1 2 Benevolent and hostile sexism in a shifting global context 3 4 Manuela Barreto^{1†} and David Matthew Doyle¹ 5 ¹Department of Psychology, University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom 6 In Press at Nature Reviews Psychology 7 †email: m.barreto@exeter.ac.uk 8 9 10 Acknowledgements 11 The authors thank all colleagues whose work has contributed to the state of the art in this field, whether or not space allowed for it to be explicitly mentioned in the article. 12 **Author contributions** 13 Both authors contributed to all aspects of the article. 14 Competing interests 15 The authors declare no competing interests. 16 Peer review information 17 Nature Reviews Psychology thanks Theresa Vescio and the other, anonymous, reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. 18 Publisher's note 19 20 Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. 21

Abstract

Theory and research on ambivalent sexism—which encompasses both attitudes that are overtly negative (hostile sexism) and those that seem subjectively positive but are actually harmful (benevolent sexism)—has made substantial contributions to understanding how sexism operates and the consequences it has for women. It is now clear that sexism takes different forms, some of which can be disguised as protection and flattery. However, all forms of sexism have negative effects on how women are perceived and treated by others as well as on women themselves. Some of these findings have implications for understanding other social inequalities, such as ableism, ageism, racism, and classism. In this Review, we summarize what is known about the predictors of ambivalent sexism and its effects. Although we focus on women we also consider some effects on men, in particular those that indirectly impact women. Throughout the Review we point to societal shifts that are likely to impact how sexism is manifested, experienced, and understood. We conclude by discussing the broader implications of these changes and specifying areas of enquiry that need to be addressed to continue making progress in understanding the mechanisms underlying social inequalities.

[H1] Introduction

Addressing the substantial gender inequalities that exist across a range of life domains¹ requires understanding the impacts of sexism. According to ambivalent sexism theory,² which was developed to account for the relationship between (cisgender and heterosexual) men and women, sexism includes a hostile component (overtly negative attitudes about men and women) and a benevolent component (attitudes towards men and women that seem subjectively positive but are actually harmful). These components differ in tone but are positively correlated and work together to perpetuate gender inequalities.²

Research suggests that children,³ young people,^{4,5} and adult men and women around the world⁶ endorse ambivalent sexism (that is, agree with items that measure both benevolent sexism, such as "women should be protected by men", and hostile sexism, such as "women seek to gain power by getting control over men"). Indeed, according to one study half of the British population holds these attitudes.⁷ Ambivalent sexism is therefore a critical factor shaping girls' and women's lives in a variety of social contexts.

Although there has been substantial progress in this area of research⁸, theoretical insights are often assumed to hold across time, cultures, and social groups. Consequently, theoretical advances do not account for societal shifts in gender relationships over time, or consider the socio-political and cultural contexts in which they operate. For example, binary views of gender are more widely challenged than before⁹ (at least in some places), which influences ideas about what it means to be a man or a woman, as well as what relationships between individuals of different gender groups should look like. In addition, legal and policy developments change the background against which relationships between men and women play out. For example, the number of countries offering paid paternity leave has increased, and so has its uptake, ¹⁰ which has led to greater labour participation of both mothers and fathers. ¹¹ Although the negative effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on workload and

household work burdens disproportionately affected women,^{12,13} changes in women's participation in the workforce provided a normative climate against which couples could evaluate, and be evaluated by others as a function of, their decisions in this area. In addition, because divorce and same-sex and single parenting are increasing,^{14,15} men and women now often play both traditionally male and female roles within families.

More broadly, the spread of neoliberalism as a prevailing socio-political ideology has influenced ideas of equality and how best to achieve progress (for example, by changing individuals rather than social structures). For women, this shift has been associated with greater agency in terms of workplace involvement and contribution to the global marketplace, but often without adequate policy and structural support (such as adequate parental leave or strong employment non-discrimination laws). Instead, women are simultaneously tasked with traditional gendered chores, such as childcare and housekeeping, while also being told to 'lean into' their careers when they inevitably experience obstacles not faced by heterosexual men. Neoliberalism both empowers women to strive for, and blames women for failing to achieve, outcomes that are often beyond their individual control, masking subtler and more blatant ways in which sexism shapes and constricts lives. Although the full extent of the consequences of this global shift is not straightforward, these changes might impact how sexism is expressed and experienced.

Researchers have begun to recognise such societal shifts in ideas about gender and romantic relationships beyond heterosexual couples, but research in this area is still scarce. In addition, the geographical contexts of research on ambivalent sexism have diversified, but the majority of research is still carried out in a restricted number of countries (including New Zealand, Spain, Turkey, the United Kingdom, and the United States); thus, comparative work and reflections on cultural specificities are still largely missing.

In this Review, we take stock of current understanding of ambivalent sexism to facilitate further enquiry that addresses relevant societal shifts and their global contexts. First, we describe benevolent and hostile sexism and their predictors. We then review what is currently known about how benevolent and hostile sexism influence how women are perceived and treated (by both men and women). Next, we discuss how these types of sexism influence how women feel and behave, as well as romantic relationships between men and women. Although the applicability of findings to present socio-political contexts will be flagged throughout the paper, the final section more thoroughly considers shifts in global context and how these open up avenues for future research. We focus on research published within the past five years, but key older studies are also mentioned where they exemplify core theoretical aspects. We also focus primarily on sexism towards women. Ambivalent attitudes towards men also encompass hostile and benevolent components¹⁹, but these attitudes are less well understood. Importantly, they are strongly related to ambivalent sexism towards women and are proposed to serve the same function of supporting male dominance over women.²⁰ Some examples of effects of ambivalent sexism on men are mentioned especially where their impact on women is most direct.

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

[H1] Two forms of sexism

Prejudice is traditionally conceptualised as a negative attitude that explains and shapes antagonistic relationships between dominant and subordinate groups. ²¹ Sexism is a form of prejudice that specifically subordinates women to men. Although sexism can take very clearly negative (and even violent) forms, attitudes towards women are not necessarily negative in obvious ways; in fact, people often describe women more positively than they describe men—the 'women are wonderful effect.' However, positive descriptions of women tend to be restricted to traits related to warmth (women are sociable and nice), whereas men

are more positively described in domains like agency and competence that determine status and power in society (men are bright and capable).^{22,23} In addition, relationships between men and women are not necessarily characterized by antagonism; instead, they often involve the coexistence of male dominance with cooperation, and even intimacy. Ambivalent sexism theory^{2,24} was developed to account for these specific circumstances and proposes that sexism combines antipathy (hostile sexism) with subjective benevolence (benevolent sexism) towards women, which together maintain men's dominance over women.

Hostile sexism is similar to the traditional conceptualization of prejudice as antipathy: It is negative in tone and disparages women who challenge traditional gender roles and ideologies (for example, professionally successful women). It communicates a view of gender relationships as competitive, with women wanting to dominate men and threatening men's higher status in society. By contrast, benevolent sexism has a more positive tone: It idealizes and flatters women who embody traditional ideals (such as stay-at-home mothers), and portrays women as morally pure and uniquely caring, but also weak and unable to take care of themselves. Benevolent sexism portrays gender relationships as cooperative and complementary, with men in charge of protection and security and women dedicated to nurturance and reproduction.

Both hostile and benevolent sexism encompass three components, which are assessed with the Ambivalent Sexism Inventory:²⁴ Paternalism, gender differentiation, and heterosexual intimacy (Table 1). Paternalism refers to men's superiority over women, either aggressively (in hostile sexism) or protectively (in benevolent sexism). Gender differentiation draws a line between men and women, distributing roles associated with power to men (in hostile sexism) and nurturing roles to women (in benevolent sexism). Heterosexual intimacy accommodates heterosexual men's dependency on women for sexual satisfaction; hostile

sexism aims to restrict women's use of sex to manipulate men and benevolent sexism idealizes women as necessary to complete men.

Although hostile and benevolent sexism are opposite in tone, they both draw on gender stereotypes and therefore tend to be positively associated²⁴ across nations:⁶ The more hostile sexism there is in a given society, the more individuals in that society also endorse benevolent sexism.⁶ Correspondingly, women who report more daily experiences with hostile sexism also report more daily experiences with benevolent sexism.²⁵ However, because hostile and benevolent sexism express gender stereotypes in distinct ways, there are important differences in how these two forms of sexism are perceived: Hostile sexism is regarded as more objectionable than benevolent sexism,²⁶ in part because it is perceived as more sexist.²⁷ Benevolent sexism is perceived as harmless²⁸ and even romantic,²⁹ and this makes men who endorse benevolent sexism seem likeable.^{18,27,30} Hostile sexism is less commonly endorsed⁶ and expressed, and indeed women report more lifetime experiences with benevolent than hostile sexism.^{25,31} However, in part because of the warmth it transmits, benevolent sexism can make hostile sexism seem more acceptable when expressed by the same person.³²

Benevolent sexism is also seen as less objectionable than hostile sexism because it offers women benefits. For example, because benevolent sexism offers protection to women,³³ men who express benevolent sexism are seen as caring.³⁴ In addition, women who endorse benevolent sexism see the social system as fair³⁵ and consequently report greater life satisfaction.³⁶

In sum, benevolent and hostile sexism both express the belief that women are and should be submissive to men. However, benevolent sexism is considered more acceptable, and at times even flattering. This positive perception is a key property of ambivalent sexism that contributes to the perpetuation and pervasiveness of gender inequalities.

[H1] Predictors of ambivalent sexism

Understanding how sexism operates requires consideration of why people might endorse sexist views. Whereas some factors predict endorsement of both benevolent and hostile sexism, others appear to uniquely predict one type of sexism (Figure 1).

[H3] Demographic factors

Existing comparative evidence using the Ambivalent Sexism Inventory relies upon non-representative samples, ²⁴ so it is not possible to establish precisely how benevolent and hostile sexism vary across countries. However, the evidence suggests that hostile sexism is strongest in countries characterised by lower gender equality and less wealth, health, and education, as measured by United Nations indicators.³⁷ These findings suggest that sexism is not only detrimental to women's own advancement, but might also be detrimental to society as a whole, reducing overall educational achievement and impairing social prosperity.

Because benevolent and hostile sexism serve to justify and perpetuate male privilege, it is not surprising that men endorse benevolent and hostile sexism to a greater extent than women²⁴ across nations,⁶ with gender differences typically larger for hostile than benevolent sexism. Research comparing sexism scores between cisgender (those who identify with the gender they were assigned at birth), transgender (those who identify with a gender different from that assigned at birth) and gender diverse individuals (those who identity as for example non-binary, genderfluid or genderqueer) has produced mixed results. One study revealed higher hostile sexism scores among cisgender men, and lower benevolent sexism scores among cisgender women and gender diverse individuals assigned female at birth, than other gender groups.³⁸ However, another study revealed higher scores on both components among

transgender than cisgender individuals.³⁹ These discrepancies highlight the need for more research in this area.

Regarding age, men's hostile sexism and women's hostile and benevolent sexism are higher in adolescence and young adulthood, lower in middle adulthood, and again higher in older age. By contrast men's benevolent sexism increases with age. 5,40 This finding is argued to reflect age-normative changes in the importance of goals related to power, identity, and relationships that underlie ambivalent sexism, such as the fact that middle-aged individuals have greater relational and role stability as well as greater independence than young and older adults. It remains to be seen whether these age and gender patterns hold across time and cultures with different views on power, identity, and relationships.

Studies are beginning to show the importance of taking race into account when attempting to understand the drivers of sexism (Box 1). One study showed that Black American women endorse benevolent sexism to a greater extent than white American women. Crucially, benevolent and hostile sexism are not significantly correlated among Black American participants, and there is also no gender difference in the endorsement of these two types of sexism among these participants. However, the benevolent sexism subscale of the Ambivalent Sexism Inventory has poor measurement properties for Latinx and African American participants, suggesting that it is not appropriate for assessing this construct in all racial or ethnic groups. This measurement issue also highlights the need to expand understandings to other cultural contexts and intersections between multiple identities.

Even though ambivalent sexism is endorsed across sexual orientations, 40,41 individuals who are, or desire to be, in heterosexual romantic relationships report stronger benevolent and hostile sexist attitudes than sexual minority respondents 36,44–46. However, as above, existing measurement tools are not appropriate for comparing heterosexual and sexual

minority samples, creating doubt about how these differences in scores should be interpreted.⁴⁷

[H3] Situational factors

The more an individual's circumstances reflect traditional gender roles, the higher their benevolent sexism scores. For example, having more children predicts stronger endorsement of benevolent sexism two years later—and not the other way around. That is, people might endorse benevolent sexism to justify the traditional gender roles they have adopted in their life, rather than adopting these roles because they endorse benevolent sexism. If this is the case, then changes in gender roles—for example through increase in same-sex parenting, or men's increased participation in childcare—might lead to reductions in endorsement of benevolent sexism.

[H3] Ideological factors

Religiosity is another form of traditionalism that drives sexism. Both forms of sexism, but benevolent sexism in particular, have been positively associated with religiosity across affiliations such as Christianity and Islam. ^{49–53} Simple reminders of religion can be sufficient to increase endorsement of benevolent sexism. ⁵⁴ Some have argued (but not yet demonstrated) that reductions in religiosity worldwide coincide with scientific and technological advances that increase fertility and reduce child mortality. These advances thereby reduce the need to control women's reproduction and sphere of activity, which was historically facilitated by religious norms. ⁵⁵ Thus, one prediction is that declines in religiosity might translate into a reduction in sexist attitudes.

Ideological variables related to political conservatism also predict sexism. In fact, political conservatism has been found to explain more variance in ambivalent sexism than gender.⁷ Moreover, in both men and women hostile sexism is predicted most strongly and consistently by social dominance orientation (a view of the world in which groups of people

compete for dominance and superiority), whereas benevolent sexism is most strongly and consistently predicted by right-wing authoritarianism (which stems from perceptions of the world as a dangerous place and reflects a desire for security). These findings support the idea that hostile sexism is primarily driven by the idea that men's dominance over women is both appropriate and desirable, a belief that can be shared by men and women. By contrast, benevolent sexism is driven by a need for security (implied in right-wing authoritarianism). These findings lead to the prediction that political rhetoric associated with the rise in right-wing populism and world events that promote the idea that the world is an unsafe place (such as the COVID-19 pandemic) might increase endorsement of these forms of sexism.

Further evidencing that benevolent sexism is driven by a need for security, women's endorsement of benevolent (but not hostile) sexism increases when they believe that men have more hostile attitudes towards women.⁶ Women also endorse benevolent sexism to a greater extent when their fear of crime is enhanced.⁵⁸ This finding leads to the prediction that actions that highlight women's vulnerability to sexual violence (for example the #MeToo movement) might ironically increase women's feelings of insecurity and their endorsement of benevolent sexism in an attempt to secure protection. Similarly, particularly high exposure to discrimination among Black American women (which raises the need for safety) might explain why they endorse benevolent sexism to a greater extent than white American women, ⁴¹ but this has not been directly tested. Furthermore, men and women who are more afraid of disease and contagion endorse benevolent sexism to a greater extent, presumably because the restrictions benevolent sexism imposes on women's behaviour can protect against disease.⁵⁹ This finding is particularly interesting in light of the COVID-19 pandemic—fear of disease during the pandemic might have led to increases in benevolent sexism. Finally, men's benevolent sexism increases when they feel anxious about their sense of manhood⁶⁰ or their romantic relationship.⁶¹ Interestingly, men who do not have such

security needs (men with a tendency to avoid attachment) report low benevolent and high hostile sexism.⁶¹

In sum, a range of factors increase benevolent and hostile sexism, some of which are unique to each form of sexism. Importantly, changes within a given society in these various predictors (for example, general decreases in religiosity, or temporary fluctuations in insecurity, particularly for women) might have implications for the manifestation of ambivalent sexism. The direct links between these societal changes and endorsement of ambivalent sexism requires further evidence.

[H1] Impact of ambivalent sexism

It is important to understand the different ways in which sexism can be expressed because they can have different consequences. In this section we summarize and compare the effects of benevolent and hostile sexism. Although the review is not exhaustive, it includes those effects that are most crucial for understanding the impact of ambivalent sexism across a range of domains (Table 2).

[H3] Gender roles

Hostile and benevolent sexism contribute to maintaining the status quo by regulating how women (and men) behave. Hostile sexism is correlated with negative stereotypes or disparaging views about women who challenge the status quo by behaving non-traditionally, such as career women,⁶² women in stereotypical male employment positions (such as managers)⁶³ or feminists.⁶² By contrast, benevolent sexism is associated with positive stereotypes about or support for women who reinforce gender inequalities by behaving in line with traditional gender roles, such as housewives,^{6,24} or women who do not confront sexism.⁶⁴ In addition, hostile sexism punishes women who deviate from traditional gender

roles and benevolent sexism encourages women to abide by them in exchange for protection and financial security. For example, women's endorsement of hostile sexism is associated with the derogation of women who breastfeed in public⁶⁵ and women who are highly sexually active;⁶⁶ men's endorsement of benevolent sexism is associated with favourable views of women who breastfeed their children in private,⁶⁷ and predicts unfavourable attitudes towards women who engage in pre-marital sex.⁶⁸ Men who endorse benevolent sexism often engage in protective behaviours towards women (the 'white knight' effect),³³ and the idea that women need protection is often used as an argument in favour of restricting transgender women's access to the bathroom of their affirmed gender.⁶⁹

Men do not necessarily benefit from these restrictive attitudes. Indeed, both men and women who do not conform to the rigid gender role prescriptions that underlie ambivalent sexism—such as LGB individuals, ^{70,71} men who perform stereotypically feminine behaviours (such as styling someone's hair), ⁷² men who express gender egalitarian beliefs, ⁷³ and transgender individuals ^{74,75}—are the target of negative attitudes, particularly by those high in hostile sexism. ⁷¹ This lack of conformity is perceived to threaten the gender hierarchy in which men dominate, so it is not surprising that these negative attitudes tend to be stronger among men than women. ^{74,75} These rigid notions of gender contribute to regulating men's behaviour, and directly or indirectly influence women's social standing. It is unclear whether these gender role prescriptions (and their effects on how men and women are perceived) are retained as men and women are seen to successfully take on more counter-stereotypical roles, such as women being successful at work, or men successfully parenting.

[H3] Self-views

Sexism impacts how women feel and think about themselves and their bodies.

Benevolent sexism is particularly problematic in this regard because its flattering and less

obviously sexist tone discourages women from rejecting the stereotypes it makes salient. Consequently, women exposed to benevolent (but not hostile) sexism describe themselves more in line with gender stereotypes and remember more gender stereotypical information about themselves.^{76,77}

Beauty ideals are important for the subjugation of women because they often reduce women to sex objects, draw attention away from their competence, and undermine their self-confidence, thereby facilitating men's dominance. Both benevolent and hostile sexism are associated with the endorsement of beauty ideals (such as thin bodies), ⁷⁸ self-objectification, ⁷⁹ and body dissatisfaction. ⁸⁰ These, in turn, make women vulnerable to psychological ill health, for example by decreasing adherence to physical medical exams and exacerbating eating disorders. ⁸¹ Interestingly, benevolent sexism has been associated with both thin ^{78,82} and large ⁸⁰ body ideals, the former presumably because they render women fragile and dependent, and the latter presumably because large bodies signal fertility. In addition, benevolent sexism has also been associated with women's increased use of cosmetics, which can improve satisfaction with appearance ⁸² and reverse the relationship between benevolent sexism and body image. ^{80,83} In sum, both forms of sexism lead to attitudes that seek to control, and draw attention to, women's appearance, but the effects of benevolent sexism are slightly more complex.

Sexism also influences men's views of themselves and their bodies. Although sexism can enhance the value of being a man, such narrow notions of masculinity can lead those who do not (always) fit this notion to experience low self-esteem and body dissatisfaction. ⁸⁴ The role of ambivalent sexism in beauty ideals for transgender and gender diverse people has not been directly researched and is an important focus for future research.

[H3] Affect and physiology

Automatic responses to both types of sexism are evident in changes in physiology and affect, which might place women at increased risk of physiological 'wear-and-tear,' including cardiovascular disease, over the life course. So Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of mortality among women around the world, yet remains under-recognized, underdiagnosed and undertreated. Thus, research into the specific contributions of ambivalent sexism to this condition is critical to health equity. For example, being a target of benevolent responsible sexism leads to cardiovascular signatures indicative of threat. However, being a target of hostile sexism leads to a greater initial spike in cardiovascular reactivity whereas benevolent sexism leads to a lower initial spike but slower recovery to baseline (Figure 2). These findings might be consistent with evidence that exposure to benevolent sexism increases activation of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, a brain region involved in cognitive control and thought suppression, suggesting that women ruminate about benevolent sexism for some time after experiencing it. Sexism can also be a substantial physical stressor for men when they feel their adherence to strict notions of masculinity is questioned.

Consistent with the portrayal of sexism as a stressor, it can elicit anxiety in men.²⁸ For women, experiences with benevolent and hostile sexism are both associated with increased self-reported anxiety^{91,92} and anger.^{27,93} However, these associations are relatively stronger for hostile than benevolent sexism,^{27,92} perhaps because women do not always identify benevolent sexism as overtly (or uniquely) negative. Men and women tend to overestimate and underestimate how women's affect will be influenced by exposure to hostile and benevolent sexism, respectively, potentially because they only have a naive understanding of the difference between them.²⁸ Furthermore, some evidence suggests that the affective impact of benevolent sexism varies depending on the specific component of benevolent sexism experienced; specifically, one study showed that experiences with protective paternalism are associated with more self-doubt, lower self-esteem, and poorer

psychological wellbeing, whereas experiencing complementary gender differentiation was associated with less self-doubt, more self-esteem, and better wellbeing.³¹ Future work must continue to disentangle the overlapping and unique affective and physiological sequelae of exposure to various forms of ambivalent sexism among women.

[H3] Violence towards women

Restrictive gender role prescriptions can encourage men who feel their masculinity is threatened to behave in ways that they believe demonstrate their manhood, such as displaying aggression. 94 Only hostile sexism has been shown to predict men's self-reported likelihood to sexually harass women, 95 and tolerance of sexual harassment. 96 However, both hostile and benevolent sexism predict men's inclination to commit acquaintance rape and blame victims of sexual assault: 97,98 For hostile sexism this is because it is associated with the idea that women actually want and control sex even when they claim not to. For benevolent sexism, this is restricted to cases of acquaintance rape and attributed to the idea that women who enter a relationship with a man invite sexual attention. 97 Because of these perceptions of victims' culpability, those high (vs. low) in benevolent sexism recommend more lenient sentences for perpetrators of acquaintance rape. 99 In addition, because those high in hostile sexism believe victims actually want sex, hostile sexism predicts less support for measures that reduce male violence towards women and more support for measures that encourage women to avoid male violence; 100 benevolent sexism is positively associated with support for both types of measures owing to its focus on women's protection. 100

However, the protection against violence offered by benevolent sexism does not necessarily extend to Black women. In situations where police shoot suspects of armed robberies, benevolent sexism leads to perceptions of white (vs. Black) female suspects as more feminine, which in turn leads to more blame on the officer than the suspect when the

suspect is white, but not when she is Black.¹⁰¹ This underlines the need for more research into the intersection of race and gender to examine the limits of ambivalent sexism theory, or expand it to diverse racial groups.

Hostile sexism is also linked to sexual aggression towards women by increasing objectification ^{102,103} and denying women uniquely human emotions. ¹⁰⁴ Benevolent sexism has no such effect. In fact, one study showed that, for both men and women, benevolent sexism increases the association of women with positive and uniquely human emotions. ¹⁰⁴ The fact that benevolent sexism can promote this positive image of women might be another reason why women feel flattered by it, despite the fact that it can nevertheless be associated with negative outcomes, including gender violence.

[H3] Careers

Sexism influences how women are perceived and treated in the work domain. For example, hostile sexism is associated with the idea that gender income inequality is legitimate because it arises from women's choice of work arrangements that are associated with lower salaries. ¹⁰⁵ In addition, hostile sexism leads to fewer recommendations to hire women as managers ⁶³ and predicts negative attitudes towards women managers. ¹⁰⁶ Once at work, female employees are often treated in benevolently sexist ways by receiving ample praise but little concrete recognition for their work, such as career enhancing opportunities, ¹⁰⁷ promotions, or salary raises. ^{108,109} Benevolent sexism is associated with lower competency standards for female (vs. male) employees, resulting in positive evaluations of women when they are compared to other women, but not when they are compared to men (to whom they are deemed inferior). ¹¹⁰ Benevolent sexism also results in more dependency-oriented (vs. autonomy-oriented) help offered to female employees, ¹¹¹ which leads others to perceive women as less competent, ¹¹² irrespective of whether or not they have requested the help

offered.¹¹³ Merely observing a female job candidate being treated in a benevolently sexist manner leads observers to infer that she is less competent or hireable.¹¹³ Finally, benevolent sexism has been related to more support for employment equity policies, but only for stereotypically feminine, not masculine, positions.¹¹⁴ Taken together, this evidence suggests that benevolent sexism encourages behaviours towards female employees that seem positive, but in fact undermine women's careers. Thus benevolent sexism might partially explain why women remain under-represented in higher status and more powerful roles. It remains to be examined whether these relationships become weaker when and where sexist individuals are a minority in the workplace and their attitudes towards female employees have less power.

In terms of career choices, benevolent sexism directs boys to stereotypically male domains, like business and maths-related fields, and girls to stereotypically female domains, like the arts. 115,116 These choices are often influenced by mothers' benevolent sexist attitudes. 117 In addition to shaping career choices, benevolent sexism can impair how women actually perform at work, especially if the task is stereotypically masculine, 118 by decreasing self-efficacy 119 and increasing thought intrusions. 89,120 At the same time, benevolent sexism restricts women's access to career enhancing support. 121 Women high (vs. low) in benevolent sexism are more likely to accept patronizing behaviour from men, which they might perceive as supportive, but which can perpetuate their dependence on men and undermine their career prospects. 34,111 Irrespective of their benevolent sexist attitudes, women might refuse support when they believe that accepting such support would confirm the sexist belief that they are dependent upon men. 122

Taken together these findings show that although hostile sexism has more immediate and negative emotional effects than benevolent sexism, both negatively influence self-views and benevolent sexism in particular shapes women's career choices and performance. The fact that benevolent sexism is often not identified as problematic means that an important

deterrent of women's careers frequently remains unaddressed. However, research on this topic might need updating, particularly because some effects of benevolent sexism rely on its subtlety and perceived flattery, which might wane when and where its sexist nature is more visible.

[H3] Healthcare

Both men's and women's health care is compromised by sexist views of women as emotional and men as brave. However, only support for addressing women's (but not men's) pain is negatively related to benevolent and hostile sexism. Moreover, patronizing attitudes characteristic of benevolent sexism are associated with discouraging women to undergo mammography to avoid the anxiety it might provoke, despite evidence suggesting that mammography reduces women's anxiety about having breast cancer. 125

In addition, the idealization of women as mothers (which is fundamental to benevolent sexism) leads to controlling attitudes about pregnant women's choices, ¹²⁶ and opposition to both elective and traumatic abortion. ¹²⁷ Men's and women's benevolent sexism is associated with negative attitudes towards women who have an abortion, even if it is medically motivated. ¹²⁸ In fact, although sexist attitudes can coerce women towards abortion when families seek to restrict the birth of female children, ¹²⁹ sexism can also limit access to abortion. For example, benevolently sexist language has been identified in policy-making discussions to justify restricting women's access to abortion services. ¹³⁰ Ironically, rather than protecting women's health, research in the United States has shown that state-level abortion bans are tied to increased total maternal mortality. ¹³¹ Consistent with benevolent sexism, those who object to abortion often claim that they wish to protect women from the negative emotions it might elicit (such as shame, grief and regret) and portray women as incapable of making good decisions. ¹³² Such arguments might take on greater importance as

abortion becomes legal in more places because they provide an additional (but informal) hurdle women might need to overcome to access this care. 129 Of course, benevolently sexist arguments can also be used to ensure that abortion does not become legal, as in the United States where the Supreme Court overturned previously established abortion rights in 2022.

[H3] Legal decisions

Finally, court decisions and criminal sentencing often reflect benevolent sexism, in this case often benefitting women. ¹³³ For example, judges tend to sentence female defendants to less time in prison than male defendants for the same crime, which can be attributed to benevolent sexist ideas that women are weaker than men. Similarly, judges are more likely to allow a divorced mother to relocate with her children away from the father than when the exact same case is presented by a father, which in turn can be attributed to the benevolently sexist belief that women are inherently more essential to children than men. The legalization of gay marriage in some countries, and associated shifts in the prevalence and visibility of same-sex parenting, might make men's ability to provide appropriate parenting more evident and bring about change in this type of decision-making. Clearly, although these effects of benevolent sexism might bring some benefits to women, they contribute to portraying women as weak and restricting them to the domestic sphere.

[H1] Impact on heterosexual relationships

The desire to sustain the historical norm of heterosexual relationships between cisgender men and women to raise children was originally proposed as one of the driving forces behind ambivalent sexism.^{24,134} Accordingly, an impressive body of research now addresses how ambivalent sexism plays out within heterosexual relationships between cisgender men and women.¹³⁵

Some women (and men) might be romantically persuaded by the chivalry inherent in benevolent sexism. 136 Benevolent sexism might play a seductive role in heterosexual women's initial attraction to men because it promises adoration and willingness to invest by potential male partners. 137 Indeed, women rate benevolently sexist male strangers as more likeable and sexually attractive than hostilely sexist, or even non-sexist, male strangers.³⁰ This is especially true for women higher in need for security in romantic relationships (for instance, women higher in attachment anxiety). 138 Women's benevolent sexism is also associated with preferences for male romantic partners who possess traits more consistent with traditional gender roles, such as the ability to provide status and/or resources. 139,140 By contrast, men's hostile sexism is associated with preferences for female romantic partners who possess traits more consistent with traditional gender roles, such as attractiveness or vitality. 139 Among men and women, both benevolent and hostile sexism are associated with greater endorsement of double standards in heterosexual dating (such as the idea that men, not women, should ask for the first date and pay for the date). 141 Taken together, both hostile and, particularly, benevolent sexism influence heterosexual cisgender women to pursue more traditional heteronormative partners and potential relationships.

Once in established heterosexual intimate relationships, both men's and women's benevolent and hostile sexism can shape the ways in which romantic partners interact and how their relationships function over time. For example, benevolent sexism promotes traditional task divisions for women¹⁴² and men in heterosexual couples.¹⁴³ By ostensibly providing women with a sphere of influence (within rather than outside the home), ambivalent sexism tempts women to become complicit in their own subjugation. For example, hostile sexism among mothers is associated with maternal gatekeeping (behaviours that limit or exclude fathers from childcare), which leads to women performing a greater share of childcare tasks and spending more hours on these tasks than men.¹⁴⁴ Furthermore,

benevolent sexism among women (but not men) is related to intentions to provide dependency-oriented help to male romantic partners when completing stereotypically feminine domestic tasks (such as doing laundry), allowing men to avoid this type of labour in the long run. Thus, ambivalent sexism perpetuates broader social inequalities around gender by steering women away from education and careers in favour of a primary caregiving role in relationships and family life. The series of the sexism perpetuates around the sexism perpetuates broader social inequalities around gender by steering women away from education and careers in favour of a primary caregiving role in relationships and family life.

Benevolent sexism can also influence sexual functioning within relationships by focusing the couple on men's sexual needs and women's sexual duties. 147,148 In heterosexual relationships, women's hostile and benevolent sexism is associated with greater and lesser frequency of faking orgasms, respectively (potentially indicating that women higher in benevolent sexism place less value upon their own sexual pleasure). Furthermore, exposure to benevolent sexism reduces condom use during sex, partially owing to women's motivation to have sex to please a male partner rather than for their own pleasure. And behaviours can increase risk of sexually transmitted infections as well as pregnancy, which can have detrimental health effects and further limit women's educational and career attainment.

Perhaps due to differences in social acceptability, benevolent versus hostile sexism from male romantic partners is more prevalent in public versus private contexts, respectively. 150 However, women higher in benevolent sexism are more likely to accept paternalistic restrictions on their behaviours outside of the home (for example, declining a 'risky' educational or career opportunity) at their romantic partner's behest (particularly when the partner offers a justification that is ostensibly about protecting the woman). 141 Importantly, women's endorsement of benevolent sexism is strongly influenced by perceptions of their male partners' benevolent sexism. 151 Thus, being involved in a relationship with a man who holds benevolently sexist attitudes and ideals might tempt

women to view benevolent sexism as a manifestation of love and protection rather than sexism and subjugation.

Ambivalent sexism likely leads to deterioration of relationship quality in heterosexual couples. However, processes by which this might happen can differ for hostile and benevolent sexism and longitudinal research is currently lacking. In general, current evidence suggests that men's hostile sexism decreases relationship satisfaction for men and women.

Indeed, men's hostile sexism leads to insecurities about women's independence¹⁵² and increases conflict¹⁵³ and aggression^{154,155} in heterosexual relationships, which can lead some women to perceive these behaviours as normative and acceptable in intimate relationships.¹⁵⁶

Women's benevolent sexism can increase their partner's relationship satisfaction,¹⁵⁷ but is associated with shorter relationship length.¹⁵³ The more women endorse the romanticized relationship ideals linked to benevolent sexism, the more dissatisfied they are with their relationship when the couple faces conflict.^{158,159} However, women with attachment insecurities can benefit from perceiving that their partner endorses benevolent sexism when there are low levels of conflict because this reassures them of their commitment to the relationship.¹⁶⁰

Hostile sexism is also associated with negative attitudes towards non-traditional family planning, such as surrogacy. However, there is little research on how ambivalent sexism influences sexual minority relationships. Furthermore, the Ambivalent Sexism Inventory produces different means and item loadings across heterosexual and sexual minority individuals. Thus, it might not reflect how sexism is experienced by sexual minority individuals and should not be used to compare groups based on sexual orientation. Future research on the effects of ambivalent sexism on romantic relationships should investigate how these processes might function among individuals of diverse sexual and gender identities.

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

[H1] Summary and future directions

Theoretical and empirical knowledge about ambivalent sexism has advanced understanding of gender inequalities by shedding light on how women are subordinated through the tandem operation of hostile and benevolent sexism. Hostile sexism has more obvious effects, but benevolent sexism is equally damaging and more insidious, largely because it wears a cloak of flattery and protection.

Over the almost three decades of research in this area there has been little effort to consider the changing and global context in which sexism operates. Future research will need to examine whether these societal shifts have been accompanied by changes in how these forms of sexism are expressed, perceived, and experienced (Table 3). For example, it is likely that increased understanding of how sexism operates have produced reductions in both types of sexism, at least in some places, with benevolent sexism potentially showing a slower decline due to its positive tone. 40 Ambivalent sexism theory was developed to account for the specific characteristics of gender relationships as they were understood at the time. However, men's and women's roles have changed, even if not everywhere. 164 For example, more women in the United States occupy high status positions in employment, or are family breadwinners, in the 2020s than the 1990s. 165 These changes in gender roles can have contradictory effects. For example, they might serve to showcase women's perceived competence in the work domain and men's perceived suitability as carers, and increase cooperation between men and women, which could reduce sexism. 166,167 However, more egalitarian gender roles might ironically increase gender competition and dominative paternalism to keep women in place and protect the gender hierarchy. The direction of these changes might be influenced by factors such as individuals' baseline levels of sexism,

ultimately leading to a more polarised society (though perhaps with a smaller minority of sexist individuals).

The increased awareness and acceptability of non-traditional notions of gender, such as transgender and non-binary gender identities or expressions, ¹⁶⁸ or of non-traditional families, such as those with same-sex parents, might also influence gender-related processes. Those who endorse hostile sexism might attempt to protect the gender hierarchy by targeting gender non-conforming individuals and non-traditional families (like lesbian mothers) and rewarding women who abide by gender norms. However, it is also possible that these non-traditional gender identities and families could contribute to further changes in societal understanding of gender and gender norms. Future research should examine how perceptions and experiences of sexual minority, transgender and non-binary individuals might be influenced by the restrictive views of gender communicated and supported by hostile and benevolent sexism, and how these, in turn, might change with increased exposure to gender non-conforming individuals.

Although research on ambivalent sexism has shed light on how attitudes towards other groups operate (Box 2), more research is needed to understand the intersection between gender and other characteristics, such as age, disability, or sexual orientation. For example, little is known about how ambivalent sexism impacts well-being and relationship functioning in same-sex couples. Prior work suggests that sexism contributes to intimate partner violence, ¹⁶² attitudes towards same-sex parenting ¹⁶³ and objectification ¹⁶⁹ by sexual minority individuals and within sexual minority relationships. However, these studies used a measure now known not to adequately capture sexism in these populations. ⁴⁷ Indeed, the appropriateness of existing measures of sexism beyond populations that are cisgender, heterosexual, (largely) white, and living in specific cultures, has as yet to be confirmed. For example, efforts to validate the ambivalent sexism inventory across cultures have revealed

that it might need adjustment to capture sexism in those cultures.¹⁷² Future research needs to examine the appropriateness of measures for a range of populations and, if necessary, develop new tools to enable comparative research and better serve these groups.

Despite growing evidence that the intersectionality between gender and race shapes women's experiences of ambivalent sexism^{41,42,101,172} the majority of research in this area has either not specified the racial composition of the samples, or described them as predominantly white. The findings of this research raise questions about the generalizability of ambivalent sexism theory. More research is needed to clarify whether the theory is less applicable to women of diverse racial groups, whether it can be adjusted and expanded to increase its generalizability, and what measures might be needed to capture sexism across racial or ethnic groups.

More generally, research examining predictors and consequences of ambivalent sexism tend to be restricted to a few cultural contexts, which cannot be regarded as a proxy for the rest of the world. The vast majority of this research fails to acknowledge the cultural context where it is carried out and so does not always reflect on how these contexts impact the processes uncovered. Although men tend to be granted more power than women across most societies, the precise cultural and historical context in which gender relationships are lived cannot be ignored. Indeed, there is some evidence that predictors and consequences of sexism can vary across societies as culturally similar as the United Kingdom and the United States. At the same time, some of the research reviewed here reported similar phenomena across different cultural settings. Ultimately, what is needed is more comparative research to shed further light on the cultural contexts of sexism.

Rapid developments in societal norms and attitudes toward sex, gender and sexuality across many countries in the past few decades ^{174,175} reflect a global context that is shifting in response to a more intensely interconnected era. These changes are rarely welcomed by

everyone and in some cases they are also not permanent. Research needs to more directly examine the impact of these changes, their trajectories across time, and how they influence and are influenced by changes in gender roles and gender-based equality. Socio-political features of this context, such as dominant neoliberal ideology, are likely to influence the ways in which sexism is manifest and entrenched. The impact of ambivalent sexism and its components as manifestations and consequences of sexism morph in response to this shifting global context.

References

- 1. United Nations. Sustainable development goals: Gender equality. Retrieved from
- 645 https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/gender-equality/
- 646 2. Glick, P. & Fiske, S. T. An ambivalent alliance: Hostile and benevolent sexism as
- 647 complementary justifications for gender inequality. *Am. Psychol.* **56**, 109–118 (2001).
- This is the first comprehensive articulation of ambivalent sexism theory.
- Hammond, M. D. & Cimpian, A. "Wonderful but Weak": Children's Ambivalent
- 650 Attitudes Toward Women. *Sex Roles* **84**, 76–90 (2021).
- de Lemus, S., Moya, M. & Glick, P. When Contact Correlates with Prejudice:
- Adolescents' Romantic Relationship Experience Predicts Greater Benevolent Sexism in Boys
- and Hostile Sexism in Girls. Sex Roles **63**, 214–225 (2010).
- 5. Ferragut, M., Blanca, M. J., Ortiz-Tallo, M. & Bendayan, R. Sexist attitudes and
- beliefs during adolescence: A longitudinal study of gender differences. Eur. J. Dev. Psychol.
- **14**, 32–43 (2017).
- 657 6. Glick, P. et al. Beyond prejudice as simple antipathy: Hostile and benevolent sexism
- 658 across cultures. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. **79**, 763–775 (2000).
- 659 7. de Geus, R., Ralph-Morrow, E. & Shorrocks, R. Understanding Ambivalent Sexism
- and its Relationship with Electoral Choice in Britain. Br. J. Polit. Sci. 1–20 (2022). Advance
- online publication. doi:10.1017/S0007123421000612.
- 662 8. Connor, R. A., Glick, P. & Fiske, S. T. Ambivalent Sexism in the Twenty-First
- 663 Century. In *The Cambridge Handbook of the Psychology of Prejudice* (eds. Sibley, C. G. &
- Barlow, F. K.) 295–320 (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
- Hyde, J. S., Bigler, R. S., Joel, D., Tate, C. C. & van Anders, S. M. The future of sex
- and gender in psychology: Five challenges to the gender binary. Am. Psychol. 74, 171–193
- 667 (2019).
- This article presents a compelling analysis of how current psychological insights challenge binary
- notions of gender, which suggests the need to broaden sexism research.
- van der Gaag, N., Heilman, B., Gupta, T., Nembhard, C. & Barker, G. State of the
- 671 world's fathers: Unlocking the power of men's care. (2019). Retrieved from
- 672 https://promundoglobal.org/wp-
- content/uploads/2019/06/BLS19063_PRO_SOWF_REPORT_015.pdf
- 674 11. ONS. Families and the labour market UK: 2019. (2019). Retrieved from
- 675 https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentandemploye
- etypes/articles/familiesandthelabourmarketengland/2019
- 677 12. Alon, T., Doepke, M., Olmstead-Rumsey, J. & Tertilt, M. *This Time It's Different*:
- 678 The Role of Women's Employment in a Pandemic Recession. Retrieved from
- 679 http://www.nber.org/papers/w27660.pdf (2020)

- 680 13. Giurge, L. M., Whillans, A. V. & Yemiscigil, A. A multicountry perspective on
- gender differences in time use during COVID-19. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **118**, e2018494118
- 682 (2021).
- 683 14. Golombok, S. Modern families: Parents and children in new family forms.
- 684 (Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- The Williams Institute. *How many same-sex couples in the US are raising children?*
- 686 (2018). Retrieved from https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/Same-Sex-
- 687 Parents-Jul-2018.pdf
- 688 16. Goudarzi, S., Badaan, V. & Knowles, E. D. Neoliberalism and the Ideological
- 689 Construction of Equity Beliefs. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci. 17,1431-1451* (2022).
- 690 17. Government Equalities Office. Gender equality monitor: Tracking progress on gender
- 691 equality. Retrieved from
- 692 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data
- 693 /file/814080/GEO_GEEE_Strategy_Gender_Equality_Monitor_tagged.pdf
- 694 18. Chisango, T. & Javangwe, G. Are People Better at Recognizing Ambivalent Sexism
- on the Basis of the Non-standard Profiles than the Standard ASI Ones? Sex Roles 67, 69–82
- 696 (2012).
- 697 19. Glick, P. & Fiske, S. T. The ambivalence toward men inventory: Differentiating
- 698 hostile and benevolent beliefs about men. *Psychol. Women Q.* 23, 519–536 (1999).
- 699 20. Glick, P. et al. Bad but Bold: Ambivalent Attitudes Toward Men Predict Gender
- 700 Inequality in 16 Nations. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **86**, 713–728 (2004).
- 701 21. Allport, G. W. *The nature of prejudice*. (Addison-Wesley, 1954).
- 702 22. Eagly, A. H. & Mladinic, A. Are People Prejudiced Against Women? Some Answers
- From Research on Attitudes, Gender Stereotypes, and Judgments of Competence. Eur. Rev.
- 704 *Soc. Psychol.* **5**, 1–35 (1994).
- 705 23. Fiske, S. T., Cuddy, A. J. C. & Glick, P. Universal dimensions of social cognition:
- 706 warmth and competence. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **11**, 77–83 (2007).
- 707 24. Glick, P. & Fiske, S. T. The ambivalent sexism inventory: Differentiating hostile and
- 708 benevolent sexism. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **70**, 491–512 (1996).
- 709 This article presents the development of the original ambivalent sexism inventory.
- 710 25. Salomon, K. et al. The Experiences with Ambivalent Sexism Inventory (EASI). Basic
- 711 Appl. Soc. Psychol. **42**, 235–253 (2020).
- 712 26. Becker, J. C. & Wright, S. C. Yet another dark side of chivalry: Benevolent sexism
- 713 undermines and hostile sexism motivates collective action for social change. J. Pers. Soc.
- 714 *Psychol.* **101**, 62–77 (2011).
- 715 27. Barreto, M. & Ellemers, N. The burden of benevolent sexism: how it contributes to
- the maintenance of gender inequalities. Eur. J. Soc. Psychol. 35, 633–642 (2005).

- 717 28. Bosson, J. K., Pinel, E. C. & Vandello, J. A. The Emotional Impact of Ambivalent
- 718 Sexism: Forecasts Versus Real Experiences. Sex Roles 62, 520–531 (2010).
- 719 29. Rudman, L. A. & Heppen, J. B. Implicit Romantic Fantasies and Women's Interest in
- Personal Power: A Glass Slipper Effect? Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 29, 1357–1370 (2003).
- 721 30. Bohner, G., Ahlborn, K. & Steiner, R. How Sexy are Sexist Men? Women's
- Perception of Male Response Profiles in the Ambivalent Sexism Inventory. Sex Roles 62,
- 723 568–582 (2010).
- 724 31. Oswald, D. L., Baalbaki, M. & Kirkman, M. Experiences with Benevolent Sexism:
- Scale Development and Associations with Women's Well-Being. Sex Roles 80, 362–380
- 726 (2019).
- 727 32. Hopkins-Doyle, A., Sutton, R. M., Douglas, K. M. & Calogero, R. M. Flattering to
- deceive: Why people misunderstand benevolent sexism. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 116, 167–192
- 729 (2019).
- 730 33. Leone, R. M., Schipani-McLaughlin, A. M., Haikalis, M. & Parrott, D. J. The "white
- 731 knight" effect: Benevolent sexism accounts for bystander intervention in party situations
- among high status men. *Psychol. Men Masculinities* **21**, 704–709 (2020).
- 733 34. Moya, M., Glick, P., Expósito, F., de Lemus, S. & Hart, J. It's for Your Own Good:
- Benevolent Sexism and Women's Reactions to Protectively Justified Restrictions. *Pers. Soc.*
- 735 *Psychol. Bull.* **33**, 1421–1434 (2007).
- 736 35. Jost, J. T. & Kay, A. C. Exposure to Benevolent Sexism and Complementary Gender
- 737 Stereotypes: Consequences for Specific and Diffuse Forms of System Justification. *J. Pers.*
- 738 *Soc. Psychol.* **88**, 498–509 (2005).
- 739 36. Connelly, K. & Heesacker, M. Why Is Benevolent Sexism Appealing?: Associations
- 740 With System Justification and Life Satisfaction. *Psychol. Women Q.* **36**, 432–443 (2012).
- 741 37. Brandt, M. J. Sexism and Gender Inequality Across 57 Societies. *Psychol. Sci.* 22,
- 742 1413–1418 (2011).
- 38. Schiralli, J. E., Peragine, D. E., Chasteen, A. L. & Einstein, G. Explicit and Implicit
- 744 Gender-Related Stereotyping in Transgender, Gender Expansive, and Cisgender Adults.
- 745 Arch. Sex. Behav. **51**, 2065–2076 (2022).
- 746 39. Khorashad, B. S., Roshan, G. M., Talaei, A., Arezoomandan, S. & Sadr, M. Views of
- 747 individuals with gender dysphoria and disorders of sex development on sexism: An Iranian
- 748 study. Int. J. Transgenderism **20**, 459–470 (2019).
- 749 40. Hammond, M. D., Milojev, P., Huang, Y. & Sibley, C. G. Benevolent Sexism and
- Hostile Sexism Across the Ages. Soc. Psychol. Personal. Sci. 9, 863–874 (2018).
- 751 41. Davis, T. M., Settles, I. H. & Jones, M. K. Standpoints and situatedness: Examining
- 752 the perception of benevolent sexism in Black and White undergraduate women and men.
- 753 *Psychol. Women Q.* **46**, 8–26 (2022).

- Hayes, E.-R. & Swim, J. K. African, Asian, Latina/o, and European Americans'
- Responses to Popular Measures of Sexist Beliefs: Some Cautionary Notes. *Psychol. Women*
- 756 *Q.* **37**, 155–166 (2013).
- 757 This article highlights measurement invariance across ethnic/racial groups, pointing to the need to
- 758 consider the cultural context of ambivalent sexism.
- 759 43. Gutierrez, B. C., Halim, M. L. D., Martinez, M. A. & Arredondo, M. The Heroes and
- the Helpless: The Development of Benevolent Sexism in Children. Sex Roles 82, 558–569
- 761 (2020).
- 762 44. Cowie, L. J., Greaves, L. M. & Sibley, C. G. Sexuality and sexism: Differences in
- ambivalent sexism across gender and sexual identity. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* **148**, 85–89
- 764 (2019).
- 765 45. López-Sáez, M. Á., García-Dauder, D. & Montero, I. Correlate Attitudes Toward
- The LGBT and Sexism in Spanish Psychology Students. Front. Psychol. 11, 2063 (2020).
- 767 46. Pistella, J., Tanzilli, A., Ioverno, S., Lingiardi, V. & Baiocco, R. Sexism and Attitudes
- 768 Toward Same-Sex Parenting in a Sample of Heterosexuals and Sexual Minorities: the
- Mediation Effect of Sexual Stigma. Sex. Res. Soc. Policy 15, 139–150 (2018).
- 770 47. Cross, E. J., Muise, A. & Hammond, M. D. Do Scales Measuring Sexist Attitudes
- have Equivalent Meaning for Sexual Minorities and Majorities? Sex Roles, 85, 707-720
- 772 (2021).
- 773 This article describes how sexual orientation influences the meaning of items in the ambivalent
- sexism inventory, suggesting the need for further research on how sexism operates for sexual
- 775 minority individuals.
- 776 48. Deak, C. K., Hammond, M. D., Sibley, C. G. & Bulbulia, J. Individuals' number of
- children is associated with benevolent sexism. *PLOS ONE* **16**, e0252194 (2021).
- 778 49. Glick, P., Lameiras, M. & Rodriguez Castro, Y. Education and Catholic religiosity as
- predictors of hostile and benevolent sexism towards women and men. Sex Roles 47, 433–441
- 780 (2002).
- 781 50. Mikołajczak, M. & Pietrzak, J. Ambivalent Sexism and Religion: Connected Through
- 782 Values. Sex Roles **70**, 387–399 (2014).
- 783 51. Burn, S. M. & Busso, J. Ambivalent Sexism, Scriptural Literalism, and Religiosity.
- 784 *Psychol. Women Q.* **29**, 412–418 (2005).
- 785 52. Maitner, A. T. & Henry, P. J. Ambivalent sexism in the United Arab Emirates:
- Quantifying gender attitudes in a rapidly modernizing society. *Group Process. Intergroup*
- 787 *Relat.* **21**, 831–843 (2018).
- 788 53. Taşdemir, N. & Sakallı-Uğurlu, N. The Relationships between Ambivalent Sexism
- and Religiosity among Turkish University Students. Sex Roles **62**, 420–426 (2010).
- 790 54. Haggard, M. C., Kaelen, R., Saroglou, V., Klein, O. & Rowatt, W. C. Religion's role
- 791 in the illusion of gender equality: Supraliminal and subliminal religious priming increases
- benevolent sexism. *Psychol. Relig. Spiritual.* **11**, 392–398 (2019).

- 793 55. Inglehart, R. Religion's sudden decline: what's causing it, and what comes next?
- 794 (Oxford University Press, 2021).
- 795 56. Hellmer, K., Stenson, J. T. & Jylhä, K. M. What's (not) underpinning ambivalent
- sexism?: Revisiting the roles of ideology, religiosity, personality, demographics, and men's
- facial hair in explaining hostile and benevolent sexism. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* **122**, 29–37
- 798 (2018).
- 799 57. Sibley, C. G., Wilson, M. S. & Duckitt, J. Antecedents of Men's Hostile and
- 800 Benevolent Sexism: The Dual Roles of Social Dominance Orientation and Right-Wing
- 801 Authoritarianism. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull.* **33**, 160–172 (2007).
- 802 58. Phelan, J. E., Sanchez, D. T. & Broccoli, T. L. The Danger in Sexism: The Links
- Among Fear of Crime, Benevolent Sexism, and Well-being. Sex Roles 62, 35–47 (2010).
- 804 59. Fitzgerald, H. N., McDonald, R., Thomas, R. & Shook, N. J. Disease avoidance: A
- predictor of sexist attitudes toward females. Curr. Psychol. (2021) Advance online
- 806 publication. doi:10.1007/s12144-020-01343-6.
- 807 60. Valved, T., Kosakowska-Berezecka, N., Besta, T. & Martiny, S. E. Gender belief
- systems through the lens of culture—Differences in precarious manhood beliefs and reactions
- to masculinity threat in Poland and Norway. *Psychol. Men Masculinities* **22**, 265–276 (2021).
- 810 61. Fisher, M. I. & Hammond, M. D. Personal Ties and Prejudice: A Meta-Analysis of
- Romantic Attachment and Ambivalent Sexism. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 45, 1084–1098
- 812 (2019).
- 813 62. Glick, P., Diebold, J., Bailey-Werner, B. & Zhu, L. The Two Faces of Adam:
- Ambivalent Sexism and Polarized Attitudes Toward Women. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 23,
- 815 1323–1334 (1997).
- 816 63. Masser, B. & Abrams, D. Reinforcing the glass ceiling: The consequences of hostile
- sexism for female managerial candidates. Sex Roles **51**, 609–615 (2004).
- 818 64. Kahn, K. B., van Breen, J. A., Barreto, M. & Kaiser, C. R. When is women's
- benevolent sexism associated with support for other women's agentic responses to gender-
- 820 based threat? *Br. J. Soc. Psychol.* **60**, 786–807 (2021).
- 821 65. Huang, Y., Sibley, C. G. & Osborne, D. Breast is Best, but Where? Hostile Sexism
- Underlies Men's Opposition to Breastfeeding in Public. J. Soc. Issues 76, 219–238 (2020).
- 823 66. Zaikman, Y. & Marks, M. J. Ambivalent Sexism and the Sexual Double Standard. Sex
- 824 *Roles* **71**, 333–344 (2014).
- Forbes, G. B., Adams-Curtis, L. E., Hamm, N. R. & White, K. B. Perceptions of the
- woman who breastfeeds: The role of erotophobia, sexism, and attitudinal variables. Sex Roles
- **49**, 379–388 (2003).
- 828 68. Sakalh-Uğurlu, N. & Glick, P. Ambivalent sexism and attitudes toward women who
- engage in premarital sex in Turkey. *J. Sex Res.* **40**, 296–302 (2003).

- 830 69. Blumell, L. E., Huemmer, J. & Sternadori, M. Protecting the Ladies: Benevolent
- 831 Sexism, Heteronormativity, and Partisanship in Online Discussions of Gender-Neutral
- 832 Bathrooms. *Mass Commun. Soc.* **22**, 365–388 (2019).
- 833 70. Carnaghi, A., Maass, A. & Fasoli, F. Enhancing Masculinity by Slandering
- Homosexuals: The Role of Homophobic Epithets in Heterosexual Gender Identity. *Pers. Soc.*
- 835 *Psychol. Bull.* **37**, 1655–1665 (2011).
- 836 71. Rollè, L., Sechi, C., Santoniccolo, F., Trombetta, T. & Brustia, P. The Relationship
- 837 Between Sexism, Affective States, and Attitudes Toward Homosexuality in a Sample of
- Heterosexual Italian People. Sex. Res. Soc. Policy 19, 194–206 (2022).
- 839 72. Bosson, J. K., Prewitt-Freilino, J. L. & Taylor, J. N. Role Rigidity: A Problem of
- Identity Misclassification? J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 89, 552–565 (2005).
- Rudman, L. A., Mescher, K. & Moss-Racusin, C. A. Reactions to gender egalitarian
- men: Perceived feminization due to stigma-by-association. *Group Process. Intergroup Relat.*
- **16**, 572–599 (2013).
- 844 74. Konopka, K., Rajchert, J., Dominiak-Kochanek, M. & Roszak, J. The Role of
- Masculinity Threat in Homonegativity and Transphobia. *J. Homosex.* **68**, 802–829 (2021).
- 846 75. Uluboy, Z. & Husnu, S. Turkish Speaking Young Adults Attitudes Toward
- Transgender Individuals: Transphobia, Homophobia and Gender Ideology. J. Homosex. 69,
- 848 101–119 (2022).
- 849 76. Barreto, M., Ellemers, N., Piebinga, L. & Moya, M. How Nice of Us and How Dumb
- of Me: The Effect of Exposure to Benevolent Sexism on Women's Task and Relational Self-
- 851 Descriptions. Sex Roles **62**, 532–544 (2010).
- Dumont, M., Sarlet, M. & Dardenne, B. Be Too Kind to a Woman, She'll Feel
- 853 Incompetent: Benevolent Sexism Shifts Self-construal and Autobiographical Memories
- 854 Toward Incompetence. *Sex Roles* **62**, 545–553 (2010).
- Forbes, G. B., Collinsworth, L. L., Jobe, R. L., Braun, K. D. & Wise, L. M. Sexism,
- Hostility toward Women, and Endorsement of Beauty Ideals and Practices: Are Beauty Ideals
- Associated with Oppressive Beliefs? Sex Roles **56**, 265–273 (2007).
- 858 79. Calogero, R. M. & Jost, J. T. Self-subjugation among women: Exposure to sexist
- ideology, self-objectification, and the protective function of the need to avoid closure. J.
- 860 *Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **100**, 211–228 (2011).
- 861 80. Forbes, G. B. et al. Body Dissatisfaction in College Women and Their Mothers:
- 862 Cohort Effects, Developmental Effects, and the Influences of Body Size, Sexism, and the
- 863 Thin Body Ideal. Sex Roles **53**, 281–298 (2005).
- 864 81. Forbes, G. B. et al. Body Dissatisfaction and Disordered Eating in Three Cultures:
- 865 Argentina, Brazil, and the U.S. Sex Roles **66**, 677–694 (2012).
- 866 82. Franzoi, S. L. Is female body esteem shaped by benevolent sexism? Sex Roles 44,
- 867 177–188 (2001).

- 868 83. Oswald, D. L., Franzoi, S. L. & Frost, K. A. Experiencing Sexism and Young
- 869 Women's Body Esteem. J. Soc. Clin. Psychol. **31**, 1112–1137 (2012).
- 870 84. Atkins, D. Looking Queer. (Routledge, 2012).
- 85. Molix, L. Sex Differences in Cardiovascular Health: Does Sexism Influence
- 872 Women's Health? *Am. J. Med. Sci.* **348**, 153–155 (2014).
- 873 86. Vogel, B. et al. The Lancet women and cardiovascular disease Commission: reducing
- the global burden by 2030. *The Lancet* **397**, 2385–2438 (2021).
- 875 87. Lamarche, V. M., Seery, M. D., Kondrak, C. L., Saltsman, T. L. & Streamer, L.
- 876 Clever girl: Benevolent sexism and cardiovascular threat. *Biol. Psychol.* **149**, 107781 (2020).
- 877 88. Salomon, K., Burgess, K. D. & Bosson, J. K. Flash fire and slow burn: Women's
- 878 cardiovascular reactivity and recovery following hostile and benevolent sexism. *J. Exp.*
- 879 Psychol. Gen. 144, 469–479 (2015).
- This is one of the few papers that examines physiological responses to ambivalent sexism, paving
- the way for improved understanding of their impact on women's health.
- 882 89. Dardenne, B. et al. Benevolent sexism alters executive brain responses. NeuroReport
- **24**, 572–577 (2013).
- Himmelstein, M. S., Kramer, B. L. & Springer, K. W. Stress in strong convictions:
- Precarious manhood beliefs moderate cortisol reactivity to masculinity threats. *Psychol. Men*
- 886 *Masculinities* **20**, 491–502 (2019).
- 91. Pacilli, M. G., Spaccatini, F., Giovannelli, I., Centrone, D. & Roccato, M. System
- iustification moderates the relation between hostile (but not benevolent) sexism in the
- workplace and state anxiety: An experimental study. J. Soc. Psychol. 159, 474–481 (2019).
- 890 92. Spaccatini, F. & Roccato, M. The Palliative Function of Sexism: Individual Sexism
- 891 Buffers the Relationship Between Exposure to Workplace Sexism and Psychological
- 892 Distress. Sex. Cult. 25, 767–785 (2021).
- 893 93. Lemonaki, E., Manstead, A. S. R. & Maio, G. R. Hostile sexism (de)motivates
- women's social competition intentions: The contradictory role of emotions. Br. J. Soc.
- 895 *Psychol.* **54**, 483–499 (2015).
- 896 94. Bosson, J. K. & Vandello, J. A. Precarious Manhood and Its Links to Action and
- 897 Aggression. Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 20, 82–86 (2011).
- 898 95. Begany, J. J. & Milburn, M. A. Psychological predictors of sexual harassment:
- Authoritarianism, hostile sexism, and rape myths. *Psychol. Men Masculinity* **3**, 119–126
- 900 (2002).
- 901 96. Russell, B. L. & Trigg, K. Y. Tolerance of Sexual Harassment: An Examination of
- 902 Gender Differences, Ambivalent Sexism, Social Dominance, and Gender Roles. Sex Roles
- 903 **50**, 565–573 (2004).
- 904 97. Abrams, D., Viki, G. T., Masser, B. & Bohner, G. Perceptions of stranger and
- acquaintance rape: The role of benevolent and hostile sexism in victim blame and rape
- 906 proclivity. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. **84**, 111–125 (2003).

- 907 98. Chapleau, K. M., Oswald, D. L. & Russell, B. L. How Ambivalent Sexism Toward
- 908 Women and Men Support Rape Myth Acceptance. Sex Roles 57, 131–136 (2007).
- 909 99. Viki, G. T., Abrams, D. & Masser, B. Evaluating stranger and acquaintance rape: The
- orole of benevolent sexism in perpetrator blame and recommended sentence length. Law Hum.
- 911 *Behav.* **28**, 295–303 (2004).
- 912 100. Brownhalls, J. et al. Make it Safe at Night or Teach Women to Fight? Sexism Predicts
- Views on Men's and Women's Responsibility to Reduce Men's Violence Toward Women.
- 914 *Sex Roles* **84**, 183–195 (2021).
- 915 101. Brown-Iannuzzi, J. L., Cooley, E., Cipolli, W. & Mehta, S. Race, Ambivalent Sexism,
- and Perceptions of Situations When Police Shoot Black Women. Soc. Psychol. Personal. Sci.
- 917 **13**, 127–138 (2022).
- 918 102. Rudman, L. A. & Mescher, K. Of Animals and Objects: Men's Implicit
- 919 Dehumanization of Women and Likelihood of Sexual Aggression. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull.
- 920 **38**, 734–746 (2012).
- 921 103. Cikara, M., Eberhardt, J. L. & Fiske, S. T. From Agents to Objects: Sexist Attitudes
- and Neural Responses to Sexualized Targets. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* **23**, 540–551 (2011).
- 923 104. Viki, G. T. & Abrams, D. Infra-humanization: Ambivalent sexism and the attribution
- of primary and secondary emotions to women. J. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 39, 492–499 (2003).
- 925 105. Connor, R. A. & Fiske, S. T. Not Minding the Gap: How Hostile Sexism Encourages
- 926 Choice Explanations for the Gender Income Gap. *Psychol. Women Q.* **43**, 22–36 (2019).
- 927 106. Sakalli-Ugurlu, N. & Beydogan, B. Turkish College Students' Attitudes Toward
- 928 Women Managers: The Effects of Patriarchy, Sexism, and Gender Differences. J. Psychol.
- 929 **136**, 647–656 (2002).
- 930 107. King, E. B. et al. Benevolent Sexism at Work: Gender Differences in the Distribution
- of Challenging Developmental Experiences. J. Manag. 38, 1835–1866 (2012).
- 932 108. Biernat, M., Tocci, M. J. & Williams, J. C. The Language of Performance
- 933 Evaluations: Gender-Based Shifts in Content and Consistency of Judgment. Soc. Psychol.
- 934 *Personal. Sci.* **3**, 186–192 (2012).
- 935 109. Vescio, T. K., Gervais, S. J., Snyder, M. & Hoover, A. Power and the Creation of
- Patronizing Environments: The Stereotype-Based Behaviors of the Powerful and Their
- 937 Effects on Female Performance in Masculine Domains. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 88, 658–672
- 938 (2005).
- 939 110. Cassidy, B. S. & Krendl, A. C. A Crisis of Competence: Benevolent Sexism Affects
- Evaluations of Women's Competence. Sex Roles 81, 505–520 (2019).
- 941 111. Shnabel, N., Bar-Anan, Y., Kende, A., Bareket, O. & Lazar, Y. Help to perpetuate
- 942 traditional gender roles: Benevolent sexism increases engagement in dependency-oriented
- 943 cross-gender helping. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **110**, 55–75 (2016).

- 944 112. Reilly, E. D., Rackley, K. R. & Awad, G. H. Perceptions of Male and Female STEM
- 945 Aptitude: The Moderating Effect of Benevolent and Hostile Sexism. J. Career Dev. 44, 159–
- 946 173 (2017).
- 947 113. Good, J. J. & Rudman, L. A. When Female Applicants Meet Sexist Interviewers: The
- Costs of Being a Target of Benevolent Sexism. Sex Roles 62, 481–493 (2010).
- 949 114. Hideg, I. & Ferris, D. L. The compassionate sexist? How benevolent sexism promotes
- and undermines gender equality in the workplace. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 111, 706–727
- 951 (2016).
- This article provides an excellent empirical analysis of how benevolent sexism influences women's
- 953 workplace outcomes.
- 954 115. Farkas, T. & Leaper, C. Chivalry's Double-edged Sword: How Girls' and Boys'
- Paternalistic Attitudes Relate to Their Possible Family and Work Selves. Sex Roles 74, 220–
- 956 230 (2016).
- 957 116. Fernández, M. L., Castro, Y. R., Otero, M. C., Foltz, M. L. & Lorenzo, M. G. Sexism,
- 958 Vocational Goals, and Motivation as Predictors of Men's and Women's Career Choice. Sex
- 959 *Roles* **55**, 267–272 (2006).
- 960 117. Montañés, P. et al. Intergenerational Transmission of Benevolent Sexism from
- 961 Mothers to Daughters and its Relation to Daughters' Academic Performance and Goals. Sex
- 962 *Roles* **66**, 468–478 (2012).
- 963 118. Gervais, S. J. & Vescio, T. K. The Effect of Patronizing Behavior and Control on
- Men and Women's Performance in Stereotypically Masculine Domains. Sex Roles 66, 479–
- 965 491 (2012).
- 966 119. Jones, K. et al. Negative consequence of benevolent sexism on efficacy and
- 967 performance. Gend. Manag. Int. J. 29, 171–189 (2014).
- 968 120. Dardenne, B., Dumont, M. & Bollier, T. Insidious dangers of benevolent sexism:
- 969 Consequences for women's performance. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 93, 764–779 (2007).
- 970 121. Hideg, I. & Shen, W. Why Still so Few? A Theoretical Model of the Role of
- 971 Benevolent Sexism and Career Support in the Continued Underrepresentation of Women in
- 972 Leadership Positions. *J. Leadersh. Organ. Stud.* **26**, 287–303 (2019).
- 973 122. Wakefield, J. R. H., Hopkins, N. & Greenwood, R. M. Thanks, But No Thanks:
- Women's Avoidance of Help-Seeking in the Context of a Dependency-Related Stereotype.
- 975 *Psychol. Women Q.* **36**, 423–431 (2012).
- 976 123. Samulowitz, A., Gremyr, I., Eriksson, E. & Hensing, G. "Brave Men" and "Emotional
- 977 Women": A Theory-Guided Literature Review on Gender Bias in Health Care and Gendered
- 978 Norms towards Patients with Chronic Pain. Pain Res. Manag. 2018, 1–14 (2018).
- 979 124. Prego-Jimenez, S. et al. The Impact of Sexism and Gender Stereotypes on the
- 980 Legitimization of Women's Low Back Pain. Pain Manag. Nurs. S1524-9042(22)000972-2
- 981 (2022) Advance online publication. doi:10.1016/j.pmn.2022.03.008.

- 982 125. Lee, J., Hardesty, L. A., Kunzler, N. M. & Rosenkrantz, A. B. Direct Interactive
- 983 Public Education by Breast Radiologists About Screening Mammography: Impact on Anxiety
- 984 and Empowerment. J. Am. Coll. Radiol. 13, 12–20 (2016).
- 985 126. Sutton, R. M., Douglas, K. M. & McClellan, L. M. Benevolent Sexism, Perceived
- Health Risks, and the Inclination to Restrict Pregnant Women's Freedoms. Sex Roles 65,
- 987 596–605 (2011).
- 988 127. Huang, Y., Davies, P. G., Sibley, C. G. & Osborne, D. Benevolent Sexism, Attitudes
- 989 Toward Motherhood, and Reproductive Rights: A Multi-Study Longitudinal Examination of
- 990 Abortion Attitudes. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull.* **42**, 970–984 (2016).
- 991 128. Osborne, D. & Davies, P. G. When benevolence backfires: Benevolent sexists'
- opposition to elective and traumatic abortion. J. Appl. Soc. Psychol. 42, 291–307 (2012).
- 993 129. Alotey, P., Ravindran, S. & Sathivelu, V. Trends in abortion policies in Low- and
- 994 Middle-Income Countries. Annu. Rev. Public Health 42, 505–518 (2021).
- 995 130. Greubel, A. D. Benevolent Sexism in the Targeted Regulation of Abortion Providers
- 996 (TRAP): A Case Study of Texas House Bill 2. Sex Roles, **85**, 1-11 (2021).
- 997 131. Vilda, D. et al. State Abortion Policies and Maternal Death in the United States,
- 998 2015–2018. Am. J. Public Health 111, 1696–1704 (2021).
- 999 132. Duerksen, K. N. & Lawson, K. L. "Not Brain-washed, but Heart-washed": A
- 1000 Qualitative Analysis of Benevolent Sexism in the Anti-Choice Stance. Int. J. Behav. Med. 24,
- 1001 864–870 (2017).
- 1002 133. Rachlinski, J. J. & Wistrich, A. J. Benevolent sexism in judges. San Diego Law Rev.
- **58**, 101–142 (2021).
- 1004 134. Jackman, M. R. The velvet glove: Paternalism and conflict in gender, class, and race
- 1005 relations. (University of California Press, 1994).
- 1006 This is a classic analysis of paternalism in three different intergroup contexts, which inspired
- ambivalent sexism theory and continues to inspire understandings of the complexity of prejudice.
- 1008 135. Hammond, M. D. & Overall, N. C. Dynamics Within Intimate Relationships and the
- 1009 Causes, Consequences, and Functions of Sexist Attitudes. Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 26, 120–
- 1010 125 (2017).
- 1011 136. Lamont, E. Negotiating Courtship: Reconciling Egalitarian Ideals with Traditional
- 1012 Gender Norms. Gend. Soc. 28, 189–211 (2014).
- 1013 137. Gul, P. & Kupfer, T. R. Benevolent Sexism and Mate Preferences: Why Do Women
- 1014 Prefer Benevolent Men Despite Recognizing That They Can Be Undermining? Pers. Soc.
- 1015 *Psychol. Bull.* **45**, 146–161 (2019).
- 1016 138. Cross, E. J. & Overall, N. C. Women's attraction to benevolent sexism: Needing
- relationship security predicts greater attraction to men who endorse benevolent sexism. *Eur.*
- 1018 J. Soc. Psychol. 48, 336–347 (2018).

- 1019 139. Lee, T. L., Fiske, S. T., Glick, P. & Chen, Z. Ambivalent Sexism in Close
- 1020 Relationships: (Hostile) Power and (Benevolent) Romance Shape Relationship Ideals. Sex
- 1021 Roles **62**, 583–601 (2010).
- 1022 140. Travaglia, L. K., Overall, N. C. & Sibley, C. G. Benevolent and Hostile Sexism and
- preferences for romantic partners. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* **47**, 599–604 (2009).
- 1024 141. Paynter, A. & Leaper, C. Heterosexual Dating Double Standards in Undergraduate
- 1025 Women and Men. Sex Roles **75**, 393–406 (2016).
- 1026 142. Silván-Ferrero, M. del P. & Bustillos López, A. Benevolent Sexism Toward Men and
- Women: Justification of the Traditional System and Conventional Gender Roles in Spain. Sex
- 1028 Roles **57**, 607–614 (2007).
- 1029 143. Chen, Z., Fiske, S. T. & Lee, T. L. Ambivalent Sexism and Power-Related Gender-
- 1030 role Ideology in Marriage. Sex Roles **60**, 765–778 (2009).
- 1031 144. Gaunt, R. & Pinho, M. Do Sexist Mothers Change More Diapers? Ambivalent
- Sexism, Maternal Gatekeeping, and the Division of Childcare. Sex Roles 79, 176–189 (2018).
- 1033 145. Bareket, O., Shnabel, N., Kende, A., Knab, N. & Bar-Anan, Y. Need some help,
- honey? Dependency-oriented helping relations between women and men in the domestic
- 1035 sphere. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. **120**, 1175–1203 (2021).
- 1036 146. Overall, N. C. & Hammond, M. D. How Intimate Relationships Contribute to Gender
- 1037 Inequality: Sexist Attitudes Encourage Women to Trade Off Career Success for Relationship
- Security. *Policy Insights Behav. Brain Sci.* **5**, 40–48 (2018).
- 1039 147. Fitz, C. C. & Zucker, A. N. Everyday Exposure to Benevolent Sexism and Condom
- 1040 Use Among College Women. *Women Health* **55**, 245–262 (2015).
- 1041 148. Harris, E. A., Hornsey, M. J. & Barlow, F. K. On the Link Between Benevolent
- Sexism and Orgasm Frequency in Heterosexual Women. Arch. Sex. Behav. 45, 1923–1931
- 1043 (2016).
- 1044 149. Harris, E. A., Hornsey, M. J., Larsen, H. F. & Barlow, F. K. Beliefs About Gender
- 1045 Predict Faking Orgasm in Heterosexual Women. Arch. Sex. Behav. 48, 2419–2433 (2019).
- 1046 150. Chisango, T., Mayekiso, T. & Thomae, M. The social nature of benevolent sexism
- and the antisocial nature of hostile sexism: Is benevolent sexism more likely to manifest in
- public contexts and hostile sexism in private contexts?: Antisocial and social sexism *Int. J.*
- 1049 *Psychol.* **50**, 363–371 (2015).
- 1050 151. Hammond, M. D., Overall, N. C. & Cross, E. J. Internalizing sexism within close
- relationships: Perceptions of intimate partners' benevolent sexism promote women's
- endorsement of benevolent sexism. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 110, 214–238 (2016).
- 1053 This particularly interesting paper focuses on sexism within couples, demonstrating the dynamic
- and interactive nature of these attitudes.
- 1055 152. Hammond, M. D. & Overall, N. C. Men's Hostile Sexism and Biased Perceptions of
- Partners' Support: Underestimating Dependability Rather Than Overestimating Challenges to
- 1057 Dominance. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 46, 1491–1506 (2020).

- 1058 153. Leaper, C., Gutierrez, B. C. & Farkas, T. Ambivalent Sexism and Reported
- 1059 Relationship Qualities in Emerging Adult Heterosexual Dating Couples. *Emerg. Adulthood*,
- 1060 10, 776-787 (2020).
- 1061 154. Cross, E. J., Overall, N. C., Low, R. S. T. & McNulty, J. K. An interdependence
- account of sexism and power: Men's hostile sexism, biased perceptions of low power, and
- 1063 relationship aggression. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **117**, 338–363 (2019).
- 1064 155. Overall, N. C., Chang, V. T., Cross, E. J., Low, R. S. T. & Henderson, A. M. E. Sexist
- attitudes predict family-based aggression during a COVID-19 lockdown. J. Fam. Psychol. 35
- 1066 1043-1052 (2021).
- 1067 156. Alvarez, C., Lameiras-Fernandez, M., Holliday, C. N., Sabri, B. & Campbell, J.
- Latina and Caribbean Immigrant Women's Experiences With Intimate Partner Violence: A
- Story of Ambivalent Sexism. J. Interpers. Violence 36, 3831–3854 (2021).
- 1070 157. Hammond, M. D. & Overall, N. C. Benevolent Sexism and Support of Romantic
- 1071 Partner's Goals: Undermining Women's Competence While Fulfilling Men's Intimacy
- 1072 Needs. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 41, 1180–1194 (2015).
- 1073 158. Hammond, M. D. & Overall, N. C. When relationships do not live up to benevolent
- ideals: Women's benevolent sexism and sensitivity to relationship problems: Women's
- sexism and sensitivity to relationship problems. Eur. J. Soc. Psychol. 43, 212–223 (2013).
- 1076 159. Hammond, M. D. & Overall, N. C. Endorsing benevolent sexism magnifies
- willingness to dissolve relationships when facing partner-ideal discrepancies: Benevolent
- sexism and partner-ideal discrepancies. *Pers. Relatsh.* **21**, 272–287 (2014).
- 1079 160. Cross, E. J., Overall, N. C. & Hammond, M. D. Perceiving Partners to Endorse
- 1080 Benevolent Sexism Attenuates Highly Anxious Women's Negative Reactions to Conflict.
- 1081 *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull.* **42**, 923–940 (2016).
- 1082 161. Hashim, P. H., Michniewicz, K. & Richmond, K. Attitudes Toward Transnational
- Surrogacy, Ambivalent Sexism, and Views on Financial Allocation. Womens Reprod. Health
- 1084 1–17 (2022) Advance online publication.doi:10.1080/23293691.2022.2062923.
- 1085 162. Li, D. & Zheng, L. Intimate Partner Violence and Controlling Behavior Among Male
- Same-Sex Relationships in China: Relationship With Ambivalent Sexism. *J. Interpers*.
- 1087 *Violence* **36**, 208–230 (2021).
- 1088 163. Zhao, R. & Zheng, Y. Child Development in Same-Sex Families: Beliefs of Chinese
- Lesbians, Gays, and Heterosexuals. Sex. Res. Soc. Policy 18, 588–597 (2021).
- 1090 164. Ortiz-Ospira, E. & Tzvetkova, S. Working women: Key facts and trends in female
- 1091 labor force participation. (2017). Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/female-labor-
- 1092 force-participation-key-facts
- 1093 165. Lippa, R. A., Preston, K. & Penner, J. Women's Representation in 60 Occupations
- from 1972 to 2010: More Women in High-Status Jobs, Few Women in Things-Oriented Jobs.
- 1095 *PLoS ONE* **9**, e95960 (2014).

- 1096 166. Eagly, A. H., Nater, C., Miller, D. I., Kaufmann, M. & Sczesny, S. Gender
- stereotypes have changed: A cross-temporal meta-analysis of U.S. public opinion polls from
- 1098 1946 to 2018. Am. Psychol. **75**, 301–315 (2020).
- 1099 167. Donnelly, K. et al. Attitudes Toward Women's Work and Family Roles in the United
- 1100 States, 1976–2013. Psychol. Women Q. 40, 41–54 (2016).
- 1101 168. Hegarty, P., Ansara, Y. G. & Barker, M.-J. Nonbinary gender identities. In *Gender*,
- sex, and sexualities: Psychological perspectives 53–76 (Oxford University Press, 2018).
- 1103 169. Xiao, L. & Wang, F. Examining the Links Between Beauty Ideals Internalization, the
- Objectification of Women, and Ambivalent Sexism Among Chinese Women: The Effects of
- 1105 Sexual Orientation. *Arch. Sex. Behav.* **50**, 553–562 (2021).
- 1106 170. Aktan, T. & Yalçındağ, B. Çelişik Duygulu Cinsiyetçilik Ölçeğinin (ÇDCÖ) Yeniden
- 1107 Gözden Geçirilmesi: Korumacı Cinsiyetçiliğin Yapı Geçerliliği ve ÇDCÖ'nün Ölçüm
- 1108 Değişmezliği. *Psikol. Çalışmaları Stud. Psychol.* (2022). DOI:10.26650/SP2022-820401
- 1109 171. Trut, V., Sinovčić, P. & Milavić, B. Initial Validation of the Ambivalent Sexism
- 1110 Inventory in a Military Setting. Soc. Sci. 11, 176 (2022).
- 1111 172. McMahon, J. M. & Kahn, K. B. Benevolent racism? The impact of target race on
- ambivalent sexism. *Group Process. Intergroup Relat.* **19**, 169–183 (2016).
- 1113 173. Blumell, L. E. & Rodriguez, N. S. Ambivalent Sexism and Gay Men in the US and
- 1114 UK. Sex. Cult. 24, 209–229 (2020).
- 1115 174. Charlesworth, T. E. S. & Banaji, M. R. Patterns of Implicit and Explicit Stereotypes
- 1116 III: Long-Term Change in Gender Stereotypes. Soc. Psychol. Personal. Sci. 13, 14–26
- 1117 (2022).
- 1118 175. Kite, M. E., Togans, L. J. & Schultz, T. J. Stability or Change? A Cross-Cultural
- Look at Attitudes Toward Sexual and Gender Identity Minorities. In Cross-Cultural
- 1120 *Psychology* (ed. Keith, K. D.) 427–448 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2019).
- 1121 176. Azevedo, F., Jost, J. T., Rothmund, T. & Sterling, J. Neoliberal Ideology and the
- Justification of Inequality in Capitalist Societies: Why Social and Economic Dimensions of
- Ideology Are Intertwined: Neoliberal Ideology and Justification. J. Soc. Issues 75, 49–88
- 1124 (2019).
- 1125 177. Girerd, L. & Bonnot, V. Neoliberalism: An Ideological Barrier to Feminist
- 1126 Identification and Collective Action. Soc. Justice Res. 33, 81–109 (2020).
- 1127 178. Hammond, M. D., Milojev, P., Huang, Y. & Sibley, C. G. Benevolent Sexism and
- Hostile Sexism Across the Ages. Soc. Psychol. Personal. Sci. 9, 863–874 (2018).
- 1129 179. Huang, Y., Osborne, D. & Sibley, C. G. The Gradual Move Toward Gender Equality:
- 1130 A 7-Year Latent Growth Model of Ambivalent Sexism. Soc. Psychol. Personal. Sci. 10, 335–
- 1131 344 (2019).
- 1132 180. Gomes, A., Gonçalves, G., Sousa, C., Santos, J. & Giger, J.-C. Are We Getting Less
- 1133 Sexist? A Ten-Year Gap Comparison Analysis of Sexism in a Portuguese Sample. *Psychol.*
- 1134 Rep., **125**, 2160-2177 (2022).

- 1135 181. Jones, C. W., Mitchell, J. S. & Martin, J. D. Ambivalent Sexism? Shifting Patterns of
- 1136 Gender Bias in Five Arab Countries. *Int. Stud. Q.* **65**, 277–293 (2021).
- 1137 182. Lemonaki, E., Manstead, A. S. R. & Maio, G. R. Hostile sexism (de)motivates
- women's social competition intentions: The contradictory role of emotions. Br. J. Soc.
- 1139 *Psychol.* **54**, 483–499 (2015).
- 1140 183. Mukkamala, S. & Suyemoto, K. L. Racialized sexism/sexualized racism: A
- multimethod study of intersectional experiences of discrimination for Asian American
- 1142 women. *Asian Am. J. Psychol.* **9**, 32–46 (2018).
- 1143 184. Buchanan, N. T. & Ormerod, A. J. Racialized Sexual Harassment in the Lives of
- 1144 African American Women. Women Ther. 25, 107–124 (2002).
- 1145 185. Esqueda, C. W. & Harrison, L. A. The Influence of Gender Role Stereotypes, the
- Woman's Race, and Level of Provocation and Resistance on Domestic Violence Culpability
- 1147 Attributions. Sex Roles **53**, 821–834 (2005).
- 1148 186. Keddie, A. Disrupting (gendered) Islamophobia: the practice of feminist ijtihad to
- support the agency of young Muslim women. J. Gend. Stud. 27, 522–533 (2018).
- 1150 187. Hamzeh, M. Pedagogies of de-veiling: Muslim girls and the hijab discourse.
- 1151 (Information Age Publishin, 2012).
- 1152 188. Gaunt, R. Breadwinning Moms, Caregiving Dads: Double Standard in Social
- Judgments of Gender Norm Violators. J. Fam. Issues **34**, 3–24 (2013).
- 1154 189. Bills, M. A. & Hayes, B. E. The Association between Adherence to Sexist Beliefs and
- 1155 Traditional Family Norms, Religion, and Attitudes toward Sexual Minorities. *J. Homosex.*
- **69**, 499-524 (2022).
- 1157 190. Davies, M. Correlates of negative attitudes toward gay men: Sexism, male role norms,
- and male sexuality. *J. Sex Res.* **41**, 259–266 (2004).
- 1159 191. Sakalli, N. The Relationship Between Sexism and Attitudes Toward Homosexuality
- in a Sample of Turkish College Students. J. Homosex. 42, 53–64 (2002).
- 1161 192. Jordan, J. A., Lawler, J. R. & Bosson, J. K. Ambivalent Classism: The Importance of
- Assessing Hostile and Benevolent Ideologies about Poor People. *Basic Appl. Soc. Psychol.*
- **43**, 46–67 (2021).
- 1164 193. Cary, L. A., Chasteen, A. L. & Remedios, J. The Ambivalent Ageism Scale:
- Developing and Validating a Scale to Measure Benevolent and Hostile Ageism. *The*
- 1166 *Gerontologist* **57**, e27-e36 (2016).
- 1167 194. Nario-Redmond, M. R., Kemerling, A. A. & Silverman, A. Hostile, Benevolent, and
- Ambivalent Ableism: Contemporary Manifestations. J. Soc. Issues 75, 726–756 (2019).
- 1169 195. Cuddy, A. J. C. et al. Stereotype content model across cultures: Towards universal
- similarities and some differences. Br. J. Soc. Psychol. 48, 1–33 (2009).

Table 1. Components of hostile and benevolent sexism and example items from the

ambivalent sexism inventory²⁴

1174

Overarching	Hostile sexism		Benevolent sexism	
Component				
	Component	Example	Component	Example
		item		item
Paternalism	Dominative:	'Women	Protective:	'Women
	Defending	seek to gain	Restricting women's	should be
	men's power	power by	access to resources	cherished and
	over women.	getting	and freedoms to	protected by
		control over	protect them.	men.'
		men.'		
Gender	Competitive:	'Women	Complementary:	'Women,
differentiation	Portraying	exaggerate	Ascribing positive	compared to
	qualities	problems	traits to women in	men, have a
	necessary for	they have at	domains that are	superior
	high status	work.'	inconsequential for	moral
	positions as		status and power.	sensibility.'
	unique to men.			
Heterosexuality	Hostile:	'Once a	Intimate: Idealizing	'Every man
	Controlling	woman gets	women as romantic	ought to have
	women's	a man to	partners.	a woman
	sexuality and	commit to		whom he
	fearing its use	her, she		adores.'
		usually tries		

to manipulate	to put him on	
men.	a tight	
	leash.'	

Table 2. Summary of key effects of benevolent and hostile sexism

Domain Associations with hostile		Associations with	
	sexism	benevolent sexism	
Gender roles	Negative attitudes towards	Positive attitudes towards	
	men and women who	men and women who	
	behave non-traditionally ⁶²	behave traditionally ²⁴	
Self-views	Body dissatisfaction ⁸⁰	Stereotypical self-	
		descriptions ⁷⁶ and body	
		dissatisfaction ⁸⁰	
Affect and	Increased stress response ⁸⁸	Delayed stress recovery ⁸⁸	
physiology	and anger ¹⁸²	and anxiety ⁹¹	
Violence toward	Belief victims of sexual	Belief victims of sexual	
women	assault actually want sex ⁹⁷	assault have behaved	
		inappropriately ⁹⁹	
Careers	Fewer hiring	Stereotypical career	
	recommendations for	choices, 115 reduced self-	
	women ⁶³ and less support	efficacy, 119 and more	
	for female managers ¹⁰⁶	dependency-oriented	
		support for women at	
		work, ¹¹¹ leading women to	
		be perceived as	
		incompetent ¹¹²	

Healthcare	Less support for women's	Discouraging women from
	(but not men's) pain	accessing medical
	management ¹²⁴	treatment. ¹²⁵
		Restrictive attitudes towards
		pregnant women ¹²⁶
Legal decisions		More lenient criminal
		sentencing for women than
		men ¹³³

Figure Captions 1181 1182 Figure 1. Predictors of benevolent and hostile sexism. Unique and shared predictors of benevolent and hostile sexism. Results can differ across studies; the predictors represented 1183 1184 here reflect the most consistent associations reported in the literature. 1185 Figure 2. Cardiovascular responses to ambivalent sexism. Women's cardiovascular 1186 systems are relatively more reactive to experiences of hostile sexism, but relatively slower to 1187 recover and return to baseline after experiences of benevolent sexism. This illustration is 1188 based on data from ref 88, where cardiovascular responses were measured by systolic and 1189 1190 diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, cardiac output, pre-ejection period and total peripheral 1191 resistance. 1192 1193

Table 3. Potential ramifications of shifting global context for ambivalent sexism

Contextual shift	Ramifications for	Ramifications for	
	hostile sexism	benevolent sexism	
Greater awareness of how sexism operates might	Render hostile sexism increasingly less acceptable	Render benevolent sexism increasingly less acceptable, but with a slower decline than hostile sexism	
More egalitarian gender roles might	Increase gender competition Increase dominative paternalism to keep women in place	Reduce paternalism and gender complementarity	
	Increase need for cooperation between men and women, reducing hostile sexism overall		
Increased visibility of same- sex couples might	Increase targeting of non- traditional families (such as lesbian mothers) to protect the status quo	Increase efforts to reward traditional families to protect the status quo Encourage progressively more inclusive views of what traditional families consist of, reducing benevolent sexism	
Increased visibility of gender diversity might	Increase targeting of gender non-conforming individuals to protect the gender hierarchy	Increase efforts to reward gender conformity to protect the gender hierarchy Encourage progressively more inclusive views of what gender (and therefore also gender conformity) consists of	

Box 1: How benevolent sexism justifies racist attitudes

Research on ambivalent sexism can contribute to understanding how sexism can exacerbate race inequalities. For example, stereotypes of white American women tend to infantilize them as dependent and helpless (in line with benevolent sexism), whereas stereotypes of Black American women portray them as hypersexualised (in line with hostile sexism), 172 and Asian American women are seen as both hypersexual and submissive. 183 Indeed, racial and ethnic minority women often experience a combination of racism and sexism. 184 This racialized sexual harassment can, in turn, justify their sexual exploitation, increase the extent to which they are blamed for sexual violence, 185 and reduce the extent to which they are willing to complain about sexual harassment for fear of not being believed, or of attracting attention to themselves as targets of sexual attention. 184 Moreover, American students associate whiteness with femininity and blackness with masculinity, which can justify harsher treatment of Black men and women more generally. In addition, stereotypes of strength and aggressiveness contribute to why police officers intervene less frequently on domestic abuse incidents involving Black American women. 185

Muslim women are essentialised by particular understandings of Islam that render them responsible for the family's honour by being modest and chaste. Yet, at the same time, these views of Muslim women are at the core of Western Islamophobic perceptions of Muslim people as oppressive and inferior, with the use of the hijab seen to symbolize women's forceful subjugation. This simultaneously racist and (benevolently) sexist discourse is well encapsulated by the term 'hijabophobia,' which reflects the view of a "submissive and voiceless Muslim woman who needs to be saved from her barbaric and misogynistic religion."

Box 2: Implications for other contexts

Sexist beliefs can be recruited to justify prejudice and discrimination towards sexual and gender minority individuals. By restricting men and women to particular roles and behaviours, sexism discourages other options. ¹⁸⁸ It is therefore not surprising that both hostile and benevolent sexism have been associated with negative attitudes towards homosexuality ^{189–191} and with parenting by same sex couples ⁴⁶ in countries such as England, Turkey, and the United States. In addition, the view that (specific types of) cisgender women are vulnerable and in need of protection from and by men has been core to arguments against gender-neutral public bathrooms. ⁶⁹ These sexist views simultaneously restrict and subjugate women, sexual minority individuals, and transgender people, protecting the status quo and heteronormative male dominance.

Insights regarding ambivalent sexism have inspired research on other types of prejudice, which also have ambivalent characteristics that often combine hostility and benevolence, typically involving paternalism. For example, classism, ^{134,192} ageism, ¹⁹³ and ableism¹⁹⁴ are all rooted in stereotypes of warmth and incompetence in groups characterised by low status and cooperative relationships with more powerful groups. ¹⁹⁵ Just like with sexism, ambivalence leads to polarizing attitudes towards members of these groups, with some offered benevolence (for example, those with visible disabilities, who are perceived as dependent) and others being targets of hostility (such as those with less apparent disabilities, who are perceived as wanting unwarranted special privileges)¹⁹⁴ This conceptualization has further implications, for example underlining the importance of clarifying where the line might lie between paternalism and legitimate help. Indeed, although some individuals might legitimately require a level of care or assistance (for example, individuals with memory impairments), where help goes beyond this required level it can easily become paternalistic, which, by discouraging agency, can accelerate the individual's deterioration.