

## Lives without imagery – congenital aphantasia

### Supplementary data

#### 1. Material sent to participants

##### 1.1 *Email sent to people who contacted us to say that they lacked visual imagination*

I am writing in response to your message about Carl Zimmer's article in Discover on visual imagination. I am the first author of the paper Carl Zimmer's article referred to.

You were one of around 15 people who made contact following this article to report that – in most instances - you had *never* experienced visual imagery (while, of course, the patient we describe, MX, had experienced vivid visual imagery until he lost it abruptly in mid-life).

Although it has been recognised since the 19<sup>th</sup> century that some people get along very well without having the experience of visual imagery, surprisingly little scientific attention has been paid to this fascinating fact.

If you are willing to help us, my co-authors and I felt that we should take this unexpected opportunity to learn a little more about visual imagery – and its absence.

I am attaching two short questionnaires. I would be very grateful if you could complete and return these electronically.

The first is newly created, and asks a range of questions about matters connected with imagery, for example whether your dreams contain visual imagery. These questions are often raised when imagery is discussed, and we hope that your answers will help to clarify the experience of people who lack imagery.

The second is a widely used 'vividness of visual imagery questionnaire'. We expect you to rate your imagery at the low end of the spectrum – given what you have written – but it would be helpful to quantify your experience using this standard measure.

We have been studying imagery in normal folk to follow up our work with MX. We anticipate putting the data we have gathered from these participants together with what we learn from your answers to write a paper drawing attention to the neglected phenomenon you have described. Looking to the future, as we now have tools to study the working brain in action, it should soon be possible to discover why there is such a wide variation in the vividness of imagery. Your responses will highlight the variation we need to explain.

Any information you provide will be used in an anonymous fashion. Unless you prefer not to be informed, I will keep you in touch with the results of this small survey, and send you a copy of any publication that results.

Many thanks for contribution so far, and for helping with this work.

Best wishes

Adam

**1.2 Newly devised imagery questionnaire emailed to participants with response letter above**

Age

Years of full-time education

Profession

When did you become aware that you were unable to form mental images?

How did you become aware of this?

Did this discovery have an emotional impact on you?

Is your lack of visual imagery total, or do you sometimes experience brief flashes of imagery?

Is your ability to imagine affected by whether your eyes are open or closed?

Are all types of imagery affected, or can you imagine sounds (including music), textures (by imagined touch), tastes or smells?

Do you dream normally, and in particular do you see visual images in dreams?

Is your ability to recall memorable events from the past, like holidays or celebrations, normal?

Do you think that your lack of a 'mind's eye' has had any other effect on your thought processes?

Did it affect your career choice?

Has it had an effect on your relationships (for example by making it more difficult to reminisce, or to imagine the faces of loved ones)?

Do you think that your lack of a mind's eye has had any particular advantages?

Do you have any relatives who have similarly noticed that they lack a mind's eye?

Please count the number of windows in your house or apartment. How do you do this? Do you inspect an image of your house or apartment as you perform this task?

Do you have any other comments?

Thank you very much for your help!

### 1.3 Vividness of visual imagery questionnaire sent to participants with response letter above

#### VIVIDNESS OF VISUAL IMAGERY QUESTIONNAIRE (VVIQ)

For each item on this questionnaire, try to form a visual image, and consider your experience carefully. For any image that you do experience, rate how vivid it is using the five-point scale described below. If you do not have a visual image, rate vividness as '1'. Only use '5' for images that are truly as lively and vivid as real seeing. Please note that there are no right or wrong answers to the questions, and that it is not necessarily desirable to experience imagery or, if you do, to have more vivid imagery.

Perfecting clear and lively as real seeing	5
Clear and lively	4
Moderately clear and lively	3
Dim and vague; flat	2
No image at all, you only "know" that you are thinking of the object	1

For items 1-4, think of some relative or friend whom you frequently see (but who is not with you at present) and consider carefully the picture that comes before your mind's eye.

1. The exact contour of face, head, shoulders and body \_\_\_\_\_
2. Characteristic poses of head, attitudes of body etc. \_\_\_\_\_
3. The precise carriage, length of step etc., in walking \_\_\_\_\_
4. The different colours worn in some familiar clothes \_\_\_\_\_

Visualise a rising sun. Consider carefully the picture that comes before your mind's eye.

5. The sun rising above the horizon into a hazy sky \_\_\_\_\_
6. The sky clears and surrounds the sun with blueness \_\_\_\_\_
7. Clouds. A storm blows up with flashes of lightning \_\_\_\_\_
8. A rainbow appears \_\_\_\_\_

Think of the front of a shop which you often go to. Consider the picture that comes before your mind's eye.

9. The overall appearance of the shop from the opposite side of the road \_\_\_\_\_
10. A window display including colours, shapes and details of individual items for sale \_\_\_\_\_
11. You are near the entrance. The colour, shape and details of the door. \_\_\_\_\_
12. You enter the shop and go to the counter. The counter Assistant serves you. Money changes hands \_\_\_\_\_

Finally think of a country scene which involves trees, mountains and a lake. Consider the picture that comes before your mind's eye.

13. The contours of the landscape \_\_\_\_\_
14. The colour and shape of the trees \_\_\_\_\_
15. the colour and shape of the lake \_\_\_\_\_
16. A strong wind blows on the trees and on the lake causing reflections in the water. \_\_\_\_\_

## **2.0 Control participants**

Control results for the VVIQ were obtained previously from two groups of healthy participants: 111 healthy Psychology undergraduates and 10 healthy middle-aged professional men (all surveyors or architects chosen to match the profession of our 2010 patient (Zeman et al., 2010)). We use their scores for comparison with those in our participants below.

## **3.0 Questionnaires**

We used the two questionnaires provided above. We note that we used a modified version of the VVIQ in which more vivid imagery is, intuitively, assigned a higher score. In the original version, higher scores were assigned to less vivid imagery (Marks, 1973). Scores on the 5-point scale can be straightforwardly converted between the two scoring systems by subtraction from 6.

## **4.0 Aphantasia Subgroups**

We distinguished two aphantasia subgroups based on participants' self-rating for vividness of imagery (VVIQ): i) a 'no imagery' group (N = 12) containing participants who responded 'no image at all' across all VVIQ tasks, resulting in the lowest possible VVIQ score of 16; ii) a 'minimal imagery' group (N = 9) containing participants with a VVIQ score greater than 16 (range = 17 – 30).

## **5.0 Statistical Methods**

We used IBM SPSS 21 to analyse our data. As VVIQ scores are ordinal, we applied the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test (two-tailed) (i) to compare the sample's VVIQ scores to those of the control samples, and (ii) to compare VVIQ scores in the two aphantasia subgroups. We applied one-way ANOVAs (two-tailed) to compare the two aphantasia subgroups' age and education, and the Fisher exact test (two-tailed) to compare their binary (Yes/No) questionnaire data. We used the McNemar test to compare, within-subjects, voluntary and involuntary imagery in the 'no imagery' aphantasia subgroup. Effect sizes were determined using  $r$  (.1 = small effect, .3 = medium effect, .5 =

large effect) for the Mann-Whitney U tests, Cohen's  $d$  (.2 = small effect, .5 = medium effect, .8 = large effect) for the one-way ANOVAs, and  $\phi$  (.1 = small effect, .3 = medium effect, .5 = large effect) for Fisher exact tests (Cohen, 1988).

## 6.0 Results

The aphantasia groups' descriptive data are shown in Table 1 (see end of document). The full aphantasia group's VVIQ scores (median = 16) differed significantly from those of the 111 psychology student controls (median = 58) ( $p < .001$ ,  $U = 0$ ,  $r = -.63$ ), from those of the 10 middle-aged male architects and surveyors (median = 65) ( $p < .001$ ,  $U = 0$ ,  $r = -.82$ ), and from those of the two control groups combined (median = 59) ( $p < .001$ ,  $U = 0$ ,  $r = -.61$ , see Figure 1). For comparison, in his meta-analysis McKelvie (1995) calculated a mean VVIQ of 59.2 (SD = 11.07) among 1869 participants. The equivalent VVIQ means for our controls (students = 58, middle-aged architects and surveyors = 66, two groups combined = 57.92) are similar to this mean VVIQ score.

The two aphantasia subgroups' data are also shown in Table 1 (at end). The two subgroups did not differ significantly in age (no imagery group: mean = 39.92, SD = 14.03; minimal imagery group: mean = 44.25, SD = 15.73;  $F(1, 18) = .416$ ,  $p = .527$ , Cohen's  $d = -.29$ ) or in years of education (no imagery group: mean = 16.83, SD = 4.13; minimal imagery group: mean = 15.88, SD = 2.17;  $F(1, 18) = .360$ ,  $p = .556$ , Cohen's  $d = .29$ ). VVIQ scores were significantly higher in the 'minimal imagery' group (median = 26) than in the 'no imagery' group (median = 16) ( $p < .001$ ,  $U = 0$ ,  $r = .93$ ). Participants in the 'no imagery' group were more likely than those in the 'minimal imagery' group to report a total lack of wakeful visual imagery in our questionnaire (6/12 vs 0/9,  $p < .05$ ,  $\phi = -.55$ , Fisher's exact test). More participants in the 'minimal imagery' (4/9) than 'no imagery' (0/12) group reported that their visual imagery was affected by eye closure ( $p < .05$ ,  $\phi = .56$ , Fisher's exact test). No further subgroup comparisons were significant (all  $p > .153$ ). In order to explore the contrast between voluntary imagery and involuntary imagery we compared, within the 'no imagery' subgroup, the number of participants reporting voluntary imagery (in VVIQ) and involuntary imagery

(in questionnaire). One participant did not answer the question regarding visual imagery during dreams, resulting in a sample  $n$  of 11. Whereas none of the 'no imagery' participants (0/11) were able to summon up visual images in the VVIQ test (voluntary imagery), almost all of them (10/11) reported involuntary imagery during wakefulness and/or dreams, and this dissociation was significant ( $p < .01$ , McNemar test).

## **7.0 Acknowledgements**

Acknowledgements: we are very grateful to the participants in this study for contacting us and providing the information summarised here; we thank Dr David Mitchell for his linguistic advice; we thank Professors John Hodges, Claus-Christian Carbon, Christian Bocti and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments. MD was funded by a Personal Research Fellowship from the Royal Society of Edinburgh and Lloyds/TSB Foundation for Scotland (grant number 29400 R41225).

**Table 1 Demographic features and questionnaire results in participants with aphantasia**

Measure	Full sample (n=21)	'No imagery' (n=12)	'Minimal imagery' (n=9)
	<b>Sample means (SD)</b>		
Age	41.65 (14.49)	39.92 (14.03)	44.25 (15.73)
Education	16.45 (3.44)	16.83 (4.13)	15.88 (2.17)
Age aware	29.5 (15.57)	26.91 (11.37)	32.67 (19.84)
	<b>Sample medians</b>		
VVIQ	16 (mean = 19.9)	16 (mean = 16)	26 (mean = 25.11)
	<b>Sample frequencies</b>		
Sex ratio (M:F)	19:2	11:1	8:1
Trigger event	18 (85.7%)	10 (83%)	8 (88.9%)
Emotional impact	8 (38.1%)	6 (50%)	2 (22.2%)
Total lack of imagery	6 (28.6%)	6 (50%)	0
Flashes of imagery	10 (47.6%)	5 (41.7%)	5 (55.6%)
Effect of eye closure	4 (19%)	0	4 (44.4%)
All senses affected	10 (47.6%)	7 (58.3%)	3 (33.3%)
Visual images in dreams	17 (81%)	10 (83.3%)	7 (77.8%)
Normal autobiographical memory	7 (33.3%)	4 (33.3%)	3 (33.3%)
Effect on cognition	13 (61.9%)	8 (66.7%)	5 (55.6%)
Effect on career choice	5 (23.8%)	2 (16.7%)	3 (33.3%)
Effect on relationships	7 (33.3%)	3 (25%)	4 (44.4%)
Advantages of imagery deficit	14 (66.7%)	9 (75%)	5 (55.6%)
Relatives affected	5 (23.8%)	1 (8.3%)	4 (44.4%)
Window counting - inspect image	2 (9.5%)	0	2 (16.7%)

## Reference List

Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Sciences*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Marks, D.F. (1973). Visual imagery differences in the recall of pictures. *British Journal of Psychology*, *64*, 17-24.

Zeman, A. Z., Della Sala, S., Torrens, L. A., Gountouna, V. E., McGonigle, D. J., & Logie, R. H. (2010). Loss of imagery phenomenology with intact visuo-spatial task performance: a case of 'blind imagination'. *Neuropsychologia*, *48*, 145-155.