

Vegetation Change and Water, Sediment and Carbon Dynamics in Semi-Arid Environments

Submitted by Alan Keith Puttock, to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Geography, May 2013.

This thesis is available for library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other university.

.....

Abstract

This study develops understanding of vegetation change and water, sediment and carbon dynamics in semi-arid environments. Objectives were addressed using an integrated ecohydrological and biogeochemical approach. Fieldwork, over two contrasting grass-woody transitions at the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge, New Mexico, USA; quantified vegetation structure, soil structure and the spatial distribution of soil carbon resources. Over both transitions; woody sites showed a lower percentage vegetation cover and a greater heterogeneity in vegetation pattern, soil properties and soil carbon. Soil organic carbon differed in both quantity and source across the sites; with levels higher under vegetation, particularly at the woody sites. Biogeochemical analysis revealed soil organic carbon to be predominantly sourced from grass at the grassland sites. In contrast, at the woody sites soil organic carbon under vegetation patches was predominantly sourced from woody vegetation, whilst inter-patch areas exhibited a strong grass signature.

Investigation of function focussed on the hydrological response to intense rainfall events. Rainfall-runoff monitoring showed woody sites to exhibit greater; runoff coefficients, event discharge, eroded sediment and event carbon yields. In contrast to grass sites, biogeochemical analysis showed the loss of organic carbon from woody sites to exhibit a mixed source signal, reflecting the loss of carbon originating from both patch and interpatch areas. To examine the linkages between vegetation structure and hydrological function, a flow length metric was developed to quantify hydrological connectivity; with woody sites shown to have longer mean flow pathways. Furthermore, in addition to rainfall event characteristics, flow pathway lengths were shown to be a significant variable for explaining the variance within fluxes of water, sediment and carbon.

Results demonstrating increased event fluxes of sediment and carbon from woody sites have important implications for the quality of semi-arid landscapes and other degrading ecosystems globally. It is thus necessary to translate the understanding of carbon dynamics developed within this study to the landscape scale, so changing fluvial carbon fluxes can be incorporated into carbon budgets, research frameworks and land management strategies at policy-relevant scales.

Contents

Abstract	2
Acknowledgements	3
Contents	4
List of Figures	8
List of Tables	12
Abbreviations	16
1. Introduction	18
2. Context of Research	22
2.1. Introduction: Importance	22
2.2. Vegetation change in the US-SW	23
2.3. Vegetation characteristics	24
2.4. Drivers of dryland vegetation change	29
2.4.1. Climate	29
2.4.2. Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations	32
2.4.3. Fire	33
2.4.4. Animal activity	34
2.4.5. Combined drivers as a cause of dryland vegetation change	36
2.4.6. Summary of the variables driving woody encroachment of grasslands	39
2.5. Understanding vegetation change	40
2.5.1. An ecohydrological approach	40
2.5.2. Structure and Function	41
2.6. Vegetation change and carbon dynamics	47
2.6.1. Introduction: Vegetation change and carbon dynamics	47
2.6.2. Controls on terrestrial carbon dynamics	48
2.6.3. Grass to woody vegetation transitions: changes in vegetation carbon	53
2.6.4. Grass to woody vegetation transitions: changes in soil carbon	54
2.7. Conceptual frameworks for understanding vegetation change	57
2.8. Summary	64
3. Aims and Objectives	67
3.1. Objective 1	67
3.2. Objective 2	67
3.3. Objective 3	68
3.4. Objective 4	68
4. Experimental framework and methods	71
4.1. Introduction	71
4.2. Study Site	71

4.2.1.	Site location and vegetation characteristics	71
4.2.2.	Climate of the SNWR	73
4.2.3.	Soils, geology and topography at the SNWR	73
4.2.4.	Anthropogenic land use at the SNWR	76
4.2.5.	Selection and design of Field Sites	77
4.3.	Methodology for Chapter 5 (Structure)	81
4.4.	Methodology for Chapter 6 (Function)	87
4.5.	Methodology for Chapter 7 (Connectivity)	96
4.6.	Methodology for Chapter 8 (Stable carbon isotope analysis)	99
4.7.	Methodology for Chapter 9 (Biogeochemical compound analysis)	102
4.8.	Summary	106
5.	Differences in ecosystem structure over two grass to woody semi-arid vegetation transitions	107
5.1.	Introduction	107
5.2.	Summary of methods	107
5.3.	Results: vegetation characteristics	109
5.4.	Soil characteristics	111
5.4.1.	Bulk density	111
5.4.2.	Particle size	112
5.4.3.	Soil organic matter	115
5.4.4.	Carbon	117
5.5.	Summary results table	122
5.6.	Discussion	123
5.7.	Conclusion	129
5.8.	Key points	131
6.	Event fluxes of water, sediment and carbon over two grass to woody semi-arid vegetation transitions	132
6.1.	Introduction	132
6.2.	Rainfall Characteristics	133
6.3.	Rainfall-runoff characteristics	136
6.3.1.	Runoff coefficient	138
6.3.2.	Maximum discharge	138
6.3.3.	Total discharge	139
6.4.	Eroded sediment	140
6.4.1.	Total eroded sediment	140
6.4.2.	Particle size distribution	142
6.4.3.	Within-event sediment dynamics	147
6.5.	Organic matter and carbon losses	153
6.5.1.	Eroded organic matter	154
6.5.2.	Eroded organic carbon	154
6.5.3.	Event organic carbon losses	155
6.5.4.	Organic carbon enrichment in eroded sediment	156

6.5.5	Inorganic carbon losses	158
6.5.6	Within event carbon dynamics	159
6.6.	Summary of key results	166
6.7.	Discussion	167
6.8.	Conclusion	175
6.9.	Key points	177
7.	Differences in ecosystem structure, function and hydrological connectivity upon water, soil and carbon losses in semi-arid grass to woody vegetation transitions	178
7.1.	Introduction	178
7.2.	Results	180
7.2.1	Differences in structure and MFPL over monitored vegetation transitions	180
7.2.2.	Differences in hydrological function over monitored vegetation transitions	183
7.2.3.	MFPL and rainfall as explanatory variables for hydrological function	184
7.3.	Discussion	185
7.4.	Conclusion	194
7.5.	Key points	193
8.	Stable carbon isotope analysis of fluvial sediment fluxes over two contrasting C₄-C₃ semi-arid vegetation transitions	194
8.1.	Introduction	194
8.2.	Results	197
8.2.1.	Bulk stable $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ isotope analysis	197
8.2.2	Mixing model results	199
8.2.3.	Variation of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ with rainfall-runoff event characteristics	201
8.3.	Discussion	203
8.4.	Conclusion	205
8.5.	Key points	206
9.	The use of biogeochemical analysis to develop an understanding of vegetation change and fluvial carbon fluxes in semi-arid environments	207
9.1.	Introduction	207
9.2.	Rationale for using <i>n</i> -alkanes	209
9.3.	Results: <i>n</i> -alkane signatures in vegetation through to eroded sediment	211
9.4.	<i>n</i> -alkane signatures in vegetation	214
9.5.	<i>n</i> -alkane signatures in surface soils	215
9.6.	<i>n</i> -alkane signatures in eroded sediment	216
9.7.	<i>n</i> -alkane signatures from vegetation, to soil, to eroded sediment	217
9.8.	<i>n</i> -alkane signatures in eroded sediment: response to rainfall event characteristics	220

9.9.	Compound specific diterpene in Piñon	221
9.9.1.	Introduction	221
9.9.2.	Presence of palustradiene in piñon vegetation, surface soil and eroded sediment	223
9.9.3.	Variation in palustradiene in eroded sediment	224
9.10.	Discussion	225
9.10.1.	Ability of <i>n</i> -alkanes to provide usable vegetation signatures	226
9.10.2.	Using vegetation signatures to understand fluvial carbon dynamics	227
9.10.3.	Areas for further biogeochemical analysis	234
9.11.	Conclusion	235
9.12.	Key Points	238
10.	Summary, Areas for Further Research and Conclusion	240
10.1.	Introduction	240
10.2.	Summary of key results	241
10.3.	Addressed objectives	242
10.4.	Fluvial carbon fluxes within the carbon budget	253
10.5.	Areas for further research	256
10.6.	Conclusion	259
	Appendix	261
	References	271