Integrated assessment of biological invasions
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Abstract. As the main witnesses of the ecological and economic impacts of invasions on ecosystems around the world, ecologists seek to provide the relevant science that informs managers about the potential for invasion of specific organisms in their region(s) of interest. Yet, the assorted literature that could inform such forecasts is rarely integrated to do so, and further, the diverse nature of the data available complicates synthesis and quantitative prediction. Here we present a set of analytical tools for synthesizing different levels of distributional and/or demographic data to produce meaningful assessments of invasion potential that can guide management at multiple phases of ongoing invasions, from dispersal to colonization to proliferation. We illustrate the utility of data-synthesis and data-model assimilation approaches with case studies of three well-known invasive species—a vine, a marine mussel, and a freshwater crayfish—under current and projected future climatic conditions. Results from the integrated assessments reflect the complexity of the invasion process and show that the most relevant climatic variables can have contrasting effects or operate at different intensities across habitat types. As a consequence, for two of the study species climate trends will increase the likelihood of invasion in some habitats and decrease it in others. Our results identified and quantified both bottlenecks and windows of opportunity for invasion, mainly related to the role of human uses of the landscape or to disruption of the flow of resources. The approach we describe has a high potential to enhance model realism, explanatory insight, and predictive capability, generating information that can inform management decisions and optimize phase-specific prevention and control efforts for a wide range of biological invasions.
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INTRODUCTION

Invasive species are considered a primary threat to biological diversity and ecosystem function (e.g., Vitousek et al. 1997, Muck et al. 2000, Sala et al. 2000); one that is expected to increase in response to greater global trade (Levine and D’Antonio 2003, Bradley et al. 2012) and projected climate change (Dukes et al. 2009, Diez et al. 2012). Mounting documentation of ecological and economic impacts of species invasions has emphasized the urgent need for researchers to provide managers with meaningful recommendations for how to prevent invasions and how to prioritize management of invasive species (Papes et al. 2011). Successful curtailment of the colonization and establishment of invasive species will require early detection and rapid-response activities, whereas controlling invader spread will be most effective when focused on likely sources of propagules of new colonists (Simberloff 2009) or preventing the conditions that would allow the invasive species to dominate the native community (DeGasperis and Motzkin 2007). Thus, given an ongoing invasion the management approach should be tailored to the pertinent phase of the spread process: dispersal, colonization, or prolifer-
ation. In general, common approaches to forecasting invasion generate an overall assessment but do not specify, even if they are included in the calculation, the likelihood of each of the phases of invasion (Olden et al. 2011, Leung et al. 2012). Hence, we are in need of an analytical approach that integrates all information available to guide management depending on the invasion phase (Lodge et al. 2006).

When sufficient demographic and distributional data are available, ecological forecasting can directly evaluate each phase of the ongoing invasion process, and help managers to make more efficient and targeted use of limited human and financial resources (Vander Zanden and Olden 2008). Unfortunately, forecasting invasions across space and time (i.e., under changing climatic conditions) is notoriously difficult because most of the available information (i.e., demographic data) is context specific; that is, unpredictable events often play an important role in the outcome of the invasion (e.g., DeGasperis and Motzkin 2007, Besaw et al. 2011). One common approach to forecasting invasions is the use of bioclimatic envelope modeling, which projects regional current and future invasion potential based on empirical relationships between species distributions and climate (Peterson and Viegais 2001). Although bioclimatic envelope models can help us to understand abiotic constraints and to develop watch lists, most of them only consider regional suitability for invasive species establishment, as they are based on broad scale correlations between distributions and climatic factors and are often conducted at spatial scales too large for practical management applications. Furthermore, these models rarely account for important local-scale dynamics, like dispersal and community dynamics. A number of other studies have used local-scale demographic, dispersal, or disturbance models (e.g., Brook et al. 2009, Franklin 2010, Huntley et al. 2010) to assess the likelihood of species establishment and spread. However, these models are often data intensive and may not cover the full extent of management-relevant landscapes. Further, local demographic or dispersal data are rarely combined with landscape or regional analyses to create more comprehensive vulnerability assessments (but see Keith et al. 2008, Early and Sax 2011). Thus, there is a need for an analytical approach that links local demographic information with coarse-resolution models of environmental suitability.

The challenge is to operationalize an analytical framework that allows synthesis of available information and that generates a quantitative assessment, across spatial scales, of the likelihood for invasion at each phase of the invasion process. For that, demographic information about invasive species (i.e., their dispersal, survival, growth, and reproduction in different habitats and conditions) will be critical to understanding local, landscape, and regional invasion risk (Shea and Chesson 2002). Although we rarely have the data necessary to construct full demographic models (e.g., population changes over time), let alone implement them spatially, there is a wealth of information available for many species that can inform partial demographic models. For example, ecological experiments provide snapshots in time and space of complex demographic dynamics; when combined into a single assessment, these can provide a broad understanding of species performance in different environments and along the phases of the invasion process.

Here, we demonstrate a set of analytical methods that synthesize distributional and/or demographic data into a predictive assessment of species invasion (Fig. 1). In particular, we show how hierarchical models are useful for integrating disparate data sources into a single model that estimates the likelihood of the key phases of the invasion process. Our approach is conceptually akin to recent advances in data synthesis (e.g., Clark et al. 2010) and data–model integration (e.g., LaDeau et al. 2011, Luo et al. 2011) in which diverse data sets and theoretical understandings of a process are integrated into predictive models. In a hierarchical framework, empirical models can be used to define suitable habitat at regional scales, while mechanistic models can be used to assess populations or community dynamics at local scales (Pearson and Dawson 2003, Huntley et al. 2010). We can then integrate available data into spatial models to assess invasion potential into new areas or conditions (e.g., climate scenarios). We use case studies of three invasive species—the vine Celastrus orbiculatus, the mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis, and the crayfish Orconectes rusticus—to illustrate the broad utility of this approach across ecological systems and levels of information. Our main goal is to demonstrate how ecologists can combine their knowledge of the processes taking place during an ongoing invasion with available distributional and/or demographic data to provide a quantitative assessment of invasion potential at each phase (dispersal, colonization, and proliferation) that can inform management practices.

**METHODS**

Although ongoing invasions could be best described by population dynamics models (Shea and Chesson 2002) the data required for these models are seldom available. Therefore, we focus on combining demographic and/or distribution data into quantitative estimates of invasion potential that reflect underlying demographic rates. We use hierarchical (or multilevel) models that allow us to consider multiple processes and their associated sources of uncertainty (Clark and Gelfand 2006, Clark et al. 2010). There are several key advantages of hierarchical models for this application (Gelman and Hill 2007). First, data collected at different temporal and spatial scales can be easily combined into a single demographic framework. Second, processes that were not directly observed but are important for invasion success (e.g., dispersal, recruitment, spread) may be included as latent variables. Third, these models
are flexible enough to be adapted to other systems and incorporate new data as they become available. Fourth, they help to quantify the uncertainty associated with each level in the hierarchy (individual, population, landscape, region), as well as with respect to the data sources, parameter estimates, and different model components (Clark 2005). Finally, hierarchical models can generate forecasts for different scenarios of interest (e.g., global warming, landscape change, resource availability), while concurrently containing realistic measures of uncertainty associated with the data, process, and parameters.

In our case, a hierarchical approach was also useful for organizing the available information according to the ecological scale each data set represented, for linking the data to the invasion process, and for projecting potential of an ongoing invasion at given locations for each phase of the invasive process. We focus on secondary range expansion of invasive species, that is, the processes taking place after the original introduction and establishment of the invasive species, where we identify three phases: dispersal (dispersal of propagules into new sites of the invaded range), colonization (establishment without human intervention in new sites of the invaded region forming self-sustaining populations in the wild; individuals are able to survive at a particular site or range of conditions but may not reproduce at a level that promotes further spread), and proliferation (the species grows self-sustaining populations in the wild, individuals are able to survive, reproduce and build up their populations for further spread). We describe the modeling as a three-step process and then use the three case studies, which greatly differ in the amount and type of information available, to illustrate this approach and to highlight the advantages of an integrated analysis.

![Conceptual framework for invasion assessment](image-url)
**Step 1. Linking the invasion process to population demography (Fig. 1)**

To relate available information—collected at several organizational levels (i.e., individuals, populations, and distributional ranges)—to ongoing invasions, we emphasize the need to link the phases in the invasion process (i.e., dispersal, colonization, and proliferation) to particular demographic parameters such as reproduction, survival, and growth (Gurevitch et al. 2011) and further to the data available on the invasion (e.g., species distribution, demographic outcomes, history of the invasion). We follow Foxcroft et al. (2011) by classifying the information available for our three study species into categories (species, habitat, and system) that define the level of biological organization and the spatial scale of the information (regional, local, and site/microsite; Fig. 1).

**Step 2. Data-model integration using hierarchical models (Figs. 2–4)**

For each species a model assessing invasive potential can be developed by combining the data available with the knowledge of the invasion process and the study system. We can then use either maximum likelihood (Cressie et al. 2009) or Bayesian (Gelman and Hill 2007) methods to estimate the parameters of the hierarchical model. For our case studies, we found the Bayesian approach to be more suitable for dealing with the large number of parameters and latent variables included in the models.

**Step 3. Integrated assessment of biological invasions (Figs. 5–7)**

Parameter estimates, defined by their means, variances, and covariances, can be used to predict potential for invasion at each phase of the ongoing invasion. A phase-specific index also allows for more targeted predictions of invasion potential, providing a rapid and clear identification of bottlenecks (constraining factors, e.g., lack of propagules) or windows of opportunity (favorable conditions, e.g., disturbance in the natural flow of resources) affecting the invasion phases that could then be targeted for management action.

We next briefly introduce each case study and describe the final model used to generate estimates of likelihood of invasion at each phase (Steps 1 and 2). To assess the difference between results of our integrated model and those of more traditional approaches, we also compared our results for the likelihood of colonization with those from a climate-envelope establishment model. Detailed description of the data, methods and model selection for each case study are provided in the Appendix.

**Case study 1: oriental bittersweet, Celastrus orbiculatus**

*Celastrus orbiculatus* Thunb., oriental bittersweet, is a perennial vine introduced to the United States from East Asia around 1860 (Mehrhoff et al. 2003). It was first planted as an ornamental species and soon after became naturalized. In its invasive range in the northeastern United States, it is commonly found in forest edges with high light levels, but can also grow under the forest canopy (Leicht-Young et al. 2007). Its colorful fruits persist late into the winter, constituting a reliable source of food for wildlife, which disperse the seeds locally (Greenberg et al. 2001, LaFleur et al. 2007). Its presence has been associated with landscape disturbance (Mosher et al. 2009), mainly in edge habitats where it poses a threat to native woody vegetation by girdling the trees it uses for support (Mehrhoff et al. 2003).

**Step 1.**—This case study, for which we have extensive distributional and demographic data illustrates how we can use most of the information available to us—empirical and observational—in the development of an analytical framework that assesses potential for invasion. Specifically, we used presence/absence data and percent cover data collected in New England, northeastern United States (Mehrhoff et al. 2003), and demographic data from many empirical and observational studies (Appendix: Table A1).

**Step 2 (Fig. 2).**—Following the findings from previous studies (Albright et al. 2009, Ibáñez et al. 2009b), we estimated the probability of dispersal as a function of land use (i.e., the extent of urban development, which was previously shown to be a good surrogate for propagule availability once this species has been introduced into an area) and spatially explicit random effects to take into account false absences, as this species is still spreading through the region (Ibáñez et al. 2009b). Population colonization was modeled as a function of germination and establishment rates (taken from empirical studies) and the degree of site suitability based on climate. The effects of climatic variables were estimated for eight habitat types to identify differential responses to climatic variables among habitats (Ellsworth et al. 2004). We modeled species presence/absence as a function of the combined probabilities of colonization and establishment. We used the species’ percent-ground-cover data to estimate the potential for population proliferation. Ground cover is a good measure of Celastrus’ success, i.e., growth and fecundity, and we used it as an indicator of proliferation (Ibáñez et al. 2009a,b). Here, percent cover was conditional on overall site suitability and also estimated as a function of the variables that have been shown to influence individual growth, i.e., the availability of resources (light and soil moisture [Leicht and Silander 2006, Ibáñez et al. 2009a], with light effects nested within each soil moisture category).

**Case study 2: blue mussel, Mytilus galloprovincialis**

*Mytilus galloprovincialis* Lamarck, the Mediterranean mussel, was first introduced outside its natural range in Europe in the mid-19th and early 20th centuries. By now, *Mytilus* has invaded most temperate coastlines (Branch and Stephanni 2004), including southern Africa, northeast Asia, New Zealand, and the western
coast of the United States. In its invasive range *Mytilus* occurs on rocky coastlines with a high rate of water flow (Carlton 1992). Given its high growth rate, large reproductive output, and relatively high thermal tolerance (Lockwood and Somero 2011, Somero 2012), this species can outcompete and replace local mussel species (van Erkom Schurink and Griffiths 1993).

**Step 1**—We use this case study to illustrate how an invasion assessment can be generated solely from demographic data; there were not sufficient distributional data available for this species. Information for this species includes dispersal ranges, recruitment rates, and survival and growth rates across several geographic locations and tidal zones (i.e., height above the low tide level, Appendix: Table A4). For those studies where field data were collected (−20), we were able to determine the location’s maximum water temperatures and chlorophyll a levels (as an index of food availability; Feldman and McClain 2012). Independently from the analyses, we calculated the probability of dispersal as a function of distance to source using an exponential decay function based on observed dispersal distances (see Appendix: Table A4).

**Step 2 (Fig. 3).**—Colonization was modeled as a function of survival rates, which varied among tidal zones and were estimated as a function of maximum water temperature. Tidal zones represent an environmental suitability gradient based on the period of exposure to dry conditions, from most to less suitable: subtidal, low shore, mid-shore, and high shore. We used published individual growth rates as a proxy for population growth, itself our metric of proliferation. Growth rate was estimated as a function of resources (phytoplankton in the water column, using maximum chlorophyll a as a proxy) and maximum water temperature, this last variable nested within tidal zone. Estimates for proliferation were then standardized to vary between 0 and 1 to represent performance with respect to the species’ observed maximum growth rate.

**Case study 3: rusty crayfish, *Orconectes rusticus***

Over the last 50 years the rusty crayfish *Orconectes rusticus* Girard, has spread from its historical range in the Ohio River drainage in the United States to waters throughout much of Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota, and parts of 12 other states, Ontario, Canada, and the Laurentian Great Lakes (Olden et al. 2006). Once established, the highly aggressive and omnivorous feeding behavior of *O. rusticus* has altered entire lake food webs, with negative effects on benthic algae, macrophytes, aquatic invertebrates (including native crayfish) and fish (e.g., Olsen et al. 1991, Lodge et al. 1994, McCarthy et al. 2006). This crayfish reaches its physiological optimum in water temperatures between 20°C and 25°C, but can tolerate temperatures between 0°C and 39°C.

**Step 1**—There is an extensive body of literature on *Orconectes*; however, for this case study we used information from the data-rich region of the state of Wisconsin (Olden et al. 2006, 2011). Detailed demographic data were lacking for this species; thus, in contrast to case study 1 above, this case study illustrates...
how invasion risk can be analyzed using only distributional data. We examined patterns of *Orconectes* presence/absence in 292 lakes. Data were also available for native crayfish species presence/absence over time (before and after the invasion of *Orconectes*). Olden et al. (2011) used those data to calculate an index of each lake’s vulnerability to invasion: lakes that lost the native species after the invasion have a higher vulnerability index than those where the two native species still persist. We used this vulnerability index as a proxy for the invasive species’ competitive ability or potential to spread once it has been introduced in a lake, with more vulnerable lakes indicating the potential for higher population growth of *Orconectes*.

**Step 2** (Fig. 4).—We analyzed presence/absence data as the combined probability of dispersal and colonization. Following Olden et al. (2011), we estimated probability of dispersal as a function of human visitation (boat landings) where we included spatially explicit random effects. The suitability of each lake, colonization, was modeled as a function of the lake’s temperature (minimum winter and maximum summer air temperatures) and of habitat type (drainage vs. seepage lake). We modeled proliferation (based on lake vulnerability) as a function of lake primary production (using Secchi disk depth as a measure of water column clarity), and conditionally based on the estimated suitability.

**RESULTS**

For each case study, we describe the most relevant outcomes from the analysis (Step 3; Figs. 5–7). Parameter values, additional outcomes (e.g., likelihood of invasion under different climatic scenarios), uncertainty around our predictions, and comparisons with the climate-envelope model projections for establishment are provided in the Appendix. Overall, and for the three case studies, an integrated analysis of potential for invasion resulted in a better fit of the data, and reduced uncertainty around those predictions, than did the climate-envelope model (based on deviance information criteria; Spiegelhalter et al. 2000). The integrated models also revealed complex interactions among the driving variables that would not have been accounted for otherwise (see particular species’ results).

**Case study 1: oriental bittersweet, Celastrus orbiculatus**

With the parameters estimated in the model (Fig. 2), we calculated the likelihood of dispersal (as propagule availability), colonization (as climate-habitat suitability) and proliferation (as percent cover) for the entire region of New England (USA) and at a selected $10 \times 10$ km site. We present the regional forecasts (~5 km grid) under current climate and landscape configuration and the most suitable conditions for this species (edge habit, dry soils, and open canopies) (Fig. 5 right panel). The local forecast incorporates the actual habitats, soils, canopies, landscape configurations, and climate at that site (Fig. 5 left panels). These local forecasts also show the interactions among driving variables, and how they affect each phase differently (relatively high suitability for colonization based only on climate and habitat, but low potential for proliferation when the actual resources are also accounted for). By tracking the different sources of uncertainty in the data, processes and parameters, we are also able to report the uncertainty associated with our predictions (represented...
as standard deviations of the mean predictions; Fig. 5, see discussion below).

**Step 3.**—The probability of dispersal by *Celastrus* (Fig. 5 top row of maps), based on developed land, is fairly high across the region as well as in the highlighted $10 \times 10$ km site, although it substantially drops from 0.8–1 to 0.4–0.5 in the most isolated areas. Regional predictions of colonization, expressed as climate and habitat suitability strongly reflect climatic isoclines (Fig. 5 middle row, large regional map), whereas local predictions reflect the effect of varying habitat (Fig. 5 middle row, insets). Predictions of percent cover, our proxy for proliferation, also varied across climatic isoclines at the regional scale, but reflected patterns of resource availability, i.e., light, at the local scale (Fig. 5 bottom row). Uncertainty in our regional predictions (Fig. 5, small regional maps) is higher in the north for colonization and spread, likely reflecting the lower density of data points in those areas (and climates, data not shown), while the low uncertainty associated with the likelihood of establishment in the north is probably due to the low climatic suitability of this area. The uncertainty associated with the local predictions (Fig. 5 left–most column of insets) is generally lower than that for the regional estimates because more site information is included in local predictions.

**Case study 2: blue mussel, Mytilus galloprovincialis**

For the blue mussel we estimated colonization as the species’ survival based on climate and habitat suitability, and potential for proliferation as the species’ relative growth performance based on resources and on climate and habitat suitability (Fig. 3). We then multiplied these estimates by the probability of dispersal (calculated as a function of distance to larval source), to estimate relative invasion potential with respect to distance to source given a gradient of temperatures, chlorophyll $a$ levels, and habitat types (Fig. 6).

**Step 3.**—Colonization, based on predicted survival reflecting climate–habitat suitability of *Mytilus* did not follow the expected suitability gradient, from sub-tidal to high shore. Suitability decreases from the sub-tidal to the mid-shore zone, but increases in the high-shore habitat (Fig. 6, top row). Changes in maximum water temperature are important in determining establishment in the low and mid-shores, as this species is favored over its competitors at high temperature. Growth performances, our measurement of potential to proliferate, responded positively to both chlorophyll $a$ levels and maximum water temperature (Fig. 6, middle and bottom rows). These effects also varied with tidal zone; there is a gradual decline in the estimate for spread, from the sub-tidal habitat to the high shore and from high to low temperatures. Uncertainty estimates associated with the predictions are included in the Appendix.

**Case study 3: rusty crayfish, Orconectes rusticus**

For the rusty crayfish we generated invasion assessment values for dispersal, colonization and proliferation, under current and varying conditions: warmer climate ($+3^\circ$ C in winter minimum and summer maximum temperatures), increased lake visitation (20% increase in
boat landings), and increased resources (20% decrease in Secchi disk depth, implying greater water column primary productivity represented by lower water clarity).

**Step 3.**—Model results underline the high likelihood of colonization, based on climate and habitat suitability, for rusty crayfish in Wisconsin lakes (Fig. 7). Results also highlight potential bottlenecks and windows of opportunity in the invasion process of this species. *Dispersal* and *proliferation* potentials are relatively low, indicating that management efforts should focus mainly
on preventing the introduction of the species and/or the eutrophication of the lakes. In the future scenarios, colonization and spread potentials increase in response to more boat landings and resources, respectively (Fig. 7 bottom panels). However, climate habitat suitability affecting colonization would decrease as temperatures rise, as increased summer maximum temperatures reduced the lake’s likelihood of invasion. Increase in winter minimum temperatures had a positive, but not significant, effect on invasion risk, and was less important than summer maximum temperature (see Appendix for parameter estimates).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study introduces and demonstrates an analytical approach that facilitates the integration of available data with model structures describing the invasion process. In particular, our approach has a high potential to enhance model realism, explanatory insight, and predictive capability together with an explicit evaluation of uncertainty associated with different predictor variables. Using available distributional data and/or experimental and observational demographic studies, we were able to quantitatively evaluate each phase of the invasion process in conjunction with the others. By accounting for the three phases of the ongoing invasion simultaneously, and by including multiple data sources, our approach indirectly considers feedbacks and interactions between the phases and demographic stages. As a result, model predictions fit the data better than those of a climate-envelope model of colonization, and also generated emergent predictions that would not be gleaned from the simpler model alone. For example, while we found that edge habitats were optimal for the vine *Celastrus orbicularis* in the south (as expected from previous work; Leicht-Young et al. 2007) the most suitable habitat in the north switched towards forest habitats due to their interaction with climate (see Appendix: Fig. A2). Furthermore, as all phases of invasion were modeled as statistical probabilities, the final outcomes provided an integrated measure and associated uncertainties of success at each phase of invasion. The resulting phase-specific estimates for each species can now inform invasive-species management strategies, for example by targeting edge habitats in the southern portion of *Celastrus*’ invaded range, but forest habitats further north.
Species, Celastrus responses to increasing resources. And, for two of the studied species, the vine and the flow of resources—enhanced explanatory insight—was related to human disturbance. Such a pattern is likely the result of interactions among influences other than just environmental suitability, and probably reflects the combined effect of the environmental conditions and species interactions (i.e., competition and predation) associated with each tidal zone.

The crayfish Orconectes rusticus showed a contrasting seasonal response to climatic variables related to temperature. Warmer winter temperature favored this species, but warmer summer temperatures seemed to have a detrimental effect, and the magnitude of this effect was higher than that of warmer winter conditions. These results agree with the distributional pattern observed in the data, where most of the recent invasions took place in northern, i.e., colder, lakes (data not shown; Olden et al. 2011). Thus, as it is the combined, and opposite, effect of winter and summer temperatures that will affect this species, it is critical that we quantify interactive seasonal effects on the invasion.

The particular species’ response to changes in its environment also allowed us to gain more insight into the invasion process. Landscape fragmentation brought an increase in forest edge habitat—an environment in which Celastrus thrives mainly due to higher light availability. Competitive ability of Orconectes was positively associated with an indirect measure of primary productivity of the water bodies (water clarity), to which major disruptions are mostly caused by input from human activities (e.g., residential and agricultural runoff). Mytilus also responded to higher levels of resources, in this case driven by natural upwelling processes along coasts.

Enhanced predictive capability

Changes in climate will likely affect invasive species’ overall performance, especially if such changes alter the availability of resources (Sorte et al. 2013). Results from this integrated assessment allowed us to consider and quantify the potential for invasion under different climate and resource scenarios, and thus inform management about future, as well as current, invasion potential. For example, in New England colonization by Celastrus could increase significantly under warmer and drier conditions, but it is not likely to benefit if conditions become only slightly warmer (see Appendix for predictive outputs). Mytilus thrived at the high end of temperatures included in our data, meaning that global warming may increase colonization at its current range and open new grounds for invasion at higher latitudes. With respect to Orconectes, predictions will be more complex: a year-round increase in temperature might have a slightly negative effect on this species, but the ultimate outcome will depend on the net effects of beneficial winter warming and detrimental summer warming.

Management implications of the integrated assessment

Integrated assessments such as those presented here can inform management practices at multiple spatial scales by identifying vulnerable locations at the local scales and assessing risk of invasion at local to regional scales (Lodge et al. 2006, Leung et al. 2012). Anticipatory forecasts allow targeted surveys for early detection, and consequently rapid responses, which are the most effective form of invasive management (Simberloff 2009). If the different phases of the ongoing invasion are considered, management plans can be designed to target bottlenecks or windows of opportunity. For example, dispersal events limit the invasion of Wisconsin lakes by Orconectes, so management efforts could promote a boat-inspection program at boat landings.
(Rothlisberger et al. 2010). By including changes in resource availability in our models, we also quantitatively estimated the effect of human disturbance on the actual invasion. These estimates could facilitate management decisions, e.g., to prevent or reduce human-caused disturbances that increase resources (discharge to lakes in the case of the crayfish), to monitor areas of high disturbance (forest edges as habitats for the plant species), or to monitor sites more intensively when resources naturally increase (algal blooms in the case of the mussel).

Specifically, our results identified combinations of habitat and environmental conditions most susceptible to invasion, including areas that could be targeted for monitoring and early eradication efforts at particular times. For example, edge habitats in wet years or open wetlands in dry years would be prime sites for *Celastrus* invasion, or edge habitats in the south and forested habitats in the north. Warmer water temperatures will likely favor the colonization and proliferation of *Mytilus*, thus managers in areas with small populations of this species should be aware of potential for population growth if temperatures rise. And, in the case of *Orconectes*, unusually warm winters would be of concern but only if summer temperatures remain low.

In addition to highlighting the most sensitive phases and transitions in the invasion process, hierarchical models can be tailored to particular management situations, and the effects of a specific management practice that would affect any of the demographic stages considered could be easily incorporated into the modeling framework. Parameter estimates from the case studies described here, for example, could be used to generate more targeted predictions of invasion potential in specific areas, e.g., a natural park managed by local authorities, or conditions, e.g., after an unexpected disturbance event that creates a pulse of resources.

**Conclusions**

Although data limitations are a common problem in invasion biology, even small quantities of information, placed within a demographic framework, can facilitate invasion assessment by taking into account the basic ecological principles driving the dispersal, colonization, and proliferation phases of a particular ongoing invasion. Our technique integrates demographic and or
distributional data with knowledge about ecosystems or processes, providing estimates of invasion potential that can be used in management. Assessments can also highlight areas where more information is needed (e.g., *Celastrus* performance in the northern part of the invasive range; rates of predation on *Mytilus* along tidal zones; effects of extreme temperature events on *Orobanche*)

Our demographic approach employs data synthesis and data–model assimilation to make use of existing information, providing a general framework for generating integrated assessments of the likelihood of biological invasions.
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Detailed description of the three case studies, data, models, and additional results (Ecological Archives A024-001-A1).