

THE UTILITY OF THE OXYGEN UPTAKE EFFICIENCY PLATEAU AS A SUBMAXIMAL EXERCISE BIOMARKER IN INTERSTITIAL LUNG DISEASE

Owen W. Tomlinson^{1,2}, Laura Markham^{2,3}, Rebecca L. Wollerton^{2,3}, Bridget A. Knight^{3,4}, Anna Duckworth³, Craig A. Williams^{1,2}, Michael Gibbons^{2,3}, Chris J. Scotton³.

1. Sport and Health Sciences, University of Exeter, Heavitree Road, Exeter, EX1 2LU, United Kingdom.
2. Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust Hospital, Barrack Road, Exeter, EX2 5DW, United Kingdom.
3. University of Exeter Medical School, University of Exeter, Heavitree Road, Exeter, EX1 2LU, United Kingdom.
4. NIHR Exeter Clinical Research Facility, Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust Hospital, Barrack Road, Exeter, EX2 5DW, United Kingdom.

Introduction

Aerobic fitness (represented by VO_{2peak}), derived from cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET), is a biomarker predictive of mortality in interstitial lung disease (ILD). However, CPET requires elicitation of maximal responses, which may not be feasible for some patients due to clinical contraindications. Therefore, suitable submaximal exercise-based biomarkers are required. The oxygen uptake efficiency plateau (OUEP), defined as a 90 second average of oxygen uptake relative to minute ventilation (VO_2/V_E), is one submaximal parameter that has been previously investigated in patients with cystic fibrosis and heart failure. Currently, there are no data for ILD.

Objectives

To determine if OUEP is a viable biomarker in ILD by 1) characterising OUEP in a cohort of patients with ILD, and 2) establishing relationships between traditional pulmonary function biomarkers (FVC and DL_{CO}), OUEP and VO_{2peak}

Methods

24 participants with ILD (69.7 ± 7.6 years) underwent CPET, via cycle ergometry, to identify VO_{2peak} and OUEP. Pulmonary function data were retrospectively obtained from patient records. OUEP as a percentage of time to exhaustion (TTE), and VO_{2peak}

were identified. Pearson's correlation coefficients were established between $VO_{2\text{peak}}$, OUEP, FVC and DL_{CO} .

Results

21 participants (15 male/6 female) produced a valid CPET as per existing guidelines. Mean (\pm standard deviation) $VO_{2\text{peak}}$ and OUEP were $1.40 \pm 0.36 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ and $27.4 \pm 4.6 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ respectively. OUEP occurred at $37 \pm 22 \%$ of TTE, representing $60.1 \pm 14.0 \%$ $VO_{2\text{peak}}$. FVC held non-significant correlations with $VO_{2\text{peak}}$ ($r = 0.16$, $p = 0.48$) and OUEP ($r = 0.31$, $p = 0.17$). In contrast, DL_{CO} held significant and stronger correlations with both $VO_{2\text{peak}}$ ($r = 0.59$, $p = 0.006$) and OUEP ($r = 0.71$, $p < 0.001$). $VO_{2\text{peak}}$ and OUEP significantly correlated with one another ($r = 0.73$, $p < 0.001$).

Conclusions

OUEP was successfully determined and identified in all participants. It correlated highly with $VO_{2\text{peak}}$, the current gold-standard measure from CPET. It also correlated highly with DL_{CO} , to a greater magnitude than $VO_{2\text{peak}}$. As OUEP occurred at $\sim 60\%VO_{2\text{peak}}$, it is submaximal in nature, and may therefore be a viable biomarker in ILD, particularly for those patients who cannot exercise to volitional maximal exhaustion.