

1 **Evolution of alternative biosynthetic pathways for vitamin C following plastid**
2 **acquisition in photosynthetic eukaryotes**

3

4 Glen Wheeler¹ *, Takahiro Ishikawa², Varissa Pornsaksit³, Nicholas Smirnoff³ *

5

6

7 ¹ Marine Biological Association, Plymouth, UK, PL1 2PB

8 ² Department of Life Science and Biotechnology, Shimane University, Shimane 690-8504,
9 Japan.

10 ³ Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, EX4 4QD

11

12 *correspondence to:

13 glw@mba.ac.uk

14 n.smirnoff@exeter.ac.uk

15

16

17 Short title: Evolution of vitamin C biosynthesis

18 **Abstract:**

19 Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) is an enzyme co-factor in eukaryotes that also plays a critical role
20 in protecting photosynthetic eukaryotes against damaging reactive oxygen species derived
21 from the chloroplast. Many animal lineages, including primates, have become ascorbate
22 auxotrophs due to the loss of the terminal enzyme in their biosynthetic pathway, L-
23 gulonolactone oxidase (GULO). The alternative pathways found in land plants and *Euglena*
24 use a different terminal enzyme, L-galactonolactone dehydrogenase (GLDH). The
25 evolutionary processes leading to these differing pathways and their contribution to the
26 cellular roles of ascorbate remain unclear. Here we present molecular and biochemical
27 evidence demonstrating that GULO was functionally replaced with GLDH in photosynthetic
28 eukaryote lineages following plastid acquisition. GULO has therefore been lost repeatedly
29 throughout eukaryote evolution. The formation of the alternative biosynthetic pathways in
30 photosynthetic eukaryotes uncoupled ascorbate synthesis from hydrogen peroxide production
31 and likely contributed to the rise of ascorbate as a major photoprotective antioxidant.

32 **Introduction:**

33 Ascorbate (vitamin C) plays an essential role in eukaryotes as an enzyme co-factor in
34 hydroxylation reactions, contributing to diverse processes such as the synthesis of collagen
35 and the demethylation of histones and nucleic acids ^{1,2}. Ascorbate also plays an antioxidant
36 role in eukaryotes to help protect against reactive oxygen species (ROS) derived from
37 metabolic activity. The majority of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) generated in some organelles is
38 likely reduced by other antioxidant systems, such as the peroxiredoxins and glutathione
39 peroxidases in the mitochondria, and catalases in the peroxisome ^{3,4}. However, ascorbate
40 plays a role in protecting photosynthetic cells against ROS derived from the chloroplast ⁵.
41 Ascorbate peroxidase (APX), which is found in both the cytosol and the chloroplast of
42 photosynthetic eukaryotes, is central to this photoprotective role. Thylakoid- and stroma-
43 localised APX removes H₂O₂ produced by photosystem I through the activity of the
44 ascorbate-glutathione cycle and this process may account for 10% of photosynthetic electron
45 transport flow. Ascorbate also plays a critical role in preventing lipid peroxidation in the
46 thylakoid membranes and acts as a co-factor for violaxanthin de-epoxidase in the xanthophyll
47 cycle. In addition, ascorbate in the thylakoid lumen may prevent photoinhibition in high light
48 by directly donating electrons to the photosynthetic electron transport chain ⁵. These roles
49 have been demonstrated in a range of ascorbate deficient plants that display sensitivity to
50 high light and to oxidants⁵.

51 Photosynthetic eukaryotes arose following the endosymbiotic acquisition of a cyanobacterial
52 ancestor by a non-photosynthetic eukaryote in the Archaeplastida (Plantae) lineage ⁶. Several
53 other eukaryote lineages, including the diatoms, haptophytes and euglenids, subsequently
54 gained plastids through a secondary endosymbiosis with either a red or green alga. These
55 plastid endosymbioses were accompanied by lateral gene transfer on a massive scale from the
56 symbiont to the host nuclear genome (known as endosymbiotic gene transfer or EGT), giving
57 rise to the complex physiologies of photosynthetic eukaryotes (ref Timmis 2004). The
58 plastids in the major photosynthetic eukaryote lineages are all ultimately derived from the
59 primary endosymbiosis. Whilst acquisition of a photosynthetic endosymbiont may have been
60 beneficial to the host cell in many ways, the plastid is also a major source of potentially
61 damaging ROS ⁷. There is evidence for extensive leakage of H₂O₂ out of plastids *via*
62 aquaporins, particularly at high light intensities ^{8,9}. Plastid acquisition is therefore associated
63 with a greatly increased requirement for cellular antioxidant systems to prevent photodamage.
64 Cyanobacteria do not possess APX or any of the known enzymes for ascorbate biosynthesis

65 and minimise photo-oxidative stress using alternative mechanisms, such as peroxiredoxins,
66 catalases and glutathione peroxidases ^{10,11}. This suggests that ascorbate was most likely
67 recruited to its photoprotective role after the acquisition of the plastid in ancestral
68 Archaeplastida ¹¹, although the evolutionary origins of ascorbate biosynthesis are unclear.

69 There is no clear evidence for ascorbate biosynthesis in prokaryotes (see Materials and
70 Methods), suggesting that the ability to synthesise ascorbate evolved in eukaryotes. The three
71 eukaryote lineages in which ascorbate biosynthesis has been examined extensively (animals,
72 plants and *Euglena*) all exhibit different biosynthetic pathways (Fig. 1). These pathways may
73 have arisen due to convergent evolution, or may represent modifications of an ancestral
74 pathway. An understanding of these evolutionary relationships will provide insight into the
75 cellular roles of ascorbate in eukaryotes, particularly in relation to plastid acquisition in the
76 photosynthetic lineages.

77 Animals synthesise ascorbate *via* D-glucuronic acid and L-gulonolactone (L-GulL), with L-
78 gulonolactone oxidase (GULO) catalysing the oxidation of L-GulL to ascorbate. Many
79 animal lineages have lost the ability to synthesise ascorbate, including haplorhine primates,
80 guinea pigs, teleost fish, some bats and passerine birds. In all of the animal ascorbate
81 auxotrophs that have been examined, the gene encoding GULO is lost ¹²⁻¹⁵. GULO uses
82 molecular O₂ as its electron acceptor, resulting in H₂O₂ production, which may have
83 contributed to selective pressure to lose this enzyme in some animals ^{2,16}. The inability of
84 many invertebrates to synthesise ascorbate led to early speculation that ascorbate synthesis
85 may have evolved later in metazoan evolution ¹⁷. However, whilst the loss of *GULO* in
86 vertebrate lineages has been extensively examined ¹⁸, little is known about its distribution in
87 invertebrates or the non-metazoan members of the holozoa. This information is required to
88 identify the selective pressures underlying the evolution of ascorbate auxotrophy in animals.

89 Two alternative routes to ascorbate biosynthesis have been identified in photosynthetic
90 eukaryotes, which both employ L-galactonolactone dehydrogenase (GLDH) as the terminal
91 enzyme instead of GULO. A pathway *via* D-galacturonic acid and L-galactonolactone (L-
92 GalL) was identified in *Euglena* ¹⁹. This pathway is analogous to the animal pathway and also
93 appears to be functional in some stramenopile algae ^{20,21}. In contrast, ascorbate biosynthesis
94 in land plants was found to occur *via* a different route using D-mannose and L-galactose ²².
95 Green algae also use the 'plant pathway' ^{23,24}, but evidence is lacking for the nature of

96 ascorbate biosynthesis in many other evolutionarily important lineages, most notably the
97 rhodophytes (red algae).

98 This paper focuses on the distribution of the three major pathways of ascorbate biosynthesis
99 described above. Alternative routes of ascorbate biosynthesis have been described in
100 trypanosomes and also in the fungi, which synthesise a range of ascorbate analogues (see
101 Materials and Methods)^{25,26}. There is some evidence for the operation of alternative routes to
102 ascorbate in land plants²⁷⁻²⁹, although molecular genetic evidence from *Arabidopsis* indicates
103 that the D-mannose/L-galactose pathway is the primary route of ascorbate biosynthesis (see
104 Materials and Methods)^{30,31}.

105 The three major pathways of ascorbate biosynthesis therefore all utilise different routes to
106 synthesise an aldonolactone precursor (L-gulonolactone, L-GulL or L-galactonolactone, L-
107 GalL), which is converted to ascorbate by either GULO (animal pathway) or GLDH (plant
108 and euglenid pathways)^{19,22,25}. GULO and GLDH exhibit significant sequence similarity and
109 are both members of the vanillyl alcohol oxidase (VAO) family of flavoproteins³². These
110 similar enzymes exhibit important biochemical differences. GULO can oxidise L-GulL and L-
111 GalL, whereas GLDH is highly specific for L-GalL³³. GULO localises to the lumen of the
112 endoplasmic reticulum (ER), whereas GLDH is associated with complex I in the
113 mitochondrial electron transport chain³⁴. Importantly, GLDH does not generate H₂O₂, as it
114 uses cytochrome *c* rather than O₂ as an electron acceptor.

115 Despite the importance of ascorbate in eukaryote physiology, it is not known how the
116 different pathways of ascorbate biosynthesis arose in animals, plants and algae or relate to its
117 differing cellular roles. This manuscript examines the origins of ascorbate biosynthesis in
118 eukaryotes and seeks to address the following important gaps in our current knowledge: 1)
119 what is the wider distribution of *GULO* loss and ascorbate auxotrophy in the metazoa? 2) do
120 all photosynthetic eukaryotes use an alternative terminal enzyme to animals? 3) why do two
121 different pathways using GLDH exist in photosynthetic eukaryotes? 4) which pathway is
122 used in the rhodophytes? Using a combination of molecular and biochemical analyses, we
123 present evidence that *GULO* is an ancestral gene in eukaryotes that has been functionally
124 replaced by *GLDH* in the photosynthetic lineages, resulting in the development of their
125 alternative biosynthetic pathways.

126 **Results:**

127 *Distribution of GULO and GLDH in eukaryote genomes*

128 To examine the origins of ascorbate biosynthesis in eukaryotes we analysed the distribution
129 of *GULO* and *GLDH* in eukaryote genomes. We found that *GULO* and *GLDH* have a
130 mutually exclusive distribution (Fig 2). *GULO* is absent from many metazoan genomes,
131 including all insects, supporting earlier biochemical evidence that insects are predominately
132 ascorbate auxotrophs (Supplementary File 1) ^{17,35}. However, *GULO* is present in basally
133 derived metazoans, including sponges and cnidarians, and is also present in a filasterean
134 (*Capsaspora owczarzaki*) and in fungi (Supplementary File 1). This suggests that ascorbate
135 synthesis *via* *GULO* is an ancestral trait in the Opisthokonta that has been lost in many
136 lineages.

137 *GULO* is also present the Apusomonadida (*Thecamonas trahens*), a sister group to the
138 Opisthokonts, and in members of the Amoebozoa and Excavata. Surprisingly, we also found
139 *GULO* in basally derived Archaeplastida including the glaucophyte, *Cyanophora paradoxa*,
140 and the rhodophytes *Galdieria sulphuraria* and *Galdieria phlegrea*. The glaucophytes occupy
141 a key position in the evolution of photosynthetic eukaryotes as they diverged from the other
142 Archaeplastida before the split of the red and green algal lineages and have highly unusual
143 chloroplasts (termed cyanelles) that retain several features of the cyanobacterial
144 endosymbiont ³⁶. *GULO* is absent from all other Archaeplastida genomes, although an
145 enzyme family exhibiting weak similarity to *GULO* has been reported in *Arabidopsis* ³⁷.
146 However, this enzyme forms a distinct phylogenetic clade from all other *GULO* and *GLDH*
147 sequences and its role in *de novo* ascorbate biosynthesis remains unclear.

148 *GLDH* was found in all Archaeplastida genomes, except for *Cyanophora* and *Galdieria*, and
149 in all photosynthetic lineages that have acquired a plastid *via* secondary endosymbiosis
150 (including stramenopiles, cryptophytes, haptophytes, chlorarachniophytes and euglenids).
151 *GLDH* was present in several non-photosynthetic organisms including the oomycetes, the
152 foraminifera and in the choanoflagellates, *Monosiga brevicollis* and *Salpingoeca rosetta*. The
153 evolutionary history of algal plastids acquired by secondary endosymbiosis remains uncertain
154 and there is some evidence that non-photosynthetic stramenopile (e.g. oomycetes) and
155 rhizarian (e.g. foraminifera) lineages may have once acquired a plastid that was subsequently
156 lost ^{6,38,39}.

157 Further identification of *GLDH* or *GULO* in the transcriptomes of 165 eukaryotes within the
158 Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome dataset⁴⁰ confirmed that *GLDH* was found
159 primarily in photosynthetic organisms (Supplementary File 2), but also in the non-
160 photosynthetic stramenopiles such as oomycetes, biocosoecids and labyrinthulids and in an
161 acanthoecid choanoflagellate. The presence of *GULO* was restricted to non-photosynthetic
162 organisms, including the heterotrophic flagellate *Palpitomonas bilix*, which is a non-
163 photosynthetic relative of the Cryptophyte algae⁴¹. The exception was the presence of *GULO*
164 in the chromerids, *Chromera velia* and *Vitrella brassicaformis*, which are photosynthetic
165 relatives of the Apicomplexa⁴².

166 Further searches of Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) and Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly
167 (TSA) databases identified a *GULO* sequence in the green alga, *Chlorokybus atmophyticus*
168 (JO192417.1)^{43,44}. *Chlorokybus* represents a basal lineage in the charophyte algae, which are
169 a sister group to the land plants (Figure 2: figure supplement 1). *GLDH* was identified in the
170 other charophytes *Klebsormidium flaccidum*, *Nitella mirabilis* and *Nitella hyalina*
171 (JO285109.1, JV744884.1, JO253095.1). These searches also revealed the presence of *GULO*
172 in the craspedid choanoflagellate, *Monosiga ovata* (DC478225.1). The Craspedida subgroup
173 of the choanoflagellates is divided into two major clades; clade I contains *M. brevicollis* and
174 *S. rosetta* (which both possess *GLDH*) and clade II contains *M. ovata*⁴⁵.

175 We conclude that all photosynthetic eukaryotes use *GLDH* rather than *GULO* as the terminal
176 enzyme in ascorbate biosynthesis. The exceptions are the basally derived Archaeplastida
177 (*Cyanophora*, *Galdieria* and *Chlorokybus*) and the chromerids (Figure 2: figure supplement
178 2). No photosynthetic organisms were found to lack both *GULO* and *GLDH*, although both
179 genes were absent in many non-photosynthetic organisms, including all insects, *Daphnia*,
180 *Paramecium* and *Dictyostelium*. The genomes of many parasitic groups also appear to lack
181 both enzymes including the diplomonads, parabasalids and apicomplexa (e.g. *Giardia*
182 *intestinalis*, *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Plasmodium falciparum*). Since all documented
183 pathways of ascorbate biosynthesis require either *GULO* or *GLDH*, organisms lacking both
184 of these enzymes are likely to be ascorbate auxotrophs¹⁷.

185 ***Phylogenetic analyses of GULO and GLDH***

186 A maximum likelihood tree of *GULO* and *GLDH* sequences was produced using the other
187 members of the VAO family as an outgroup to root the tree. *GLDH* is highly conserved and
188 the phylogenetic analyses strongly support a monophyletic origin for all *GLDH* sequences

189 (100% bootstrap support, posterior probability =1) (Fig. 3). The monophyly of eukaryote
190 *GULO* sequences is well supported (85% bootstrap support, posterior probability =1). This
191 clade includes trypanosome L-GalL oxidase and ascomycete D-arabinonolactone oxidase^{26,46},
192 indicating that although these enzymes exhibit altered substrate specificity they should be
193 considered within the *GULO* clade for our evolutionary analyses. There is also moderate
194 support for the monophyly of *GULO* and *GLDH* as a clade within the VAO family,
195 supporting hypotheses that these enzymes may have originated from a gene duplication
196 event. GLDH is highly unusual amongst the VAO flavoprotein family in that it does not use
197 O₂ as an electron acceptor, but mutation of a single highly conserved alanine residue in
198 GLDH is required to convert it from a dehydrogenase to an oxidase⁴⁷. The phylogenies
199 within the *GULO* clade and the *GLDH* clade are poorly resolved, and so the trees do not
200 provide evidence on the likelihood of lateral gene transfer, such as endosymbiotic gene
201 transfer (EGT) or horizontal gene transfer (HGT), of either gene. Further phylogenetic
202 analyses, individually examining each gene using unrooted trees with much greater
203 taxonomic sampling, were unable to provide greater resolution (Figure 3: figure supplement
204 1).

205 ***Distribution of GLDH-dependent pathways in photosynthetic organisms***

206 Many of the enzymes preceding *GULO* or *GLDH* in the animal and euglenid pathways play
207 other roles within the cell, e.g. in uronic acid metabolism or providing pentose intermediates
208⁴⁸. The presence or absence of these genes is therefore not solely related to ascorbate
209 biosynthesis. However, the plant pathway of ascorbate biosynthesis contains a number of
210 dedicated enzyme steps, allowing a much clearer examination of its distribution. This also
211 enables a distinction to be made between the plant- and euglenid-type pathways, as both
212 utilise *GLDH* as the terminal enzyme. Plants and green algae use GDP-L-galactose
213 phosphorylase (*VTC2*) and L-galactose dehydrogenase to generate L-GalL^{23,31,49-51}, whereas
214 euglenids use D-galacturonate reductase (Fig. 1)⁵². We found that L-galactose dehydrogenase
215 is present in all rhodophytes and Viridiplantae, except the prasinophytes *Ostreococcus* and
216 *Micromonas* (see Materials and Methods). Sequences exhibiting similarity to L-galactose
217 dehydrogenase were also found in the diatoms and in some metazoa, but as some of these
218 species are ascorbate auxotrophs, it appears that this enzyme may play alternative metabolic
219 roles. *VTC2* is found exclusively in the Viridiplantae (including *Chlorokybus*), indicating that
220 the definitive ‘plant’ pathway is restricted to this lineage (Fig. 2; Supplementary Files 3-4).
221 Biochemical evidence from euglenids and stramenopiles¹⁹⁻²¹ suggests that organisms that

222 lack *VTC2* but possess *GLDH* are likely to operate a 'euglenid' pathway, with D-galacturonic
223 acid acting as the precursor of L-GalL. However, it is not clear whether this is also the case in
224 the rhodophytes. All rhodophytes possess a sequence that is highly similar to characterised L-
225 galactose dehydrogenases from plants and bacteria^{49,53} and L-galactose residues are a major
226 constituent of red algal polysaccharides⁵⁴. We therefore examined whether rhodophytes
227 could synthesise ascorbate *via* a modified 'plant' pathway using an alternative route to L-
228 galactose.

229 ***Biochemical analysis of pathways in rhodophytes***

230 We used the macroalga, *Porphyra umbilicalis*, to examine whether rhodophytes can utilise L-
231 galactose in ascorbate synthesis. We detected NAD⁺-dependent L-galactose dehydrogenase
232 activity in *Porphyra* thallus extracts (Fig. 4A). Feeding 10 mM L-galactose to *Porphyra*
233 thallus slices increased the concentration of ascorbate (detected as dehydroascorbate by GC-
234 MS) (Fig. 4B). As red algae synthesise GDP-L-galactose from GDP-D-mannose⁵⁵,
235 rhodophytes likely use a modified 'plant pathway' to synthesise ascorbate, employing an
236 unidentified enzyme activity to generate L-galactose from GDP-L-galactose instead of *VTC2*.

237 We then examined ascorbate biosynthesis in *Galdieria*, which differs from all other
238 rhodophytes (Supplementary File 4) in that it possesses *GULO* rather than *GLDH*. L-
239 galactose, L-GalL and L-GulL were all effective precursors of ascorbate in *G. sulphuraria*
240 (Fig 4C), suggesting that L-galactose is converted to L-GalL, which may then be converted to
241 ascorbate by *GULO*. A positional isotopic labelling approach indicated that label from D-[1-
242 ¹³C]-glucose was incorporated primarily into carbon 1 (C1) of ascorbate (Fig. 4D, Figure 4:
243 figure supplement 1). This labelling pattern is expected for the plant pathway, while the
244 reduction of a uronic acid intermediate in the animal or euglenid pathways would result in the
245 transfer of label from C1 of glucose into C6 of ascorbate/dehydroascorbate²⁵. *G. sulphuraria*
246 therefore uses a similar pathway to other rhodophytes, employing *GULO* instead of *GLDH*.

247 In combination, these data identify a clear difference between the Archaeplastida and the
248 photosynthetic lineages that have acquired a plastid *via* secondary endosymbiosis. Whilst
249 both groups use *GLDH* as the terminal enzyme for ascorbate synthesis, they differ in the
250 route to L-GalL, The Archaeplastida generate L-GalL via L-galactose (without inversion of
251 the carbon chain of glucose), whereas photosynthetic eukaryotes with secondary plastids
252 synthesise L-GalL via D-galacturonate, resulting in inversion of the carbon chain.

253 ***Distribution of ascorbate-dependent antioxidant systems***

254 We have found that nearly all photosynthetic eukaryotes use GLDH to synthesise ascorbate,
255 suggesting that this distribution may be linked to the photoprotective role of ascorbate. We
256 therefore determined the distribution of ascorbate-dependent antioxidant mechanisms in
257 eukaryote genomes. Three main isoforms of APX are found in eukaryotes (APX, APX-R and
258 APX-CCX, a hybrid enzyme containing both ascorbate and cytochrome *c* peroxidase
259 domains)^{10,56,57}. APX and APX-R are found in nearly all photosynthetic eukaryotes and in
260 the choanoflagellates, *M. brevicollis* and *S. rosetta*. *Euglena* and *Emiliana* do not possess
261 APX or APX-R, although both possess APX-CCX, which is also found in some fungi and in
262 *Capsaspora* (Fig. 5). The only photosynthetic eukaryote in this analysis that lacks any
263 isoform of APX was *Cyanophora paradoxa*. *Cyanophora* also lacks all of the remaining
264 enzymes of the plant ascorbate-glutathione cycle: monodehydroascorbate reductase
265 (MDHAR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR) and glutathione reductase (GR). The
266 ascorbate-dependent xanthophyll cycle is not present in glaucophytes and so *Cyanophora*
267 does not require ascorbate for non-photochemical quenching (Fig. 5). Moreover, the cellular
268 concentration of ascorbate in *Cyanophora* is either very low or absent, as we could not detect
269 ascorbate in *Cyanophora* extracts using GC-MS (data not shown). It is possible that ascorbate
270 analogues are present that we could not identify. However, in combination with the lack of
271 the plant ascorbate-glutathione cycle and the xanthophyll cycle, we conclude that
272 *Cyanophora* is unlikely to rely on ascorbate to detoxify peroxides derived from
273 photosynthesis. *Cyanophora* does however contain several glutathione peroxidases,
274 peroxiredoxins and catalase, as well as a unique peroxidase (symerythrin) similar to
275 rubrerythrin of prokaryotes⁵⁸. These data suggest that glaucophytes rely on alternative
276 mechanisms to detoxify peroxides derived from photosynthesis. As cyanobacteria also do not
277 appear to use ascorbate for photoprotection^{59,60}, the photoprotective role of ascorbate may
278 therefore have emerged in the Archaeplastida after the divergence of the glaucophytes.

279

280 **Discussion**

281 *Distribution of pathways in eukaryotes*

282 Ascorbate (vitamin C) is a very familiar metabolite to humans, so it is perhaps surprising that
283 so many aspects of its biosynthesis and metabolism remain uncharacterised. The biosynthetic
284 pathway of ascorbate in plants, which supplies the vast majority of ascorbate in the human
285 diet, remained elusive for many years²² and the major role of ascorbate in DNA
286 demethylation emerged only very recently¹. In order to better understand the cellular roles of
287 ascorbate, we have examined the distribution of the three major pathways of ascorbate
288 biosynthesis in eukaryotes. We identify that the Opisthokonts (animals and fungi), the
289 Amoebozoa and the non-photosynthetic representatives of the Excavata and CCTH
290 (Hacrobia) use *GULO* for ascorbate biosynthesis. In contrast, the photosynthetic organisms in
291 the Archaeplastida, CCTH (Hacrobia), SAR and the photosynthetic members of the Excavata
292 (euglenids) use *GLDH*. In these photosynthetic organisms, the combination of molecular and
293 biochemical evidence suggests that the non-inversion pathway *via* L-galactose (plant
294 pathway) is restricted to Archaeplastida, whereas the inversion pathway *via* D-galacturonate
295 (euglenid pathway) is used by photosynthetic eukaryotes that acquired plastids *via* secondary
296 endosymbiosis. The important exceptions to these trends are: firstly, that *GLDH* is found in
297 several non-photosynthetic organisms, notably in some choanoflagellates (Opisthokonts) and
298 stramenopiles and secondly, that *GULO* is found in several basally derived members of the
299 Archaeplastida.

300 *The evolutionary origins of GULO and GLDH*

301 The processes underlying the distribution of the different terminal enzymes are therefore
302 central to our understanding of the evolution of ascorbate biosynthesis. The mutually
303 exclusive distribution of two highly conserved and functionally similar genes in eukaryotes
304 may be explained by either of two evolutionary scenarios: an ancient gene duplication in the
305 last common eukaryote ancestor (ancient paralogy) followed by differential loss of either
306 gene, or lateral gene transfer of a novel gene followed by functional replacement of the
307 ancestral gene⁶¹. It is likely that one of these evolutionary scenarios underlies the distribution
308 of *GULO* and *GLDH* amongst eukaryotes (Fig 6).

309 The model of ancient paralogy requires that both genes were present in the last common
310 eukaryote ancestor, where they both presumably contributed to ascorbate biosynthesis, and

311 were then differentially lost by every eukaryote lineage. This requires that these two
312 functionally similar enzymes co-existed in multiple lineages throughout eukaryote evolution
313 without significant functional divergence. The distribution of *GULO* and *GLDH* in the
314 Archaeplastida and in the choanoflagellates suggests that these enzymes may have coexisted
315 for a time in these lineages (Figure 2: figure supplement 1). However, there are no clear
316 examples of extant eukaryotes that possess both enzymes, which would be expected if they
317 have co-existed extensively throughout eukaryote evolution. The ancient paralogy model also
318 requires extensive loss of both *GULO* and *GLDH*. There is clear evidence for multiple
319 independent losses of *GULO* in animals and indications that *GULO* activity may be
320 deleterious under certain conditions, providing a potential selective pressure for gene loss
321 ^{16,62}. Similar evidence for the loss of *GLDH* in eukaryotes is lacking. In addition, *Arabidopsis*
322 mutants that lack *GLDH* cannot correctly assemble mitochondrial complex I ⁶³, suggesting
323 that loss of *GLDH* is likely to have many wider impacts on metabolism.

324 The broad distribution of *GULO* supports an ancient evolutionary origin for this gene. It is
325 present in all of the eukaryote supergroups, including basally-derived lineages within the
326 CCTH (Hacrobia) and Archaeplastida and also in the Apusomonads. Although *GLDH* is also
327 present in most of the eukaryote supergroups (except the Amoebozoa), its distribution is
328 primarily restricted to lineages that have acquired a plastid or to isolated lineages (e.g.
329 choanoflagellates). Whilst we cannot discount an ancient origin for *GLDH* in the last
330 common eukaryote ancestor, its distribution may also be reasonably explained by the lateral
331 gene transfer model.

332 In the lateral gene transfer scenario, either *GULO* or *GLDH* could represent a novel gene that
333 arose in a specific lineage. However, the distribution of *GULO* cannot be reasonably
334 explained by lateral gene transfer, as this requires horizontal gene transfer (HGT) on a
335 massive scale specifically into non-photosynthetic eukaryotes. In contrast, the distribution of
336 *GLDH* can be largely explained by endosymbiotic gene transfer (EGT) during plastid
337 acquisition. *GLDH* may have arisen specifically in ancestral Archaeplastida after the
338 divergence of the glaucophytes and functionally replaced the ancestral gene (*GULO*). *GLDH*
339 could then have been transferred to the other photosynthetic lineages *via* EGT, resulting in
340 the replacement of *GULO* in lineages that acquired their plastids *via* secondary
341 endosymbiosis (Fig. 7). The presence of *GLDH* in some non-photosynthetic eukaryotes may
342 be explained by the evolutionary acquisition of a plastid that was subsequently lost. For
343 example, there is some evidence to support plastid loss in non-photosynthetic stramenopiles,

344 although the number and timing of plastid acquisition events *via* secondary endosymbiosis
345 remains a subject of significant debate⁶. The choanoflagellates have not acquired a plastid at
346 any stage, but there is evidence for large scale horizontal gene transfer (HGT) from algae into
347 this lineage, including the HGT of APX⁶⁴. Choanoflagellates may therefore have acquired
348 *GLDH* *via* HGT along with these other algal genes. EGT of *GLDH* is a more parsimonious
349 scenario than ancient paralogy, as it requires fewer independent loss events. However, the
350 poor resolution of the phylogenies within the *GLDH* clade means that direct evidence for
351 either EGT or HGT between lineages is lacking.

352 *Evolution of alternative pathways*

353 Both the ancient paralogy and EGT evolutionary scenarios are plausible in the wider context
354 of ascorbate biosynthesis. However, the EGT scenario provides a clear rationale to explain
355 why photosynthetic eukaryotes with primary plastids exhibit a different pathway from those
356 with secondary plastids. Our biochemical evidence suggests that ancestral Archaeplastida
357 developed a non-inversion pathway *via* L-galactose that employed the broad specificity of
358 GULO to oxidise L-GalL. The development of *GLDH* in ancestral Archaeplastida would have
359 led to the eventual replacement of *GULO* in all red and green algal lineages, except *Galdieria*
360 and *Chlorokybus*, resulting in the non-inversion plant-type pathway found in extant
361 Archaeplastida. In the photosynthetic eukaryotes with secondary plastids, it is likely that the
362 host initially synthesised ascorbate via an animal-type pathway (involving inversion of chain
363 and GULO) and that the red or green algal symbiont used a plant-type pathway (involving
364 non-inversion of the carbon chain and *GLDH*). However, neither pathway appears to operate
365 in photosynthetic eukaryotes with secondary plastids, which instead use a euglenid-type
366 pathway. We propose that EGT of *GLDH* from the symbiont could have resulted in
367 functional replacement of *GULO* in the animal-type pathway of the host, leading to a hybrid
368 biosynthetic pathway that employed D-galacturonate rather than D-glucuronate as an
369 intermediate in order to provide L-GalL as a substrate for *GLDH*. The hybrid pathway
370 therefore involves inversion of the carbon chain of D-glucose and *GLDH*. The generation of a
371 hybrid pathway suggests that photosynthetic eukaryotes with secondary plastids only
372 acquired *GLDH* by EGT rather than the entire plant pathway.

373 In conclusion, the distribution of *GULO* and *GLDH* in eukaryotes may be explained by either
374 of two evolutionary models; ancient paralogy followed by differential gene loss or EGT of
375 *GLDH* followed by *GULO* loss. We favour the EGT scenario as the most parsimonious and

376 the most consistent with the biochemical evidence, but we cannot rule out either scenario
377 based on the current evidence. Therefore our evolutionary analyses do not allow us to
378 definitively identify the origin of *GLDH*. However, they do enable clear conclusions to be
379 made on the loss of *GULO*. Both evolutionary models support *GULO* as an ancestral gene in
380 the last common eukaryote ancestor, indicating that *GULO* has been lost in almost all
381 photosynthetic eukaryotes. Therefore, we can conclude that photosynthetic eukaryotes
382 encountered strong selective pressure to replace the function of *GULO* in ascorbate
383 biosynthesis.

384 *Selective pressures underlying evolution of ascorbate biosynthesis*

385 The critical role of ascorbate in photoprotection has been demonstrated in a diversity of
386 photosynthetic eukaryotes, including land plants, green algae, diatoms and euglenids^{24,65-67}.
387 Our analyses indicate that many photosynthetic eukaryotes possessed *GULO* prior to plastid
388 acquisition, whereas almost all extant photosynthetic lineages use *GLDH* to synthesise
389 ascorbate. The selective pressure to replace *GULO* in ascorbate biosynthesis following
390 plastid acquisition could therefore be linked to the photoprotective role of ascorbate. One
391 intriguing possibility is that the production of H_2O_2 by *GULO* may have limited the ability of
392 the host cell to protect itself against ROS derived from the chloroplast.

393 Ancestral eukaryotes developed multiple antioxidant mechanisms to protect themselves from
394 ROS derived from organelles such as the peroxisome and the mitochondria. However, the
395 acquisition of a photosynthetic cyanobacterial endosymbiont in the Archaeplastida would
396 have resulted in a greatly increased requirement for cellular antioxidants to protect the host
397 cell from H_2O_2 secreted by the plastid. Ascorbate, synthesised in the host cell but not in the
398 cyanobacterial endosymbiont, appears to have been recruited to this role after the divergence
399 of the glaucophytes. The recruitment of ascorbate as a major cellular antioxidant in
400 photosynthetic eukaryotes may have led to an increased requirement for ascorbate
401 biosynthesis. However, ascorbate biosynthesis via *GULO* results in the production of H_2O_2 in
402 the ER lumen. In mammalian cells, this results in a damaging depletion and oxidation of the
403 glutathione pool when ascorbate synthesis is increased by feeding L-Gull^{16,68}. Ancestral
404 photosynthetic eukaryotes may have been unable to balance their increasing requirements for
405 ascorbate biosynthesis with maintenance of the redox status within the ER, providing
406 selective pressure to uncouple ascorbate biosynthesis from H_2O_2 production.

407 This hypothesis is consistent with the presence of *GULO* rather than *GLDH* in the
408 glaucophytes. As glaucophytes do not appear to use ascorbate for photoprotection, ascorbate
409 biosynthesis would not have been subjected to the same selective pressures as other
410 photosynthetic eukaryotes. This rationale may also apply to the retention of *GULO* in
411 *Galdieria*, which is likely to have both possessed both *GULO* and *GLDH*. *Galdieria* is
412 photosynthetic and expresses a functional APX⁶⁹, but it is very sensitive to even moderate
413 light intensities and grows primarily in an endolithic environment utilising heterotrophic
414 carbon sources⁷⁰. Thus, photo-oxidative stress in this environment may be minimal, reducing
415 the selective pressure in *Galdieria* to replace *GULO*.

416 *Evolution of ascorbate auxotrophy in animals*

417 The evolution of vitamin auxotrophy underpins many important nutritional and ecological
418 interactions between organisms⁷¹. The selective pressures resulting in *GULO* loss in animals
419 represent a combination of the costs of ascorbate synthesis (including detoxification of H₂O₂
420 derived from *GULO*), the physiological requirements for ascorbate and the ecological factors
421 that determine the supply of dietary ascorbate throughout their life cycle. The development of
422 the photoprotective role of ascorbate in photosynthetic eukaryotes would have significantly
423 altered its availability to many heterotrophic organisms. The leaves of land plants have
424 particularly high cellular concentrations of ascorbate relative to other photosynthetic
425 eukaryotes¹¹, which may result from their inability to remove intracellular H₂O₂ *via* diffusion
426 to an aquatic medium. Our dataset reveals that in almost all documented cases of ascorbate
427 auxotrophy in animals, the major source of dietary ascorbate derives from *GLDH* rather than
428 *GULO* (Table 1). This is the case even for insectivorous animals, as insects appear to lack
429 *GULO* and must also obtain ascorbate in their diet, primarily from land plants. Thus, the
430 replacement of *GULO* with *GLDH* in photosynthetic organisms may have ultimately been an
431 important contributory factor in the loss of *GULO* in many animal auxotrophs.

432 The pseudogenisation of *GULO* in primates, bats and guinea pigs is one of the best known
433 examples of evolutionary gene loss¹⁵. Through a wider analysis of ascorbate biosynthesis,
434 we have identified that *GULO* has also been lost in photosynthetic eukaryotes. Photosynthetic
435 eukaryotes functionally replaced *GULO* with an alternative terminal enzyme, *GLDH*, which
436 uncoupled ascorbate biosynthesis from H₂O₂ production and potentially aided the important
437 photoprotective role of ascorbate. These developments in photosynthetic eukaryotes may

438 have ultimately contributed to the loss of *GULO* in many herbivorous animals, by influencing
439 their supply of dietary ascorbate.

440

441 **Materials and Methods**

442 *Bioinformatics*

443 A broad range of eukaryote genomes were selected for detailed analyses (Supplementary File
444 5). Sequence similarity searches were used to identify candidate genes involved in ascorbate
445 biosynthesis. Genomic searches were initially performed using BLASTP with mouse or
446 *Arabidopsis* proteins. All instances of protein absence were confirmed using TBLASTN
447 against the genome with additional searches using sequences from closely related organisms.
448 Sequence similarity searches of transcriptomic datasets were used to identify trends in the
449 presence of ascorbate biosynthesis genes, but were not used to infer absence. Proteins
450 recovered from sequence similarity searches were identified using a combination of BLAST
451 score, manual inspection of conserved residues in multiple sequence alignments and their
452 position in phylogenetic trees generated by both neighbour-joining and maximum likelihood
453 method within the MEGA5 software package ⁷².

454 For detailed phylogenetic analysis of *GULO* and *GLDH*, multiple sequence alignments were
455 generated using MUSCLE. Poorly aligned regions were removed by manual inspection and
456 the alignments were further refined using GBLOCKS 0.91b to remove ambiguously aligned
457 sites ⁷³, resulting in an alignment of 263 amino acids. ProtTest ⁷⁴ was used to determine the
458 best substitution model (WAG with gamma and invariant sites) ⁷⁵. Maximum likelihood
459 phylogenetic trees were generated using PhyML3.0 software with 100 bootstraps. Bayesian
460 posterior probabilities were calculated using BEAST v1.8 ⁷⁶, running for 10,000,000
461 generations, with a burn-in of 1,000,000 generations. As the phylogenetic relationships
462 within the *GLDH* clade were not well resolved, further unrooted phylogenetic analyses of
463 *GLDH* were performed using an individual multiple sequence alignment to allow more
464 positions to be used.

465 *Biochemical analyses of ascorbate metabolism in rhodophytes*

466 *Galdieria sulphararia* 074G was grown in *Galdieria* Medium (GM) (CCCryo, Potsdam-
467 Golm, Germany) at 30°C, light intensity 50 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. *Porphyra umbilicalis* was collected
468 from Maer Rocks, Exmouth, UK (50° 36' 31.6" N 3° 23' 27.0" W). L-Galactose
469 dehydrogenase activity was measured in ammonium sulphate (50% saturation) precipitates of
470 *Porphyra* thallus protein extracts ⁴⁹. To determine the impact of exogenous precursors on
471 ascorbate, *Galdieria* cultures or slices of *Porphyra* thallus were incubated with sugars or

472 aldonic acid lactones (25 mM *Galdieria*, 10 mM *Porphyra*) for 24 h in GM or artificial sea
473 water (Instant Ocean, Aquarium Systems, Sarrebourg, France). *Galdieria* cultures (15 ml)
474 were harvested by centrifugation and extracted with 0.5 ml 80% methanol containing 0.1%
475 formic acid using sonication in the presence of glass beads. *Porphyra* thallus was powdered
476 in liquid nitrogen followed by homogenisation in 80% methanol (0.1 g thallus in 0.5 ml
477 extractant). Homogenates were centrifuged (10 min at 16,000 g, 4 °C). Supernatants (100 µl)
478 were dried into glass vials, methoximated trimethylsilyl derivatives were prepared⁷⁷ and
479 analysed by accurate mass GC-EI-qToF MS (Agilent 7200, Agilent Technologies, Santa
480 Clara, CA, USA). Derivatives were injected (0.2-0.4 µl, 1/2 to 1/50 split ratio) onto a Zebron
481 SemiVolatiles GC column (30 m analytical + 10 m guard length, 0.25 mm internal diameter,
482 0.25 µm film thickness, Phenomenex, Macclesfield, UK) using He carrier gas (1.2 ml min⁻¹).
483 Injector temperature was 250 °C and column temperature program was 70 °C for 4 min,
484 followed by an increase to 310 °C at 15 °C/min. The column was held at the final
485 temperature for 6 min. Compounds were fragmented at 70 eV and MS spectra were collected
486 (50-600 amu at 5 spectra s⁻¹). Ascorbate is oxidised to dehydroascorbate (DHA) during
487 derivatisation and DHA was identified by co-chromatography and comparison of accurate
488 mass spectra with an ascorbate standard. The position of stable isotope incorporation from [1-
489 ¹³C]-D-glucose and [6-¹³C]-D-glucose into ascorbate was identified using mass spectra
490 obtained from injection of [1-¹³C]-ascorbate derivatives. C6 of DHA was found in fragments
491 with m/z values of 157.046 and 245.1029, while m/z 316.1038 contained C1 and C6. ¹³C
492 enrichment was assessed by the relative abundance of *m*+1 for each fragment relative to the
493 ¹²C ascorbate standard. ¹³C-labelled compounds were obtained from Omicron Biochemicals
494 (South Bend, IN, USA) and all other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, UK).

495 *Molecular analyses*

496 Reverse transcriptase PCR was used to verify the expression of *GULO* in *Cyanophora*
497 *paradoxa* (CCAP 981/1) and confirm its coding sequence. RNA was prepared using the
498 TRIzol method (Invitrogen) from *Cyanophora paradoxa* cultures grown in standard medium
499 (MWC), at 20°C, 16:8 light:dark, light intensity 50 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Reverse-transcriptase PCR
500 was performed using a gene specific primer (GGAACTCCTCGAACTTGGGG) for reverse
501 transcription, followed by amplification of a 1017 base pair region using the following PCR
502 primers: GTCGCCCCTTCTGAGCATAG (forward) and CATGAGCGCGTCGAAGTCT
503 (reverse). NCBI accession number KJ957823.

504 *Euglena transcriptome sequencing*

505 *Euglena gracilis* (strain Z) was grown in Koren-Hutner medium (KH) under continuous
506 illumination (24 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) at 26°C. RNA was harvested by the TRIzol method and used to
507 prepare cDNA. Paired end reads were generated by Illumina sequencing technology resulting
508 in a total of 193,472,913 reads. *De novo* assembly was carried out using Trinity⁷⁸, followed
509 by further clustering with TGICL⁷⁹.

510

511 *Alternative routes for ascorbate biosynthesis*

512 The three major routes of ascorbate biosynthesis described in Figure 1 are well supported by
513 biochemical and molecular evidence. However, there is some evidence to suggest that some
514 classes of eukaryotes may use alternative routes to ascorbate or use multiple routes. These
515 pathways are reviewed comprehensively elsewhere^{25,51,80} but the implications for our
516 findings are highlighted below.

517 *i) Land plants*

518 Ascorbate in land plants is synthesised predominately *via* a non-inversion pathway through
519 GDP-D-mannose and L-galactose in which carbon atom 1 (C1) of the precursor hexose
520 remains as C1 in ascorbate^{25,81}. Genetic evidence from a range of ascorbate-deficient
521 *Arabidopsis* mutants indicates that the D-mannose/L-galactose pathway is the primary route
522 of ascorbate biosynthesis^{5,30,31,82}. For example, *Arabidopsis vtc2 vtc5* double mutants lacking
523 GDP-L-Gal phosphorylase activity are not *viable* unless supplemented with ascorbate,
524 suggesting no other route can supply sufficient ascorbate to rescue this defect³¹. However, a
525 number of other routes to ascorbate in plants have been proposed. Plants can potentially use
526 D-galacturonate as an ascorbate precursor, and this alternative route may contribute to
527 ascorbate synthesis in certain tissue types, such as fruits^{27,83}. GDP-L-gulose, formed during
528 the activity of GDP-mannose epimerase *in vitro*²⁹, could provide L-GulL, which could
529 contribute to ascorbate synthesis *via* the GULO-like enzymes found in land plants³⁷.
530 Overexpression of *Arabidopsis* GULO-like genes in tobacco cell lines increased cellular
531 ascorbate concentration in the presence of exogenous L-GulL³⁷. Oxidation of *myo*-inositol is
532 another potential source of L-GulL^{28,84}. However, more definitive biochemical and genetic
533 evidence for these alternative pathways is required in order to assess whether these pathways
534 contribute significantly to ascorbate biosynthesis in plants. The evidence for the alternative
535 pathways is largely based on increased ascorbate production after ectopic expression of genes

536 or addition of exogenous substrates. This approach leaves open the possibility that these
537 pathways are not active in wild type plants. The critical experiments, in which the proposed
538 enzymes are mutated or knocked out, have not been reported.

539 Our evolutionary analyses indicate that *GULO* and *GLDH* co-existed in ancestral
540 Archaeplastida, suggesting that multiple pathways of ascorbate biosynthesis were operational
541 in these organisms. Whilst the 'plant pathway' *via* *GLDH* is clearly the primary biosynthetic
542 route in extant land plants, the presence of minor alternative pathways such as those listed
543 above may reflect this ancestry of shared pathways.

544 *ii) Prasinophytes*

545 The genomes of the prasinophyte algae *Ostreococcus* and *Micromonas* are unusual amongst
546 the Viridiplantae in that they lack L-galactose dehydrogenase and GDP-mannose
547 pyrophosphorylase²⁴. However, it is likely that these prasinophytes can generate GDP-D-
548 mannose, either through an unidentified alternative enzyme activity (as proposed in brown
549 algae⁸⁵) or through the transferase activity of VTC2. Furthermore, we identified L-fucose (6-
550 deoxy-L-galactose) dehydrogenase in all *Ostreococcus* and *Micromonas* genomes. L-fucose
551 dehydrogenase also exhibits activity with L-galactose⁸⁶ and it therefore may functionally
552 replace L-galactose dehydrogenase in these prasinophytes. Analysis of the Marine Microbial
553 Eukaryote Transcriptome dataset revealed that L-fucose dehydrogenase is present in many
554 other prasinophytes including members of the Prasinococcales, Pycnococcaceae and the
555 Pyramimonadaceae. The only prasinophyte identified with L-galactose dehydrogenase was
556 *Nephroselmis pyriformis* (Nephroselmidophyceae). The Chlorodendrophyceae lineage
557 containing *Tetraselmis* spp. also possess L-galactose dehydrogenase.

558 *iii) Trypanosomes*

559 *GULO* from trypanosomes exhibits activity with D-AraL or L-GalL but not L-GulL, and is
560 therefore referred to as L-GalL oxidase^{26,87,88}. The source of L-GalL in trypanosomes has not
561 been determined. We did not find evidence for L-galactose dehydrogenase or the other
562 enzymes in the D-mannose/L-galactose pathway in trypanosome genomes.

563 *iv) Fungi*

564 The fungi synthesise a range of ascorbate analogues, including 6-deoxy-L-ascorbate,
565 ascorbate glycosides and the five carbon analogue, D-erythroascorbate²⁵. Yeasts synthesise

566 D-erythroascorbate from D-arabinose *via* D-AraL and these final steps are therefore analogous
567 to the D-mannose/L-galactose pathway. Deletion of *ALOI* encoding D-AraL oxidase in
568 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* results in increased sensitivity to oxidative stress but the mutants
569 are still viable⁴⁶. Until both the biosynthesis and the physiological roles of these analogues
570 are better understood, it is difficult to understand how the biosynthesis of the fungal ascorbate
571 analogues may have evolved.

572 *v) Prokaryotes*

573 There is little evidence to suggest that prokaryotes synthesise ascorbate *de novo*. *GULO* and
574 *GLDH* are essentially absent from prokaryotes. Extensive sequence similarity searches
575 identified a single *GULO* sequence in the cyanobacterium *Rivularia* sp PCC 7116
576 (WP_015122198.1), but all other cyanobacteria lack *GULO*. An enzyme exhibiting L-GULL
577 dehydrogenase activity has been cloned from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and a similar
578 enzyme is present in a range of other prokaryotes⁸⁹. While the presence of this enzyme
579 suggests some prokaryotes have the capacity for ascorbate synthesis, specific evidence for *in*
580 *vivo* ascorbate biosynthesis is lacking. There is a single report indicating that some
581 cyanobacteria contain very low concentrations of ascorbate⁹⁰, although the analytical method
582 used (2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine) may not be sufficiently specific for ascorbate at these
583 concentrations⁹¹. Prokaryotes lack ascorbate peroxidase and it is likely that early reports of
584 ascorbate peroxidase activity in cyanobacteria were due to the activity of other forms of
585 peroxidase⁵⁹.

586 *vi) Chromerids*

587 The chromerids are photosynthetic relatives of the apicomplexa⁴² and are the only organisms
588 in our analyses that have acquired a plastid *via* secondary endosymbiosis and retained *GULO*.
589 *Chromera velia* utilises the xanthophyll cycle for non-photochemical quenching⁹²,
590 suggesting that ascorbate performs a photoprotective role in chromerids by acting as a co-
591 factor for violaxanthin de-epoxidase (VDE). We found homologues of VDE and VDE-like
592 proteins in the *C. velia* transcriptome, as well as monodehydroascorbate reductase
593 (MDHAR). The presence of *GULO* rather than *GLDH* in *C. velia* may relate to the unusual
594 mitochondria of apicomplexans. Apicomplexans lack complex I of the mitochondrial electron
595 transport chain⁹³, which may have influenced their ability to acquire and/or utilise *GLDH*, as
596 *GLDH* is incorporated into complex I in land plants³⁴. However, the genomes of the parasitic

597 apicomplexa all appear to lack both *GULO* and *GLDH*. It should be noted that dinoflagellates
598 also lack mitochondrial complex I but possess *GLDH* (Table S2).
599

600 **Acknowledgements**

601 The authors acknowledge funding from the Exeter University Science Strategy Fund,
602 Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/G021678) and the Natural
603 Environment Research Council (NE/J021008/1). Hannah Florance (Exeter University Mass
604 Spectrometry Facility) provided analytical assistance. The *Euglena* transcriptome was
605 sequenced by the Exeter University Sequencing Service with assistance from Konrad
606 Paszkiewicz and Karen Moore. Access to the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome
607 Sequencing Project (MMETSP) was provided by National Center for Genome Resources.
608 This paper is dedicated to the memory of Frank A. Loewus (1919-2014) who pioneered
609 research into ascorbate biosynthesis.

610

611 **Competing interests**

612 The authors declare no competing interests.

613

614 **References**

615

- 616 1 Blaschke, K. *et al.* Vitamin C induces Tet-dependent DNA demethylation and a blastocyst-like
617 state in ES cells. *Nature* **500**, 222-226, doi:10.1038/nature12362 (2013).
- 618 2 Mandl, J., Szarka, A. & Banhegyi, G. Vitamin C: update on physiology and pharmacology.
619 *British journal of pharmacology* **157**, 1097-1110, doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00282.x
620 (2009).
- 621 3 Mhamdi, A., Noctor, G. & Baker, A. Plant catalases: peroxisomal redox guardians. *Arch*
622 *Biochem Biophys* **525**, 181-194, doi:10.1016/j.abb.2012.04.015 (2012).
- 623 4 Sies, H. Role of metabolic H₂O₂ generation: redox signaling and oxidative stress. *J Biol Chem*
624 **289**, 8735-8741, doi:10.1074/jbc.R113.544635 (2014).
- 625 5 Smirnoff, N. Vitamin C: The Metabolism and Functions of Ascorbic Acid in Plants. *Adv Bot Res*
626 **59**, 107-177, doi:Doi 10.1016/B978-0-12-385853-5.00003-9 (2011).
- 627 6 Keeling, P. J. The endosymbiotic origin, diversification and fate of plastids. *Philos Trans R Soc*
628 *Lond B Biol Sci* **365**, 729-748, doi:10.1098/rstb.2009.0103 (2010).
- 629 7 Dorrell, R. G. & Howe, C. J. What makes a chloroplast? Reconstructing the establishment of
630 photosynthetic symbioses. *J Cell Sci* **125**, 1865-1875, doi:10.1242/jcs.102285 (2012).
- 631 8 Mubarakshina, M. M. *et al.* Production and diffusion of chloroplastic H₂O₂ and its
632 implication to signalling. *J Exp Bot* **61**, 3577-3587, doi:10.1093/jxb/erq171 (2010).
- 633 9 Naydov, I. A., Mubarakshina, M. M. & Ivanov, B. N. Formation kinetics and H₂O₂ distribution
634 in chloroplasts and protoplasts of photosynthetic leaf cells of higher plants under
635 illumination. *Biochemistry. Biokhimiia* **77**, 143-151, doi:10.1134/S0006297912020046 (2012).
- 636 10 Zamocky, M., Furtmuller, P. G. & Obinger, C. Evolution of structure and function of Class I
637 peroxidases. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **500**, 45-57, doi:10.1016/j.abb.2010.03.024 (2010).
- 638 11 Gest, N., Gautier, H. & Stevens, R. Ascorbate as seen through plant evolution: the rise of a
639 successful molecule? *J Exp Bot* **64**, 33-53, doi:10.1093/jxb/ers297 (2013).
- 640 12 Cui, J., Pan, Y. H., Zhang, Y., Jones, G. & Zhang, S. Progressive pseudogenization: vitamin C
641 synthesis and its loss in bats. *Mol Biol Evol* **28**, 1025-1031, doi:10.1093/molbev/msq286
642 (2011).
- 643 13 Nishikimi, M., Fukuyama, R., Minoshima, S., Shimizu, N. & Yagi, K. Cloning and chromosomal
644 mapping of the human nonfunctional gene for L-gulono-gamma-lactone oxidase, the
645 enzyme for L-ascorbic acid biosynthesis missing in man. *J Biol Chem* **269**, 13685-13688
646 (1994).
- 647 14 Nishikimi, M., Kawai, T. & Yagi, K. Guinea pigs possess a highly mutated gene for L-gulono-
648 gamma-lactone oxidase, the key enzyme for L-ascorbic acid biosynthesis missing in this
649 species. *J Biol Chem* **267**, 21967-21972 (1992).
- 650 15 Drouin, G., Godin, J. R. & Page, B. The genetics of vitamin C loss in vertebrates. *Current*
651 *genomics* **12**, 371-378, doi:10.2174/138920211796429736 (2011).
- 652 16 Banhegyi, G., Csala, M., Braun, L., Garzo, T. & Mandl, J. Ascorbate synthesis-dependent
653 glutathione consumption in mouse liver. *FEBS Lett* **381**, 39-41 (1996).
- 654 17 Chatterjee, I. B. Evolution and the biosynthesis of ascorbic acid. *Science* **182**, 1271-1272
655 (1973).
- 656 18 Yang, H. Conserved or lost: molecular evolution of the key gene GULO in vertebrate vitamin
657 C biosynthesis. *Biochemical genetics* **51**, 413-425, doi:10.1007/s10528-013-9574-0 (2013).
- 658 19 Shigeoka, S., Nakano, Y. & Kitaoka, S. The biosynthetic pathway of L-ascorbic acid in *Euglena*
659 *gracilis* Z. *Journal of nutritional science and vitaminology* **25**, 299-307 (1979).
- 660 20 Grun, M. & Loewus, F. A. L-Ascorbic-acid biosynthesis in the euryhaline diatom *Cyclotella*
661 *cryptica*. *Planta* **160**, 6-11, doi:10.1007/BF00392459 (1984).

662 21 Helsper, J. P., Kagan, L., Hilby, C. L., Maynard, T. M. & Loewus, F. A. L-Ascorbic Acid
663 Biosynthesis in *Ochromonas danica*. *Plant Physiol* **69**, 465-468 (1982).

664 22 Wheeler, G. L., Jones, M. A. & Smirnoff, N. The biosynthetic pathway of vitamin C in higher
665 plants. *Nature* **393**, 365-369, doi:10.1038/30728 (1998).

666 23 Running, J. A., Burlingame, R. P. & Berry, A. The pathway of L-ascorbic acid biosynthesis in
667 the colourless microalga *Prototheca moriformis*. *J Exp Bot* **54**, 1841-1849,
668 doi:10.1093/jxb/erg207 (2003).

669 24 Urzica, E. I. *et al.* Impact of oxidative stress on ascorbate biosynthesis in *Chlamydomonas* via
670 regulation of the VTC2 gene encoding a GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase. *J Biol Chem* **287**,
671 14234-14245, doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.341982 (2012).

672 25 Loewus, F. A. Biosynthesis and metabolism of ascorbic acid in plants and of analogs of
673 ascorbic acid in fungi. *Phytochemistry* **52**, 193-210, doi:Doi 10.1016/S0031-9422(99)00145-4
674 (1999).

675 26 Logan, F. J., Taylor, M. C., Wilkinson, S. R., Kaur, H. & Kelly, J. M. The terminal step in vitamin
676 C biosynthesis in *Trypanosoma cruzi* is mediated by a FMN-dependent galactonolactone
677 oxidase. *Biochem J* **407**, 419-426, doi:10.1042/BJ20070766 (2007).

678 27 Badejo, A. A. *et al.* Translocation and the alternative D-galacturonate pathway contribute to
679 increasing the ascorbate level in ripening tomato fruits together with the D-mannose/L-
680 galactose pathway. *J Exp Bot* **63**, 229-239, doi:10.1093/jxb/err275 (2012).

681 28 Lorence, A., Chevone, B. I., Mendes, P. & Nessler, C. L. myo-inositol oxygenase offers a
682 possible entry point into plant ascorbate biosynthesis. *Plant Physiol* **134**, 1200-1205,
683 doi:10.1104/pp.103.033936 (2004).

684 29 Wolucka, B. A. & Van Montagu, M. GDP-mannose 3',5'-epimerase forms GDP-L-gulose, a
685 putative intermediate for the de novo biosynthesis of vitamin C in plants. *J Biol Chem* **278**,
686 47483-47490, doi:10.1074/jbc.M309135200 (2003).

687 30 Conklin, P. L. *et al.* Genetic evidence for the role of GDP-mannose in plant ascorbic acid
688 (vitamin C) biosynthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 4198-4203 (1999).

689 31 Dowdle, J., Ishikawa, T., Gatzek, S., Rolinski, S. & Smirnoff, N. Two genes in *Arabidopsis*
690 *thaliana* encoding GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase are required for ascorbate biosynthesis
691 and seedling viability. *Plant J* **52**, 673-689, doi:TPJ3266 [pii]
692 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03266.x (2007).

693 32 Leferink, N. G., Heuts, D. P., Fraaije, M. W. & van Berkel, W. J. The growing VAO flavoprotein
694 family. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **474**, 292-301, doi:10.1016/j.abb.2008.01.027 (2008).

695 33 Smirnoff, N. L-ascorbic acid biosynthesis. *Vitamins and hormones* **61**, 241-266 (2001).

696 34 Schertl, P. *et al.* L-galactono-1,4-lactone dehydrogenase (GLDH) forms part of three
697 subcomplexes of mitochondrial complex I in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 14412-
698 14419, doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.305144 (2012).

699 35 Dadd, R. H. Insect nutrition: current developments and metabolic implications. *Annual*
700 *review of entomology* **18**, 381-420, doi:10.1146/annurev.en.18.010173.002121 (1973).

701 36 Price, D. C. *et al.* *Cyanophora paradoxa* genome elucidates origin of photosynthesis in algae
702 and plants. *Science* **335**, 843-847, doi:10.1126/science.1213561 (2012).

703 37 Maruta, T. *et al.* The contribution of *Arabidopsis* homologs of L-gulonolactone oxidase
704 to the biosynthesis of ascorbic acid. *Bioscience, biotechnology, and biochemistry* **74**, 1494-
705 1497 (2010).

706 38 Tyler, B. M. *et al.* *Phytophthora* genome sequences uncover evolutionary origins and
707 mechanisms of pathogenesis. *Science* **313**, 1261-1266, doi:10.1126/science.1128796 (2006).

708 39 Glockner, G. *et al.* The Genome of the Foraminiferan *Reticulomyxa filosa*. *Curr Biol* **24**, 11-18,
709 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.11.027 (2014).

710 40 Keeling, P. J. *et al.* The Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project
711 (MMETSP): Illuminating the Functional Diversity of Eukaryotic Life in the Oceans through

712 Transcriptome Sequencing. *PLoS Biol* **12**, e1001889, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001889
713 (2014).

714 41 Yabuki, A. *et al.* Palpitomonas bilix represents a basal cryptist lineage: insight into the
715 character evolution in Cryptista. *Scientific reports* **4**, 4641, doi:10.1038/srep04641 (2014).

716 42 Janouskovec, J., Horak, A., Obornik, M., Lukes, J. & Keeling, P. J. A common red algal origin of
717 the apicomplexan, dinoflagellate, and heterokont plastids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**,
718 10949-10954, doi:10.1073/pnas.1003335107 (2010).

719 43 Leliaert, F. *et al.* Phylogeny and Molecular Evolution of the Green Algae. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* **31**,
720 1-46, doi:Doi 10.1080/07352689.2011.615705 (2012).

721 44 Timme, R. E., Bachvaroff, T. R. & Delwiche, C. F. Broad Phylogenomic Sampling and the Sister
722 Lineage of Land Plants. *Plos One* **7**, doi:ARTN e29696
723 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0029696 (2012).

724 45 Jeuck, A., Arndt, H. & Nitsche, F. Extended phylogeny of the Craspedida (Choanomonada).
725 *Eur J Protistol* **50**, 430-443, doi:10.1016/j.ejop.2014.06.001 (2014).

726 46 Huh, W. K. *et al.* Characterisation of D-arabinono-1,4-lactone oxidase from *Candida albicans*
727 ATCC 10231. *European journal of biochemistry / FEBS* **225**, 1073-1079 (1994).

728 47 Leferink, N. G. *et al.* Identification of a gatekeeper residue that prevents dehydrogenases
729 from acting as oxidases. *J Biol Chem* **284**, 4392-4397, doi:10.1074/jbc.M808202200 (2009).

730 48 Linster, C. L. & Van Schaftingen, E. Vitamin C. Biosynthesis, recycling and degradation in
731 mammals. *The FEBS journal* **274**, 1-22, doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05607.x (2007).

732 49 Gatzek, S., Wheeler, G. L. & Smirnoff, N. Antisense suppression of l-galactose dehydrogenase
733 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* provides evidence for its role in ascorbate synthesis and reveals light
734 modulated l-galactose synthesis. *Plant J* **30**, 541-553 (2002).

735 50 Laing, W. A., Wright, M. A., Cooney, J. & Bulley, S. M. The missing step of the L-galactose
736 pathway of ascorbate biosynthesis in plants, an L-galactose guanyltransferase, increases leaf
737 ascorbate content. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 9534-9539, doi:10.1073/pnas.0701625104
738 (2007).

739 51 Linster, C. L. *et al.* *Arabidopsis* VTC2 encodes a GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase, the last
740 unknown enzyme in the Smirnoff-Wheeler pathway to ascorbic acid in plants. *J Biol Chem*
741 **282**, 18879-18885, doi:10.1074/jbc.M702094200 (2007).

742 52 Ishikawa, T. *et al.* Functional characterization of D-galacturonic acid reductase, a key enzyme
743 of the ascorbate biosynthesis pathway, from *Euglena gracilis*. *Bioscience, biotechnology, and*
744 *biochemistry* **70**, 2720-2726 (2006).

745 53 Hobbs, M. E., Williams, H. J., Hillerich, B., Almo, S. C. & Raushel, F. M. l-Galactose
746 metabolism in *Bacteroides vulgatus* from the human gut microbiota. *Biochemistry* **53**, 4661-
747 4670, doi:10.1021/bi500656m (2014).

748 54 Percival, E. Polysaccharides of Green, Red and Brown Seaweeds - Their Basic Structure,
749 Biosynthesis and Function. *Brit Phycol J* **14**, 103-117 (1979).

750 55 Su, J. C. & Hassid, W. Z. Carbohydrates and nucleotides in the red alga *Porphyra perforata*. I.
751 Isolation and identification of carbohydrates. *Biochemistry* **1**, 468-474 (1962).

752 56 Fawal, N. *et al.* PeroxiBase: a database for large-scale evolutionary analysis of peroxidases.
753 *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, D441-444, doi:10.1093/nar/gks1083 (2013).

754 57 Lazzarotto, F. *et al.* Ascorbate peroxidase-related (APx-R) is a new heme-containing protein
755 functionally associated with ascorbate peroxidase but evolutionarily divergent. *New*
756 *Phytologist* **191**, 234-250, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03659.x (2011).

757 58 Cooley, R. B., Arp, D. J. & Karplus, P. A. Symerythrin structures at atomic resolution and the
758 origins of rubrerythrins and the ferritin-like superfamily. *J Mol Biol* **413**, 177-194,
759 doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2011.08.019 (2011).

760 59 Bernroitner, M., Zamocky, M., Furtmuller, P. G., Peschek, G. A. & Obinger, C. Occurrence,
761 phylogeny, structure, and function of catalases and peroxidases in cyanobacteria. *J Exp Bot*
762 **60**, 423-440, doi:10.1093/jxb/ern309 (2009).

763 60 Latifi, A., Ruiz, M. & Zhang, C. C. Oxidative stress in cyanobacteria. *FEMS microbiology*
764 *reviews* **33**, 258-278, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00134.x (2009).

765 61 Keeling, P. J. & Inagaki, Y. A class of eukaryotic GTPase with a punctate distribution
766 suggesting multiple functional replacements of translation elongation factor 1alpha. *Proc*
767 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 15380-15385, doi:10.1073/pnas.0404505101 (2004).

768 62 Hiller, M. *et al.* A "forward genomics" approach links genotype to phenotype using
769 independent phenotypic losses among related species. *Cell reports* **2**, 817-823,
770 doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2012.08.032 (2012).

771 63 Pineau, B., Layoune, O., Danon, A. & De Paepe, R. L-galactono-1,4-lactone dehydrogenase is
772 required for the accumulation of plant respiratory complex I. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 32500-32505,
773 doi:10.1074/jbc.M805320200 (2008).

774 64 Nedelcu, A. M., Miles, I. H., Fagir, A. M. & Karol, K. Adaptive eukaryote-to-eukaryote lateral
775 gene transfer: stress-related genes of algal origin in the closest unicellular relatives of
776 animals. *Journal of evolutionary biology* **21**, 1852-1860, doi:10.1111/j.1420-
777 9101.2008.01605.x (2008).

778 65 Ishikawa, T. *et al.* Euglena gracilis ascorbate peroxidase forms an intramolecular dimeric
779 structure: its unique molecular characterization. *Biochem J* **426**, 125-134,
780 doi:10.1042/BJ20091406 (2010).

781 66 Lavaud, J. & Kroth, P. G. In diatoms, the transthylakoid proton gradient regulates the
782 photoprotective non-photochemical fluorescence quenching beyond its control on the
783 xanthophyll cycle. *Plant Cell Physiol* **47**, 1010-1016, doi:10.1093/pcp/pcj058 (2006).

784 67 Mellado, M., Contreras, R. A., Gonzalez, A., Dennett, G. & Moenne, A. Copper-induced
785 synthesis of ascorbate, glutathione and phytochelatins in the marine alga *Ulva compressa*
786 (Chlorophyta). *Plant physiology and biochemistry : PPB / Societe francaise de physiologie*
787 *vegetale* **51**, 102-108, doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2011.10.007 (2012).

788 68 Puskas, F. *et al.* Gulonolactone oxidase activity-dependent intravesicular glutathione
789 oxidation in rat liver microsomes. *FEBS Lett* **430**, 293-296 (1998).

790 69 Sano, S. *et al.* Characterization of ascorbate peroxidases from unicellular red alga *Galdieria*
791 *partita*. *Plant Cell Physiol* **42**, 433-440 (2001).

792 70 Oesterhelt, C., Schmalzlin, E., Schmitt, J. M. & Lokstein, H. Regulation of photosynthesis in
793 the unicellular acidophilic red alga *Galdieria sulphuraria*. *Plant J* **51**, 500-511,
794 doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03159.x (2007).

795 71 Helliwell, K. E., Wheeler, G. L. & Smith, A. G. Widespread decay of vitamin-related pathways:
796 coincidence or consequence? *Trends in genetics : TIG* **29**, 469-478,
797 doi:10.1016/j.tig.2013.03.003 (2013).

798 72 Tamura, K. *et al.* MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum
799 likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* **28**, 2731-
800 2739, doi:10.1093/molbev/msr121 (2011).

801 73 Talavera, G. & Castresana, J. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and
802 ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. *Systematic biology* **56**, 564-
803 577, doi:10.1080/10635150701472164 (2007).

804 74 Abascal, F., Zardoya, R. & Posada, D. ProtTest: selection of best-fit models of protein
805 evolution. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 2104-2105, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti263 (2005).

806 75 Whelan, S. & Goldman, N. A general empirical model of protein evolution derived from
807 multiple protein families using a maximum-likelihood approach. *Mol Biol Evol* **18**, 691-699
808 (2001).

809 76 Drummond, A. J., Suchard, M. A., Xie, D. & Rambaut, A. Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti
810 and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol Biol Evol* **29**, 1969-1973, doi:10.1093/molbev/mss075 (2012).

811 77 Lisec, J., Schauer, N., Kopka, J., Willmitzer, L. & Fernie, A. R. Gas chromatography mass
812 spectrometry-based metabolite profiling in plants. *Nature protocols* **1**, 387-396,
813 doi:10.1038/nprot.2006.59 (2006).

814 78 Haas, B. J. *et al.* De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity
815 platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nature protocols* **8**, 1494-1512,
816 doi:10.1038/nprot.2013.084 (2013).

817 79 Perteua, G. *et al.* TIGR Gene Indices clustering tools (TGICL): a software system for fast
818 clustering of large EST datasets. *Bioinformatics* **19**, 651-652 (2003).

819 80 Smirnoff, N. Ascorbate biosynthesis and function in photoprotection. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond
820 B Biol Sci* **355**, 1455-1464, doi:10.1098/rstb.2000.0706 (2000).

821 81 Loewus, F. A. & Jang, R. The conversion of C14-labeled sugars to L-ascorbic acid in ripening
822 strawberries. II. Labeling patterns in the free sugars. *J Biol Chem* **232**, 505-519 (1958).

823 82 Conklin, P. L. *et al.* Arabidopsis thaliana VTC4 encodes L-galactose-1-P phosphatase, a plant
824 ascorbic acid biosynthetic enzyme. *J Biol Chem* **281**, 15662-15670,
825 doi:10.1074/jbc.M601409200 (2006).

826 83 Isherwood, F. A. & Mapson, L. W. Biological synthesis of ascorbic acid: the conversion of
827 derivatives of D-galacturonic acid into L-ascorbic acid by plant extracts. *Biochem J* **64**, 13-22
828 (1956).

829 84 Lisko, K. A. *et al.* Ontogenetic changes in vitamin C in selected rice varieties. *Plant Physiol
830 Bioch* **66**, 41-46, doi:DOI 10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.01.016 (2013).

831 85 Michel, G., Tonon, T., Scornet, D., Cock, J. M. & Kloareg, B. Central and storage carbon
832 metabolism of the brown alga *Ectocarpus siliculosus*: insights into the origin and evolution of
833 storage carbohydrates in Eukaryotes. *New Phytol* **188**, 67-81, doi:10.1111/j.1469-
834 8137.2010.03345.x (2010).

835 86 Schachter, H., Sarney, J., McGuire, E. J. & Roseman, S. Isolation of diphosphopyridine
836 nucleotide-dependent L-fucose dehydrogenase from pork liver. *J Biol Chem* **244**, 4785-4792
837 (1969).

838 87 Kudryashova, E. V., Leferink, N. G., Slot, I. G. & van Berkel, W. J. Galactonolactone
839 oxidoreductase from *Trypanosoma cruzi* employs a FAD cofactor for the synthesis of vitamin
840 C. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1814**, 545-552, doi:10.1016/j.bbapap.2011.03.001 (2011).

841 88 Wilkinson, S. R., Prathalingam, S. R., Taylor, M. C., Horn, D. & Kelly, J. M. Vitamin C
842 biosynthesis in trypanosomes: a role for the glycosome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 11645-
843 11650, doi:10.1073/pnas.0504251102 (2005).

844 89 Wolucka, B. A. & Communi, D. Mycobacterium tuberculosis possesses a functional enzyme
845 for the synthesis of vitamin C, L-gulonolactone dehydrogenase. *The FEBS journal* **273**,
846 4435-4445, doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05443.x (2006).

847 90 Telor, E., Huflejt, M. E. & Packer, L. Hydroperoxide Metabolism in Cyanobacteria. *Archives of
848 Biochemistry and Biophysics* **246**, 396-402, doi:Doi 10.1016/0003-9861(86)90485-6 (1986).

849 91 Roe, J. H. Comparative analyses for ascorbic acid by the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine method
850 with the coupling reaction at different temperatures: a procedure for determining
851 specificity. *J Biol Chem* **236**, 1611-1613 (1961).

852 92 Kotabova, E., Kana, R., Jaresova, J. & Prasil, O. Non-photochemical fluorescence quenching in
853 *Chromera velia* is enabled by fast violaxanthin de-epoxidation. *FEBS Lett* **585**, 1941-1945,
854 doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2011.05.015 (2011).

855 93 Danne, J. C., Gornik, S. G., Macrae, J. I., McConville, M. J. & Waller, R. F. Alveolate
856 mitochondrial metabolic evolution: dinoflagellates force reassessment of the role of
857 parasitism as a driver of change in apicomplexans. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**, 123-139,
858 doi:10.1093/molbev/mss205 (2013).

859 94 Yoon, H. S., Hackett, J. D. & Bhattacharya, D. A genomic and phylogenetic perspective on
860 endosymbiosis and algal origin. *Journal of Applied Phycology* **18**, 475-481,
861 doi:10.1007/s10811-006-9054-6 (2006).

862 95 Johnson, M. D., Oldach, D., Delwiche, C. F. & Stoecker, D. K. Retention of transcriptionally
863 active cryptophyte nuclei by the ciliate *Myrionecta rubra*. *Nature* **445**, 426-428,
864 doi:10.1038/nature05496 (2007).

865 96 Adler, L. N., Gomez, T. A., Clarke, S. G. & Linster, C. L. A novel GDP-D-glucose phosphorylase
866 involved in quality control of the nucleoside diphosphate sugar pool in *Caenorhabditis*
867 *elegans* and mammals. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 21511-21523, doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.238774 (2011).

868 97 Chan, C. X. *et al.* Porphyra (Bangiophyceae) Transcriptomes Provide Insights Into Red Algal
869 Development And Metabolism. *Journal of Phycology* **48**, 1328-1342, doi:DOI 10.1111/j.1529-
870 8817.2012.01229.x (2012).

871 98 Chan, C. X. *et al.* Red and Green Algal Monophyly and Extensive Gene Sharing Found in a
872 Rich Repertoire of Red Algal Genes. *Current Biology* **21**, 328-333, doi:DOI
873 10.1016/j.cub.2011.01.037 (2011).

874 99 Milton, K. & Jenness, R. Ascorbic-Acid Content of Neotropical Plant-Parts Available to Wild
875 Monkeys and Bats. *Experientia* **43**, 339-342, doi:Doi 10.1007/Bf01945577 (1987).

876 100 Birney, E. C., Jenness, R. & Ayaz, K. M. Inability of bats to synthesise L-ascorbic acid. *Nature*
877 **260**, 626-628 (1976).

878 101 Cui, J., Yuan, X., Wang, L., Jones, G. & Zhang, S. Recent loss of vitamin C biosynthesis ability
879 in bats. *PLoS One* **6**, e27114, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027114 (2011).

880 102 Dabrowski, K. Gulonolactone oxidase is missing in teleost fish. The direct
881 spectrophotometric assay. *Biol Chem Hoppe Seyler* **371**, 207-214 (1990).

882 103 Desjardins, L. M., Castell, J. D. & Kean, J. C. Synthesis of Dehydroascorbic Acid by Subadult
883 Lobsters (*Homarus-Americanus*). *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* **42**, 370-373, doi:Doi 10.1139/F85-048
884 (1985).

885 104 Hapette, A. M. & Poulet, S. A. Application of High-Performance Liquid-Chromatography to
886 the Determination of Ascorbic-Acid in Marine Plankton. *J Liq Chromatogr* **13**, 357-370,
887 doi:Doi 10.1080/01483919008049549 (1990).

888 105 Pierre, L. L. Synthesis of ascorbic acid by the normal fatbody of the cockroach, *Leucophaea*
889 *maderae* (F.), and by its symbionts. *Nature* **193**, 904-905 (1962).

890

891

892 **Figure Legends**

893 **Figure 1: Major ascorbate biosynthetic pathways in eukaryotes**

894 The scheme depicts the three major ascorbate biosynthetic pathways found in eukaryotes
895 ^{19,22,48}. The plant pathway (also known as the Smirnoff-Wheeler or D-mannose/L-galactose
896 pathway) involves no inversion of the carbon chain (i.e. C1 of D-glucose becomes C1 of L-
897 ascorbate), whereas the euglenid and animal pathways involve inversion of the carbon chain
898 in the conversion from uronic acid to aldonolactone (i.e. C1 of D-glucose becomes C6 of L-
899 ascorbate). Our analyses focus on enzymes with a dedicated role in ascorbate biosynthesis
900 (shown in red): GULO – L-GulL oxidase; VTC2 - GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase; VTC4 –
901 L-galactose-1-phosphate phosphatase; L-galDH – L-galactose dehydrogenase; GLDH – L-
902 GalL dehydrogenase. The other enzymes are: PGM - phosphoglucomutase; UGP - UDP-D-
903 glucose pyrophosphorylase; UGDH - UDP-D-glucose dehydrogenase; UGUR - UDP-
904 glucuronidase; GlcUAR - D-glucuronate reductase; SMP30 – regucalcin/lactonase; GAE -
905 UDP-D-glucuronate-4-epimerase; GalUAR - D-galacturonate reductase. Enzyme names are
906 not listed for steps where multiple enzymes may be involved or where specific enzymes have
907 not been identified.

908

909 **Figure 2: Coulson plot indicating the taxonomic distribution of the different ascorbate** 910 **pathways**

911 40 eukaryote genomes were analysed for the presence of genes in the ascorbate biosynthetic
912 pathways. The two potential terminal enzymes in the pathway are boxed. *GLDH* is common
913 to both the 'plant' and 'euglenid' type pathways. A schematic tree depicts the currently
914 accepted phylogenetic relationships between organisms. The predicted route of ascorbate
915 biosynthesis in each organism is shown. Note that 'euglenid' and 'rhodophyte' type pathways
916 cannot currently be distinguished from sequence analysis alone and the predictions are based
917 on biochemical evidence. Asterisk denotes a genome assembly was not available for *Euglena*
918 *gracilis* and its transcriptome was analysed (methods). Grey circles in VTC4 represent the
919 presence of a highly similar enzyme, *myo*-inositol-1-phosphate phosphatase that exhibits L-
920 galactose-1-phosphatase activity. GULO in trypanosomes and yeasts acts to oxidise the
921 alternative substrates L-galactonolactone or D-arabinonolactone respectively. VTC3 is not a
922 biosynthetic enzyme, but represents a dual function Ser/Thr protein kinase/protein

923 phosphatase 2C that may play a regulatory role in the plant pathway. Black cross represents a
924 pseudogene encoding a non-functional enzyme. Organisms with sequenced genomes that
925 were found to lack both of the terminal enzymes in the known pathways (GULO and GLDH)
926 are likely to be ascorbate auxotrophs and were not included in the plot. These include *Giardia*
927 *intestinalis*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *Entamoeba invadens*, *Plasmodium falciparum* and
928 *Perkinsus marinus*.

929 **Figure 2: figure supplement 1: Distribution of GULO and GLDH in the Archaeplastida**

930 A schematic tree demonstrating the currently accepted phylogenetic positions of the major
931 lineages in the Archaeplastida^{43,94}. The presence of either *GULO* or *GLDH* in representatives
932 of each lineage is shown. The boxes denote the Viridiplantae (green), Rhodophyta (red) and
933 Glaucophyta.

934 **Figure 2: figure supplement 2: Distribution of the different ascorbate pathways**

935 The schematic tree summarises the distribution of the two terminal enzymes in ascorbate
936 biosynthesis, along with VTC2, the first committed enzyme in plant pathway. Blue lines
937 indicate photosynthetic lineages derived by the primary endosymbiosis (of a
938 cyanobacterium). Red or green lines indicate lineages that have become photosynthetic
939 following a secondary endosymbiosis event with either a red or a green alga respectively. It
940 should be noted that the timing and origin of many secondary endosymbioses remain unclear,
941 particularly within the SAR supergroup where several non-photosynthetic lineages within the
942 stramenopiles, alveolates and even rhizaria may potentially have lost an ancestral plastid.
943 *GULO* is found in basally derived lineages of the Archaeplastida, Excavata, Opisthokonta,
944 Amoebozoa and the CCTH group. In contrast, *GLDH* is found predominately in
945 photosynthetic eukaryotes, although it is also found in non-photosynthetic stramenopiles and
946 rhizaria and also in some choanoflagellates. Lineages where there is biochemical evidence
947 determining inversion or non-inversion of the carbon chain in the conversion from D-glucose
948 to ascorbate are shown.

949

950 **Figure 3: Phylogenetic analysis of L-gulonolactone oxidase and L-galactonolactone**
951 **dehydrogenase**

952 A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree demonstrating the relationships between
953 aldonolactone oxidoreductases involved in ascorbate biosynthesis. A multiple sequence
954 alignment of 263 amino acid residues was used with alditol oxidases from the vanillyl alcohol
955 oxidase (VAO) family acting as the outgroup. Photosynthetic organisms are shown in green.
956 There is strong support for a monophyletic origin for *GLDH* in eukaryotes. Bootstrap values
957 >80% are shown above nodes (100 bootstraps) and Bayesian posterior probabilities >0.95 are
958 shown below (10000000 generations), except for selected key nodes (circled) where all
959 values are displayed.

960 **Figure 3: figure supplement 1: Phylogenetic analysis of L-galactonolactone**
961 **dehydrogenase**

962 An unrooted maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of *GLDH*. To improve resolution of
963 *GLDH* phylogeny, an individual phylogenetic analysis was performed using a larger
964 alignment (302 amino acids) with greater taxonomic sampling (151 sequences), although
965 relationships between major taxonomic groups remain poorly resolved. Bootstrap values
966 >70% are shown (100 bootstraps).

967

968 **Figure 4: Biochemical evidence for a modified D-mannose/L-galactose pathway in**
969 **rhodophytes**

970 A) Crude extracts of *Porphyra umbilicalis* thallus demonstrate L-galactose dehydrogenase
971 activity using 5 mM L-galactose (L-Gal) as a substrate. No activity was demonstrated with 5
972 mM L-fucose (6-deoxy-L-galactose) as a substrate. The result is representative of three
973 different enzyme preparations. B) Feeding 10 mM L-Gal to *Porphyra* thallus for 24 h resulted
974 in an accumulation of ascorbate (detected as dehydroascorbate - DHA). D-mannose (10 mM)
975 did not cause an increase in ascorbate in *Porphyra*, but exogenous D-mannose does not
976 elevate ascorbate in land plants even though it is an intermediate in ascorbate biosynthesis.
977 The bar chart shows mean peak areas of selected fragments (\pm s.d.). n=3. C) Feeding
978 ascorbate precursors (25 mM) to *Galdieria sulphararia* from both the plant and animal
979 pathways results in increased cellular ascorbate (detected as dehydroascorbate using GC-MS)
980 (\pm s.d.). The extent of the increase in cellular ascorbate is influenced by the rate of conversion
981 of the intermediate and the rate of its uptake into the cell. n=3. D) Feeding D-[1-¹³C]-glucose
982 (25 mM) to *Galdieria sulphararia* results in enrichment of ¹³C in the 316/317 m/z fragment

983 of dehydroascorbate (which includes C1), but not in the 245/246 m/z or 157/158 m/z
984 fragment (which exclude C1) (\pm s.d.). In contrast, feeding D-[6-¹³C]-glucose (25 mM) labels
985 all fragments, suggesting that they all include C6. In combination, this labelling pattern
986 indicates plant-like non-inversion of the carbon chain in the conversion of hexoses to
987 ascorbate. n=3.

988 **Figure 4: figure supplement 1: Positional isotopic labelling of ascorbate biosynthesis**

989 Analysis of ascorbate by GC-MS. Ascorbate is oxidised to dehydroascorbate during the
990 derivatisation process and representative accurate mass spectra are shown. Analysis of a L-[1-
991 ¹³C]-ascorbate standard indicates that the m/z 316 fragment of dehydroascorbate contains C1
992 whilst other fragments (m/z 157 and 245) do not. Dehydroascorbate from *G. sulphuraria*
993 extracts exhibits an identical retention time and mass spectra to that of the ascorbate standard.

994

995 **Figure 5: Coulson Plot showing the distribution of photoprotective ascorbate-dependent**
996 **enzymes**

997 Eukaryote genomes were analysed for the presence of enzymes from the plant ascorbate-
998 glutathione cycle, the xanthophyll cycle and other ascorbate-dependent enzymes. We found
999 that eukaryotes possess two distinct isoforms of GSH reductase. PeroxiBase was used to
1000 distinguish between the different forms of ascorbate peroxidase ⁵⁶. Boxes highlight the
1001 terminal enzymes in the biosynthetic pathway and the ascorbate peroxidase family (APX,
1002 APX-R and APX-CCX). MDHAR - monodehydroascorbate reductase; DHAR -
1003 dehydroascorbate reductase; GR-I - glutathione reductase isoform I; GR-II - glutathione
1004 reductase isoform II; APX - ascorbate peroxidase; APX-R - ascorbate peroxidase-related;
1005 APX-CCX - hybrid ascorbate peroxidase/cytochrome c peroxidase; VDE - violaxanthin de-
1006 epoxidase; VDE-like - violaxanthin de-epoxidase like; AO - ascorbate oxidase.

1007

1008 **Figure 6: Evolutionary scenarios for *GULO* and *GLDH***

1009 The scheme illustrates two most likely evolutionary scenarios responsible for the distribution
1010 of *GULO* and *GLDH* in eukaryotes. In the ancient paralogy scenario, an ancient gene
1011 duplication in the last common eukaryote ancestor results in the presence of two functionally
1012 similar genes, *GULO* and *GLDH*, followed by differential loss of either gene in each lineage.

1013 In the endosymbiotic gene transfer (EGT) scenario, *GULO* represents the ancestral gene and
1014 *GLDH* represents a novel gene that arose in a specific lineage. EGT of *GLDH* (red dashed
1015 arrow) to other photosynthetic lineages (green ovals) enables functional replacement of the
1016 ancestral gene. Note that *GULO* represents an ancestral gene in both of these evolutionary
1017 scenarios.

1018

1019 **Figure 7: A proposed evolutionary model of ascorbate biosynthesis**

1020 The scheme illustrates the proposed events in the EGT evolutionary model of eukaryote
1021 ascorbate biosynthesis. In this scenario, ancestral eukaryotes synthesised ascorbate *via*
1022 *GULO*. *GLDH* arose in the Archaeplastida following primary endosymbiosis of a
1023 cyanobacterium, after the divergence of the glaucophyte lineage. *GLDH* functionally replaced
1024 *GULO* in the red and green algal lineages, coinciding with the rise of the photoprotective role
1025 of ascorbate. Plastid acquisition *via* secondary endosymbiosis of either a green or red alga
1026 resulted in endosymbiotic gene transfer of *GLDH* and replacement of *GULO*. As these
1027 organisms became the dominant primary producers in many ecosystems, a series of trophic
1028 interactions (dotted lines) resulted in the loss of *GULO* in non-photosynthetic organisms,
1029 either by providing a ready supply of dietary ascorbate (resulting in ascorbate auxotrophy in
1030 heterotrophic organisms) or through putative horizontal gene transfer of *GLDH* (e.g.
1031 choanoflagellates). For clarity, not all potential trophic interactions are shown.

1032

1033

1034 **Table 1: Dietary sources of ascorbate in animal ascorbate auxotrophs**

1035 Major sources of dietary ascorbate were identified in known animal auxotrophs. This
1036 information allows us to assess which terminal enzyme contributed to the production of
1037 dietary ascorbate. In nearly all cases the major source of dietary ascorbate is most likely to
1038 have been derived from GLDH. Phylogenetic analyses suggest GULO has been lost on
1039 multiple independent occasions throughout the Chiroptera (bats). Although ancestral bats
1040 may have been primarily insectivores, various sources of dietary ascorbate may have
1041 contributed to GULO loss. The passerine birds that are unable to synthesise ascorbate are
1042 primarily herbivores or insectivores. However, some members of the *Lanius* genus (shrikes)
1043 feed also on small vertebrates, in addition to insects. Most teleost fish are believed to be
1044 ascorbate auxotrophs due to loss of GULO. As zooplankton (primarily crustacea) are also
1045 ascorbate auxotrophs, phytoplankton are likely to be the ultimate source of dietary ascorbate.
1046 Reports suggest the ability of crustacea to synthesise ascorbate is either absent or very weak,
1047 although the taxonomic sampling and currently available genomic resources are limited.
1048 Most, but not all, phytophagous insects have a dietary requirement for ascorbate, and we did
1049 not find GULO in any insect genomes. Note also that some species of insect (e.g.
1050 cockroaches) may obtain ascorbate from eukaryote endosymbionts, which may allow them to
1051 survive on ascorbate-poor diets.

1052 **Supplementary File 1: Distribution of *GULO* and *GLDH* in opisthokont and**
1053 **apusomonad genomes**

1054 Genomes of the opisthokonts (including animals and fungi) were examined for the presence
1055 of *GULO* and *GLDH*. Strikethrough indicates non-functional pseudogenes. The absence of
1056 *GULO* is well documented in the known ascorbate auxotrophs such as haplorhine primates,
1057 guinea pigs, bats, teleost fish and passerine birds. Some fungi (e.g. ascomycetes) use D-
1058 arabinonolactone oxidase to produce five carbon ascorbate analogue, erythroascorbate. D-
1059 arabinonolactone oxidase has different substrate specificity to *GULO*, but exhibits a high
1060 degree of sequence similarity and has been classed as *GULO* in the table.

1061 **Supplementary File 2: Identification of *GULO* and *GLDH* in marine microbial**
1062 **eukaryote transcriptomes**

1063 Data from the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project (MMETSP,
1064 <http://marinemicroeukaryotes.org/>) were analysed for the terminal enzymes in ascorbate
1065 biosynthesis. This dataset contains 679 transcriptomes from 320 different species. Sequence
1066 similarity searches used a stringent length cut off to avoid ambiguous results from
1067 incompletely sequenced gene products (minimum length 300 amino acids). Using these
1068 criteria, we identified *GULO* or *GLDH* in 165 species. Although the absence of a gene in a
1069 transcriptome cannot be used to infer absence, we found no examples of organisms that
1070 possess both *GULO* and *GLDH*, even when a more relaxed length criterion was used
1071 (minimum length 100 amino acids). Note that the underlined *GLDH* sequences from the
1072 ciliates *Myrionecta* and *Strombidinopsis* are 100% identical to sequences recovered from
1073 their prey (respectively *Geminigera cryophila* and *Isochrysis galbana*). These sequences may
1074 therefore be due to contamination. Alternatively, as both these ciliates exhibit kleptoplasty,
1075 the presence of algal *GLDH* sequences in the ciliate transcriptome may also represent
1076 examples of plastid-related nuclear genes that are retained and transcribed to aid plastid
1077 function⁹⁵. In the latter scenario, these ciliates could therefore use *GLDH* to temporarily
1078 synthesise ascorbate during plastid acquisition.

1079 **Supplementary File 3: Identification of *VTC2* in marine microbial eukaryote**
1080 **transcriptomes**

1081 Data from the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project (MMETSP)
1082 was analysed for *VTC2*, encoding GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase, the first committed step

1083 in land plant ascorbate biosynthesis. Sequence similarity searches used a stringent cut off to
1084 avoid ambiguous results from incompletely sequenced gene products (minimum length 300
1085 amino acids). *VTC2* was identified in 37 species, all of which belong to the Chlorophyta.
1086 Genes exhibiting weak similarity to GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase, which may represent
1087 homologues of GDP-D-glucose phosphorylase⁹⁶, were not included in these results.

1088 **Supplementary File 4: Distribution of ascorbate biosynthetic genes in Archaeplastida** 1089 **transcriptomes**

1090 Rhodophyte transcriptomes from the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing
1091 Project (MMETSP) or Genbank^{97,98} were examined for the presence of ascorbate biosynthesis
1092 genes. The rhodophytes transcriptomes all exhibit the pathway found in the genomes of
1093 *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*, *Chondrus crispus* and *Porphyridium purpureum*, possessing
1094 *GLDH* rather than *GULO*. *Chlorokybus atmophyticus* is a green alga belonging to the
1095 Streptophyte lineage containing land plants and charophyte algae. The *Chlorokybus*
1096 transcriptome appears unique amongst the Viridiplantate in that it contains *GULO* rather than
1097 *GLDH*. All of the other enzymes of the plant pathway are present.

1098 **Supplementary File 5: Genome resources used in this study**

1099 A list of the eukaryote genomes used to study the distribution of genes relating to ascorbate
1100 biosynthesis and metabolism.

Animal auxotroph	Primary dietary source of ascorbate	Ultimate dietary source of ascorbate	Enzyme for ascorbate synthesis	References
Primates	Land plants		GLDH	99
Guinea pig	Land plants		GLDH	
Bats	Land plants		GLDH	99-101
	Insects	Land plants	GLDH	
	Fish	Phytoplankton	GLDH	
	Blood		GULO	
Passerine birds	Land plants		GLDH	15
	Insects	Land plants	GLDH	
	Small vertebrates		GULO	
Teleost fish	Zooplankton (crustacea)	Phytoplankton	GLDH	102
	Phytoplankton		GLDH	
Crustacea	Phytoplankton		GLDH	103,104
Phytophagous insects	Land plants		GLDH	35,105

1101

1102 **Table 1: Dietary sources of ascorbate in animal auxotrophs**