Economic Analysis of New Single-Inhaler Triple Therapies in Patients with COPD in the UK

Congress	ISPOR Europe 2022, 6-9 November 2022 Vienna, Austria
Deadline for submission	Thursday, 30 June 2022
Abstract submission guidelines website	https://www.ispor.org/conferences-education/conferences/submit- abstract/abstract-submission-instructions
Abstract type	Research
Research topic	Economic Evaluation
Topic subcategory	Cost-effectiveness analysis
Disease	Respiratory Disorders (including COPD)
Request for	Poster presentation
Word/character count and limit	Limited to 300 words (excluding the title, moderator or panelists)

Economic Analysis of New Single-Inhaler Triple Therapies in Patients with COPD in the UK

Authors:

Cai R,¹ Martin A,² Ge Y,³ Risebrough NA,³ Sharma R,⁴ Haeussler K,⁵ Compton C,⁴ Halpin D,⁶ Ismaila AS^{7,8}

Affiliations:

¹ICON Health Economics, ICON plc, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

²Value Evidence and Outcomes, GSK, Brentford, UK

³ICON Health Economics, ICON plc, Ontario, Canada

⁴Value Evidence and Outcomes, R&D Global Medical, GSK, Brentford, UK

⁵ICON Health Economics, ICON plc, Munich, Germany

⁶University of Exeter Medical School, College of Medicine and Health, University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom

⁷Value Evidence and Outcomes, GSK, Collegeville, PA, USA

⁸Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence and Impact, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

Character count of title: 14 words

Character count of abstract text: 296 (including funding statement) (limit: 300 words)

OBJECTIVES: To assess the cost-effectiveness of fluticasone furoate/umeclidinium/vilanterol (FF/UMEC/VI; TRELEGY ELLIPTA) versus other single-inhaler triple therapies (SITTs) for the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), from a UK healthcare perspective.

METHODS: A validated linked risk equation model (Briggs, 2017 Med Decis Making 37:4), which predicts COPD disease progression, healthcare costs and health outcomes, was populated with baseline characteristics from randomised controlled trials conducted in patients eligible for triple therapy. Efficacy estimates were derived from a frequentist network meta-analysis, which compared FF/UMEC/VI with budesonide 320 μ g/glycopyrronium/formoterol (BUD320/GLY/FOR), BUD160/GLY/FOR and beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP)/FOR/GLY (Ismaila, 2022 Adv Ther, in press). UK healthcare resource unit and drug costs were applied, with costs (2022 GBP) and health outcomes (except life-years [LYs]) discounted at 3.5% annually. The analysis was probabilistic with a lifetime horizon.

RESULTS: FF/UMEC/VI provided an additional 0.617 LYs (95% CI 0.271, 1.010) and 0.286 quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) (0.096, 0.490), with cost savings of £1,618 (£148, £3,171) compared with BUD320/GLY/FOR, and correspondingly an additional 0.626 LYs (0.258, 1.044) and 0.305 QALYs (0.093, 0.536), with cost savings of £1,710 (£235, £3,342) versus BUD160/GLY/FOR. Compared with BDP/FOR/GLY, FF/UMEC/VI showed an additional 0.330 LYs (0.071, 0.656) and 0.232 QALYs (0.035, 0.439), with cost savings of £1,223 (£-428, £2,844). The probability of FF/UMEC/VI being dominant was 98%, 99%, and 93% versus BUD320/GLY/FOR, BUD160/GLY/FOR, and BDP/FOR/GLY, respectively. At a willingness-to-pay threshold of £20,000, FF/UMEC/VI had a 100% probability of being cost-effective versus BUD320/GLY/FOR or BUD160/GLY/FOR and 99.6% versus BDP/FOR/GLY. One-way deterministic sensitivity analysis showed the results were most sensitive to treatment effect on exacerbations, St George's Respiratory Questionnaire score, treatment discontinuation, and time horizon.

CONCLUSIONS: Based on this analysis, FF/UMEC/VI is a dominant treatment option compared with BUD/GLY/FOR (both dosages) and BDP/FOR/GLY for the treatment of COPD patients in the UK.

Funding: GSK (208431)

Disclosures:

Afisi Ismaila, Raj Sharma, Alan Martin, and Chris Compton are employees of GSK and/or hold stocks/shares in GSK.

Afisi Ismaila is also an unpaid faculty member at McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada.

Nancy Risebrough, Yuchen Ge, Rui Cai, and Katrin Haeussler are employees of ICON plc. ICON plc. received funding from GSK to conduct this study.

David Halpin reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees and non-financial support from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Chiesi and GSK, personal fees and non-financial support from Novartis, and personal fees from Pfizer and Sanofi.