Function is a better predictor of plant rhizosphere community membership than 16S phylogeny.

Authors: Andrew Matthews ^{1,2}*, Afshan Majeed ³,Timothy G. Barraclough ^{1,4}, Ben Raymond ^{1,2}*

Running head: Functions shape rhizobacterial communities

Affiliations: (1) College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Penryn, United Kingdom; (2) Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Ascot, United Kingdom;

(3) Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of the Poonch, Rawalakot, Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan.

(4) Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford. UK

^{*}Corresponding authors: <u>a.matthews3@exeter.ac.uk; b.raymond@exeter.ac.uk</u>

Originality-Significance Statement:

Understanding what structures microbial communities on plant roots is a major research challenge. The originality of this study lies in taking a high throughput functional approach to characterizing communities that considers phylogenetic context and structure. This functional study emphasizes that bacterial traits are more important than phylogeny in determining membership of communities, a conclusion that illustrates the limits of single gene methods of characterizing communities. On a broad scale it also demonstrates that different classes of trait do not have the same importance in different communities – for instance competition-based traits appear to more important in some communities than others. The richness of data generated in this study also means that we have revealed patterns of applied interest- for example the narrow phylogenetic distribution of phosphate solubilization and IAA production.

Summary: Rhizobacterial communities are important for plant health but we still have limited understanding of how they are constructed or how they can be manipulated. High throughput 16S rRNA sequencing provides good information on taxonomic composition, but remains an unreliable proxy for phenotypes. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that experimentally observed functional traits would be better predictors of community membership than phylogenetic origin. To test this hypothesis, we sampled communities on four plant species grown in two soil types and characterized 593 bacterial isolates in terms of antibiotic susceptibility, carbon metabolism, resource use, and plant growth-promoting traits. In support of our hypothesis we found that three of the four plant species had phylogenetically diverse, but functionally constrained communities. Notably communities did not grow best on complex media mimicking their host of origin, but were distinguished by variation in overall growth characteristics (copiotrophy/oligotrophy) and antibiotic susceptibility. These data, combined with variation in phylogenetic structure, suggest that different classes of traits (antagonistic competition or resource-based) are more important in different communities. This culture-based approach supports and complements the findings of a previous high-throughput 16S rRNA analysis of this experiment, and provides functional insights into the patterns observed with

culture-independent methods.

Introduction

The rhizosphere is a hotspot for microbial activity, driving the evolution of a diverse set of microbes (Reinhold-Hurek *et al.*, 2015). At a fundamental level rhizosphere microbes have to be able to exploit the nutrients in root exudates and also tolerate the secretion of any plant secondary metabolites (Grayston *et al.*, 1998; Bertin *et al.*, 2003; Marschner *et al.*, 2004; Kumar *et al.*, 2007; Berendsen *et al.*, 2012). Plants have the ability to adjust root exudate composition (Haney and Ausubel, 2015; Panke-Buisse *et al.*, 2015), and this composition varies between plant species and with environmental conditions (Haichar *et al.*, 2008; Compant *et al.*, 2010; Bever *et al.*, 2012; Philippot *et al.*, 2013; Chaparro *et al.*, 2014; Pérez-Jaramillo *et al.*, 2016; Pérez-Izquierdo *et al.*, 2019).

However, there is a great deal we do not know about the rhizosphere community assembly, and in particular how the ecology of these communities varies between host plant species. For example, rhizosphere microbes need to be efficient competitors in addition to having the basic metabolic functions for exploiting plant nutrients (Martínez-Granero *et al.*, 2006). Competitive interactions can occur in the rhizosphere via either resource-based or antagonistic interactions (Whipps, 2001; Raaijmakers *et al.*, 2009; Beneduzi *et al.*, 2012) but the broad level importance of competitive traits in the rhizosphere is poorly characterized. If competition can limit colonization by plant pathogenic microbes (Berendsen et al 2012), it is also likely to limit colonization of anthropogenic applications of rhizobacteria. Improving the functional understanding of plant colonization would help us manipulate microbial succession and community assembly in the rhizosphere (Ho et al., 2013; Krause et al., 2014).

Understanding functional traits is therefore important, but we remain sceptical of approaches that infer phenotypes from 16SrRNA phylogenies (Ma *et al.*, 2015; Lopes *et al.*, 2016; Utturkar *et al.*, 2016). These techniques assume that functional traits are tightly associated with 16S rRNA phylogeny, and given that genes involved in bacterial secondary metabolism are often horizontally mobile, these phylogenies are likely to be unreliable predictors of function (Kroll *et al.*, 2017). Shotgun sequencing of DNA from entire communities can be more instructive for inferring function and has suggested important roles for functional categories including Fe and N transport and metabolism, secretion systems, chemotaxis and motility (Sessitsch *et al.*, 2012; Mendes *et al.*, 2014; Ofek *et al.*, 2014; Bulgarelli *et al.*, 2015). Nevertheless, this approach is challenging due to the high diversity of bacteria living in and around roots, abundant plant DNA, and the relatively poor annotation of genes identified in the plant rhizosphere, where 59% of the microbial genes have no known function (Ofek *et al.*, 2014).

On the other hand, sequencing data can be incorporated into phylogenetic analysis of rhizosphere communities and this can help to generate hypotheses about the different ecological processes in community assembly, a technique widely used in the eukaryote literature (Vamosi *et al.*, 2009). For example, the fact that rhizosphere bacteria represent a limited pool of the available soil microbes implies that there is a functional filter (e.g. metabolic adaptation to root exudates) for community membership. The fact that members are phylogenetically 'clustered' into a few major groups also indicates that these functional attributes can be vertically inherited. Conversely, if members are distributed evenly across phylogenetic trees this is consistent with a pattern of competitive exclusion in which co-existence of near relatives is prevented by competitive interactions between organisms with similar niches (Vamosi *et al.*, 2009).

Ultimately, specific hypotheses about the importance of different processes (competition, functional filters) in community assembly can be tested by measuring traits (Vamosi *et al.*, 2009).

We can make further tests of community assembly hypotheses by growing plants in controlled "common garden" designs that manipulate the source of microbes & physical growing conditions and the plant species used to capture microbial species (Hacquard *et al.*, 2015). The extent to which plants capture similar communities between replicates and across growing conditions allows us to test if plants are robustly associated with particular bacterial groups. A previous culture independent study (using high throughput 16S rRNA sequencing) examined community structure across two soil types and four crop plant species (Matthews *et al.*, 2019). Here, we used a culture-based approach to make a functional characterization of bacterial isolates from the same experiment in order to complement the culture-independent approach. Cultured isolates were also characterized by 16S sequencing so we could examine the phylogenetic structure of different communities and make comparisons between traits and communities that take the non-independence caused by evolutionary history into account (Orme *et al.*, 2012).

Given the importance of horizontal gene transfer for ecological important bacterial traits our key hypothesis was that functional traits would be better able to predict community membership that simple phylogeny. This hypothesis therefore predicts that phylogeny will be a weak predictor of morphological function at least for some traits, or more formally that traits can have low phylogenetic signal. Another prediction that derives from this hypothesis is that different communities would vary phenotypically in terms of their mean functional trait values. We also planned to use phylogenetic analyses of communities to examine broad-scale differences in structure between distinct ecological communities, i.e. to test for differences in clustering and evenness that might indicate the different importance of vertically inherited traits and competition in community structure traits (Vamosi *et al.*, 2009).

We explored the relative importance of competition, metabolism and host plant through our choice of functional traits, selecting four broad trait classes that are proposed to contribute to rhizosphere colonisation. First, antagonistic competition should select for high tolerance of antimicrobials, so we scored differences in susceptibility to a panel of antibiotics. We also examined resource-based ecological attributes by quantifying enzymatic activity on carbon sources commonly produced by plant roots and by examining growth on complex resources. The latter could test for variation in growth rate generally, for specialization on resources derived from a subset of host plant species, as well as the extent to which isolates were adapted to high nutrient (copiotrophy) or low nutrient environments (oligotrophy). Finally, plant growthpromoting (PGP) traits (phosphate solubilization, heat tolerance, auxin production) have been widely used to screen rhizobacterial isolates for plant beneficial attributes and remain good candidates for functional traits that are important for host manipulation or selection. As a culturebased methodology, this comes with limitations. Nevertheless, we argue that the coupling of culture-dependent approaches with high throughput sequencing (Matthews et al., 2019) can be a powerful means of exploring the specific functions involved in colonization of the rhizosphere (Lugtenberg et al., 2002).

Results

Study context

Four crop species were grown in controlled experimental conditions in soils originating from two different habitats (grassland and woodland), recovered from Silwood Park, UK (Matthews *et al.*,

2019). In order to determine how these two environmental conditions interact to determine the make-up of communities, we used a split plot design with 40 pots and each of the four species grown in a single pot, subdivided by plastic inserts. Three 40 L soil samples were collected from subsites across both habitats then, independently sifted, mixed and decanted, approximately 5L per 7.5L pot. Per subsite 7 pots were filled to a total of 42 pots, 21 per soil type. Pots were directly sown with, 20 onion, 6 pea, 10 tomato, and 6 sweet corn surface sterilised seeds. Approximately 2 months later pots were sampled destructively over 3 weeks (Matthews et al., 2019). The provenance of isolates in this study is known in terms of their soil origin: grassland or woodland soils; and their isolation host species: *Allium fistulosum* L. var Ishikura (onion); *Pisum sativum* L. var Twinkle (pea); *Solanum lycopersicum* L. var MicroTom (tomato); *Zea mays* L. var Minipop (sweet corn). While previous work explored variation in bacterial community structure via 16S amplicon sequencing, here we used culture on standard media to generate a large collection (593) of bacterial isolates to examine both functional and phylogenetic variation.

Culturable isolate diversity was surveyed in three steps. First, we isolated six distinct morphotypes from each bacterial cell enrichment produced from each species, per pot, for each of 40 pots. Of these 960 isolates, 593 were isoclonal, experimentally tractable and provided satisfactory 16S rDNA sequence data. Subsequently, a phenotypic survey was used to characterize 19 traits and these data were combined in a comparative phylogenetic analysis focusing on the associations between taxa and traits with hosts and soils.

General description of communities

Trait data, phylogeny and taxonomy of 593 isolates are summarised at genus level in Fig. 1A. A genus level analysis comparing phylogenetic and functional classifications shows that phylogeny

and function are relatively uncoupled, confirming our prediction that 16SrRNA sequences would be poor predictors of phenotype (Fig 1B). This genus level analysis trades off taxonomic resolution against sensitivity to trait variance (which can be high isolate to isolate, Figure S1). Communities recovered from different plants and soils vary with respect to the total numbers of isolates recovered and their taxonomic composition (Fig. 1C). Bacterial isolates were recovered from 37 genera in four Phyla; predominantly γ -Proteobacteria. The γ -Proteobacteria alone comprise 68% of the culturable bacteria, and are dominated by *Pseudomonas* and *Enterobacter spp*..

Bacterial alpha diversity at the genus level shows no difference between soils (Table S1). On average grassland communities comprise 8.58 genera, woodland communities 8.25 genera, Welch Two Sample t-test (t = 0.2 df = 20.36 p = 0.78). Likewise, there is no significant difference in alpha diversity between hosts. Communities where taxa are clumped on the phylogeny tend to have a lower phylogenetic diversity (PD), because the isolates in these communities capture a smaller part of the total phylogenetic diversity. For instance, PD is higher in *Solanum* than *Pisum* communities, and lower in *Zea* compared to *Allium* or *Solanum* communities. These patterns occur in spite of *Zea* communities comprising more isolates in both soils (Fig. 1C).

Factors shaping community composition

We tested whether community membership (plant and soil origins of isolates) explained variation in phylogenetic or functional traits. In support of our main hypothesis, functional traits proved to be ecologically more informative than phylogeny in shaping communities. Although phylogenetic and trait composition data were correlated (r = 0.77 p = 0.001) the multivariate analysis of communities by functional traits (Fig 2A, ADONIS AIC 59.2) has greater explanatory power than that of phylogeny (Fig 2B, ADONIS AIC 97.8). The communities are shown clustered by NMDS of phylogenetic distance (MNTD) (Fig. 2A), and Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of Euclidian trait distances (Fig 2B). In both analyses, host species account for the highest variation (ANOSIM-Phylogenetic: R = 0.31 F = 2.9 p = 0.03; ANOSIM-Traits: R = 0.44F = 5.3 p = 0.001). In contrast, relatively little variation between the isolate communities is explained by differences between soil origin (ANOSIM-Phylogenetic: R = 0.01 F = 0.2 p = 0.7), (ANOSIM-Traits: R = 0.05, F = 1.2 p = 0.36). There is no support for an interaction between soil and host (ANOSIM associated p > 0.7) and soil subsite has a variable contribution to phylogenetic or trait structure (Table 1). Thus, phylogenetically and functionally, host plant has a stronger impact on isolate community structure than soil type.

The relationship between phylogeny and function

Although phylogeny does not reliably predict phenotype (Fig 1B), traits typically showed phylogenetic signal and were not randomly distributed across the phylogenetic tree (Table 2, see Fig S2 for branch length analysis). However, phylogenetic signal did vary between traits and was often weak, while there were traits with near random distributions. For example, estimates of Pagel's λ approached 0 for traits such as erythromycin and polymyxin susceptibility, suggesting no phylogenetic signal (Table 2). In contrast, β -D-glucosaminidase, streptomycin susceptibility and phosphate solubilization had strong phylogenetic signal (Table 2). Most carbon-metabolism traits and all PGP traits showed phylogenetic signal, although parameter estimates were often modest. By inspection of phylogenies, we also observed that trait patterns were highly variable within clades and not always divergent between clades (Fig. 1A). However, significant phylogenetic structure sometimes derives from signal in a limited region of the tree. To address this, we explored how phylogenetic signal varied among five taxonomic ranks. Of these ranks, family explained the majority of variation in most traits (Fig. S3) and genus explained the least, *i.e.* the majority of variation in traits lies between families. For example, the Streptomycetaceae exhibited low antibiotic susceptibility (Moran's I range 0.41 - 0.52), while the Acinetobacteriaceae and Leclerciaceae are approximately 10 times more susceptible to antibiotics (Moran's I range 0.04 - 0.06). Moran's I at a family level broadly agreed with estimates of Pagel's λ , for example both Moran's I and Pagel's λ identified a near-random distribution for β -xylosidase and *Zea* root medium (Table 2).

Comparing phylogenetic structure between communities

Bacterial trait diversity (mean Euclidian distance) at the genus level showed no difference between soils, being 0.25 in grassland soil and 0.2 in woodland soil (Welch Two Sample t-test t = 0.1 df = 20.4 p = 0.90). Bacterial communities from *Solanum* roots are comparatively phylogenetically even, meaning that individual members are more distantly related from each other than expected by chance, (SES > 0), while communities from *Zea* were phylogenetically clustered, being more closely related than expected by chance (SES < 0). In contrast to phylogenetic diversity, trait diversity was more correlated between hosts (ANOVA $F_{3,20} = 3.374$, p = 0.0387). Trait diversity was lower than expected in *Zea* root communities (SES-MPD < 0), although these communities had above average species richness (SR). Phylogenetic diversity (PD) was also significantly higher in *Zea* than in *Allium* root communities (*diff* = 3 EDU posthoc Tukey HSD adjusted p = 0.025).

Ecological relationships between traits and communities

We also sought to explore how communities differed from each other in terms of individual traits, to complement multivariate and clustering analyses above. These analyses used normalized means, which for growth data were the slope of productivity changes in response to changes in concentration (Fig 3). Comparison used a phylogenetic-generalized least squares approach (PGLS -see methods) which accounts for the fact that lineages may share similar values through recent evolutionary history (non-independence). PGLS revealed significant variation between communities in terms of antibiotic susceptibility and in terms of growth in complex media and PGP function for a number of traits; metabolic traits were relatively similar between communities (Fig 3).

Consistent with diversity analyses above, host was often the most important factor shaping trait variation. Host plant significantly affected three of the six antibiotic susceptibility traits: nalidixic acid (trait Nal, Fig 3; $F_{3,593} = 5.9$, p < 0.001), streptomycin (Strep, Fig 3; $F_{3,593} = 2.6$, p < 0.05), tetracycline (Tet, Fig 3; $F_{3,593} = 3.1$, p < 0.05;) while typically *Solanum* communities were less susceptible to antibiotics overall (Fig 3). In the case of nalidixic acid, for example, *Solanum* communities were significantly less susceptible than *Pisum* (t = 3.4 p < 0.005, df = 585) or *Zea* communities (t = 3.3, p < 0.01, df = 585; Fig 3). Both ampicillin and erythromycin (Amp, Ery) had comparable weaker effects on microbial productivity at the highest dose tested (Fig 3B).

After accounting for phylogeny, isolate communities differed significantly in three of the six metabolic traits. ATP production differed with both host, and host interaction with soil (Host, $F_{4,593} = 5.2 \ p = 0.002$; Host*Soil, $F_{5,593} = 3.4 \ p = 0.02$). A similar pattern was shown by β -xylosidase activity (Host, $F_{3,593} = 5.8 \ p < 0.001$: Host*Soil interaction $F_{8,593} = 3.3 \ p < 0.05$, Soil p = 0.1). For β -D-glucosaminidase activity, host, soil and their interaction contributed relatively

equally as predictors (Host, $F_{3,593} = 3.1 \ p < 0.05$; Soil, $F_{3,593} = 4.7 \ p < 0.05$; Host*Soil $F_{8,593} = 3.2 \ p < 0.05$).

Host plant association affected growth on two of the four complex resource traits: tomato root exudate medium (TREM) and *Zea* root medium (ZRM) ($F_{4,593} = 6.8$, p < 0.0001; $F_{4,593} = 5 p < 0.005$ respectively), although there were similar trends in all the traits in that *Pisum* and *Zea* communities were the most sensitive to declining nutrient conditions, a pattern indicating copiotrophy. The oligotrophy/copiotrophy axis was most strongly tested with TREM media as this supported least growth of all the complex media (Fig 3B). *Zea* root media supported similar levels of growth to LB (Fig 3B). Here *Zea* communities did not suffer reduced growth as LB was replaced with *Zea* root medium (ZRM slope = 0.45), but the *Pisum* community did and was more sensitive to *Zea* root medium than the *Solanum* community (t = 3.4, p < 0.005, df = 585) and the *Zea* community (t = 3.5, p < 0.005, df = 585), see Fig 3A. *Pisum* communities also showed declining productivity with increased *Solanum* root media concentration (Fig 3, trait SRM) although this was not significant in the PGLS comparison.

All three PGP traits presented significant community variation in the PGLS analysis. Heat tolerance (Htol) was strongly correlated with host ($F_{4,593} = 3.43$, p = 0.02) but not with soil (p > 0.1). Heat tolerance was significantly greater in *Pisum* than *Solanum* communities (t = 2.9, p = 0.02, df = 585). Phosphate solubilisation (Psol) showed a phylogenetically significant interaction between ecological groups (Host*Soil, $F_{8,593} = 4.6$, p < 0.005). This interaction was largely due to increased phosphate solubilisation in Grassland *Zea* compared to Woodland *Pisum* communities (t = 3.3, p = 0.025, df = 585). IAA production, like phosphate solubilisation, also showed a relatively strong interaction between host plant species and soil (Host*Soil $F_{8,593} = 3.9$, p < 0.01) but weaker main effects of host and soil (Host, $F_{4,593} = 2.6$, p = 0.052; Soil, $F_{3,593} = 4.0$,

p = 0.0456).

Correlations between traits

In order to explore whether there were common ecological or physiological factors affecting similar traits among communities we performed a multivariate analysis of trait covariance on all functional data. Pairwise correlations among traits were not always significant after accounting for phylogenetic effects. For example, antibiotic susceptibility traits were for the most part positively correlated with each other after accounting for phylogeny (Fig 4). In contrast, the raw data suggested a positive correlation between metabolic traits associated with carbon metabolism, but this was not robust after accounting for phylogenetic effects (Fig 4).

In addition, antibiotic susceptibility traits tended to be negatively correlated with ATP production (ATP), β -xylosidase (β -xyl), and β -D-glucosaminidase activity (β -D-gluc-a). Two antibiotic susceptibility traits were also negatively correlated with growth on *Solanum* root media (Fig 4, Fig S4). We also observed correlations between heat tolerance and some antibiotic and metabolic traits (Fig 4). A PCA analysis of trait covariation showed that metabolism and antibiotic susceptibility traits correlate closely with PC1- the first axis of trait covariance, explaining 22% of variation (Fig S4, S5).

Discussion

Here we analysed differences in bacterial abundance, diversity and functional traits in rhizosphere communities. Bringing ecological, genotypic and functional trait information together, we aimed to identify trade-offs among traits and the degree to which they covary with rhizosphere community ecology. Our main finding is that functional traits predict root-associated bacterial communities better than phylogenetic identity alone, suggesting that phenotypes and functional specificity are important for rhizosphere community assembly. Thus, isolates from similar communities tend to share similar functional trait values even when their phylogenetic composition differs. In this study *Pisum, Solanum* and *Zea* communities are relatively diverse phylogenetically, but are functionally relatively conserved. Only one of the four communities (that on *Allium*) was phylogenetically conserved and also more variable in the functional trait values of community members (Fig 2). Previous high-throughput 16SrRNA gene sequencing of this experiment has also shown that *Allium* communities were phylogenetically conserved and that *Zea* and *Pisum* communities were variable (Matthews et al., 2019) which confirms these broad findings. However, insights into the limits on functional variation in communities, which were independent of phylogenetic identity, would have been impossible without this complementary phenotypic study.

Differences in resource availability between plant species and competition between microbes are likely to be the dominant forces shaping rhizobacterial communities (Philippot *et al.*, 2013; Baltrus, 2017). This study does not provide straight-forward support for simple adaptation to species-specific resources. For instance, there was very little variation between communities in key enzymatic traits used to exploit complex root-associated carbon resources. This lack of variation may arise because these traits are essential for membership of rhizobacterial communities generally, but not important for species specificity. We might also expect community members to have more efficient growth on the complex media derived from their plant of origin. Instead, the overall pattern seen was that some communities fared better in less nutrient

rich environments.

One explanation for this overall variation in growth is that there are trade-offs between different classes of functional traits and different phylogenetic groups tend to sit in different places in these trade-offs (Hibbing et al., 2010; Fierer, 2017; Ho et al., 2017). For instance, investment in antibiotic tolerance and production (secondary metabolism) may trade off against metabolism. In this study, antibiotic susceptibility traits tended to be negatively correlated with basal metabolism. In our multivariate analyses of all trait values, the axis encompassing basal metabolism and antibiotic susceptibility was the largest principal component, indicating the importance of this trade-off in trait variation overall (Fig S4). This variation was driven by phylogeny (Fig S4) so some groups such as Actinomycetales and Rhizobiales have low metabolism, low antibiotic susceptibility and are more oligotrophic while the γ -Proteobacteria (Enterobacteriales, Xanthomonadales) tended to be at the other end of this spectrum (high metabolism, high antibiotic susceptibility, copiotrophy). Thus, communities dominated by γ -Proteobacteria (eg Zea and Pisum communities) do less well on complex media and in lower nutrient conditions, while communities with a higher proportion of Actinomycetales and Rhizobiales (Solanum and Allium communities) and showed lower antibiotic susceptibility and more robust growth in low nutrient conditions.

The likely importance of competitive interactions in community structuring was our motivation for measuring antibiotic susceptibility. Antibiotics can mediate antagonistic species interactions, benefiting producers in competitive, often nutrient-enriched, habitats (Williams and Vickers, 1986; Martinez *et al.*, 2009). Antimicrobials have been shown to modulate transcription of genes that influence nutrient acquisition, virulence, motility, antibiotic production and biofilm formation (Ryan and Dow, 2008; Kinkel *et al.*, 2014; Lareen *et al.*, 2016) and thus may play a role in orchestrating microbe-microbe interactions in the rhizosphere, where complex multispecies communities form biofilms at the root surface (Schlatter and Kinkel, 2015; Yang *et al.*, 2019). Thus antimicrobial production and tolerance may have complicated roles in community assembly, perhaps affecting community composition before host selection occurs (Romero *et al.*, 2011; Cornforth and Foster, 2013). There are two lines of evidence suggesting that competitive interactions might have different importance in different plant communities in this study. First, *Solanum* and *Pisum* communities had distinct antibiotic susceptibility profiles relative to the other communities, with *Solanum* isolates having low antimicrobial susceptibility. Second, *Solanum* communities showed high phylogenetic evenness, a pattern associated with strong competitive interaction preventing the co-existence of closely related members (Vamosi *et al.*, 2009).

Functional traits showed variation in phylogenetic signal. For instance, xylosidase activity, which metabolises the xylose prevalent in hemicellulose, a major component of plant cell walls, had no detectable phylogenetic signal, while β -D-glucosaminidase which is involved in the breakdown of chitin, a microbial cell wall component predominant in fungi had a very strong phylogenetic signal. This pattern may reflect widespread horizontal gene transfer, and host coevolution with specific pathways, rather than specific lineages (Ling et al., 2016). The contrast between PGP traits and use of complex resources is also interesting. All PGP traits showed phylogenetic signal, IAA (plant hormone) production and phosphate solubilisation are notable given their use as markers for plant growth promotion (Rolli *et al.*, 2017). At the family level, the Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonadaceae have the largest values of both these traits (Fig S4). The consequence of using such traits to identify plant growth-promoting bacteria is

potentially to limit the taxonomic pool of isolates in any screening process. Given our results, this may have the effect of excluding the more oligotrophic taxa such as the Actinomycetales, even though these may be more competitive on some host species. In contrast to antibiotic and metabolic functional traits, where the host is the dominant driver of communities, differences in phosphate solubilisation and IAA production appear to be linked to different soils, the implication being that these traits are not equally important in all habitats.

Culture-based studies inevitably imposes biases in terms of what can be sampled and grown. Here we have the advantage of a high throughput 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis of the same experiment (Matthews et al., 2019). This means we can make some fruitful comparisons between this culture and functional based study and a culture independent approach. Both studies identified that plants, rather than soils, shape rhizosphere communities. However, as might be expected, differences in bacterial community structure and composition between different host and soil groups are more pronounced in the high-throughput 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis than in the culturable subset analysed here (Matthews et al., 2019). For example, cultureindependent community structure analysis of OTUs indicates a higher β -diversity between hosts than soils. Our estimates of the least diverse rhizosphere community, Grassland Allium, via next generation 16S rRNA gene sequencing, are predictably, much larger than culturable estimates (Mean observed OTUs = 690 ± 63). However, culturable diversity is known to be a poor means of estimating alpha diversity as it introduces uneven sampling or phylogenetic bias (Donachie et al., 2007). Understanding bacterial root communities from a community ecology perspective requires interdisciplinary approaches as they are inherently complex: diverse, dynamic, and spatially heterogeneous (Hinsinger et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2017). A different and deeper understanding of community assembly emerges from having both high-throughput culture

independent data and functional data on phenotypes: functional traits are more significant than phylogeny alone. Given the prevalence of horizontal gene transfer in microbes, and our incomplete understanding of DNA sequences, there is still much value to be extracted from culture-based approaches.

Experimental Procedures

Genotypic characterisation. Isolates were genotyped by sequencing the variable region V1-V3 of the 16S rRNA gene. The following oligonucleotides were used for PCR: 27f 5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG -3 and 519r: 5'- GWATTACCGCGGCK- GCTG -3' (Lane, 1991). PCR cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 3 loops each of 15 cycles of denaturation at 95°C, loop 1. 94°C, loop 2, 93°C loop 3, for 30s respectively followed by annealing for 1min at 57°C and extension at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C for 7min. The PCR product was enzymatically cleaned by incubation for 15min at 37°C followed by 15min at 80°C with 1.8µl ExoSAP mix containing 0.1µl Exonuclease I and 0.1µl Antarctic Phosphatase in 1.6µl Exo1-Buffer (NewEngland Biolabs). Sequencing reactions were conducted using BigDye terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Life Technologies Ltd. Paisley, UK) using the 27f primer only. The sequencing product was cleaned using ethanol and sodium acetate precipitation, and run on a 3130x1 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosciences Inc, Foster City CA). Electropherograms were quality checked and edited using Geneious (v5).

Antibiotic susceptibility: The first class of functional traits was growth in the presence of six antibiotics chosen as representatives of the main antibiotic classes known in soil: ampicillin, erythromycin, nalidixic acid, polymyxin, streptomycin and tetracycline. Isolate growth kinetics

were surveyed in 96-well plates containing 198µl of media of one of four doses (0, 5, 10 & 20µg/ml) of each of six antibiotics described in detail in supplementary methods table SM1. Plates were inoculated with 2µl of 1000x dilution overnight culture and read on a BioTek Synergy 2 plate reader stacker (BioTek Instruments Inc.) recording optical density (OD) at wavelength of 600nm every hour for 72 hours. Growth curve data were used to calculate summary values for maximum growth rate, maximum density, total growth and doubling time.

Carbon metabolism: Each isolate was also assessed for overall metabolic rates and enzymatic activities against substrates likely to be present in the rhizosphere (Lynch and Whipps, 1990; Rudrappa *et al.*, 2008; Bogino *et al.*, 2013). Metabolic traits included: ATP production (overall metabolism), α -Glucosidases, β -Glucosidases, β -D-cellobiosidases, Xylosidases and N-acetyl- β -Glucosaminidases activity. For each isolate relative metabolic activity was surveyed using BacTiter-GloTM Microbial Cell Viability Assay (Cat No. G8230, Promega, hereafter BacTiter-Glo). We determined relative ATP content within a sample, based on the luminous quantification of ATP. 25µl BacTiter-Glo was automatically added using the plate reader's reagent dispenser to 100µl of 1000x dilution of overnight culture in 0.85% (w/v) NaCl. Emitted log luminescence was detected immediately and a total of 5 measurements were taken over 2 minutes using the BioTek Synergy 2 luminosity plate reader. The maximum value of a curve fitted to these data is our estimate of relative ATP content. The enzymatic activity assays for carbon sources used fluorescent moiety bound substrates described in supplementary methods table SM2. This details substrates, target enzymes, their general catabolic action and experimental protocols.

Complex resource use: Growth on four complex media traits were quantified: two synthetic media Lysogeny Broth (LB) and Tomato Root Exudate Medium (TREM), after (Bertani, 1951;

Meyer and Abdallah, 1978; Lugtenberg *et al.*, 2002) and two naturally derived media, *Zea mays* and *Solanum lycopersicum* root medias. Isolate growth kinetics were measured using the 96-well plate method described for antibiotic dose response assays above. Here, we aimed to characterize growth on species-specific media and to characterize isolates on a gradient of copiotrophy (efficient growth on high nutrient conditions) and oligotrophy (efficient growth on low nutrient conditions). We therefore measured how growth changed as LB was diluted and replaced with water or less nutritious root media, hypothesizing that oligotrophs would maintain robust growth as the high nutrient media (LB) was diluted. Data were summarised as slopes of change in area under the curve (AUC) in response to changing concentration of media, details are included in supplementary methods SM4. Methods for preparation of media including root derived media are provided in supplementary methods SM3.

Plant growth-promoting functions: The PGP traits scored in this scheme included heat tolerance, phosphate solubilisation and indole acetic acid (IAA) production (Kamilova *et al.*, 2005; Mendes *et al.*, 2013; Rolli *et al.*, 2017). Pasteurisation was used to screen for heat tolerance. Isolates were cultured in 96-well flat-bottomed plates in 180µl of broth for 24 hours at constant temperature 30°C and shaking at 150rpm. Three media were tested: 2%LB (w/v), DSM (Nicholson and Setlow, 1990) and HCO (Lecadet *et al.*, 1980). Detailed media recipes are provided in Supplementary Methods SM5. An aliquot of 100µl, was transferred to PCR plates and incubated at 55°C for 20 min. Tolerant cells were recovered by plating on LBA plates and growth recorded after incubation for up to a week. Additionally, LB broth was returned to the pasteurisation plate and the procedure was repeated after 24 hours further incubation. The ability to solubilise phosphate was ranked 0-5 by comparison of the zone of insoluble tri-calcium phosphate (TCP) clearance when colonies were grown for 5 days on Pikoviskaya Agar

(Pikovskaya, 1948). IAA production was assayed by colour change in broth cultures grown with excess tryptophan and treated with FeH₂SO₄ 0.5M. Examples of spot test data are provided in supplementary methods SM6.

Phylogenetic analysis: We produced a phylogeny for the 593 bacterial isolates using maximum likelihood (ML) and the weighted neighbour joining method of (Bruno *et al.*, 2000). The ML-WEIBOR tree was annotated with names via alignment to the Ribosomal data base project (RDP) classifier (infernal v10 16S rRNA DB) and Phylip (Felsenstein, 1989; Cole *et al.*, 2014). Additionally we created a genus level tree manually in Figtree v1.4.2 (Rambaut, 2012) and an accompanying dataset comprising trait means. Details are provided in Supplementary Methods SM7. Trait diversity was analysed at both the isolate and genus levels.

Community alpha diversity: We estimated phylogenetic relatedness and taxonomic richness in culturable bacterial communities defined by their origin in terms of crop species and soil.. Phylogenetic diversity (PD), the total branch length spanned by a community's sub-tree (Faith, 1992), and species richness (SR) were calculated with the pd function in picante (Kembel *et al.*, 2010).

Community composition: We measured patterns of phylogenetic relatedness among communities using the *comdist* and *comdistnt* functions to estimate respectively the mean pairwise distance MPD and mean nearest taxon distance MNTD (the mean distance separating each species in the community from its closest relative) between pairs of isolates drawn from different culturable communities. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) ordinations of community structure were computed from the resulting distance matrices and plotted in R using ggplot2 version 1.0.1 (Wickham, 2010). To visualise sample relationships as clusters, two

factors: Soil type, Host genus, were plotted and tested for significant structuring effects on rootassociated bacterial community diversity with ANOSIM and ADONIS. Correlation between phylogenetic and functional data was assessed using Mantel tests while comparisons between these data used permutation ANOVA tests on a thousand stochastic community matrices to contrast simulated mean dissimilarity to that associated with the observed community matrix. Tests were implemented in R via VEGAN version 2.0-10 (Oksanen *et al.*, 2008).

We tested the similarity of communities in terms of traits by comparing the standardized effect sizes (SES) of trait diversity measured as dissimilarity among co-occurring isolates, and compared this observed trait diversity to a null model in which tips were shuffled randomly 1000 times. Pearson correlation tests were used to test the correlation between phylogeny and traits. The function *oecosimu* was used to compare ADONIS models of trait and phylogeny-based communities.

Trait phylogenetic signal: We measured phylogenetic signal, which is the tendency of related organisms to resemble each other more than would be expected by chance, with two commonly used indices: Pagel's λ and Moran's I (Gittleman and Kot, 1990; Pagel, 1999). For each trait clustered across the 16S rRNA gene tree we calculated Pagel's λ in the package 'phylotools' with the function phylosig (Harmon *et al.*, 2008). Tests were conducted in R v3.6.0 (R Development Core Team 2008). We tested the significance of λ with log-likelihood ratio tests to examine the significance of phylogenetic dependence by testing the null hypothesis that $\lambda = 0$, which indicates indicate no phylogenetic signal in the trait data. The λ value varies between 0 and 1 but it can exceed 1 depending on the shape of the phylogeny (Freckleton *et al.*, 2002). Details about the robustness of our analysis are presented in Supplementary Methods SM7.

Phylogenetic signal at different taxonomic ranks: We tested traits for variation in phylogenetic signal associated with taxonomic organisation using Moran's I (Gittleman and Kot, 1990). Moran's I is an autocorrelation index and, unlike λ , is not based on evolutionary models and is thus able to make an independent estimate of phylogenetic structure.

Multivariate analysis of traits: We used principal component analysis (PCA) to explore covariance and the relative importance of functional traits. PCA was performed in R with *princomp* to reveal the main axis of variation in the traits based on eigenanalysis of a scaled correlation matrix using the VEGAN package in R 3.1.0 (Oksanen *et al.*, 2008); the first two principal components were visualised in R with ggplot (Wickham, 2010).

Phylogenetic significance of interactions between traits and traits and communities: We tested whether there is a significant relationship between traits using the phylogenetic generalised least squares (PGLS) approach (Orme *et al.*, 2012). This method assumes that lineages may be similar based on recent shared phylogenetic history, and so are not independent. PGLS analysis accounts for the fact that residual errors will be affected by this shared evolutionary history in order to make unbiased consistent estimates of relationships. Ordinary least squares (OLS) coefficients were compared to those of PGLS in which the tree structure was expected to affect the covariance in trait values across taxa evolving by Brownian motion and thus the trait covariance between any pair of taxa was assumed to decrease linearly with the time (in branch length) since their divergence. The correlations were visualised as a coloured matrix using the package 'corrplot' (Wei *et al.*, 2017). PGLS correlation significance was manually annotated in Gimp.

We tested whether a significant relationship between traits and communities was

phylogenetically significant using a similar approach. PGLS models of traits were tested with phylogenetic error structure 'corBrownian' and the general formula trait x = Host*Soil. *Post hoc* Tukey comparisons of ecological factors in community trait means were calculated using the package 'lsmean' (Lenth and Lenth, 2018). The data were visualised as normalised trait means, calculated as (Community trait mean / Global trait mean).

Acknowledgements

Andrew M was supported by a BBSRC studentship. Afshan M was supported by Pakistan Higher Education Commission scholarship. We thank Tom Bell for access to the stacking and pipetting robots without which this work would not have been possible and Tatiana Dimitriu who reviewed and improved several earlier versions of this manuscript.

Data Availability Statement

The NCBI submission number for sequence data is SUB9388904. Experimental data are available as supplementary files for review purposes but will be available from an institutional repository via a permanent doi on acceptance.

References

- Baltrus, D.A. (2017) Adaptation, specialization, and coevolution within phytobiomes. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 38: 109–116.
- Beneduzi, A., Ambrosini, A., and Passaglia, L.M.P. (2012) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): their potential as antagonists and biocontrol agents. *Genet Mol Biol* 35: 1044–1051.
- Berendsen, R.L., Pieterse, C.M.J., and Bakker, P.A.H.M. (2012) The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. *Trends Plant Sci* 17: 478–486.
- Bertani, G. (1951) Studies on lysogenesis I. The mode of phage liberation by lysogenic *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* 62: 8.
- Bertin, C., Yang, X., and Weston, L.A. (2003) The role of root exudates and allelochemicals in the rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil* 256: 67–83.

- Bever, J.D., Platt, T.G., and Morton, E.R. (2012) Microbial Population and Community Dynamics on Plant Roots and Their Feedbacks on Plant Communities. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 66: 265–283.
- Blomberg, S.P., Garland JR., T., and Ives, A.R. (2003) Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution* 57: 717–745.
- Bogino, P., Oliva, M., Sorroche, F., and Giordano, W. (2013) The Role of Bacterial Biofilms and Surface Components in Plant-Bacterial Associations. *IJMS* 14: 15838–15859.
- Bruno, W.J., Socci, N.D., and Halpern, A.L. (2000) Weighted Neighbor Joining: A Likelihood-Based Approach to Distance-Based Phylogeny Reconstruction. *Mol Biol Evol* 17: 189– 197.
- Bulgarelli, D., Garrido-Oter, R., Münch, P.C., Weiman, A., Dröge, J., Pan, Y., et al. (2015) Structure and Function of the Bacterial Root Microbiota in Wild and Domesticated Barley. *Cell Host & Microbe* 17: 392–403.
- Chaparro, J.M., Badri, D.V., and Vivanco, J.M. (2014) Rhizosphere microbiome assemblage is affected by plant development. *ISME J* 8: 790–803.
- Cole, J.R., Wang, Q., Fish, J.A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D.M., Sun, Y., et al. (2014) Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42: D633–D642.
- Compant, S., Van Der Heijden, M.G.A., and Sessitsch, A. (2010) Climate change effects on beneficial plant-microorganism interactions: Climate change and beneficial plant-microorganism interactions. *FEMS Microb Ecol* 73: 197–214.
- Cornforth, D.M. and Foster, K.R. (2013) Competition sensing: the social side of bacterial stress responses. *Nat Rev Microb* 11: 285–293.
- Donachie, S.P., Foster, J.S., and Brown, M.V. (2007) Culture clash: challenging the dogma of microbial diversity. *ISME J* 1: 97–99.
- Faith, D.P. (1992) Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. Biol Con 61: 1–10.
- Felsenstein, J. (1989) PHYLIP 3.2 Manual. University of California Herbarium, Berkeley.
- Fierer, N. (2017) Embracing the unknown: disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. *Nat Rev Microb* 15: 579–590.
- Freckleton, R.P., Harvey, P.H., and Pagel, M. (2002) Phylogenetic Analysis and Comparative Data: A Test and Review of Evidence. *Am Nat* 160: 712–726.
- Gittleman, J.L. and Kot, M. (1990) Adaptation: Statistics and a Null Model for Estimating Phylogenetic Effects. *Syst Biol* 39: 227–241.
- Grayston, S.J., Wang, S., Campbell, C.D., and Edwards, A.C. (1998) Selective influence of plant species on microbial diversity in the rhizosphere. *Soil Biol Biochem* 30: 369–378.
- Hacquard, S., Garrido-Oter, R., González, A., Spaepen, S., Ackermann, G., Lebeis, S., et al. (2015) Microbiota and Host Nutrition across Plant and Animal Kingdoms. *Cell Host & Microbe* 17: 603–616.
- Haichar, F. el Z., Marol, C., Berge, O., Rangel-Castro, J.I., Prosser, J.I., Balesdent, J., et al. (2008) Plant host habitat and root exudates shape soil bacterial community structure. *ISME J* 2: 1221–1230.
- Haney, C.H. and Ausubel, F.M. (2015) Plant microbiome blueprints. Science 349: 788-789.
- Harmon, L.J., Weir, J.T., Brock, C.D., Glor, R.E., and Challenger, W. (2008) GEIGER: investigating evolutionary radiations. *Bioinformatics* 24: 129–131.
- Hibbing, M.E., Fuqua, C., Parsek, M.R., and Peterson, S.B. (2010) Bacterial competition: surviving and thriving in the microbial jungle. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8: 15–25.

- Accepted Article
- Hinsinger, P., Gobran, G.R., Gregory, P.J., and Wenzel, W.W. (2005) Rhizosphere geometry and heterogeneity arising from root-mediated physical and chemical processes. *New Phytologist* 168: 293–303.
- Ho, A., Di Lonardo, D.P., and Bodelier, P.L.E. (2017) Revisiting life strategy concepts in environmental microbial ecology. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 93:.
- Ho, A., Kerckhof, F.-M., Luke, C., Reim, A., Krause, S., Boon, N., and Bodelier, P.L.E. (2013) Conceptualizing functional traits and ecological characteristics of methane-oxidizing bacteria as life strategies: Functional traits of methane-oxidizing bacteria. *Environ Microb Rep* 5: 335–345.
- Kamilova, F., Validov, S., Azarova, T., Mulders, I., and Lugtenberg, B. (2005) Enrichment for enhanced competitive plant root tip colonizers selects for a new class of biocontrol bacteria. *Environ Microbiol* 7: 1809–1817.
- Kembel, S.W., Cowan, P.D., Helmus, M.R., Cornwell, W.K., Morlon, H., Ackerly, D.D., et al. (2010) Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics* 26: 1463–1464.
- Kinkel, L.L., Schlatter, D.C., Xiao, K., and Baines, A.D. (2014) Sympatric inhibition and niche differentiation suggest alternative coevolutionary trajectories among Streptomycetes. *ISME J* 8: 249–256.
- Krause, S., Le Roux, X., Niklaus, P.A., Van Bodegom, P.M., Lennon, J.T., Bertilsson, S., et al. (2014) Trait-based approaches for understanding microbial biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Front Microbiol* 5:.
- Kroll, S., Agler, M.T., and Kemen, E. (2017) Genomic dissection of host–microbe and microbe– microbe interactions for advanced plant breeding. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 36: 71–78.
- Kumar, R., Bhatia, R., Kukreja, K., Behl, R.K., Dudeja, S.S., and Narula, N. (2007) Establishment of Azotobacter on plant roots: chemotactic response, development and analysis of root exudates of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J Basic Microbiol 47: 436–439.
- Lareen, A., Burton, F., and Schäfer, P. (2016) Plant root-microbe communication in shaping root microbiomes. *Plant Mol Biol* 90: 575–587.
- Lecadet, M.-M., Blondel, M.-O., and Ribier, J. (1980) Generalized transduction in *Bacillus* thuringiensis var. berliner 1715 using bacteriophage CP-54Ber. *J Gen Microb* 121: 203–212.
- Lenth, R. and Lenth, M.R. (2018) Package 'Ismeans.' Am Stat 34: 216-221.
- Lopes, L.D., Pereira e Silva, M. de C., and Andreote, F.D. (2016) Bacterial Abilities and Adaptation Toward the Rhizosphere Colonization. *Front Microb* 7: 1341.
- Lugtenberg, B.J.J., Chin-A-Woeng, T.F.C., and Bloemberg, G.V. (2002) Microbe–plant interactions: principles and mechanisms. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 81: 373–383.
- Lynch, J.M. and Whipps, J.M. (1990) Substrate flow in the rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil* 129: 1–10.
- Ma, B., Lyu, X.-F., Zha, T., Gong, J., He, Y., and Xu, J.-M. (2015) Reconstructed metagenomes reveal changes of microbial functional profiling during PAHs degradation along a rice (Oryza sativa) rhizosphere gradient. J Appl Microb 118: 890–900.
- Marschner, P., Crowley, D., and Yang, C.H. (2004) Development of specific rhizosphere bacterial communities in relation to plant species, nutrition and soil type. *Plant and Soil* 261: 199–208.

- Martinez, J.L., Fajardo, A., Garmendia, L., Hernandez, A., Linares, J.F., Martínez-Solano, L., and Sánchez, M.B. (2009) A global view of antibiotic resistance. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 33: 44–65.
- Martínez-Granero, F., Rivilla, R., and Martín, M. (2006) Rhizosphere Selection of Highly Motile Phenotypic Variants of Pseudomonas fluorescens with Enhanced Competitive Colonization Ability. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72: 3429.
- Martiny, J.B.H., Jones, S.E., Lennon, J.T., and Martiny, A.C. (2015) Microbiomes in light of traits: A phylogenetic perspective. *Science* 350: aac9323–aac9323.
- Matthews, A., Pierce, S., Hipperson, H., and Raymond, B. (2019) Rhizobacterial Community Assembly Patterns Vary Between Crop Species. *Front Microbiol* 10: 581.
- Mendes, L.W., Kuramae, E.E., Navarrete, A.A., van Veen, J.A., and Tsai, S.M. (2014) Taxonomical and functional microbial community selection in soybean rhizosphere. *ISME J* 8: 1577–1587.
- Mendes, R., Garbeva, P., and Raaijmakers, J.M. (2013) The rhizosphere microbiome: significance of plant beneficial, plant pathogenic, and human pathogenic microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 37: 634–663.
- Meyer, J.M. and Abdallah, M.A. (1978) The Fluorescent Pigment of Pseudomonas fluorescens: Biosynthesis, Purification and Physicochemical Properties. *J Gen Microb* 107: 319–328.
- Nicholson, W. and Setlow, P. (1990) Sporulation, germination and outgrowth. Molecular Biological Methods for *Bacillus* (Harwood CR & Cutting SM, eds).
- Ofek, M., Voronov-Goldman, M., Hadar, Y., and Minz, D. (2014) Host signature effect on plant root-associated microbiomes revealed through analyses of resident vs. active communities: Host effect on plant root-associated microbiomes. *Environ Microbiol* 16: 2157–2167.
- Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O'Hara, B., Simpson, G., Solymos, P., et al. (2008) The vegan package version 2.2-1. *Community ecology package https://cran r-project org/web/packages/vegan*.
- Orme, D., Freckleton, R., Thomas, G., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S., Isaac, N., and Pearse, W. (2012) Caper: comparative analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R. *R package version 05* 2: 458.
- Pagel, M. (1999) Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401: 877-884.
- Panke-Buisse, K., Poole, A.C., Goodrich, J.K., Ley, R.E., and Kao-Kniffin, J. (2015) Selection on soil microbiomes reveals reproducible impacts on plant function. *ISME J* 9: 980–989.
- Pérez-Izquierdo, L., Zabal-Aguirre, M., González-Martínez, S.C., Buée, M., Verdú, M., Rincón, A., and Goberna, M. (2019) Plant intraspecific variation modulates nutrient cycling through its below ground rhizospheric microbiome. *J Ecol* 107: 1594–1605.
- Pérez-Jaramillo, J.E., Mendes, R., and Raaijmakers, J.M. (2016) Impact of plant domestication on rhizosphere microbiome assembly and functions. *Plant Mol Biol* 90: 635–644.
- Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J.M., Lemanceau, P., and van der Putten, W.H. (2013) Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. *Nat Rev Microb* 11: 789–799.
- Pikovskaya, R. (1948) Mobilization of phosphorus in soil in connection with the vital activity of some microbial species, Mikrobiologiya 17, 362–370.
- Raaijmakers, J.M., Paulitz, T.C., Steinberg, C., Alabouvette, C., and Moënne-Loccoz, Y. (2009) The rhizosphere: a playground and battlefield for soilborne pathogens and beneficial microorganisms. *Plant Soil* 321: 341–361.
- Rambaut, A. (2012) FigTree v1. 4.

- Accepted Article
- Reinhold-Hurek, B., Bünger, W., Burbano, C.S., Sabale, M., and Hurek, T. (2015) Roots Shaping Their Microbiome: Global Hotspots for Microbial Activity. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 53: 403–424.
- Rolli, E., Marasco, R., Saderi, S., Corretto, E., Mapelli, F., Cherif, A., et al. (2017) Rootassociated bacteria promote grapevine growth: from the laboratory to the field. *Plant Soil* 410: 369–382.
- Romero, D., Traxler, M.F., López, D., and Kolter, R. (2011) Antibiotics as Signal Molecules. *Chem Rev* 111: 5492–5505.
- Rudrappa, T., Biedrzycki, M.L., and Bais, H.P. (2008) Causes and consequences of plantassociated biofilms: Causes and consequences of plant-associated biofilms. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 64: 153–166.
- Ryan, R.P. and Dow, J.M. (2008) Diffusible signals and interspecies communication in bacteria. *Microbiology* 154: 1845–1858.
- Schlatter, D.C. and Kinkel, L.L. (2015) Do tradeoffs structure antibiotic inhibition, resistance, and resource use among soil-borne Streptomyces? *BMC Evol Biol* 15: 186.
- Sessitsch, A., Hardoim, P., Döring, J., Weilharter, A., Krause, A., Woyke, T., et al. (2012) Functional Characteristics of an Endophyte Community Colonizing Rice Roots as Revealed by Metagenomic Analysis. *MPMI* 25: 28–36.
- Thompson, L.R., Sanders, J.G., McDonald, D., Amir, A., Ladau, J., Locey, K.J., et al. (2017) A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. *Nature* 551: 457– 463.
- Utturkar, S.M., Cude, W.N., Robeson, M.S., Yang, Z.K., Klingeman, D.M., Land, M.L., et al. (2016) Enrichment of Root Endophytic Bacteria from Populus deltoides and Single-Cell-Genomics Analysis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 82: 5698–5708.
- Vamosi, S.M., Heard, S.B., Vamosi, J.C., and Webb, C.O. (2009) Emerging patterns in the comparative analysis of phylogenetic community structure. *Molecular Ecology* 18: 572– 592.
- Walters, W.A., Jin, Z., Youngblut, N., Wallace, J.G., Sutter, J., Zhang, W., et al. (2018) Largescale replicated field study of maize rhizosphere identifies heritable microbes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115: 7368–7373.
- Wei, T., Simko, V., Levy, M., Xie, Y., Jin, Y., and Zemla, J. (2017) Package 'corrplot.' *Statistician* 56: e24.
- Whipps, J.M. (2001) Microbial interactions and biocontrol in the rhizosphere. *J Exp Bot* 52: 487–511.
- Wickham, H. (2010) A Layered Grammar of Graphics. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 19: 3–28.
- Williams, S.T. and Vickers, J.C. (1986) The ecology of antibiotic production. *Microb Ecol* 12: 43–52.
- Yang, C., Dong, Y., Friman, V., Jousset, A., Wei, Z., Xu, Y., and Shen, Q. (2019) Carbon resource richness shapes bacterial competitive interactions by alleviating growthantibiosis trade-off. *Funct Ecol* 33: 868–875.

Figure 1: Summary of trait and isolate abundance. (A) Shows a genus level summary of the isolate phylogeny coloured by Class. To the right genus level means of trait data are shown in heat maps grouped by type of trait. (B) Represents a comparison of the clustering of bacterial genera based on 16S rRNA gene phylogeny (left) and taxa re-sorted according to functional traits (right). The consensus phylogenetic tree was made using a partial 16S rRNA gene alignment with clades collapsed at the level of genus. Hierarchical clustering of traits was based on a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix of mean genus trait values. The grey lines connect genera, with coloured bands indicating taxa groups coloured by Class. (C) Summarises the abundance of isolates at the level of Class from different treatments: Grassland or Woodland soils isolated from four host species: *Allium fistulosum; Pisum sativum; Solanum lycopersicum; Zea mays*.

Figure 2: Phylogenetic and functional characterization of root- associated culturable bacterial communities. Two dimensional projections are shown clustered by a non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis based on (A) Euclidian trait distance and (B) phylogenetic mean nearest taxon distance (MNTD). The data are abundance scaled and in both cases values indicating the degree of fit between the original distances in the matrix and the reproduced distances within the ordination plots are acceptable (stress = 0.2). Each point represents a single community, hosts are represented A = *Allium* (red) P = *Pisum* (green) S = *Solanum* (blue) Z = *Zea* (purple). Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals of host groups. Note that data coloured by hosts are more obviously clustered by dissimilarities in functional traits (A) than phylogenetic composition (B).

Figure 3: Variation in trait values between communities- (A) Communities are represented as pooled means of isolates from independent soil samples used to set up 7 experimental pots. Data are normalised trait means, communities < 1 were below the global mean, community means >1

above it. (B) Community productivity at the highest concentration tested for antibiotic susceptibility and complex resource use traits. The boxplots are coloured by host plant, with means shown as thick horizontal bars, the body of the box is the lower and upper quartiles (25 and 75%), the whiskers show the 5–95% range and outliers are black points.

Figure 4: Isolate - trait interactions. The trait correlation matrix contrasts 19 traits listed down the auto correlation line. Positive correlations are displayed in blue and negative correlations in red. Colour intensity and the size of circle are proportional to the correlation coefficients: strong OLS correlations are indicated by large circles, whereas weak correlations are indicated by small circles. The colours of the scale bar denote the nature of the correlation with 1 indicating perfect positive correlation (dark blue) and -1 indicating perfect negative correlation (dark red) between two traits. Significance of correlation was assessed via PGLS tests that account for nonindependence among related lineages ***P<0.001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05.

Table 1: ANOSIM test results showing comparisons for culturable communities originating from two different soil types, grassland and woodland, and associated with: *Allium, Pisum, Solanum* and *Zea* plant roots.

Factor	Df	f	R2	Р		
Trait dissimilarity (Bray-Curtis)						
Soil	1,23	1.22	0.05	0.326		
Host	3,23	5.32	0.44	0.001		
Soil subsite	5,23	1.29	0.26	0.264		

Host*Soil	7,23	0.52	0.04	0.791		
Phylogenetic dissimilarity (MNTD)						
Soil	1,23	0.23	0.01	0.722		
Host	3,23	2.96	0.31	0.032		
Soil subsite	5,23	2.50	0.41	0.042		
Host*Soil	7,23	0.57	0.07	0.67		

Table 2: Variation in phylogenetic signal associated with 19 rhizobacterial traits. Pagel's λ varies from 0-1, with 0 indicating that traits are distributed randomly across trees; the associated likelihood ratio tests of the null hypothesis that λ =0. Moran's I is an autocorrelation index, assessing the relationship between trait values and phylogenetic distanc, tests here use values calculated at the family rank. Tests are based on a phylogenetic tree of all isolates (n= 593). All associated p values summarised as: ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05.

Trait	λ	LR test $\lambda = 0$	Ι
Ampicillin (a)	0.013	4.93*	0.03**
Erythromycin (b)	6.6x10 ⁻⁶	-0.01	0.07***
Nalidixic Acid (c)	0.05	41.5***	0.16***
Polymyxin (d)	6.6x10 ⁻⁵	-0.02	0.06***
Streptomycin (e)	0.34	88.5***	0.20***
Tetracycline (f)	0.024	13.1***	0.14***
ATP production (g)	0.0024	0.29	0.10***
α-D-glucopyranosidase (h)	0.071	28.6***	0.39***
β-D-glucopyranosidase (i)	0.079	24.6***	0.27***
β-D-cellobiosidase (j)	0.059	14.0***	0.23***
β-xylosidase (k)	6.6x10 ⁻⁵	-0.02	0.01
β-D-glucosaminidase (l)	0.41	16.8***	0.08***
Resource LB:H ₂ O (m)			0.26***
Resource LB:TREM (n)			0.25***
Resource LB:SRM (o)	0.008	1.90	0.15***
Resource LB:ZRM (p)	0.00075	2.07	0.02
Heat Tolerance (q)	0.021	4.78*	0.15***
Phosphate Solubilization (r)	0.071	72.2***	0.23***
IAA Production (s)	0.058	46.3***	0.07***







