A stone anchor from the Farasan Islands, Saudi Arabia.

A recent rapid archaeological survey of the three main islands of the Farasan archipelago has identified a large, three-holed stone anchor that is the first in an on-land context to be reported from Saudi Arabia.

The Farasan archipelago lies off the Arabian shore of the southern Red Sea, some 50 km from Jizan, the Saudi port town from which it is today reached (Fig. 1). Most of islands – more than 140 – are in Saudi territory, while the southernmost extend into Yemen. Three of the larger islands – Greater Farasan, Segid and Qumah – are inhabited. The islands’ geology comprises elevated fossil-coral plateaux that reach a maximum altitude of 70 m, giving rise to a largely flat, arid terrain cut through with occasional shallow wadis on the larger islands, and areas of coral-sand dunes and plains. The climate is hot and arid, with mean annual rainfall at the nearest weather station in Jizan measuring 129 mm/yr (Hall et al., 1998: 191). Limited arable and date-palm cultivation occurs in some wadis. Wells, many probably in use since antiquity, occur at several locations around Greater Farasan and Segid. The presence of accessible groundwater must have represented an attractive source of water for ships navigating the southern Red Sea in the past (Cooper and Zazzaro, forthcoming). Moreover, medieval and modern pilot guides suggest that the eastern side of the Red Sea has long been favoured for northward sailing in the region (Tibbetts, 1971: 259; Davies and Morgan, 2002: 121), further placing the islands as a convenient stop-over for ships. The Martyrium of St Arethas says that a naval fleet was based on the islands in the early 6th century AD (Van Hecke et al. 1861: 747). The political allegiance of this fleet is unknown.

The stone anchor (Fig. 2) was recorded during a preliminary archaeological assessment survey of the islands of Greater Farasan, Segid and Qumah, conducted alongside a wider ethnographic survey by members of the University of Exeter’s MARES Project (Cooper and Zazzaro, forthcoming; Agius, Cooper, et al, forthcoming). The archaeological sites visited and logged during the survey include settlements, wells, cemeteries, and a rock-cut cave, only few of which have been published previously, and then only briefly (Zarins et al., 1981: 27). The only other published archaeological work on the islands has focused on prehistoric human exploitation of the marine environment (Bailey et al. 2007a, 2007b). The MARES
fieldwork included the recording of the location of the sites with a hand-held GPS unit; site photography; sketch-mapping; and a preliminary assessment of surface ceramics. The latter, together with already reported ancient South Arabian and Roman epigraphic evidence from sites across the main island, point to multiple periods of occupation ranging from the first half of the 1st millennium BC to the present era, and suggest contact with ancient South Arabia, East Africa and the Aksumite kingdom, the Roman world, Persia the Far East and, more recently, the Netherlands (Cooper and Zazzaro, forthcoming; Marion de Procé and Phillips, 2010; Phillips et al., 2004; Villeneuve, 2004, 2007a, 2007b, 2008; Villeneuve et al., 2004).

Figure 1. The survey area: Wadi Matar, Farasan Islands, Saudi Arabia.
The anchor

While many of the archaeological remains encountered on the islands imply past maritime connections with overseas locations and societies, only one discovery – the large stone anchor reported here – provides direct evidence of the nautical technology employed.

The anchor was found on land, some 2.6 km from the nearest contemporary shoreline, on a plateau alongside the Wadi Matar in the southeast of Greater Farasan island (Fig. 1). It was found on the surface on the eastern periphery of Wadi Matar ٍبٍ (ب), one of the four sites on the plateau previously identified by Zarins, Murad and Al-Yish (1981: 27), and labelled with letters of the Arabic alphabet – the others sites being Wadi Matar ٍلٍ (أ)، ٍحٍ (ح) and ٍجٍ (ج).

The anchor was found lying flat, with one face on the ground, and its upper face, sides and holes exposed. It was too heavy to be lifted with the resources available to the survey, and the observations made here are therefore on the basis of what was apparent. The anchor is broadly in the shape of an isosceles triangle with rounded corners, measuring 153 cm long and 85 cm wide at its short edge, with a thickness of c. 20 cm (Fig. 2). It has three holes pierced through it, each measuring between 11 and 15 cm in diameter. Two are near the short edge, the anchor’s base, and probably held wooden flukes. The third is near the apex, and would have secured the hauling rope. The anchor appears to have been made from the same fossil-coral rock that forms the main substrate of the islands, and which was used in the masonry of the visible building remains in the Wadi Matar. Tool-marks visible on the edges of the anchor
suggest that it has been shaped from a flat slab, the sides being cut using a metal implement. No tool marks were visible on the top surface. The holes themselves appeared to have been carved through in one direction from one side to the other, using a metal chisel.

Figure 3. A general view of the Wadi Matar Bāʾ site, on which the anchor was found (John P. Cooper).

The anchor in its archaeological context

The Wadi Matar Bāʾ site comprises the remains of a relatively dense group of interconnected fossil-coral stone buildings covering an area of some 110 m by 200 m (Fig. 3). The buildings were characterized by rubble-stone walls standing up to 0.5 m high, with doorways oriented in the cardinal directions. These characteristic doorways – fifteen in total – were observed to have the same construction as buildings at other sites on the plateau, as well as elsewhere on the islands: the two dressed-stone doorposts of each doorway ranged from c. 50-185 cm in height, 15-44 cm in depth, and 56-92 cm in width at the base, sometimes tapering towards the top. Each doorway also had a flat threshold slab measuring c. 90-102 cm in width and 30-73 cm in depth (Cooper and Zazzaro, forthcoming).

Dating indicators, mainly ceramic and epigraphic, point to a broadly pre-Islamic date for most of the Wadi Matar sites, including Wadi Matar Bāʾ. The doorway of one building at the latter site comprised two large facing monolithic slabs (measuring respectively 183 x 56 x 40 cm
and 170 x 74 x 37 cm), roughly rectangular in cross section, and bearing short and highly eroded Ancient South Arabian inscriptions. Surface pottery on the Wadi Matar sites in general mainly comprised fragments of red or brown mineral-tempered ware, with a dark grey core and brown burnished surfaces. These included the everted rims of jars and bowls, large rims of bowls or basins, horizontal and vertical handle fragments, and ring bases. They might be ascribed broadly to the ancient South Arabian period. One or possibly two everted rim fragments of an orange ware with dark-grey core were comparable to Aksumite cooking pots dating to the Aksumite 3 phase (AD 350-550) (Andrea Manzo, pers. comm.). Other imports included pink-ware sherds with horizontal ribs and external yellow slip. One rim fragment of pink ware had an external green-yellow surface. Both these could belong to Byzantine amphorae (Cooper and Zazzaro, forthcoming). At Wadi Matar Ḥāʾ, just 60 m north of site Bāʾ, fragments of the body and bifid handle of a Dressel 2–4 amphora from Campania, Italy (late 1st-century BC-79 AD) were also found (Keay and Williams, 2005).

The only site on the plateau with indicators of later occupation was Wadi Matar South, some 750 m south of Wadi Matar Bāʾ. Here, sherds of Islamic and early-modern pottery were found in addition to Byzantine red-ware and turquoise-glazed wares of potentially broad date.

**Discussion**

The efficacy of the Wadi Matar anchor is based on its large size and weight, and on the two flukes, now absent, near the base that would have gripped rocks or penetrated seabed sediments. The anchor’s large dimensions also suggest it was intended for a large vessel, on which it could have been used either as an anchor or, on occasion, as ballast. The manufacture and the appearance of the anchor do not compare to other anchors reported elsewhere to date: this, together with the fact that a local stone has been used, suggests an indigenous or regional technology.

The dating of stone anchors is notoriously difficult, since they often occur as isolated finds, often under water, with no associable archaeological material. The use of anchors with three holes is attested earliest in the eastern Mediterranean in the Bronze Age (Shaw 1995), and occurs in many locations around the world until modern times, including locations around the Indian Ocean (Gaur, *et al.*, fig. 20; Sila Tripati and Gaur, 1997; Sundaresh *et al.*, 1999; Vosmer, 1999, fig. 3). Within the Red Sea, two three-holed stone anchors – both smaller in dimensions than the Wadi Matar anchor – were found associated with the Naama shipwreck, which dates to the late 13th or early 14th century AD (Raban 1972-75 and 1990: 299-302).
However, a typological comparison of the Wadi Matar anchor with others over a wider geographical area suggests an extremely broad date for it from between the Bronze Age and the Medieval period, rather than modern (see, for example, Grossmann and Kingsley 1996: 50-52). Notwithstanding its surface context, the occurrence of this anchor on land in association with a particular archaeological settlement site allows us tentatively to propose a pre-Islamic date for it, based on the preliminary indications of surface pottery finds.

How the anchor ended up at a site over 2.6 km from the sea is as yet a matter for speculation. Sea levels have changed little in the period under consideration. The strand plain to the south of the fossil-coral plateau on which the anchor stands does show signs of progradation, but even assuming the total absence of this plain during antiquity, the anchor would still have been deposited almost 2 km from the sea. It is conceivable that the find location reflects a re-use of one of the doorposts of Wadi Matar Bā‘; the post having been extracted and worked into its anchor form following the abandonment of the building in question. The implication of this interpretation is that the object might never have left the site, or have been used as an anchor. An alternative interpretation is that the object was transported to the site for some purpose. Given the plentiful supply of coral-stone on the plateau, it is unlikely to have been brought there as crude building material. Whether made on site or brought there, the possible ritual meaning of the anchor in this location should be considered.

It is not unprecedented to find stone anchors inland, often in Bronze-Age ritual contexts, and there are parallels around the western Indian Ocean and Eastern Mediterranean (Wachsmann 1998: 258-273). Stone anchors from the period have been found re-used with ritual significance in the temples of Ras Shamra in Syria and Byblos in Lebanon (Frost 1969 and 1991); in the Red Sea at the Egyptian Pharaonic site of Mersa Gawasis (Zazzaro and Abdel Maguid 2006 and Zazzaro and Abdel Maguid, forthcoming); and in the Gulf, in the courtyard of the second temple of Barber in Bahrain (Mortensen 1986: 184), as well as at Tell Sa’d in Kuwait (Vine and Casey 1992: 21). The Wadi Matar anchor should also be considered in the light of these comparisons.
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