## Early life adversity has sex-dependent effects on survival across the lifespan in rhesus macaques

 4 Sam K. Patterson $*^1$ , Ella Andonov $^2$ , Alyssa M. Arre $^3$ , Melween I. Martínez $^3$ , Josué E. 5 Negron-Del Valle<sup>4</sup>, Rachel M. Petersen<sup>5</sup>, Daniel Phillips<sup>4</sup>, Ahaylee Rahman<sup>6</sup>, Angelina 6 Ruiz-Lambides<sup>3</sup>, Isabella Villanueva<sup>7</sup>, Amanda J. Lea<sup>5,8</sup>, Noah Snyder-Mackler<sup>4,9</sup>, Lauren J.N. Brent<sup>10</sup>, James P. Higham<sup>1</sup> 9 1Department of Anthropology, New York University 10 <sup>2</sup>High School of American Studies at Lehman College, New York City 11 <sup>3</sup>Caribbean Primate Research Center, Unit of Comparative Medicine, University of Puerto Rico 12 4Center for Evolution and Medicine, Arizona State University **5Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University** 14 <sup>6</sup>Brooklyn Technical High School, New York City 15 7Bard High School Early College Manhattan, New York City 16 <sup>8</sup>Child and Brain Development Program, Canadian Institute for Advanced Study, Toronto, Canada 17 <sup>9</sup>School of Life Sciences and School of Human Evolution and Social Change, Arizona State University 18 <sup>10</sup>Centre for Research in Animal Behaviour, Department of Psychology, University of Exeter \*Corresponding author: Sam Patterson [sam.patterson@nyu.edu](mailto:sam.patterson@nyu.edu) 25 Waverly Pl, New York, New York 10003 USA Keywords: early life adversity, survival, life history, evolutionary fitness, primates 

Abstract

 Exposure to early life adversity is linked to detrimental fitness outcomes across taxa. However, due to the challenges of collecting longitudinal data, direct evidence for long- term fitness effects of early life adversity from long-lived species remains relatively scarce. Here we test the effects of early life adversity on male and female longevity in a free-ranging population of rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*) on Cayo Santiago, Puerto Rico. We leveraged six decades of data to quantify the relative importance of ten forms of early life adversity for 6,599 macaques. Individuals who experienced more early life adversity died earlier than those who experienced less adversity. Mortality risk was highest during early life, defined as birth to four years old, but heightened mortality risk was also present in macaques who survived to adulthood. Females and males were affected differently by some forms of adversity, and these differences might be driven by varying energetic demands and dispersal patterns. Our results show that the fitness consequences of early life adversity are not uniform across individuals but vary as a function of the type of adversity, timing, and social context, and thus contribute to our limited but growing understanding of the evolution of early life sensitivities. 

Introduction

 Adversity such as food shortages and social isolation experienced prior to adulthood can result in long-term health and evolutionary fitness consequences in a wide range of insects, birds, fish, reptiles, and mammals [\(1–4\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?jnNh0T) These detrimental outcomes in adulthood are hypothesized to arise from organisms adjusting their developmental trajectories in response to adversity in order to improve immediate survival [\(3,5,6\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ppp6et) To date, research on non-human animals has borrowed hypotheses and methodologies from the extensive literature on early life adversity in humans. Early life adversity in humans is associated with poorer health and reduced longevity [\(7–9\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?7wEzQZ) A common and growing approach in the study of humans is to use cumulative indices which measure the total amount of adversities experienced by an individual in their early life, rather than focus on different forms of adversity separately [\(10–13\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?L6VpiA) Empirical evidence suggests that the accumulation of multiple adversities is a better predictor of adult outcomes than any particular form of adversity, but there is also evidence that specific forms of adversity lead to different outcomes [\(11,14,15\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?Zl4x9k) A small but growing number of studies have tested the long-term impacts of early life adversity, but further research on how cumulative early life adversity and different forms of adversity shape the timing of fitness consequences in a variety of species, populations, and contexts is needed to better understand the evolution of early life sensitivities to adversity.

 In long-lived species, females who live longer have a longer reproductive span and are able to produce more offspring, such that longevity or survival can be used as proxies of fitness [\(16–21\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?gtf9CI) Long-term studies of female yellow baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*) and

 spotted hyenas (*Crocuta crocuta*) have shown that exposure to greater amounts of cumulative early life adversity is associated with reduced survival in adulthood [\(14,15,22,23\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?NU4Km3) In addition to the adverse effects experienced in adulthood, mortality prior to reproductive maturity results in a fitness of zero as organisms fail to reproduce. Accordingly, in populations characterized by high mortality rates prior to reproductive maturity, the total number of offspring *reaching reproductive maturity* is the best proxy for fitness [\(24\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?LS117Y) Male and female mountain gorillas (*Gorilla beringei beringei*) exposed to more cumulative early life adversity experience reduced survival prior to reproductive maturity, but do not experience survival costs after maturity [\(25\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?whEeQf) As such, adult survival patterns as a function of cumulative early life adversity in gorillas differ from those in yellow baboons and spotted hyenas, but pre-reproductive survival patterns are not yet available across species for comparison. More studies across different species are thus needed to draw comparisons and better understand the evolutionary pressures which shape early life sensitivities to cumulative adversity and different forms of putative adversity, and to test the relationships between developmental responses to adversity, the timing of fitness consequences across the lifespan, and detrimental adult outcomes. 

 The fitness consequences of early life adversity might vary in a sex-dependent manner due to differences in life history strategies. During adverse early life conditions, the sex with more energetically demanding traits is predicted to be more susceptible to nutritional constraints [\(26,27\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?pCNfmp) In many species, male life histories are considered more energetically costly given faster growth and larger body size compared to females. When male fitness is largely determined by access to mates via competitive ability,

 males should also invest in costly developmental processes like play and motor skill development [\(28\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?hRsCwE) Support for these predicted differences come from a study focusing on one form of adversity: maternal death prior to weaning in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) was linked to higher mortality risk among male compared to female offspring [\(29\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?Lf7M6w) Sex- dependent effects of early life adversity are challenging to study because many species are characterized by sex-biased dispersal such that pre- or post-dispersal data are typically missing for individuals of the dispersing sex (e.g., [\(15\)\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?m24Qmb). More studies are thus needed that can follow both males and females from birth till death to investigate how life history strategies shape sex-specific fitness consequences and developmental responses to early life adversity.

 Here we study the free-ranging rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*) of Cayo Santiago to advance our understanding of the magnitude, form, timing, and sex-dependence of early life adversity effects. To date, direct evidence of the lifelong effects of early life adversity is relatively scarce in long-lived species due to the difficulties of collecting data from early life till death. In this study, we leveraged complete demographic records that extend back to the late 1950s for thousands of male and female macaques at the Cayo Santiago field site. We add to the growing body of early life adversity research in long- lived species [\(14,15,23,25,30,31\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?DoN90P) Following these previous studies, we examined both the effects of cumulative early life adversity and the effects of specific forms of early life adversity to identify which forms best predict survival. We build upon this previous work by incorporating more forms of adversity and leveraging an exceptionally large sample size. Further, this analysis incorporates data from females and males from birth till

 death, which has only been previously examined in one study of wild mountain gorillas [\(25\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?QhAWDY) Specifically, we examined the effects of ten forms of potential early life adversity on sex-specific mortality risk across early life, and separately, across adulthood. By examining mortality across the lifespan, we can identify the full extent of variation in the fitness consequences of early life adversity, including how survivorship biases in early life may influence survival patterns observed in adulthood.

 Previous research has demonstrated that some forms of early life adversity can shape the behavior and health of macaques. In captivity, infant macaques experimentally exposed to abusive mothers or reared with peers show decreased immune function, more self-injury, more impulsivity, differential DNA methylation in brain cells, and a suite of physical health anomalies in adulthood [\(32\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?UYEyJA) Such experimental studies are complemented by targeted observational studies that have examined the effects of one or two forms of naturally occurring adversity. In the Cayo Santiago population, abusive maternal care behavior is linked to differences in HPA function in juveniles, the presence of a competing younger sibling is linked to reduced survival during juvenility, and exposure to hurricanes and high population density during early life are linked to life history trade-offs in adulthood [\(33–35\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?yFvXeG)

 As for wild rhesus macaques, the Cayo Santiago monkeys live in naturally forming multi-male, multi-female social groups characterized by dominance hierarchies and male dispersal. This species is sexually dimorphic, with males exhibiting larger body mass and canine length than females [\(36,37\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?hrHaOy) Males queue for dominance rank, have  large testes, and experience strong indirect male-male competition, including sperm competition [\(38–40\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?YxbYDB) We predicted that rhesus macaques exposed to greater amounts of early life adversity would have increased mortality risk. We predicted mortality risks would be more severe during the first four years of life when individuals are still growing and adversity is more recent. However, we also predicted heightened mortality risk would persist into adulthood among those who survive past four years of age. Given that male life history strategies in this species [\(41\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?mXZpNt) prioritize costly traits like faster growth, larger body size, and motor skill development [\(36,37,42\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?VtU76f) we predicted that early life adversity would exert larger effects on males than females. We also predicted that some forms of early life adversity, such as maternal loss, would have larger effects on mortality risk than others.

Methods

Study site and population

 We studied a free-ranging population of rhesus macaques living on Cayo Santiago, a 15.2-ha island off the southeastern coast of Puerto Rico. The current population of ~1,700 individually recognized rhesus macaques living in 12 social groups are the descendants of 409 monkeys that were transported from India to the island in 1938. This population is managed by the Caribbean Primate Research Center (CPRC) of the University of Puerto Rico. Monkeys are fed monkey chow daily and water catchments provide *ad libitum* access to drinking water.

 During the study period (1960-2021), observers monitored and recorded demographic events daily. These records included births, deaths, sex, matriline, matriline rank, maternal identification, sires when genetic data were available, and group emigration and immigration events. The island is free of predators and, as approved by IACUC, 176 there is no regular veterinary intervention, such that the primary causes of death are injury and illness [\(43\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?cgKGEV) Injured individuals are three times more likely to die than uninjured individuals in the population [\(43\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?vPfMRa) We have had few obvious outbreaks of infectious diseases, an exception being the 1940 and 2010 outbreaks of Shigella [\(44,45\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?NSlSmm) If a carcass is found then animals are confirmed as dead and removed as per the date the carcass is retrieved. The daily census notes when animals were last seen. If animals have not been seen by anyone for 6 months, then they are assumed dead with death date recorded as the last date of observation plus one day. A genetic pedigree is available for much of the population [\(46\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?sfunN8) Daily total rainfall and mean maximum temperature data were obtained from the NOAA station in Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico. Over a 61-year period (1959-2020), data were not recorded by this NOAA station for 21% of days. Rather than removing a large portion of data, we imputed missing rainfall and temperature data using the 'mice' package (v 3.14.0) in R [\(47\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?4sMheW) This study included 6,599 individuals for which there were complete data available, including data covering the entire lifespan–birth to death–for 2,513 macaques. The remaining 4,086 macaques were either alive (N= 173) at the time of this study or were removed (N=3,914) from the island prior to natural death as a result of population management (i.e., constituted right-censored samples). Over the course of the study, three forms of selection criteria for animal removal have been used: removal of entire social groups,

 removal based on sex (e.g., removal of adult males to maintain appropriate sex ratios), and removal based on age (e.g., removal of immature individuals) [\(48\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?bM4c4G) Note that these selection criteria are independent of the early life adversity variables used in our analysis.

Early life adversities

 We used historical demographic records to assess individual exposure to early life adversity. We considered ten forms of potential early life adversity. Here, we aim to select experiences or inputs that limit resources available to an individual or otherwise lead an individual to allocate their resources across life history traits in a suboptimal manner. In other words, early life adversity is an experience that sets an individual off their optimal developmental trajectory. Eight of these forms of adversity have been used in previous studies of primates [\(15,34,49\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ry7Lca) while two additional forms of adversity were chosen based on the natural history of the present study system (Hurricanes and high temperatures). Each form of adversity is further justified below, with previous research demonstrating its deleterious consequences (see Table S1 for further details regarding empirical support). In choosing time periods of exposure for each form of adversity, we followed the methods presented by Tung and colleagues 2016 in regards to wild baboons, which wean and reach reproductive maturity at similar ages as rhesus macaques. Each of these ten adversities could be considered an early life advantage from the flipped perspective because the measures can be considered on an axis that

 ranges from "adversity" to "advantage" [\(50\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ERsDbV) For example, monkeys born into small maternal social networks are predicted to experience adversity, while monkeys born into large maternal networks are predicted to experience advantage. For consistency with previous work, we recorded when individuals experienced the "adversity" end of the axis for each variable.

 **Maternal loss:** Maternal death increases offspring mortality in humans and other mammals [\(15,29,51–54\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?eVT4wH). We considered an individual to experience maternal loss if their mother died (including natural death due to causes such as disease or injury (N=1,165), 227 and permanent removal from the population (N=299)) before the individual reached 4 years of age [\(15,55\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ZyOZfs). This four year window includes the period during which young macaques are nutritionally dependent on their mothers, and the period during which young macaques are weaned but still socially dependent on their mothers. While a mother's removal from the population had to occur while the offspring was alive (i.e., prior to offspring death if they have died) to be considered an adversity, this was not a requirement for natural maternal death because an impending maternal death is linked to offspring mortality risk–an association likely explained by poor maternal condition [\(55\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?iEgLqj). We do not know the cause of death in most cases, but most deaths on the island are due to illness, injury, and old age, and we would consider all or most natural deaths to be condition dependent. Our decision to include cases in which the mother died within 4 years after the offspring's birth was based on previously established methodology used by Zipple and colleagues (55). In their study of several primate species (chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*), northern muriquis (*Brachyteles hypoxanthus*),

 blue monkeys (*Cercopithecus mitis*), mountain gorillas, yellow baboons, capuchins (*Cebus capucinus*), and Verreaux's sifakas (*Propithecus verreauxi*)), offspring death was significantly associated with an impending maternal death, even if the mother's death did not occur until 3 to 4 years after the offspring's birth (55). Further, offspring did not have a higher mortality risk if their mother's death was more imminent (within 1 year of birth) versus more delayed (3.5 to 4 years after birth) (55). In our study, there were 220 maternal death cases in which the focal individual died before their mother died. The delay in death was as short as 2 days and as long as 3.8 years (median = 358.5 days; mean = 470 days). About 73% of the delayed maternal deaths occurred within 2 years of the infant death. Maternal loss was measured as a binary variable: experienced maternal loss or did not experience loss.

 **Competing sibling**: The presence of a close in age younger sibling represents a source of competition over maternal resources and is associated with higher mortality risk [\(15,33\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?mUfQM6) We considered a sibling to be a competitor if the sibling was born within 355 days of a subject, which represented the bottom quartile of interbirth intervals (IBI) in our sample. While short IBIs have been linked to high juvenile mortality risk in the study population [\(33\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?E1qk9j) in other primates, short IBIs can also be an indicator of high maternal quality [\(49,56\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?eYo4tp) complicating the interpretation of results. The presence of a close in age older sibling could also constitute a cost for the younger sibling, although there are further complexities for this metric. In this study population, a short or long preceding IBI is predictive of higher infant mortality (33), and the presence of older siblings could represent an important source of social support [\(57\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?odBzwu) Here, we followed previous work that focused on the presence of close in age younger siblings (e.g.,

 [\(15,25\)\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?B14QDk). Last born offspring and individuals that died before their sibling was born could not experience this adversity. The presence of competing siblings was measured as a binary variable.

 **Group size**: High group size and high population density are indicative of more competition and are associated with reductions in fecundity [\(17,34,58,59\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?jNfN7J) We used group size as a proxy for within-group competition. Demographic records were used to construct group composition over the study period. Group size was defined as the number of adults (>=4 years of age) of both sexes in an individual's social group on the day that individual was born [\(15\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?XWkQTr) and was included in our models as a continuous variable. Group size varied across the study period (range: 2-222 individuals), but was fairly consistent across individuals' early lives (group size at birth and 4 years of age: 275 pearson's  $r = 0.75$ , p-value  $< 0.0005$ ).

 **Primiparity**: The high energetic demands on first time mothers can result in negative outcomes for offspring such as increased mortality risk [\(51,60–64\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?rV4GEz) First time mothers might struggle to provide care, social support, and energetic resources for their offspring. Being born to a first time mother might limit the energetic resources available for the developing individual and how those resources are allocated, which meets our definition of adversity. We used a binary measurement for primiparity: first born or not first born.

 **Matriline rank:** Dominance rank mediates access to food and is linked to survival, fecundity, and offspring growth in primates [\(65–69\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?mj2NqQ) Matrilineal dominance hierarchies for a given social group and year are recorded by the CPRC as categorical – high, middle, low – based on dyadic agonistic interactions recorded over the course of each  observation year (e.g., threats, displacements, submissive behaviors) [\(33,70\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?SbGUvN) As such, this measure represents the matrilineal rank for an individual's birth year. We follow previously established methods for characterizing rank [\(66,71\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?VHxNPS) Female macaques acquire the rank adjacent to their mothers so individuals who are members of the same matriline (i.e., descendants of a shared crown female ancestor) tend to be adjacent in rank. The rank of a matriline can thus serve as a proxy for individual rank. Because matriline ranks are stable over time [\(72\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?zQ45wj) if the rank of a matriline is not measured in some years, it can be extrapolated back or forward in time based on known ranks. As a point of methodological comparison, we also treated matrilineal rank as an ordinal variable (i.e., "0, 1, 2" rather than "high, middle, low"). Since females inherit rank from their mothers, matriline rank might be considered a measure of experiences across the lifespan for females and a measure of early life experience for males. However, given previous research treating maternal rank as a form of early life adversity in females and males in similar social systems, we included it here for comparative purposes. **Kin network**: Among prime aged adult females at Cayo Santiago, the presence of more maternal kin is linked to better survival in any given year [\(71\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?gzC3zG) We measured an individual's maternal kin network size at birth as the number of living females over 4 years of age with a relatedness coefficient of at least 0.063. This relatedness coefficient was chosen because it represents the threshold at which macaques in this population can recognize kin via vocalizations [\(73\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?e2D2SX) and this threshold was used in previous work showing a positive association between the number of relatives present and adult survival [\(71\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?q8oF94) Kin network size was included as a continuous variable (range: 1-21

 individuals). The size of kin networks was fairly stable across individuals' early lives 310 (size at birth and four years: pearson's  $r = 0.75$ , p-value  $< 0.0005$ ).

 **Maternal social isolation**: Greater social connectedness is associated with longer adult lifespans, lower age-specific mortality risks, the production of a greater number of offspring, and greater offspring survival [\(74–79\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?RDZqxb) We used behavioral data collected during 10-min focal animal samples on adults in several social groups from 2010-2017 (details provided in the supplementary online materials (SOM)). To measure maternal social isolation, we calculated a composite sociality index (CSI) using the affiliative social behaviors, approaches and grooming. For each mother in each year, we tabulated the rate of approaches (approaches to and from other adult females / hours observed) and the rate of grooming bouts (number of grooming bouts given and received / hours observed). A mother's approach and grooming rates were divided by the mean rate for all adult females in each social group in each year. These standardized approach and grooming rates were added together and divided by 2 (the number of behaviors) to create the CSI for each mother. Here, we followed Tung and colleagues (2016): for each offspring in our analyses, we averaged their mother's composite sociality index for the first two years of life.

 **Rainfall**: More rainfall is indicative of greater food and water availability, and is linked to greater fecundity and better survival in primates [\(80–82\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?F0WDUN)**.** Because food and water are provisioned, low rainfall might not be as impactful in this population compared to wild primate populations in marginal environments, but we still predict low rainfall to be predictive of higher mortality risk. We also predict that negative outcomes could be associated with high rainfall, given the association between high rainfall and tropical

 storms. Here, we used total rainfall across the first year of life (range: 1,021.4-3,157.1 mm).

 **Temperature:** Higher temperatures are linked to reduced cognitive performance in Western Australian magpies (*Cracticus tibicen dorali*), poorer health and welfare in dairy cattle (*Bos taurus*), and higher mortality risk in southern pied babblers (*Turdoides bicolor*) and geladas (*Theropithecus gelada*) (but also increased fecundity in geladas) [\(81–84\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?1xEFPl) thus we predicted negative outcomes associated with high temperatures. Here, we averaged mean maximum daily temperatures across the first year of life (range: 85.12-89.89 F).

 **Hurricanes**: In this population, exposure to major hurricanes is associated with accelerated age-related immune changes. Among females on Cayo Santiago, hurricane exposure during early life is associated with delayed reproductive maturation, but higher fertility during reproductive prime, and during hurricane years, adult females are less likely to produce offspring that survive to one year old [\(34,86,87\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?IcdF4V) We recorded individual exposure to any of the 3 major hurricanes that had major impacts on Cayo Santiago (Hugo on September 18, 1989, Georges on September 21, 1998, and Maria on September 20, 2017) during the first year of life. We treated this as a categorical variable to assess the effects of each major storm. Hurricane exposure was not included in previous studies of early life adversity, so we chose the first year of life as our window of exposure to align with our other weather variables, rainfall and temperature. If individuals were exposed to hurricanes when they were over one year old, we did not consider them to have experienced this adversity as *early life* adversity. 

 Here, following previous theoretical and empirical work [\(11,13–15\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?IK1Rru) we examined cumulative adversity measures and individual forms of adversity separately. To construct a cumulative early life adversity index, we summed individuals' exposure to different forms of adversity. Previous studies typically relied on binary scores for each form of adversity. Following Patterson et al 2021, to avoid arbitrary categorization and to consider the severity of exposure, we used continuous measures of adversity when feasible. For purposes of the cumulative index, continuous measures (i.e., group size, kin network size, temperature, and rainfall) were normalized so values ranged from zero to one. Variables for which lower values indicate greater adversity, such as kin network size and rainfall, the normalized variables were reversed so that values closer to one (i.e., higher values) always indicate greater adversity. For binary and categorical measures (i.e., maternal loss, being a first born, presence of a competing sibling, matriline rank, and hurricane exposure), individuals were assigned a value of one if they experienced a given form of adversity and a value of zero if they did not experience it. Those born into high ranking matrilines were assigned a zero, mid ranking matrilines were assigned 0.5, and low ranking matrilines were assigned a value of one. Those exposed to any of the three major hurricanes during the first year of life were assigned a one, and those who were not exposed to any of these major hurricanes during the first year of life were assigned a zero. As such, each variable ranged from zero to one and for each individual the variables were summed together into a cumulative index to represent the total exposure to early life adversity. Our main cumulative early life adversity index could range from 0-9 because it included nine variables: maternal loss, presence of a competing younger sibling, high group size, primiparity, low matrilineal

 dominance rank, small kin network, hurricane exposure, high temperature, and low rainfall. Maternal social isolation was not included in the cumulative adversity index because it relied on behavioral observations of individuals and was thus derived only for a subset of our data (N=299 early life survival; N=101 adult survival).

Data analysis

 To determine if early life adversity predicts survival, we used survival models. The outcome variable was age at death. Individuals who were either still alive at the end of the study or removed from the island for population control were right-censored. We ran models on the full sample of all ages (N=6,599) but right-censored to four years old to examine early life mortality, and we ran models on a subsample of individuals who survived beyond four years of age (N=2,866) to examine mortality across adulthood. Early life adversity predictor variables were modeled two ways: 1) cumulative index model which included all forms of adversity summed together into one variable; and 2) multivariate model which included all nine forms of adversity (maternal social isolation is run separately) modeled as separate predictor variables in the same model. We assessed the efficacy of these two approaches by comparing the fit of the cumulative index models versus the multivariate models using information on the difference in the expected predictive accuracy. Models included early life adversity index or all individual adversity variables, sex, and an interaction term between sex and early life adversity. Models also included a varying intercept for birth year and maternal identification. The different forms of adversity we examined were not correlated, but temperatures in the first year of life were highly correlated with birth year (Table S2).

 Analyses were run in R (v 4.1.2 ) and RStudio (v 1.4.1106) [\(88,89\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?AGVlBu) We first used Cox survival models, but the proportional hazards assumption in the Cox model was violated (cox.zph function in R package, "survival" (v 3.2.13): p<0.05; see SOM for specifics). Instead, we fit Accelerated Failure Time (AFT) survival models with a Weibull distribution. The presence of a competing younger sibling is time-dependent since individuals cannot experience this exposure unless they survive till a given age, i.e., until it's biologically possible for the mother to give birth again. To include this variable, we would need to include it as a time-varying variable in a Cox proportional hazard model. Alternatively, one could subset the data to examine survival during periods in which it is biologically possible for a younger sibling to be born (e.g., 2-4 years), however, this could create biases in the dataset since this involves removing individuals based on their age at death. As such, we were unable to test how the presence of a competing younger sibling affects survival in early life and we excluded this variable from the cumulative index for the early life survival model. We could, however, examine this in adulthood since all individuals in the sample survived to adulthood and the presence of a competing younger sibling is not time-varying.

 Genetics can contribute to the effects of early life adversity. For example, individuals experiencing maternal loss might have shorter lifespans due to genes shared by both 421 the mother and offspring. To estimate to what extent variance in survival is explained by genetics, we accounted for pedigree in a subsample of the data for which we had complete pedigree information (N=923 individuals during early life; N=307 adults). To do

 so, we used the animal model [\(90\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?0djpHG) and incorporated the genetic relationship covariance matrix as a random effect. The effects of pedigree on survival were substantial, but accounting for genetic relatedness in the model did not diminish the effects of early life 427 adversity on survival in early life (with pedigree:  $\beta = -0.33 \pm 0.12$ ; without pedigree:  $\beta = -1$ 428 0.31±0.11; Table S3) or adulthood (with pedigree:  $\beta$ =-0.12±0.04; without pedigree:  $\beta$ =- 0.12±0.04; Table S3). Some forms of adversity are likely dependent on genetics (i.e., maternal loss, competing sibling, matriline rank, kin network) whereas other forms of adversity are genetics-independent (i.e., rainfall, hurricanes, temperature, primarity, group size), though there is likely a genetic basis to how one responds to adversity in all cases. Because pedigree might differentially impact the effect of these genetics-dependent and genetics-independent adversities, we ran our pedigree models with cumulative adversity indices based only on genetics-dependent and genetics-independent adversities. The effects of pedigree on survival remained substantial, but including genetic relatedness in these models did not reduce the effects of adversity on survival during early life (genetics-438 independent: with pedigree  $\beta$ =-0.19±0.08; without pedigree  $\beta$ =-0.11±0.07; genetics-439 dependent with pedigree  $\beta = -0.40 \pm 0.09$ ; without pedigree  $\beta = -0.35 \pm 0.08$ ; Table S3) or 440 adulthood (genetics-independent: with pedigree  $\beta$ =0.03±0.03; without pedigree  $\beta$ =0.01±0.02; genetics-dependent with pedigree  $\beta$ =-0.07±0.03; without pedigree  $\beta$ =- 0.08±0.02; Table S3). Because the effects of early life adversity were unaffected by pedigree inclusion and because the sample size for pedigree inclusion was much smaller (paternity is

 unknown for many animals earlier in the study), we have presented the larger set of data without pedigree in the main text.

 Models were run with the brms package (v 2.16.3) [\(91\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?kMajDH) All continuous predictor variables were standardized to a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 (note that this differs from how we normalized the continuous adversities to range from 0 to 1 for addition into the cumulative early life adversity index). We ran our analyses with continuous variables modeled linearly and quadratically, and compared the fit of each modeling approach using the "loo" criterion in the brms package in R (90). The nonlinear models provided no more explanatory power compared to the linear models (see SOM for results of model comparisons). These results suggest that the linear models with fewer parameters should be used, so we reported the linear results here. All models were Bayesian, and we used weakly informative priors for fixed effects, setting the mean to zero and the standard deviation to one. To produce more accurate predictions for age at death, we used more regularizing priors for the intercept (a mean of 1 and standard deviation of 0.1 for the early life survival models, and a mean of 12 and standard deviation of 0.4 for the adult survival models). Specifically, our analyses contained a high proportion of right-censored cases, which can lead to model predictions that overestimate life expectancy [\(92\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?b6Gq8s) We used credible intervals to determine whether the effect of a variable was substantial or not. If the 85% credible interval for an effect did not overlap with zero, the effect was considered substantial. When the vast majority of the 85% credible interval did not span zero, but there was some overlap, we described the model as being 'uncertain' about the effect. To

compare how the cumulative index and multivariate models fit the data, we used the

"loo" model fit criterion in the brms package (90). The code and data used can be found

here: https://github.com/skpatter/ELA\_Survival\_Macaques

Results

 *Cumulative early life adversity is associated with reduced survival during early life and during adulthood*

 Individuals who experienced more cumulative early life adversity had higher mortality 476 during early life  $(\beta = -0.29 \pm 0.07)$ ; Figure 1, Table S4). There were no clear differences in 477 mortality for males versus females during early life  $(\beta=-0.05\pm0.10)$ , and there was no evidence that early life adversity differentially affected mortality risk as a function of sex 479 during early life  $(\beta = 0.07 \pm 0.09)$ ; Figure 1, Table S4). Adults who experienced more cumulative early life adversity had shorter lives than adults who experienced less early 481 life adversity ( $\beta$ =-0.04±0.02; Figure 1, Table S4). Among adults, females lived longer 482 than males ( $\beta$ =-0.13±0.02), and there was no evidence that cumulative early life 483 adversity affected mortality risk differentially between males and females ( $\beta$ =0.00±0.02; Figure 1; Table S3). Between those who experienced the least and the most amount of cumulative early life adversity in our sample, these effects translate to a 4.78-year difference in average life expectancy among adult females and a 3.94-year difference in average life expectancy among adult males. The maximum age observed was 31 for females and 29 for males on Cayo, and the average lifespan for those who survived to adulthood was approximately 18 and 15 years for females and males respectively [\(70;](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?MAiAWJ) 

 [CPRC data\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?MAiAWJ) Given that we defined adulthood as beginning at four years of age, this indicates an average adult lifespan of 14 years for females and 11 years for males. The average difference in life expectancy (4.78 and 3.94 years) represents a substantial portion of the adult lifespan: approximately 34% of the lifespan for females and 36% for males.

- 
- 

 **Fig 1.** Model effects of cumulative early life adversity and sex on survival during early 498 life (A) and adulthood (C). The outer bars show the 85% credible intervals, the inner box shows the 50% credible intervals, and the black circle in the middle shows the median of the posterior distribution. Model predictions are shown for the effect of cumulative early life adversity on lifespan in early life (B) and adulthood (D). Cumulative early life adversity on the x-axis is standardized such that an adversity value of zero represents the mean amount of adversity experienced in the sample. Green predictions represent females and blue predictions represent males. The solid lines show the median estimates and the shaded region shows the 85% credible intervals.





519 sex (early life:  $\beta = 0.09 \pm 0.22$ ; adulthood:  $\beta = -0.04 \pm 0.07$ ). Macaques born into **low ranking** 520 **matrilines** had a higher mortality risk during early life ( $\beta$ =-0.44±0.17) and adulthood ( $\beta$ =-521 0.16±0.05) than those born into high ranking matrilines. Matrilineal rank was more 522 strongly associated with female survival than male survival during both periods of life 523 (early life:  $\beta$ =0.47±0.22; adulthood:  $\beta$ =0.04±0.06; Figure 3; Table S5). We also treated 524 matrilineal rank as an ordinal variable and found similar results (Table S5). **Smaller**  525 **maternal kin networks** at birth were associated with higher early life mortality risk, 526 especially for males  $(\beta=0.05\pm0.07)$ ; sex interaction:  $\beta=0.14\pm0.10$ ). Smaller maternal kin 527 networks at birth were associated with better survival for adult males, but reduced 528 survival for adult females ( $\beta$ =0.01±0.02; sex interaction:  $\beta$ =-0.05±0.03; Figure 3; Table 529 S5). The presence of **competing younger siblings** was associated with higher 530 mortality risk in adulthood, but the model was uncertain about this effect ( $\beta$ =-0.03±0.04). 531 Although the model was uncertain, a competing sibling had a slightly larger effect on 532 females ( $\beta$ =0.06±0.06). We were unable to examine survival effects during early life given 533 time-varying issues. The model was uncertain about the effects of maternal social isolation; 534 individuals born to socially isolated mothers seemed to have higher mortality during early 535 life than those born to more socially connected mothers ( $\beta$ =0.15±0.17; Table S6), and males 536 were more affected by this than females ( $\beta$ =0.29±0.25). No effect of maternal social isolation 537 was observed among adults  $(\beta=-0.04\pm0.02;$  Table S6).

538

539 Higher temperatures during the first year of life were associated with higher mortality risks 540 in early life ( $\beta$ =-0.06±0.24) and adulthood ( $\beta$ =-0.02±0.02), but the models were uncertain



 Model comparisons revealed no substantial difference between models constructed with the cumulative early life adversity index versus those constructed with each form of early life adversity separately (Table S7). We ran additional models with a cumulative adversity index that excluded maternal loss and matriline rank, the two strongest predictors of mortality, to see if the accumulation of adversities with smaller effect sizes impacted mortality (Table S8). Individuals who experienced more adversity, as measured by the reduced index, had a higher mortality risk during early life, but the effect size was smaller than in the model with the full 561 cumulative index ( $\beta$ =-0.13±0.08). In the reduced index model, an interaction with sex arose



 **Fig2.** Model effects of sex and the forms of early life adversity on survival during early life (A) and adulthood (B). The outer bars show the 85% credible intervals, the inner boxes show the 50% credible intervals, and the black circles in the middle show the medians of the posterior distributions. Green shading represents negative effect sizes, meaning that the variable is associated with shorter lifespans, and purple shading represents positive effect sizes, meaning that the variable is associated with longer lifespans. Note that we do not include the interaction effects between sex and early life adversity in this figure. Because interaction effects influence the interpretation of the sex and adversity effects, this figure should be interpreted with caution as it does not illustrate the complete picture. The full model results, including interaction effects, are

## presented in Figure S1.



**Fig 3.** Interactions between sex and three forms of early life adversity on adult survival.

 (A) and (E) Predicted relationship between maternal kin network size at birth and survival for females (green) and males (blue). (B) and (F) Predicted relationship between high temperatures during the first year of life and survival for females (green) and males (blue). (C) and (G) Predicted relationship between maternal loss during the first four years of life and survival for males versus females. The circles show the median estimate, and the bars show the 85% credible intervals. (D) and (H) Predicted relationship between matrilineal rank and survival for males versus females. The circles show the median estimate, and the bars show the 85% credible intervals.





Discussion

 Our findings indicate that early life adversity shapes both early life survival and adult survival in free-ranging rhesus macaques. Individuals that experienced more cumulative early life adversity lived shorter lives than those that experienced less adversity. The effect size of early life adversity on mortality risk was larger in the first four years of life than adulthood, but risks were also elevated in adulthood. Strong effects on early life mortality risk are consistent with the notion of an overall greater vulnerability during development [\(93,94\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ErC8UG) Given the fitness costs of dying prior to reproduction, our results demonstrate that the effects of early life adversity prior to maturity have major fitness ramifications and the full consequences of early life adversity are likely to be larger than predicted in previous studies focused on adult fitness.

 The accumulation of multiple adversities did not predict mortality risk better than individual forms of adversity, and assessing the various forms of potential adversity revealed that maternal-related adversities exhibited the largest effects on survival. Maternal death and low matrilineal rank were associated with higher mortality risk in early life and adulthood. The lasting effects of these maternal-related adversities are unsurprising given similar consequences in other mammalian species [\(23,54,55\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?YEPhqm) as well as consequences of parental-related hardships in humans [\(95–99\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ytUhcG) Survival advantages were also observed among offspring born to more socially connected mothers, but there was considerable variation in this effect and it did not persist into adulthood. From previous analyses, we know the presence of a competing younger sibling increases mortality risk during early life [\(33\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?Ei26Ta) and these effects appear to persist into adulthood, at least for females. We found that first born offspring were more likely to die during early life than those who were not the first born. However, while our model estimates were uncertain, among those who survived into adulthood, survival odds were better for those born to primiparous than multiparous mothers. Given the strong negative effects of primiparity on offspring survival in the first four years of life, the higher survival odds of adults who were first borns could reflect survivorship bias. Alternatively, because primiparous mothers are young, first-born daughters might have more older kin present (e.g., grandmother, older aunts, older cousins) across a larger span of their life than daughters born to older, multiparous mothers. This can create trade-offs such that first-borns face elevated infant mortality risks due to constraints on

 maternal care and investment, but if they survive, they might experience reduced mortality risks stemming from support provided by older maternal kin.

 Consistent with previous analyses in this population [\(34,100\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?QlHzuw) we did not find substantial impacts of early life hurricane exposure on survival. This is surprising given that macaques in this population exposed to Hurricane Maria showed divergent immune cell gene regulation, suggestive of accelerated aging [\(87\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ZxHmQ2) Exposure to major hurricanes also led to greater heterogeneity in reproductive strategies and longevity, and macaques might reduce fertility as a strategy to prioritize survival odds [\(86,100\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?wZVkqI) Given our results showing heat effects on mortality and the fact that temperatures increase following hurricanes on Cayo Santiago [\(44,87\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?qkMiTy) hurricanes might affect macaques indirectly via factors such as deforestation, shade scarcity, and heat. Further, given the recency of Hurricane Maria and our small sample of individuals exposed to Maria in this study's dataset, we are currently limited in our ability to analyze survival outcomes for this most recent hurricane event. Potential impacts of Hurricane Maria may also have been buffered socially - macaques on Cayo Santiago adjusted their social networks after Hurricane Maria [\(44\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?xe0cs2) and built new social connections, which may buffer negative impacts.

 The survival effects of some forms of early life adversity were sex-dependent. During early life, male survival was more negatively affected by three forms of adversity: small maternal kin networks, high temperatures, and maternal loss. In adulthood, males continued to suffer greater costs of early maternal loss, perhaps reflecting the long-term  costs of severe energetic constraints during early life. Males might be more affected by these adversities than females prior to reproductive maturity due to their energetically costly developmental trajectories and/or due to maternal decisions to reduce investment in energetically costly offspring during harsh environments [\(26,27,101\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?KpOnpD) In adulthood, females were more affected by several forms of early life adversity than males: matriline rank, maternal kin network, and temperature. Adult females were more affected by matriline rank than adult males, likely because males disperse [\(69\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HZsd0h) female dominance hierarchies are fairly stable across time [\(66\),](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?1DsBtI) and females typically inherit dominance rank via their matriline. Being born into large maternal kin networks had a positive effect on adult female survival but a negative effect on adult male survival. Given dispersal, males might not receive any immediate benefits of kin support in adulthood and thus only experience the long-term costs associated with earlier competition, consistent with the idea that individuals face tradeoffs between benefits of kin support and costs of competition with kin [\(102\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ZKFft8) Males were more susceptible than females to high temperatures during early life, but females were more susceptible in adulthood. In humans, findings have suggested both greater and lesser susceptibility to heat stroke in women versus men [\(103\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?SnL9v5) females but not males exhibited delayed myocardial dysfunction following exertional heat stroke [\(104\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?DXT5nP) Future work is needed to explore these temperature effects and potential underlying or mediating factors such as how body size, physiology, cardiovascular health, and energetic expense patterns are linked to temperature fluctuations, hurricane exposures, and mortality across ages in this population.

 We faced several limitations in this study. Our results could be shaped by the nature, structure, and characteristics of the data. Specifically, the data contain a large proportion of right-censored observations, which could affect the accuracy of lifespan estimates. We were also unable to use time-varying variables because the data violated the Cox model assumptions. This led to the exclusion of the competing sibling variable from our early life survival model, and led us to treat maternal loss as a binary variable rather than a continuous variable (i.e., age at maternal loss). Some forms of potential early life adversity had minimal to no effect on mortality risk, which is not entirely unexpected given similar null findings for variables such as drought, group size, and maternal social isolation in other primate species [\(15,25\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?aDwCgC) However, it is also plausible that some variables like rainfall and group size might have limited effects on survival because drinking water and food are provisioned in the study population. While the macaques still compete over access to food and water resources, competition is likely reduced compared to wild populations. The consequences of adversity might further be hampered in this population because the macaques are not exposed to predators. Our study population might navigate an environment in which the consequences of early life adversity are relaxed, but this represents natural variation which exists across species and populations.

 The results of this study open the door for future lines of inquiry. Our results illustrate clear fitness consequences of early life adversity in the form of increased mortality risk, but further research into the biological mechanisms underlying these survival patterns is needed to better understand how early life adversity impacts fitness and health. It was

 beyond the scope of this analysis to investigate how the timing and length of the windows of exposure to adversity might impact mortality risk. Sensitive windows are periods during which individuals are especially sensitive to inputs and exhibit heightened plasticity [\(105\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?3vPEji) The timing of these windows are hypothesized to vary across forms of adversity, biological systems, individuals, and populations [\(93\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?25sTTO) Additional analyses are also needed to investigate more nuanced aspects of early life adversity such as the severity, duration, frequency, and predictability of exposure to different forms of adversity [\(6\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ot9ZXj) Another angle to investigate, especially given the sex- dependent mortality patterns, is how effects of early life adversity might be moderated or mediated by developmental trajectories and parental investment strategies. Analyses are also needed that examine whether individuals adjust other aspects of their life history strategies (e.g., pace of reproduction, age at maturity) to compensate for reduced life expectancy [\(34,86,106\).](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?Eooo6B) Importantly, variation in model estimates and predictions convey that while early life adversity can have negative consequences, such effects are not definitive. Social connections and behavioral adjustments [\(44,107\)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?gseztF) should be investigated as potential contributors to resilience.

 In sum, our study demonstrates that exposure to early life adversity increases mortality risk in male and female rhesus macaques. Lower odds of surviving to reproductive age indicates that early life adversity can have major fitness ramifications for both an organism and their parents. Reduced life expectancy among those who survive to adulthood, suggests that early life adversity can have persisting fitness costs and long-term health consequences. Adversities related to the maternal, social, and nutritional



- 
- Acknowledgements

We thank the Royal Society and all the organizers of the meeting on "Understanding

age and society using natural populations." We thank the editor and two anonymous

reviewers for providing valuable feedback on an earlier version of this manuscript. This

work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01-AG060931;

R21AG078554; ORIP-P40OD012217), the National Science Foundation (SMA-

2105307), and a collaborative initiative between the National Science Foundation and

 the European Research Council (supplemental funding for NSF-SMA-2105307 and ERC-864461).

## References

 1. [Cooper EB, Kruuk LEB. Ageing with a silver-spoon: A meta-analysis of the effect of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [developmental environment on senescence. Evol Lett. 2018;2\(5\):460–71.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)

 2. [Eyck HJF, Buchanan KL, Crino OL, Jessop TS. Effects of developmental stress on animal](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [phenotype and performance: a quantitative review. Biol Rev. 2019;94\(3\):1143–60.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)

 3. [Lea AJ, Rosenbaum S. Understanding how early life effects evolve: progress, gaps, and](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [future directions. Curr Opin Behav Sci. 2020 Dec 1;36:29–35.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)

 4. [Lu A, Petrullo L, Carrera S, Feder J, Schneider](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)‐Crease I, Snyder‐Mackler N. Developmental responses to early‐[life adversity: Evolutionary and mechanistic](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [perspectives. Evol Anthropol Issues News Rev. 2019 Sep;28\(5\):249–66.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)

 5. [Lea AJ, Tung J, Archie EA, Alberts SC. Developmental plasticity: Bridging research in](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [evolution and human health. Evol Med Public Health. 2017 Jan 1;2017\(1\):162–75.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)

6. [Patterson SK, Petersen RM, Brent LJN, Snyder-Mackler N, Lea AJ, Higham JP. Natural](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 

- [animal populations as model systems for understanding early life adversity effects on](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [aging. Integr Comp Biol. 2023;](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 7. [Barker D, Eriksson J, Forsén T, Osmond C. Fetal origins of adult disease: strength of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [effects and biological basis. Int J Epidemiol. 2002 Dec 1;31\(6\):1235–9.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 8. [Deighton S, Neville A, Pusch D, Dobson K. Biomarkers of adverse childhood experiences:](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [A scoping review. Psychiatry Res. 2018 Nov 1;269:719–32.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 9. [Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C, Thornburg KL. Effect of In Utero and Early-Life](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Conditions on Adult Health and Disease. N Engl J Med. 2008 Jul 3;359\(1\):61–73.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 10. [Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, et al.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Relationship of Childhood Abuse and Household Dysfunction to Many of the Leading](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Causes of Death in Adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences \(ACE\) Study. Am J Prev](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Med. 1998 May 1;14\(4\):245–58.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 11. [Gunnar MR. Early adversity, stress, and neurobehavioral development. Dev Psychopathol.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2020 Dec;32\(5\):1555–62.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 12. [O'Rand AM, Hamil-Luker J. Processes of Cumulative Adversity: Childhood Disadvantage](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [and Increased Risk of Heart Attack Across the Life Course. J Gerontol Ser B. 2005 Oct](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  771 1;60(Special\_Issue\_2):S117-24.
- 13. [Smith KE, Pollak SD. Early life stress and development: potential mechanisms for adverse](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [outcomes. J Neurodev Disord. 2020 Dec 16;12\(1\):34.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 14. [Gicquel M, East ML, Hofer H, Benhaiem S. Early-life adversity predicts performance and](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [fitness in a wild social carnivore. J Anim Ecol. 2022;91\(10\):2074–86.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 15. [Tung J, Archie EA, Altmann J, Alberts SC. Cumulative early life adversity predicts longevity](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [in wild baboons. Nat Commun. 2016 Apr 19;7\(1\):11181.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 16. [Blomquist GE. Trade-off between age of first reproduction and survival in a female primate.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Biol Lett. 2009 Mar 11;5\(3\):339–42.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 17. [Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness FE, Albon SD. The Costs of Reproduction to Red Deer Hinds.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [J Anim Ecol. 1983;52\(2\):367–83.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 18. [Kjellander P, Gaillard J, Hewison M, Liberg O. Predation risk and longevity influence](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [variation in fitness of female roe deer \(Capreolus capreolus L.\). Proc R Soc Lond B Biol](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Sci. 2004 Aug 7;271\(suppl\\_5\):S338–40.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 19. [Rhine RJ, Norton GW, Wasser SK. Lifetime reproductive success, longevity, and](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [reproductive life history of female yellow baboons \(Papio cynocephalus\) of Mikumi National](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Park, Tanzania. Am J Primatol. 2000;51\(4\):229–41.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 20. [Robbins AM, Stoinski T, Fawcett K, Robbins MM. Lifetime reproductive success of female](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [mountain gorillas. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2011;146\(4\):582–93.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 21. [Van de Walle J, Larue B, Pigeon G, Pelletier F. Different proxies, different stories?](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Imperfect correlations and different determinants of fitness in bighorn sheep. Ecol Evol.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2022;12\(12\):e9582.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 22. [Lange EC, Zeng S, Campos FA, Li F, Tung J, Archie EA, et al. Early life adversity and](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [adult social relationships have independent effects on survival in a wild animal model of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [aging \[Internet\]. bioRxiv; 2022 \[cited 2022 Nov 11\]. p. 2022.09.06.506810. Available from:](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.09.06.506810v1](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 23. [Strauss ED, Shizuka D, Holekamp KE. Juvenile rank acquisition is associated with fitness](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [independent of adult rank. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2020 Mar 11;287\(1922\):20192969.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 24. [Alif Ž, Dunning J, Chik HYJ, Burke T, Schroeder J. What is the best fitness measure in wild](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [populations? A case study on the power of short-term fitness proxies to predict](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [reproductive value. PLOS ONE. 2022 Apr 22;17\(4\):e0260905.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 25. [Morrison RE, Eckardt W, Stoinski TS, Rosenbaum S. Cumulative early-life adversity does](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [not predict reduced adult longevity in wild gorillas. Curr Biol. 2023 Jun 5;33\(11\):2307-](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) [2314.e4.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 26. [Clutton-Brock TH. The costs of sex. Differ Sexes. 1994;347–62.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 27. [Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. Parental investment and sex differences in](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [juvenile mortality in birds and mammals. Nature. 1985 Jan;313\(5998\):131–3.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 28. [Lonsdorf EV. Sex differences in nonhuman primate behavioral development. J Neurosci](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Res. 2017;95\(1–2\):213–21.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 29. [Andres D, Clutton-Brock TH, Kruuk LEB, Pemberton JM, Stopher KV, Ruckstuhl KE. Sex](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [differences in the consequences of maternal loss in a long-lived mammal, the red deer](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [\(Cervus elaphus\). Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 2013 Aug 1;67\(8\):1249–58.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 30. [Tung J, Lange EC, Alberts SC, Archie EA. Social and early life determinants of survival](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [from cradle to grave: A case study in wild baboons. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2023 Sep](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [1;152:105282.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 31. [Lange EC, Zeng S, Campos FA, Li F, Tung J, Archie EA, et al. Early life adversity and](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [adult social relationships have independent effects on survival in a wild primate. Sci Adv.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2023 May 17;9\(20\):eade7172.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 32. [Dettmer AM, Chusyd DE. Early life adversities and lifelong health outcomes: A review of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [the literature on large, social, long-lived nonhuman mammals. Neurosci Biobehav Rev.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2023 Sep 1;152:105297.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 33. [Lee DS, Ruiz-Lambides AV, Higham JP. Higher offspring mortality with short interbirth](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [intervals in free-ranging rhesus macaques. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2019 Mar](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [26;116\(13\):6057–62.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 34. [Luevano L, Sutherland C, Gonzalez SJ, Hernández-Pacheco R. Rhesus macaques](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [compensate for reproductive delay following ecological adversity early in life. Ecol Evol.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2022;12\(1\):e8456.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 35. [Petrullo LA, Mandalaywala TM, Parker KJ, Maestripieri D, Higham JP. Effects of early life](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [adversity on cortisol/salivary alpha-amylase symmetry in free-ranging juvenile rhesus](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [macaques. Horm Behav. 2016 Nov 1;86:78–84.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 36. Schwartz SM, Kemnitz JW. Age- [and gender-related changes in body size, adiposity, and](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [endocrine and metabolic parameters in free-ranging rhesus macaques. Am J Phys](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Anthropol. 1992;89\(1\):109–21.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 37. [Turcotte CM, Mann EHJ, Stock MK, Villamil CI, Montague MJ, Dickinson E, et al. The](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [ontogeny of sexual dimorphism in free-ranging rhesus macaques. Am J Biol Anthropol.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2022;177\(2\):314–27.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 38. [Higham JP, Maestripieri D. The Costs of Reproductive Success in Male Rhesus Macaques](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [\(Macaca mulatta\) on Cayo Santiago. Int J Primatol. 2014 Aug 1;35\(3\):661–76.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 39. [Kimock CM, Dubuc C, Brent LJN, Higham JP. Male morphological traits are heritable but](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [do not predict reproductive success in a sexually-dimorphic primate. Sci Rep. 2019 Dec](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [24;9\(1\):19794.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 40. [Kimock CM, Brent LJN, Dubuc C, Higham JP. Body size and canine size do not confer a](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [competitive advantage in male rhesus macaques. Anim Behav. 2022 May 1;187:281–90.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 41. [Hoffman CL, Ruiz-Lambides AV, Davila E, Maldonado E, Gerald MS, Maestripieri D. Sex](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [differences in survival costs of reproduction in a promiscuous primate. Behav Ecol](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Sociobiol. 2008 Sep 1;62\(11\):1711–8.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 42. [Kulik L, Amici F, Langos D, Widdig A. Sex Differences in the Development of Social](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Relationships in Rhesus Macaques \(Macaca mulatta\). Int J Primatol. 2015 Apr](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [1;36\(2\):353–76.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 43. [Pavez-Fox MA, Kimock CM, Rivera-Barreto N, Negron-Del Valle JE, Phillips D, Ruiz-](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) [Lambides A, et al. Reduced injury risk links sociality to survival in a group-living primate.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [iScience. 2022 Nov 18;25\(11\):105454.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 44. [Testard C, Larson SM, Watowich MM, Kaplinsky CH, Bernau A, Faulder M, et al. Rhesus](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [macaques build new social connections after a natural disaster. Curr Biol. 2021 Jun](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [7;31\(11\):2299-2309.e7.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 45. [Rawlins RG, Kessler MJ. The Cayo Santiago Macaques: History, Behavior, and Biology.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- [State University of New York Press; 1986. 322 p.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 46. [Widdig A, Kessler MJ, Bercovitch FB, Berard JD, Duggleby C, Nürnberg P, et al. Genetic](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [studies on the Cayo Santiago rhesus macaques: A review of 40 years of research. Am J](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Primatol. 2016;78\(1\):44–62.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 47. [Buuren S van, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Equations in R. J Stat Softw. 2011 Dec 12;45:1–67.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 48. [Hernandez-Pacheco R, Delgado DL, Rawlins RG, Kessler MJ, Ruiz-Lambides AV,](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Maldonado E, et al. Managing the Cayo Santiago rhesus macaque population: The role of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [density. Am J Primatol. 2016;78\(1\):167–81.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 49. [Patterson SK, Hinde K, Bond AB, Trumble BC, Strum SC, Silk JB. Effects of early life](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [adversity on maternal effort and glucocorticoids in wild olive baboons. Behav Ecol](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Sociobiol. 2021 Jul 27;75\(8\):114.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 50. [Dettmer AM, Heckman JJ, Pantano J, Ronda V, Suomi SJ. Intergenerational Effects of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Early-Life Advantage: Lessons from a Primate Study \[Internet\]. National Bureau of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Economic Research; 2020 \[cited 2023 Sep 29\]. \(Working Paper Series\). Available from:](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [https://www.nber.org/papers/w27737](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 51. [Blomquist GE. Maternal Effects on Offspring Mortality in Rhesus Macaques \(Macaca](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [mulatta\). Am J Primatol. 2013;75\(3\):238–51.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 52. [Lahdenperä M, Mar KU, Lummaa V. Short-term and delayed effects of mother death on](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [calf mortality in Asian elephants. Behav Ecol. 2016 Jan 1;27\(1\):166–74.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 53. [Sear R, Mace R. Who keeps children alive? A review of the effects of kin on child survival.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Evol Hum Behav. 2008 Jan 1;29\(1\):1–18.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 54. [Stanton MA, Lonsdorf EV, Murray CM, Pusey AE. Consequences of maternal loss before](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [and after weaning in male and female wild chimpanzees. Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 2020 Jan](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [27;74\(2\):22.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 55. [Zipple MN, Altmann J, Campos FA, Cords M, Fedigan LM, Lawler RR, et al. Maternal](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [death and offspring fitness in multiple wild primates. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021 Jan](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [5;118\(1\):e2015317118.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 56. [Harcourt AH. Dominance and fertility among female primates. J Zool. 1987;213\(3\):471–87.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 57. [Grebe NM, Hirwa JP, Stoinski TS, Vigilant L, Rosenbaum S. Mountain gorillas maintain](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  887 strong affiliative biases for maternal siblings despite high male reproductive skew and [extensive exposure to paternal kin. Kalan AK, Perry GH, editors. eLife. 2022 Sep](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [22;11:e80820.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 58. [Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. Competition between female relatives in a](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [matrilocal mammal. Nature. 1982 Nov;300\(5888\):178–80.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 59. [Clutton-Brock TH, Hodge SJ, Flower TP. Group size and the suppression of subordinate](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [reproduction in Kalahari meerkats. Anim Behav. 2008 Sep 1;76\(3\):689–700.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 60. [Bercovitch FB, Lebron MR, Martinez HS, Kessler MJ. Primigravidity, body weight, and](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [costs of rearing first offspring in rhesus macaques. Am J Primatol. 1998;46\(2\):135–44.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 61. [Fairbanks LA, Mcguire MT. Maternal Condition and the Quality of Maternal Care in Vervet](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Monkeys. Behaviour. 1995 Jan 1;132\(9–10\):733–54.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 62. [Glander KE. Reproduction and population growth in free-ranging mantled howling](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [monkeys. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1980;53\(1\):25–36.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 63. [Mar KU, Lahdenperä M, Lummaa V. Causes and Correlates of Calf Mortality in Captive](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Asian Elephants \(Elephas maximus\). PLOS ONE. 2012 Mar 1;7\(3\):e32335.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 64. [Smuts B, Nicolson N. Reproduction in wild female olive baboons. Am J Primatol.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [1989;19\(4\):229–46.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 65. [Altmann J, Alberts SC. Growth rates in a wild primate population: ecological influences and](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [maternal effects. Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 2005 Mar 1;57\(5\):490–501.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 66. [Blomquist GE, Sade DS, Berard JD. Rank-Related Fitness Differences and Their](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Demographic Pathways in Semi-Free-Ranging Rhesus Macaques \(Macaca mulatta\). Int J](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)

[Primatol. 2011 Feb 1;32\(1\):193–208.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)

- 67. [Garcia C, Lee PC, Rosetta L. Growth in colony living anubis baboon infants and its](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [relationship with maternal activity budgets and reproductive status. Am J Phys Anthropol.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2009;138\(2\):123–35.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 68. [Johnson SE. Life history and the competitive environment: trajectories of growth,](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [maturation, and reproductive output among Chacma baboons. Am J Phys Anthropol.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2003;120\(1\):83–98.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 69. [Weiß BM, Kulik L, Ruiz-Lambides AV, Widdig A. Individual dispersal decisions affect](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [fitness via maternal rank effects in male rhesus macaques. Sci Rep. 2016 Aug](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [31;6\(1\):32212.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 70. [Missakian EA. Genealogical and cross-genealogical dominance relations in a group of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [free-ranging rhesus monkeys \(Macaca mulatta\) on Cayo Santiago. Primates. 1972 Jun](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  920 1;13(2):169-80.
- 71. [Brent LJN, Ruiz-Lambides A, Platt ML. Family network size and survival across the](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [lifespan of female macaques. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2017 May 17;284\(1854\):20170515.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 72. [Thierry B, Singh M, Kaumanns W. Macaque Societies: A Model for the Study of Social](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Organization. Cambridge University Press; 2004. 438 p.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 73. [Rendall D, Rodman PS, Emond RE. Vocal recognition of individuals and kin in free-ranging](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [rhesus monkeys. Anim Behav. 1996 May 1;51\(5\):1007–15.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 74. [Archie EA, Tung J, Clark M, Altmann J, Alberts SC. Social affiliation matters: both same-](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) [sex and opposite-sex relationships predict survival in wild female baboons. Proc R Soc B](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Biol Sci. 2014 Oct 22;281\(1793\):20141261.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 75. [Brent LJN, Heilbronner SR, Horvath JE, Gonzalez-Martinez J, Ruiz-Lambides A, Robinson](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [AG, et al. Genetic origins of social networks in rhesus macaques. Sci Rep. 2013 Jan](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [9;3\(1\):1042.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 76. [Ellis S, Snyder-Mackler N, Ruiz-Lambides A, Platt ML, Brent LJN. Deconstructing sociality:](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [the types of social connections that predict longevity in a group-living primate. Proc R Soc](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [B Biol Sci. 2019 Dec 18;286\(1917\):20191991.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 77. [Silk JB, Alberts SC, Altmann J. Social Bonds of Female Baboons Enhance Infant Survival.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Science. 2003 Nov 14;302\(5648\):1231–4.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 78. [Silk JB, Beehner JC, Bergman TJ, Crockford C, Engh AL, Moscovice LR, et al. Strong and](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Consistent Social Bonds Enhance the Longevity of Female Baboons. Curr Biol. 2010 Aug](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [10;20\(15\):1359–61.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 79. [Kajokaite K, Whalen A, Koster J, Perry S. Social integration predicts survival in female](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [white-faced capuchin monkeys. Behav Ecol. 2022 Jul 1;33\(4\):807–15.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 80. [Campos FA, Morris WF, Alberts SC, Altmann J, Brockman DK, Cords M, et al. Does](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [climate variability influence the demography of wild primates? Evidence from long-term life-](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)[history data in seven species. Glob Change Biol. 2017;23\(11\):4907–21.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 81. [Lea AJ, Altmann J, Alberts SC, Tung J. Developmental Constraints in a Wild Primate. Am](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Nat. 2015 Jun;185\(6\):809–21.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 82. [Sloan ET, Beehner JC, Bergman TJ, Lu A, Snyder-Mackler N, Jacquemyn H. Effects of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [climate variability on the demography of wild geladas. Ecol Evol. 2022;12\(3\):e8759.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 83. [Blackburn G, Broom E, Ashton BJ, Thornton A, Ridley AR. Heat stress inhibits cognitive](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [performance in wild Western Australian magpies, Cracticus tibicen dorsalis. Anim Behav.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2022 Jun 1;188:1–11.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 84. [Bourne AR, Cunningham SJ, Spottiswoode CN, Ridley AR. High temperatures drive](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [offspring mortality in a cooperatively breeding bird. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2020 Jul](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [29;287\(1931\):20201140.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 85. [Polsky L, von Keyserlingk MAG. Invited review: Effects of heat stress on dairy cattle](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [welfare. J Dairy Sci. 2017 Nov 1;100\(11\):8645–57.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- 86. [Diaz AA, Steiner UK, Tuljapurkar S, Zuo W, Hernández-Pacheco R. Hurricanes affect](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)

 [diversification among individual life courses of a primate population. J Anim Ecol \[Internet\].](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2023 \[cited 2023 May 23\]; Available from:](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1365-2656.13942](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 87. [Watowich MM, Chiou KL, Montague MJ, Cayo Biobank Research Unit, Simons ND,](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Horvath JE, et al. Natural disaster and immunological aging in a nonhuman primate. Proc](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Natl Acad Sci. 2022 Feb 22;119\(8\):e2121663119.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 88. [RStudio Team. RStudio: integrated development for R \[Internet\]. RStudio, PBC, Boston,](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [MA; 2021 \[cited 2024 Feb 2\]. Available from: http://www.rstudio.com/.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 89. [R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing \[Internet\].](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; 2021 \[cited 2024 Feb 2\]. Available](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [from: https://www.R-project.org/](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 90. [Wilson AJ, Réale D, Clements MN, Morrissey MM, Postma E, Walling CA, et al. An](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [ecologist's guide to the animal model. J Anim Ecol. 2010;79\(1\):13–26.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 91. [Bürkner PC. Advanced Bayesian Multilevel Modeling with the R Package brms. R J.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2018;10\(1\):395.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 92. [Alam TF, Rahman MS, Bari W. On estimation for accelerated failure time models with](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [small or rare event survival data. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2022 Jun 11;22\(1\):169.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 93. [Walasek N, Frankenhuis WE, Panchanathan K. An evolutionary model of sensitive periods](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [when the reliability of cues varies across ontogeny. Behav Ecol. 2022 Jan 1;33\(1\):101–14.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 94. [West-Eberhard MJ. Developmental Plasticity and Evolution. Oxford University Press; 2003.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [815 p.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 95. [Fields A, Harmon C, Lee Z, Louie JY, Tottenham N. Parent's anxiety links household](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [stress and young children's behavioral dysregulation. Dev Psychobiol. 2021;63\(1\):16–30.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 96. [Glover V, O'Donnell KJ, O'Connor TG, Fisher J. Prenatal maternal stress, fetal](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [programming, and mechanisms underlying later psychopathology—A global perspective.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Dev Psychopathol. 2018 Aug;30\(3\):843–54.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 97. [O'Donnell KJ, Glover V, Barker ED, O'Connor TG. The persisting effect of maternal mood](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [in pregnancy on childhood psychopathology. Dev Psychopathol. 2014 May;26\(2\):393–403.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 98. [Reid BM, Harbin MM, Arend JL, Kelly AS, Dengel DR, Gunnar MR. Early Life Adversity](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [with Height Stunting Is Associated with Cardiometabolic Risk in Adolescents Independent](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [of Body Mass Index. J Pediatr. 2018 Nov 1;202:143–9.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 99. [Thayer ZM, Kuzawa CW. Early origins of health disparities: Material deprivation predicts](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  991 maternal evening cortisol in pregnancy and offspring cortisol reactivity in the first few<br>992 weeks of life. Am J Hum Biol. 2014:26(6):723–30. [weeks of life. Am J Hum Biol. 2014;26\(6\):723–30.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 100. [Morcillo DO, Steiner UK, Grayson KL, Ruiz-Lambides AV, Hernández-Pacheco R.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Hurricane-induced demographic changes in a non-human primate population. R Soc Open](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Sci. 2020 Aug 19;7\(8\):200173.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 101. [Trivers RL, Willard DE. Natural Selection of Parental Ability to Vary the Sex Ratio of](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Offspring. Science. 1973 Jan 5;179\(4068\):90–2.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 102. [Croft DP, Johnstone RA, Ellis S, Nattrass S, Franks DW, Brent LJN, et al. Reproductive](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [Conflict and the Evolution of Menopause in Killer Whales. Curr Biol. 2017 Jan](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [23;27\(2\):298–304.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 1001 103. Giersch GEW, Garcia CK, Stachenfeld NS, Charkoudian N. Are there sex differences in [risk for exertional heat stroke? A translational approach. Exp Physiol. 2022;107\(10\):1136–](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) [43.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 104. [Laitano O, Garcia CK, Mattingly AJ, Robinson GP, Murray KO, King MA, et al. Delayed](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [metabolic dysfunction in myocardium following exertional heat stroke in mice. J Physiol.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [2020;598\(5\):967–85.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 105. [Selevan SG, KimmelA CA, Mendola P. Identifying critical windows of exposure for](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)  [children's health. Environ Health Perspect. 2000;108.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 106. [Weibel CJ, Tung J, Alberts SC, Archie EA. Accelerated reproduction is not an adaptive](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a) 

- 1010 [response to early-life adversity in wild baboons. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020 Oct](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)
- $6;117(40):24909-19.$
- 1012 107. Campos FA, Fedigan LM. Behavioral adaptations to heat stress and water scarcity in<br>1013 white-faced capuchins (Cebus capucinus) in Santa Rosa National Park, Costa Rica. A
- 1013 white-faced capuchins (Cebus capucinus) in Santa Rosa National Park, Costa Rica. Am J<br>1014 Phys Anthropol. 2009:138(1):101–11. 1014 [Phys Anthropol. 2009;138\(1\):101–11.](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?HW3w8a)