1 Assessing the association between global structural brain age and polygenic risk

2 for schizophrenia in early adulthood: a recall-by-genotype study

- 3 Constantinos Constantinides ¹, Vilte Baltramonaityte ¹, Doretta Caramaschi ², Laura K.M. Han ^{3,4},
- 4 Thomas M Lancaster ¹, Stanley Zammit ^{5,6}, Tom P Freeman ⁷, Esther Walton ^{1*}

- 6 ¹ Department of Psychology, University of Bath, UK
- 7 ² Department of Psychology, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Exeter, UK
- 8 ³ Centre for Youth Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
- 9 ⁴ Orygen, Parkville, Australia
- 10 ⁵ MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical
- 11 Neurosciences, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK
- 12 ⁶ Centre for Academic Mental Health, Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of
- 13 Bristol, Bristol, UK
- ⁷ Addiction and Mental Health Group (AIM), Department of Psychology, University of Bath, UK
- 15
- 16
- 17 * Correspondence to:
- 18
- 19 Esther Walton, PhD
- 20 Department of Psychology, University of Bath, UK
- 21 Email address: <u>E.Walton@bath.ac.uk</u>
- 22 Telephone/fax: +44 (0) 1225 386563
- 23 Postal address: Claverton Down Campus, BA2 7AY, Bath, United Kingdom
- 24
- 25
- 26 **Keywords:** ageing; brain age; schizophrenia; genetic risk; ALSPAC
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32

1 Abstract

2 Neuroimaging studies consistently show advanced brain age in schizophrenia, suggesting that 3 brain structure is often 'older' than expected at a given chronological age. Whether advanced brain 4 age is linked to genetic liability for schizophrenia remains unclear. In this pre-registered secondary 5 data analysis, we utilised a recall-by-genotype approach applied to a population-based subsample 6 from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children to assess brain age differences between 7 young adults aged 21-24 years with relatively high (n=96) and low (n=93) polygenic risk for 8 schizophrenia (SCZ-PRS). A global index of brain age (or brain-predicted age) was estimated using 9 a publicly available machine learning model previously trained on a combination of region-wise 10 gray-matter measures, including cortical thickness, surface area and subcortical volumes derived 11 from T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans. We found no difference in mean brain-12 PAD (the difference between brain-predicted age and chronological age) between the high- and 13 low- SCZ-PRS groups, controlling for the effects of sex and age at time of scanning (b = -0.21; 14 95% CI -2.00, 1.58; p = 0.82; Cohen's d = -0.03; partial R² = 0.00029). These findings do not 15 support an association between SCZ-PRS and brain-PAD based on global age-related structural 16 brain patterns, suggesting that brain age may not be a vulnerability marker of common genetic risk 17 for SCZ. Future studies with larger samples and multimodal brain age measures could further 18 investigate global or localised effects of SCZ-PRS.

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

- 26
- 27
- 28

1 **1. Introduction**

2

3 Schizophrenia (SCZ) is a highly heritable (h² ~ 80%) psychiatric disorder associated with substantial 4 functional impairment, high prevalence of age-related diseases (including cardiometabolic disease 5 and dementia), and an average decrease in life expectancy of approximately 15 years (Correll et 6 al. 2017; Hjorthøj et al. 2017; Mitchell et al. 2013; Stroup et al. 2021; Sullivan, Kendler, and Neale 7 2003: Weye et al. 2020). The increased risk of age-related comorbidities and shortened lifespan in SCZ may partly be explained by "accelerated" ageing of the body and brain (Dieset, Andreassen, 8 9 and Haukvik 2016; Kirkpatrick et al. 2008; Kirkpatrick and Kennedy 2018). In keeping with this 10 hypothesis, neuroimaging studies provide robust evidence for advanced biological age of the brain 11 in people with SCZ (Blake et al. 2023; Constantinides et al. 2022; Kaufmann et al. 2019). However, 12 whether apparent advanced brain ageing is linked to genetic liability for schizophrenia in young 13 people remains unclear. Symptoms of SCZ typically start in late adolescence or early adulthood 14 and structural brain alterations in patients persist - or even increase - with age (van Erp et al., 2016; 15 2018; Cropley et al., 2019). Despite this apparent neurodegenerative profile, several studies have 16 instead suggested a neurodevelopmental origin of SCZ and a role of early-life risk factors for 17 disease aetiology (Owen and O' Donovan, 2017; Murray et al. 2017). Similarly, ageing is often 18 considered in the context of old age and degeneration, when it is equally possible that ageing lies 19 on a continuum with developmental processes that start at birth (Cohen et al., 2020; Kinzina et al., 20 2019). In this case, and considering the large genetic component of SCZ, it is plausible that a link 21 between genetic liability for schizophrenia and advanced brain ageing could emerge earlier in 22 development in at-risk populations and before disease onset."

23

Using structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI), it is possible to estimate the underlying 1 2 biological age of the brain via supervised machine learning (Cole and Franke 2017; Franke et al., 3 2010). Brain age (or brain-predicted age) can differ from actual chronological age, and the 4 discrepancy between the two is captured by the brain-predicted age difference (brain-PAD; also 5 known as brain age gap). While the interpretation of brain-PAD is complex (Vidal-Pineiro et al., 6 2021), a brain-PAD greater than zero indicates a brain that appears 'older' than the person's 7 chronological age, and thus may be interpreted as 'advanced' brain ageing, whereas a brain-PAD 8 lower than zero reflects a brain 'younger' than expected at a given chronological age (i.e., "delayed" 9 brain ageing) (Franke and Gaser 2019). Higher brain-PAD scores have been associated with a 10 range of health-related factors and outcomes, including smoking, higher alcohol intake, blood 11 pressure, obesity (or higher BMI), diabetes, dementia, major depression, and mortality (Ning et al., 12 2021; Bøstrand et al., 2022; Kolbeinsson et al., 2020; Kaufmann et al., 2019; Han et al., 2022; Cole 13 et al., 2018). Hence, brain-PAD may be a marker of overall brain health (Baecker et al., 2021).

14

15 We recently showed a greater brain-PAD in SCZ relative to controls in a multi-cohort study (mean 16 difference in brain-PAD of 3.55 years after adjusting for age, sex, and scanning site) 17 (Constantinides et al., 2022), in line with previous work (Demro et al., 2022; Kaufmann et al., 2019; 18 Koutsouleris et al, 2014; Nenadić et al., 2017). A greater brain-PAD was also observed in 19 adolescents and young adults with SCZ (Truelove-Hill et al., 2020) or at high risk for psychosis 20 (Chung et al., 2018; Koutsouleris et al., 2014), and in first-episode patients (Hajek et al., 2019). 21 Importantly, cross-sectional studies did not find evidence for an association between illness 22 duration and brain-PAD among people with SCZ or closely related disorders (Constantinides et al., 23 2022, Demro et al., 2022; Koutsouleris et al., 2014), and longitudinal data indicate that this gap 24 widens predominantly during the first few years after illness onset before stabilising (Demro et al.,

- 2022; Schnack et al., 2016). Taken together, research to date suggests that advanced brain age in
 schizophrenia may partly reflect deviations from typical neuromaturation trajectories.
- 3

4 Single nucleotide polymorphism-based heritability (h_{SNP}²) estimates for schizophrenia indicate that 5 approximately a guarter of the liability to the disorder is explained by common variants, each 6 conferring a small increase in risk. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified 7 hundreds of such variants to date, with the latest study implicating 287 genetic loci in SCZ (PGC 8 wave 3; Trubetskoy et al., 2022). The cumulative effect of these variants can be summarised into 9 a polygenic risk score that estimates an individual's genetic liability to schizophrenia (SCZ-PRS) as 10 conferred by common frequency alleles (Choi, Mak, and O'Reilly, 2020). Variation in SCZ-PRS in 11 the general population has been associated with phenotypes of brain morphometry previously 12 implicated in schizophrenia, including global and regional reductions in cortical thickness and 13 subcortical structures, possibly reflecting vulnerability to the disorder (Neilson et al., 2019; Stauffer 14 et al., 2021; Jammei et al., 2023)

15

16 Studies of the genetic architecture of brain age suggest that brain-PAD is moderately heritable (h² 17 ≥ 0.5 ; h_{SNP}² = 0.24) (Cole et al., 2017; Kaufmann et al., 2019), with implicated genes overlapping 18 with those previously linked to SCZ (Kaufmann et al., 2019). Moreover, a recent study found an 19 association between SCZ-PRS and brain-PAD in a clinical sample of individuals with SCZ and 20 controls aged 16-67 years (Teeuw et al., 2021). However, this association was no longer significant 21 after adjusting for disease status, possibly reflecting downstream effects of the disorder or 22 confounding factors. In the current study, we aimed to examine whether brain-PAD is associated 23 with polygenic liability for SCZ, as assessed in a population-based sample of young adults aged 24 21-24 years. The study utilised a recall-by-genotype (RbG) design, which increases variance in 25 SCZ-PRS by sampling participants from the tails of the genotypic distribution (i.e., with either

extremely high- or low- SCZ-PRS), while minimising problems with reverse causation that often 1 2 exist in clinical samples (Corbin et al., 2018; Lancaster et al., 2019). In our prospectively registered 3 Open Science Framework secondary data analysis (https://osf.io/hrka4), we hypothesised a greater 4 brain-PAD score in the high SCZ-PRS group relative to the low SCZ-PRS group. Evidence for an 5 association between SCZ-PRS and brain-PAD in young individuals recruited from the general 6 (largely unaffected) population could reflect a contribution of common genetic risk for SCZ to brain-7 PAD, rather than brain-PAD being shaped by the potential effects of disorder pathophysiology or 8 medication. In addition, we conducted exploratory analyses of associations between brain-PAD 9 and other risk factors or co-occurring phenotypes relevant to schizophrenia (e.g., birth weight, BMI, 10 depressive/emotional symptoms) and whether SCZ-PRS might moderate those associations.

11

12 **2. Methods**

13

15

14 **2.1 Study population and SCZ-PRS stratification**

16 We used data from the Avon longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) SCZ-RbG sub-17 study (high SCZ-PRS vs. low SCZ-PRS), which was previously established to investigate the 18 effects of genetic variants contributing to SCZ on brain developmental and behavioural outcomes 19 (Lancaster et al, 2019; Sharp et al, 2020). This recall-by-genotype (RbG) neuroimaging study is 20 nested within ALSPAC, a population-based cohort established to identify factors influencing child 21 health and developmental outcomes. Briefly, the broader ALSPAC study originally invited pregnant 22 women residing in Avon (South-West England) with expected delivery dates between 1st April 1991 23 and 31st December 1992. The initial number of pregnancies enrolled was 14,541, resulting in 24 13,988 children who were alive at 1 year of age. The phases of enrolment and study 25 representativeness are described in more detail in the cohort profile paper and its updates (Boyd 26 et al., 2013; Fraser et al., 2013; Northstone et al., 2019).

1 Following genotyping of most participants within ALSPAC and subsequent quality control of raw 2 genome-wide data, a sub-sample of 8,365 children underwent SCZ-PRS estimation following a 3 normal distribution (Lancaster et al., 2019). Construction of the SCZ-PRS followed the methods 4 described by the International Schizophrenia Consortium (2009), using summary data from the 5 largest discovery SCZ-GWAS of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC-SCZ wave-2; 6 Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium 2014) available at the time 7 of participant recruitment. A polygenic score was individually calculated using the "score" command 8 in PLINK (version 1.07; Purcell et al., 2017). SCZ-PRS was created by summing the number of risk 9 alleles present for each single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP; i.e., 0, 1, or 2) weighted by the 10 logarithm of each SNP's odds ratio for SCZ from the PGC GWAS summary statistics. This was 11 based upon a PRS generated from SNPs with a GWAS training set $P \le 0.05$ threshold, as it 12 captured the maximum SCZ liability in the primary PRS analysis of the PGC-SCZ GWAS 13 (Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium 2014). To recruit a target 14 of 100 sex-matched participants from each tail of the SCZ-PRS distribution of the genotyped 15 population (N=8365) for a multi-modal imaging sub-study, the ALSPAC team sent out 1,241 16 invitations in total (470 to the 'low' and 771 to the 'high' SCZ-PRS group). Individuals were excluded 17 if they were receiving any psychotropic medication. A total of 197 individuals from either tail of the 18 SCZ-PRS distribution (99 with low SCZ-PRS and 98 with high SCZ-PRS) were originally enrolled 19 in the imaging sub-study (see Fig. 1 in Lancaster et al., 2019). Due to a lower response rate among 20 high SCZ-PRS individuals, the recruited low- and high- SCZ-PRS groups were mostly within the 21 lowest 5th and highest 10th percentiles of the genotyped ALSPAC sample, respectively. On 22 average, there was approximately a 3 standard deviations difference in SCZ-PRS between the two 23 groups (mean Z-score = -1.71 [range: -0.51 - (-3.27)] for low SCZ-PRS; mean Z-score = + 1.42 24 [range: 0.52 - 3.40] for high SCZ-PRS), making them highly distinct from each other. Further details 25 about the SCZ-RbG sample (including genotyping and quality control) can be found in the sample 26 description (Lancaster et al., 2019; Sharp et al., 2020) and in subsequent publications (Dimitriades

et al., 2021; 2023; Lancaster et al., 2021). For the current analysis we excluded a small number of
participants from the original RbG sample, mostly due to failed quality control of image processing
(see next subsection for details), leaving a total of 93 participants with low SCZ-PRS and 96 with
high-SCZ-PRS (N=189). All participants were aged between 21-24 years at the time of scanning.

5

6 The ALSPAC website contains details of all the data that is available through a fully searchable data 7 dictionary and variable search tool (https://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/). Study 8 data gathered from participants at age 22 and onwards was collected and managed using REDCap 9 electronic data capture tools hosted at the University of Bristol (Harris et al., 2009). REDCap 10 (Research Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based software platform designed to support 11 data capture for research studies. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC 12 Law and Ethics Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees (listed at 13 http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/research-ethics/). Informed consent for the use of data 14 collected via questionnaires and clinics was obtained from participants following the 15 recommendation of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time. Consent for biological 16 samples has been collected in accordance with the Human Tissue Act (2004).

17

19

18 **2.2. Structural image acquisition and processing**

Structural MRI scans were acquired for each participant using a 3T GT HDx system at Cardiff University Brain Research Imaging Centre (CUBRIC), Cardiff, UK. High-resolution 3-dimensional T1-weighted images were acquired using a 3-dimensional fast spoiled gradient echo sequence (FSPGR) with contiguous sagittal slices of 1mm thickness (TR=7.9s, TE=3.0 ms, TI= 450ms, flip angle 20°, FOV = 256mm X 256mm X 176mm to yield 1mm isotropic voxel resolution images) (Lancaster et al., 2019). In the current study, we relied on the image-derived phenotypes extracted centrally by the researchers involved in the ALSPAC neuroimaging resource initiative, which are

1 available via the variable search tool (http://variables.alspac.bris.ac.uk/; Sharp et al., 2020). Briefly, 2 T1-weighted images were processed using FreeSurfer (version 6.0.0) to extract cortical and 3 subcortical measures from multiple regions of interest (ROIs) based on the Desikan-Killiany atlas 4 and Aseg atlas (Fischl 2012). Reconstructed images and their cortical and subcortical 5 parcellations/segmentations underwent quality control following standardised protocols developed 6 by the ENIGMA consortium (http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/imaging-protocols/). Each T1-7 weighted MRI scan was segmented and parcellated bilaterally into volumes for 7 subcortical gray-8 matter regions (left and right nucleus accumbens, amygdala, caudate, hippocampus, pallidum, 9 putamen, and thalamus) and 2 lateral ventricles, 34 regional cortical thickness (2 x 34) and cortical 10 surface area (2 x 34) measures, and total intracranial volume (ICV; N_{measures} = 153). Out of 197 RbG 11 participants, two had missing values in SCZ-PRS status (n=1) or all Freesurfer measures (n=1; 12 possibly due to failed image reconstruction) and thus were excluded from the current analyses. Six 13 participants were further excluded due to failed quality control for cortical parcellation and/or 14 subcortical segmentation. Further details on image processing and quality control can be found in 15 the relevant data note by Sharp et al., 2020.

16

17 **2.4. Brain age prediction**

18

19 To predict brain age in the current sample we primarily used the publicly available ENIGMA brain 20 age model (Han et al. 2020; https://www.photon-ai.com/enigma brainage), which has been 21 independently validated in previous brain age studies covering almost the entire adult lifespan 22 (Clausen et al., 2022; Constantinides et al., 2022; Han et al., 2022, Abram et al., 2023). The model 23 was trained separately in 952 male and 1,236 female healthy controls aged 18-75 years from the 24 ENIGMA-MDD consortium, using ridge regression. FreeSurfer measures from the left and right 25 hemispheres were combined by calculating the mean ((left + right)/2)) of volumes for subcortical 26 regions (n=7), lateral ventricles (n=1), and thickness (n=34) and surface area (n=34) for cortical 27 regions, and ICV, resulting in a total of 77 input features for brain age prediction (Han et al., 2020).

1 In addition to our pre-registered plan to use the ENIGMA model, and to assess the robustness of 2 our primary results, we also applied an age group-specific brain age model developed by the 3 CentileBrain team (https://centilebrain.org; manuscript in preparation), which was trained for the 4 age range 20 to 30 years (see supplementary material A2 for more details). The parameters of the 5 pre-trained sex-specific brain age model(s) were applied individually to each participant within the 6 current sample. Importantly, the current sample was not included in the training sets for any of the 7 two models. To assess model generalisation performance in the current sample, we calculated the 8 (1) mean absolute error (MAE) between predicted brain age and chronological age, the (2) Pearson 9 correlation coefficients between predicted brain age and chronological age (r), and (3) the 10 proportion of chronological age variance explained by the model predictions (R²). These metrics 11 were calculated and reported with respect to sex and SCZ-PRS group. Global (i.e., whole-brain) 12 brain-PAD was then calculated for each participant by subtracting chronological age from brain age 13 (i.e., brain-based predicted age minus chronological age).

14

16

15 **2.4. Brain age bias adjustment**

17 There is a well-described age-related bias inherent to the 'brain age' prediction framework, where 18 brain age is overestimated in younger individuals and underestimated in older individuals, relative 19 to the age distribution of the training data, and most accurately estimated for individuals with an 20 age closer to the average age of the training data (de Lange et al., 2022; Le et al., 2018; Liang, 21 Zhang, and Niu 2019; Smith et al., 2019). Several bias-adjustment procedures have been 22 developed to account for this chronological age dependency (for an overview, see de Lange and 23 Cole 2020). Unless otherwise specified, here we added chronological age as a covariate in 24 subsequent statistical analyses to account for linear relationships between brain-PAD and 25 chronological age (Le et al., 2018). In addition, individual brain-PAD estimates were residualised 26 for age, where appropriate, for data visualisation only.

1

2 **2.5. Non-imaging variables**

3

4 As part of the ALSPAC study, a wide range of questionnaire and clinical assessment data have 5 been collected periodically from parents and their offspring since September 1990. Phenotypes of 6 interest were selected for descriptive purposes and/or exploratory analyses based on relevance to 7 SCZ or psychotic disorders more broadly, including birth weight (Abel et al., 2010), childhood IQ 8 (Schulz et al., 2014), body mass index (Annamalai et al., 2017), alcohol or cannabis abuse 9 (Archibald et al., 2019; Gage et al., 2016), depressive or anxiety/emotional symptoms (Braga et al., 10 2013; Upthegrove et al., 2017), and psychotic-like experiences (Healy et al., 2019). Selection of 11 these risk-factors or co-occurring phenotypes was also based on data availability with respect to 12 the majority of the SCZ-RbG sample and proximity to the time of the imaging sub-study (where 13 applicable). Birth weight was identified through a variety of sources including obstetric data and 14 birth notifications. Childhood IQ was assessed at ~8 years of age using a short form of the Wechsler 15 Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC-III; Wechsler, Golombok, and Rust, 1992). Emotional problems 16 were assessed at age ~17 using the emotional symptoms scale of the child-reported Strength and 17 Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; Goodman, 1997). Risk for problematic alcohol use was assessed 18 at age ~18 using the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT total score; Saunder et al. 19 1993). Problematic cannabis use was assessed at age \sim 20 was assessed using the Cannabis 20 Abuse Screening Test (CAST; Legleye et al., 2009). A CAST score of 1 or more was used as a 21 measure of some level of risk for problematic or abusive use. Depressive symptoms were assessed 22 at age ~22 using the short Mood and Feeling Questionnaire (sMFQ; Angold et al., 1995). 23 Ascertainment of generalised anxiety disorder at age ~24 was based on the Clinical Interview 24 Schedule-Revised (CIS-R) (Lewis et a.l, 1992). The semi-structured Psychosis-Like Symptoms 25 Interview (PLIKS) was used to assess psychotic experiences (hallucinations, delusions, or 26 experiences of thought interference) at age ~24 (Sullivan et al., 2020). Individuals were deemed to

1 have had a psychotic experience if rated as having ever had one or more suspected or definite 2 psychotic experiences between the ages of 12 and 24 years. Individuals were further classified as 3 ever having had a psychotic disorder if they met the following criteria: (1) definite psychotic 4 experiences not attributable to sleep or fever; (2) they had recurred regularly (at least once per 5 month) over a 6-month period and 3) were either very distressing or having a very negative impact 6 on their social/occupational life or led them to seek help from a professional source. Given the 7 possibility of measurement error or attrition bias (Sullivan et al., 2020), data from assessment at 8 age ~ 24 was supplemented with available information from a previous PLIKS assessment at age 9 ~18 (Zammit et al, 2018). Body mass index (BMI) was assessed during a clinic visit at the age of 10 \sim 24 years by dividing a person's weight in kilograms (kg) by height in metres squared(m²). Of note, 11 no part of the above-described ALSPAC/RbG study design, data collection, or imaging processing 12 procedures was pre-registered prior to the current analyses being conducted.

13

14 **2.6. Statistical analyses**

15

16 As described in our pre-registered analysis plan (https://osf.io/hrka4), we used multivariable linear 17 regression with brain-PAD as the continuous outcome variable and SCZ-PRS (i.e., high vs. low) as 18 the binary predictor of interest (reference group: low SCZ-PRS). In addition to chronological age, 19 sex was added as a covariate in the model to account for independent effects of sex on brain-PAD 20 (Brouwer et al., 2021; Sanford et al., 2022; Wagen et al., 2022). We used a two-tailed null 21 hypothesis test to evaluate the association between SCZ-PRS and brain-PAD. A prior simulation-22 based power analysis accounting for the enriched variance in SCZ-PRS within the original RbG 23 sample indicates that the current analysis has approximately 80% power to detect a relatively small 24 effect size of SCZ-PRS ($R^2 > 0.015$ at alpha=0.05; see supplementary material in Lancaster et al., 25 2019 for more details). Of note, age and sex were not included in this priori power analysis as the 26 two SCZ-PRS groups were matched sex and had a similar mean age in the original RbG sample.

27

1 As polygenic risk score analyses are generally susceptible to confounding by population genetic 2 structure (Choi, Mak, and O'Reilly, 2020), a model additionally adjusting for genetic principal 3 components (PCs) in a subset of the sample was run as a sensitivity analysis (see supplementary 4 material A1 for details). In addition, we inspected the data for the presence of any brain-PAD outliers 5 (here defined as +/- 3SD away from the mean of each SCZ-PRS group), and subsequently 6 excluded one identified outlier in a sensitivity analysis. Exploratory analyses were performed using 7 multivariable linear regressions with brain-PAD as the outcome variable and each non-imaging 8 phenotype (e.g., depressive symptoms) and its interaction with SCZ-PRS as the main predictors of 9 interest, adjusting for the main effects of SCZ-PRS, age and sex. All analyses were performed in 10 R and the code used can be accessed on OSF (https://osf.io/hrka4). 11 12 3. Results

13

14 3.1. Sample characteristics15

The current sample consisted of 93 individuals with low SCZ-PRS and 96 individuals with high SCZ PRS (N=189). Table 1 provides a summary of demographic and other characteristics for each SCZ-PRS group. While the high-SCZ PRS group was slightly older than the low-SCZ PRS group (22.88 [SD=0.82] versus 22.53 [SD=0.71] years at time of scanning; p=0.001), levels (or frequency) of depressive symptom severity, generalised anxiety disorder, and psychotic experiences around the age of 22-24 years were similar across groups (see Table 1).

Table 1. Sample characteristics

Characteristic	N ^a	Low SCZ-PRS, N=93 ^b	High SCZ-PRS, N=96 ^b	p-value °
Age at time of scanning (years)	189 (93/96)	22.53 ± 0.71 (21.25-24.25)	22.88 ± 0.82 (21.08-24.50)	0.001
Sex: female	189 (93/96)	50 (53.76%)	51 (53.13%)	0.93
Handness: right-handed	185 (93/92)	81 (87.10)	81 (86.96)	0.47
Ethnicity: white	189 (93/96)	93 (100.00)	96 (100.00)	-
Education: studied at university level ^d	145 (73/72)	55 (75.34%)	60 (83.33%)	0.24
Birth weight (grams)	180 (88/92)	3411 ± 508.91 (1407-4710)	3403 ± 518.48 (1960-4820)	0.72
BMI (kg/m²) at age ~ 24y	161 (80/81)	24.21 ± 4.34 (18.66-43.87)	24.35 ± 4.14 (15.69-38.01)	0.56
Childhood IQ at age ~ 8y	175 (91/84)	111.2 ± 14.78 (77.00-140.00)	112.2 ± 14.87 (70.0-138.00)	0.45
SDQ-Emotional symptoms score at age ~ 17y	158 (82/76)	0.00 [0.00-2.00; 0.00-10.00]	1.00 [0.00-2.25; 0.00-6.00]	0.10
Depressive symptoms (sMFQ) score at age ~ 22y	146 (72/74)	5.00 [2.00-9.00; 0.00-21:00]	4.00 [2.00-7.00; 0.00-22:00]	0.79
Generalised anxiety disorder at age ~ 24y: yes	158 (78/80)	7 (8.97)	< 5	0.54
Psychotic experiences by age ~ 24y: yes	159 (78/81)	-	-	-
Suspected/definite (ever)	-	11 (14.10)	15 (18.52)	-
Disorder (ever)	-	< 5	< 5	0.87
AUDIT total score at age ~ 18y	147 (72/75)	7.11 ± 5.11 (0.00-21.00)	6.55 ± 4.14 (0.00-18.00)	0.70
CAST score ≥ 1 at age ~ 20y: yes	148 (75/73)	< 5	< 5	0.44

^a N indicates non-missing observations in the total sample (and in low / high SCZ-PRS group). ^b Satistics presented: mean ± standard deviation (minimum-maximum); n (%). Median [interquartile range; minimum-maximum] is provided if the distribution of a continuous variable was highly skewed.

^o Statistical tests performed: wilcoxon rank-sum test; chi-square test/Fisher's exact test. Bold p-values indicate significance at α=0.05.

^d Past or current university attendance for degree or other higher education qualification was assessed at age 26 years. BMI: Body Mass Index: SDQ: Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire; sMFQ: short Mood and Feeling Questionnaire; AUDIT: Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test; CAST: Cannabis Abuse Screening Test.

2

1

2 **3.2.** Brain age prediction performance

3 Regardless of SCZ-PRS status, the ENIGMA model moderately predicted chronological age with 4 MAE of 5.25 (SD = 4.05) in males and 6.33 (SD = 4.62) in females in the current sample. Correlation 5 between chronological age and brain-predicted age was r = 0.12 and r = 0.06 in males and females, 6 respectively (see Supplementary Table B1 for more details on model performance). Of note, the 7 age range of the current sample was very restricted (21.08-24.50 years), which generally leads to 8 less covariance between predicted age and true age regardless of prediction accuracy (de Lange 9 et al., 2022). Despite the narrow range of chronological age in the current sample, there was 10 substantial variation in brain-predicted age (mean = 26.76, SD = 6.09, range = 7.46-43.00 years; 11 see Supplementary Figure B1). Brain-predicted age was systematically overestimated by the 12 ENIGMA model across the current sample, with no observed linear dependence of brain-PAD on 13 age (SFig. B2). Nonetheless, age was added as a covariate in subsequent statistical analyses to 14 account for shared variance between predictors. The generalisation performance of the 15 CentileBrain model in the current sample is summarised in supplementary material A2, and we 16 return to the issue of moderate performance of the ENIGMA model in the discussion section of this 17 article.

18

19 20

3.3. Brain age in high- versus low- SCZ-PRS

The mean ENIGMA-derived brain-PAD was +4.21 (SD = 5.68) years in the low SCZ-PRS group and +3.90 (SD = 6.46) years in the high SCZ-PRS group. There was no difference in mean brain-PAD between the two SCZ-PRS groups after adjusting for age and sex (see Fig. 1, and STable B2 for full model parameters). Further adjustment for genetic PCs and/or exclusion of outliers did not meaningfully alter this result (see supplementary material A1 and A3). Repeating these analyses with brain-PAD estimates derived from the CentileBrain brain-age model led to highly comparable

- 1 results (b = 0.02; 95% CI -0.18, 0.22; p = 0.854; Cohen's d = 0.03; partial $R^2 = 0.00021$; see
- 2 supplementary material A2 for more details).
- 3



4

Figure 1. Difference in brain-PAD between low- and high- SCZ-PRS. ENIGMA-derived brain-PAD among
participants with low SCZ-PRS (left) and high SCZ-PRS (right). Brain-PAD estimates are residualized for age
and sex. Group-level analyses did not show a difference in mean brain-PAD between high- and low- SCZPRS (b = - 0.21; 95% CI -2.00, 1.58; p = 0.82; Cohen's d = - 0.03; partial R² = 0.00029).

10

11 **3.4.** Brain age and phenotypes of interest with respect to SCZ-PRS

12

13 We explored associations between different phenotypes of interest and brain-PAD, and particularly 14 whether those associations were moderated by SCZ-PRS status. Emotional symptoms at age ~17 15 was associated with ENIGMA-derived brain-PAD (b = 0.80; 95% CI 0.14, 1.47; p = 0.018), however no evidence for moderation by SCZ-PRS was found (b = 0.25; 95% CI -0.80,1.31; p = 0.64). No 16 17 significant associations were found between brain-PAD and depressive symptoms, psychotic-like 18 experiences, childhood IQ, birth weight, BMI, or level of risk for problematic alcohol use, and/or any 19 interactions thereof with SCZ-PRS (STable B3). Results were largely consistent when analyses were 20 repeated with CentileBrain-derived brain-PAD (supplementary material A2).

1 4. Discussion

2

We investigated the association between a putative biomarker of brain ageing and polygenic liability for schizophrenia using an RbG approach, comparing individuals at the tails of the SCZ-PRS distribution within a population-based cohort. Contrary to our hypothesis, we did not find evidence for a difference in structural MRI-based brain-PAD between the low- and high- SCZ-PRS groups. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the relationship between SCZ-PRS and brain age in a young population-based sample.

9

10 The null results of the current study are congruent with previous studies using a range of 11 techniques. Teeuw et al. (2021) found a weak nominal correlation (r = 0.10; p = 0.048) between 12 SCZ-PRS and brain-PAD in a clinical sample of people with SCZ and controls (age range: 17-67 13 years; N=394). However, the observed association was no longer significant after accounting for 14 diagnostic status, possibly reflecting downstream illness effects of SCZ on brain age. Demro et al. 15 (2022) performed an analysis of brain age and genetic liability for psychosis as proxied by first-16 degree biological relatives of individuals with SCZ and associated psychotic disorders (aged 18-17 69; N=103 relatives). The authors did not find a greater brain-PAD in relatives (affected or 18 unaffected) compared to unrelated controls, suggesting that brain age may not be an index of 19 familial risk for psychotic psychopathology. Similarly, we found no evidence for a link between SCZ-20 PRS and brain age in a young population-based sample, suggesting that this link - if present - might 21 develop later in life after disease onset. While our findings could cast doubt on the 22 neurodevelopmental origins of SCZ, it is equally possible that the brain-PAD paradigm and this 23 current sample (given the narrow age range) are less well suited to address this question.

24

Our results also converge with the lack of genetic correlations between brain-PAD and SCZ that
 has been reported as part of the largest genome-wide association study of brain age to date

1 (N>28,000) (Leonardsen et al., 2023). Moreover, follow-up Mendelian randomization analyses did not find evidence for a causal relationship between brain-PAD and SCZ, in either direction 2 3 (Leonardsen et al., 2023). Taken together, while our results and those of previous studies do not 4 rule out a causal relationship between brain-PAD and SCZ, they may suggest that previously 5 reported case-control differences in brain age are more likely to partly reflect the effect of 6 environmental risk or confounding factors. For example, smoking, obesity and cannabis use have 7 previously been associated with both SCZ (Myles et al., 2012; Vancampfort et al., 2015; Marconi 8 et al, 2016) and brain age (Ning et al., 2020; Kolbeinsson et al., 2020; Meier et al., 2022). 9 Alternatively, previously observed case-control differences in brain-PAD may partly reflect 10 downstream illness effects (e.g., cognitive deficits or somatic comorbidities) and future studies 11 utilising clinically-ascertained samples could also examine whether such effects might be 12 moderated by SCZ-PRS.

13

14 A key strength of the current study is the use of an RbG approach. SCZ-PRS typically accounts for 15 only up to ~7% of the variance in SCZ liability (Trubetskoy et al., 2022), but because there is 16 considerably increased SCZ risk between the high- and low- SCZ-PRS groups, the current study 17 offered considerably more power than a randomly sampled population-based study of similar size 18 (Lancaster et al., 2019). However, while our null finding may rule out a shared variance between 19 SCZ-PRS and brain-PAD at the level $R^2 > 0.015$ (i.e., our estimated minimum detectable effect 20 size), the current study was not powered to detect smaller effect sizes, such as those previously 21 detected in a large-scale studies of SCZ-PRS and other MRI-derived cortical phenotypes (R²: 0.001 22 - 0.008) (Neilson et al. 2019; Stauffer et al, 2021). Further work in larger samples utilising summary 23 data from the most powerful SCZ-GWAS available is therefore warranted (Choi, Mak, and O'Reilly, 24 2020). In addition, it is possible that the relatively lower response rate among high SCZ-PRS 25 individuals at participant recruitment might have influenced our results through participation bias 26 (Martin et al., 2016).

1

2 The current study utilised a subsample of young adults from longitudinal birth cohort, and thus all 3 participants were aged between 21-24 years. This narrow age range might have helped eliminate 4 the effects of potential confounders that could have been present in a younger or older sample, 5 such as puberty during childhood/adolescence or chronic age-related diseases (or associated risk 6 factors) that arise around middle adulthood or later (Holm et al., 2022; Kolbeinsson et al, 2020). 7 Nonetheless, an effect of SCZ-PRS on brain age could vary across the life course and thus the 8 generalizability of our null results may be limited to early adulthood. Future studies may either use 9 a wider age range or focus on different stages of the life course.

10

11 The observed positive association between emotional symptoms (SDQ) at age ~17 years and 12 brain-PAD (at age ~22) is intriguing but preliminary at this stage, as it comes from an exploratory 13 analysis. Given that adolescence represents a sensitive and dynamic period of development, a 14 preliminary interpretation is that emotional difficulties during this period may be linked to advanced 15 brain maturation in early adulthood (and regardless of SCZ-PRS). This is in contrast with a recent 16 study in youth (age range: 5-17 years) reporting an association between worsening 17 anxiety/depression symptoms (as measured by CBCL) and lower brain-PAD (i.e., delayed brain 18 maturation) (Cohen et al., 2022). In addition, we found no association between depressive 19 symptoms (sMFQ) and brain-PAD. While this discrepancy in findings might be explained by 20 differences in sample or methodological characteristics (e.g., lack of, or partial equivalence 21 between depression/anxiety measures), it highlights the need for further work in larger and carefully 22 selected longitudinal samples. Another limitation of our exploratory analyses is the discrepancy in 23 timing of brain scanning and that of ascertaining modifiable variables (e.g., BMI, alcohol use), that 24 might have precluded detecting associations with brain-PAD.

25

1 Further limitations of the current study relate to the estimation of brain age. First, although model 2 performance is not directly comparable between different studies (de Lange et al., 2022; Cole et 3 al., 2019), the mean absolute error achieved by the ENIGMA model in the current study (MAE > 54 years) is considerably higher than that reported by previous studies in youth using other brain age 5 models (overall age range: 5-22 years; MAE range from testing samples: 0.7-2 years) (Drobinin et 6 al., 2022; Modabbernia et al., 2022; Holm et al., 2022; Truelove-Hill et al., 2020). While this 7 discrepancy can partly be attributed to the relatively wider age range of its training set (18-75 years), 8 the moderate fit of the ENIGMA model could reflect more noise and may be less sensitive to subtle 9 individual brain age differences expected within the narrow age range of the current population-10 based sample of emerging adults (21-24 years). To address this, we have performed a sensitivity 11 analysis using a second model (i.e., CentileBrain) trained with a restricted age range of 20-30 years 12 that more closely resembles that of the current sample (whilst preserving a similar set of features 13 and use of sex-specific model variants). Although the mean absolute error of the CentileBrain model 14 in the current sample was considerably lower (MAE ~ 0.8 years; see supplementary material A2 for 15 a more detailed discussion on this) and more consistent to that of previous studies in youth, results 16 of subsequent analyses aligned closely across the two brain age models. Nonetheless, while a 17 lower mean absolute error is intuitively appealing in the context of predictive modelling, it remains 18 unclear whether higher age-prediction accuracy translates to improved capacity for detecting 19 individual differences in downstream analyses of brain age (Bashyam et al., 2020; 2021; Hahn et 20 al., 2021; Jirsaraire et al., 2023). This is a topic of ongoing discussion in the field and warrants 21 further systematic examination. Second, while T1-weighted MRI data is considered highly reliable 22 for brain age estimation and allows us to place our results in context with previous work, brain 23 ageing (or maturation) is a heterogeneous process and different factors would likely affect different 24 aspects of brain structure and function (Smith et al., 2020). Future studies could employ brain age 25 measures based on other or multiple MRI modalities that may capture different aspects of naturally 26 occurring variation and may be more sensitive to factors impacting brain health (Cole 2020; Rokicki et al., 2021). Lastly, as most brain age studies to date, the current study was focused on a single
"global" measure of brain age, which could overlook any localised (or region-specific) effects on
brain age (Popescu et al. 2021; Sanford et al. 2022).
In summary, the current study did not find evidence for an association between SCZ-PRS and

advanced global structural brain age in young adults, suggesting that greater brain-PAD is not a
vulnerability marker of common genetic risk for schizophrenia. Future studies with larger samples
and/or more comprehensive brain age measures could help identify any global or localised effects
of polygenic risk for SCZ on brain age.

10

11 **5. Author Contributions**

12

Conceptualization: CC, EW, TF. Methodology: CC, EW, TF, LH, TL, VB. Software: LH, CC, VB. *Formal analysis*: CC, VB. Visualisation: CC. Resources: EW, LH, TL. Writing - Original Draft: CC.
Writing - Review & Editing: CC, EW, TF, LH, TL, DC, VB, SZ. Supervision: EW, TF, TL, DC, SZ.
Project administration: CC, EW, TF.

17

19

18 6. Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists, and nurses. We also thank Dr. Sophia Frangou for providing useful background information on the training and validation of the CentileBrain model.

25

26 **7. Funding**

27

The UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and Wellcome (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the authors

1 and CC and EW will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper. A comprehensive list of 2 grant funding is available the ALSPAC website on 3 (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf). The recall-by 4 genotype imaging sub-study was supported by grant MR/K004360/1 from the MRC titled: 5 "Behavioural and neurophysiological effects of schizophrenia risk genes: a multi-locus, pathway 6 based approach" and by the MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics 7 (G0800509). GWAS data were generated by Sample Logistics and Genotyping Facilities at 8 Wellcome Sanger Institute and LabCorp (Laboratory Corporation of America) using support from 9 23andMe. The work reported in this publication was funded from the European Union's Horizon 10 Europe / 2020 research and innovation programme under the European Research Council grant 11 agreement No 848158 (EarlyCause) and P/Y015037/1 (BrainHealth, fulfilled by UKRI) to EW. EW 12 also received funding from the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health 13 (award number R01MH113930). CC was supported by grant MR/N0137941/1 for the GW4 14 BIOMED Doctoral Training Partnership awarded to the Universities of Bath, Bristol, Cardiff and 15 Exeter from the Medical Research Council (MRC)/ UK Research & Innovation (UKRI). LH was 16 funded by the Rubicon award (grant number 452020227) from the Dutch Research Council (NWO). 17 SZ is supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Bristol and 18 Weston NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol.

19

20 8. Conflict of Interest

21

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28
- 29

1 9. Data availability

- 2 The authors do not have permission to share data. Researchers can request the original dataset
- 3 used directly from ALSPAC (https://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/).

4 **10. References** 5

- Abel, K. M., Wicks, S., Susser, E. S., Dalman, C., Pedersen, M. G., Mortensen, P. B., & Webb, R. T.
 (2010). Birth Weight, Schizophrenia, and Adult Mental Disorder: Is Risk Confined to the Smallest
 Babies? Archives of General Psychiatry, 67(9), 923–
 930.https://doi.org/10.1001/ARCHGENPSYCHIATRY.2010.100
- Abram, S. V., Roach, B. J., Hua, J. P. Y., Han, L. K. M., Mathalon, D. H., Ford, J. M., & Fryer, S. L. (2023).
 Advanced brain age correlates with greater rumination and less mindfulness in schizophrenia.
 NeuroImage: Clinical, *37*, 103301. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NICL.2022.103301</u>
- Annamalai, A., Kosir, U., & Tek, C. (2017). Prevalence of obesity and diabetes in patients with
 schizophrenia. World Journal of Diabetes, 8(8), 390. https://doi.org/10.4239/WJD.V8.I8.390
- Angold A, Costello EJ, Messer SC, et al.: Development of a short questionnaire for use in epidemiological
 studies of depression in children and adolescents. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 1995;5(4):237–24
- Archibald, L., Brunette, M. F., Wallin, D. J., & Green, A. I. (2019). Alcohol Use Disorder and Schizophrenia
 or Schizoaffective Disorder. *Alcohol Research : Current Reviews*, *40*(1), e1–e9.
 https://doi.org/10.35946/ARCR.V40.1.06
- Baecker, L., Garcia-Dias, R., Vieira, S., Scarpazza, C., & Mechelli, A. (2021). Machine learning for brain age
 prediction: Introduction to methods and clinical applications. EBioMedicine, 72.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EBIOM.2021.103600</u>
- Bashyam, V. M., Erus, G., Doshi, J., Habes, M., Nasralah, I., Truelove-Hill, M., Srinivasan, D., Mamourian,
 L., Pomponio, R., Fan, Y., Launer, L. J., Masters, C. L., Maruff, P., Zhuo, C., Völzke, H., Johnson, S.
 C., Fripp, J., Koutsouleris, N., Satterthwaite, T. D., ... Davatzikos, C. (2020). MRI signatures of brain
 age and disease over the lifespan based on a deep brain network and 14 468 individuals worldwide. *Brain : A Journal of Neurology, 143*(7), 2312–2324. https://doi.org/10.1093/BRAIN/AWAA160
- Bashyam, V., Shou, H., & Davatzikos, C. (2021). Reply: From 'loose fitting' to high-performance,
 uncertainty-aware brain-age modelling. *Brain*, *144*(3), e32–e32.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/BRAIN/AWAA455
- Blake, Kimberly V., Ziphozihle Ntwatwa, Tobias Kaufmann, Dan J. Stein, Jonathan C. Ipser, and Nynke A.
 Groenewold. 2023. "Advanced Brain Ageing in Adult Psychopathology: A Systematic Review and Meta Analysis of Structural MRI Studies." *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 157:180–91. doi: 10.1016/J.JPSYCHIRES.2022.11.011.
- Bøstrand SMK, Vaher K, de Nooij L, Harris MA, Cole JH, Cox SR, Marioni RE, McCartney DL, Walker RM,
 McIntosh AM, Evans KL, Whalley HC, Wootton RE, Clarke TK. Associations between alcohol use and
 accelerated biological ageing. Addict Biol. 2022 Jan;27(1):e13100. doi: 10.1111/adb.13100. Epub 2021
 Oct 12. PMID: 34636470; PMCID: PMC7614236.
- Boyd, A., J. Golding, Macleod, DA Lawlor, A. Fraser, J. Henderson, L. Molloy, A. Ness, S. Ring, and G. Davey
 Smith. 2013. "Cohort Profile: The 'Children of the 90s'--the Index Offspring of the Avon Longitudinal
 Study of Parents and Children." *International Journal of Epidemiology* 42(1):111–27. doi:
 10.1093/IJE/DYS064.
- 43Braga, R. J., Reynolds, G. P., & Siris, S. G. (2013). Anxiety comorbidity in schizophrenia. Psychiatry44Research, 210(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PSYCHRES.2013.07.030
- Braga, R. J., Reynolds, G. P., & Siris, S. G. (2013). Anxiety comorbidity in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research*, *210*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PSYCHRES.2013.07.030
- Brouwer, Rachel M., Jelle Schutte, Ronald Janssen, Dorret I. Boomsma, Hilleke E. Hulshoff Pol, and Hugo
 G. Schnack. 2021. "The Speed of Development of Adolescent Brain Age Depends on Sex and Is
 Genetically Determined." *Cerebral Cortex* 31(2):1296–1306. doi: 10.1093/CERCOR/BHAA296.
- 50 Choi, Shing Wan, Timothy Shin Heng Mak, and Paul F. O'Reilly. 2020. "Tutorial: A Guide to Performing

Polygenic Risk Score Analyses." Nature Protocols 2020 15:9 15(9):2759-72. doi: 10.1038/s41596-020-1 0353-1.

- 2 3 Chung, Y., J. Addington, CE Bearden, K. Cadenhead, B. Cornblatt, DH DH, Mathalon, T. McGlashan, D. 4 5 6 7 Perkins, LJ Seidman, M. Tsuang, E. Walker, SW Woods, S. McEwen, TGM van Erp, and TD Cannon. 2018, "Use of Machine Learning to Determine Deviance in Neuroanatomical Maturity Associated With Future Psychosis in Youths at Clinically High Risk." JAMA Psychiatry 75(9):960-68. doi: 10.1001/JAMAPSYCHIATRY.2018.1543.
- 8 9 Clausen, Ashley N., Kelene A. Fercho, Molly Monsour, Seth Disner, Lauren Salminen, Courtney C. Haswell, Emily Clarke Rubright, Amanda A. Watts, M. Nicole Buckley, Adi Maron-Katz, Anika Sierk, Antje 10 Manthey, Benjamin Suarez-Jimenez, Bunmi O. Olatunji, Christopher L. Averill, David Hofmann, Dick J. 11 Veltman, Elizabeth A. Olson, Gen Li, Gina L. Forster, Henrik Walter, Jacklynn Fitzgerald, Jean 12 Théberge, Jeffrey S. Simons, Jessica A. Bomyea, Jessie L. Frijling, John H. Krystal, Justin T. Baker, K. 13 Luan Phan, Kerry Ressler, Laura K. M. Han, Laura Nawijn, Lauren A. M. Lebois, Lianne Schmaal, Maria 14 Densmore, Martha E. Shenton, Miriam van Zuiden, Murrav Stein, Negar Fani, Raluca M. Simons, Richard W. J. Neufeld, Ruth Lanius, Sanne van Rooij, Saskia B. J. Koch, Serena Bonomo, Tanja 15 16 Jovanovic, Terri deRoon-Cassini, Timothy D. Ely, Vincent A. Magnotta, Xiaofu He, Chadi G. Abdallah, 17 Amit Etkin, Christian Schmahl, Christine Larson, Isabelle M. Rosso, Jennifer Urbano Blackford, Jennifer 18 S. Stevens, Judith K. Daniels, Julia Herzog, Milissa L. Kaufman, Miranda Olff, Richard J. Davidson, 19 Scott R. Sponheim, Sven C. Mueller, Thomas Straube, Xi Zhu, Yuval Neria, Lee A. Baugh, James H. 20 Cole, Paul M. Thompson, and Rajendra A. Morey. 2022. "Assessment of Brain Age in Posttraumatic 21 Stress Disorder: Findings from the ENIGMA PTSD and Brain Age Working Groups." Brain and Behavior 22 12(1). doi: 10.1002/BRB3.2413.
- 23 Cohen, A. A., Kennedy, B. K., Anglas, U., Bronikowski, A. M., Deelen, J., Dufour, F., Ferbeyre, G., Ferrucci, 24 L., Franceschi, C., Frasca, D., Friguet, B., Gaudreau, P., Gladyshev, V. N., Gonos, E. S., Gorbunova, 25 V., Gut, P., Ivanchenko, M., Legault, V., Lemaître, J. F., ... Fülöp, T. (2020). Lack of consensus on an 26 aging biology paradigm? A global survey reveals an agreement to disagree, and the need for an 27 interdisciplinary framework. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development, *191*. 111316. 28 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MAD.2020.111316
- 29 Cohen, J. W., Ramphal, B., DeSerisy, M., Zhao, Y., Pagliaccio, D., Colcombe, S., Milham, M. P., & Margolis, 30 A. E. (2022). Relative Brain Age Is Associated with Socioeconomic Status and Anxiety/Depression 31 Problems in Youth. BioRxiv, 2022.09.15.505331. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.09.15.505331
- 32 Cole, James H. 2020. "Multimodality Neuroimaging Brain-Age in UK Biobank: Relationship to Biomedical, 33 Factors." Lifestyle, and Cognitive Neurobiology of Aging 92:34-42. doi: 34 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2020.03.014.
- 35 Cole, James H., and Katja Franke. 2017. "Predicting Age Using Neuroimaging: Innovative Brain Ageing 36 Biomarkers." Trends in Neurosciences 40(12):681-90.
- 37 Cole, J. H., Franke, K., & Cherbuin, N. (2019). Quantification of the Biological Age of the Brain Using 38 Neuroimaging (pp. 293-328). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24970-0 19
- 39 Cole, JH, RPK Poudel, D. Tsagkrasoulis, MWA Caan, C. Steves, TD Spector, and G. Montana. 2017. 40 "Predicting Brain Age with Deep Learning from Raw Imaging Data Results in a Reliable and Heritable Biomarker." NeuroImage 163:115-24. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2017.07.059. 41
- 42 Cole JH, Ritchie SJ, Bastin ME, Valdés Hernández MC, Muñoz Maniega S, Royle N, et al. Brain age predicts 43 mortality. Mol Psychiatry 2018 235. 2017;23:1385-1392.
- 44 Constantinides, Constantinos, Laura K. M. Han, Clara Alloza, Linda Antonella Antonucci, Celso Arango, Rosa 45 Ayesa-Arriola, Nerisa Banaj, Alessandro Bertolino, Stefan Borgwardt, Jason Bruggemann, Juan 46 Bustillo, Oleg Bykhovski, Vince Calhoun, Vaughan Carr, Stanley Catts, Young-Chul Chung, Benedicto 47 Crespo-Facorro, Covadonga M. Díaz-Caneja, Gary Donohoe, Stefan Du Plessis, Jesse Edmond, 48 Stefan Ehrlich, Robin Emsley, Lisa T. Eyler, Paola Fuentes-Claramonte, Foivos Georgiadis, Melissa 49 Green, Amalia Guerrero-Pedraza, Minji Ha, Tim Hahn, Frans A. Henskens, Laurena Holleran, 50 Stephanie Homan, Philipp Homan, Neda Jahanshad, Joost Janssen, Ellen Ji, Stefan Kaiser, Vasily 51 Kaleda, Minah Kim, Woo-Sung Kim, Matthias Kirschner, Peter Kochunov, Yoo Bin Kwak, Jun Soo 52 Kwon, Irina Lebedeva, Jingyu Liu, Patricia Mitchie, Stijn Michielse, David Mothersill, Bryan Mowry, 53 Víctor Ortiz-García de la Foz, Christos Pantelis, Giulio Pergola, Fabrizio Piras, Edith Pomarol-Clotet, 54 Adrian Preda, Yann Quidé, Paul E. Rasser, Kelly Rootes-Murdy, Raymond Salvador, Marina 55 Sangiuliano, Salvador Sarró, Ulrich Schall, André Schmidt, Rodney J. Scott, Pierluigi Selvaggi, Kang Sim, Antonin Skoch, Gianfranco Spalletta, Filip Spaniel, Sophia I. Thomopoulos, David Tomecek, 56

Alexander S. Tomyshev, Diana Tordesillas-Gutiérrez, Therese van Amelsvoort, Javier Vázquez Bourgon, Daniela Vecchio, Aristotle Voineskos, Cynthia S. Weickert, Thomas Weickert, Paul M.
 Thompson, Lianne Schmaal, Theo G. M. van Erp, Jessica Turner, James H. Cole, Rosa Ayesa-Arriola,
 Stefan Du Plessis, Yoo Bin Kwak, Víctor Ortiz-García de la Foz, Therese van Amelsvoort, Theo G. M.
 van Erp, Danai Dima, and Esther Walton. 2022. "Brain Ageing in Schizophrenia: Evidence from 26
 International Cohorts via the ENIGMA Schizophrenia Consortium." *Molecular Psychiatry* 2022 14:1–9.
 doi: 10.1038/s41380-022-01897-w.

- Corbin, Laura J., Vanessa Y. Tan, David A. Hughes, Kaitlin H. Wade, Dirk S. Paul, Katherine E. Tansey,
 Frances Butcher, Frank Dudbridge, Joanna M. Howson, Momodou W. Jallow, Catherine John, Nathalie
 Kingston, Cecilia M. Lindgren, Michael O'Donavan, Stephen O'Rahilly, Michael J. Owen, Colin N. A.
 Palmer, Ewan R. Pearson, Robert A. Scott, David A. Van Heel, John Whittaker, Tim Frayling, Martin D.
 Tobin, Louise V. Wain, George Davey Smith, David M. Evans, Fredrik Karpe, Mark I. McCarthy, John
 Danesh, Paul W. Franks, and Nicholas J. Timpson. 2018. "Formalising Recall by Genotype as an
 Efficient Approach to Detailed Phenotyping and Causal Inference." *Nature Communications 2018 9:1*9(1):1–11. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03109-y.
- Correll, Christoph U., Marco Solmi, Nicola Veronese, Beatrice Bortolato, Stella Rosson, Paolo Santonastaso,
 Nita Thapa-Chhetri, Michele Fornaro, Davide Gallicchio, Enrico Collantoni, Giorgio Pigato, Angela
 Favaro, Francesco Monaco, Cristiano Kohler, Davy Vancampfort, Philip B. Ward, Fiona Gaughran,
 André F. Carvalho, and Brendon Stubbs. 2017. "Prevalence, Incidence and Mortality from
 Cardiovascular Disease in Patients with Pooled and Specific Severe Mental Illness: A Large-Scale
 Meta-Analysis of 3,211,768 Patients and 113,383,368 Controls." World Psychiatry 16(2):163–80. doi:
 10.1002/wps.20420.
- Cropley, V. L., Klauser, P., Lenroot, R. K., Bruggemann, J., Sundram, S., Bousman, C., Pereira, A., Di Biase,
 M. A., Weickert, T. W., Weickert, C. S., Pantelis, C., & Zalesky, A. (2017). Accelerated gray and white
 matter deterioration with age in schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *174*(3), 286–295.
 https://doi.org/10.1176/APPI.AJP.2016.16050610/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/APPI.AJP.2016.16050610
 F4.JPEG
- de Lange, Ann-Marie G., Melis Anatürk, Jaroslav Rokicki, Laura K. M. Han, Katja Franke, Dag Alnaes, Klaus
 P. Ebmeier, Bogdan Draganski, Tobias Kaufmann, Lars T. Westlye, Tim Hahn, | James, and H. Cole.
 2022. "Mind the Gap: Performance Metric Evaluation in Brain-Age Prediction." *Human Brain Mapping*.
 doi: 10.1002/HBM.25837.
- de Lange, Ann Marie G., and James H. Cole. 2020. "Commentary: Correction Procedures in Brain-Age
 Prediction." *NeuroImage: Clinical* 26:102229. doi: 10.1016/J.NICL.2020.102229.
- Dimitriadis, S. I., Lancaster, T. M., Perry, G., Tansey, K. E., Jones, D. K., Singh, K. D., Zammit, S., Smith, G.
 D., Hall, J., O'Donovan, M. C., Owen, M. J., & Linden, D. E. (2021). Global Brain Flexibility During
 Working Memory Is Reduced in a High-Genetic-Risk Group for Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 6(12), 1176–1184.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BPSC.2021.01.007
- Dimitriadis, S. I., Perry, G., Lancaster, T. M., Tansey, K. E., Singh, K. D., Holmans, P., Pocklington, A., Davey
 Smith, G., Zammit, S., Hall, J., O'Donovan, M. C., Owen, M. J., Jones, D. K., & Linden, D. E. (2023).
 Genetic risk for schizophrenia is associated with increased proportion of indirect connections in brain
 networks revealed by a semi-metric analysis: evidence from population sample stratified for polygenic
 risk. *Cerebral Cortex*, *33*(6), 2997–3011. https://doi.org/10.1093/CERCOR/BHAC256
- Demro, Caroline, Chen Shen, Timothy J. Hendrickson, Jessica L. Arend, Seth G. Disner, and Scott R.
 Sponheim. 2022. "Advanced Brain-Age in Psychotic Psychopathology: Evidence for Transdiagnostic
 Neurodevelopmental Origins." *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 14. doi: 10.3389/FNAGI.2022.872867.
- Dieset, Ingrid, Ole A. Andreassen, and Unn K. Haukvik. 2016. "Somatic Comorbidity in Schizophrenia: Some
 Possible Biological Mechanisms Across the Life Span." *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 42(6):1316–19. doi:
 10.1093/schbul/sbw028.
- Drobinin, Vladislav, Holly Van Gestel, Carl A. Helmick, Matthias H. Schmidt, Chris V Bowen, and Rudolf Uher.
 2022. "The Developmental Brain Age Is Associated With Adversity, Depression, and Functional
 Outcomes Among Adolescents." *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* 7(4):406–14. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2021.09.004.
- 54 Fischl, Bruce. 2012. "FreeSurfer." *NeuroImage* 62(2):774–81. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2012.01.021.
- 55 Franke, K., G. Ziegler, S. Klöppel, and C. Gaser. 2010. "Estimating the Age of Healthy Subjects from T1-56 Weighted MRI Scans Using Kernel Methods: Exploring the Influence of Various Parameters."

- 1 *NeuroImage* 50(3):883–92. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2010.01.005.
- Franke, Katja, and Christian Gaser. 2019. "Ten Years of Brainage as a Neuroimaging Biomarker of Brain
 Aging: What Insights Have We Gained?" *Frontiers in Neurology* 10(JUL):789.
- Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, Boyd A, Golding J, Davey Smith G, Henderson J, Macleod J, Molloy
 L, Ness A, Ring S, Nelson SM, Lawlor DA. Cohort Profile: The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and
 Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. International Journal of Epidemiology 2013; 42:97-110.
- Gage, S. H., Hickman, M., & Zammit, S. (2016). Association between cannabis and psychosis:
 Epidemiologic evidence. *Biological Psychiatry*, *79*(7), 549–556.
- 9 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.08.001
- Gage, S. H., Hickman, M., & Zammit, S. (2016). Association between cannabis and psychosis:
 Epidemiologic evidence. *Biological Psychiatry*, *79*(7), 549–556.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.08.001
- Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A research note. J Child Psychol Psychiatry.
 1997;38:581–586.pmid:925570
- Hahn, T., Fisch, L., Ernsting, J., Winter, N. R., Leenings, R., Sarink, K., Emden, D., Kircher, T., Berger, K.,
 & Dannlowski, U. (2021). From 'loose fitting' to high-performance, uncertainty-aware brain-age
 modelling. *Brain*, 144(3), e31–e31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/BRAIN/AWAA454</u>
- Hajek, T., Franke, K., Kolenic, M., Capkova, J., Matejka, M., Propper, L., Uher, R., Stopkova, P.,
 Novak, T., Paus, T., Kopecek, M., Spaniel, F., & Alda, M. (2019). Brain Age in Early Stages
 of Bipolar Disorders or Schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *45*(1), 191–198.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbx172
- 22 Han, Laura K. M., Richard Dinga, Tim Hahn, Christopher R. K. Ching, Lisa T. Eyler, Lyubomir Aftanas, Moji 23 Aghajani, André Aleman, Bernhard T. Baune, Klaus Berger, Ivan Brak, Geraldo Busatto Filho, Angela 24 Carballedo, Colm G. Connolly, Baptiste Couvy-Duchesne, Kathryn R. Cullen, Udo Dannlowski, 25 Christopher G. Davey, Danai Dima, Fabio L. S. Duran, Verena Enneking, Elena Filimonova, Stefan 26 Frenzel, Thomas Frodl, Cynthia H. Y. Fu, Beata R. Godlewska, Ian H. Gotlib, Hans J. Grabe, Nynke A. 27 Groenewold, Dominik Grotegerd, Oliver Gruber, Geoffrey B. Hall, Ben J. Harrison, Sean N. Hatton, 28 Marco Hermesdorf, Ian B. Hickie, Tiffany C. Ho, Norbert Hosten, Andreas Jansen, Claas Kähler, Tilo 29 Kircher, Bonnie Klimes-Dougan, Bernd Krämer, Axel Krug, Jim Lagopoulos, Ramona Leenings, Frank 30 P. MacMaster, Glenda MacQueen, Andrew McIntosh, Quinn McLellan, Katie L. McMahon, Sarah E. 31 Medland, Bryon A. Mueller, Benson Mwangi, Evgeny Osipov, Maria J. Portella, Elena Pozzi, Liesbeth 32 Reneman, Jonathan Repple, Pedro G. P. Rosa, Matthew D. Sacchet, Philipp G. Sämann, Knut Schnell, 33 Anouk Schrantee, Egle Simulionyte, Jair C. Soares, Jens Sommer, Dan J. Stein, Olaf Steinsträter, 34 Lachlan T. Strike, Sophia I. Thomopoulos, Marie José van Tol, Ilya M. Veer, Robert R. J. M. Vermeiren, 35 Henrik Walter, Nic J. A. van der Wee, Steven J. A. van der Werff, Heather Whalley, Nils R. Winter, 36 Katharina Wittfeld, Margaret J. Wright, Mon Ju Wu, Henry Völzke, Tony T. Yang, Vasileios Zannias, 37 Greig I. de Zubicaray, Giovana B. Zunta-Soares, Christoph Abé, Martin Alda, Ole A. Andreassen, Erlend 38 Bøen, Caterina M. Bonnin, Erick J. Canales-Rodriguez, Dara Cannon, Xavier Caseras, Tiffany M. 39 Chaim-Avancini, Torbjørn Elvsåshagen, Pauline Favre, Sonya F. Foley, Janice M. Fullerton, Jose M. 40 Goikolea, Bartholomeus C. M. Haarman, Tomas Hajek, Chantal Henry, Josselin Houenou, Fleur M. 41 Howells, Martin Ingvar, Rayus Kuplicki, Beny Lafer, Mikael Landén, Rodrigo Machado-Vieira, Ulrik F. 42 Malt, Colm McDonald, Philip B. Mitchell, Leila Nabulsi, Maria Concepcion Garcia Otaduy, Bronwyn J. 43 Overs, Mircea Polosan, Edith Pomarol-Clotet, Joaquim Radua, Maria M. Rive, Gloria Roberts, Henricus 44 G. Ruhe, Raymond Salvador, Salvador Sarró, Theodore D. Satterthwaite, Jonathan Savitz, Aart H. 45 Schene, Peter R. Schofield, Mauricio H. Serpa, Kang Sim, Marcio Gerhardt Soeiro-de-Souza, Ashley N. Sutherland, Henk S. Temmingh, Garrett M. Timmons, Anne Uhlmann, Eduard Vieta, Daniel H. Wolf, 46 Marcus V. Zanetti, Neda Jahanshad, Paul M. Thompson, Dick J. Veltman, Brenda W. J. H. Penninx, 47 48 Andre F. Marquand, James H. Cole, and Lianne Schmaal. 2020. "Brain Aging in Major Depressive 49 Disorder: Results from the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder Working Group." Molecular Psychiatry 50 1-16. doi: 10.1038/s41380-020-0754-0.
- Han, L. K. M., Dinga, R., Leenings, R., Hahn, T., Cole, J. H., Aftanas, L. I., Amod, A. R., Besteher, B., Colle,
 R., Corruble, E., Couvy-Duchesne, B., Danilenko, K. V., Fuentes-Claramonte, P., Gonul, A. S., Gotlib,
 I. H., Goya-Maldonado, R., Groenewold, N. A., Hamilton, P., Ichikawa, N., ... Schmaal, L. (2022). A

- large-scale ENIGMA multisite replication study of brain age in depression. *Neuroimage: Reports*, 2(4),
 100149. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YNIRP.2022.100149</u>
- Harris PA, R Taylor, R Thielke, J Payne, N Gonzalez, JG. Conde, Research electronic data capture
 (REDCap) A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational
 research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009 Apr;42(2):377-81.
- Healy, C., Brannigan, R., Dooley, N., Coughlan, H., Clarke, M., Kelleher, I., & Cannon, M. (2019).
 Childhood and adolescent psychotic experiences and risk of mental disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, *49*(10), 1589–1599.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719000485
- Hjorthøj, Carsten, Anne Emilie Stürup, John J. McGrath, and Merete Nordentoft. 2017. "Years of Potential
 Life Lost and Life Expectancy in Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *The Lancet Psychiatry* 4(4):295–301. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30078-0.
- Holm MC, Leonardsen EH, Beck D, Dahl A, Kjelkenes R, de Lange AG, Westlye LT. Linking brain maturation
 and puberty during early adolescence using longitudinal brain age prediction in the ABCD cohort. Dev
 Cogn Neurosci. 2023 Feb 22;60:101220. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2023.101220. Epub ahead of print. PMID:
 36841180; PMCID: PMC9972398.
- International Schizophrenia Consortium; Purcell SM, Wray NR, Stone JL, Visscher PM, O'Donovan MC,
 Sullivan PF, Sklar P. Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar
 disorder. Nature. 2009 Aug 6;460(7256):748-52. doi: 10.1038/nature08185. Epub 2009 Jul 1. PMID:
 19571811; PMCID: PMC3912837.
- Jameei H, Rakesh D, Zalesky A, Cairns MJ, Reay WR, Wray NR, et al. Linking Polygenic Risk of
 Schizophrenia to Variation in Magnetic Resonance Imaging Brain Measures: A Comprehensive
 Systematic Review. Schizophr Bull. 2023. 24 June 2023. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/SCHBUL/SBAD087</u>.
- Jirsaraie, R. J., Gorelik, A. J., Gatavins, M. M., Engemann, D. A., Bogdan, R., Barch, D. M., & Sotiras, A.
 (2023). A systematic review of multimodal brain age studies: Uncovering a divergence between model accuracy and utility. *Patterns (New York, N.Y.)*, 4(4). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PATTER.2023.100712
- 27 Kaufmann, Tobias, Dennis van der Meer, Nhat Trung Doan, Emanuel Schwarz, Martina J. Lund, Ingrid 28 Agartz, Dag Alnæs, Deanna M. Barch, Ramona Baur-Streubel, Alessandro Bertolino, Francesco 29 Bettella, Mona K. Beyer, Erlend Bøen, Stefan Borgwardt, Christine L. Brandt, Jan Buitelaar, Elisabeth 30 G. Celius, Simon Cervenka, Annette Conzelmann, Aldo Córdova-Palomera, Anders M. Dale, Dominique 31 J. F. de Quervain, Pasquale Di Carlo, Srdjan Djurovic, Erlend S. Dørum, Sarah Eisenacher, Torbjørn 32 Elvsåshagen, Thomas Espeseth, Helena Fatouros-Bergman, Lena Flyckt, Barbara Franke, Oleksandr 33 Frei, Beathe Haatveit, Asta K. Håberg, Hanne F. Harbo, Catharina A. Hartman, Dirk Heslenfeld, Pieter 34 J. Hoekstra, Einar A. Høgestøl, Terry L. Jernigan, Rune Jonassen, Erik G. Jönsson, Lars Farde, Lena 35 Flyckt, Göran Engberg, Sophie Erhardt, Helena Fatouros-Bergman, Simon Cervenka, Lilly Schwieler, 36 Fredrik Piehl, Ingrid Agartz, Karin Collste, Pauliina Victorsson, Anna Malmqvist, Mikael Hedberg, Funda 37 Orhan, Peter Kirsch, Iwona Kłoszewska, Knut K, Kolskår, Nils Inge Landrø, Stephanie Le Hellard, Klaus 38 Peter Lesch, Simon Lovestone, Arvid Lundervold, Astri J. Lundervold, Luigi A. Maglanoc, Ulrik F. Malt, 39 Patrizia Mecocci, Ingrid Melle, Andreas Meyer-Lindenberg, Torgeir Moberget, Linn B. Norbom, Jan Egil 40 Nordvik, Lars Nyberg, Jaap Oosterlaan, Marco Papalino, Andreas Papassotiropoulos, Paul Pauli, Giulio 41 Pergola, Karin Persson, Geneviève Richard, Jaroslav Rokicki, Anne Marthe Sanders, Geir Selbæk, 42 Alexey A. Shadrin, Olav B. Smeland, Hilkka Soininen, Piotr Sowa, Vidar M. Steen, Magda Tsolaki, 43 Kristine M. Ulrichsen, Bruno Vellas, Lei Wang, Eric Westman, Georg C. Ziegler, Mathias Zink, Ole A. Andreassen, and Lars T. Westlye. 2019. "Common Brain Disorders Are Associated with Heritable 44 45 Patterns of Apparent Aging of the Brain." Nature Neuroscience 22(10):1617-23. doi: 10.1038/s41593-46 019-0471-7.
- Kirkpatrick, Brian, and Brian K. Kennedy. 2018. "Accelerated Aging in Schizophrenia and Related Disorders:
 Future Research." *Schizophrenia Research* 196:4–8. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2017.06.034.
- Kirkpatrick, Brian, Erick Messias, Philip D. Harvey, Emilio Fernandez-Egea, and Christopher R. Bowie. 2008.
 "Is Schizophrenia a Syndrome of Accelerated Aging?" *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 34(6):1024–32.
- Kinzina, E. D., Podolskiy, D. I., Dmitriev, S. E., & Gladyshev, V. N. (2019). Patterns of Aging Biomarkers,
 Mortality, and Damaging Mutations Illuminate the Beginning of Aging and Causes of Early-Life Mortality.
 Cell Reports, 29(13), 4276-4284.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.091

- Kolbeinsson, A., Filippi, S., Panagakis, Y. *et al.* Accelerated MRI-predicted brain ageing and its associations
 with cardiometabolic and brain disorders. *Sci Rep* 10, 19940 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-</u>
 <u>020-76518-z</u>
- Koutsouleris, N., C. Davatzikos, S. Borgwardt, C. Gaser, R. Bottlender, T. Frodl, P. Falkai, A. RiecherRossler, H. J. Moller, M. Reiser, C. Pantelis, and E. Meisenzahl. 2014. "Accelerated Brain Aging in
 Schizophrenia and Beyond: A Neuroanatomical Marker of Psychiatric Disorders." *Schizophrenia Bulletin*40(5):1140–53. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbt142.
- 8 Lancaster, TM, SL Dimitriadis, KL Tansey, G. Perry, N. Ihssen, DK Jones, KD Singh, P. Holmans, D.
 9 Pocklington, D. Davey Smith, D. Zammit, J. Hall, MC O'Donovan, MJ Owen, and DE Linden. 2019.
 10 "Structural and Functional Neuroimaging of Polygenic Risk for Schizophrenia: A Recall-by-Genotype11 Based Approach." Schizophrenia Bulletin 45(2):405–14. doi: 10.1093/SCHBUL/SBY037.
- Lancaster, T. M., Dimitriadis, S. I., Perry, G., Zammit, S., O'Donovan, M. C., & Linden, D. E. (2021).
 Morphometric Analysis of Structural MRI Using Schizophrenia Meta-analytic Priors Distinguish Patients
 from Controls in Two Independent Samples and in a Sample of Individuals With High Polygenic Risk.
 Schizophrenia Bulletin. https://doi.org/10.1093/SCHBUL/SBAB125
- Le, Trang T., Rayus T. Kuplicki, Brett A. McKinney, Hung-Wen Yeh, Wesley K. Thompson, and Martin P.
 Paulus. 2018. "A Nonlinear Simulation Framework Supports Adjusting for Age When Analyzing
 BrainAGE." *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 10:317. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2018.00317.
- Leonardsen, E. H., Vidal-Piñeiro, D., Roe, J. M., Frei, O., Shadrin, A. A., Iakunchykova, O., Lange, A.-M. G.
 de, Kaufmann, T., Taschler, B., Smith, S. M., Andreassen, O. A., Wolfers, T., Westlye, L. T., & Wang,
 Y. (2023). Genetic architecture of brain age and its casual relations with brain and mental disorders. *MedRxiv*, 2023.01.09.23284310. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284310
- Legleye, S., Karila, L., Beck, F., & Reynaud, M. (2009). Validation of the CAST, a general population
 Cannabis Abuse Screening Test. *Http://Dx.Doi.Org/10.1080/14659890701476532*, *12*(4), 233–242.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/14659890701476532
- Lewis, G., Pelosi, A. J., Araya, R., & Dunn, G. (1992). Measuring psychiatric disorder in the community: a
 standardized assessment for use by lay interviewers. *Psychological Medicine*, *22*(2), 465–486.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700030415
- Liang, Hualou, Fengqing Zhang, and Xin Niu. 2019. "Investigating Systematic Bias in Brain Age Estimation
 with Application to Post-Traumatic Stress Disorders." *Human Brain Mapping* 40(11):3143–52. doi: 10.1002/HBM.24588.
- Marconi A, Di Forti M, Lewis CM, Murray RM, Vassos E. Meta-analysis of the Association Between the Level
 of Cannabis Use and Risk of Psychosis. Schizophr Bull. 2016 Sep;42(5):1262-9. doi:
 10.1093/schbul/sbw003. Epub 2016 Feb 15. PMID: 26884547; PMCID: PMC4988731.
- 35 Martin, J., Tilling, K., Hubbard, L., Stergiakouli, E., Thapar, A., Davey Smith, G., O'Donovan, M. C., & Zammit, 36 S. (2016). Association of Genetic Risk for Schizophrenia With Nonparticipation Over Time in a Population-37 Based Study. Journal Epidemiology, Cohort American of 183(12), 1149–1158. 38 https://doi.org/10.1093/AJE/KWW009
- Meier MH, Caspi A, Ambler A, Hariri AR, Harrington H, Hogan S, Houts R, Knodt AR, Ramrakha S, Richmond Rakerd LS, Poulton R, Moffitt TE. Preparedness for healthy ageing and polysubstance use in long-term
 cannabis users: a population-representative longitudinal study. Lancet Healthy Longev. 2022
 Oct;3(10):e703-e714. doi: 10.1016/S2666-7568(22)00201-X. PMID: 36202130; PMCID: PMC9552770.
- Mitchell, Alex J., Davy Vancampfort, Kim Sweers, Ruud van Winkel, Weiping Yu, and Marc De Hert. 2013.
 "Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome and Metabolic Abnormalities in Schizophrenia and Related Disorders—A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 39(2):306–18. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbr148.
- Modabbernia, Amirhossein, Heather C. Whalley, David C. Glahn, Paul M. Thompson, Rene S. Kahn, and
 Sophia Frangou. 2022. "Systematic Evaluation of Machine Learning Algorithms for Neuroanatomically Based Age Prediction in Youth." *Human Brain Mapping* 43(17):5126–40. doi: 10.1002/HBM.26010.
- Murray, R. M., Bhavsar, V., Tripoli, G., & Howes, O. (2017). 30 Years on: How the Neurodevelopmental
 Hypothesis of Schizophrenia Morphed Into the Developmental Risk Factor Model of Psychosis.
 Schizophrenia Bulletin, 43(6), 1190–1196. https://doi.org/10.1093/SCHBUL/SBX121
- 54 Myles N, Newall HD, Curtis J, Nielssen O, Shiers D, Large M. Tobacco use before, at, and after first-episode 55 psychosis: a systematic meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2012 Apr;73(4):468-75. doi:

10.4088/JCP.11r07222. PMID: 22579146. Neilson, Emma, Xuevi Shen, Simon R, Cox, Toni Kim Clarke, Eleanor M, Wigmore, Jude Gibson, David M, Howard, Mark J. Adams, Mat A. Harris, Gail Davies, Ian J. Deary, Heather C. Whalley, Andrew M. 4 5 6 7 McIntosh, and Stephen M. Lawrie. 2019. "Impact of Polygenic Risk for Schizophrenia on Cortical Structure in UK Biobank." Biological Psychiatry 86(7):536-44. doi: 10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2019.04.013. Nenadić, Igor, Maren Dietzek, Kerstin Langbein, Heinrich Sauer, and Christian Gaser. 2017. "BrainAGE Score Indicates Accelerated Brain Aging in Schizophrenia, but Not Bipolar Disorder." Psychiatry

1

2

3

Research - Neuroimaging 266:86-89. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2017.05.006.

, 8 9 Ning, K., Zhao, L., Matloff, W. et al. Association of relative brain age with tobacco smoking, alcohol 10 consumption, and genetic variants. Sci Rep 10, 10 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56089-4 11 Northstone K, Lewcock M, Groom A, Boyd A, Macleod J, Timpson NJ, Wells N. The Avon Longitudinal Study 12 of Parents and Children (ALSPAC): an updated on the enrolled sample of index children in 2019. 13

Wellcome Open research 2019; 4:51 (https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15132.1)

Owen, M. J., & O'Donovan, M. C. (2017). Schizophrenia and the neurodevelopmental continuum:evidence 14 15 from genomics. World Psychiatry, 16(3), 227-235. https://doi.org/10.1002/WPS.20440

16 Popescu, Sebastian G., Ben Glocker, David J. Sharp, and James H. Cole. 2021. "Local Brain-Age: A U-Net 17 Model." Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience 13. doi: 10.3389/FNAGI.2021.761954.

- 18 Purcell, Shaun, Benjamin Neale, Kathe Todd-Brown, Lori Thomas, Manuel A. R. Ferreira, David Bender, Julian Maller, Pamela Sklar, Paul I. W. De Bakker, Mark J. Daly, and Pak C. Sham. 2007. "PLINK: A 19 20 Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses." American Journal 21 of Human Genetics 81(3):559-75. doi: 10.1086/519795.
- 22 Rokicki, Jaroslav, Thomas Wolfers, Wibeke Nordhøy, Natalia Tesli, Daniel S. Quintana, Dag Alnæs, 23 Genevieve Richard, Ann Marie G. de Lange, Martina J. Lund, Linn Norbom, Ingrid Agartz, Ingrid Melle, 24 Terje Nærland, Geir Selbæk, Karin Persson, Jan Egil Nordvik, Emanuel Schwarz, Ole A. Andreassen, 25 Tobias Kaufmann, and Lars T. Westlye. 2021. "Multimodal Imaging Improves Brain Age Prediction and 26 Reveals Distinct Abnormalities in Patients with Psychiatric and Neurological Disorders." Human Brain 27 Mapping 42(6):1714-26. doi: 10.1002/HBM.25323.
- 28 Sanford, Nicole, Ruivang Ge, Mathilde Antoniades, Amirhossein Modabbernia, Shalaila S. Haas, Heather C. 29 Whalley, Liisa Galea, Sebastian G. Popescu, James H. Cole, and Sophia Frangou. 2022. "Sex 30 Differences in Predictors and Regional Patterns of Brain Age Gap Estimates." Human Brain Mapping 31 43(15):4689-98. doi: 10.1002/HBM.25983.
- 32 Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, de la Fuente JR, Grant M. Development of the alcohol use disorders 33 identification test (AUDIT): WHO collaborative project on early detection of persons with harmful alcohol 34 consumption-II. Addiction. 1993;88(6):791-804. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1993.tb02093.x
- 35 Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. 2014. "Biological Insights from 108 36 Schizophrenia-Associated Genetic Loci." Nature 511(7510):421-27. doi: 10.1038/NATURE13595.
- 37 Schnack, Hugo G., Neeltje E. M. Van Haren, Mireille Nieuwenhuis, Hilleke E. Hulshof. Pol, Wiepke Cahn, 38 and René S. Kahn. 2016. "Accelerated Brain Aging in Schizophrenia: A Longitudinal Pattern 39 Recognition Study." American Journal of Psvchiatrv 173(6):607-16. doi: 40 10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15070922.
- 41 Schulz, J., Sundin, J., Leask, S., & Done, D. J. (2014). Risk of Adult Schizophrenia and Its Relationship to 42 Childhood IQ in the 1958 British Birth Cohort. Schizophrenia Bulletin, 40(1), 143. 43 https://doi.org/10.1093/SCHBUL/SBS157
- 44 Sharp, Tamsin H., Nancy S. McBride, Amy E. Howell, C. John Evans, Derek K. Jones, Gavin Perry, Stavros 45 I. Dimitriadis, Thomas M. Lancaster, Luisa Zuccolo, Caroline Relton, Sarah M. Matthews, Thomas 46 Breeze, Anthony S. David, Mark Drakesmith, David E. J. Linden, Tomas Paus, and Esther Walton. 47 2020. "Population Neuroimaging: Generation of a Comprehensive Data Resource within the ALSPAC and Birth Cohort." 48 Pregnancy Wellcome Open Research 2020 5:203 5:203. doi: 49 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16060.1.
- 50 Smith, Stephen M., D. Vidaurre, F. Alfaro-Almagro, Thomas E. Nichols, and Karla L. Miller. 2019. "Estimation 51 Delta Imaging." of Brain Age from Brain NeuroImage 200:528-39. doi: 52 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.06.017.
- 53 Smith SM, Elliott LT, Alfaro-Almagro F, McCarthy P, Nichols TE, Douaud G, Miller KL. Brain aging comprises 54 many modes of structural and functional change with distinct genetic and biophysical associations. Elife. 55 2020 Mar 5;9:e52677. doi: 10.7554/eLife.52677. PMID: 32134384; PMCID: PMC7162660.
- 56 Stauffer, EM., Bethlehem, R.A.I., Warrier, V. et al. Grey and white matter microstructure is associated with

polygenic risk for schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry 26, 7709–7718 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-021-01260-5

- 234567 Stroup, T. Scott, Mark Olfson, Cecilia Huang, Melanie M. Wall, Terry Goldberg, Davangere P. Devanand, and Tobias Gerhard. 2021. "Age-Specific Prevalence and Incidence of Dementia Diagnoses Among US With Schizophrenia." JAMA Psvchiatrv Older Adults 78(6):632-41. doi: 10.1001/JAMAPSYCHIATRY.2021.0042.
- Sullivan, Patrick F., Kenneth S. Kendler, and Michael C. Neale. 2003. "Schizophrenia as a Complex Trait: 8 9 Evidence From a Meta-Analysis of Twin Studies." Archives of General Psychiatry 60(12):1187–92. doi: 10.1001/ARCHPSYC.60.12.1187.
- 10 Sullivan SA, Kounali D, Cannon M, David AS, Fletcher PC, Holmans P, Jones H, Jones PB, Linden DEJ, 11 Lewis G, Owen MJ, O'Donovan M, Rammos A, Thompson A, Wolke D, Heron J, Zammit S. A Population-12 Based Cohort Study Examining the Incidence and Impact of Psychotic Experiences From Childhood to 13 Adulthood, and Prediction of Psychotic Disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2020 Apr 1:177(4):308-317. doi: 14 10.1176/appi.aip.2019.19060654. Epub 2020 Jan 7. PMID: 31906710.
- 15 Teeuw, Jalmar, Anil P. S. Ori, Rachel M. Brouwer, Sonja M. C. de Zwarte, Hugo G. Schnack, Hilleke E. 16 Hulshoff Pol, and Roel A. Ophoff. 2021. "Accelerated Aging in the Brain, Epigenetic Aging in Blood, and 17 Polygenic Risk for Schizophrenia." Schizophrenia Research 231:189-97. doi: 18 10.1016/j.schres.2021.04.005.
- 19 Trubetskoy, V., Pardiñas, A. F., Qi, T., Panagiotaropoulou, G., Awasthi, S., Bigdeli, T. B., Bryois, J., Chen, 20 C. Y., Dennison, C. A., Hall, L. S., Lam, M., Watanabe, K., Frei, O., Ge, T., Harwood, J. C., Koopmans, 21 F., Magnusson, S., Richards, A. L., Sidorenko, J., ... van Os, J. (2022). Mapping genomic loci implicates 22 genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. Nature 2022 604:7906, 604(7906), 502-508. 23 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04434-5
- 24 Truelove-Hill M, Erus G, Bashyam V, Varol E, Sako C, Gur RC, Gur RE, Koutsouleris N, Zhuo C, Fan Y, Wolf 25 DH, Satterthwaite TD, Davatzikos C. A Multidimensional Neural Maturation Index Reveals Reproducible 26 Developmental Patterns in Children and Adolescents. J Neurosci. 2020 Feb 5;40(6):1265-1275. doi: 27 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2092-19.2019. Epub 2020 Jan 2. PMID: 31896669; PMCID: PMC7002145. 28 Upthegrove, R., Marwaha, S., & Birchwood, M. (2017). Depression and Schizophrenia: Cause, 29 Consequence, or Trans-diagnostic Issue? Schizophrenia Bulletin, 43(2), 240-244. 30 https://doi.org/10.1093/SCHBUL/SBW097
- 31 Upthegrove, R., Marwaha, S., & Birchwood, M. (2017). Depression and Schizophrenia: Cause, 32 Consequence, or Trans-diagnostic Issue? Schizophrenia Bulletin, 43(2), 240-244. 33 https://doi.org/10.1093/SCHBUL/SBW097
- 34 Vancampfort D, Stubbs B, Mitchell AJ, De Hert M, Wampers M, Ward PB, Rosenbaum S, Correll CU. Risk 35 of metabolic syndrome and its components in people with schizophrenia and related psychotic 36 disorders, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. 37 World Psychiatry. 2015 Oct;14(3):339-47. doi: 10.1002/wps.20252. PMID: 26407790; PMCID: 38 PMC4592657
- 39 van Erp, T.G.M., Hibar, D. P., Rasmussen, J. M., Glahn, D. C., Pearlson, G. D., Andreassen, O. A., Agartz, 40 T., Haukvik, U. K., Dale, A. M., Melle, I., Hartberg, C. B., Gruber, O., Kraemer, B., I., Westlye, L. 41 Zilles, D., Donohoe, G., Kelly, S., McDonald, C., Morris, D. W., ... Turner, J. A. (2016). Subcortical brain 42 volume abnormalities in 2028 individuals with schizophrenia and 2540 healthy controls via the ENIGMA 43 consortium. Molecular Psychiatry, 21(4), 547-553. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.63
- 44 van Erp, Theo G.M., Walton, E., Hibar, D. P., Schmaal, L., Jiang, W., Glahn, D. C., Pearlson, G. D., Yao, N., 45 Fukunaga, M., Hashimoto, R., Okada, N., Yamamori, H., Bustillo, J. R., Clark, V. P., Agartz, I., Mueller, 46 B. A., Cahn, W., de Zwarte, S. M. C., Hulshoff Pol, H. E., ... Turner, J. A. (2018). Cortical Brain 47 Abnormalities in 4474 Individuals With Schizophrenia and 5098 Control Subjects via the Enhancing 48 Neuro Imaging Genetics Through Meta Analysis (ENIGMA) Consortium. Biological Psychiatry, 84(9), 49 644-654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.04.023
- 50 Vidal-Pineiro D, Wang Y, Krogsrud SK, Amlien IK, Baaré WFC, Bartres-Faz D, et al. Individual variations in 51 'brain age' relate to early-life factors more than to longitudinal brain change. Elife. 2021;10.
- 52 Wagen, Aaron Z., William Coath, Ashvini Keshavan, Sarah Naomi James, Thomas D. Parker, Christopher A. 53 Lane, Sarah M. Buchanan, Sarah E. Keuss, Mathew Storey, Kirsty Lu, Amy Macdougall, Heidi Murray-54 Smith, Tamar Freiberger, David M. Cash, Ian B. Malone, Josephine Barnes, Carole H. Sudre, Andrew 55 Wong, Ivanna M. Pavisic, Rebecca Street, Sebastian J. Crutch, Valentina Escott-Price, Ganna 56 Leonenko, Henrik Zetterberg, Henrietta Wellington, Amanda Heslegrave, Frederik Barkhof, Marcus

- 1 Richards, Nick C. Fox, James H. Cole, and Jonathan M. Schott. 2022. "Life Course, Genetic, and Neuropathological Associations with Brain Age in the 1946 British Birth Cohort: A Population-Based Study." The Lancet Healthy Longevity 3(9):e607–16. doi: 10.1016/S2666-7568(22)00167-2.
- Wechsler D, Golombok S, Rust J. Intelligence Scale for Children, 3rd ed. UK Manual. Sidcup, UK: The Psychological Corporation; 1992.
- 234 56789 Weye, Nanna, Natalie C. Momen, Maria K. Christensen, Kim M. Iburg, Søren Dalsgaard, Thomas M. Laursen, Preben B. Mortensen, Damian F. Santomauro, James G. Scott, Harvey A. Whiteford, John J. McGrath. and Oleguer Plana-Ripoll. 2020. "Association of Specific Mental Disorders With Premature Mortality in the Danish Population Using Alternative Measurement Methods." JAMA Network Open 3(6):e206646. 10 doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.6646.
- 11 Zammit S, Kounali D, Cannon M, David AS, Gunnell D, Heron J, et al. Psychotic Experiences and 12 Psychotic Disorders at Age 18 in Relation to Psychotic Experiences at Age 12 in a Longitudinal 13 Population-Based Cohort Study. Https://DoiOrg/101176/AppiAjp201312060768. 2013;170:742-750.
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21