## 1 Optics for Concentrating Photovoltaics: trends, limits and opportunities for materials and

- 2 design
- 3

4 Katie Shanks<sup>a</sup>\*, S . Senthilarasu<sup>a1</sup> and Tapas K. Mallick<sup>a2</sup>

- <sup>5</sup> <sup>a</sup>Environment and Sustainability Institute, University of Exeter Penryn Campus, Penryn, TR10 9FE.
- 6 <u><sup>1</sup>S.Sundaram@exeter.ac.uk</u>, <sup>2</sup>T.K.Mallick@exeter.ac.uk
- 7 \*Corresponding Author: kmas201@exeter.ac.uk
- 8
- 9

## 10 Abstract

11 Concentrating photovoltaic (CPV) systems are a key step in expanding the use of solar energy. Solar 12 cells can operate at increased efficiencies under higher solar concentration and replacing solar cells 13 with optical devices to capture light is an effective method of decreasing the cost of a system 14 without compromising the amount of solar energy absorbed. However, CPV systems are still in a 15 stage of development where new designs, methods and materials are still being created in order to 16 reach a low levelled cost of energy comparable to standard silicon based PV systems. This article 17 outlines the different types of concentration photovoltaic systems, their various design advantages 18 and limitations, and noticeable trends. This will include comparisons on materials used, optical 19 efficiency and optical tolerance (acceptance angle). As well as reviewing the recent development in 20 the most commonly used and most established designs such as the Fresnel lens and parabolic 21 trough/dish, novel optics and materials are also suggested. The aim of this review is to provide the 22 reader with an understanding of the many types of solar concentrators and their reported 23 advantages and disadvantages. This review should aid the development of solar concentrator optics 24 by highlighting the successful trends and emphasising the importance of novel designs and materials 25 in need of further research. There is a vast opportunity for solar concentrator designs to expand into 26 other scientific fields and take advantage of these developed resources. Solar concentrator 27 technologies have many layers and factors to be considered when designing. This review attempts to 28 simplify and categorise these layers and stresses the significance of comparing as many of the 29 applicable factors as possible when choosing the right design for an application.

30 From this review, it has been ascertained that higher concentration levels are being achieved and 31 will likely continue to increase as high performance high concentration designs are developed. 32 Fresnel lenses have been identified as having a greater optical tolerance than reflective parabolic 33 concentrators but more complex homogenisers are being developed for both system types which 34 improve multiple performance factors. Trends towards higher performance solar concentrator 35 designs include the use of micro-patterned structures and attention to detailed design such as 36 tailoring secondary optics to primary optics and vice-versa. There is still a vast potential for what 37 materials and surface structures could be utilised for solar concentrator designs especially if 38 inspiration is taken from biological structures already proven to manipulate light in nature.

# 39 Keywords: Renewable Energy; Solar; Concentrating Photovoltaic; Materials; Biomimicry;

### 41 **1.** Introduction

## 42 **1.1.** The Benefits of Concentrator Photovoltaics and review objectives

43 The sun delivers 120 petajoules of energy per second to the Earth. In 1 hour the sun delivers more 44 energy to Earth than humanity consumes over the course of a year. The ability to harvest this solar 45 energy efficiently and cost effectively however is challenging. For this reason, there is a growing 46 interest in concentrating photovoltaic (CPV) technologies which are systems made up of optical 47 devices that focus light towards decreased areas of photovoltaic (PV) material. In this way the 48 expensive PV material is replaced by more affordable mirrors and/or lenses, reducing the overall 49 cost of the system but maintaining the area of energy captured and the efficiency at which it is 50 converted. Not only can CPV systems be the answer to reducing the cost of solar power but they are 51 more environmentally friendly than regular flat plate PV panels. This is due to two reasons; CPV 52 technology uses less semiconductor components which are made from heavily mined and relatively 53 rare metals, and CPV technology has a smaller impact on the albedo change in an area than flat plate 54 PV panels [1,2]. Burg et al. [1] and Akbari et al. [2] explain this further. Aside from this, the two main 55 advantages of concentrating photovoltaics (CPV) are their ability to reduce system costs and to 56 increase the efficiency limits of solar cells [3].

57

However, at present it is difficult to produce cost competitive CPV systems in comparison to those of flat plate photovoltaic (PV) [4–6]. More reliable optics of higher concentration levels and lower dependencies on expensive tracking and cooling systems need to be designed. This requires novel structures and materials to be investigated. Secondary optics in particular hold a vast potential for improving the acceptance angle and optical tolerance of a CPV system and there are many more designs and materials yet to be tested.

64

65 This literature review aims to identify new routes to developing high performance and reliable optics 66 for solar concentrator applications. To do this, the subject of solar concentrators must first be 67 explained as it stands, and then broadened to justify novel design opportunities. One objective of this review is to give a basis of the most established methods of solar photovoltaic concentrating 68 69 and group them where possible. By categorising designs effectively, development trends can be seen 70 more clearly and routes for improved devices substantiated. This also requires presenting the 71 advantages and disadvantages of each group of devices which can become very complicated as a 72 solar concentrator's performance depends on multiple factors (figure 1). We also aim to outline the 73 design considerations and in particular emphasis the importance of surface structure and material 74 on a concentrator optics performance as shown in figure 1. This area of research hence requires us 75 to branch into the materials science where inspiration can often be taken by structures found in 76 nature. Overall, this results in a rather extensive review but one which is necessary to fully 77 appreciate the potential for solar concentrator designs and guide them towards a more 78 comprehensive capacity.

79

80

## 81 **1.2.** Concentrator Design Categorisation

Concentrating photovoltaic systems can be categorised in a variety of ways as shown in figure 2. We will provide a simple grouping of these different designs in order to aid the comparison of different research areas and literature. The concentration of a system or optic can be classed as low (<10 suns), medium (10-100 suns), high (100-2000 suns) and ultrahigh (>2000 suns) due to the different solar tracking requirements outlined by Chemisana et al. [7]. The main methods of concentration are; reflective, refractive, luminescent, and total internal reflection (TIR) although the latter is included within the refractive and luminescent types. This paper focuses on reflective and refractive 89 photovoltaic systems. Each type of concentrating photovoltaic system has advantages and 90 disadvantages and it is important to know the application and location to choose the most 91 appropriate design. A concentrator characterisation table is given in table 1 to help visualise the 92 different basic systems and the many combinations possible.

93

### 94 2. Primary Optics

95 The most common and widely adopted primary design concepts are the Fresnel lens and parabolic 96 mirror (Table 1). These two concentrators differ in a number of ways, allowing them to suit different 97 applications. One important characteristic is their range of concentration. Under normal incidence 98 the maximum concentration ratio achievable on earth is 46000X [8]. Languy et al. [9] investigated 99 the concentration limits of Fresnel lenses and found the concentration limit to be around 1000X due 100 to chromatic aberration but this could be increased by combining a diverging polycarbonate (PC) 101 lens and a converging PMMA lens to achieve up to ~8500X concentration [8]. Canavarro et al. [10] 102 suggest a singular parabolic trough (with no secondary optics) is suited to concentrations of only  $\sim$ 70X, above which the optical efficiency, acceptance angle and irradiance distribution begin to 103 104 compromise each other. Various research in this field has extended the concentration of parabolic 105 troughs to ~200X [11–15]. These singular optic designs however still have a severe dependency on 106 optical tolerance, which includes: acceptance angle, solar tracking, manufacturing accuracy, wind 107 load effects and the optical finish quality (see figure 1). By matching receiver size to concentrated 108 beam radius, the optical tolerance can be increased for high concentration optics, but not without lowering the topical efficiency due to the Gaussian shape of solar light [16,17]. The use of a second 109 110 concentrator element is needed to bring the concentration value as close to the limit as possible and 111 relax the demand on the system accuracy. This is the case for both point focus and line focus 112 systems [18]. Due to the increasing importance and complexity of the optical tolerance and 113 acceptance angle of CPV systems, this area is reviewed on its own in section 2.3.

114

Brunotte et al. investigated the design of a primary parabolic trough with a secondary crossed standard CPC, reaching 214X concentration and concluded ratios exceeding 250X were possible [19]. Canavarro et al. [10] similarly later proposed the use of a new ZZ SMS secondary optic to increase the 70X limit to 213X and achieve an increased acceptance angle. More recently Canavarro et al. [12] have proposed a number of potential parabolic trough concentrator designs with larger aperture areas but still of only medium concentration levels to maintain acceptable acceptance angles.

121

122 Fresnel lens designs seemingly can cope better without the aid of a secondary optic in comparison to 123 parabolic mirrors. There are a number of reports describing Fresnel lens systems with somewhat 124 enhanced irradiance uniformity, optical tolerance, efficiency and concentration. This however could 125 be due to the broader interest in Fresnel lenses, accompanied by more ongoing research and 126 ingenuity in designs. Gonzalez et al [20] proposed a curved cylindrical Fresnel lens with good uniform 127 irradiance but with significant manufacturing problems. J. Pan et al. [21] designed a Fresnel lens 128 where each pitch focused to a different area upon the receiver, improving uniformity without the aid 129 of a secondary optic. The design however lacked a good acceptance angle (only ~0.3 degrees) [21]. 130 Benitez et al. [22] and Jing et al. [23] have also both designed their own unique Fresnel lenses to 131 focus the light rays to different 'entry' areas of the secondary which has also been tailor designed. 132 Both systems had an improved irradiance distribution, an optical efficiency of >80% and an acceptance angle of ~1.3 degrees. This suggests fitting secondaries and primaries to complement 133 134 each other is important and that CPV technologies would benefit more from many unique designs, 135 than a few 'standards'. Although moving towards new designs, solar concentrators, especially in a

commercial sense, are currently largely in the standards phase. This is however understandable as
 the technology is still relatively new and the conventional Fresnel lens and parabolic concentrators
 are the most tested and proven.

139

140 Zhenfeng Shuang et al. [24] more recently also redesigned the ring structure of a Fresnel lens; 141 rearrangement of the rings resulted in a significantly improved irradiance uniformity as shown in fig. 142 3. This attention to surface structure again protrudes, this time for a singular optic, as a strong 143 method to improve concentrator performance. By tailoring the macro- or micro- structure (rings in 144 these scenarios) and avoiding continuous surfaces on reflectors, high optical efficiencies and 145 improved irradiance distributions are achievable. Zanganeh et al. [25] developed a solar dish 146 concentrator based on ellipsoidal polyester membrane facets which could reach an optical efficiency 147 of 90% while maintaining a good optical tolerance, and V-groove reflectors have shown optical 148 efficiencies of >80% within systems [26] and helped surpass 2D concentration limits [27]. Nilsson et 149 al. [28] proposed a stationary asymmetric parabolic solar concentrator with a micro-structured 150 reflector surface. Three different micro-structures were tested, the highest optical efficiency 151 obtained was 88% and all distributions had reduced irradiance peaks in comparison to the non-152 micro-structured counterpart. The optical surface, and hence material, structure and quality 153 evidently plays a key role in concentrator design and performance but expands extensively into the 154 areas of materials science. The subject is hence discussed later in sections 5 and 6.

155

# 156 **3.** Secondary Optics

157 The compound parabolic concentrator (CPC) (fig. 4) is the most studied stationary and secondary 158 optic and is said to be an ideal concentrator in that it works perfectly for all rays within the designed 159 acceptance angle (in 2D geometry) [13,29]. The 3D CPC is also very close to ideal [13]. CPC's can 160 theoretically be used for higher concentration ratios than Fresnel lenses and match the theoretical 161 concentration limit of purely reflective optics at 42000X [30,31] but their very high aspect-ratio makes them impractical for implementation at >40X [30]. There have been variations in the CPC 162 design to improve different aspects such as concentration ratio and irradiance distribution. Some of 163 164 these designs include the crossed CPC (CCPC) [32] and similarly the 3D CPC [33], as well as the 165 polygonal CPC designs [34] and the lens walled CPC [35–37] (all shown in fig. 4). The CPC and many of its variations commonly lack a good irradiance distribution as described by Victoria et al. [38] who 166 167 compared different secondaries for a primary lens, and by Sellami et al. [32] for the CCPC. T. Cooper et al. [34] investigated polygonal CPCs with a varying number of sides and concluded that the cubic 168 169 CPC was best suited when low reflectance materials are being utilised. This is one example of when 170 the true optimum concentrator design will be an amalgamation of multiple factors, in this case of 171 the efficiency and available resources. The lens-walled CPC reduces the amount of material required 172 and hence has a lower weight than the filled dielectric CPC. It has been proven to have an improved 173 acceptance angle and irradiance distribution than the mirror CPC but has a lower maximum optical 174 efficiency [35-37].

175

176 The significance of these differing characteristics is that the location, incident sunlight conditions and 177 tracker options would decide which CPC type suited best. Again, this reinforces the idea that no one 178 design will be absolutely better than another and specific adaptation, although not the easiest, is 179 likely to be the most beneficial procedure in concentrator development. The irradiance distribution 180 uniformity of the CPC seems to be an inherent flaw which again suggests more novel optics need to 181 be investigated. It is however recognised that for many systems this inhomogeneous light and heat 182 distribution has either little effect or is manageable depending on concentration ratio, solar cell 183 specifications and cooling methods. Solar cell structures and cooling technologies are beyond the

scope of this review but can influence optic design as significantly as any other factor alreadydiscussed.

186

187 Light funnels and homogenisers (Figure 4) have been utilised by many to improve the acceptance 188 angle and irradiance distribution of a system [13,39–44]. These typically take on the shape of an 189 inverted cone or pyramid but there are also elliptical and hyperbolic shapes possible [45–48] such as 190 the square elliptical hyperboloid (SEH) designed by Nazmi et al. [49-51]. Some examples of 191 geometries are shown in fig. 4. The square elliptical hyperboloid (SEH) based on the ideal trumpet 192 concentrator has an elliptical entry aperture connected to a square exit aperture via hyperbolic 193 curves [49]. Nazmi et al. concluded a concentration ratio of 6X for the SEH is the optimum for use as 194 a stationary solar concentrator despite its low optical efficiency of 55% but the main use of this type 195 of concentrator is for building integrated photovoltaic applications and its performance as a final 196 stage light funnel has still to be tested. The 4x concentration ratio SEH design has however a higher 197 optical efficiency of 68% [49] and may be more suited in HCPV optical systems if it can improve 198 optical tolerance significantly.

199

200 The dome lens typically uses less material than a filled dielectric CPC and can be easier to 201 manufacture [38]. The dome lens and ball lens have proven to have higher acceptance angle values 202 than even the CPC and with improved irradiance distributions [38,52]. Due to the ball lens 3D 203 symmetry, any expansion due to heat should not affect the performance of the ball lens to redirect 204 the light rays to the intended destination. However the weight and support of the ball lens is more 205 difficult to accommodate and may need another optic at the receiver [52]. More research is needed 206 to find the full potential of the ball and dome lenses as secondary optics but there is growing 207 interest in similar geometries for secondary optics [22,23].

208

Simple plane mirrors can be used to homogenise the distribution of solar flux on to the receiver as
discussed by Chong et al. [53] but it has been shown that V-groove reflectors are more effective as
mentioned earlier and investigated by Uematsu et al. [54–56] and Weber et al. [26].

212

# 213 4. Overall Optical tolerance and Acceptance Angle

214 The acceptance angle for high concentration devices such as parabolic dishes and Fresnel lenses, 215 without additional optics is very low [29,57,58] as depicted in figure 5. Akisawa et al. [29] proposed a 216 dome-shaped non-imaging Fresnel lens. The tracking tolerance of the proposed lens held efficiencies 217 of ~90% up to an incident angle of 0.4 degrees, then dropped to 80% at 0.6 degrees and then to 10% 218 at 1 degree. Recently, more focus is given to the acceptance angle and overall tolerance of a CPV 219 system and higher acceptance angles are being achieved. Dreger et al. [59] obtained an acceptance 220 angle of 0.75 degrees without the need of a tertiary optic such as a homogeniser but by instead 221 reducing the path length. ISFOC and GreenMountain studies have HCPV modules with acceptance 222 values of 1.2 degrees and 1.4 degrees respectively [60]. Opsun Technologies claim to have a HCPV 223 system of 380X with an acceptance angle of 3.2 degrees and an optical efficiency of 87% [60]. They 224 also propose they can design a CPV system of 1000X with an acceptance angle 1.9 degrees [60]. This 225 would be a significant achievement in CPV technology if the system has a similarly high optical 226 efficiency and acceptable irradiance distribution as well.

227

Low concentration optics (LCO) are not as dependent on solar tracking as high concentration systems due to the principle of etendue [41,58]. LCO's can be static or quasi-static and due to their typical high acceptance angle they can often gather direct and diffuse radiation [49,61–63]. This eliminates the need for continuous sun tracking systems and reduces the overall system cost [42,64– 232 66]. For a V-trough concentrator, Tang et al. [42] suggests a concentration less than 2 for a fixed 233 position but for concentrations >2 several tilt adjustments should be made to significantly increase 234 annual solar gain and take full advantage of the systems capabilities. Similarly X. Li et al. [67] compared a 3X and 6X truncated mirror CPC where the 6X CPC needed adjusted five times a day but 235 236 the 3X did not. For higher concentrations, the frequency and accuracy of the tracking must increase 237 which tends to lead to very expensive solar trackers for HCPV technologies. New concentrator optics 238 with improved optical tolerance could thus be vastly beneficial to developing high and ultra-high 239 concentrator photovoltaics. There is always an inevitable trade-off required between acceptance 240 angle, optical efficiency and irradiance distribution but recent novel designs are extending when this 241 compromise is required (figure 5). Truncation can increase the acceptance angle of a mirror CPC but 242 it also reduces the geometrical concentration ratio [10]. This could be the condition for most optics 243 [27,40,61,68–70] and explains why Fresnel lenses, truncated convex lenses, typically have a higher 244 acceptance angle than parabolic concentrators of a similar concentration ratio. Truncation can also 245 be thought of as a method to reduce the light ray path length within an optical system which has 246 already been said to increase the acceptance angle [4,59]

247

248 Larger opening angles are another option to improve the optical tolerance and reduce the effect of 249 wind induced deviations, manufacturing errors and sagging as reported by Canavarro et al. [10]. This 250 method however can also reduce the optical efficiency and concentration ratio of a system. The 251 acceptance angle, optical efficiency and irradiance uniformity are interlinked and hence systems 252 usually prioritise optical efficiency as shown in figure 5. As mentioned earlier the lens walled CPC has 253 an improved acceptance angle in comparison to the refractive CPC but a lower optical efficiency 254 (figure 5). There are studies however that suggest a decrease in optical efficiency, to gain higher 255 acceptance angles will still produce more yearly energy output [60,71,72] but this will be depend on 256 the specific application and location.

257

# 258 **5.** <u>Materials</u>

# 259 **5.1. Reflective**

260 The optical performance of a CPV system is equally dependent on chosen material and surface 261 structure as well as geometrical design. Reflective concentrators for example do not suffer from 262 selective wavelength absorption and dispersion associated with dielectric lenses [73–75]. In terms of 263 the overall desired criteria of a CPV system and its individual components, reflectors technically use 264 less material than conventional lenses as they are not "filled". They are however said to be more prone to manufacturing errors and are less tolerant to slope error than lenses [30]. The advantage of 265 reflective secondary optics is they tend to have increased flux uniformity and colour mixing effects. 266 267 Dielectric secondaries utilise TIR and can withstand more internal reflections without much loss [76]. 268 For both reflective and refractive optics fewer reflections and stages are always preferred

269 The simple polishing of metal can result in a reflective mirror finish but such polished surfaces are 270 very heavy and specific curved shapes are difficult and therefore expensive to manufacture [77,78]. 271 Reflective film mirrors is a second option but this setup often has low reflectivity when also applied 272 to complex surfaces [78]. Polymer mirror films are a more recent third method to gain reflectance 273 values of >90% but require specially designed structures to gain the appropriate shapes for a given 274 application [25,79]. Vacuum metalizing is therefore the current best option but this process is highly 275 dependent on the material and surface quality it is bonded with in order to ensure a high quality 276 mirror finish [77,80]. Due to the limitations of all these materials and processes it can be concluded 277 that further research into effective reflective materials for CPV applications is required. 278

279 L. Yin et al. [81] studied the surface qualities of different brittle materials used for the nano-abrasive 280 fabrication of optical mirrors. They found that surface roughness in ultra-precision grinding 281 increased with brittleness and hence brittle materials gave a lower reflectance after processing. The 282 principal means of shaping and finishing ceramic optics is abrasive machining with abrasive tools 283 involved with grinding, lapping and polishing. Laser-assisted machining is also an option [81–85]. The 284 high hardness of these materials as well as the inherent brittleness and associated susceptibility to 285 fracture, makes abrasive machining response an important issue in the fabrication of optical mirrors. 286 In general, material responses to machining depend strongly on microstructure and mechanical 287 properties [81].

288

289 Options for reflectors include mirrored (silvered) glass, aluminized or polished metals or plastics, 290 including silvered polymers, aluminized polymers and anodized aluminium. Examples of polymer 291 films used include polymethlmethacrylate (PMMA) researched by Schissel et al. [86] and 292 polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film researched by Kennedy et al. [87]. Schissel et al. [86] 293 demonstrated the environmental durability of silvered-PMMA reflectors which have an un-294 weathered solar reflectance as high as glass reflectors at 97%. The reflectance of freshly deposited 295 silver is roughly 97% (fig. 6) dropping to 84% after 3 years due to weathering. Soiling appears not to 296 be a major issue affecting the long-term performance of silvered-PMMA reflectors but regular 297 contact (abrasive) cleaning is required to retain efficiencies up to about 93%. Fend et al. [88] 298 researched cheaper lighter high reflectance aluminized sheets which also had good mechanical 299 properties. Fend et al. [89] then later compared various samples of reflectors for optical durability in 300 outdoor weather conditions. SolarBrite 95, a silvered UV-stabilized polyester film, had an un-301 weathered reflectance of ~92% which dropped below 90% after 2 years. Thin glass mirrors have 302 better durability but are more costly and difficult to handle. Their un-weathered reflectance was 303 93% to 96% and can last as long as 5 years with 5% reflectance loss. A graph of the standard 304 reflectance spectra of the most common metals is given in fig. 6 however reflectance spectra will 305 depend on specific manufacturing process, composition of metal and any coatings applied. 306 Reflectance Measurements for a hand polished aluminium dish and a vapour metalized acrylonitrile 307 butadiene styrene (ABS) semi-sphere are also shown in figure 6 to show example reflectance spectra 308 for these materials and methods of manufacturing.

309

310 Fend et al. [89] also confirmed that different locations and environments affect durability by as 311 much as 2 years difference. Front surfaced aluminized reflectors exhibit adequate optical durability 312 in non-industrial/urban environments but corrode rapidly in atmospheric pollutants. Their un-313 weathered reflectance was ~90% and dropped by ~4% in 4 years depending on location [89]. Flabeg 314 thick glass mirrors have excellent durability to scratches and surface damage but are still fragile if 315 strained and heavy. Curvature is also difficult and requires slumped glass that is expensive and in 316 some cases can break due to high winds. The un-weathered reflectance was reported as 88% to 92% 317 and dropped by ~2% depending on location for up to 4-5 years [89].

318

319 Mallick et al. [90] designed and experimentally tested a non-imaging asymmetric compound 320 parabolic concentrator with a self-adhesive multi-layer polymer film, which had a quoted specular 321 reflectance of 98% in the visible region. The material was also non-corroding and non-conductive 322 due to it being metal free and also thermally stable up to a continuous temperature of 150 degrees 323 with low levels of shrinkage. The designed system was of 2x concentration however and its 324 performance under higher concentrations and temperatures needs to be tested. Higher 325 concentration optics as mentioned have a reduced optical tolerance and hence require higher 326 accuracy of optical shape and surface smoothness. Given the limitations of all existing systems, 327 materials and manufacturing processes, further study into possible reflective materials and 328 structures is important.

329

## 330 5.2. Refractive

331 Fresnel lenses have traditionally been manufactured out of poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) 332 which due to the dispersion curve causes longitudinal chromatic aberration (LCA). The 333 manufacturing processes can include hot-embossing, casting, extruding, laminating, compressionmoulding, or injection-moulding thermoplastic PMMA [91]. Sources for refractive lenses and 334 335 materials are abundant but not all have been tested for CPV applications. Optical or mirror-grade 336 PMMA material may come from the automotive, lighting or skylight industries. Optical-grade poly 337 (dimethyl siloxane) (PDMS), another material increasingly being used, has applicable formulations 338 shared with the aerospace, electronics, and light-emitting diode industries. A heavier lens 339 technology consists of acrylic or silicone facets patterned onto glass as researched in the late 1970s by J.R. Egger [92] and Lorenzo et al. [93] in 1979. PMMA and PDMS are at present the preferred 340 341 medium to be adhered to glass and patterned as a Fresnel lens. Polycarbonate (PC) is sometimes 342 suggested as an alternative to PMMA due to its significantly greater toughness which prevents 343 mechanical fracture and fatigue. However PC is less scratch resistant [94] and has a smaller spectral 344 bandwidth, optical transmittance [95] and suffers more from optical dispersion, chromatic 345 aberration and solar-induced photo oxidation [96–99].

346 One of the advantages of Fresnel lens designs is that they double as the top cover encasing of the system. In reflective systems a cover glass of high transmittance is used to seal and protect the 347 348 optics inside but still adds loss to the system. Refractive lens systems effectively eliminate this stage 349 and save around 5-10% light loss. Using the primary lens as the boundary to the outside weather 350 however, adds other demands. PMMA has a transmittance of ~95% (fig. 7) but high temperature 351 treatments such as calcination, which is a preparation method of antireflective and antifogging 352 coatings, cannot be used on PMMA material. To achieve an anti-reflective property on PMMA 353 (refractive index = 1.49) one method is to layer coatings of lower refractive indexes. Finding suitable 354 sources of high transmitting but low refractive index materials however is also challenging. Zhou et 355 al. [100] overcame both these difficulties and successfully fabricated antifogging and antireflective 356 coatings on Fresnel lenses while achieving a transmittance of 98.5%. By spin-assembling solid and 357 mesoporous silica nanoparticles, which have voids and result in a lower refractive index, Zhou et al 358 avoided high temperature treatments and produced coatings with a refractive index between 1.32 359 and 1.40.. This reinforces the importance of researching new materials and structures to overcome 360 current CPV challenges and limitations.

361 Chromatic aberration is a common problem in refractive lenses. Chromatic aberration can be 362 reduced if a domed Fresnel lens geometry is used as carried out by Akisawa et al. [29]. As discussed 363 earlier, Languy et al. [9,30] designed and manufactured an achromatic Fresnel doublet which 364 combines the advantages of plastic lenses without being affected by chromatic aberrations. The 365 achromatic Fresnel doublet is tolerant of manufacturing errors and the dispersion uncertainty of the 366 refractive index, making it suitable in conditions where the temperature can alter the refractive 367 index and shape of the lens. However, a redesign was required to avoid soiling of the outward 368 patterned lens [8]. In the latter study, PMMA and PC were suitable materials at minimizing the 369 longitudinal chromatic aberration (LCA) down to 0.1% with a wavelength range of 380 – 1680nm 370 along the visible and near-infrared regions [8].

For refractive materials under concentrated light conditions there can be significant temperature and ultraviolet (UV) exposure effects. Miller et al. [95] investigated the photo degradation of CPV modules via accelerated UV testing and analysed the optical transmittance spectra of various CPV
 refractive materials as shown in fig. 7. There is however still a great need for research into material
 durability and performance with time in different environments.

## 376 6. Novel Optics and Materials

## **377 6.1. Novel Optics**

Due to the developing state of CPV technology, a variety of novel designs are still being created and tested. Laine et al. [73] investigated a transmissive non-imaging Fresnel type reflector concentrator made of a continuous reflective spiral (shown in fig. 8). Stefancich et al. [101] proposed a spectral splitting primary optic which dispersed different wavelengths to different single junction solar cells arranged along the focus plane. This was an alternative to focusing the light to one multijunction solar cell but still obtaining similar overall conversion efficiencies. This has also been proposed elsewhere [102,103].

385

Jing et al. [23] coupled the design of a novel Fresnel lens with a novel secondary optic with specific 386 387 'entry' points. This attention to detailed design and matching primaries with secondaries can yield 388 simultaneous benefits in concentration ratio, optical efficiency, acceptance angle and uniform distribution which is otherwise very difficult to do effectively. Y. Liu et al. [104] use a novel channel 389 390 waveguide as a secondary which collects focused light rays from a Fresnel lens array primary. At 391 each focal point there is a microstructure which couples the light into the waveguide. This structure can reach 800X concentration at 89.1% optical efficiency and a 0.7 degrees acceptance angle. Similar 392 393 designs have been tried and tested by many other researchers [66,105–108]. Y. Jung et al. [70] 394 designed a novel metal slit array Fresnel lens for wavelength scale coupling into a nano-photonic 395 waveguide. Although aimed at a different application, this paper demonstrates the flexibility of 396 concentrator optics. T. Waritanant et al. [109] was able to obtain a maximum collection efficiency of 397 54% for a wedge prism concentrator coupled with a diffraction grating. M. D. Huges et al. [110] 398 found that a wedge shaped Luminescent Solar Concentrator (LSC) is able to produce a larger average 399 power density year round under direct illumination than a planar LSC but unusually its optimum 400 orientation was when tilted away from the sun and for this reason may be more suited to latitudes 401 further from the equator. These are just some examples of the novel designs being explored within 402 CPV technologies and how they can vary.

403

## 404 6.2. Novel Materials

405 Some applicable concepts for solar concentrators include: spectrally selective coatings [111–113]; 406 switchable optics which can change from transparent to reflective; anti-reflective and reflective 407 enhancing coatings [111,113]; water filled optics; nanocrystal materials, graphene layers [114,115] 408 as well as other organic and inorganic materials. Much of this technology is researched extensively in 409 the glazing and window industry but less so in the application of CPV's due to the associated high 410 costs of such materials. These materials however hold a lot of potential for advancing solar 411 concentrator technologies, some more than others for specific applications such as building 412 integrated concentrator photovoltaics (BICPV).

413

Hybrid organic-inorganic (O-I) materials are nano-composite materials with both an inorganic and
organic (bio-organic) component. These O-I materials often have impressive characteristics. For
example, the Maya Blue pigment is the incorporation of a natural organic dye within the channels of
micro-fibrous clay. This hybrid material is of a strong blue colouring which lasts against weathering
and bio-degradation to the extent that 12 century old vestiges are still appreciable today [116]. The

419 hybrid materials processed by D. Avnir et al. [117–120] provided many advances in many diverse

fields including optics. There are now many industrially developed hybrid materials including films, membranes, fibres, powders, monoliths and micro (and nano) patterns [121–125]. Graphene has found many uses in a variety of applications due to its tenability and unique properties. It has a very promising optical transparency of 97.7% but more research is required into its use in solar concentrator materials [126].

425 Nature has a vast range of advanced complex structures which have been studied by many to be 426 replicated and adapted for our own use [127–132]. A clear example is the application of light 427 trapping microstructures, inspired by moth eye facets and other natural light trapping structures, 428 imprinted upon solar cells to enhance light collection and conversion efficiencies [132–134]. Nature 429 has created these structures over billions of years and optimised their functions through evolution. A 430 process which will forever exceed any 'trial and error' optimisation routine carried out by ourselves. 431 Structures within nature often must fulfil multiple functions and hence are usually a complex 432 hierarchal multi-scale system. Such structures may hence appear random to us but are in fact a 433 controlled balance of compositions [135-144]. Smith et al. [144] discuss the importance of quasi-434 random nanostructures found in nature and more recently now also in engineering applications such 435 as blue-ray disks due to their ability to manage photons efficiently. This reinforces the importance of 436 surface structures on optical components and why microstructures significantly effect: reflectance, 437 distribution and acceptance angle [21-24,28,64,100,134,145-147]. Siddique et al. [148] has 438 discovered butterfly wings which have a reflectance of only 2-5% over a range of viewing angles. This 439 high transparency at multiple incidence angles could be very useful for solar concentrator optics, in 440 terms of the cover glass encasing and for lens surfaces to increase the optical efficiency and 441 acceptance angle. The Pieridae butterfly achieves the opposite; it has an interesting grooved tiling 442 upon its white wings with an underlying nipple pattern of pterin beads as shown in fig. 9. These 443 wings have a surprisingly high reflectance of 78.9% over the 400-950nm range and are used to 444 concentrate light onto the butterflies' body to help it heat its flight muscles faster [149]. Shanks et 445 al. [149] suggest these wing structures (figure 9) can be the basis of a new lightweight, highly 446 reflective materials for concentrator photovoltaics to greatly improve the power to weight ratio of 447 solar concentrator technologies as demonstrated in figure 10 [149]. In both cases, the wing 448 structures have a very interesting 'random' or 'chaotic' structure but as mentioned earlier, this may 449 have some underlying complex coherence to it that we have yet to understand.

There are numerous studies into how natural structures, especially insect membranes, can affect light [130,131,150–156]. There are also various bio-replication reviews covering a range of applications [157–160]. However, at present it is an untapped area of research for CPV applications.

#### 453 **6.3. Future outlook and discussion**

454 For concentrator photovoltaic technologies to continue to develop there are some key factors that 455 should and likely will be focused upon in ongoing research. One of these is increasing the 456 concentration ratio. High and ultrahigh concentration ratio systems have a vast potential for 457 increasing efficiencies and reducing cost. This is relatively well known and discussed elsewhere 458 [8,60,161]. From the literature reviewed here, other methods to be highlighted which improve CPV 459 performance include: (1) The use of secondary/homogenising optics; (2) Reducing the path length of 460 light rays; and (3) Tailored surfaces structures. Out of these, the attention to optical surface 461 structure (3) is the most promising with the resulting systems being able to simultaneously achieve 462 improved optical efficiency, tolerance and irradiance uniformity (figures 5 and 11). Most CPV systems have to make compromises in one area or another when trying to attain higher 463 464 concentration ratios but the segmented reflectors described here are able to challenge or at least 465 extend this trade-off which is inevitably encountered. The most noteworthy designs are those with

466 ingenuity and careful geometric design (figure 5). Matching the primary output light to input 467 sections of the secondary optic or to illuminate the receiver in a more effective and reliable manner. 468 Ultimately, future CPV optical systems will get larger in concentration ratio but require the use of modular surfaces, facets, truncation and more acute design. This will also increase the dependency 469 470 on the materials available and their properties. It can be seen from figure 5 even in the brief 471 milestones section that one of the breakthroughs for solar concentrator technology was the 472 discovery of PMMA and its application for Fresnel lenses. Fresnel lenses were available before this 473 but only became popular in CPV technology when they became affordable and practical due to 474 PMMA [4,5,162,163]. It is hence not an unusual notion that further breakthroughs in the optics for 475 concentrator photovoltaic applications will be largely due to the development of new materials for 476 its purpose. The combined balance between reducing path length, utilising secondary optics and 477 tailoring surface structures will see the way to ultrahigh concentrator photovoltaics (figure 11).

478 479

## 480 **7.** Conclusion

An extensive review of solar concentrator research and technologies has been carried out, comparing different materials and the optical performance of different designs. There is not enough consideration into the durability of designs and their performance over years of use, especially for concentrators utilising refractive optics. Recurring challenges and trends in the designs of CPVS have been highlighted.

The above review gives examples of how solar concentrators can be designed in a variety of unique 486 487 ways boasting different characteristics for different applications. In order to make the necessary 488 leaps in solar concentrator optics to efficient cost effective PV technologies, future novel designs 489 should consider not only novel geometries but also the effect of different materials and surface 490 structures. Trends towards higher performance solar concentrator designs include the use of micro-491 patterned structures and attention to detailed design such as tailoring secondary optics to primary 492 optics and vice-versa. There is still a vast potential for what materials and hence surface structures 493 could be utilised for solar concentrator designs especially if inspiration is taken from biological 494 structures already proven to manipulate light.

#### 496 FigureS:

### 498

Table 1 Concentrator characterisation table.

Туре	Characterisation by mechanism				Concentration			Shape		
	Refractive	Reflective (Coating)	Reflective (TIR)	Luminescent	Low	Medium	High	Key:	- 	Receiver/Cell Reflector TIR surface Lens Light Ray Luminescent
at reflector [26,164]		х			х	x			<u> </u>	 \
<sup>7</sup> -trough [42]		х			x	x	x			
ight funnel/homogeniser [13,39-44]	х		x		x				• <u>–</u>	<b>⊒</b> 4/
Linear Fresnel reflector [165-167]		x				х	x			
Parabolic dish/trough [10-15]		x				x	x	<i>ه</i> ،		>=## 7 ,
resnel lens [9,22]	x		x			x	x	V		
Compound parabolic concentrator [67]	x				x					
Wedge prism [109]	x	x	x		x					
luminescent/quantum dot [168]	x	x	x	x	x			~	e	Y



499 500 Table 1: Concentrator Characterisation Table.



512 Fig. 3: Improved irradiance distribution of Fresnel lens. By rearranging, or horizontally 'flipping' 513 the Fresnel lens rings a) an improved, more uniform irradiance distribution is obtained as shown in

514 **b) [4,24].** 



Fig. 4: Variations of CPC: a) The revolved CPC. b) The Crossed CPC. c) The Compound CPC. d) The 

- Lens-Walled CPC. Examples of 2D profiles and possible 3D transformations: e) V-trough. f) CPC. g)
- Compound Hyperbolic Concentrator. h) 3D square aperture V-trough. i) Polygonal aperture CPC. j)
- Hyperboloid with an elliptical entry aperture and square exit aperture.



Fig. 5: Performance comparison of various CPV designs on optical efficiency, acceptance angle and 

irradiance uniformity upon receiver.



Fig. 6: Standard reflectance spectra for Aluminium, Silver, Gold and Copper metal [169]. Graph also shows measured reflectance spectra for a hand polished aluminium dish and a vacuum metalized acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) semi-sphere.

533





- Fig. 7: Optical transmittance spectra of various refractive materials for CPV as measured by Miller et al. [95] (Reprinted from ref 80 Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society). The results for flatpanel PV (soda lime glass) as well as the normalized direct solar spectral irradiance (AM1.5 in
- 539 ASTM G173) are provided for reference [95].
- 540 541



- 542
- Fig. 8: Photograph of transmissive solar concentrator designed and tested by Laine et al. [73]
- 544 (Reprinted from ref 68 Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society).
- 545
- 546















Fig. 10: Butterfly wings increase both the output power and the final power to weight ratio of 552 553 solar cells. a) Power output of a mono-crystalline silicon (Si) solar cell either alone, or with large 554 white wings versus reflective film held at the optimal angle of 17°. b) Histogram representing the 555 relative changes in power, weight and the subsequent power to weight ratio of large white butterfly wings versus reflective film. 556

- 557
- 558



Fig. 11: Timeline of CPV designs and predicted future trends towards high and ultrahigh concentration ratios. Within each CPV types range, the most reliable versions will be in the bottom half of the circles whereas the upper half designs will require high accuracy manufacturing and quality materials.

#### 564 **<u>References</u>**

565	[1]	Burg BR, Selviaridis A, Paredes S, Michel B. Ecological and Economical Advantages of Efficient Solar
566		Systems. CPV-10, AIP Conference Proceedings; 2014, p. 317–20. doi:10.1063/1.4897086.

- 567 [2] Akbari H, Damon Matthews H, Seto D. The long-term effect of increasing the albedo of urban areas.
   568 Environ Res Lett 2012;7:024004. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/2/024004.
- 569 [3] Doeleman H. Limiting and realistic efficiencies of multi-junction solar cells. Photonic Mater 2012.
- 570 [4] Shanks K, Senthilarasu S, Mallick TK. High-Concentration Optics for Photovoltaic Applications. In: Pérez571 Higueras P, Fernández EF, editors. High Conc. Photovoltaics Fundam. Eng. Power Plants. 1st ed., Cham:
  572 Springer International Publishing; 2015, p. 85–113. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-15039-0.
- 573 [5] Baharoon DA, Rahman HA, Omar WZW, Fadhl SO. Historical development of concentrating solar power
   574 technologies to generate clean electricity efficiently A review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev
   575 2015;41:996–1027. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.09.008.
- 576[6]Branker K, Pathak MJM, Pearce JM. A review of solar photovoltaic levelized cost of electricity. Renew577Sustain Energy Rev 2011;15:4470–82. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2011.07.104.
- 578 [7] Chemisana D, Mallick T. Building Integrated Concentrated Solar Systems. In: Enteria N, Akbarzadeh A,
  579 editors. Sol. Energy Sci. Eng. Appl. 1st ed., CRC Press; 2014, p. 545–788.

- [8] Languy F, Habraken S. Nonimaging achromatic shaped Fresnel lenses for ultrahigh solar concentration.
   581 Opt Lett 2013;38:1730–2.
- Languy F, Fleury K, Lenaerts C, Loicq J, Regaert D, Thibert T, et al. Flat Fresnel doublets made of PMMA
   and PC: combining low cost production and very high concentration ratio for CPV. Opt Express 2011;19
   Suppl 3:A280–94.
- 585 [10] Canavarro D, Chaves J, Collares-Pereira M. New second-stage concentrators (XX SMS) for parabolic
   586 primaries; Comparison with conventional parabolic trough concentrators. Sol Energy 2013;92:98–105.
   587 doi:10.1016/j.solener.2013.02.011.
- 588 [11] Murphree QC. A point focusing double parabolic trough concentrator. Sol Energy 2001;70:85–94.
  589 doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(00)00138-9.
- 590[12]Canavarro D, Chaves J, Collares-Pereira M. New Optical Designs for Large Parabolic Troughs. Energy591Procedia 2014;49:1279–87. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.03.137.
- 592 [13] Winston R, Miñano JC, Benítez P, Shatz N, Bortz JC. Nonimaging Optics. Elsevier; 2005.
   593 doi:10.1016/B978-012759751-5/50013-0.
- 594 [14] Collares-Pereira M, Gordon JM, Rabl A, Winston R. High concentration two-stage optics for parabolic
   595 trough solar collectors with tubular absorber and large rim angle. Sol Energy 1991;47:457–66.
   596 doi:10.1016/0038-092X(91)90114-C.
- 597[15]Riffelmann K, Richert T, Nava P, Schweitzer A. Ultimate Trough® A Significant Step towards Cost-<br/>competitive CSP. Energy Procedia 2014;49:1831–9. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.03.194.
- 599[16]Baig H, Heasman KC, Mallick TK. Non-uniform illumination in concentrating solar cells. Renew Sustain600Energy Rev 2012;16:5890–909. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2012.06.020.
- 601[17]Shanks K, Sarmah N, Mallick TK. The Design and Optical Optimisation of a Two stage Reflecting High602Concentrating Photovoltaic Module using Ray Trace Modelling. PVSAT-9, 2013.
- 603[18]Palavras I, Bakos GC. Development of a low-cost dish solar concentrator and its application in zeolite604desorption. Renew Energy 2006;31:2422–31. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2005.11.007.
- 605[19]Brunotte M, Goetzberger A, Blieske U. Two-stage concentrator permitting concentration factors up to606300x with one-axis tracking. Sol Energy 1996;56:285–300. doi:10.1016/0038-092X(95)00107-3.
- 607[20]González JC. Design and analysis of a curved cylindrical Fresnel lens that produces high irradiance608uniformity on the solar cell 2009;48:2127–32.
- 609 [21] Pan JW, Huang JY, Wang CM, Hong HF, Liang YP. High concentration and homogenized Fresnel lens
  610 without secondary optics element. Opt Commun 2011;284:4283–8.
  611 doi:10.1016/j.optcom.2011.06.019.
- 612 [22] Benítez P, Miñano JC, Zamora P, Mohedano R, Cvetkovic A, Buljan M, et al. High performance Fresnel-613 based photovoltaic concentrator. Opt Express 2010;18:A25–40. doi:10.1364/OE.18.000A25.
- 614[23]Jing L, Liu H, Zhao H, Lu Z, Wu H, Wang H, et al. Design of novel compound fresnel lens for high-615performance photovoltaic concentrator. Int J Photoenergy 2012;2012. doi:10.1155/2012/630692.

- 616 [24] Zhuang Z, Yu F. Optimization design of hybrid Fresnel-based concentrator for generating uniformity
  617 irradiance with the broad solar spectrum. Opt Laser Technol 2014;60:27–33.
  618 doi:10.1016/j.optlastec.2013.12.021.
- 619[25]Zanganeh G, Bader R, Pedretti a., Pedretti M, Steinfeld a. A solar dish concentrator based on ellipsoidal620polyester membrane facets. Sol Energy 2012;86:40–7. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2011.09.001.
- 621 [26] Weber KJ, Everett V, Deenapanray PNK, Franklin E, Blakers a. W. Modeling of static concentrator
   622 modules incorporating lambertian or v-groove rear reflectors. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells
   623 2006;90:1741–9. doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2005.09.012.
- 624[27]Leutz R, Ries H. Microstructured light guides overcoming the two-dimensional concentration limit. Appl625Opt 2005;44:6885–9. doi:10.1364/AO.44.006885.
- 626[28]Nilsson J, Leutz R, Karlsson B. Micro-structured reflector surfaces for a stationary asymmetric parabolic627solar concentrator. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2007;91:525–33. doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2006.11.003.
- 628 [29] Akisawa A, Hiramatsu M, Ozaki K. Design of dome-shaped non-imaging Fresnel lenses taking chromatic
  629 aberration into account. Sol Energy 2012;86:877–85. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2011.12.017.
- 630 [30] Languy F, Lenaerts C, Loicq J, Thibert T, Habraken S. Performance of solar concentrator made of an
  631 achromatic Fresnel doublet measured with a continuous solar simulator and comparison with a singlet.
  632 Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2013;109:70–6. doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2012.10.008.
- 633 [31] Perez-Higueras P, Fernandez EF, editors. High Concentrator Photovoltaics: Fundamentals, Engineering
  634 and Power Plants. 1st ed. SpringerInternational Publishing; 2015. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-15039-0.
- 635[32]Sellami N, Mallick TK. Optical efficiency study of PV Crossed Compound Parabolic Concentrator. Appl636Energy 2013;102:868–76. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.08.052.
- 637[33]Dai G-L, Xia X-L, Sun C, Zhang H-C. Numerical investigation of the solar concentrating characteristics of6383D CPC and CPC-DC. Sol Energy 2011;85:2833–42. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2011.08.022.
- 639 [34] Cooper T, Dähler F, Ambrosetti G, Pedretti A, Steinfeld A. Performance of compound parabolic
  640 concentrators with polygonal apertures. Sol Energy 2013;95:308–18.
  641 doi:10.1016/j.solener.2013.06.023.
- 642[35]Su Y, Pei G, Riffat SB, Huang H. Radiance/Pmap simulation of a novel lens-walled compound parabolic643concentrator (lens-walled CPC). Energy Procedia 2012;14:572–7. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2011.12.977.
- 644 [36] Su Y, Riffat SB, Pei G. Comparative study on annual solar energy collection of a novel lens-walled
  645 compound parabolic concentrator (lens-walled CPC). Sustain Cities Soc 2012;4:35–40.
  646 doi:10.1016/j.scs.2012.05.001.
- 647 [37] Guiqiang L, Gang P, Yuehong S, Jie J, Riffat SB. Experiment and simulation study on the flux distribution
  648 of lens-walled compound parabolic concentrator compared with mirror compound parabolic
  649 concentrator. Energy 2013;58:398–403. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2013.06.027.
- 650[38]Victoria M, Domínguez C, Antón I, Sala G. Comparative analysis of different secondary optical elements651for aspheric primary lenses. Opt Express 2009;17:6487–92.
- 652[39]Araki K, Kondo M, Uommi H, Yamaguchi M. Experimental proof and theoretical analysis on653effectiveness. 3rd World Conf. Photovolt. Energy Convers., 2003, p. 853–6.

- Araki K, Leutz R, Kondo M, Akisawa A, Kashiwagi T, Yamaguchi M, et al. Development of a metal
  homogenizer for concentrator monolithic multi-junction-cells. Conf. Rec. Twenty-Ninth IEEE Photovolt.
  Spec. Conf. 2002., IEEE; 2002, p. 1572–5. doi:10.1109/PVSC.2002.1190914.
- 657[41]Gordon JM, Feuermann D, Young P. Unfolded aplanats for high-concentration photovoltaics. Opt Lett6582008;33:1114. doi:10.1364/OL.33.001114.
- 659[42]Tang R, Liu X. Optical performance and design optimization of V-trough concentrators for photovoltaic660applications. Sol Energy 2011;85:2154–66. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2011.06.001.
- 661 [43] Fu L, Leutz R, Annen HP. Secondary optics for Fresnel lens solar concentrators. In: Winston R, Gordon
  662 JM, editors. SPIE 7785, Nonimaging Opt. Effic. Des. Illum. Sol. Conc. VII, San Diego: International
  663 Society for Optics and Photonics; 2010, p. 778509. doi:10.1117/12.860438.
- 664[44]Tang R, Wang J. A note on multiple reflections of radiation within CPCs and its effect on calculations of<br/>energy collection. Renew Energy 2013;57:490–6. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2013.02.010.
- 666 [45] Saleh Ali IM, O'Donovan TS, Reddy KS, Mallick TK. An optical analysis of a static 3-D solar concentrator.
  667 Sol Energy 2013;88:57-70. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2012.11.004.
- 668 [46] Ali I, Reddy KS, Mallick TK. Optical performance of circular and elliptical 3-D static solar concentrators.
  669 Natl Sol 2010:1–8.
- 670 [47] Muhammad-Sukki F, Abu-Bakar SH, Ramirez-Iniguez R, McMeekin SG, Stewart BG, Sarmah N, et al.
  671 Mirror symmetrical dielectric totally internally reflecting concentrator for building integrated
  672 photovoltaic systems. Appl Energy 2014;113:32–40. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.07.010.
- 673 [48] Cooper T, Ambrosetti G, Pedretti A, Steinfeld A. Surpassing the 2D limit : a 600x high-concentration PV
  674 collector based on a parabolic trough with tracking secondary optics. Energy Procedia 2014;57:285–90.
  675 doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.10.033.
- 676 [49] Sellami N, Mallick TK. Optical characterisation and optimisation of a static Window Integrated
   677 Concentrating Photovoltaic system. Sol Energy 2013;91:273–82. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2013.02.012.
- 678[50]Sellami N, Mallick TK, McNeil DA. Optical characterisation of 3-D static solar concentrator. Energy679Convers. Manag., vol. 64, 2012, p. 579–86. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2012.05.028.
- Ali I. Design and Analysis of a Novel 3-D Elliptical Hyperboloid Static Solar Concentrator for Process
   Heat Applications Submitted by Institute of Mechanical, Process and Energy Engineering School of
   Engineering and Physical Sciences Edinburgh, United Kingdom. 2013.
- 683 [52] Coughenour BM, Stalcup T, Wheelwright B, Geary A, Hammer K, Angel R. Dish-based high
  684 concentration PV system with Köhler optics. Opt Express 2014;22:A211. doi:10.1364/OE.22.00A211.
- 685[53]Chong KK, Lau SL, Yew TK, Tan PCL. Design and development in optics of concentrator photovoltaic686system. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2013;19:598–612. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.005.
- 687 [54] Uematsu T, Yazawa Y, Miyamura Y, Muramatsu S, Ohtsuka H, Tsutsui K, et al. Static concentrator
   688 photovoltaic module with prism array. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2001;67:415–23.
- 689[55]Uematsu T, Yazawa Y, Joge T, Kokunai S. Fabrication and characterization of a flat-plate static-690concentrator photovoltaic module. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2001;67:425–34.

691 692 693	[56]	Uematsu T, Yazawa Y, Tsutsui K, Miyamura Y, Ohtsuka H, Warabisako T, et al. Design and characterization of flat-plate static-concentrator photovoltaic modules. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2001;67:441–8.
694	[57]	Chaves J. Introduction to nonimaging optics. CRC Press; 2008.
695 696	[58]	Goldstein A, Gordon JM. Tailored solar optics for maximal optical tolerance and concentration. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2011;95:624–9. doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2010.09.029.
697 698	[59]	Dreger M, Wiesenfarth M, Kisser A, Schmid T, Bett AW. Development And Investigation Of A CPV Module With Cassegrain Mirror Optics. CPV-10, 2014.
699 700 701	[60]	Yavrian A, Tremblay S, Levesque M, Gilbert R. How to increase the efficiency of a high concentrating PV (HCPV) by increasing the acceptance angle to ±3.2°. 9TH Int. Conf. Conc. Photovolt. Syst. CPV-9. AIP Conf. Proc., vol. 1556, 2013, p. 197–200. doi:10.1063/1.4822230.
702 703 704	[61]	Sarmah N, Richards BS, Mallick TK. Evaluation and optimization of the optical performance of low- concentrating dielectric compound parabolic concentrator using ray-tracing methods. Appl Opt 2011;50:3303–10.
705 706 707	[62]	Baig H, Sellami N, Chemisana D, Rosell J, Mallick TK. Performance analysis of a dielectric based 3D building integrated concentrating photovoltaic system. Sol Energy 2014;103:525–40. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2014.03.002.
708 709	[63]	Kotsidas P, Chatzi E, Modi V. Stationary nonimaging lenses for solar concentration. Appl Opt 2010;49:5183–91. doi:10.1364/AO.49.005183.
710 711 712	[64]	Peaker AR, Markevich VP. Photovoltaic Power Generation: the Impact of Solar Energy. In: Kasper E, Mussig H-J, Grimmeiss HG, editors. Adv. Electron. Mater., Stafa-Zuerich: Trans Tech Publications Ltd.; 2009.
713 714	[65]	Duerr F, Meuret Y, Thienpont H. Tracking integration in concentrating photovoltaics using laterally moving optics. Opt Express 2011;19 Suppl 3:A207–18.
715 716	[66]	Bouchard S, Thibault S. Planar waveguide concentrator used with a seasonal tracker. Appl Opt 2012;51:6848. doi:10.1364/AO.51.006848.
717 718 719	[67]	Li X, Dai YJ, Li Y, Wang RZ. Comparative study on two novel intermediate temperature CPC solar collectors with the U-shape evacuated tubular absorber. Sol Energy 2013;93:220–34. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2013.04.002.
720 721	[68]	Selimoglu O, Turan R. Exploration of the horizontally staggered light guides for high concentration CPV applications. Opt Express 2012;20:19137–47.
722 723	[69]	Fujieda I, Arizono K, Okuda Y. Design considerations for a concentrator photovoltaic system based on a branched planar waveguide. J Photonics Energy 2012;2:021807. doi:10.1117/1.JPE.2.021807.
724 725	[70]	Jung YJ, Park D, Koo S, Yu S, Park N. Metal slit array Fresnel lens for wavelength-scale optical coupling to nanophotonic waveguides. Opt Express 2009;17:18852–7. doi:10.1364/OE.17.018852.
726 727	[71]	Tang R, Wu M, Yu Y, Li M. Optical performance of fixed east-west aligned CPCs used in China. Renew Energy 2010;35:1837–41. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2009.12.006.

- [72] Earp AA, Smith GB, Swift PD, Franklin J. Maximising the light output of a Luminescent Solar
   Concentrator. Sol Energy 2004;76:655–67. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2004.02.001.
- 730[73]Lainé DC. Transmissive, non-imaging Fresnel types of reflective radiation concentrators revisited. Opt731Laser Technol 2013;54:274–83. doi:10.1016/j.optlastec.2013.05.013.
- [74] Xu YJ, Liao JX, Cai QW, Yang XX. Solar Energy Materials & Solar Cells Preparation of a highly-reflective
   TiO 2 / SiO 2 / Ag thin film with self-cleaning properties by magnetron sputtering for solar front
   reflectors 2013;113:7–12. doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2013.01.034.
- 735 [75] Alcock SG, Sutter JP, Sawhney KJS, Hall DR, McAuley K, Sorensen T. Bimorph mirrors: The good, the
  736 bad, and the ugly. Nucl Instruments Methods Phys Res Sect A Accel Spectrometers, Detect Assoc Equip
  737 2013;710:87–92. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2012.10.135.
- [76] Jaus J, Peharz G, Gombert A, Pablo J, Rodriguez F, Dimroth F, et al. Development of Flatcon Modules
   Using Secondary Optics. IEEE 2009:1931–6.
- 740 [77] Barber GJ, Braem a., Brook NH, Cameron W, D'Ambrosio C, Harnew N, et al. Development of
  741 lightweight carbon-fiber mirrors for the RICH 1 detector of LHCb. Nucl Instruments Methods Phys Res
  742 Sect A Accel Spectrometers, Detect Assoc Equip 2008;593:624–37. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2008.05.050.
- 743 [78] Jagoo Z. Tracking Solar Concentrators. 1st ed. Springer Nether; 2013.
- 744 [79] Bader R, Haueter P, Pedretti a., Steinfeld a. Optical Design of a Novel Two-Stage Solar Trough
  745 Concentrator Based on Pneumatic Polymeric Structures. J Sol Energy Eng 2009;131:031007.
  746 doi:10.1115/1.3142824.
- 747[80]Guo S, Zhang G, Li L, Wang W, Zhao X. Effect of materials and modelling on the design of the space-748based lightweight mirror. Mater Des 2009;30:9–14. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2008.04.056.
- 749[81]Yin L, Huang H. Brittle materials in nano-abrasive fabrication of optical mirror-surfaces. Precis Eng7502008;32:336–41. doi:10.1016/j.precisioneng.2007.09.001.
- Fang FZ, Zhang XD, Weckenmann a., Zhang GX, Evans C. Manufacturing and measurement of freeform
   optics. CIRP Ann Manuf Technol 2013;62:823–46. doi:10.1016/j.cirp.2013.05.003.
- [83] Lodha GS, Yamashita K, Kunieda H, Tawara Y, Yu J, Namba Y, et al. Effect of surface roughness and subsurface damage on grazing-incidence x-ray scattering and specular reflectance. Appl Opt
  755 1998;37:5239–52. doi:10.1364/AO.37.005239.
- 756 [84] Duparré A, Ferre-Borrull J, Gliech S, Notni G, Steinert J, Bennett JM. Surface characterization
   757 techniques for determining the root-mean-square roughness and power spectral densities of optical
   758 components. Appl Opt 2002;41:154–71. doi:10.1364/AO.41.000154.
- 759 [85] Mayne BPW, Asce a M. Relation Between Surface Roughness and Specular Reflectance at Normal760 Incidence 1981;106.
- 761[86]Schissel P, Jorgensen G, Kennedy C, Goggin R. Silvered-PMMA reflectors. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells7621994;33:183–97. doi:10.1016/0927-0248(94)90207-0.
- 763 [87] Kennedy CE, Smilgys RV, Kirkpatrick DA, Ross JS. Optical performance and durability of solar reflectors
  764 protected by an alumina coating. Thin Solid Films 1997;304:303–9. doi:10.1016/S0040-6090(97)00198765 3.

- Fend T, Jorgensen G, Kuster H. Applicability of Highly Reflective Aluminium Coil for Solar
   Concentrators. Sol E 2000;68:361–70.
- 768[89]Fend T, Hoffschmidt B, Jorgensen G, Kuster H, Kruger D, Pitz-Paal R, et al. Comparative assessment of769solar concentrator materials. Sol Energy 2003;74:149–55. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00116-6.
- Mallick TKK, Eames PCC, Hyde TJJ, Norton B. The design and experimental characterisation of an
   asymmetric compound parabolic photovoltaic concentrator for building facade integration in the UK.
   Sol Energy 2004;77:319–27. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2004.05.015.
- [91] Leutz R, Fu L, Annen HP. Stress in large-area optics for solar concentrators. In: Dhere NG, Wohlgemuth
   JH, Ton DT, editors. SPIE Sol. Energy + Technol., International Society for Optics and Photonics; 2009, p.
   775 741206-741206 7. doi:10.1117/12.827357.
- 776 [92] J. R. Egger. Manufacturing Fresnel Lens Master Tooling for Solar Photovoltiac Concentrators 1979:149–
   54.
- [93] Lorenzo E, Sala G. Hybrid silicone-glass Fresnel lens as concentrator for photovoltaic applications. Sun
   II; Proc Silver Jubil Congr 1979;1:536–9.
- 780[94]Mathys Z, Burchill PJ. Influence of location on the weathering of acrylic sheet materials. Polym Degrad781Stab 1997;55:45–54. doi:10.1016/S0141-3910(96)00131-0.
- 782[95]Miller DC. Analysis of transmitted optical spectrum enabling accelerated testing of multijunction783concentrating photovoltaic designs. Opt Eng 2011;50:013003. doi:10.1117/1.3530092.
- 784 [96] Greivenkamp JE. Field Guide to Geometrical Optics. 2004. doi:10.1117/3.547461.
- 785[97]Kasarova SN, Sultanova NG, Ivanov CD, Nikolov ID. Analysis of the dispersion of optical plastic786materials. Opt Mater (Amst) 2007;29:1481–90. doi:10.1016/j.optmat.2006.07.010.
- 787 [98] Andrady A. Wavelength Sensitivity in Polymer Photodegradation. Polymer (Guildf) 1997;128:47–94.
- 788 [99] Andrady AL, Hamid SH, Hu X, Torikai A. Effects of increased solar ultraviolet radiation on materials. J
   789 Photochem Photobiol B Biol 1998;46:96–103. doi:10.1016/S1011-1344(98)00188-2.
- [100] Zhou G, He J, Xu L. Antifogging antireflective coatings on Fresnel lenses by integrating solid and
   mesoporous silica nanoparticles. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 2013;176:41–7.
   doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2013.03.038.
- [101] Stefancich M, Zayan A, Chiesa M, Rampino S, Roncati D, Kimerling L, et al. Single element spectral
   splitting solar concentrator for multiple cells CPV system. Opt Express 2012;20:9004.
   doi:10.1364/OE.20.009004.
- 796 [102] Welford WT, Winston R. High Collection Nonimaging Optics. Elsevier; 1989. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12 742885-7.50021-8.
- Michel C, Loicq J, Languy F, Habraken S. Optical study of a solar concentrator for space applications
   based on a diffractive/refractive optical combination. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2014;120:183–90.
   doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2013.08.042.
- [104] Liu Y, Huang R, Madsen CK. Design of a lens-to-channel waveguide system as a solar concentrator
   structure. Opt Express 2014;22:A198. doi:10.1364/OE.22.00A198.

803 804	[105]	Karp JH, Tremblay EJ, Hallas JM, Ford JE. Orthogonal and secondary concentration in planar micro-optic solar collectors. Opt Express 2011;19 Suppl 4:A673–85. doi:10.1364/OE.19.00A673.
805 806	[106]	Shieh W-C, Su G-D. Compact Solar Concentrator Designed by Minilens and Slab Waveguide. Spie 2011;8108:81080H – 81080H – 9. doi:10.1117/12.892980.
807 808	[107]	Chu S-C, Wu H-Y, Lin H-H. Planar lightguide solar concentrator. SPIE, vol. 8438, 2012, p. 843810– 843810 – 7. doi:10.1117/12.922185.
809 810	[108]	Karp JH, Ford JE. Planar micro-optic solar concentration using multiple imaging lenses into a common slab waveguide. SPIE Sol Energy+ Technol 2009;7407:74070D – 74070D – 11. doi:10.1117/12.826531.
811 812 813	[109]	Waritanant T, Boonruang S, Chung T-Y. High angular tolerance thin profile solar concentrators designed using a wedge prism and diffraction grating. Sol Energy 2013;87:35–41. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2012.10.009.
814 815 816	[110]	Hughes MD, Maher C, Borca-Tasciuc D-A, Polanco D, Kaminski D. Performance comparison of wedge- shaped and planar luminescent solar concentrators. Renew Energy 2013;52:266–72. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2012.10.034.
817 818	[111]	Atkinson C, Sansom CL, Almond HJ, Shaw CP. Coatings for concentrating solar systems – A review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2015;45:113–22. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2015.01.015.
819 820	[112]	Valleti K, Murali Krishna D, Joshi S V. Functional multi-layer nitride coatings for high temperature solar selective applications. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 2014;121:14–21. doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2013.10.024.
821 822	[113]	Suman S, Khan MK, Pathak M. Performance enhancement of solar collectors—A review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2015;49:192–210. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.087.
823 824	[114]	Li N, Cao M, Hu C. Review on the latest design of graphene-based inorganic materials. Nanoscale 2012;4:6205. doi:10.1039/c2nr31750h.
825 826	[115]	Jariwala D, Sangwan VK, Lauhon LJ, Marks TJ, Hersam MC. Carbon nanomaterials for electronics, optoelectronics, photovoltaics, and sensing. Chem Soc Rev 2013;42:2824–60. doi:10.1039/c2cs35335k.
827 828	[116]	Nicole L, Laberty-Robert C, Rozes L, Sanchez C. Hybrid materials science: a promised land for the integrative design of multifunctional materials. Nanoscale 2014;6:6267–92. doi:10.1039/c4nr01788a.
829 830	[117]	Avnir D. Organic Chemistry within Ceramic Matrixes: Doped Sol-Gel Materials. Acc Chem Res 1995;28:328–34. doi:10.1021/ar00056a002.
831 832	[118]	Gelman F, Blum J, Avnir D. One-pot sequences of reactions with sol-gel entrapped opposing reagents: an enzyme and metal-complex catalysts. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:14460–3.
833 834 835	[119]	Levy D, Einhorn S, Avnir D. Applications of the sol-gel process for the preparation of photochromic information-recording materials: synthesis, properties, mechanisms. J Non Cryst Solids 1989;113:137–45. doi:10.1016/0022-3093(89)90004-5.
836 837 838	[120]	Avnir D, Kaufman VR, Reisfeld R. Organic fluorescent dyes trapped in silica and silica-titania thin films by the sol-gel method. Photophysical, film and cage properties. J Non Cryst Solids 1985;74:395–406. doi:10.1016/0022-3093(85)90081-X.
839 840	[121]	Sanchez C, Julián B, Belleville P, Popall M. Applications of hybrid organic–inorganic nanocomposites. J Mater Chem 2005;15:3559. doi:10.1039/b509097k.

- 841 [122] Sanchez Clément KJ. Hybrid materials themed issue. Chem Soc Rev 2011;40:588–95.
  842 doi:10.1039/c0cs00076k.
- Faustini M, Nicole L, Boissière C, Innocenzi P, Sanchez C, Grosso D. Hydrophobic, antireflective, self cleaning, and antifogging sol-gel coatings: An example of multifunctional nanostructured materials for
   photovoltaic cells. Chem Mater 2010;22:4406–13. doi:10.1021/cm100937e.
- 846 [124] Gupta R. Polymer nanocomposites : handbook. Boca Raton: CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group; 2010.
- Faustini M, Boissière C, Nicole L, Grosso D. From chemical solutions to inorganic nanostructured
  materials: A journey into evaporation-driven processes. Chem Mater 2014;26:709–23.
  doi:10.1021/cm402132y.
- Wang L, Lu X, Lei S, Song Y. Graphene-based polyaniline nanocomposites: preparation, properties and
   applications. J Mater Chem A 2014;2:4491. doi:10.1039/c3ta13462h.
- 852 [127] Bhushan B. Biomimetics inspired surfaces for drag reduction and oleophobicity/philicity. Beilstein J
   853 Nanotechnol 2011;2:66–84. doi:10.3762/bjnano.2.9.
- 854 [128] Fratzl P, Weinkamer R. Nature's hierarchical materials. Prog Mater Sci 2007;52:1263–334.
  855 doi:10.1016/j.pmatsci.2007.06.001.
- 856 [129] Potyrailo R a., Ghiradella H, Vertiatchikh A, Dovidenko K, Cournoyer JR, Olson E. Morpho butterfly wing
  857 scales demonstrate highly selective vapour response. Nat Photonics 2007;1:123–8.
  858 doi:10.1038/nphoton.2007.2.
- Kolle M, Salgard-Cunha PM, Scherer MRJ, Huang F, Vukusic P, Mahajan S, et al. Mimicking the colourful wing scale structure of the Papilio blumei butterfly. Nat Nanotechnol 2010;5:511–5.
  doi:10.1038/nnano.2010.101.
- 862 [131] Vukusic P. Manipulating the flow of light with photonic crystals. Phys Today 2006;59:82–3.
  863 doi:10.1063/1.2387101.
- 864 [132] Vukusic P, Sambles JR. Photonic structures in biology. Nature 2003;424:852–5.
  865 doi:10.1038/nature01941.
- Bewan R, Fischer S, Meyer-Rochow VB, Özdemir Y, Hamraz S, Knipp D. Studying nanostructured nipple
   arrays of moth eye facets helps to design better thin film solar cells. Bioinspir Biomim 2012;7:016003.
   doi:10.1088/1748-3182/7/1/016003.
- 869 [134] Huang CK, Sun KW, Chang W-L. Efficiency enhancement of silicon solar cells using a nano-scale
   870 honeycomb broadband anti-reflection structure. Opt Express 2012;20:A85–93.
- 871 [135] Parker AR. 515 million years of structural colour. J Opt A Pure Appl Opt 2000;2:R15–28.
   872 doi:10.1088/1464-4258/2/6/201.
- 873 [136] Ingram AL, Parker AR. A review of the diversity and evolution of photonic structures in butterflies,
  874 incorporating the work of John Huxley (The Natural History Museum, London from 1961 to 1990).
  875 Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2008;363:2465–80. doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.2258.
- 876 [137] Bíró J. Temporal-spatial pattern of true bug assemblies (Heteroptera: gerromorpha, nepomorpha) in
   877 lake Balaton. Appl Ecol Environ Res 2003;1:173–81.

- 878 [138] Biró LP, Lambin P. Nanopatterning of graphene with crystallographic orientation control. Carbon N Y
   879 2010;48:2677–89. doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2010.04.013.
- 880 [139] Biro P. Maya Calendar Origins: Monuments, Mythistory, and the Materialization of Time. Hisp Am Hist
   881 Rev 2009;89:323-4. doi:10.1215/00182168-2008-086.
- [140] Berthier S, Boulenguez J, Menu M, Mottin B. Butterfly inclusions in Van Schrieck masterpieces.
   Techniques and optical properties. Appl Phys A Mater Sci Process 2008;92:51–7. doi:10.1007/s00339 008-4480-8.
- 885 [141] Berthier S, Charron E, Boulenguez J. Morphological structure and optical properties of the wings of
   886 Morphidae. Insect Sci 2006;13:145–58. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7917.2006.00077.x.
- 887 [142] Boulenguez J, Berthier S, Vigneron JP. Simulations tools for natural photonic structures. Phys B
   888 Condens Matter 2007;394:217–20. doi:10.1016/j.physb.2006.12.023.
- 889 [143] Ingram AL, Deparis O, Boulenguez J, Kennaway G, Berthier S, Parker AR. Structural origin of the green
   890 iridescence on the chelicerae of the red-backed jumping spider, Phidippus johnsoni (Salticidae:
   891 Araneae). Arthropod Struct Dev 2011;40:21–5. doi:10.1016/j.asd.2010.07.006.
- 892 [144] Smith AJ, Wang C, Guo D, Sun C, Huang J. Repurposing Blu-ray movie discs as quasi-random
  893 nanoimprinting templates for photon management. Nat Commun 2014;5:5517.
  894 doi:10.1038/ncomms6517.
- 895 [145] Schneider BW, Lal NN, Baker-Finch S, White TP. Pyramidal surface textures for light trapping and
   antireflection in perovskite-on-silicon tandem solar cells. Opt Express 2014;22:A1422.
   897 doi:10.1364/OE.22.0A1422.
- 898 [146] Wang H-P, Lien D-H, Tsai M-L, Lin C-A, Chang H-C, Lai K-Y, et al. Photon management in nanostructured
   899 solar cells. J Mater Chem C 2014;2:3144. doi:10.1039/c3tc32067g.
- 900[147]Tseng JK, Chen YJ, Pan CT, Wu TT, Chung MH. Application of optical film with micro-lens array on a<br/>solar concentrator. Sol Energy 2011;85:2167–78. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2011.06.004.
- 902[148]Siddique RH, Gomard G, Hölscher H. The role of random nanostructures for the omnidirectional anti-<br/>reflection properties of the glasswing butterfly. Nat Commun 2015;6:6909. doi:10.1038/ncomms7909.
- 904[149]Shanks K, Senthilarasu S, Ffrench-Constant RH, Mallick TK. White butterflies as solar photovoltaic905concentrators. Sci Rep 2015;5:12267. doi:10.1038/srep12267.
- 906 [150] Vukusic P. Structural colour: Elusive iridescence strategies brought to light. Curr Biol 2011;21.
   907 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.01.049.
- 908 [151] Stavenga DG, Leertouwer HL, Wilts BD. Coloration principles of nymphaline butterflies thin films,
   909 melanin, ommochromes and wing scale stacking. J Exp Biol 2014;217:2171–80.
   910 doi:10.1242/jeb.098673.
- 911[152]Leertouwer HL. Colourful butterfly wings : scale stacks , iridescence and sexual dichromatism of912Pieridae 2007;67:158–64.
- 913[153]Stavenga DG, Arikawa K. Evolution of color and vision of butterflies. Arthropod Struct Dev9142006;35:307-18. doi:10.1016/j.asd.2006.08.011.

915 Shawkey MD, Morehouse NI, Vukusic P. A protean palette: colour materials and mixing in birds and [154] 916 butterflies. J R Soc Interface 2009;6 Suppl 2:S221–31. doi:10.1098/rsif.2008.0459.focus. 917 [155] Vukusic P, Sambles R, Lawrence C, Wakely G. Sculpted-multilayer optical effects in two species of 918 Papilio butterfly. Appl Opt 2001;40:1116–25. doi:10.1364/AO.40.001116. 919 Vukusic P, Hooper I. Directionally controlled fluorescence emission in butterflies. Science [156] 920 2005;310:1151. doi:10.1126/science.1116612. 921 [157] Meyers M a, Chen P-Y, Lopez MI, Seki Y, Lin AYM. Biological materials: a materials science approach. J 922 Mech Behav Biomed Mater 2011;4:626–57. doi:10.1016/j.jmbbm.2010.08.005. 923 [158] Yu K, Fan T, Lou S, Zhang D. Biomimetic optical materials: Integration of nature's design for 924 manipulation of light. Prog Mater Sci 2013;58:825–73. doi:10.1016/j.pmatsci.2013.03.003. 925 [159] Zhou H, Fan T, Zhang D. Biotemplated materials for sustainable energy and environment: current 926 status and challenges. ChemSusChem 2011;4:1344–87. doi:10.1002/cssc.201100048. 927 [160] Pulsifer DP, Lakhtakia A. Background and survey of bioreplication techniques. Bioinspir Biomim 928 2011;6:031001. doi:10.1088/1748-3182/6/3/031001. 929 [161] Gordon JM, Katz E a., Feuermann D, Huleihil M. Toward ultrahigh-flux photovoltaic concentration. Appl 930 Phys Lett 2004;84:3642. doi:10.1063/1.1723690. 931 [162] Xie WT, Dai YJ, Wang RZ, Sumathy K. Concentrated solar energy applications using Fresnel lenses: A 932 review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2011;15:2588–606. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2011.03.031. 933 [163] Kumar V, Shrivastava RL, Untawale SP. Fresnel lens: A promising alternative of reflectors in 934 concentrated solar power. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2015;44:376–90. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.12.006. 935 [164] Luque A, Hegedus S. Handbook of Photovoltaic Science. England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd; 2003. 936 [165] Gharbi N El, Derbal H, Bouaichaoui S, Said N. A comparative study between parabolic trough collector 937 and linear Fresnel reflector technologies. Energy Procedia 2011;6:565–72. 938 doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2011.05.065. 939 [166] Abbas R, Montes MJ, Piera M, Martínez-Val JM. Solar radiation concentration features in Linear Fresnel 940 Reflector arrays. Energy Convers Manag 2012;54:133-44. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2011.10.010. 941 [167] Abbas R, Muñoz-Antón J, Valdés M, Martínez-Val JM. High concentration linear Fresnel reflectors. 942 Energy Convers Manag 2013;72:60-8. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2013.01.039. 943 [168] Van Sark WGJHM, Barnham KWJ, Slooff LH, Chatten AJ, Büchtemann A, Meyer A, et al. Luminescent 944 Solar Concentrators--a review of recent results. Opt Express 2008;16:21773-92. 945 doi:10.1364/OE.16.021773. 946 [169] Bass M, Enoch JM, Stryland EW, Wolfe WL. Handbook of Optics. vol. 25. 2000. 947 doi:10.3109/15360288.2011.620691.