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The coercive field and angular dependence of the coercive field of single-grain Nd2Fe14B permanent magnets are computed using finite element micromagnetics. It is shown that the thickness of surface defects plays a critical role in determining the reversal process. For small defect thicknesses reversal is heavily driven by nucleation, whereas with increasing defect thickness domain wall de-pinning becomes more important. This change results in an observable shift between two well-known behavioral models. A similar trend is observed in experimental measurements of bulk samples, where an Nd-Cu infiltration process has been used to enhance coercivity by modifying the grain boundaries. When account is taken of the imperfect grain alignment of real magnets, the single-grain computed results appear to closely match experimental behaviour. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4876451]

The reduced value of the coercive field seen experimentally in rare-earth permanent magnets with respect to the Stoner-Wohlfarth coherent rotation value,1 may be related to the fact that a defect layer with reduced anisotropy exists at the surface of Nd2Fe14B.2–4 This has been examined by Kronmüller and collaborators, within the so-called analytical micromagnetic model.2,3 From comparison between model predictions and experimental data, it was concluded that Stoner-Wohlfarth “true nucleation” at defects governs the demagnetization processes.2 The analysis of the temperature dependences of the coercive field in the model gives a thickness of the defect region of the order of 1 nm and demagnetizing field values in excess of 1 T. Still considering that reversal is a nucleation phenomenon (full reversal develops from a very small initial nucleus), Givord et al. concluded that various experimental results are not compatible with S-W true nucleation. They suggested the occurrence of a propagation/expansion mechanism, reminiscent of domain wall de-pinng, with thermal activation playing an important role.5,6

The angular dependence of the coercive field constitutes an important tool to identify the processes governing magnetization reversal in hard magnetic materials. Theoretical models for the angular dependence of the coercive field Hc include the Stoner-Wohlfarth (S-W) model $H_{c}^{SW} = H_{s} \cos^{-1/2}(\theta) + \sin^{-3/2}(\theta)$, based on coherent rotation, where $H_{s} = 2K_{1}/\mu_{0}M_{s}$ is the anisotropy field, $K_{1}$ is the uniaxial magneto-crystalline anisotropy constant and $M_{s}$ is the saturation magnetization and the Kondorsky model $H_{c}^{K} = H_{p}/\cos \theta$, which was originally derived through consideration of pinning mechanisms at internal defect sites, where $H_{p}$ is the field required to depin a domain wall from the defects (it is implicitly assumed here that $H_{p} \ll H_{s}$). Various experimental studies considered the angular dependence of the coercive field in Nd2Fe14B magnets3,7–10 A difficulty in the experimental analysis is the fact that angular dependence of the coercive field is substantially flattened by the distribution of easy-axis orientation in real magnets.8–11 In the case where reversal is governed by S-W nucleation, further flattening of the angular dependence of the coercive field can be linked to the reduced influence of defects on the nucleation field at large angles.11

Recent numerical evidence from atomistic calculations for Nd2Fe14B sintered magnets12 suggests that this defect thickness varies between 0.4 nm and 1.6 nm. It is also possible that the ground boundary phase itself is weakly ferromagnetic and acts as a soft defect at the surface of the Nd2Fe14B grains.13

In this work, the polyhedral grains in Nd2Fe14B magnets will be approximated by a cube model. In such polyhedral magnetic grains reversal begins at the edges, usually a corner, where increased demagnetizing field causes localized curling of the magnetization. The magnetization reversal process will be computed numerically by solving the equation of motion for the magnetization. As opposed to linearized micromagnetic models,7 both the linear and non-linear nature of the equations will be taken into account. Demagnetizing fields will cause a non-uniform magnetization in the remanent
state,\textsuperscript{14,15} thus requiring to redefine the classical term “nucleation field.” We will show that two distinct fields can be defined: The critical field that leads to a reversed nucleus at the corner and the critical field at which the nucleus expands. This result from dynamic micromagnetic simulations will be confirmed by numerically computing the energy barrier for magnetization switching. At the saddle point, the magnetization is already reversed near the corner. Thermal activation of a further increase of the external field will cause an expansion of the reversed nucleus. The angular dependence of the coercive field will then be compared to experimental data.

In a layered nanocomposite magnet, there are three critical fields to consider; the nucleation field $H_{n}^{\text{soft}}$ of the soft layer, the nucleation field $H_{n}^{\text{hard}}$ of the hard layer, and the field $H_p$ required to depin a fully developed or partial domain wall from the boundary between the soft and the hard phase. At nucleation, the magnetization starts to deviate from the $c$ axis and a partial domain wall, within which the magnetization rotates less than 180°, forms. If a defect region with severely reduced uniaxial anisotropy (e.g., $K_1^{\text{soft}} = 0$) is present, $H_{n}^{\text{soft}}$ and $H_p$ are always lower than $H_{n}^{\text{hard}}$, so the overall coercive field is determined by

$$H_c = \max(H_{n}^{\text{soft}}, H_p).$$

Aharon\textsuperscript{16} gives analytical expressions for the nucleation field and coercive field for a one-dimensional micromagnetic model, an infinite material with a finite slab of certain thickness and $K_1 = 0$. In a two-phase magnet with a main hard phase and a soft layer of finite thickness $t$, $H_{n}^{\text{soft}}$ can be analytically estimated from Eq. (2) as long as $t$ is larger than the hard phase domain wall thickness $\delta_{\text{hard}}$.\textsuperscript{17,18} Generally, decreasing the soft layer thickness increases the nucleation field.

$$H_{n}^{\text{soft}} = \frac{2K_{1}^{\text{soft}}}{\mu_0 M_s^{\text{soft}}} + \frac{2A^{\text{soft}} t^2}{4t^2 \mu_0 M_s^{\text{soft}}},$$

where $M_s^{\text{soft}}$ is the saturation magnetization and $A^{\text{soft}}$ is the exchange constant, both of the soft material.

The pinning field $H_p$ for a domain wall at the interface between a soft and hard layer, after some simplifications, can be calculated from the following equation:\textsuperscript{19,20}

$$H_p = \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2(K_{1}^{\text{hard}} - K_1^{\text{soft}})}{\mu_0 M_s^{\text{hard}}} \frac{1}{A^{\text{hard}} / \mu_0 M_s^{\text{hard}}},$$

where $K_1^{\text{hard}}$ and $K_1^{\text{soft}}$ are the uniaxial anisotropy constants in the hard phase and soft phase, respectively. Thus, assuming the magnet to behave as an exchange-spring and with $K_1^{\text{soft}} = 0$ we can account for a 75% reduction of $H_p$ with respect to the anisotropy field $H_A = 2K_{1}^{\text{hard}} / \mu_0 M_s^{\text{hard}}$, the theoretical coercive field of the hard phase alone. Material parameters for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B at room temperature were obtained from the literature as $K_1 = 4.8 \text{ MJ/m}^3$, $\mu_0 M_s = 1.59 \text{ T}$, and $A = 7.6 \text{ pJ/m}$.\textsuperscript{21,22} For these material parameters, the interfacial de-pinning field is $\mu_0 H_p = 1.90 \text{ T}$. The domain wall width for the hard material is $\delta_{\text{hard}} = \pi \sqrt{A / K_1} = 3.95 \text{ nm}$.

In this work, we consider a single-grain model of cubic geometry with sides $L = 100 \text{ nm}$ and a shell-like defect region in one corner only which allows us to locally use a fine mesh for sufficient accuracy (Fig. 1). The defect shell has thickness $t$ and forms a right-angle triangle on each of the three incident cube faces, with adjacent and opposite sides measuring $s = 30 \text{ nm}$. For Eq. (2) to be applicable, the domain wall nucleated in the corner of the cube model has to fit into the diagonal space between the outer and inner corners of the defect so the minimum shell thickness that can fully accommodate a domain wall is $t_{\text{min}} = \sqrt{2} s / 3 = 2.28 \text{ nm}$. In the defect region, we assume $K_1 = 0$, while $M_s$ and $A$ remain identical to the values in the hard phase. The finite element method is used to numerically solve the Landau-Lifschitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation. At each time step, we apply a hybrid finite element/boundary element method to compute the magnetic scalar potential.\textsuperscript{23} A fine mesh is used in the tetrahedral region near the corner, so the nucleus and the partial domain wall are located within the fine region before and after depinning. Mesh size is constrained by the geometry of the defect, but in all models the maximum element edge size in the fine mesh region is 1.0 nm, below any critical lengths of the materials.\textsuperscript{23} Elsewhere, a coarse mesh size of 10 nm is sufficient. The magnet is initially saturated along $+z$ and allowed to relax to its remanent state. An external magnetic field $H$ is applied in the opposite direction at a certain field angle $\theta$ from the $-z$ axis in the $x$–$y$ plane. The magnetocrystalline anisotropy axis is oriented parallel to $z$. The field strength is ramped up slowly enough (much slower than the speed of the Larmor procession for the material) so that each intermediate state can be considered an equilibrium state. $H_n$ is taken to be the field required to flip the polarity of the $z$-component of the magnetization $M_z$ at the very corner of the cube, which corresponds to formation of at least a 90° domain wall at that corner. $H_c$ is measured as the instantaneous external field value at the moment when the centre of the reversal domain wall reaches the edge of the fine mesh region, since the rapid expansion of the reversal domain is extremely fast while the field strength changes extremely slowly. To find the saddle-point for a specific reversal, the nudged elastic band (NEB) method is used to minimize the energy path, taking input from the initial LLG simulations.\textsuperscript{23} A series of NEB simulations can be used to calculate the energy barrier height as a function of $H_c$, and fitting to Sharrock’s law\textsuperscript{25} it is possible to estimate $H_c$ at a barrier height of $25kT$, which corresponds to room-temperature

![FIG. 1. (a) The single grain model is geometrically a $L \times L \times L$ cube with a defect shell confined to one corner. (b) The defect shell (seen here from the inside) has thickness $t$ and edge size $s$, measured along the cube edges. (c) A 2D schematic of the defect corner geometry shows the location of increased finite element mesh density, which includes the defect and extends into the hard phase, filling the concave defect cavity. A reversal domain expands from the outer corner of the defect, growing inwards.](image-url)
FIG. 2. Reversal process for both the cube model with a defect shell thickness \( t = 1.6 \text{ nm} \) and that with no defect shell. \( M-H \) reversal curves for both cases show distinct nucleation and pinning mechanisms.

FIG. 3. (a) Plot of the saddle-point magnetization components along a diagonal from the reversal corner for \( t = 1.6 \text{ nm} \) and \( \theta = 0^\circ \). At the moment of de-pinning, the reversal domain already extends past the defect and has entered into the main hard phase.

FIG. 4 contains absolute and normalized plots of the computed \( H_c(\theta) \) for various \( \theta \), the experimental data for varying infiltration percentage and the theoretical S-W and Kondorsky plots for comparison, where for the Kondorsky plot we use \( H_c(0) = H_p \), from Eq. (3) and where, in order to replicate the effects of grain misalignment, we apply a simple modification rule to the simulated and theoretical data: for each field angle \( \theta \) the corresponding \( H_c \) value is replaced by the lowest value within a certain angular range \( \pm \delta \). A value of \( \delta = 15^\circ \) is chosen to match the angular distribution of the data.
measured in the experimental samples. This adjustment flattens the minimum to a plateau and reduces $H_c$ overall, giving similar results to the one described in Refs. 8–10, where the angular dependence was calculated for an assembly of imperfectly oriented exchange-decoupled grains. As the value of $t$ increases, a shift to Kondorsky-like behavior is seen, with the minima shifting to lower angles and becoming increasingly less pronounced, which is demonstrative of the increased importance of the defect-driven nucleation. Above a value of $t = t_{\text{min}} (\approx 2.28 \text{ nm})$, where a full domain wall width is able to fit into the soft layer, the computed plots converge. From the experimental data, the base material shows the lowest $H_c$, which becomes larger with increased NdCu infiltration. With infiltration treatment, we confirmed no change in both degree of texture and grain size as described before, so that we can control the structure of the grain boundary. For the base material, a Kondorsky-like angular dependence is clearly observed, whereas, with greater infiltration increasing similarity to S-W behavior can be seen, with a weak minimum below 45°.

The progressive shift, from Kondorsky-like to more coherent-rotation like behaviour, may be linked to the associated increase in coercivity from non-infiltrated to infiltrated magnets. This increase itself can be attributed to two possible phenomena. First, the NdCu infiltration may modify the magnetism of the weakly ferromagnetic intergrain boundary or second, it may reduce surface distortion and weaken the disordered surface defect of reduced anisotropy at the interface between the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B grains and the inter-grain boundary.

Experimental results seem to be lower in magnitude than simulation but a direct comparison is not possible since the defect thicknesses of the experimental samples are unknown. By increasing further the defect thickness, the coercive field does not decrease much further. The presence of a graded interface between the hard phase and the soft defect is also likely to be important. Furthermore, thermal activation, which was not considered in the simulations, will reduce coercivity at non-zero temperature. At 300 K, $H_c$ was typically reduced by up to 20%.

The results presented here provide compelling evidence that the angle-dependent coercivity behavior of NdFeB magnets is determined by soft defects at the boundaries between the main NdFeB grains. Using a simple adjustment of the single grain results to replicate the behavior in bulk samples, where there are many grains and an angular distribution in their anisotropy angles, we have been able to reproduce the behavior seen experimentally and find close agreement with the predictions from simple analytical models of soft defects. Recently, a weakly ferromagnetic grain boundary phase in Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B based magnets was reported. One of the possibilities that explains the angular dependence of coercivity, which we have simulated by assuming the presence of a surface defect as a magnetically soft phase, is that a weakly ferromagnetic boundary phase may itself act as a surface defect for the neighboring Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B grain. Thus, the micromagnetic model presented in this paper would still be applicable. At this moment, the authors are investigating the existence of disordered surface defects and their thicknesses. This should make clear which is the dominant factor for angular dependence of coercivity; the disordered surface defect or the possible weak ferromagnetism of the grain boundary phase.
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**FIG. 4.** (a) Simulation results for angular dependence of coercive field $\mu_0 H_c$ for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B at temperature $T = 300 \text{ K}$ with varying defect shell thickness $t$ and experimental data for the four samples of varying NdCu infiltration. (b) The same data with normalized units. Theoretical plots for the Stoner-Wohlfarth (S-W) and Kondorsky pinning (dashed line) models are given for comparison. A simple adjustment to the simulated and theoretical plots has been made to replicate the 15° angular distribution observed in the experimental samples.
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