Probing the haze in the atmosphere of HD 189733b with *Hubble Space Telescope*/WFC3 transmission spectroscopy
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ABSTRACT

We present *Hubble Space Telescope* near-infrared transmission spectroscopy of the transiting exoplanet HD 189733b, using the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3). This consists of time series spectra of two transits, used to measure the wavelength dependence of the planetary radius. These observations aim to test whether the Rayleigh scattering haze detected at optical wavelengths extends into the near-infrared, or if it becomes transparent leaving molecular features to dominate the transmission spectrum. Due to saturation and non-linearity affecting the brightest (central) pixels of the spectrum, light curves were extracted from the blue and red ends of the spectra only, corresponding to wavelength ranges of 1.099–1.168 and 1.521–1.693 µm, respectively, for the first visit, and 1.082–1.128 and 1.514–1.671 µm for the second. The light curves were fitted using a Gaussian process model to account for instrumental systematics whilst simultaneously fitting for the transit parameters. This gives values of the planet-to-star radius ratio for the blue and red light curves of 0.156 50 ± 0.000 48 and 0.156 34 ± 0.000 32, respectively, for visit 1 and 0.157 16 ± 0.000 78 and 0.156 30 ± 0.000 37 for visit 2 (using a quadratic limb-darkening law). The planet-to-star radius ratios measured in both visits are consistent, and we see no evidence for the drop in absorption expected if the haze that is observed in the optical becomes transparent in the infrared. This tentatively suggests that the haze dominates the transmission spectrum of HD 189733b into near-infrared wavelengths, although more robust observations are required to provide conclusive evidence.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The study of transiting planets is revolutionizing our understanding of planets beyond our Solar system. Transiting planets are those which pass between us and their host stars, periodically blocking starlight and producing a characteristic light curve. These are vital to studies of planetary structure, as the radius of a planet and its orbital inclination can be measured from the transit, and coupled with radial velocity data the mass, bulk density and structure may be inferred.

Transiting planets also provide an opportunity to probe atmospheric structure and composition, through transmission and emission (eclipse) spectroscopy. Transmission spectroscopy is a measurement of the planet-to-star radius ratio as a function of wavelength. As the optical depth in the atmosphere is wavelength dependent, so too is the altitude at which the planet becomes opaque to starlight. Wavelength-dependent measurements of the planet-to-star radius ratio are therefore sensitive to atomic and molecular species in the atmosphere (e.g. Seager & Sasselov 2000; Brown 2001).

Observations with the *Hubble Space Telescope (HST)* and *Spitzer Space Telescope* have provided the most detailed observations of exoplanet atmospheres to date (see e.g. Charbonneau et al. 2002; Vidal-Madjar et al. 2003; Charbonneau et al. 2005; Deming et al. ...
In particular, HD 189733b is one of the most studied exoplanets, being one of the brightest known transiting systems. At optical wavelengths its transmission spectrum is largely featureless, dominated by Rayleigh scattering from a high-altitude haze layer from ~0.3 to 1.0 µm first detected by Pont et al. (2008) using the ACS instrument, and recently confirmed using STIS (Sing et al. 2011). The haze is thought to consist of condensate particles; the most likely candidate being MgSiO; grains (Lecavelier Des Etangs et al. 2008a,b). However, Na has been detected using higher resolution observations (Redfield et al. 2008; Huitson et al. 2012). Transits at ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths have shown the planet to have an escaping hydrogen atmosphere (Lecavelier Des Etangs et al. 2010). The situation in the near-infrared (NIR) is more debated; whilst the transmission spectrum obtained with NICMOS from 1.4 to 2.5 µm apparently showed significant H₂O and CH₄ features (Swain, Vasish & Tinetti 2008), narrow-band photometry with NICMOS (Sing et al. 2009) proved to be inconsistent with this yet consistent with extrapolation of the optical haze. Spitzer observations at 3.6 µm are again consistent with the haze, although longer wavelength observations suggest the presence of molecular absorption (Désert et al. 2009, 2011).

The interpretation of molecular features in the NICMOS transmission spectroscopy requires the haze to become largely transparent somewhere between ~1 and 1.4 µm, and the transmission needs to show significant temporal variation for the spectroscopic and narrow-band observations to be consistent. A more likely explanation is that the systematics in the NICMOS transmission spectroscopy data set were not fully accounted for as suggested by Sing et al. (2009). In Gibson, Pont & Aigrain (2011b) we reanalysed the NICMOS transmission spectroscopy, and claimed that standard methods to model instrumental systematics based on linear basis functions were not reliable in this case, and that the detections of molecular species relied on unjustified assumptions about the form of the systematics model. Therefore, the uncertainties are largely underestimated and not precise enough to probe for the presence of molecular species. This interpretation was largely confirmed in Gibson et al. (2011a), where we developed a Gaussian process (GP) model to extract the transmission spectrum robustly in the presence of such systematics, although it is important to note that this view is still disputed by the original authors (Swain, Deroo & Vasisht 2011).

GPs allow the use of non-parametric models for the instrumental systematics, and infers the transit parameters in a fully Bayesian framework. Therefore, fewer assumptions are made about the form of the systematics model, providing more robust transmission spectra. The NICMOS transmission spectrum of HD 189733b inferred using GPs does not exclude the presence of molecular species beyond ~1.5 µm; however, if they are present, we cannot constrain them very well with the current data. Either way, the question remains of how far the haze observed in the optical extends into the IR. Observations bridging the gap between the optical and NICMOS data should help resolve the situation.

Here we present observations designed for that purpose; HST transmission spectroscopy of HD 189733 using the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) from 1.08 to 1.69 µm. These observations were originally planned with NICMOS as part of the programme GP 11740 (PI: F. Pont), before the failure of the NICMOS cooling system to restart. WFC3 was recently used by Berta et al. (2012) to observe the transmission spectrum of GJ1214b, resulting in near-photon-limited observations, and showing that the WFC3 will prove a powerful instrument for such measurements. However, here we are using the WFC3 in a noticeably different regime to observe a much brighter star. Section 2 describes the observations and data reduction, and Section 3 describes the analysis of the light curves. Finally, Sections 4 and 5 present our results and conclusions.

2 WFC3 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Two transits of HD 189733b were observed with the IR channel of HST/WFC3 on 2010 September 4 and 2010 November 10, as part of the programme GP 11740 (PI: F. Pont). Observations used the G141 grism, and we obtained slitless spectra from ~1.08 to 1.69 µm with a resolution of λ/Δλ ∼ 130 at the central wavelength. For each visit, 592 spectra were taken, preceded by an image taken with the F167N filter in order to set the wavelength calibration. As HD 189733 is not in the continuous viewing zone of HST, each transit was observed over four half-orbits (~48 min blocks). For both visits, the first, second and fourth orbits cover the out-of-transit part of the light curve, and consist of 142, 150 and 150 spectra, respectively, and the third orbit covers the in-transit part of the observation, consisting of 150 spectra.

As HD 189733 is a particularly bright star (V ∼ 7.67, K ∼ 5.54), we used exposure times of only 0.225 s. These were taken in MULTIACCUM mode with two non-destructive reads (RAPID, NSAMP = 2). This means that the detector is read out three times per exposure, once at the start, once mid-way and once at the end of the exposure. Hereafter we refer to these images as the zeroth, first and second readouts. The overheads for bright targets with WFC3 are dominated by reading out the detector, and dumping the temporary storage buffer of WFC3 to the HST solid state recorder. Therefore, the camera was used in SUBARRAY mode, reading out only the central 128 × 128 pixel (IRSUB128), which contains most (but not all) of the first-order spectrum, but excludes the zeroth-order image and the second-order spectrum. This reduced the readout time and increased the interval between buffer dumps, eliminating the need for a long buffer dump during each orbit. We used the shortest possible exposure time in this readout mode. This resulted in a cadence of ~18 s, the minimum allowed by the WFC3 electronics.

The data were reduced using the latest version of the CALWF3 data pipeline (v2.3). This removes bias-drifts, subtracts the zeroth readout from each subsequent non-destructive read, subtracts dark images and corrects each pixel for non-linearity. As the WFC3 has no shutter, as many as ~60 000 e⁻ pixel⁻¹ were recorded in the zeroth readout image. These are accumulated between the final reset of the detector before an exposure (the detector is continuously reset when not recording photons or being read out) and the time of zeroth read, and may also be influenced by persistence effects. The detector exhibits significant non-linear behaviour, which is correctable at low intermediate levels, and takes the zeroth read counts into account. At high levels, >78 000 e⁻ pixel⁻¹, the response becomes highly non-linear and not correctable (Dressel 2011). Unfortunately, for both the first and second readouts, and for both visits, a strip of pixels is saturated along the central region of the spectra. Fig. 1 shows a plot of the raw images for one spectra, with the zeroth, first and second readouts.

1 It is not possible to defocus WFC3, and at the time of phase II preparation, ‘driftscan’ mode (McCullough & MacKenty 2011) was not available. This involves slowly moving the telescope during exposures to smear out the cross-dispersion point spread function, therefore avoiding saturation of the brightest pixels and allowing longer exposures.

2 This is defined as where the detector counts deviate by more than 5 per cent from linearity.
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reads shown, after subtraction of the bias levels imposed during each detector reset. It therefore shows the raw electron counts per pixel that contribute to non-linearity. The non-linearity limit of $\gtrsim 78,000\text{ e}^{-}\text{pixel}^{-1}$ is surpassed by a significant region in the centre of the first readout, and most of the second readout; therefore, these regions cannot provide useful transit light curves. Subtracting the zeroth read is important to remove this effect from the subsequent reads. The pipeline does not apply the non-linearity correction to the zeroth read prior to this. This is a potential source of error in using the current pipeline, but the recorded counts in the zeroth read are within the linear regime (at least in the regions of the spectrum we finally use), so we assume that this effect is negligible on our final light curves. The pipeline usually only applies the non-linearity correction to non-saturated pixels; nonetheless, we forced the pipeline to apply the correction to all pixels, and proceeded to extract spectra for both first and second readouts.

To extract the spectra, we used a technique similar to that used in Gibson et al. (2011b) for HST/NICMOS spectroscopy, using a custom pipeline written in PYTHON. The spectral trace was first determined for each image by fitting a Gaussian profile in the cross-dispersion direction (y) for each of 128 columns along the dispersion direction (x). A straight line was fitted to the centres of the profiles to define the spectral trace. A sum of 10 pixel was taken along the cross-dispersion direction centred on the spectral trace to determine the flux for each pixel channel, after subtracting a background value. The extraction width was chosen to minimize the out-of-transit rms after corrections for instrumental systematics were applied (see Section 3). The final light curves were not particularly sensitive to varying the extraction width between $\sim 7$ and 15 pixel. The background was determined from a region on the same pixel column above the spectrum, but we also tested a global background correction. The final light curves were not sensitive to the choice of background region, given the low background counts ($\gtrsim 35\text{ e}^{-}\text{pixel}^{-1}$) and lack of spatial variation. Fig. 2 shows spectra extracted for both runs and for both the first and second readouts. The dashed line is the first-order spectra scaled to match the exposure time of the second readout. The saturated region is obvious in the second readout, but over much of the wavelength range in both the first and second readouts the flux is non-linear.

Transits for each pixel channel were then constructed from time series of the spectra. The transits suffer from instrumental systematics, which is typical of HST observations of transit light curves (e.g. ACS: Pont et al. 2008; NICMOS: Swain et al. 2008, Gibson et al. 2011b; STIS: Sing et al. 2011). These may arise due to thermal breathing and temperature variations, plus pointing drifts which aggravate pixel-to-pixel sensitivity variations. Transits in the saturated regions of the spectra predictably showed shallower than expected transit depths (often unphysical). We explored various methods to extract useful information from the saturated regions of the spectra. Indeed, this is why we choose to use a custom

![Wire-frame plot of the raw images that make up a typical exposure, with the zeroth (red), first (green) and second (blue) reads shown. The bias levels imposed during each detector reset have been subtracted, therefore showing the raw electron counts per pixel that contribute to non-linearity. The non-linearity limit of $\gtrsim 78,000\text{ e}^{-}\text{pixel}^{-1}$ is surpassed by a significant region in the centre of the first readout, and most of the second readout; therefore, these regions cannot provide useful transit light curves. Subtracting the zeroth read is important to remove this effect from the subsequent reads. The pipeline does not apply the non-linearity correction to the zeroth read prior to this. This is a potential source of error in using the current pipeline, but the recorded counts in the zeroth read are within the linear regime (at least in the regions of the spectrum we finally use), so we assume that this effect is negligible on our final light curves. The pipeline usually only applies the non-linearity correction to non-saturated pixels; nonetheless, we forced the pipeline to apply the correction to all pixels, and proceeded to extract spectra for both first and second readouts.](http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/)

![Example spectra extracted for one image for the first (top) and second (bottom) visits, showing spectra for both the first and second readouts. The dashed green line is the first readout scaled to the exposure time of the second. Clearly the spectra of the second readout are saturated, but much of the wavelength range in both the first and second readouts is non-linear and not correctable to a scientifically useful level (see text). We therefore only extracted light curves from either end of the wavelength range. The blue and red dash–dotted lines show the extraction regions used for each visit. Note that the zeroth read is subtracted prior to extracting these spectra, and they are summed along the y-axis, hence do not correspond in a simple way to the detector saturation limit.](http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/)
pipeline rather than standard extraction tools, as it allows much more control over the data reduction process, and the benefits of more sophisticated methods such as optimal extraction over aperture extraction are marginal for high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) data. One such method was to simply mask the saturated pixels (the same pixels in every image), and sum over the remaining pixels. As the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) in the cross-dispersion direction is rather narrow (∼2 pixel), the saturated pixel contained much of the signal. More importantly, the systematics resultant from pointing drifts were greatly enhanced when the central pixel is masked, as small variations in position cause a large change in the response. After further tests, reluctantly we concluded that useful information could not be obtained where the central pixel was saturated, and decided to extract two light curves at either end of the wavelength range in the first readout spectra, within the unsaturated regions.

For the first visit, we summed the first 15 and final 37 pixel channels to form what we will refer to as the ‘blue’ and ‘red’ channels, respectively. Similarly, for the second visit we extracted the first 11 and final 35 pixel channels into the blue and red channels. These extraction regions are marked in Fig. 2. Fig. 3 shows the extracted blue and red channel light curves for the first and second visits. The wavelength dispersion of the WFC3/IR G141 grism is position dependent, and the position of HD 189733 in the direct image was used to calibrate the wavelengths. The blue and red channels in the first visit correspond to wavelength ranges of 1.099–1.168 and 1.521–1.693 μm, respectively, and 1.082–1.128 and 1.514–1.671 μm, respectively, for the second transit.

Instrumental systematics are evident in the light curves, and must be accounted for to measure the planet-to-star radius ratio. We therefore extracted auxiliary parameters from the data in a similar way to Gibson et al. (2011b) in order to explore systematics models. These were the shift in x-position ($\Delta X$), y-position ($\Delta Y$), and average width ($W$) and angle ($\psi$) of the spectral trace. $\Delta X$ was determined from cross-correlation of the extracted spectra in each image (first readout), $\Delta Y$ and $\psi$ from the straight line fits to the spectral trace, and $W$ from the average FWHM of the Gaussian profiles fitted to each extraction column in the cross-dispersion direction. The phase ($\theta_{HST}$) of $HST$ was also calculated for each time. Unfortunately, no useful temperature information was available in the image headers. Berta et al. (2012) provide a detailed description of WFC3 systematics for transmission spectroscopy, which we do not attempt to reproduce here. However, we note that the form of the systematics in our light curves are slightly different given the different observing strategies used; it is most noteworthy that we do not see a prominent ‘ramp’ effect. This is likely because we do not require buffer dumps during orbits, which leads to regular cadence, therefore stable persistence effects for each exposure.

**Figure 3.** Light curves for the blue (left) and red (right) channels. Top: raw extracted light curves, showing systematics that need accounting for when inferring the planet-to-star radius ratio. Middle: ‘cleaned’ light curves after subtracting the Gaussian process systematics model. Bottom: combined light curves from both visits. The cleaned light curves are shown for illustrative purposes only; the planet-to-star radius ratio is inferred simultaneously with the GP systematics model. The first orbit was excluded for the inference, but the projected GP model is subtracted nonetheless.
3 ANALYSIS

3.1 Gaussian process model

The light curves were modelled using the GP model described in Gibson et al. (2011a), which we briefly recap here. Each light curve is modelled as a GP with a transit mean function:

\[ f(t, x) \sim G \mathcal{P} \left( T(t, \phi), \Sigma(x, \theta) \right), \]

where \( f \) is the flux, \( t \) is the time, \( x \) is the vector containing \( K \) auxiliary measurements, \( T \) is the transit (mean) function with transit (hyper)parameter vector \( \phi \) (including the planet-to-star radius ratio, \( \rho \)), modelled using the analytic equations of Mandel & Agol (2002), and \( \Sigma \) is the covariance matrix with hyperparameter vector \( \theta \). The covariance between two points \( x_n \) and \( x_m \) is given by the squared exponential kernel function:

\[ k(x_n, x_m) = \xi \exp \left( -\sum_{i=1}^{K} \eta_i (x_n,i - x_m,i)^2 \right) + \delta_{nm} \sigma^2, \]

where \( \xi \) is a hyperparameter that specifies the maximum covariance, \( \eta_i \) are the inverse scale hyperparameters for each auxiliary measurement vector, \( \sigma^2 \) is the variance due to white noise and \( \delta_{nm} \) is the Kronecker delta. The kernel accounts for the instrumental systematics, and this one describes a smooth function of the input parameters, with the addition of white noise. In other words, the instrumental systematics model assumes a similar value when all relevant auxiliary measurements are close, with the ‘closeness’ specified by the inverse length scales. Similar to other HST analyses, we excluded orbit 1 from the fits. This has the added advantage of significantly speeding up inversion of the covariance matrix and therefore inference of the planet-to-star radius ratio for each light curve.

The definition of a GP states that the likelihood is multivariate Gaussian. We multiply the likelihood by gamma hyperpriors of shape parameter unity for \( f \) and \( \eta_i \) hyperparameters to form the posterior distribution (implying uniform, improper priors for the remaining parameters). The scale lengths of the hyperpriors were set to large values so that they have little influence over the inference, other than to ensure that the hyperparameters remain positive. The posterior distribution of the planet-to-star radius ratio (\( \rho \)) is found by marginalizing over all the other transit parameters and covariance hyperparameters using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), where the fixed transit parameters are set to the values of Pont et al. (2007, 2008) except for the ephemeris which is calculated from the Agol et al. (2010) values.\(^4\) The light-curve function is multiplied by a normalization (baseline) function during fitting, in this case a linear function of time, whose parameters are marginalized over in the MCMC. In all, we fit for the planet-to-star radius ratio, the parameters of the normalization function and all the GP hyperparameters including the white noise. For each light curve we ran four chains and tested for convergence using the Gelman–Rubin (GR) statistic (Gelman & Rubin 1992). For more details on the GP model and MCMC routine, see Gibson et al. (2011a). The limb-darkening parameters were calculated for each light curve using the methods described in Sing (2010) for both quadratic and non-linear limb-darkening laws. These were calculated using the 1D stellar atmosphere models rather than the 3D model atmospheres used in Sing et al. (2011). This was done to enable easy comparison with ACS and NICMOS transmission spectroscopy, but future studies will use the more reliable 3D limb-darkening parameters. Inference of the planet-to-star radius ratio was performed for both laws holding the limb-darkening parameters fixed.

We decided to take an approach different from that for the NICMOS data; rather than using all of the auxiliary information, we were careful to use only the inputs that were needed to model the shape of the systematics per orbit, but importantly did not change the flux offsets between orbits. Therefore, we first tried using only the orbital phase of HST (\( \theta_{HST} \)) as input to the GP. This did not provide a satisfactory correction for all orbits, particularly for orbits where a discontinuity is evident mid-way through each orbit in the light curves.\(^5\) We therefore included the cross-dispersion width (\( W \)) of the spectrum as an additional GP input. Plots of \( \theta_{HST} \) and \( W \) for both visits are shown in Fig. 4. These were normalized to zero mean and variance of 1 prior to their use as GP inputs to ensure that the hyperparameters provide similar constraints. This GP model provided a satisfactory correction for the systematics, and the two visits gave consistent results. The MCMC chains were well behaved in this case, and the GR statistic was within 1 per cent of unity. These are used as our final values for \( \rho \). We also tested this model including orbit 1 in the fitting procedure, which did not significantly change the results, nor did using (GP) smoothed versions of the \( W \) auxiliary parameter. The light curves produced after subtracting the GP systematics models are shown in Fig. 3.

We further tested the GP model using \( \Delta X, \Delta Y \) and \( \psi \) as additional GP inputs. The MCMC did not converge in this case, or give consistent results for the two runs. These additional inputs show similar structure to the \( W \) input but are much noisier. This causes degeneracy in the GP hyperparameters thus demanding much longer MCMC chains to achieve convergence. However, we also ran tests using GP smoothed input parameters (see Gibson et al. 2011a), and

\(^4\) We fix the scale length \( d/R_\odot \) and inclination \( i \); these parameters are correlated with the planet-to-star radius ratio, but in transmission spectroscopy we are interested in the posterior distribution of \( \rho \) conditioned on these parameters, i.e. the relative values for \( \rho \).

\(^5\) The more up to date physical parameters of Agol et al. (2010) were not used here to enable direct comparison with NICMOS and ACS data.

\(^6\) The discontinuity is caused by HST crossing the Earth’s shadow.
the MCMC now converged, showing that the level of noise on the inputs can also significantly affect convergence. This led to consistent values for $\rho$ when compared to using only $\theta_{\text{HST}}$ and $W$ as inputs, although with larger uncertainties. Given that these additional inputs are particularly noisy, and more importantly that they show (small) offsets between orbits, we choose not to use these parameters in our final results, as this can cause flux offsets to be fitted by the GP. For $\psi$, the in-transit values are also outside the range covered by the out-of-transit data, hence requiring an extrapolation to the in-transit data. In theory, these issues might be addressed with appropriate prior widths in the gamma hyperpriors, but this becomes rather subjective with no robust way to set them. Of course, in an ideal Bayesian framework we should be able to include all the (noisy) inputs. More sophisticated tools to evaluate the posterior distribution and marginalize over the hyperparameters such as Bayesian quadrature methods (e.g. O’Hagan 1991) might enable this in the future.

Our approach of using $\theta_{\text{HST}}$ and $W$ as the only inputs is supported by two further pieces of evidence. The first is that the intrapixel sensitivity variations are much smaller than for NICMOS, with no measurable variation found during ground testing for WFC3, compared with $\sim$40 per cent for NICMOS (Dressel 2011); therefore, the variations in flux caused by shifts in $X$, $Y$ and the angle should have little or no impact. The second is that recent WFC3 analysis by Berta et al. (2012) did not require modelling any flux offsets between orbits to fully account for the systematics. Indeed, the ‘divide-oot’ method used should provide a similar correction to the GP model using $\theta_{\text{HST}}$ and $W$ as inputs, given that they show regular repeating structure for each orbit.

3.2 Linear basis function model

As an independent check we also tried using the ‘standard’ method to model the systematics (see e.g. Brown et al. 2001; Gilliland & Arribas 2003; Pont et al. 2007; Swain et al. 2008), i.e. using the extracted auxiliary parameters as basis functions, and fitting for the coefficients using linear least squares (see Gibson et al. 2011a,b for a detailed description of the method used here). Using $W$ and $\theta_{\text{HST}}$ as basis functions, and higher order squared terms, provided consistent results with the GP model (within $1\sigma$), and the residual permutation method described in Gibson et al. (2011b) provided similar uncertainties. When we added $\Delta X$, $\Delta Y$ and $\psi$ as additional basis vectors the instrument model became unstable and the two visits provided different planet-to-star radius ratios for the blue and red transits, validating our use of $W$ and $\theta_{\text{HST}}$ as the only inputs to the GP.

4 RESULTS

4.1 WFC3 light-curve fits

The inferred planet-to-star radius ratios for both quadratic and non-linear limb-darkening laws are given in Table 1, as both have been used in the literature for HD 189733. The uncertainties are calculated from the distribution of the MCMC chains, after removing the first 20 per cent of each, and are given by the limits which encompass 68.2 per cent of the probability distribution.

Table 1. Planet-to-star radius ratio calculated from the GP inference, given for both limb-darkening laws.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (µm)</th>
<th>$\frac{R_p}{R_*}$</th>
<th>Visit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(quadratic limb darkening)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.099 – 1.168</td>
<td>0.15650 ± 0.00048</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.082 – 1.128</td>
<td>0.15716 ± 0.00078</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.521 – 1.693</td>
<td>0.15634 ± 0.00032</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.514 – 1.671</td>
<td>0.15630 ± 0.00037</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(non-linear limb darkening)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.099 – 1.168</td>
<td>0.15650 ± 0.00047</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.082 – 1.128</td>
<td>0.15735 ± 0.00076</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.521 – 1.693</td>
<td>0.15644 ± 0.00032</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.514 – 1.671</td>
<td>0.15672 ± 0.00043</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Measured planet-to-star radius ratio of HD 189733b from WFC3 data using quadratic limb-darkening prior to spot corrections. Visits 1 and 2 are shown by the green and yellow points, respectively. The dashed horizontal line marks the weighted average of all four points.

The planet-to-star radius ratios obtained with the quadratic limb-darkening law are plotted in Fig. 5, and the dashed line is a weighted mean of all four data points. Clearly no planet-to-star radius ratio variation is detected in the WFC3 data. A comparison with other data requires corrections for unocculted star spots, discussed in the following section.

4.2 Spot corrections

As HD 189733 is an active star, we need to correct the transmission spectrum for unocculted star spots to make a detailed comparison with data taken at different times and wavelengths. Here, we use the spot corrections as described in Sing et al. (2011) in order to place the WFC3 data in context alongside other transmission spectra of HD 189733b. However, given the complexity of spot corrections, this warrants its own publication, and will form a large part of a future paper (Pont et al., in preparation), where we will analyse the complete transmission spectra of HD 189733b from UV to IR. In addition, it is important to model the transit light curves with consistent stellar parameters and limb-darkening laws, which will also be addressed in this paper.

Correcting for unocculted spots requires long-term monitoring of the stellar flux to obtain the variation in spot coverage between visits, and an estimate of the flux of the unspotted surface. These were obtained by fitting long-baseline ground-based coverage from the

---

7 We have simply given the wavelength range of the extraction region; more detailed analyses would need to take into account the spectral response, but is not warranted here.
Transmission spectra of HD 189733 showing the WFC3 data presented in this paper, the ACS data from Pont et al. (2008), the NICMOS photometry from Sing et al. (2009) and the reanalysis of the NICMOS transmission spectroscopy from Gibson et al. (2011a) after correction for unocculted spots (see text). The dashed line is the Rayleigh scattering haze function given in Lecavelier Des Etangs et al. (2008a). The WFC3 blue and red light curves show consistent depth, providing no evidence for a ‘drop-off’ in the haze observed at optical wavelengths. The WFC3 data are also consistent with the Rayleigh scattering haze extrapolated into the NIR; however, given the difficulties extracting the light curves and performing the spot corrections we hesitate to draw firm conclusions from the WFC3 data.

We note that no spot-crossing events are visible in our data. It is highly likely that spots were occulted given that the optical transits almost always show spot-crossing events (e.g. Pont et al. 2007; Sing et al. 2011), rather they are not visible in our data given the lower S/N and lower flux contrast between the spotted and unspotted stellar surface. However, these may still influence the extracted transmission spectrum.

Fig. 6 plots the corrected WFC3 data alongside the ACS data from Pont et al. (2008), the NICMOS photometry from Sing et al. (2009) and the reanalysis of the NICMOS transmission spectroscopy from Gibson et al. (2011a). The ACS data were already corrected for spots using a similar technique and were taken directly from the paper; the NICMOS spectroscopy and photometry were corrected in the same way as the WFC3 data. The WFC3 data are largely consistent with ACS and NICMOS photometric data, but disagree with the red end of the NICMOS spectroscopy. This tentatively suggests that the transmission spectrum is dominated by the haze into the NIR, and that the feature at ∼1.6 μm may indeed be of instrumental origin (Gibson et al. 2011a). However, given the difficulty in performing spot corrections (particularly with the large baseline between the data sets), and in extracting the WFC3 data, it is not wise to rule out the feature at ∼1.6 μm from these data alone.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have presented HST/WFC3 spectroscopic observations of the transiting system HD 189733. The aim of this study was to bridge the gap between the ACS optical data of Pont et al. (2008), NIR transmission spectra with NICMOS (Swain et al. 2008; Gibson et al. 2011a) and NICMOS photometry of Sing et al. (2009). Unfortunately, the WFC3 spectra were saturated for much of the central region, and we could only extract useful light curves at either extreme of the G141 grism, corresponding to central wavelengths of ∼1.134 and 1.607 μm for visit 1 and ∼1.105 and 1.592 μm for visit 2. The red and blue light curves show consistent transit depths for both runs when using either quadratic or non-linear limb-darkening laws. We have not detected a drop in the planet-to-star radius ratio one would expect if the optical haze does indeed become transparent between ∼1.1 and 1.4 μm, as has been suggested to explain the different behaviour observed at optical and NIR wavelengths.

Correction for unocculted star spots shows that the WFC3 data are consistent with the ACS data of Pont et al. (2008) and the NICMOS photometry of Sing et al. (2009), but disagree with the red end of the NICMOS transmission spectroscopy of Swain et al. (2008) and the reanalysis of Gibson et al. (2011a). A more detailed analysis of the complete transmission spectrum of HD 189733b is in preparation, with more detailed spot corrections, consistent limb-darkening laws and stellar parameters. Nonetheless, this work tentatively suggests that Rayleigh scattering from the high-altitude haze dominates the transmission spectrum up to and including NIR wavelengths. We note that both the WFC3 data and the NICMOS photometry of Sing et al. (2009) have shown consistent transit depths, and have failed to produce the deep feature at ∼1.6 μm reported in Swain et al. (2008). However, whilst WFC3 appears better behaved than NICMOS transmission spectroscopy, given that the data are near the non-linear range of the detector, and the difficulty in...
performing spot corrections, more evidence is required to conclusively resolve the issue and provide continuous coverage from optical to NIR wavelengths. Future observations of HD 189733 in ‘driftscan’ mode should help provide this evidence.
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