Early life adversity has sex-dependent effects on survival across the lifespan in rhesus macaques

Sam K. Patterson^{*1}, Ella Andonov², Alyssa M. Arre³, Melween I. Martínez³, Josué E. Negron-Del Valle⁴, Rachel M. Petersen⁵, Daniel Phillips⁴, Ahaylee Rahman⁶, Angelina Ruiz-Lambides³, Isabella Villanueva⁷, Amanda J. Lea^{5,8}, Noah Snyder-Mackler^{4,9}, Lauren J.N. Brent¹⁰, James P. Higham¹ ¹Department of Anthropology, New York University ²High School of American Studies at Lehman College, New York City ³Caribbean Primate Research Center, Unit of Comparative Medicine, University of Puerto Rico ⁴Center for Evolution and Medicine, Arizona State University ⁵Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University ⁶Brooklyn Technical High School, New York City ⁷Bard High School Early College Manhattan, New York City ⁸Child and Brain Development Program, Canadian Institute for Advanced Study, Toronto, Canada ⁹School of Life Sciences and School of Human Evolution and Social Change, Arizona State University ¹⁰Centre for Research in Animal Behaviour, Department of Psychology, University of Exeter *Corresponding author: Sam Patterson sam.patterson@nvu.edu 25 Waverly PI, New York, New York 10003 USA Keywords: early life adversity, survival, life history, evolutionary fitness, primates

35 Abstract

Exposure to early life adversity is linked to detrimental fitness outcomes across taxa. 36 However, due to the challenges of collecting longitudinal data, direct evidence for long-37 term fitness effects of early life adversity from long-lived species remains relatively 38 scarce. Here we test the effects of early life adversity on male and female longevity in a 39 40 free-ranging population of rhesus macagues (Macaca mulatta) on Cayo Santiago, Puerto Rico. We leveraged six decades of data to quantify the relative importance of ten 41 forms of early life adversity for 6,599 macaques. Individuals who experienced more 42 43 early life adversity died earlier than those who experienced less adversity. Mortality risk was highest during early life, defined as birth to four years old, but heightened mortality 44 45 risk was also present in macagues who survived to adulthood. Females and males were affected differently by some forms of adversity, and these differences might be driven by 46 varying energetic demands and dispersal patterns. Our results show that the fitness 47 48 consequences of early life adversity are not uniform across individuals but vary as a function of the type of adversity, timing, and social context, and thus contribute to our 49 limited but growing understanding of the evolution of early life sensitivities. 50

51

52

53

- 54
- 55

56

57 Introduction

58

Adversity such as food shortages and social isolation experienced prior to adulthood 59 can result in long-term health and evolutionary fitness consequences in a wide range of 60 61 insects, birds, fish, reptiles, and mammals (1–4). These detrimental outcomes in 62 adulthood are hypothesized to arise from organisms adjusting their developmental trajectories in response to adversity in order to improve immediate survival (3,5,6). To 63 64 date, research on non-human animals has borrowed hypotheses and methodologies from the extensive literature on early life adversity in humans. Early life adversity in 65 66 humans is associated with poorer health and reduced longevity (7–9). A common and 67 growing approach in the study of humans is to use cumulative indices which measure the total amount of adversities experienced by an individual in their early life, rather than 68 focus on different forms of adversity separately (10–13). Empirical evidence suggests 69 70 that the accumulation of multiple adversities is a better predictor of adult outcomes than 71 any particular form of adversity, but there is also evidence that specific forms of 72 adversity lead to different outcomes (11,14,15). A small but growing number of studies 73 have tested the long-term impacts of early life adversity, but further research on how cumulative early life adversity and different forms of adversity shape the timing of fitness 74 75 consequences in a variety of species, populations, and contexts is needed to better 76 understand the evolution of early life sensitivities to adversity.

77

In long-lived species, females who live longer have a longer reproductive span and are
able to produce more offspring, such that longevity or survival can be used as proxies of
fitness (16–21). Long-term studies of female yellow baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*) and

81 spotted hyenas (Crocuta crocuta) have shown that exposure to greater amounts of 82 cumulative early life adversity is associated with reduced survival in adulthood 83 (14,15,22,23). In addition to the adverse effects experienced in adulthood, mortality prior to reproductive maturity results in a fitness of zero as organisms fail to reproduce. 84 Accordingly, in populations characterized by high mortality rates prior to reproductive 85 86 maturity, the total number of offspring reaching reproductive maturity is the best proxy 87 for fitness (24). Male and female mountain gorillas (Gorilla beringei beringei) exposed to 88 more cumulative early life adversity experience reduced survival prior to reproductive 89 maturity, but do not experience survival costs after maturity (25). As such, adult survival patterns as a function of cumulative early life adversity in gorillas differ from those in 90 91 yellow baboons and spotted hyenas, but pre-reproductive survival patterns are not yet available across species for comparison. More studies across different species are thus 92 needed to draw comparisons and better understand the evolutionary pressures which 93 94 shape early life sensitivities to cumulative adversity and different forms of putative adversity, and to test the relationships between developmental responses to adversity, 95 the timing of fitness consequences across the lifespan, and detrimental adult outcomes. 96 97

The fitness consequences of early life adversity might vary in a sex-dependent manner due to differences in life history strategies. During adverse early life conditions, the sex with more energetically demanding traits is predicted to be more susceptible to nutritional constraints (26,27). In many species, male life histories are considered more energetically costly given faster growth and larger body size compared to females. When male fitness is largely determined by access to mates via competitive ability, 104 males should also invest in costly developmental processes like play and motor skill 105 development (28). Support for these predicted differences come from a study focusing 106 on one form of adversity: maternal death prior to weaning in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) 107 was linked to higher mortality risk among male compared to female offspring (29). Sex-108 dependent effects of early life adversity are challenging to study because many species 109 are characterized by sex-biased dispersal such that pre- or post-dispersal data are 110 typically missing for individuals of the dispersing sex (e.g., (15)). More studies are thus 111 needed that can follow both males and females from birth till death to investigate how 112 life history strategies shape sex-specific fitness consequences and developmental 113 responses to early life adversity.

114

115 Here we study the free-ranging rhesus macagues (Macaca mulatta) of Cayo Santiago to 116 advance our understanding of the magnitude, form, timing, and sex-dependence of 117 early life adversity effects. To date, direct evidence of the lifelong effects of early life 118 adversity is relatively scarce in long-lived species due to the difficulties of collecting data 119 from early life till death. In this study, we leveraged complete demographic records that 120 extend back to the late 1950s for thousands of male and female macagues at the Cayo 121 Santiago field site. We add to the growing body of early life adversity research in long-122 lived species (14,15,23,25,30,31). Following these previous studies, we examined both 123 the effects of cumulative early life adversity and the effects of specific forms of early life 124 adversity to identify which forms best predict survival. We build upon this previous work 125 by incorporating more forms of adversity and leveraging an exceptionally large sample 126 size. Further, this analysis incorporates data from females and males from birth till

death, which has only been previously examined in one study of wild mountain gorillas
(25). Specifically, we examined the effects of ten forms of potential early life adversity
on sex-specific mortality risk across early life, and separately, across adulthood. By
examining mortality across the lifespan, we can identify the full extent of variation in the
fitness consequences of early life adversity, including how survivorship biases in early
life may influence survival patterns observed in adulthood.

133

134 Previous research has demonstrated that some forms of early life adversity can shape 135 the behavior and health of macaques. In captivity, infant macaques experimentally exposed to abusive mothers or reared with peers show decreased immune function, 136 137 more self-injury, more impulsivity, differential DNA methylation in brain cells, and a suite 138 of physical health anomalies in adulthood (32). Such experimental studies are complemented by targeted observational studies that have examined the effects of one 139 140 or two forms of naturally occurring adversity. In the Cayo Santiago population, abusive 141 maternal care behavior is linked to differences in HPA function in juveniles, the 142 presence of a competing younger sibling is linked to reduced survival during juvenility, 143 and exposure to hurricanes and high population density during early life are linked to life 144 history trade-offs in adulthood (33–35).

145

As for wild rhesus macaques, the Cayo Santiago monkeys live in naturally forming
multi-male, multi-female social groups characterized by dominance hierarchies and
male dispersal. This species is sexually dimorphic, with males exhibiting larger body
mass and canine length than females (36,37). Males queue for dominance rank, have

150 large testes, and experience strong indirect male-male competition, including sperm 151 competition (38–40). We predicted that rhesus macaques exposed to greater amounts 152 of early life adversity would have increased mortality risk. We predicted mortality risks 153 would be more severe during the first four years of life when individuals are still growing 154 and adversity is more recent. However, we also predicted heightened mortality risk 155 would persist into adulthood among those who survive past four years of age. Given 156 that male life history strategies in this species (41) prioritize costly traits like faster 157 growth, larger body size, and motor skill development (36,37,42), we predicted that 158 early life adversity would exert larger effects on males than females. We also predicted that some forms of early life adversity, such as maternal loss, would have larger effects 159 160 on mortality risk than others.

161

162 Methods

163 Study site and population

We studied a free-ranging population of rhesus macaques living on Cayo Santiago, a
15.2-ha island off the southeastern coast of Puerto Rico. The current population of
~1,700 individually recognized rhesus macaques living in 12 social groups are the
descendants of 409 monkeys that were transported from India to the island in 1938.
This population is managed by the Caribbean Primate Research Center (CPRC) of the
University of Puerto Rico. Monkeys are fed monkey chow daily and water catchments
provide *ad libitum* access to drinking water.

171

172 During the study period (1960-2021), observers monitored and recorded demographic events daily. These records included births, deaths, sex, matriline, matriline rank, 173 174 maternal identification, sires when genetic data were available, and group emigration 175 and immigration events. The island is free of predators and, as approved by IACUC, 176 there is no regular veterinary intervention, such that the primary causes of death are 177 injury and illness (43). Injured individuals are three times more likely to die than 178 uninjured individuals in the population (43). We have had few obvious outbreaks of 179 infectious diseases, an exception being the 1940 and 2010 outbreaks of Shigella 180 (44,45). If a carcass is found then animals are confirmed as dead and removed as per the date the carcass is retrieved. The daily census notes when animals were last seen. 181 182 If animals have not been seen by anyone for 6 months, then they are assumed dead 183 with death date recorded as the last date of observation plus one day. A genetic pedigree is available for much of the population (46). Daily total rainfall and mean 184 185 maximum temperature data were obtained from the NOAA station in Rio Piedras, 186 Puerto Rico. Over a 61-year period (1959-2020), data were not recorded by this NOAA 187 station for 21% of days. Rather than removing a large portion of data, we imputed 188 missing rainfall and temperature data using the 'mice' package (v 3.14.0) in R (47). This 189 study included 6,599 individuals for which there were complete data available, including 190 data covering the entire lifespan–birth to death–for 2,513 macaques. The remaining 191 4,086 macaques were either alive (N= 173) at the time of this study or were removed 192 (N=3,914) from the island prior to natural death as a result of population management 193 (i.e., constituted right-censored samples). Over the course of the study, three forms of 194 selection criteria for animal removal have been used: removal of entire social groups,

removal based on sex (e.g., removal of adult males to maintain appropriate sex ratios),
and removal based on age (e.g., removal of immature individuals) (48). Note that these
selection criteria are independent of the early life adversity variables used in our
analysis.

199

200

201 Early life adversities

202

203 We used historical demographic records to assess individual exposure to early life adversity. We considered ten forms of potential early life adversity. Here, we aim to 204 205 select experiences or inputs that limit resources available to an individual or otherwise 206 lead an individual to allocate their resources across life history traits in a suboptimal 207 manner. In other words, early life adversity is an experience that sets an individual off 208 their optimal developmental trajectory. Eight of these forms of adversity have been used 209 in previous studies of primates (15,34,49), while two additional forms of adversity were 210 chosen based on the natural history of the present study system (Hurricanes and high 211 temperatures). Each form of adversity is further justified below, with previous research 212 demonstrating its deleterious consequences (see Table S1 for further details regarding 213 empirical support). In choosing time periods of exposure for each form of adversity, we 214 followed the methods presented by Tung and colleagues 2016 in regards to wild 215 baboons, which wean and reach reproductive maturity at similar ages as rhesus 216 macaques. Each of these ten adversities could be considered an early life advantage 217 from the flipped perspective because the measures can be considered on an axis that

ranges from "adversity" to "advantage" (50). For example, monkeys born into small
maternal social networks are predicted to experience adversity, while monkeys born into
large maternal networks are predicted to experience advantage. For consistency with
previous work, we recorded when individuals experienced the "adversity" end of the axis
for each variable.

223

224 **Maternal loss:** Maternal death increases offspring mortality in humans and other 225 mammals (15,29,51–54). We considered an individual to experience maternal loss if their 226 mother died (including natural death due to causes such as disease or injury (N=1,165), and permanent removal from the population (N=299)) before the individual reached 4 227 228 years of age (15,55). This four year window includes the period during which young 229 macaques are nutritionally dependent on their mothers, and the period during which young macaques are weaned but still socially dependent on their mothers. While a 230 231 mother's removal from the population had to occur while the offspring was alive (i.e., 232 prior to offspring death if they have died) to be considered an adversity, this was not a 233 requirement for natural maternal death because an impending maternal death is linked 234 to offspring mortality risk-an association likely explained by poor maternal condition 235 (55). We do not know the cause of death in most cases, but most deaths on the island 236 are due to illness, injury, and old age, and we would consider all or most natural deaths 237 to be condition dependent. Our decision to include cases in which the mother died 238 within 4 years after the offspring's birth was based on previously established 239 methodology used by Zipple and colleagues (55). In their study of several primate 240 species (chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes), northern muriquis (Brachyteles hypoxanthus),

241 blue monkeys (*Cercopithecus mitis*), mountain gorillas, yellow baboons, capuchins 242 (Cebus capucinus), and Verreaux's sifakas (Propithecus verreauxi)), offspring death 243 was significantly associated with an impending maternal death, even if the mother's 244 death did not occur until 3 to 4 years after the offspring's birth (55). Further, offspring did 245 not have a higher mortality risk if their mother's death was more imminent (within 1 year 246 of birth) versus more delayed (3.5 to 4 years after birth) (55). In our study, there were 247 220 maternal death cases in which the focal individual died before their mother died. The delay in death was as short as 2 days and as long as 3.8 years (median = 358.5 248 249 days; mean = 470 days). About 73% of the delayed maternal deaths occurred within 2 250 years of the infant death. Maternal loss was measured as a binary variable: 251 experienced maternal loss or did not experience loss.

252 **Competing sibling:** The presence of a close in age younger sibling represents a source of competition over maternal resources and is associated with higher mortality 253 254 risk (15,33). We considered a sibling to be a competitor if the sibling was born within 255 355 days of a subject, which represented the bottom quartile of interbirth intervals (IBI) 256 in our sample. While short IBIs have been linked to high juvenile mortality risk in the 257 study population (33), in other primates, short IBIs can also be an indicator of high 258 maternal quality (49,56), complicating the interpretation of results. The presence of a 259 close in age older sibling could also constitute a cost for the younger sibling, although 260 there are further complexities for this metric. In this study population, a short or long preceding IBI is predictive of higher infant mortality (33), and the presence of older 261 262 siblings could represent an important source of social support (57). Here, we followed 263 previous work that focused on the presence of close in age younger siblings (e.g.,

(15,25)). Last born offspring and individuals that died before their sibling was born could
not experience this adversity. The presence of competing siblings was measured as a
binary variable.

Group size: High group size and high population density are indicative of more 267 268 competition and are associated with reductions in fecundity (17,34,58,59). We used 269 group size as a proxy for within-group competition. Demographic records were used to 270 construct group composition over the study period. Group size was defined as the number of adults (>=4 years of age) of both sexes in an individual's social group on the 271 272 day that individual was born (15), and was included in our models as a continuous variable. Group size varied across the study period (range: 2-222 individuals), but was 273 274 fairly consistent across individuals' early lives (group size at birth and 4 years of age: 275 pearson's r = 0.75, p-value < 0.0005).

Primiparity: The high energetic demands on first time mothers can result in negative
outcomes for offspring such as increased mortality risk (51,60–64). First time mothers
might struggle to provide care, social support, and energetic resources for their
offspring. Being born to a first time mother might limit the energetic resources available
for the developing individual and how those resources are allocated, which meets our
definition of adversity. We used a binary measurement for primiparity: first born or not
first born.

Matriline rank: Dominance rank mediates access to food and is linked to survival,
fecundity, and offspring growth in primates (65–69). Matrilineal dominance hierarchies
for a given social group and year are recorded by the CPRC as categorical – high,
middle, low – based on dyadic agonistic interactions recorded over the course of each

287 observation year (e.g., threats, displacements, submissive behaviors) (33,70). As such, this measure represents the matrilineal rank for an individual's birth year. We follow 288 previously established methods for characterizing rank (66,71). Female macagues 289 290 acquire the rank adjacent to their mothers so individuals who are members of the same 291 matriline (i.e., descendants of a shared crown female ancestor) tend to be adjacent in 292 rank. The rank of a matriline can thus serve as a proxy for individual rank. Because 293 matriline ranks are stable over time (72), if the rank of a matriline is not measured in 294 some years, it can be extrapolated back or forward in time based on known ranks. As a 295 point of methodological comparison, we also treated matrilineal rank as an ordinal variable (i.e., "0, 1, 2" rather than "high, middle, low"). Since females inherit rank from 296 297 their mothers, matriline rank might be considered a measure of experiences across the lifespan for females and a measure of early life experience for males. However, given 298 previous research treating maternal rank as a form of early life adversity in females and 299 300 males in similar social systems, we included it here for comparative purposes. 301 Kin network: Among prime aged adult females at Cayo Santiago, the presence of more 302 maternal kin is linked to better survival in any given year (71). We measured an 303 individual's maternal kin network size at birth as the number of living females over 4 304 years of age with a relatedness coefficient of at least 0.063. This relatedness coefficient 305 was chosen because it represents the threshold at which macaques in this population 306 can recognize kin via vocalizations (73), and this threshold was used in previous work showing a positive association between the number of relatives present and adult 307 308 survival (71). Kin network size was included as a continuous variable (range: 1-21

individuals). The size of kin networks was fairly stable across individuals' early lives
(size at birth and four years: pearson's r = 0.75, p-value < 0.0005).

311 Maternal social isolation: Greater social connectedness is associated with longer 312 adult lifespans, lower age-specific mortality risks, the production of a greater number of 313 offspring, and greater offspring survival (74-79). We used behavioral data collected 314 during 10-min focal animal samples on adults in several social groups from 2010-2017 315 (details provided in the supplementary online materials (SOM)). To measure maternal 316 social isolation, we calculated a composite sociality index (CSI) using the affiliative 317 social behaviors, approaches and grooming. For each mother in each year, we 318 tabulated the rate of approaches (approaches to and from other adult females / hours 319 observed) and the rate of grooming bouts (number of grooming bouts given and 320 received / hours observed). A mother's approach and grooming rates were divided by 321 the mean rate for all adult females in each social group in each year. These 322 standardized approach and grooming rates were added together and divided by 2 (the 323 number of behaviors) to create the CSI for each mother. Here, we followed Tung and 324 colleagues (2016): for each offspring in our analyses, we averaged their mother's 325 composite sociality index for the first two years of life.

Rainfall: More rainfall is indicative of greater food and water availability, and is linked to greater fecundity and better survival in primates (80–82). Because food and water are provisioned, low rainfall might not be as impactful in this population compared to wild primate populations in marginal environments, but we still predict low rainfall to be predictive of higher mortality risk. We also predict that negative outcomes could be associated with high rainfall, given the association between high rainfall and tropical storms. Here, we used total rainfall across the first year of life (range: 1,021.4-3,157.1mm).

Temperature: Higher temperatures are linked to reduced cognitive performance in
Western Australian magpies (*Cracticus tibicen dorali*), poorer health and welfare in dairy
cattle (*Bos taurus*), and higher mortality risk in southern pied babblers (*Turdoides bicolor*) and geladas (*Theropithecus gelada*) (but also increased fecundity in geladas)
(81–84), thus we predicted negative outcomes associated with high temperatures. Here,
we averaged mean maximum daily temperatures across the first year of life (range:
85.12-89.89 F).

Hurricanes: In this population, exposure to major hurricanes is associated with 341 342 accelerated age-related immune changes. Among females on Cayo Santiago, hurricane 343 exposure during early life is associated with delayed reproductive maturation, but higher 344 fertility during reproductive prime, and during hurricane years, adult females are less 345 likely to produce offspring that survive to one year old (34,86,87). We recorded 346 individual exposure to any of the 3 major hurricanes that had major impacts on Cayo 347 Santiago (Hugo on September 18, 1989, Georges on September 21, 1998, and Maria 348 on September 20, 2017) during the first year of life. We treated this as a categorical 349 variable to assess the effects of each major storm. Hurricane exposure was not 350 included in previous studies of early life adversity, so we chose the first year of life as 351 our window of exposure to align with our other weather variables, rainfall and temperature. If individuals were exposed to hurricanes when they were over one year 352 353 old, we did not consider them to have experienced this adversity as *early life* adversity. 354

355 Here, following previous theoretical and empirical work (11,13–15), we examined cumulative adversity measures and individual forms of adversity separately. To 356 357 construct a cumulative early life adversity index, we summed individuals' exposure to 358 different forms of adversity. Previous studies typically relied on binary scores for each 359 form of adversity. Following Patterson et al 2021, to avoid arbitrary categorization and to 360 consider the severity of exposure, we used continuous measures of adversity when 361 feasible. For purposes of the cumulative index, continuous measures (i.e., group size, 362 kin network size, temperature, and rainfall) were normalized so values ranged from zero 363 to one. Variables for which lower values indicate greater adversity, such as kin network size and rainfall, the normalized variables were reversed so that values closer to one 364 365 (i.e., higher values) always indicate greater adversity. For binary and categorical 366 measures (i.e., maternal loss, being a first born, presence of a competing sibling, matriline rank, and hurricane exposure), individuals were assigned a value of one if they 367 368 experienced a given form of adversity and a value of zero if they did not experience it. 369 Those born into high ranking matrilines were assigned a zero, mid ranking matrilines 370 were assigned 0.5, and low ranking matrilines were assigned a value of one. Those 371 exposed to any of the three major hurricanes during the first year of life were assigned a 372 one, and those who were not exposed to any of these major hurricanes during the first 373 year of life were assigned a zero. As such, each variable ranged from zero to one and 374 for each individual the variables were summed together into a cumulative index to represent the total exposure to early life adversity. Our main cumulative early life 375 376 adversity index could range from 0-9 because it included nine variables: maternal loss, 377 presence of a competing younger sibling, high group size, primiparity, low matrilineal

dominance rank, small kin network, hurricane exposure, high temperature, and low
rainfall. Maternal social isolation was not included in the cumulative adversity index
because it relied on behavioral observations of individuals and was thus derived only for
a subset of our data (N=299 early life survival; N=101 adult survival).

382

383 Data analysis

384 To determine if early life adversity predicts survival, we used survival models. The outcome variable was age at death. Individuals who were either still alive at the end of 385 386 the study or removed from the island for population control were right-censored. We ran models on the full sample of all ages (N=6,599) but right-censored to four years old to 387 388 examine early life mortality, and we ran models on a subsample of individuals who 389 survived beyond four years of age (N=2,866) to examine mortality across adulthood. Early life adversity predictor variables were modeled two ways: 1) cumulative index 390 391 model which included all forms of adversity summed together into one variable; and 2) 392 multivariate model which included all nine forms of adversity (maternal social isolation is 393 run separately) modeled as separate predictor variables in the same model. We 394 assessed the efficacy of these two approaches by comparing the fit of the cumulative 395 index models versus the multivariate models using information on the difference in the 396 expected predictive accuracy. Models included early life adversity index or all individual 397 adversity variables, sex, and an interaction term between sex and early life adversity. Models also included a varying intercept for birth year and maternal identification. The 398 399 different forms of adversity we examined were not correlated, but temperatures in the 400 first year of life were highly correlated with birth year (Table S2).

401

Analyses were run in R (v 4.1.2) and RStudio (v 1.4.1106) (88,89). We first used Cox 402 survival models, but the proportional hazards assumption in the Cox model was violated 403 404 (cox.zph function in R package, "survival" (v 3.2.13): p<0.05; see SOM for specifics). 405 Instead, we fit Accelerated Failure Time (AFT) survival models with a Weibull 406 distribution. The presence of a competing younger sibling is time-dependent since 407 individuals cannot experience this exposure unless they survive till a given age, i.e., 408 until it's biologically possible for the mother to give birth again. To include this variable, 409 we would need to include it as a time-varying variable in a Cox proportional hazard 410 model. Alternatively, one could subset the data to examine survival during periods in 411 which it is biologically possible for a younger sibling to be born (e.g., 2-4 years), 412 however, this could create biases in the dataset since this involves removing individuals 413 based on their age at death. As such, we were unable to test how the presence of a 414 competing younger sibling affects survival in early life and we excluded this variable 415 from the cumulative index for the early life survival model. We could, however, examine 416 this in adulthood since all individuals in the sample survived to adulthood and the 417 presence of a competing younger sibling is not time-varying.

418

Genetics can contribute to the effects of early life adversity. For example, individuals experiencing maternal loss might have shorter lifespans due to genes shared by both the mother and offspring. To estimate to what extent variance in survival is explained by genetics, we accounted for pedigree in a subsample of the data for which we had complete pedigree information (N=923 individuals during early life; N=307 adults). To do

424 so, we used the animal model (90) and incorporated the genetic relationship covariance 425 matrix as a random effect. The effects of pedigree on survival were substantial, but 426 accounting for genetic relatedness in the model did not diminish the effects of early life 427 adversity on survival in early life (with pedigree: β =-0.33±0.12; without pedigree: β =-428 0.31±0.11; Table S3) or adulthood (with pedigree: β =-0.12±0.04; without pedigree: β =-0.12±0.04; Table S3). Some forms of adversity are likely dependent on genetics (i.e., 429 430 maternal loss, competing sibling, matriline rank, kin network) whereas other forms of adversity are genetics-independent (i.e., rainfall, hurricanes, temperature, primarity, group 431 size), though there is likely a genetic basis to how one responds to adversity in all cases. 432 Because pedigree might differentially impact the effect of these genetics-dependent and 433 434 genetics-independent adversities, we ran our pedigree models with cumulative adversity indices based only on genetics-dependent and genetics-independent adversities. The effects 435 436 of pedigree on survival remained substantial, but including genetic relatedness in these models did not reduce the effects of adversity on survival during early life (genetics-437 independent: with pedigree β =-0.19±0.08; without pedigree β =-0.11±0.07; genetics-438 439 dependent with pedigree β =-0.40±0.09; without pedigree β =-0.35±0.08; Table S3) or adulthood (genetics-independent: with pedigree β =0.03±0.03; without pedigree 440 β =0.01±0.02; genetics-dependent with pedigree β =-0.07±0.03; without pedigree β =-441 0.08±0.02; Table S3). Because the effects of early life adversity were unaffected by pedigree 442 inclusion and because the sample size for pedigree inclusion was much smaller (paternity is 443

unknown for many animals earlier in the study), we have presented the larger set of datawithout pedigree in the main text.

446

Models were run with the brms package (v 2.16.3) (91). All continuous predictor 447 448 variables were standardized to a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 (note that this 449 differs from how we normalized the continuous adversities to range from 0 to 1 for 450 addition into the cumulative early life adversity index). We ran our analyses with 451 continuous variables modeled linearly and quadratically, and compared the fit of each 452 modeling approach using the "loo" criterion in the brms package in R (90). The 453 nonlinear models provided no more explanatory power compared to the linear models 454 (see SOM for results of model comparisons). These results suggest that the linear 455 models with fewer parameters should be used, so we reported the linear results here. 456 All models were Bayesian, and we used weakly informative priors for fixed effects, 457 setting the mean to zero and the standard deviation to one. To produce more accurate predictions for age at death, we used more regularizing priors for the intercept (a mean 458 459 of 1 and standard deviation of 0.1 for the early life survival models, and a mean of 12 460 and standard deviation of 0.4 for the adult survival models). Specifically, our analyses contained a high proportion of right-censored cases, which can lead to model 461 462 predictions that overestimate life expectancy (92). We used credible intervals to 463 determine whether the effect of a variable was substantial or not. If the 85% credible 464 interval for an effect did not overlap with zero, the effect was considered substantial. 465 When the vast majority of the 85% credible interval did not span zero, but there was some overlap, we described the model as being 'uncertain' about the effect. To 466

467 compare how the cumulative index and multivariate models fit the data, we used the

468 "loo" model fit criterion in the brms package (90). The code and data used can be found

469 here: https://github.com/skpatter/ELA_Survival_Macaques

470

471 Results

472 Cumulative early life adversity is associated with reduced survival during early life and473 during adulthood

474

Individuals who experienced more cumulative early life adversity had higher mortality 475 476 during early life (β =-0.29±0.07; Figure 1, Table S4). There were no clear differences in 477 mortality for males versus females during early life (β =-0.05±0.10), and there was no 478 evidence that early life adversity differentially affected mortality risk as a function of sex 479 during early life (β =-0.07±0.09; Figure 1, Table S4). Adults who experienced more cumulative early life adversity had shorter lives than adults who experienced less early 480 481 life adversity (β =-0.04±0.02; Figure 1, Table S4). Among adults, females lived longer 482 than males (β =-0.13±0.02), and there was no evidence that cumulative early life 483 adversity affected mortality risk differentially between males and females (β =0.00±0.02; 484 Figure 1: Table S3). Between those who experienced the least and the most amount of 485 cumulative early life adversity in our sample, these effects translate to a 4.78-year difference in average life expectancy among adult females and a 3.94-year difference in 486 487 average life expectancy among adult males. The maximum age observed was 31 for 488 females and 29 for males on Cayo, and the average lifespan for those who survived to adulthood was approximately 18 and 15 years for females and males respectively (70; 489

490 CPRC data). Given that we defined adulthood as beginning at four years of age, this
491 indicates an average adult lifespan of 14 years for females and 11 years for males. The
492 average difference in life expectancy (4.78 and 3.94 years) represents a substantial
493 portion of the adult lifespan: approximately 34% of the lifespan for females and 36% for
494 males.

495

496

Fig 1. Model effects of cumulative early life adversity and sex on survival during early 497 498 life (A) and adulthood (C). The outer bars show the 85% credible intervals, the inner box shows the 50% credible intervals, and the black circle in the middle shows the median 499 500 of the posterior distribution. Model predictions are shown for the effect of cumulative 501 early life adversity on lifespan in early life (B) and adulthood (D). Cumulative early life 502 adversity on the x-axis is standardized such that an adversity value of zero represents the mean amount of adversity experienced in the sample. Green predictions represent 503 504 females and blue predictions represent males. The solid lines show the median 505 estimates and the shaded region shows the 85% credible intervals.



510

511 Individuals who lost their mother during the first four years of life had a higher mortality risk during early life (β =-0.33±0.14) and adulthood (β =-0.06±0.04) than those who did 512 513 not lose their mother (Figure 2, Table S5). Maternal loss had a larger negative effect on 514 sons than daughters in both early life (β =-0.32±0.20) and adulthood (β =-0.05±0.06; Figure 3, Table S5). **First-born** offspring had elevated mortality risk during early life (β =-515 0.36±0.16). In contrast, individuals born to primiparous mothers had better survival in 516 517 adulthood than those born to multiparous mothers, although the model was uncertain 518 about this effect (β =0.06±0.05). Effects of maternal primiparity were not moderated by

519 sex (early life: β =0.09±0.22; adulthood: β =-0.04±0.07). Macagues born into **low ranking matrilines** had a higher mortality risk during early life (β =-0.44±0.17) and adulthood (β =-520 0.16±0.05) than those born into high ranking matrilines. Matrilineal rank was more 521 522 strongly associated with female survival than male survival during both periods of life 523 (early life: β =0.47±0.22; adulthood: β =0.04±0.06; Figure 3; Table S5). We also treated 524 matrilineal rank as an ordinal variable and found similar results (Table S5). Smaller maternal kin networks at birth were associated with higher early life mortality risk, 525 526 especially for males (β =0.05±0.07; sex interaction: β =0.14±0.10). Smaller maternal kin 527 networks at birth were associated with better survival for adult males, but reduced survival for adult females (β =0.01±0.02; sex interaction: β =-0.05±0.03; Figure 3; Table 528 529 S5). The presence of **competing younger siblings** was associated with higher 530 mortality risk in adulthood, but the model was uncertain about this effect (β =-0.03±0.04). 531 Although the model was uncertain, a competing sibling had a slightly larger effect on 532 females (β =0.06±0.06). We were unable to examine survival effects during early life given time-varying issues. The model was uncertain about the effects of maternal social isolation; 533 individuals born to socially isolated mothers seemed to have higher mortality during early 534 life than those born to more socially connected mothers (β =0.15±0.17; Table S6), and males 535 were more affected by this than females (β =0.29±0.25). No effect of maternal social isolation 536 was observed among adults (β =-0.04±0.02; Table S6). 537

538

539 Higher temperatures during the first year of life were associated with higher mortality risks 540 in early life (β =-0.06±0.24) and adulthood (β =-0.02±0.02), but the models were uncertain

541	about these effects (Figure 2, Table S5). The effect of high temperatures on survival was
542	moderated by sex (Figure 3; Table S5). Higher temperatures during the first year of life were
543	more strongly associated with reduced survival among males than females during early life
544	(β =-0.23±0.09), but in adulthood, only females experienced this survival cost (β =0.02±0.03).
545	${\rm Low}\ rainfall$ was associated with reduced survival during early life, but the model was
546	uncertain about this estimate (β =0.23±0.18). No effect of rainfall was found during
547	adulthood (β =-0.01±0.02; Figure 2; Table S5). No effect of group size was observed
548	during early life (β =0.01±0.07), and while the model was uncertain, it seems adults born
549	into larger groups exhibited reduced survival (β =-0.02±0.02; Figure 2; Table S5). No
550	effect of major hurricanes was observed during early life (Georges: β =-0.04±0.50;
551	Hugo: $β$ =-0.08±0.50; Maria: $β$ =0.08±0.44) or adulthood (Georges: $β$ =-0.02±0.10; Hugo:
552	β =-0.04±0.10; Figure 2; Table S5).

553

Model comparisons revealed no substantial difference between models constructed with the 554 cumulative early life adversity index versus those constructed with each form of early life 555 adversity separately (Table S7). We ran additional models with a cumulative adversity index 556 that excluded maternal loss and matriline rank, the two strongest predictors of mortality, to 557 see if the accumulation of adversities with smaller effect sizes impacted mortality (Table S8). 558 Individuals who experienced more adversity, as measured by the reduced index, had a higher 559 mortality risk during early life, but the effect size was smaller than in the model with the full 560 cumulative index (β =-0.13±0.08). In the reduced index model, an interaction with sex arose 561

such that male survival was more negatively affected by cumulative adversity than female survival during early life (β =-0.12±0.09). The effect of cumulative early life adversity on adult survival disappears with the reduced adversity index (β =0.00±0.02), however, this is at least partially explained by an interaction with sex: more cumulative adversity, as measured with the reduced index, was associated with poorer adult female survival but better adult male survival (β =0.02±0.02). The sex-dependent multivariate results above provide more nuanced, informative findings than the cumulative and reduced cumulative index models.

Fig2. Model effects of sex and the forms of early life adversity on survival during early 570 571 life (A) and adulthood (B). The outer bars show the 85% credible intervals, the inner 572 boxes show the 50% credible intervals, and the black circles in the middle show the 573 medians of the posterior distributions. Green shading represents negative effect sizes, 574 meaning that the variable is associated with shorter lifespans, and purple shading 575 represents positive effect sizes, meaning that the variable is associated with longer 576 lifespans. Note that we do not include the interaction effects between sex and early life 577 adversity in this figure. Because interaction effects influence the interpretation of the sex 578 and adversity effects, this figure should be interpreted with caution as it does not 579 illustrate the complete picture. The full model results, including interaction effects, are

580 presented in Figure S1.



584 **Fig 3.** Interactions between sex and three forms of early life adversity on adult survival.

585 (A) and (E) Predicted relationship between maternal kin network size at birth and 586 survival for females (green) and males (blue). (B) and (F) Predicted relationship between high temperatures during the first year of life and survival for females (green) 587 588 and males (blue). (C) and (G) Predicted relationship between maternal loss during the first four years of life and survival for males versus females. The circles show the 589 median estimate, and the bars show the 85% credible intervals. (D) and (H) Predicted 590 591 relationship between matrilineal rank and survival for males versus females. The circles 592 show the median estimate, and the bars show the 85% credible intervals.





596 Discussion

Our findings indicate that early life adversity shapes both early life survival and adult 597 survival in free-ranging rhesus macaques. Individuals that experienced more cumulative 598 599 early life adversity lived shorter lives than those that experienced less adversity. The 600 effect size of early life adversity on mortality risk was larger in the first four years of life 601 than adulthood, but risks were also elevated in adulthood. Strong effects on early life 602 mortality risk are consistent with the notion of an overall greater vulnerability during development (93,94). Given the fitness costs of dying prior to reproduction, our results 603 604 demonstrate that the effects of early life adversity prior to maturity have major fitness 605 ramifications and the full consequences of early life adversity are likely to be larger than predicted in previous studies focused on adult fitness. 606

607

608 The accumulation of multiple adversities did not predict mortality risk better than 609 individual forms of adversity, and assessing the various forms of potential adversity 610 revealed that maternal-related adversities exhibited the largest effects on survival. 611 Maternal death and low matrilineal rank were associated with higher mortality risk in 612 early life and adulthood. The lasting effects of these maternal-related adversities are 613 unsurprising given similar consequences in other mammalian species (23,54,55), as 614 well as consequences of parental-related hardships in humans (95–99). Survival 615 advantages were also observed among offspring born to more socially connected 616 mothers, but there was considerable variation in this effect and it did not persist into 617 adulthood. From previous analyses, we know the presence of a competing younger 618 sibling increases mortality risk during early life (33), and these effects appear to persist 619 into adulthood, at least for females. We found that first born offspring were more likely to 620 die during early life than those who were not the first born. However, while our model 621 estimates were uncertain, among those who survived into adulthood, survival odds were 622 better for those born to primiparous than multiparous mothers. Given the strong 623 negative effects of primiparity on offspring survival in the first four years of life, the 624 higher survival odds of adults who were first borns could reflect survivorship bias. 625 Alternatively, because primiparous mothers are young, first-born daughters might have 626 more older kin present (e.g., grandmother, older aunts, older cousins) across a larger span of their life than daughters born to older, multiparous mothers. This can create 627 628 trade-offs such that first-borns face elevated infant mortality risks due to constraints on

629 maternal care and investment, but if they survive, they might experience reduced630 mortality risks stemming from support provided by older maternal kin.

631

632 Consistent with previous analyses in this population (34,100), we did not find substantial 633 impacts of early life hurricane exposure on survival. This is surprising given that 634 macagues in this population exposed to Hurricane Maria showed divergent immune cell 635 gene regulation, suggestive of accelerated aging (87). Exposure to major hurricanes 636 also led to greater heterogeneity in reproductive strategies and longevity, and 637 macaques might reduce fertility as a strategy to prioritize survival odds (86,100). Given our results showing heat effects on mortality and the fact that temperatures increase 638 639 following hurricanes on Cayo Santiago (44,87), hurricanes might affect macagues 640 indirectly via factors such as deforestation, shade scarcity, and heat. Further, given the 641 recency of Hurricane Maria and our small sample of individuals exposed to Maria in this 642 study's dataset, we are currently limited in our ability to analyze survival outcomes for 643 this most recent hurricane event. Potential impacts of Hurricane Maria may also have been buffered socially - macagues on Cayo Santiago adjusted their social networks 644 645 after Hurricane Maria (44) and built new social connections, which may buffer negative 646 impacts.

647

The survival effects of some forms of early life adversity were sex-dependent. During early life, male survival was more negatively affected by three forms of adversity: small maternal kin networks, high temperatures, and maternal loss. In adulthood, males continued to suffer greater costs of early maternal loss, perhaps reflecting the long-term 652 costs of severe energetic constraints during early life. Males might be more affected by 653 these adversities than females prior to reproductive maturity due to their energetically costly developmental trajectories and/or due to maternal decisions to reduce investment 654 in energetically costly offspring during harsh environments (26,27,101). In adulthood, 655 656 females were more affected by several forms of early life adversity than males: matriline 657 rank, maternal kin network, and temperature. Adult females were more affected by 658 matriline rank than adult males, likely because males disperse (69), female dominance 659 hierarchies are fairly stable across time (66), and females typically inherit dominance 660 rank via their matriline. Being born into large maternal kin networks had a positive effect on adult female survival but a negative effect on adult male survival. Given dispersal, 661 662 males might not receive any immediate benefits of kin support in adulthood and thus 663 only experience the long-term costs associated with earlier competition, consistent with 664 the idea that individuals face tradeoffs between benefits of kin support and costs of 665 competition with kin (102). Males were more susceptible than females to high temperatures during early life, but females were more susceptible in adulthood. In 666 humans, findings have suggested both greater and lesser susceptibility to heat stroke in 667 668 women versus men (103) females but not males exhibited delayed myocardial 669 dysfunction following exertional heat stroke (104). Future work is needed to explore 670 these temperature effects and potential underlying or mediating factors such as how 671 body size, physiology, cardiovascular health, and energetic expense patterns are linked 672 to temperature fluctuations, hurricane exposures, and mortality across ages in this 673 population.

674

675 We faced several limitations in this study. Our results could be shaped by the nature, structure, and characteristics of the data. Specifically, the data contain a large 676 proportion of right-censored observations, which could affect the accuracy of lifespan 677 678 estimates. We were also unable to use time-varying variables because the data violated 679 the Cox model assumptions. This led to the exclusion of the competing sibling variable 680 from our early life survival model, and led us to treat maternal loss as a binary variable 681 rather than a continuous variable (i.e., age at maternal loss). Some forms of potential early life adversity had minimal to no effect on mortality risk, which is not entirely 682 683 unexpected given similar null findings for variables such as drought, group size, and maternal social isolation in other primate species (15,25). However, it is also plausible 684 685 that some variables like rainfall and group size might have limited effects on survival 686 because drinking water and food are provisioned in the study population. While the macaques still compete over access to food and water resources, competition is likely 687 688 reduced compared to wild populations. The consequences of adversity might further be hampered in this population because the macaques are not exposed to predators. Our 689 690 study population might navigate an environment in which the consequences of early life 691 adversity are relaxed, but this represents natural variation which exists across species 692 and populations.

693

The results of this study open the door for future lines of inquiry. Our results illustrate clear fitness consequences of early life adversity in the form of increased mortality risk, but further research into the biological mechanisms underlying these survival patterns is needed to better understand how early life adversity impacts fitness and health. It was 698 beyond the scope of this analysis to investigate how the timing and length of the 699 windows of exposure to adversity might impact mortality risk. Sensitive windows are 700 periods during which individuals are especially sensitive to inputs and exhibit 701 heightened plasticity (105). The timing of these windows are hypothesized to vary 702 across forms of adversity, biological systems, individuals, and populations (93). 703 Additional analyses are also needed to investigate more nuanced aspects of early life 704 adversity such as the severity, duration, frequency, and predictability of exposure to 705 different forms of adversity (6). Another angle to investigate, especially given the sex-706 dependent mortality patterns, is how effects of early life adversity might be moderated 707 or mediated by developmental trajectories and parental investment strategies. Analyses 708 are also needed that examine whether individuals adjust other aspects of their life 709 history strategies (e.g., pace of reproduction, age at maturity) to compensate for 710 reduced life expectancy (34,86,106). Importantly, variation in model estimates and 711 predictions convey that while early life adversity can have negative consequences, such 712 effects are not definitive. Social connections and behavioral adjustments (44,107) 713 should be investigated as potential contributors to resilience.

714

In sum, our study demonstrates that exposure to early life adversity increases mortality risk in male and female rhesus macaques. Lower odds of surviving to reproductive age indicates that early life adversity can have major fitness ramifications for both an organism and their parents. Reduced life expectancy among those who survive to adulthood, suggests that early life adversity can have persisting fitness costs and longterm health consequences. Adversities related to the maternal, social, and nutritional

environment generally had the largest impacts on offspring survival. Sex-dependent
effects of early life adversity in rhesus macaques are likely driven by social system
characteristics (i.e., female philopatry) and sex-based variation in energetic demands.
We were able to show that the form of adversity, socio-sexual context, and other
biological factors interact to shape the timing and severity of consequences. Natural
populations of non-human animals can prove valuable not only for improving our
understanding of the evolutionary pressures that shape developmental plasticity, life
history strategies, and early life sensitivities, but also for better contextualizing findings
in humans and informing future research in humans.

- 730
- 731
- 732 Acknowledgements

733 We thank the Royal Society and all the organizers of the meeting on "Understanding

age and society using natural populations." We thank the editor and two anonymous

reviewers for providing valuable feedback on an earlier version of this manuscript. This

work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01-AG060931;

737 R21AG078554; ORIP-P40OD012217), the National Science Foundation (SMA-

2105307), and a collaborative initiative between the National Science Foundation and

the European Research Council (supplemental funding for NSF-SMA-2105307 andERC-864461).

741

742 References

 Cooper EB, Kruuk LEB. Ageing with a silver-spoon: A meta-analysis of the effect of developmental environment on senescence. Evol Lett. 2018;2(5):460–71.

 Eyck HJF, Buchanan KL, Crino OL, Jessop TS. Effects of developmental stress on animal phenotype and performance: a quantitative review. Biol Rev. 2019;94(3):1143–60.

747 3. Lea AJ, Rosenbaum S. Understanding how early life effects evolve: progress, gaps, and
748 future directions. Curr Opin Behav Sci. 2020 Dec 1;36:29–35.

Lu A, Petrullo L, Carrera S, Feder J, Schneider-Crease I, Snyder-Mackler N.
Developmental responses to early-life adversity: Evolutionary and mechanistic perspectives. Evol Anthropol Issues News Rev. 2019 Sep;28(5):249–66.

7525.Lea AJ, Tung J, Archie EA, Alberts SC. Developmental plasticity: Bridging research in753evolution and human health. Evol Med Public Health. 2017 Jan 1;2017(1):162–75.

6. Patterson SK, Petersen RM, Brent LJN, Snyder-Mackler N, Lea AJ, Higham JP. Natural

- animal populations as model systems for understanding early life adversity effects onaging. Integr Comp Biol. 2023;
- 757 7. Barker D, Eriksson J, Forsén T, Osmond C. Fetal origins of adult disease: strength of 758 effects and biological basis. Int J Epidemiol. 2002 Dec 1;31(6):1235–9.
- 759 8. Deighton S, Neville A, Pusch D, Dobson K. Biomarkers of adverse childhood experiences:
 760 A scoping review. Psychiatry Res. 2018 Nov 1;269:719–32.
- 9. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C, Thornburg KL. Effect of In Utero and Early-Life
 Conditions on Adult Health and Disease. N Engl J Med. 2008 Jul 3;359(1):61–73.
- Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, et al.
 Relationship of Childhood Abuse and Household Dysfunction to Many of the Leading
 Causes of Death in Adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. Am J Prev
 Med. 1998 May 1;14(4):245–58.
- 767 11. Gunnar MR. Early adversity, stress, and neurobehavioral development. Dev Psychopathol.
 768 2020 Dec;32(5):1555–62.
- 769 12. O'Rand AM, Hamil-Luker J. Processes of Cumulative Adversity: Childhood Disadvantage
 770 and Increased Risk of Heart Attack Across the Life Course. J Gerontol Ser B. 2005 Oct
 771 1;60(Special_Issue_2):S117–24.
- 13. Smith KE, Pollak SD. Early life stress and development: potential mechanisms for adverse outcomes. J Neurodev Disord. 2020 Dec 16;12(1):34.
- 14. Gicquel M, East ML, Hofer H, Benhaiem S. Early-life adversity predicts performance and fitness in a wild social carnivore. J Anim Ecol. 2022;91(10):2074–86.
- Tung J, Archie EA, Altmann J, Alberts SC. Cumulative early life adversity predicts longevity
 in wild baboons. Nat Commun. 2016 Apr 19;7(1):11181.
- Blomquist GE. Trade-off between age of first reproduction and survival in a female primate.
 Biol Lett. 2009 Mar 11;5(3):339–42.
- 780 17. Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness FE, Albon SD. The Costs of Reproduction to Red Deer Hinds.
 781 J Anim Ecol. 1983;52(2):367–83.
- 18. Kjellander P, Gaillard J, Hewison M, Liberg O. Predation risk and longevity influence
 variation in fitness of female roe deer (Capreolus capreolus L.). Proc R Soc Lond B Biol
 Sci. 2004 Aug 7;271(suppl_5):S338–40.
- Rhine RJ, Norton GW, Wasser SK. Lifetime reproductive success, longevity, and
 reproductive life history of female yellow baboons (Papio cynocephalus) of Mikumi National
 Park, Tanzania. Am J Primatol. 2000;51(4):229–41.
- Robbins AM, Stoinski T, Fawcett K, Robbins MM. Lifetime reproductive success of female
 mountain gorillas. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2011;146(4):582–93.
- Van de Walle J, Larue B, Pigeon G, Pelletier F. Different proxies, different stories?
 Imperfect correlations and different determinants of fitness in bighorn sheep. Ecol Evol.
 2022;12(12):e9582.
- Lange EC, Zeng S, Campos FA, Li F, Tung J, Archie EA, et al. Early life adversity and
 adult social relationships have independent effects on survival in a wild animal model of
 aging [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2022 [cited 2022 Nov 11]. p. 2022.09.06.506810. Available from:
 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.09.06.506810v1
- 797 23. Strauss ED, Shizuka Ď, Holekamp KE. Juvenile rank acquisition is associated with fitness
 798 independent of adult rank. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2020 Mar 11;287(1922):20192969.
- Alif Ž, Dunning J, Chik HYJ, Burke T, Schroeder J. What is the best fitness measure in wild
 populations? A case study on the power of short-term fitness proxies to predict
 reproductive value. PLOS ONE. 2022 Apr 22;17(4):e0260905.
- 802 25. Morrison RE, Eckardt W, Stoinski TS, Rosenbaum S. Cumulative early-life adversity does
 803 not predict reduced adult longevity in wild gorillas. Curr Biol. 2023 Jun 5;33(11):2307804 2314.e4.
- 805 26. Clutton-Brock TH. The costs of sex. Differ Sexes. 1994;347–62.

- 27. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. Parental investment and sex differences in juvenile mortality in birds and mammals. Nature. 1985 Jan;313(5998):131–3.
- 28. Lonsdorf EV. Sex differences in nonhuman primate behavioral development. J Neurosci
 Res. 2017;95(1–2):213–21.
- Andres D, Clutton-Brock TH, Kruuk LEB, Pemberton JM, Stopher KV, Ruckstuhl KE. Sex
 differences in the consequences of maternal loss in a long-lived mammal, the red deer
 (Cervus elaphus). Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 2013 Aug 1;67(8):1249–58.
- Tung J, Lange EC, Alberts SC, Archie EA. Social and early life determinants of survival from cradle to grave: A case study in wild baboons. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2023 Sep 1;152:105282.
- 816 31. Lange EC, Zeng S, Campos FA, Li F, Tung J, Archie EA, et al. Early life adversity and
 817 adult social relationships have independent effects on survival in a wild primate. Sci Adv.
 818 2023 May 17;9(20):eade7172.
- B19 32. Dettmer AM, Chusyd DE. Early life adversities and lifelong health outcomes: A review of
 the literature on large, social, long-lived nonhuman mammals. Neurosci Biobehav Rev.
 2023 Sep 1;152:105297.
- 33. Lee DS, Ruiz-Lambides AV, Higham JP. Higher offspring mortality with short interbirth
 intervals in free-ranging rhesus macaques. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2019 Mar
 26;116(13):6057–62.
- 34. Luevano L, Sutherland C, Gonzalez SJ, Hernández-Pacheco R. Rhesus macaques
 compensate for reproductive delay following ecological adversity early in life. Ecol Evol.
 2022;12(1):e8456.
- 828 35. Petrullo LA, Mandalaywala TM, Parker KJ, Maestripieri D, Higham JP. Effects of early life
 829 adversity on cortisol/salivary alpha-amylase symmetry in free-ranging juvenile rhesus
 830 macaques. Horm Behav. 2016 Nov 1;86:78–84.
- Schwartz SM, Kemnitz JW. Age- and gender-related changes in body size, adiposity, and
 endocrine and metabolic parameters in free-ranging rhesus macaques. Am J Phys
 Anthropol. 1992;89(1):109–21.
- Turcotte CM, Mann EHJ, Stock MK, Villamil CI, Montague MJ, Dickinson E, et al. The
 ontogeny of sexual dimorphism in free-ranging rhesus macaques. Am J Biol Anthropol.
 2022;177(2):314–27.
- 837 38. Higham JP, Maestripieri D. The Costs of Reproductive Success in Male Rhesus Macaques
 838 (Macaca mulatta) on Cayo Santiago. Int J Primatol. 2014 Aug 1;35(3):661–76.
- 839 39. Kimock CM, Dubuc C, Brent LJN, Higham JP. Male morphological traits are heritable but
 840 do not predict reproductive success in a sexually-dimorphic primate. Sci Rep. 2019 Dec
 841 24;9(1):19794.
- Kimock CM, Brent LJN, Dubuc C, Higham JP. Body size and canine size do not confer a
 competitive advantage in male rhesus macaques. Anim Behav. 2022 May 1;187:281–90.
- 41. Hoffman CL, Ruiz-Lambides AV, Davila E, Maldonado E, Gerald MS, Maestripieri D. Sex
 differences in survival costs of reproduction in a promiscuous primate. Behav Ecol
 Sociobiol. 2008 Sep 1;62(11):1711–8.
- Kulik L, Amici F, Langos D, Widdig A. Sex Differences in the Development of Social
 Relationships in Rhesus Macaques (Macaca mulatta). Int J Primatol. 2015 Apr
 1;36(2):353–76.
- 43. Pavez-Fox MA, Kimock CM, Rivera-Barreto N, Negron-Del Valle JE, Phillips D, RuizLambides A, et al. Reduced injury risk links sociality to survival in a group-living primate.
 iScience. 2022 Nov 18;25(11):105454.
- 44. Testard C, Larson SM, Watowich MM, Kaplinsky CH, Bernau A, Faulder M, et al. Rhesus
 macaques build new social connections after a natural disaster. Curr Biol. 2021 Jun
 7;31(11):2299-2309.e7.
- 45. Rawlins RG, Kessler MJ. The Cayo Santiago Macaques: History, Behavior, and Biology.

- 857 State University of New York Press; 1986. 322 p.
- 46. Widdig A, Kessler MJ, Bercovitch FB, Berard JD, Duggleby C, Nürnberg P, et al. Genetic
 studies on the Cayo Santiago rhesus macaques: A review of 40 years of research. Am J
 Primatol. 2016;78(1):44–62.
- Buuren S van, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained
 Equations in R. J Stat Softw. 2011 Dec 12;45:1–67.
- 48. Hernandez-Pacheco R, Delgado DL, Rawlins RG, Kessler MJ, Ruiz-Lambides AV,
 Maldonado E, et al. Managing the Cayo Santiago rhesus macaque population: The role of
 density. Am J Primatol. 2016;78(1):167–81.
- Patterson SK, Hinde K, Bond AB, Trumble BC, Strum SC, Silk JB. Effects of early life
 adversity on maternal effort and glucocorticoids in wild olive baboons. Behav Ecol
 Sociobiol. 2021 Jul 27;75(8):114.
- 50. Dettmer AM, Heckman JJ, Pantano J, Ronda V, Suomi SJ. Intergenerational Effects of
 Early-Life Advantage: Lessons from a Primate Study [Internet]. National Bureau of
 Economic Research; 2020 [cited 2023 Sep 29]. (Working Paper Series). Available from:
 https://www.nber.org/papers/w27737
- 873 51. Blomquist GE. Maternal Effects on Offspring Mortality in Rhesus Macaques (Macaca mulatta). Am J Primatol. 2013;75(3):238–51.
- 52. Lahdenperä M, Mar KU, Lummaa V. Short-term and delayed effects of mother death on calf mortality in Asian elephants. Behav Ecol. 2016 Jan 1;27(1):166–74.
- 53. Sear R, Mace R. Who keeps children alive? A review of the effects of kin on child survival.
 Evol Hum Behav. 2008 Jan 1;29(1):1–18.
- 54. Stanton MA, Lonsdorf EV, Murray CM, Pusey AE. Consequences of maternal loss before
 and after weaning in male and female wild chimpanzees. Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 2020 Jan
 27;74(2):22.
- 55. Zipple MN, Altmann J, Campos FA, Cords M, Fedigan LM, Lawler RR, et al. Maternal
 death and offspring fitness in multiple wild primates. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021 Jan
 5;118(1):e2015317118.
- 56. Harcourt AH. Dominance and fertility among female primates. J Zool. 1987;213(3):471–87.
- 57. Grebe NM, Hirwa JP, Stoinski TS, Vigilant L, Rosenbaum S. Mountain gorillas maintain
 strong affiliative biases for maternal siblings despite high male reproductive skew and
 extensive exposure to paternal kin. Kalan AK, Perry GH, editors. eLife. 2022 Sep
 22;11:e80820.
- S8. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. Competition between female relatives in a
 matrilocal mammal. Nature. 1982 Nov;300(5888):178–80.
- Solution-Brock TH, Hodge SJ, Flower TP. Group size and the suppression of subordinate
 reproduction in Kalahari meerkats. Anim Behav. 2008 Sep 1;76(3):689–700.
- 60. Bercovitch FB, Lebron MR, Martinez HS, Kessler MJ. Primigravidity, body weight, and costs of rearing first offspring in rhesus macaques. Am J Primatol. 1998;46(2):135–44.
- Fairbanks LA, Mcguire MT. Maternal Condition and the Quality of Maternal Care in Vervet
 Monkeys. Behaviour. 1995 Jan 1;132(9–10):733–54.
- 62. Glander KE. Reproduction and population growth in free-ranging mantled howling
 monkeys. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1980;53(1):25–36.
- Mar KU, Lahdenperä M, Lummaa V. Causes and Correlates of Calf Mortality in Captive
 Asian Elephants (Elephas maximus). PLOS ONE. 2012 Mar 1;7(3):e32335.
- 902 64. Smuts B, Nicolson N. Reproduction in wild female olive baboons. Am J Primatol.
 903 1989;19(4):229–46.
- Altmann J, Alberts SC. Growth rates in a wild primate population: ecological influences and
 maternal effects. Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 2005 Mar 1;57(5):490–501.
- Blomquist GE, Sade DS, Berard JD. Rank-Related Fitness Differences and Their
 Demographic Pathways in Semi-Free-Ranging Rhesus Macaques (Macaca mulatta). Int J

908 Primatol. 2011 Feb 1;32(1):193–208.

- Garcia C, Lee PC, Rosetta L. Growth in colony living anubis baboon infants and its
 relationship with maternal activity budgets and reproductive status. Am J Phys Anthropol.
 2009;138(2):123–35.
- 912 68. Johnson SE. Life history and the competitive environment: trajectories of growth,
 913 maturation, and reproductive output among Chacma baboons. Am J Phys Anthropol.
 914 2003;120(1):83–98.
- 915 69. Weiß BM, Kulik L, Ruiz-Lambides AV, Widdig A. Individual dispersal decisions affect
 916 fitness via maternal rank effects in male rhesus macaques. Sci Rep. 2016 Aug
 917 31;6(1):32212.
- Missakian EA. Genealogical and cross-genealogical dominance relations in a group of
 free-ranging rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta) on Cayo Santiago. Primates. 1972 Jun
 1;13(2):169–80.
- 921 71. Brent LJN, Ruiz-Lambides A, Platt ML. Family network size and survival across the
 922 lifespan of female macaques. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2017 May 17;284(1854):20170515.
- 72. Thierry B, Singh M, Kaumanns W. Macaque Societies: A Model for the Study of Social
 Organization. Cambridge University Press; 2004. 438 p.
- 73. Rendall D, Rodman PS, Emond RE. Vocal recognition of individuals and kin in free-ranging
 rhesus monkeys. Anim Behav. 1996 May 1;51(5):1007–15.
- 927 74. Archie EA, Tung J, Clark M, Altmann J, Alberts SC. Social affiliation matters: both same928 sex and opposite-sex relationships predict survival in wild female baboons. Proc R Soc B
 929 Biol Sci. 2014 Oct 22;281(1793):20141261.
- 930 75. Brent LJN, Heilbronner SR, Horvath JE, Gonzalez-Martinez J, Ruiz-Lambides A, Robinson
 931 AG, et al. Genetic origins of social networks in rhesus macaques. Sci Rep. 2013 Jan
 932 9;3(1):1042.
- 933 76. Ellis S, Snyder-Mackler N, Ruiz-Lambides A, Platt ML, Brent LJN. Deconstructing sociality:
 934 the types of social connections that predict longevity in a group-living primate. Proc R Soc
 935 B Biol Sci. 2019 Dec 18;286(1917):20191991.
- 936 77. Silk JB, Alberts SC, Altmann J. Social Bonds of Female Baboons Enhance Infant Survival.
 937 Science. 2003 Nov 14;302(5648):1231–4.
- 938 78. Silk JB, Beehner JC, Bergman TJ, Crockford C, Engh AL, Moscovice LR, et al. Strong and
 939 Consistent Social Bonds Enhance the Longevity of Female Baboons. Curr Biol. 2010 Aug
 940 10;20(15):1359–61.
- 79. Kajokaite K, Whalen A, Koster J, Perry S. Social integration predicts survival in female
 white-faced capuchin monkeys. Behav Ecol. 2022 Jul 1;33(4):807–15.
- 80. Campos FA, Morris WF, Alberts SC, Altmann J, Brockman DK, Cords M, et al. Does
 climate variability influence the demography of wild primates? Evidence from long-term lifehistory data in seven species. Glob Change Biol. 2017;23(11):4907–21.
- 81. Lea AJ, Altmann J, Alberts SC, Tung J. Developmental Constraints in a Wild Primate. Am
 Nat. 2015 Jun;185(6):809–21.
- 82. Sloan ET, Beehner JC, Bergman TJ, Lu A, Snyder-Mackler N, Jacquemyn H. Effects of climate variability on the demography of wild geladas. Ecol Evol. 2022;12(3):e8759.
- 83. Blackburn G, Broom E, Ashton BJ, Thornton A, Ridley AR. Heat stress inhibits cognitive
 performance in wild Western Australian magpies, Cracticus tibicen dorsalis. Anim Behav.
 2022 Jun 1;188:1–11.
- 84. Bourne AR, Cunningham SJ, Spottiswoode CN, Ridley AR. High temperatures drive
 offspring mortality in a cooperatively breeding bird. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2020 Jul
 29;287(1931):20201140.
- 85. Polsky L, von Keyserlingk MAG. Invited review: Effects of heat stress on dairy cattle
 welfare. J Dairy Sci. 2017 Nov 1;100(11):8645–57.
- 958 86. Diaz AA, Steiner UK, Tuljapurkar S, Žuo W, Hernández-Pacheco R. Hurricanes affect

959 diversification among individual life courses of a primate population. J Anim Ecol [Internet]. 960 2023 [cited 2023 May 23]; Available from: 961 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1365-2656.13942 962 87. Watowich MM, Chiou KL, Montague MJ, Cavo Biobank Research Unit, Simons ND, 963 Horvath JE, et al. Natural disaster and immunological aging in a nonhuman primate. Proc 964 Natl Acad Sci. 2022 Feb 22;119(8):e2121663119. 965 88. RStudio Team. RStudio: integrated development for R [Internet]. RStudio, PBC, Boston, 966 MA; 2021 [cited 2024 Feb 2]. Available from: http://www.rstudio.com/. 967 89. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Internet]. 968 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; 2021 [cited 2024 Feb 2]. Available 969 from: https://www.R-project.org/ 970 90. Wilson AJ, Réale D, Clements MN, Morrissey MM, Postma E, Walling CA, et al. An 971 ecologist's guide to the animal model. J Anim Ecol. 2010;79(1):13-26. 972 91. Bürkner PC. Advanced Bayesian Multilevel Modeling with the R Package brms. R J. 973 2018:10(1):395. 974 92. Alam TF, Rahman MS, Bari W. On estimation for accelerated failure time models with 975 small or rare event survival data. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2022 Jun 11;22(1):169. 976 93. Walasek N, Frankenhuis WE, Panchanathan K. An evolutionary model of sensitive periods 977 when the reliability of cues varies across ontogeny. Behav Ecol. 2022 Jan 1;33(1):101–14. 978 94. West-Eberhard MJ. Developmental Plasticity and Evolution. Oxford University Press; 2003. 979 815 p. 980 95. Fields A, Harmon C, Lee Z, Louie JY, Tottenham N. Parent's anxiety links household 981 stress and young children's behavioral dysregulation. Dev Psychobiol. 2021;63(1):16–30. 982 96. Glover V, O'Donnell KJ, O'Connor TG, Fisher J. Prenatal maternal stress, fetal 983 programming, and mechanisms underlying later psychopathology—A global perspective. 984 Dev Psychopathol. 2018 Aug;30(3):843-54. 97. O'Donnell KJ, Glover V, Barker ED, O'Connor TG. The persisting effect of maternal mood 985 986 in pregnancy on childhood psychopathology. Dev Psychopathol. 2014 May;26(2):393-403. 987 98. Reid BM, Harbin MM, Arend JL, Kelly AS, Dengel DR, Gunnar MR. Early Life Adversity with Height Stunting Is Associated with Cardiometabolic Risk in Adolescents Independent 988 989 of Body Mass Index. J Pediatr. 2018 Nov 1:202:143-9. 990 99. Thayer ZM, Kuzawa CW. Early origins of health disparities: Material deprivation predicts maternal evening cortisol in pregnancy and offspring cortisol reactivity in the first few 991 992 weeks of life. Am J Hum Biol. 2014;26(6):723-30. 993 100. Morcillo DO, Steiner UK, Grayson KL, Ruiz-Lambides AV, Hernández-Pacheco R. 994 Hurricane-induced demographic changes in a non-human primate population. R Soc Open 995 Sci. 2020 Aug 19;7(8):200173. 996 101. Trivers RL, Willard DE. Natural Selection of Parental Ability to Vary the Sex Ratio of 997 Offspring. Science. 1973 Jan 5;179(4068):90-2. 998 102. Croft DP, Johnstone RA, Ellis S, Nattrass S, Franks DW, Brent LJN, et al. Reproductive 999 Conflict and the Evolution of Menopause in Killer Whales. Curr Biol. 2017 Jan 1000 23;27(2):298-304. 1001 103. Giersch GEW, Garcia CK, Stachenfeld NS, Charkoudian N. Are there sex differences in 1002 risk for exertional heat stroke? A translational approach. Exp Physiol. 2022;107(10):1136-1003 43. 1004 104. Laitano O, Garcia CK, Mattingly AJ, Robinson GP, Murray KO, King MA, et al. Delayed 1005 metabolic dysfunction in myocardium following exertional heat stroke in mice. J Physiol. 1006 2020;598(5):967-85. 1007 105. Selevan SG, KimmelA CA, Mendola P. Identifying critical windows of exposure for 1008 children's health. Environ Health Perspect. 2000;108. 1009 106. Weibel CJ, Tung J, Alberts SC, Archie EA. Accelerated reproduction is not an adaptive

- response to early-life adversity in wild baboons. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020 Oct 1010
- 1011
- 6;117(40):24909–19. 107. Campos FA, Fedigan LM. Behavioral adaptations to heat stress and water scarcity in 1012
- white-faced capuchins (Cebus capucinus) in Santa Rosa National Park, Costa Rica. Am J 1013 1014 Phys Anthropol. 2009;138(1):101–11.