- 1 **Title**: Prevalence and distribution of ultrasound detected hand synovial abnormalities
- 2 in a middle-aged and older population
- 3
- 4 Authors: Ting Jiang^{1,2,3,4*}, Tuo Yang ^{3,4,5*}, Weiya Zhang ^{3,4}, Michael Doherty ^{3,4},
- 5 Yuqing Zhang^{6,7}, Chao Zeng^{1,8,9}, Aliya Sarmanova¹⁰, Zidan Yang⁸, Jiatian Li, ¹, Yilun
- 6 Wang¹, Yuqing Wang¹, Abasiama D. Obotiba¹¹, Guanghua Lei^{1,8,9}, Jie Wei^{5,8,9,12#}
- 7

8 Authors' affiliations:

- ¹Department of Orthopaedics, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha,
 China;
- ²Department of ultrasonography, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University,
 Changsha, China;
- ¹³ ³Academic Rheumatology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, UK;
- ⁴Pain Centre Versus Arthritis UK, Nottingham, UK;
- ⁵Health Management Center, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha,
 China;
- ⁶Division of Rheumatology, Allergy, and Immunology, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts Concrel Herrital Herrierd Medical School Poston USA:
- 18 Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA;
- ⁷The Mongan Institute, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School,
 Boston, USA;
- ⁸Hunan Key Laboratory of Joint Degeneration and Injury, Xiangya Hospital, Central
 South University, Changsha, China;
- ⁹National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Disorders, Xiangya Hospital, Central
- 24 South University, Changsha, China;
- ¹⁰Musculoskeletal Research Unit, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol,
 UK;
- ¹¹Department of Health and Care Professions, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences,
 University of Exeter, Exeter, UK;
- ²⁹ ¹²Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Xiangya School of Public Health,
- 30 Central South University, Changsha, China.
- 31
- 32 Abstract/Article word count: 250/2,832
- 33
- 34 *Ting Jiang and Tuo Yang contributed equally.
- 35
- #Correspondence to: Associate Professor Jie Wei, Department of Epidemiology and
 Health Statistics, Xiangya School of Public Health, 87 Xiangya Road, Changsha,
- 38 Hunan, China, 410008; <u>weij1988@csu.edu.cn</u>; ORCiD: 0000-0003-3510-8241.
- 39
- 40 Running head: Prevalence and distribution of hand synovial abnormalities

41 ABSTRACT

42 **Objective**

43 Synovial abnormalities are modifiable targets for hand pain and osteoarthritis. We
44 examined the prevalence and distribution of ultrasound-detected hand synovial
45 abnormalities in a community-derived sample of older people in China.

46 Methods

Within the community-based Xiangya Osteoarthritis Study, we assessed synovial hypertrophy (SH), joint effusion, and Power Doppler signal (PDS) on all fingers and thumbs of both hands using standardized ultrasound examinations (score: 0-3). We assessed distribution patterns of SH and effusion using the χ^2 -test and interrelationships of SH and effusion in different joints and hands by generalized estimating equations.

52 **Results**

Among 3,623 participants (mean age: 64.4 years; women: 58.1%), the prevalence of 53 SH, effusion, and PDS were 85.5%, 87.3%, and 1.5%, respectively. Prevalence of SH, 54 55 effusion and PDS increased with age, was higher in the right hand than in the left hand, and was more common in proximal than distal hand joints. SH and effusion often 56 57 occurred in multiple joints (P < 0.001). SH in one joint was strongly associated with the presence of SH in the same joint of the opposite hand (odds ratio [OR]= 6.60, 95% 58 confidence interval [CI]: 6.19-7.03) followed by SH in other joints in the same row, 59 (OR=5.70, 95%CI: 5.32-6.11), and then other joints in the same ray of the same hand 60 (OR=1.49, 95%CI: 1.39-1.60). Similar patterns were observed for effusion. 61

62 **Conclusion**

Hand synovial abnormalities are common among older people, often affect multiple 63 hand joints and present a unique pattern. These findings suggest that both systemic and 64 mechanical factors play roles in their occurrence. 65 66

Key words: Synovial abnormalities; Hand; Prevalence; Distribution; Ultrasonography; 67

General population 68

69 **INTRODUCTION**

Hand pain is common in the middle-aged and older population, with prevalence ranging 70 71 from 14% to 60%[1-4]. Pain from hand osteoarthritis (OA) causes significant disability, functional limitation, and reduced quality of life[2, 5, 6]. Previous studies have 72 demonstrated that synovial abnormality, a potentially modifiable pathological 73 process[7, 8], highly correlates with hand pain[4], and thus has been recommended as 74 an intervention target[7-9]. However, the etiology of hand synovial abnormalities is not 75 76 fully understood, which hinders the development of effective prevention and treatment 77 strategies.

78

Systemic factors, such as systemic inflammation, genetic, metabolic and 79 neurogenic factors, have been postulated to play important roles in the pathogenesis of 80 common chronic hand diseases[10-12]. Studies of patterns of joint involvement of 81 disease in the hands may shed light on our understanding of the etiology. For example, 82 83 the symmetry pattern of hand OA was considered to represent systemic factors, whereas clustering pattern OA by row and by ray may suggest local biomechanical factors[13]. 84 To date, few, if any studies, have studied patterns of imaging-detected hand synovial 85 abnormalities in the general population. Such data are of importance to epidemiology 86 and might help understand the etiology and in developing management strategies. 87

88

In the present study, we described the prevalence of hand synovial abnormalities
using ultrasound and examined joint-involvement patterns of synovial abnormalities in

91 a large population sample.

92

93 METHODS

94 Study design and population

Participants were from the Xiangya Osteoarthritis Study, a population-based 95 longitudinal study of the natural history and risk factors for OA. Participants were a 96 randomly selected sample of residents aged 50 years or older from rural mountainous 97 villages of Longshan County in Hunan Province, China. Besides age, there were no 98 99 other exclusion criteria for residents to participate in the study. In brief, we adopted a probability proportionate to size sampling method to select 14 towns. All villages in the 100 selected towns were listed in random order. Village-to-village recruitment began from 101 102 the first village in the first town until the number of participants in that town met the pre-determined proportion in sex and the age stratum (50-60, 60-70, \geq 70 years) 103 according to the Sixth National Census Data of Longshan County (2010). 104

105

The study consists of three sub-cohorts (i.e., Sub-cohorts I, II, and III). In total, 4,080 (response rate 86.04%) from 25 villages consented to participate at baseline. Subcohort I was recruited in 2015 when 1,469 individuals completed their interviews and clinical examinations. Of these 1,207 and 1,181 participants attended Year 1 (2016) and Year 2 (2017) follow-ups, respectively. To obtain a more accurate estimate of the prevalence and incidence of osteoarthritis, we expanded the original study by recruiting two additional cohorts: i.e., Sub-cohort II in the Year 2018 (n=1,271) and Sub-cohort

113	III in the Year 2019 (n=1,340), respectively, using the same sampling methods described
114	above. The current study population included individuals who were eligible to
115	participate in 2017 (the second-year follow-up of Sub-cohort I), 2018 (baseline of Sub-
116	cohort II), and 2019 (baseline of Sub-cohort III) with hand ultrasound examination.
117	
118	Since the Xiangya Osteoarthritis Study is an observational study, no intervention,
119	such as treatment or behavioral counseling, was implemented in the study. The study
120	was approved by the Research Ethical Committee of Xiangya Hospital, Central South
121	University (201510506), and all participants provided written informed consent before

122 participating in the study.

123

124 Assessment of ultrasound

Bilateral hand ultrasound was performed for participants in Sub-cohort 1 in 2017 (i.e., 125 the second year of follow-up visit), Sub-cohort 2 in 2018 (baseline) and Sub-cohort 3 126 in 2019 (baseline) (Supplemental Figure S1). One trained sonographer, with over ten 127 years' experience in musculoskeletal ultrasonography, performed all ultrasound 128 examinations using a Philips CX30 ultrasound machine with a 7-15 MHz linear 129 transducer. A pulse repetition frequency of 400 Hz was used for PD examination, the 130 gain being adjusted until the background signal was removed. The sonographer was 131 blinded to the results of other assessments. 132

133

134 As Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) recommended[14, 15],

135	bilateral 1st carpometacarpal joint (CMC1), metacarpophalangeal joints 1-5 (MCP1-5),
136	proximal interphalangeal joints 1-5 (PIP1-5) and distal interphalangeal joints 2-5
137	(DIP2-5) were scanned. Synovial hypertrophy (SH), joint effusion and Power Doppler
138	signals (PDS) were assessed on extended joints by longitudinal scanning with swiping
139	of the probe from side to side of the dorsal aspect of MCP, PIP and DIP joints and at
140	the radiopalmar side of CMC1 joints. Additional transverse scanning was undertaken
141	when the presence of pathology was uncertain. SH and effusion were assessed using
142	OMERACT-7 definitions[16], and PDS was defined as flow signal detected within
143	areas of synovial hypertrophy[15, 17, 18]. Each single component (i.e., SH, effusion
144	and PDS) was scored separately using a validated semiquantitative (0-3) grading scale
145	(Supplemental Figure S2-4 and Supplemental Table S1) [19, 20]. A joint was defined
146	as having SH, effusion, or PDS if the feature was scored ≥ 1 . A participant was defined
147	as having hand synovial abnormalities if the synovial feature was scored ≥ 1 in at least
148	one hand joint.

149

Intra-rater reliability was evaluated by scanning 60 randomly selected individuals (120 hands) on two separate days within a 14 day. To assess inter-rater reliability, another reader (a trained orthopedic surgeon with more than three years' experience in musculoskeletal ultrasound) scored a randomly selected subset of grey-scale and PD US examinations (42 individuals, 84 hands) independently and consecutively on the same day. As shown in **Supplemental Table S2**, the weighted Kappa for intra-rater reliability was 0.74 (95%CI: 0.69 to 0.78) for SH and 0.65 (95%CI: 0.60 to 0.71) for

effusion, respectively. The corresponding weighted Kappa for inter-rater reliability was
0.64 (95%CI: 0.57 to 0.70) and 0.61 (95%CI: 0.54 to 0.68). We did not evaluate the
intra- and inter-rater reliability for PDS because prevalence of PDS was very low (only
one participant had PDS of grade 1 in the reliability sample).

161

162 Assessment of covariates

Sociodemographic and anthropometric data (i.e., age, sex, smoking, alcohol drinking, 163 occupation, education, and hand injury history) and medication usage were collected 164 165 face-to-face by trained health professionals via interview, and the following parameters were included in the standard questionnaires which were used during the interview: age 166 (50-59, 60-69, \geq 70 years), smoking status (non-smoker, ex-smoker and current 167 168 smoker), alcohol drinking (non-drinker, ex-drinker and current drinker), education (non-educated and educated), and hand injury history (yes or no). Educated was defined 169 as primary school or above. Hand injury history was defined as a history of hand injury 170 severely restricting function for at least one week. The history of autoimmune diseases 171 was ascertained based on the self-reported physician diagnosis. Height and weight were 172 measured, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by square 173 of height (m²). We grouped BMI into two categories: normal (BMI: $< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and 174 overweight (BMI: $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$). 175

176

177 Statistical analysis

178 Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and categorical

variables were expressed as percentage. We estimated the prevalence of SH, effusion
and PDS, at both the person and the joint level and examined the relation of age and
sex to the prevalence of synovial abnormalities.

182

To describe the joint-involvement pattern of synovial SH, we first compared the 183 prevalence of each synovial abnormalities (i.e., SH, effusion and PDS) between the 184 right hand and the left hand using the Generalized Estimate Equation (GEE) with logit 185 link. To evaluate whether the clustering of synovial hypertrophy and joint effusion is 186 187 not a random phenomenon, based on the binomial distribution, we first calculated the number of subjects expected to have 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10+ joints with synovial 188 hypertrophy and joint effusion. For instance, assuming the probability of a joint with 189 190 synovial hypertrophy was 0.144, the probability of seeing exactly 1 joint with synovial hypertrophy in a total of 30 hand joints was $f(1,30,0.144) = \binom{30}{1} 0.144^1 (1-1)^{10}$ 191 $(0.144)^{30-1} = 0.0476$, and the number of subjects expected to have 1 joint with synovial 192 193 hypertrophy was 3,623*0.0476=172. Then, we compared this expected number with the actual observed number of subjects with 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10+ joints with 194 synovial hypertrophy and joint effusion using a χ^2 -test. If the P<0.05, we may conclude 195 that the distribution of synovial hypertrophy and joint effusion is not a random 196 phenomenon. The method has been done in the evaluation of other diseases, such as 197 osteoarthritis [13] and gout [21]. To assess the symmetrical pattern of presence of 198 synovial abnormalities in hand joints, we examined the association between the 199 presence of SH and effusion in a particular joint and the presence of that abnormality 200

201	in the same joint of the opposite hand, the joints in the same row of the same hand, and
202	the joints in the same ray of the same hand, respectively, using the Generalized
203	Estimating Equations with logit link. In the multivariable regression we adjusted for
204	age, sex, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, education level, and hand injury
205	history. We further did sensitivity analyses based on the exclusion of participants with
206	autoimmune diseases and medication usage of glucocorticoids, immunosuppressants,
207	and painkillers such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The joint-involvement
208	pattern of synovial PDS was not analyzed because of the small number of participants
209	with PDS.
210	
211	All P values were 2-sided and $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. All statistical

analyses were conducted using SAS V.9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

214 **RESULTS**

215 **Characteristics of the population**

Among 3,792 participants 169 (4.5%) were excluded from the current analyses because

of mutilated hand (n=93), fusion of hand joint (n=13), current severe hand injury (n=16),

218 severe hand deformity (n=9), poor (n=5) or no (n=33) ultrasound image. Of the

remaining 3,623 participants, 58.1% were women, the mean age was 64.4 (SD: 9.3)

220 years, and the average BMI was 24.0 kg/m² (Supplemental Table S3). 95% the

221 participants were farmers.

222

Prevalence of hand synovial abnormalities at person and joint level

As shown in **Table 1**, 85.5%, 87.3% and 1.5% participants had at least one joint with SH, effusion and PDS, respectively. The prevalence of hand synovial abnormalities increased with age (**Figure 1**, all *P* for trend < 0.05), but no such a difference was observed between men and women (*P*=0.407 for SH, *P*=0.906 for effusion, and *P*=0.828 for PD).

229

The prevalence of synovial abnormalities in individual joints is shown in **Figure 2 and Supplemental Table S4**. The prevalence of SH and effusion at DIP joints, ranging from 17.4 to 36.0% and 20.9 to 38.6%, was much higher than that in other joints (i.e., PIP joints, MCP joints and CMC1 joints), ranging from 2.8% to 19.0% and 2.3% to 18.9%, respectively. Distal hand joints were more likely to have SH and effusion than proximal joints. However, no such pattern was observed in thumbs. Prevalence of PDS was very low, ranging from 0 to 0.4% for different hand joints.

237

238 Patterns of synovial SH and effusion in hand joints

Hand synovial abnormalities was more common in the right hand than in the left hand.

240 The odds ratios of SH, effusion and PDS for right hand vs. left hand were 1.69 (95%CI

241 1.59 to 1.80), 1.55 (1.46 to 1.66) and 3.45 (1.40 to 8.53), respectively. SH was more

- 242 likely to occur in multiple hand joints than that by chance alone $(P \le 0.001)$ (Table 2).
- 243 Assuming prevalent SH in hand joints followed a binomial distribution, we would
- expect that 26 individuals would have SH in 10 or more joints; however, we observed

that 414 individuals had SH in 10 or more joints, suggesting SH at hand joints was more 245 likely to occur in a subset of individuals. The interrelationships of the presence of 246 synovial SH and effusion in hand joints are presented in Table 3. After adjusting for 247 age, sex, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, education level, and hand injury 248 history, the presence of SH at a given joint was most strongly associated with the 249 presence of SH of the same joint of the opposite hand (ORs=6.60, 95%CI: 6.19 to 7.03), 250 followed by the presence of synovial SH or effusion in the joints in the same row of the 251 same hand (OR=5.70 95%CI 5.32 to 6.11), then by the other joints in the same ray of 252 253 the same hand (OR=1.49 95%CI 1.39 to 1.60), all P<0.001. Similar patterns were also observed for effusion. Such patterns were not changed materially after excluding 254 participants with autoimmune diseases and oral medication usage of glucocorticoids, 255 256 immunosuppressants, and painkillers such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. (Supplemental Table S5). 257

258

259 **DISCUSSION**

In this large community-based study, ultrasound-detected SH and effusion, but not PDS, were common in hand joints of older people. The ultrasound-detected synovial abnormalities were likely to occur in multiple hand joints, more prevalent in distal joints than in proximal joints, and more common in the right hand than in the left hand. The presence of SH and effusion at a particular joint was most strongly associated with the same abnormality at the same joint of the contralateral hand, followed by other joints in the same row of the same hand, then by other joints in the same ray of the same hand. 267 These findings suggest that both systemic and mechanical factors may play roles in the 268 pathology of synovial abnormalities.

269

Previous MRI studies have described low-grade synovitis-like changes in MCP 270 271 joints and tenosynovitis of hand joints of healthy individuals [22, 23]. To date, there was a paucity data on population-based prevalence of image-detected hand synovial 272 abnormalities and its joint involvement pattern. The results from Tasmanian older adult 273 cohort [TASOAC] study found that hand synovial abnormalities were very common: 274 275 almost all participant had ultrasound detected grey-scale synovitis (\geq grade 1) and 33% had PD synovitis (\geq grade 1) [4]; However, the study did not describe the joint 276 involvement patterns of synovial abnormalities. Similarly, we also observed that hand 277 278 synovial abnormalities were prevalent although the mean age (64.4 years) in our study was younger than TASOAC study (72.1 years). 279

280

281 The unique joint involvement pattern of synovial abnormalities in hand suggests that both systemic and mechanical factors may play roles in the pathology of synovial 282 abnormalities. Some systemic factors, such as age-related inflammation[24, 25], 283 neurogenic factors[12] and migration of synovial fibroblasts[26] might contribute to 284 the symmetrical pattern of synovial abnormalities in hand. The role of other essential 285 and recognized systematic factors, such as genetics and metabolism et al., in the 286 etiology of synovial abnormalities is worthy of investigation in the future. On the other 287 hand, more prevalent synovial hypertrophy and joint effusion in the right hand may 288

imply that mechanical factors play roles in the occurrence of synovitis. Indeed, in most 289 people, the right hand is the dominant hand, and the joint load is likely to be greater in 290 291 the dominant hand than that in the contralateral hand, which could lead to a higher prevalence of synovial abnormalities in the right hand [27-29]. In addition, our findings 292 that the high prevalence of SH and effusion but very low prevalence of PDS may 293 suggest that these synovial changes are more of part of low-grade systemic 294 inflammation or an adaptive response to joint insult with attempted repair (i.e., typical 295 of OA) than a primary aggressive synovitis as seen in rheumatoid and seronegative 296 297 spondyloarthritis[25, 30, 31].

298

The patterns of joint involvement of synovial abnormalities in the current study are 299 300 consistent with the pattern in OA. A previous study demonstrated that the presence of symptomatic OA at a particular joint was strongly associated with symptomatic OA in 301 the same joint of the opposite hand, followed by other joints in the same row of the 302 same hand, and then other joints in the same ray of the same hand [13]. These finding 303 suggest that either both conditions may share the same risk factors or synovial 304 abnormalities may be part of the OA features. Since synovial abnormalities are 305 modifiable, any novel treatment that could reduce synovial inflammation would be an 306 important breakthrough for management of hand OA. 307

308

309 There are several strengths to our study. To our knowledge, this is the first study 310 that described joint-involvement patterns of hand synovial abnormalities in the general 311 population. The sample is relatively large (n=3,623), and the participation rate was high. 312 Furthermore, all ultrasound examinations were performed by a single experienced 313 musculoskeletal sonographer; thus, reducing inter-observer variability. In addition, we 314 used a semiquantitative (0–3) grading scale to assess each synovial abnormality, thus 315 the results can be compared with other studies that used the same method to assess 316 synovial abnormalities.

317

Our study has some limitations. First, participants were residents in rural areas of 318 319 China; thus, the prevalence of synovial abnormalities in our study may not represent that in urban or suburban populations in China, or in other countries. Second, while 320 each single synovial feature was scored separately using a validated semiquantitative 321 322 (0-3) grading scale, there has not been a uniform agreement on which grade, 1 or 2, should be used to define the abnormality. We defined a joint as having a specific 323 abnormality if the feature was scored ≥ 1 as one previous study did[32]. Nevertheless, 324 325 when we used >1 as a cut point, similar joint-involvement patterns of synovial abnormalities were also observed (Supplemental Table S6 and S7), indicating the 326 robustness of our study findings. Third, the assessment of the function of hand joints 327 were not performed for all the included participant, thus, we could not adjust for 328 329 dexterity during the analyses. Finally, because this is a cross-sectional study, we did not examine specific risk factors, both systemic and local, for synovial abnormalities. 330 Prospective studies are required to examine risk factors for incident synovial 331 abnormalities in the hands as well as sequalae of synovial changes, such as pain and 332

333	OA.

335 CONCLUSION

- 336 In conclusion, hand synovial abnormalities are common among middle aged and elderly.
- 337 SH and effusion often affected multiple joints, were more prevalent in the right than in
- the left hand and tended to show a symmetrical pattern. The findings may shed light on
- 339 our understanding of potential pathophysiology of hand OA.

340

341 **Declaration of interests**

342 All authors report no competing interests.

343

References: 344 345 1. Dahaghin S, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Reijman M et al. Prevalence and determinants of one month hand 346 pain and hand related disability in the elderly (Rotterdam study). Ann Rheum Dis 2005; 64: 99-104. 347 DOI: 10.1136/ard.2003.017087 348 2. Dziedzic K, Thomas E, Hill S et al. The impact of musculoskeletal hand problems in older adults: 349 findings from the North Staffordshire Osteoarthritis Project (NorStOP). Rheumatology (Oxford) 350 2007; 46: 963-967. DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kem005 351 3. Cole A, Gill TK, Taylor AW et al. Prevalence and associations of hand pain in the community: results 352 from a population-based study. Scand J Rheumatol 2011; 40: 145-149. DOI: 353 10.3109/03009742.2010.508467 354 4. Mattap SM, Laslett LL, Squibb K et al. Hand examination, ultrasound and its association with hand 355 pain and function in community-based older adults. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2019. DOI: 356 10.1002/acr.24128 357 5. Thomas E, Croft PR, Dziedzic KS. Hand problems in community-dwelling older adults: onset and 358 effect on global physical function over a 3-year period. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2009; 48: 183-187. 359 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ken452 360 6. Zhang Y, Niu J, Kelly-Hayes M et al. Prevalence of symptomatic hand osteoarthritis and its impact 361 on functional status among the elderly: The Framingham Study. Am J Epidemiol 2002; 156: 1021-362 1027. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwf141 363 7. Karim Z, Quinn MA, Wakefield RJ et al. Response to intramuscular methyl prednisolone in 364 inflammatory hand pain: evidence for a targeted clinical, ultrasonographic and therapeutic approach. 365 Ann Rheum Dis 2007; 66: 690-692. DOI: 10.1136/ard.2006.061861 366 8. Kroon FPB, Kortekaas MC, Boonen A et al. Results of a 6-week treatment with 10 mg prednisolone 367 in patients with hand osteoarthritis (HOPE): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2019; 394: 1993-2001. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32489-4 368 369 9. Conaghan PG, Cook AD, Hamilton JA et al. Therapeutic options for targeting inflammatory 370 osteoarthritis pain. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2019; 15: 355-363. DOI: 10.1038/s41584-019-0221-y 371 10. Visser AW, de Mutsert R, le Cessie S et al. The relative contribution of mechanical stress and 372 systemic processes in different types of osteoarthritis: the NEO study. Ann Rheum Dis 2015; 74: 373 1842-1847. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-205012 374 11. Spector TD, Cicuttini F, Baker J et al. Genetic influences on osteoarthritis in women: a twin study. 375 BMJ 1996; 312: 940-943. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.312.7036.940 376 12. Kidd BL, Mapp PI, Gibson SJ et al. A neurogenic mechanism for symmetrical arthritis. Lancet 1989; 377 2: 1128-1130. DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(89)91491-8 378 13. Niu J, Zhang Y, LaValley M et al. Symmetry and clustering of symptomatic hand osteoarthritis in 379 elderly men and women: the Framingham Study. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2003; 42: 343-348. DOI: 380 10.1093/rheumatology/keg110 381 14. Hammer HB, Iagnocco A, Mathiessen A et al. Global ultrasound assessment of structural lesions in 382 osteoarthritis: a reliability study by the OMERACT ultrasonography group on scoring cartilage and 383 osteophytes in finger joints. Ann Rheum Dis 2016; 75: 402-407. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-384 206289 385 15. Mathiessen A, Hammer HB, Terslev L et al. Ultrasonography of Inflammatory and Structural Lesions 386 in Hand Osteoarthritis: An OMERACT Agreement and Reliability Study. Arthritis Care Res 387 (Hoboken) 2021. DOI: 10.1002/acr.24734

- 388 16. Wakefield RJ, Balint PV, Szkudlarek M et al. Musculoskeletal ultrasound including definitions for
 389 ultrasonographic pathology. J Rheumatol 2005; 32: 2485-2487.
- 17. Koski JM, Saarakkala S, Helle M et al. Power Doppler ultrasonography and synovitis: correlating
 ultrasound imaging with histopathological findings and evaluating the performance of ultrasound
 equipments. Ann Rheum Dis 2006; 65: 1590-1595. DOI: 10.1136/ard.2005.051235
- 18. D'Agostino MA, Terslev L, Aegerter P et al. Scoring ultrasound synovitis in rheumatoid arthritis: a
 EULAR-OMERACT ultrasound taskforce-Part 1: definition and development of a standardised,
 consensus-based scoring system. RMD Open 2017; 3: e000428. DOI: 10.1136/rmdopen-2016000428
- 397 19. Szkudlarek M, Court-Payen M, Jacobsen S et al. Interobserver agreement in ultrasonography of the
 398 finger and toe joints in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2003; 48: 955-962. DOI:
 399 10.1002/art.10877
- 20. Mathiessen A, Hammer HB, Terslev L et al. Ultrasonography of Inflammatory and Structural Lesions
 in Hand Osteoarthritis: An Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Agreement and Reliability Study.
 Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2022; 74: 2005-2012. DOI: 10.1002/acr.24734
- 403 21. Yokose C, Dalbeth N, Wei J et al. Radiologic evidence of symmetric and polyarticular monosodium
 404 urate crystal deposition in gout A cluster pattern analysis of dual-energy CT. Semin Arthritis Rheum
 405 2020; 50: 54-58. DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2019.07.002
- 406 22. Ejbjerg B, Narvestad E, Rostrup E et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of wrist and finger joints in
 407 healthy subjects occasionally shows changes resembling erosions and synovitis as seen in
 408 rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2004; 50: 1097-1106. DOI: 10.1002/art.20135
- 23. Parodi M, Silvestri E, Garlaschi G et al. How normal are the hands of normal controls? A study with
 dedicated magnetic resonance imaging. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2006; 24: 134-141.
- 411 24. Sarkar D, Fisher PB. Molecular mechanisms of aging-associated inflammation. Cancer Lett 2006;
 412 236: 13-23. DOI: 10.1016/j.canlet.2005.04.009
- 413 25. Saboury B, Parsons MA, Moghbel M et al. Quantification of aging effects upon global knee
 414 inflammation by 18F-FDG-PET. Nucl Med Commun 2016; 37: 254-258. DOI:
 415 10.1097/MNM.00000000000430
- 26. Lefevre S, Knedla A, Tennie C et al. Synovial fibroblasts spread rheumatoid arthritis to unaffected
 joints. Nat Med 2009; 15: 1414-1420. DOI: 10.1038/nm.2050
- 418 27. Schett G, Tohidast-Akrad M, Steiner G et al. The stressed synovium. Arthritis Res 2001; 3: 80-86.
 419 DOI: 10.1186/ar144
- 420 28. Shay AK, Bliven ML, Scampoli DN et al. Effects of exercise on synovium and cartilage from normal
 421 and inflamed knees. Rheumatol Int 1995; 14: 183-189. DOI: 10.1007/BF00262296
- 422 29. Jamal J, Roebuck MM, Lee SY et al. Modulation of the mechanical responses of synovial fibroblasts
 423 by osteoarthritis-associated inflammatory stressors. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2020; 126: 105800. DOI:
 424 10.1016/j.biocel.2020.105800
- 30. Wood MJ, Leckenby A, Reynolds G et al. Macrophage proliferation distinguishes 2 subgroups of
 knee osteoarthritis patients. JCI Insight 2019; 4. DOI: 10.1172/jci.insight.125325
- 427 31. Pratt AG, Siebert S, Cole M et al. Targeting synovial fibroblast proliferation in rheumatoid arthritis
 428 (TRAFIC): an open-label, dose-finding, phase 1b trial. Lancet Rheumatol 2021; 3: e337-e346. DOI:
 429 10.1016/S2665-9913(21)00061-8
- 430 32. Padovano I, Costantino F, Breban M et al. Prevalence of ultrasound synovial inflammatory findings
 431 in healthy subjects. Ann Rheum Dis 2016; 75: 1819-1823. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-208103

	Overall	Men	Women	P value
Synovial hypertrophy, %	85.5	86.1	85.1	0.407
Grade 1	62.3	62.2	62.4	
Grade 2	20.0	20.5	19.7	
Grade 3	3.2	3.4	3.0	
Joint effusion, %	87.3	87.2	87.3	0.906
Grade 1	71.0	69.9	71.8	
Grade 2	14.4	15.3	13.7	
Grade 3	1.9	1.9	1.8	
Power Doppler signal*, %	1.8	1.7	1.8	0.828
Grade 1	1.5	1.4	1.6	
Grade 2	0.3	0.3	0.2	
Any synovial abnormality, %	90.0	90.2	89.9	0.783

432 Table 1. Prevalence of hand synovial abnormalities on ultrasound at participant
433 level

* We did not detect grade 3 PDS in the hand joints of participants of our study.

Number of Sites	Observed	Expected	χ^2	P value
Synovial hypertrophy		•	1402.34	< 0.001
0	526	34		
1	493	172		
2	469	419		
2 3	398	659		
4	358	749		
4 5	288	656		
6	215	460		
7	198	266		
8	147	129		
9	117	53		
≥10	414	26		
Joint effusion			1204.73	< 0.001
0	462	39		
1	515	191		
2	476	451		
3	425	687		
4	389	756		
4 5	290	640		
6	245	435		
7	195	243		
8 9	161	114		
9	112	45		
≥10	353	22		

Table 2. Observed and expected number of participants with synovial
abnormalities in hands

	Synovial hypertrophy	Joint effusion
Same joint, other side		
OR (95% CI) *	6.60 (6.19, 7.03)	6.29 (5.91, 6.69)
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001
Same row, same hand		
OR (95% CI) *	5.70 (5.32, 6.11)	5.88 (5.49, 6.29)
P value	<0.001	< 0.001
Same ray, same hand		
OR (95% CI) *	1.49 (1.39, 1.60)	1.26 (1.18, 1.35)
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001

438 Table 3. Clustering patterns of hand synovial abnormalities

439 OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

440 * OR were adjusted for age, sex, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, education level and hand

441 injury history.