

# ARCHAEOLOGY AND CRAFTS

Experiences and Experiments  
on traditional Skills and Handicrafts  
in Archaeological Open-Air Museums in Europe



Albersdorfer Forschungen zur  
Archäologie und Umweltgeschichte  
Band 5

**AÖZA**  
ARCHÄOLOGISCHES  
ÖKOLOGISCHES  
ZENTRUM  
ALBERSDORF

# ARCHAEOLOGY AND CRAFTS

Experiences and Experiments  
on traditional Skills and Handicrafts  
in Archaeological Open-Air Museums in Europe

Edited by Rüdiger Kelm

Proceedings of the VI. OpenArch-Conference  
in Albersdorf, Germany,  
23.–27. September 2013



Education and Culture DG  
Culture Programme



Husum

Der Druck wurde freundlicherweise kofinanziert aus Mitteln der Europäischen Union  
im Rahmen des Programmes „Kultur“ durch das Projekt „OpenArch“,  
des Fördervereins AÖZA e. V., Albersdorf,  
und des Archäologisch-Ökologischen Zentrums Albersdorf (AÖZA gGmbH).

The printing has been co-financed by the European Union  
in the frame of the Culture-Programme by the OpenArch-Project,  
by the Support Society of the Archaeological-Ecological Centre Albersdorf  
and by the Archaeological-Ecological Centre Albersdorf (AÖZA gGmbH).

Umschlagabbildung:  
Nachbildungen steinzeitlicher Werkzeuge im  
Archäologisch-Ökologischen Zentrum Albersdorf  
(Foto: R. Kelm, Albersdorf)

Fotonachweis:  
Alle Fotorechte bei den Autorinnen und Autoren sowie bei der AÖZA gGmbH,  
falls nicht anders benannt

© 2015 by AÖZA gGmbH and Husum Druck- und Verlagsgesellschaft, Husum  
Alle Rechte, auch die des auszugsweisen oder fotomechanischen Nachdrucks, vorbehalten

Gesamtherstellung: Husum Druck- und Verlagsgesellschaft  
Postfach 1480, D-25804 Husum – [www.verlagsgruppe.de](http://www.verlagsgruppe.de)

ISBN 978-3-89876-790-3



# KNAPPING SKILL ASSESSMENT

by Bruce Bradley, Exeter, and Nada Khreisheh, Atlanta

## Introduction

This article is derived from a presentation made by the senior author at the Open-Arch Conference „Working with stones in European Pre- and Proto-history in theory and in practice“ organised by the Archaeological-Ecological Centre Albersdorf (DE), 23–27 September 2013 (fig. 1). It chronicles aspects and some results of a long-term study of skill acquisition in stone knapping, *Learning to be Human*, funded by the Leverhulme Trust, UK.

Flaked stone tools are one of the few pieces of evidence that can be used to address hominin behaviour in the Palaeolithic. Looking at teaching, practice and natural ability requirements of early technologies can provide information on their complexities. An understanding of these complexities can help to begin




Fig. 1: Prof. Bradley collecting flint at a chalk quarry near Lägerdorf, Germany, for use in knapping demonstrations.

to access the cognitive abilities of early hominins (NOWELL u. DAVIDSON 2010; HECHT et al. 2014). Unfortunately, it is not possible to directly study hominin behaviours so experimental study using modern humans is a way of obtaining information about the processes involved. While not an ideal analogue, it is within our ability and may shed some light on this critical topic.

In order to study skill acquisition and early hominin cognitive processes, a multiyear experimental stone flaking study was funded by the Leverhulme Trust. This research was designed with three strands linked by a focus on Oldowan core tool, Acheulean handaxe and Levallois technologies






Fig. 2: Technologies replicated in knapping experiment: a) Oldowan; b) Acheulean; and c) Levallois (BUTLER 2005: 60–68).

(fig. 2) and the use of a group of experimental knappers. The first strand was an experimental study of flintknapping skill acquisition undertaken by the authors at the University of Exeter. The second strand included fMRI brain scans of the experimental knappers undertaken by Drs. Dietrich Stout and Erin Hecht, Emory University, Atlanta, USA and at the Wellcome Trust Centre in London, UK. The third strand was a transmission chain design study supervised by Prof. James Steele and undertaken by Stuart Page University College, London. This article relates only to the skill acquisition strand (KHREISHEH 2013).

### Test Propositions

The study of skill acquisition incorporated a basic test proposition that learning complex knapping is primarily an intellectual development and once the principles are understood expertise follows, or alternatively, high skill development relies on long-term practice resulting in intellectual understanding.

### Knapping Skill, Teaching and Learning

A study group of 16 people was recruited and their knapping learning documented and assessed over a period of two years. There were three groups; core, wider beginners and wider experienced. The core group consisted of eight subjects with no prior knapping experience. All were right handed (because of a need for brain scanning controls) but there were no selection criteria for age or sex. This group underwent intensive training, contact with archaeological and replica artefacts and systematic assessment within a scheduled and controlled regimen. A smaller group of beginners was recruited based on the same selection criteria but their training was less intensive but still within a controlled regimen. The wider experienced group had less intensive training, came from a range of experience levels and were not all based at Exeter.

## Knapping Practice Forms

Name:..... Date:.....

Technology:	Time practiced
Flake production	
Handaxe	
Levallois	
Other	

If other please  
specify.....

Received instruction: Yes/No If Yes:

(Level of Instruction Given: 1-3, 1=verbal instruction, 2=demonstration, 3=physical intervention)

Name of instructor	Technology	Level of instruction

Assessment of Success (1-5, 1= very poor performance, worse than expected, 2=poor performance, at expected level but mistakes made, 3=average performance, at expected level, 4= good performance, better than expected, 5=excellent performance, far exceeds expectation):

Technology	Assessment of Success

Comments (e.g any breakthroughs made, closeness to original artefact, problems you may be having, perceived reasons for success/lack of success, tool/material

Fig. 3: Knapping practice form used in Learning to be Human project.

## **Methods**

All core group members took Initial aptitude assessments including spatial ability and motor skills tests as well as a questionnaire that covered their previous experience of flaked stone technologies and other craft activities. They then received introductory training in the required knapping techniques. Over the two years of record keeping this group also undertook three intensive multi-week training sessions where they also studied archaeological collections (in Texas, France and Denmark). Regular skill assessments were spread through this time in the 3 required technologies. Knappers kept records of their individual practice sessions on supplied forms (fig. 3).

### **Taught Sessions**

Taught sessions introduced each technology to the groups through a demonstration by Professor Bradley. These occurred at regular intervals and while they focused on the three specific technologies they were not restricted to them. During the same session the knappers practiced the demonstrated technique(s) and received one-on-one as well as group tuition.

### **Records**

Records included the knapper practice forms (fig. 3), assessment forms and all of the knapping practical assessments were digitally videoed. These records are on file at the University of Exeter, Department of Archaeology.

### **Skill Assessments**

Skill assessments in both *connaissance* (intellectual understanding) and *savoir-faire* (knapping ability) were performed at regular intervals during project. There were four assessments for flaking and three each for handaxe and Levallois using standardized porcelain (KHREISHEH et al. 2013) precores (fig. 4).

In *connaissance* assessments the knappers described how they would remove flakes from a sample core and draw predictions with chalk. These were evaluated by two accomplished knappers, usually Bradley and Khreisheh, and an agreement was reached and recorded for the session. For *savoir-faire* the knappers carried out the technology and this was skill rated by the same experts. Scores ranged from 1 to 5 for both assessments, the knapping sessions were videoed and the resulting flakes and cores were retained for analysis.



## Results

Several trends were noted based on the skill assessments (see fig. 5 for an example of graphed results).

### *For flaking (Oldowan):*

- Connaissance skill increased sharply between the first and second evaluations and then remained stable;
- Savoir-faire skill was similar but more variable with loss as well as gain of ability;
- Incorrect flake positioning was the most common error;
- More skilled knappers produced more and longer flakes;
- There was some correlation between hours spent in taught sessions and improvement in skill level;
- There was some correlation between hours practised and improvement in skill level but continued practice was essential for maintaining high levels of skill in savoir-faire;
- Previous craft experience and previous contact with flaked stone assemblages best correlated with skill.

### *For Acheulean handaxe manufacture:*

- Connaissance skill increased sharply between the first and second evaluations and then remained stable;
- Savoir-faire skill did not show this increase with some knappers gaining ability, some maintaining the same skill throughout, while others lost ability;
- The most common areas of error were inability to plan ahead and inability to effectively thin handaxes;
- There was some correlation between hours spent in taught sessions and improvement in skill level but teaching had to be technologically focused;
- Both teaching and practice contributed to the skill achieved in simple flaking and handaxe technologies.
- Continued practice was essential for maintaining high levels of skill in savoir-faire;




Fig. 4: Example of porcelain precores used in assessments.

- Previous craft experience and previous contact with flaked stone assemblages best correlated with skill.

### *For Levallois:*

- Connaissance scores were variable with loss as well as gain through the three evaluations;
- Savoir-faire scores in general increased sharply between evaluations one and two and then remained stable;

- The most commonly occurring errors were those of flake positioning and platform preparation;
- Taught hours had a greater effect on savoir-faire scores than connaissance scores;
- Practice hours had a greater effect on savoir-faire scores than connaissance scores.
- Spatial ability had an impact on skill but this was only seen in the first evaluation.
- Levallois technology was unpopular with knappers and showed low levels of practice. Generally high levels of skill were not achieved.




Fig. 5: Example of a graphic representation of analysis results of an individual knapper.

## Conclusions

### *Teaching and Practice*

Both teaching and practice contributed to the skill achieved in simple flaking and handaxe technologies. Teaching and practice had a much higher impact for handaxe than for flaking. For hand-axe, it was necessary that teaching be technologically focused to allow a high level skill to be achieved. For flaking any type of teaching and practice allowed skill to be increased. For Levallois not enough teaching or practice was carried out to show a significant effect on scores.

### *Aptitude*

For Oldowan and Acheulean handaxe technologies previous craft experience and previous contact with flaked stone assemblages had the greatest influence on skill. For Levallois technology spatial ability had the greatest influence on skill. Previous craft experience correlated best with savoir-faire skill. Previous contact with flaked stone assemblages best correlated with connaissance skill. Results suggest that Levallois technology requires greater levels of spatial ability than handaxe manufacture or simple flaking

### *General*

Teaching, practice and natural aptitude all contribute to the levels of skill achieved in early flaked stone technologies. Comparing skill acquisition for connaissance and savoir-faire suggests that the differences in difficulty between Oldowan and Acheulean handaxe were primarily physical. The differences between handaxe and Levallois were primarily conceptual.

These results have implications for the different cognitive capacities of the ho-



Fig. 6: Professor Bradley demonstrating to a local school group in the Stone Age Park Dithmarschen (Germany).

minin species that first made these technologies. The impact of teaching on skill in handaxe technology suggests that from this point some level of social teaching may have been part of skill acquisition.

Highly controlled experimental knapping has much to contribute to the understanding of the development of the human mind and cognition. While this must be done with anatomically modern

humans, inferences and analogies may be made, especially when a range of research approaches are used. While specific and concrete results have been derived from this project and research is on-going, it has resulted in the application of new methods and approaches. A particular value in this research has been the length of the knapping teaching and learning. This project is just a beginning with many new questions arising from the research and an almost unlimited scope for new ways of understanding how we learned to be human.

### OpenArch Relevance

During the conference in Albersdorf there were many demonstrations (fig. 6) and workshops of knapping and it was clear there is a great public interest in the craft. Discussions were held as to if and how this sort of study could be undertaken in Outdoor Centres where knapping takes place. It was agreed that records of the knapping activity could be designed and kept but the problem would be in having expert knappers available to do the training and assessment sessions. While it was envisioned that expert knappers could be engaged for individual sessions the difficulty of having the same experts available over an extended periods, a necessity for adequate controls, was probably insurmountable without a reliable and sustainable funding source.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to the Leverhulme Trust for its financial support and commitment to supporting 'risky' interdisciplinary research. Thanks are also due to the OpenArch-Project for providing the funding for the Conference. The support of the academic institutions and the people who assisted in managing the Learning to be Human project are also acknowledged. Special thanks are also due to all of the dedicated knapping volunteers who contributed time and effort to the project.



## References

- Butler 2005: C. Butler, Prehistoric Flintwork. Tempus, Stroud, 2005.
- Heckt et al. 2014: E. Hecht, D. Gutman, N. Khreisheh, S. Taylor, J. Kilner, A. Faisal, B. Bradley, T. Chaminade and D. Stout. *Brain Struct Funct.* 2014
- Khreisheh 2013: N. Khreisheh, The Acquisition of Skill in Early Flaked Stone Technologies. Acquisition of Paleolithic toolmaking abilities involves structural remodeling to inferior frontoparietal regions.: An Experimental Study. Unpublished PhD Thesis, on file University of Exeter, 2013.
- Khreisheh et al. 2013: N. Khreisheh, D. Davies. and B. Bradley. Extending Experimental Control: The Use of Porcelain in Flaked Stone Experimentation. *Advances in Archaeological Practice: A Journal of the Society for American Archaeology*, 2013. Delivered by ,<http://saa.metapress.com> IP Address: 144.173.216.75
- Nowell u. Davidson 2010: A. Nowell and I. Davidson, I. (eds.), *Stone Tools and the Evolution of Human Cognition*, University Press of Colorado, Boulder, 2010.