an active shape model.
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Abstract

The shape of the lumbar spine in the sagittal plane varies between individuals and as a result of postural changes but it is not known how the shape in different postures is related. Sagittal images of the lumbar spines of 24 male volunteers were acquired using a positional MR scanner. The subjects were imaged lying supine, standing and sitting. An active shape model was used to characterise shape in terms of independent modes of variation. Two modes were identified that described the total (mode 1) and the distribution (mode 2) of the curvature. The spinal shape was found to be intercorrelated between the three postures for both modes, suggesting that the lumbar spine has an element of shape that is partially maintained despite postural alterations. Mode 1 values indicated that the spine was straightest when standing and curviest when sitting. Mode 2 values indicated that the distribution in the curvature was most even when sitting and least even when lying supine. Systematic differences in the behaviour of the spine, when changing posture, were found that suggest that the shape of the spine may affect its biomechanics.
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**Introduction**

The combination of rigid vertebral bodies interspersed by softer intervertebral discs makes the spine very flexible, allowing a wide range of postures to be adopted. Changes in the shape of the spine with postural alteration (Dolan et al., 1988; Lord et al., 1997; Wood et al., 1996; Reuben et al., 1979; Peterson et al., 1995) are of interest since they will affect the stresses and strains being experienced by the spinal tissues and the requirements of the supporting musculature. In sitting, for example, the natural lumbar curvature in the sagittal plane flattens with respect to the standing posture (Bridger et al., 1992) and it is thought that the resulting change in the stress experienced by the intervertebral discs may be a contributory factor for experiencing low back pain (Keegan, 1953). In lying supine the effects are more subtle and although some studies have found the lumbar curvature to be reduced from the standing posture (Reuben et al., 1979; Wood et al., 1996), others have concluded that there is no difference (Chernukha et al., 1998; Andreasen et al., 2007).

As well as understanding how the spinal shape changes with posture, it is important to understand what factors are responsible for these changes in shape. Many previous studies have investigated factors such as the angle of the hip and knee joints and the tightness of the leg and trunk muscles (Bridger et al., 1992; McCarthy & Betz, 2000). However, there is some indication in the literature that factors intrinsic to the spine itself may play a role. Several studies have shown that the shape of the spine in the sagittal plane in normal healthy subjects in the standing posture exhibits considerable inter-subject variation (Keller et al., 2005; Roussouly et al., 2005; Meakin et al., 2008a; Meakin et al., 2008b). It has also been shown that subjects classified as having very little curvature in their lumbar spine (hypolordotic) sit with more flexion in their lumbar spine than control subjects and, conversely, subjects classified as having exaggerated curvatures (hyperlordotic) sit with more extension (Scannell and McGill, 2003). This suggests that the shape of an individual’s spine in one posture may
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be related to the shape in another posture. The aim of the current study was, therefore, to
determine the shape of the lumbar spine of normal healthy volunteers in three everyday
postures (lying supine, standing and sitting) and to investigate the relationships between the
shapes in these postures.
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Methods

Subjects

Magnetic resonance (MR) images of the lumbar spine from 24 male volunteers were used for this study. The images were part of a dataset that had been acquired for a previous study (Hirasawa et al., 2007). Approval from a local Research Ethics Committee had been obtained and all subjects had given their informed consent. None of the subjects reported any symptoms of low back pain and had only minor or no degenerative changes in their lumbar discs. The median age of the subjects was 26 years (range 20 – 55 years).

MRI Scanning

The images were acquired using a Fonar 0.6 T Upright™ positional MRI scanner (Fonar Corporation, Melville, New York). Unlike a conventional MR scanner, the bed of a positional scanner is not fixed in the horizontal position but can be rotated so that the subject may be imaged in an upright position. Each subject was scanned in the morning in three postures: lying supine, standing upright, and sitting. Prior to the supine scan, subjects were required to rest in the supine posture for 20 minutes. For scanning, a cushion was placed under the subject’s knees so as to slightly flex their hips and knees. This technique, which is conventionally used in imaging investigations of the lumbar spine, has the effect of relaxing the psoas muscle and removing the force it would otherwise place on the spine. For the standing and sitting scans the subjects were asked to adopt a relaxed neutral posture (i.e. not slumping or actively extending or flexing their lumbar spine). The subjects were allowed to rest their hands on a bar for comfort. In sitting, the hips and the knees of the subjects were flexed at 90°. Scans were also acquired in the evening of the same day and used to determine the reliability of the lumbar spine shape in the standing posture.
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T2 weighted para-sagittal images were acquired using the following parameters: TR = 3262 ms, TE = 140 ms, N = 2. The image acquisition time was 3 minutes and 16 seconds and the median time between each scan was 15 minutes. Eleven slices were obtained; each with a thickness of 4.5 mm and a gap of 0.5 mm. A 30 cm field of view was used with an acquisition matrix of 256 x 200. The data were subsequently reformatted onto a 256 x 256 matrix for image processing. The slice closest to the mid-sagittal plane of the spine (as defined by observing the spinal canal to be wider than in adjacent slices) was selected from the scans and converted to JPG format.

**Shape Modelling**

The shape, and changes in shape, of the lumbar spine were categorised from the MR images using an active shape model (ASM). Shape modelling is an image processing method that may be used to locate and characterise an object in a series of images (Cootes & Taylor, 2004) and has been shown to be a reliable method for characterising the lumbar spine (Meakin et al., 2008a).

The model was created using the Active Appearance Modelling software tools from the University of Manchester UK ([http://www.isbe.man.ac.uk/~bim/software/am_tools_doc/index.html](http://www.isbe.man.ac.uk/~bim/software/am_tools_doc/index.html)). The model was defined by placing landmark points around the periphery of each vertebral body from L1 to S1 (Figure 1). The same number of landmark points (28 per vertebral body, 168 in total) was used for each image and each point always referred to the same anatomical feature. After all of the 72 images had been marked (by one observer), the software aligned each set of points into a common co-ordinate frame by scaling, translating and rotating; this means that size differences and rigid body movements were removed from the model. The software then calculated the average position of the points (to give the average spine shape) and used principal component analysis (PCA) to analyse the variation in their position. PCA is a
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statistical analysis method that can be used to reduce the dimensionality of a data set by identifying new, independent variables that describe patterns of variation. In the ASM, the new variables are called ‘modes of variation’ and are ordered such that the first one is the most important, describing the largest proportion of variance in shape, and the second and subsequent modes account for decreasing proportions of variance. The actual shape of the object in an image can thus be described using a linear combination of the modes of variation. This allows the shape to be quantified (using the coefficients of the modes of variation) in an efficient manner (using only the first, more important, modes). In the ASM, the values of the coefficients were assigned to each image and were then transformed so that, for each mode of variation, the mean value was zero and the standard deviation was unity. Two models were created; one using the images of the subjects in three different postures and another which also included the repeated scans in the standing posture.

Statistical analysis

The effect of posture was tested using repeated-measures analysis of variance with Sidak post-hoc comparisons. The relationship between the spinal shapes in the different postures was tested using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Measures of agreement plots were also used to test for systematic effects in the relationships (Bland and Altman, 1986). To ensure that the data met the assumptions underlying the statistical tests, they were tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality and the Mauchly test of sphericity. Reliability was assessed by determining the intra-class correlation coefficient (two-way random effects model, absolute agreement, single measures ICC) and determining the measurement error (2.77 times the within-subject standard deviation as calculated using one-way analysis of variance (Bland and Altman, 1996)). For all tests, a probability of 5% or less was taken to indicate statistical significance.
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Results

The first two modes of variation (M1 and M2) that were determined by the shape model were observed to relate to the shape of the lumbar spine (Figure 2). These two modes accounted for 91% of the total variance in the shape (M1 = 86% and M2 = 5%). The vertebral body centroid angles given in Figure 2 demonstrate that M1 corresponds to variation in the total lumbar curvature (lordosis) whereas M2 corresponds to variation in the distribution of the curvature with minimal differences in the total (less than 1 degree). Higher modes, each of which accounted for no more than 2% of the total variance, were not related to the lumbar spine shape. They are likely to be attributable to other factors such as the shape of the vertebral bodies, the disc space between the bones, or noise.

The values for M1 and M2 for the 24 subjects in each posture are shown in Figure 3 together with the mean and standard deviation. Within each mode, the values in the three postures were found to be significantly intercorrelated with Pearson correlation coefficients of at least 0.6 (P < 0.001).

The values for M1 in the sitting posture were higher than in the standing (sit – stand 95% CI = 1.4 – 2.0, P < 0.001) and supine (sit – supine 95% CI = 1.2 – 1.8, P < 0.001) postures, corresponding to the spine being straightest in sitting. No consistent difference was found between the values for M1 in the standing and supine postures (P = 0.2). However, a measures of agreement plot (Figure 4) showed that there was a systematic effect where the difference in M1 between the two postures was significantly correlated to the average. This corresponds to low M1 values in the supine posture becoming lower in the standing posture (i.e. curvy shapes becoming curvier) and vice versa for high M1 values (straighter shapes becoming straighter). A similar statistically significant relationship was also found for the change in M1 between the sitting and lying postures.
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The values for M2 in the sitting posture were found to be lower than in the supine posture (supine – sit 95% CI = 0.19 – 0.90, $P = 0.002$), corresponding to the spine being more even in sitting. No other consistent differences were found for M2. However, as with M1, there was a statistically significant systematic relationship between the difference and the average values of M2 in the supine and standing posture (Figure 4).

The intra-class correlation coefficients (M1: 0.96 and M2 : 0.92) showed that the reliability of the results, estimated from the images of the subjects in the standing posture in the morning and the evening, was excellent. The measurement errors were also calculated from this repeated data to be 0.07 (M1) and 0.29 (M2). This equates to a relative errors (percentage of the full range of values for the standing posture) of 2 % and 7 %.
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**Discussion**

The main aim of our study was to determine the shape of the lumbar spine, in the sagittal plane, of normal healthy subjects in the supine, standing and sitting postures, and to investigate the relationship between the shapes in these three postures. Active shape modelling was used to characterise the shape and, as in our previous work on the standing posture alone (Meakin et al., 2008a), was found to describe the lumbar spine efficiently using two modes of variation; one for the total curvature and one for the distribution of curvature. Qualitatively the modes were similar to those found by the model of the standing posture (Meakin et al., 2008a) but, because of the additional postures included in the current mode, had a different mean shape and described different proportions of the total variance.

Our study showed that lumbar spine shape varies considerably between individuals in all three postures and that the shape in one posture is related to that in the other two. Previous studies demonstrated the variability in the shape of the spine but mostly only considered one posture (e.g. Keller et al., 2005; Roussouly et al., 2005; Meakin et al., 2008a; Meakin et al., 2008b). Scannell and McGill (2003) showed that subjects with extremely small or large lumbar curvatures in standing had similarly exaggerated curvatures in sitting but did not quantify the relationship. Our results suggest the lumbar spine of an individual has an element of intrinsic shape that is partially maintained throughout postural changes. The idea of an individual having a unique spinal shape has been alluded to before (Stagnara et al., 1982), but has not previously been shown to influence a range of different postures. We can not be certain if this would be true in more extreme postures where the lumbar spine is fully flexed or extended, or in other parts of the spine. However, the correlations between various measures of shape in the lumbar, thoracic and cervical regions, which have been determined by other authors (e.g. Berthonnaud et al., 2005), suggest that the shape of the whole spine may be characteristic of the individual.
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The curvature of the spine in the three postures was similar to that found in previous studies (Reuben et al., 1979; Dolan et al., 1988; Wood et al., 1996; Lord et al., 1997; Andreasen et al., 2007). The distribution of the curvature in different postures has not been investigated explicitly before, although examination of the results of Wood et al. (1996) suggests that, like us, they might have found the curvature to be more evenly distributed in standing compared to supine.

The difference in lumbar spine shape in standing and lying supine was, on average, small and not-significant. However, the effect of changing between these two postures was found to differ for different shaped spines; the curvature increased for curvier spines and decreased for straighter spines. Similarly divergent behaviour was found in a previous study that investigated load bearing in the upright posture and attributed the divergence to either a shape dependant buckling mechanism or a shape dependant muscle recruitment strategy (Meakin et al., 2008b). In the current study, standing upright from the supine posture is analogous to load bearing in the upright postures since it involves transferring the weight of the body above L1 (estimated to be 39% of total body weight (Duval-Beaupère & Robain, 1987) from the bed of the scanner to the lumbar spine.

A number of factors may be hypothesised to underpin the wide inter-subject variation in lumbar spine shape and the partial preservation of this shape between postures. In the sagittal plane the curvature is dictated by the wedged shape of the vertebral bodies and intervertebral discs (Masharawi et al., 2008), the pelvic incidence (defined as the inclination of the sacral end-plate with respect to a line joining the midpoint of the end-plate to the axis of the hip joints) (Legaye, 2007), and the orientation of the pelvis about the hip joints (Day et al., 1984). Vertebral body wedging and pelvic incidence both relate to bone morphology and can be considered to change little over time in adults (Grados et al., 1999; Peleg et al., 2007; Masharawi et al., 2008). In contrast, intervertebral disc wedging and pelvic orientation may be
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altered to allow postural changes to take place and are controlled by balancing the forces of body weight and muscle action (McCarthy & Betz, 2000; Scannell & McGill, 2003; Kim et al., 2006). Even these however, are likely to influence spinal shape since the lumbar discs are wedged even when unloaded (Pooni et al., 1986). Although factors such as body weight distribution and muscle tone (Scannell & McGill, 2003) can modify spinal shape, some of the above factors may be genetically determined. Recent studies have shown that spinal shape has a familial correlation which is strongest for the most closely related subjects (Dryden et al., 2007) and that the range of motion of the lumbar spine has a substantial genetic influence (Battié et al., 2008).

The wide variation in the shape of the lumbar spine has both biomechanical and clinical implications. The amount of curvature has previously been predicted to affect the relative proportion of shear and compressive stresses and strains in the spinal tissues (Aspden, 1989; Shirazi-Adl and Parnianpour, 1999; Shirazi-Adl et al., 2002) and the evenness of the curvature has also been found to relate to the incidence of pathologies such spondylolisthesis (Jackson et al., 2003; Labelle et al., 2004) and disc degeneration (Farfan et al., 1972). An individual’s spinal shape may thus make them more susceptible to suffering injury and developing particular pathologies. Variation in how the spinal shape changes during postural alteration may also be important since this will also affect the tissue stresses and strains. Scannel and McGill (2003) have suggested that subjects with straight lumbar spines have a greater risk of tissue damage when sitting than subjects with more curvature. Most biomechanical models that aim to understand the stresses in the spine and mechanisms of injury do not take shape variation into account and may, therefore, be missing important information.

The images we used in our study were restricted to those from male subjects. Within the literature there is mixed evidence as to whether there are differences in lumbar shape between
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the sexes (Grados et al., 1999; Mac-Thiong et al., 2004; Nourbakhsh et al., 2001, Dryden et al., 2007) and so we can not be certain that the results of our study are applicable to both sexes.

The reliability of our results will be contingent on the consistency in spinal shape on two occasions and the consistency in measuring this shape. We have previously determined the inter- and intra-observer reliability on a single set of images and found shape modelling to be very reliable (Meakin et al., 2008a). In the current study we measured images of subjects in the standing posture acquired in the morning and evening of the same day. Although the effect of diurnal variation in disc height means that the two sets of images were not acquired under identical conditions, the excellent agreement between them suggests that the results of our study are reliable.
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Figure 1. Magnetic resonance image of the lumbar spine in the sagittal plane with 168 landmark points placed around the vertebral bodies from L1 to S1. These points were used to define the active shape model and were placed in consistent positions for all 72 images.
Figure 2. Modes of variation from the active shape model. The average shape is shown in the centre \((M1 = 0, M2 = 0)\) together with the effects of varying \(M1\) and \(M2\) by 2 standard deviations (sd). The total \((\phi_{\text{total}})\) and segmental \((\phi_{2,3,4,5})\) vertebral body centroid angles are given to assist with interpretation; these are the angles made by the lines connecting adjacent vertebral body centroids (Chen, 1999).
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Figure 3. The values for M1 and M2 for each subject in the three postures. The mean (standard deviation) for the 24 subjects are also shown for each posture.
Figure 4. Measures of agreement plots for the standing and supine postures. The difference in the values for each subject in the two postures is plotted against the average. The Pearson correlation coefficient, $R$, was statistically significant for both modes ($P < 0.01$).