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This paper introduces two newly discovered epistles by the celebrated physician and philosopher Abū Bakr Muhammad ibn Zakariyyâ al-Râzî (Rhazes, d. ca. 925). The first epistle addresses the question of why and how clothing can be used both to stay warm and to stay cool, drawing on the Aristotelian tradition of problem literature (problêmata physikê). The second epistle arises out of a court polemic and treats the question of whether one should consume mulberries after watermelons. This study offers analysis, editions, and translations of these previously unknown epistles, situating them within their broader literary and cultural contexts.

INTRODUCTION

It is not often that one discovers a new text by a well-known author. Here we present two texts that were thought to be lost, both by the great clinician and philosopher Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn Zakariyyâ al-Rāzî, celebrated not only for his innovative medical thinking, but also for his unconventional ideas about life and the universe, among other things.1 Al-Rāzî (Rhazes, d. ca. 925 C.E.) was among the most influential scholars in the history of medicine, and his works were often translated into Latin, especially his famous study of smallpox and measles. He was well acquainted with ancient Greek medicine and language, but did not slavishly follow the giants of the ancient Greek medical tradition, famously penning a work titled Shukûk ‘alâ Jâlînûs (Doubts about Galen). As much philosopher as physician, he favored empirical thought and scientific experimentation. In addition to teaching and running hospitals in Rayy and Baghdad, he participated in courtly and social gatherings of intellectuals. These sittings (majâlis) can be seen in part as developments of the ancient Greek sympotic tradition and the broader Mediterranean tradition of learned debate or literary recitations in a semi-informal social setting. The competitive atmosphere of these learned social gatherings is strongly felt in the two recently discovered treatises discussed below.

These two texts deal with questions of natural history and dietetics respectively. They originated in the debate milieu of elite Abbasid society, where courtiers would engage in arguments in front of the caliph or other high-ranking officials. In the first epistle, the question under debate was why one sometimes undresses in order to cool off and at other times covers oneself to achieve the same result (for instance, in order to protect the body from the sun). The second epistle passionately defends the benefits of eating mulberries after watermelon.

We would like to thank the two anonymous JAOS reviewers for their helpful suggestions. The research was carried out as part of the project “Arabic Commentaries on Hippocratic Aphorisms,” funded by the European Research Council.
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Both epistles have hitherto only been known from the bio-bibliographical literature. The first is mentioned in Ibn al-Nadîm’s *Fiḥrist* in the list of al-Râzî’s publications as *Kitāb al-Ta’arri wa-l-tadaththur* (Book on Getting Naked and Covering Oneself); in Ibn Abi Usaybi’a as *Fī l-‘īllati ilāt yudfā’u harru l-hāwā’ti marratan bi-l-takhshufi wa-marratan bi-l-tadaththur* (On the Reason Why Warmth Is Sometimes Dispelled by Uncovering Oneself and Sometimes by Covering Oneself); and with nearly the same title in al-Bîrûnî, which suggests that Ibn Abi Usaybi’a based his information about the title on al-Bîrûnî. The second epistle is recorded in Ibn al-Nadîm as *al-Radd ‘alâ Jarîr al-tālib fimā khalaṣa fihi min amr al-tūt al-shāmi bi-‘aqība l-bīṭṭikh* (A Refutation of Jarîr, the Physician, Regarding His Divergent Opinion about the Matter of [Eating] Mulberries after Watermelon); al-Bîrûnî cites it as *Fimā jam baynahû wa-bayna Jarîr al-tālib fi l-tūt ‘aqība l-bīṭṭikh* (On the Discussion between Him [sc. al-Râzî] and Jarîr, the Physician, about [Eating] Mulberries after Watermelon); and Ibn Abi Usaybi’a has an even more complete title: *Maqālatun abäna fihā khata ‘alâ l-amîr Ahmad ibn Ismā‘îl* (A Treatise in Which He [sc. al-Râzî] Demonstrates the Error of Jarîr, the Physician, When He Invalidated His [sc. al-Râzî’s] Advice to Prince Ahmad ibn Ismā‘îl).

We discovered these two texts in the course of gathering digital copies of all available manuscripts containing Arabic commentaries on the Hippocratic Aphorisms as part of our ERC-funded project at the University of Manchester. An entry in an eighteenth-century catalogue of medical manuscripts in Florence’s historical Biblioteca Laurenziana first piqued our interest, as it lists Galen’s commentary on Hippocrates’ Aphorisms in Arabic translation as one of the items contained in MS orientali 413 (henceforth, MS L). As we subsequently discovered, however, this entry presents an unreliable account of the contents of MS L. The entry runs as follows:

CCLX

An Epistle, or “Golden Treatise,” on medicine in Arabic. Its author’s name appears as ‘A‘li, son of Moses, also called Imām al-Ridā. He is considered the tenth of the twelve Imāms or priests of the Persian sect, who were the descendants of ‘Ali, the son of Abū Ṭālib and son-in-law of the false prophet [Muḥammad]. He died in the city

---

of Tūs because he ate too many grapes, as appears from the Arabic Chronicle by Bar Hebraeus, part nine, passing away in the month of Safar, in the year 203h (818).11
— Also some short works on individual diseases and simple drugs, excerpted by an anonymous author from al-Ḥāwī, the work by Abū Bakr [in text, Au-Bacri] Muḥammad son of Zakariyyāʾ al-Rāzī, also called the “Comprehensive [Book].”
— Galen’s Commentary on Hippocrates’s Aphorisms, translated from Greek into Syriac by Ḥunayn son of Ishāq, and—as one reads here—very accurately from Syriac into Arabic by Qustā son of Lūqā (d. 300/912), of Baalbek, an eminent Christian philosopher.
— Excerpts from the “Canon of Medicine” [al-Qānūn fī l-tibb] by Ibn Sīnā, on diseases, and their causes and symptoms, written by a more recent hand.
— An octavo manuscript on oriental paper, consisting of 89 folios, written in Arabic letters and unpolished language by various hands.12

The catalogue is correct in its characterization of the contents of MS L as “unpolished” (rudis) at least in appearance. It is to this rough appearance that we must attribute the cataloguer’s mistaken claim that the Hippocratic Aphorisms can be found within its pages. We found no trace of these aphorisms or their commentaries in MS L itself, but only the word fūsūl (aphorisms) in the well-nigh illegible table of contents. As described below, however, here this word does not refer to the Hippocratic Aphorisms but to the chapters (fūsūl) excerpted from Ibn Sīnā’s (Avicenna, d. 1037) al-Qānūn fī l-tibb.

Though disappointed in our search for texts Hippocrical, we found instead these two previously unpublished treatises—not found, as the catalogue wrongly suggests, in al-Rāzī’s influential work al-Ḥāwī fī l-tibb. The inaccuracy of the catalogue entry suggests the inadequacy of the catalogue itself and the possible existence of more unknown gems hidden in the Biblioteca Laurenziana collection of Arabic manuscripts.

THE MANUSCRIPT

MS L is listed in the catalogue as manuscript number 260, the number that also appears on its spine, despite being labeled as 259 on fol. 1a. As described, it is a codex with eighty-nine folios. It is small and portable, measuring about 12.5 cm by 15 cm, bound in pale yellow vellum in thirteen quires. It is slightly waterstained and tattered, but in good condition. The paper is thick with visible pulp and has straight, tightly spaced laid lines. The margins are about 1.5 cm wide, and would have been wider before the pages were cut down for binding. The main text begins in mid-sentence on fol. 3a, containing a total of eight short works interspersed with poetry and very brief writings of a medical or religious nature.

The main hand (henceforth, hand one), namely, that of the scribe who wrote the eight principal works in this collection, is in black ink; it is unreliable and difficult to read. A second hand (henceforth, hand two) scribbled brief treatises and poems on spare pages that were left between the eight principal works. Its ink now appears faded and brown, and these scribblings, apparently religious and medical in nature, are also extremely difficult to read.

11. Gregory Bar Hebraeus, Catholicos of the Syriac Orthodox Church in the thirteenth century, mentions al-Ridā in his Taʾrīkh mukhtasar al-duwal, which was partially edited by E. Pococke (d. 1691). In Pococke’s translation (Historia compendiosa dynastiarum, 2 vols. [Oxford, 1663], 1: 158): “In the year 203, ʿAli ibn Mūsā al-Ridā died; the cause of his death was eating grapes: when he had eaten a large amount, he suddenly died, on the last day of the month Safar, in the city of Tūs.”
Some of hand two’s poems are colloquial in tone; for example, the interrogative ayysh is used several times on fol. 67b, which contains a love poem, perhaps mystical in nature. Hand two, seemingly that of an enthusiastic if not entirely competent former owner of MS L, is also apparently responsible for inserting dots into the treatises copied by hand one, and these dots are especially unreliable. Certain words marking new sections are traced in rubrics, and in the final treatise of this work—selections from the Qānūn (on which, see more below)—the subject headings themselves, such as “coldness of the womb” (bard al-raḥim) and “pains of the womb” (awja’ al-raḥim) on folio 74b, are written in rubrics. Each treatise ends with a colophon including a prayer for Prophet Muhammad and his family, the name of hand one, Yahyā ‘Alī ibn al-Hājib, and the date of completion (during the month of Ramaḍān, 538h, corresponding to March 1144).

MS L includes a somewhat random and sensational gathering of topics, from poisons to sex, as described by very famous physicians. The hastily written and unreliable script on high-quality paper gives the overall impression that MS L was more of a novelty item than an aid to serious students of medicine, although we can only speculate about its exact purpose. On fol. 1a we find some medical poetry and library stamps of the Biblioteca Laurenziana. Fol. 1b contains a short treatise that is apparently fragmentary and difficult to read. This seemingly polemical text, and at least some of the writing on fol. 1a, are written in hand two.

On fol. 2a are a number of recipes that appear to have been written by hand one. One recipe reads:

أَسْرَارُ الْبَعْضِ الْعَلَّامُ أَنْ يَكُونَ إِنّمَا أَرْتَالُ مِنَ الْمَاءِ وَرَطْلَ مِنَ الْزَّرْقِيَّةِ وَيَطْيِحُ جَيْدًا وَيَحْتَفِظُ حَالَهُ ثُمَّ يَصُفِّي مَاءَهُ وَيُطْرِقُ فِي الْقَرْعِ وَالْأَلْدَبِيَّ مَثْلُ عِلْيَةِ مَرَةٍ ثُلُّثَةَ حَتَا ثُمَّ تَمَّ مَنْتَهَى وَإِذَا بَلْغَ فِي أَفْكُهُ فَيَبْعَثُ.  

The secret to making hot water is to take four rīfils of water and one rīfil of arsenic. Cook it well and then leave it. Filter the liquid and dribble it into a curcubit and ambix. Then heat it for a third time, until the [necessary] time [for this] has passed. When it is finished, then it is complete.

Content of the manuscript

There is a table of contents on fol. 2b, probably in hand two. It reads:  

Table of contents

1. The Golden Epistle of al-Riḍā, peace upon him, to al-Ma’mūn.  


14. The Arabic numbers in square brackets are added here for ease of reference.

2. An epistle of Muhammad Zakariyya\textsuperscript{a} [al-Rāżī] on sometimes nudity and seeking out the air [sic], and sometimes by wrapping and covering up.\textsuperscript{16}

3. A work by Qustā ibn Lūqā al-Ba\textsuperscript{2}lbakī on sex and that which gives one the strength to do it.\textsuperscript{17}

4. A work by Qustā ibn Lūqā al-Ba\textsuperscript{2}lbakī on poisons and drugs, their specific properties (\textit{khawäss}) and remedies for their harms.\textsuperscript{18}

5. A treatise by Ibn Zakariyya\textsuperscript{a} on the uses and dangers of oxymel.\textsuperscript{19}

6. Another epistle by Ibn Zakariyya\textsuperscript{a} on presenting food before fruit and fruit before food.\textsuperscript{20}

7. An epistle on eating Syrian mulberries after watermelon by Ibn Zakariyya.\textsuperscript{21}

8. Selections from the \textit{Qänün} and other chapters (\textit{fusül})\textsuperscript{22} [from] each section\textsuperscript{23} on medicine.

These titles loosely match the subjects of the epistles that follow in the following folios, the description sometimes expanding on the original titles (as in the first example, which tells how the \textit{Risäla dhahabiyya} earned its name), and sometimes abbreviating the original title, as in the last, an excerpt of Ibn Sinä\textsuperscript{a}'s \textit{Qänün}:

1. الرسالة من إلى [sic] 
   بالذنب (9b–12a)

2. رسالة للمحمود بن زكريا الرازي . . . في أن تدفع الحر مرة للتعري والتكتشف والر وزل الوجوه ومرة بالاستنجان
   والذنار (24b–12a)

3. كتاب قسطلي ابن لوقى البعلبكي في TABOT III I BVI i dod non dH (27–29a)

4. كتاب قسطلي ابن لوقى البعلبكي في السمو من [sic] 
   [28b–40b] [145]

\textsuperscript{16} This is the first of the two texts edited below.


\textsuperscript{21} This is the second text edited and translated below.

\textsuperscript{22} The term \textit{fusül} denotes both “chapters” and “aphorisms” (as well as seasons and \textit{differentia}); in Ibn Sinä’s \textit{Qänün ft l-tibb} it is an organizational unit of division.

\textsuperscript{23} The reading \textit{kull fann} is tentative; it does not fit into the syntax of the title. It could refer to the different sections (sing, \textit{fann}) contained in Ibn Sinä’s \textit{Qänün}.

\textsuperscript{24} In MS L the title simply appears as \textit{Risäla li-Muhammad ibn Zakariyyä al-Räzä}; we reconstructed the rest of the title from the opening paragraph of the epistle.
1. An epistle from to [sic] al-Hasan al-Ridä (peace upon him) to al-Ma’mün, and he read it and was pleased with it greatly and ordered that it be written in gold.
2. An epistle of Muhammad ibn Zakariyyä’ al-RäzI... on the fact that we expel heat sometimes by nudity and undressing and seeking the air, and sometimes by wrapping and covering up.
3. A book of Qustä ibn Lüqä al-Ba%akI on sex and what invigorates it, in twenty-one chapters.
5. A treatise of Abu Bakr Muḥammad ibn Zakariyyä’ al-RäzI on the uses and dangers of oxymel.
6. An epistle on taking fruit before food and food before fruit by Muḥammad Zakariyyä’ al-RäzI, the physician.
7. A treatise on taking mulberries before watermelon by Ibn Zakariyyä’ al-RäzI.
8. Selections from the Ḍânün.

As should be clear from these sample transcriptions, MS L contains problematic readings; since most of the texts cited have been edited, it is valuable chiefly as a source of otherwise unavailable material, such as the two texts presented in this article. Many of the religio-medical poems scribbled by hand two in between the treatises may also be unique. Qustä ibn Luqä’s treatise on sex is given a fuller title than in any other available source, which shortens it to Kitäh al-Bäh, but a cursory comparison of the treatise with that provided in Barhoum’s dissertation (see n. 17 above) shows that MS L contains many errors and misleading slips of the pen.

Thus, when editing the two texts presented below, we often had to contend with a very corrupt text that at first glance hardly made any sense. Through painstaking philological work we were able to reconstruct much of these two texts, but undoubtedly there are places where further conjecture is needed in order to overcome the poor quality of hand one and the misleading diacritical marks provided by hand two.

TEXT 1: “ON EXPPELLING HEAT”

Al-RäzI describes the topic of this treatise, which therefore could be the title, in the opening paragraph (§ 1) as “What is the cause for the fact that we sometimes expel heat by getting naked, taking off clothes, and seeking to be in the air, while sometimes we do this by covering ourselves and enveloping ourselves”; for convenience’s sake we shortened the title to “On Expelling Heat.” Thus, al-RäzI aims at explaining an apparent contradiction, namely, that wrapping oneself in clothes can be a means of becoming cooler rather than hotter. The addressee remains anonymous, and we can only infer that he must have frequented the same milieu as al-RäzI, since al-RäzI states that the addressee heard his answer to this question “that we debated” (allatt därat baynanâ). This debate took place during a majlis (a term to be discussed further below) (§ 2.1). Al-RäzI describes his epistle as a summary (jumlā, jawämi’) of a longer public discussion with the addressee (§ 2.1–3).

The apparent paradox of expelling heat by covering oneself can be explained with reference to the ambient air (§ 3.1). If the air is cooler than the body, then it cools the body (§ 3.2). For this reason, we use fanning to cool ourselves (§ 3.4–6). If the air is warmer, however, then it warms the body and we need to protect ourselves from it in order to cool down, as when we cover ourselves with hats and light fabric for protection from the summer
sun (§ 3.7–11). Al-Rāzī introduces the knowledge that air also reaches the heart and that its temperature has an effect on whether or not it is “refreshing,” that is to say, whether it has the ability to cool the blood and produce pneuma. Al-Rāzī then tackles the main question of why this is so (§ 4). Simply put, when air that is warmer than the body’s surface comes into contact with it, it warms the surface, but at the same time is cooled itself. Therefore, if there is a steady flow of hot air, and fresh hot air replaces the old hot air that has cooled down, the warming effect is stronger (§ 4.1–3). Al-Rāzī nuances the effect of hot air on the human being in order to refute the addressee, who holds that air warmer than the body’s surface is deadly (§ 4.4). Only if the ambient air is warmer than the air in the heart is exposure to it lethal. If, however, it is warmer than the body’s surface but cooler than the air in the heart, it still has a cooling effect, and does not lead to imminent death (§ 4.5). It only damages the air in the pores (or passageways, masām) of the body; but this is not life-threatening in the short term (§ 4.6–8). This air could corrupt the mixture of the heart if it lasted for a long period of time, but it does not: the hot air of the midday sun only lasts a few hours, and then cools down in the evening and at night (§ 4.9–11). Therefore, one is safe from death in most cases, even if damage ensues (§ 4.12).

At the end of the epistle, al-Rāzī explains that he will not deal with the topic of why one needs pneuma, since it would take too long and the ancients have already done so (§ 5.1–2). Nor is it necessary to explain why one sometimes undresses to get warm (e.g., in a hot bath or by a fire), as the same principles of heat transfer apply (§ 5.3–4). He concludes the epistle with a pious formula.

How the question is posed at the beginning of the epistle—“what is the cause for . . .?” (mā l-tillatu fī)—shows that it belongs to the genre of question-and-answer or problem literature.25 The most famous classical Greek example is the Aristotelian Problēmata physika, which deals with a wide variety of questions or problems in the field of natural history.26 Our specific question is not debated there, but one problem has some bearing on our text, namely, Problem ii. 9, which runs as follows:

Dia ti toh ejlou malalw thermaiwnotos tois gamnous e tois ampechomenvous, idrosw malalw ois ampechomenous; poiteron oti tois pordous sumpnein poi ekkaion o ejlous ej disti tas atmidos epxraien; ampechomenous de tasta eittou sumpmainei.

Why is it that although the sun warms naked people more than clothed ones, clothed people sweat more? Either because the sun closes the pathways (poroi) [sc. of the body] or because it dries the vapors. This happens less to clothed people.27

The Aristotelian Problemeta were translated into Arabic, at least partly, by Hunayn ibn Ishāq or members of his circle. Al-Rāzī was acquainted with this translation, which he quoted extensively in al-Hāwī fi l-tibb.28 Problem ii. 9 runs as follows in its Arabic guise:29


29. Ibid., 134–35.
Why do those who are naked and stand in the sun not sweat a lot, as do those who cover themselves with clothes and shield themselves from the heat of the sun, while the heat of the sun reaches the naked more than [it does] someone who protects and shields himself from it?

Although this and the surrounding problems mainly deal with perspiration, there are two elements here that recur in al-Rāzī’s epistle: the notion that the sun warms naked people more than clothed ones; and the idea of the pathways of the body being affected by an outside heat source. Galen was also well aware that long walks in the sun caused more damage to naked people than clothed ones, and al-Rāzī, who was familiar with Galen’s text in which this quotation appears, might therefore have been influenced by it.

Another Aristotelian problem, iii. 36, resembles part of al-Rāzī’s discussion in his epistle more closely. Here the author asks,

Given that the sun heats and warms our body, why is it that we do not sweat when we do not wear any clothes, yet when we shield ourselves with clothes in order to protect ourselves from the sun’s heat, do we sweat?

And,

Given that sweat is generated by heat, why is it that when we wrap ourselves in a lot of clothes and heat our bodies excessively, we do not sweat to the same extent as when we cover ourselves with little clothes? The answer is similar to that which we give about the question about the excessive heat of fire.

Edition

Rather to Muhammad Zakaria al-Razi


31. The text in question is “Causes of Diseases,” which formed part of a larger Alexandrian collection translated into Arabic as Fi l-tîlîl wa-l-dhrād (On Diseases and Symptoms), and repeatedly quoted in al-Rāzī’s al-Hāwr, see Ullmann, Medizin, 42.
32. Filius, Problematia Physica, 170–71, 172–73, respectively. Al-Rāzī deals with a similar question at §5.3–4, below.
33. conieicimus: the end of this word is cut off in the manuscript: المسألة
34. conieicimus; cod.: الإجابة.
35. conieicimus; cod.: المسألة
36. إنا: our reconstruction of the word cut off at the end of the line.
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...and the compiler from the abuse of the language to be used, as a whole, and not as a separate work, in translation.

[37] 37

[38] 38

[39] 39

[40] 40

[41] 41

[42] 42

[43] 43

[44] 44

[45] 45

[46] 46

[47] 47

[48] 48

[49] 49
You asked me—may God grant you strength—to write down for you the answer that you had heard me give to the question that we debated, namely, what the cause is for the fact that we sometimes expel heat by getting naked, taking off clothes, and seeking to be in the air, while sometimes we do this by covering and enveloping ourselves.

I will now provide a summary of the answer that I gave to this question during this session (majlis), even if my discussion at the time was lengthier than what I am about to say here. For at that time I had to repeat and restate it, and to express it in different ways, so that all those who were present would gain knowledge about it and retain it in their souls. At the present time I am going to give my answer in the form of a summary and a digest, and in an abbreviated and concise form that provides and preserves the [overall] meaning, if God in His mercy wills it.

I say that the reason for this is the air that surrounds us, insofar as it is hot or cold. So when the air surrounding the surfaces of our bodies is colder than their surfaces, we seek refreshment by getting naked and taking off our clothes, in order that the air that is colder than our bodies meets with their surfaces, so as to cool them.

For all natural bodies are cooled by what is colder than they, and heated by what is hotter than they. So in this condition we also seek refreshment by fanning, so that we can exchange new air with each instance of fanning through the fact that it removes from the surface of our body the air that touches it and draws something other than it toward it [sc. the surface of our bodies].

For the surface of the air touching the surfaces of our body is heated by it when it is colder than it. Therefore our bodies are then not refreshed by it in the way that they were before when the air was still cooler. So if the fan removes from us this surface of the air that surrounds the substance of our bodies and attracts to them some of it that is colder than they, they feel coldness and are refreshed by it.

If, however, the air surrounding us is warmer than the surfaces of our bodies, then at that time the contrary is true. That is to say that, at that time, I mean, when we walk in the summer sun, we need to protect and guard ourselves against it with turbans, hats, and other things on our heads and our bodies such as the diaphanous fabrics that prevent the sun from having a direct effect through deflection.

If we undress, we feel the heat more because of the contact of the air that is warmer than the surfaces of our bodies with them. If air like this is quite excessively hot, then when it is moved by a fan or moves on its own, it causes us more difficulty. We see this, for instance, happening with the air of a very hot bath: if it is moved, then at that moment the air that reaches the heart is less refreshing. In the case of hot wind (samūm), it even reaches the point that the heart is not refreshed by it at all.

The cause here is the opposite of that in the first case, namely, that the air that is hotter than the surfaces of our bodies or the ventricles of our hearts causes less damage when it is still than when it moves, and does so for a longer period of time. For every time it [the air] is moved, some of it that passes by us reaches us, to the extent that we...
are in it [the air, i.e., it affects us more, the longer we stay in the hot air]. [4.3] For when it [sc. this air] arrives, it is unfamiliar and has not previously been acquired by our bodies. Therefore, one senses it [sc. the hot air] twice as much. [4.4] If he thinks that when the air surrounding us is hotter than the surfaces of our bodies, it is deadly, then let him know that this is not the case. Rather, when the air surrounding us is hotter than the air that is in the ventricles of our hearts, then it is deadly. [4.5] For at that time the heart destroys the coolness and the refreshment that it [the coolness] brings, so that it is excessively burnt. [4.6] Yet, if it [the air] is warmer than the surfaces of our bodies, but still colder than the ventricles of our hearts, then the heart is refreshed by it [sc. the air], though less so. [4.7] Through it [sc. the air], only the pneuma that is in the hidden pores is destroyed. Losing this pneuma does not lead to death over a short period of time, [4.8] as losing the other pneuma does, namely, that which is produced through breathing when the lungs contract and expand [that is, the pneuma that moves from the lungs to the heart]. [4.9] But also, when it lasts for a long period of time, it inevitably corrupts the mixture of the heart and the lungs. [4.10] But it does not last [for a long time], because the longest that the air can exist in such a state is [a few] hours during the day, [and only] if the sun is in the summer quarter. [4.11] Then the situation changes so that the ambient air during the remaining hours of the day and the following night is colder than the surfaces of our bodies. [4.12] When they [sc. our bodies] remain in their natural state, one is safe from it [sc. death] in most cases, although through it damage is often caused, as it happens with those who travel in the summer: for many of them this is followed by acute fevers and acute diseases.

[5.1] This is not the place to discuss what the cause is why one needs the pneuma in the heart and the pores of the body. For the ancients discussed it, and especially the great Galen, and the moderns including me in other places, so that it is superfluous to do so here. [5.2] Moreover, to discuss it would take longer than my answer to this question. So, therefore, this is not the place [?] to mention it at all. [5.3] Because knowing opposites is one and the same thing [i.e., when one knows something, one also knows its opposite], it is clearly established that sometimes warm off the cold by undressing and removing our coverings, [5.4] as, for instance, when we warm ourselves by a fire or enter a hot bath, for in this case our contact with the air warms our bodies much more quickly than if we were covered. [5.5] But at other times [we ward off the cold] by covering and enveloping ourselves, when we cannot heat all the air surrounding us; [5.6] for it [the cold air] tends to come into contact with our body as much as possible.

[6.1] This is what I wanted to show. [6.2] Peace be upon Muhammad and his righteous family.

TEXT 2: “ON EATING WATERMELON”

This treatise (maqāla) bears the title Fi tanāwul al-tūt al-shāmi bi-‘aqībi l-bittīkh (On Eating Syrian Mulberries after Watermelons). 51 Al-Rāżī gave it a far longer title in his later work Manāfiṣ al-aghdhiya wa-dafṣ madārriḥā, which he explains is an attempt to correct some of the errors and lacunae of Galen’s thoughts on the dangers of eating certain foods:

Indian Watermelon. Nothing is more useful for patients suffering from burning fevers and subsequent inflammation than this. Similarly, sweet ripe watermelon is apt to turn

51. For the importance of Syrian mulberries, see n. 52 below.
quickly into yellow bile, which also possesses the ability to penetrate quickly into the blood vessels. I wrote a short treatise on this subject and called it “Explanation of the Mistake Made by Jarir, the Physician, in That He Thought That I Made a Mistake When I Advised Ahmad ibn Isma’îl to eat Mulberries after Watermelon.”

The treatise addresses the health benefits of eating mulberries after watermelons, and more specifically how mulberries can counter the harmful effects of watermelons. Al-Râzî opens the treatise with a paragraph (§ 1) inveighing against the stupidity of other physicians in general and his unknown opponent in particular. This person criticized al-Râzî for prescribing mulberries after watermelons in the concrete case of Ahmad ibn Isma’îl (849–907), the founder of the Samanid dynasty that ruled Transoxania and was largely based in Bukhârâ. Then al-Râzî briefly outlines the main features of the case: Ahmad was recovering from fever and doing well (§ 2.1–2). Ahmad, we learn later (§ 6), was very fond of watermelons and could not stop eating them. Al-Râzî knew that eating watermelons would have a negative effect, by turning into bile; indeed, the sweeter the watermelon, the more harmful it was (§ 2.3–7). Therefore he resolved to make the watermelon pass through the system quickly, before it could turn into harmful bile (§ 2.8–9).

Al-Râzî explains that laxative drugs were not an option (§ 3.1–2), but that mulberries were ideal (§ 3.3–4). As flatulance was not an issue (§ 3.5), al-Râzî decided to resort to prescribing mulberries (§ 4.1). The patient took al-Râzî’s advice, and the latter remained at his patient’s bedside until the desired effect was achieved, namely, to calm the stomach and restore the appetite (§ 4.2–5).

In the next three paragraphs (§§ 5–7), al-Râzî counters putative objections to his course of action. First, he addresses the question of why he did not resort to oxymel (honey and vinegar boiled to a syrup), answering that it causes vomiting, weakens the patient, and spoils the appetite (§ 5). Second, he deals with the objection that he should have simply ordered his patient not to eat watermelons at all; this, he explains, would have been impossible, since the patient would not have obeyed him, as he lacked medical knowledge (§ 6). Third, he counters the idea that he should simply have followed the advice of other physicians who prescribe various electuaries; these, he declares, are either ineffective or harmful (§7.1–4). Al-Râzî insists on the importance of experience here (§ 7.5), and adduces another case that confirms his views, in which he tested his theories on an unnamed patient (§ 7.6–11). He argues in particular against Yahyä ibn Mäsawayh and his work Fi daf’ madârrihä al-aghdhiya (On Repelling the Harm Inflicted by Foodstuffs)—though he uncritically cites this work in al-Hâwi and therefore evidently found it at one time to be of use. Ibn Mäsawayh’s brief treatise is preserved in Berlin, Staatsbibliothek, MS Petermann 370, 3 (Ahlwardt 6408), and contains the following sentence, to which al-Râzî takes violent exception:

52. Al-Râzî, Manâfi’ al-aghdhiya wa-dafr ma’därriха (Beirut: Dâr Sädir, n.d.; repr. Cairo 1887), 46. In this work (p. 45) al-Râzî makes the distinction between Syrian mulberries and sweet mulberries—being sour, the former reduce yellow bile and are therefore less dangerous to people suffering from fevers.

53. As noted (text at n. 8 above), Ibn Abi Usaybi’a’s title for this epistle confirms that the Ahmad ibn Ismâ’il in question was a prince (amir). He also writes that al-Râzî treated an emir in Khurasan, a region that included the city of Bukhârâ (ed. Najjär, 3: 20).

54. Al-Râzî, al-Kitâb al-Hâwi fi l-tibb, 23 vols. (Hyderabad, 1955–70), 19: 372, specifically in a chapter on the poisonous qualities of certain drugs, plants, and animals, where he cites Ibn Mäsawayh as having said that milk with honey is an antidote for the harmful properties of henbane, if preceded by vomiting cooked fig water along with various nuts, seeds, and plants. Nevertheless, within a few sentences of his introduction of Manâfi’ al-aghdhiya (p. 2) al-Râzî also strongly condemns this work by Yahyä ibn Mäsawayh, accusing him of causing more harm than good with his writing.
Watermelons. Eat frankincense and ginger afterwards, and if he suffers from fever, he should drink oxymel afterwards.\textsuperscript{55}

Al-Rāzī continues to lambast Ibn Māsawayh for providing dangerously inaccurate advice about watermelons (§ 8.1–4). Finally, al-Rāzī states that his explanation is sufficient for anybody who has a smattering of medical knowledge. He claims that his opponent is even more ignorant than Ibn Māsawayh, which means that he is very stupid (§ 8.5–7). Al-Rāzī ends by alleging that his opponent has been persuaded by his arguments and has vowed to give up medicine, which he should have done a long time ago (§ 8.8–9). He concludes the treatise with a pious formula.

Ibn al-Baytār, a leading pharmacologist of the thirteenth century, cites al-Rāzī’s \textit{Manāfic al-aghdhiya} in his own work on drugs and foodstuffs, echoing much of the specific information found in our treatise below, namely, that watermelons, especially the sweet parts of watermelons far from the rind, turn quickly into bile and thus can cause tertian burning fevers. Likewise, Ibn al-Baytār echoes al-Rāzī’s criticism of Ibn Māsawayh’s recommendations concerning the consumption of watermelon and the potential harm this might cause to those with kidney stones. So closely does his language resemble that of al-Rāzī’s treatise that it seems likely that Ibn al-Baytār had access to this treatise. Unlike al-Rāzī’s treatise, however, Ibn al-Baytār’s work does counsel the use of oxymel after consuming watermelon.\textsuperscript{56}

In al-Rāzī’s treatise, the opponent, presumably a rival physician, remains anonymous, and there is little to suggest his identity. As noted above, in the bio-bibliographical literature and in al-Rāzī’s own titling, his name is given as Jarir, “the physician.” Unfortunately, we were unable to discover any more information about him.

The text is polemical in tone, with al-Rāzī attacking those who oppose his opinion about the potential harm of eating watermelon and the possible benefits of following the watermelon with mulberries as ignorant, stupid time-wasters. In particular he defends his treatment of the ruler of a powerful dynasty. As in the first epistle, the setting for this dispute appears to be the court: al-Rāzī’s opponent criticized him publicly and with this treatise, al-Rāzī sets the record straight. In his \textit{Risāla ilā baḍ’ talāmidhihi} (Epistle to One of His Students), al-Rāzī’s first piece of advice to the aspiring doctor concerns the difficulty of treating members of the court, since both doctors and their royal patients are accustomed to giving and not receiving orders (indeed, he addresses this problem in this epistle, stating that his patient would probably not have obeyed him if he had been commanded to cease eating watermelon).\textsuperscript{57} We also know about rivalries between physicians in these high-stakes positions. One such rivalry allegedly cost the physician and translator Hunayn ibn Ishāq his position as court physician and even his freedom.\textsuperscript{58} Al-Rāzī’s polemics were not limited to the court setting; he also inveighed against women and charlatans with great vigor.\textsuperscript{59} Generally, as in the treatise below, al-Rāzī demonstrates what he considers his superior medical knowledge and makes

\textsuperscript{55} Fol. 144b 1. 14; Ibn Abī ‘Uṣaybi’a (ed. Müller, 1: 183) discusses Ibn Māsawayh and his work on food, as described below.


short shrift of his competition, and we can only speculate whether he is animated by a desire to promote good medical practice or to maintain his reputation as a physician, with all the attendant benefits that this brings.

Here al-Râzî’s topic of discussion is clearly influenced by Galen’s description of mulberries in his *De alimentorum facultatibus*. After a lengthy discussion of different terms for mulberries, Galen insists that knowing their medical effects is more important than a familiarity with obscure terminology. Galen warns that mulberries, like melons, tend to pass quickly through the digestive tract, but if they are made to linger (having been eaten after another food, which, perhaps, has temporarily obstructed the digestive tract), they are corrupted. However, unlike melons, mulberries are not bad for the stomach, and perhaps have a stronger laxative effect. Al-Râzî develops what is suggested by Galen, writing that mulberries’ laxative effect, if they are eaten after watermelons, can prevent any possible harm caused by the melons themselves.

The fears concerning the eating of fruits that produce certain harmful matters in the body are found in many other ancient and medieval texts containing medical discussions. For example, in Athenaeus’s *Deipnosophistae* III 19–20, diners discuss the relative merits of eating different types of apples (“apples that are green and not yet ripe are full of bad juice, and are bad for the stomach; but are apt to rise to the surface, and also to engender bile; and they give rise to diseases, and produce sensations of shuddering”), and their tendency to aid or harm digestion or to produce favorable or harmful juices in the body. Similarly, Ibn Abi Şâdiq’s eleventh-century commentary on Hippocratic aphorism iii.9, which states that diseases are most acute in the autumn, attributes this to the fact that the eating of fresh fruits in the summer can build bad juices (kaymûsât) in the body, and Ibn al-Qûfî’s thirteenth-century commentary on aphorism iii.21 (concerning illness common in the summertime) specifically discusses the dangers of consuming watermelon, stating that along with other foods commonly consumed in the summer, such as peaches, watermelons are quick to corrupt in the stomach.

*Edition*

Bism Allah al-rhamân al-râhim, wâbî nustuâin
mâqâllatî fi ta'âlul nûh al-masâ'mi 'alâ ilbâlîx
laâin Nizarî arzari.


62. Dublin, Chester Beatty MS Ar. 3802, fols. 62a–b; Istanbul, Beyazid Devlet Kütüphanesi, MS Veliyeddin Efendi 2508, fol. 27b (DOI: 10.3927/51932105):

63. Istanbul, Yeni Camii, MS Yeni Camii 919, fol. 158a (DOI 10.3927/52132051).

64. *الرزي*;

65. *أبو*; correximus: cod.
PORMANN and SELOVE: Two New Texts by Abū Bakr al-Rāzī
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1

In order to understand the passage, it seems to be discussing a text that is not fully visible or legible. However, it appears to be a reference to works of Abū Bakr al-Rāzī, a notable figure in Islamic science and medicine. The text mentions the need to consult various texts and manuscripts, suggesting a scholarly work or a discussion about the authenticity and transmission of ancient texts.

2

The passage refers to a discussion about the authenticity of a text or manuscript. It mentions the need to consult different sources and the importance of careful examination to determine the reliability of the information.

3

The text seems to be discussing the transmission and preservation of knowledge, emphasizing the importance of consultative practices and the need to verify sources.

4

The reference to Abū Bakr al-Rāzī suggests that the text is related to medical or scientific works attributed to him. It highlights the challenges in accurately reproducing and transmitting such knowledge across generations.

5

The passage continues to elaborate on the importance of consultation and the need to consider various sources when dealing with texts or manuscripts. It underscores the complexity of scholarly work and the need for meticulous attention to detail.

6

The text concludes with a reference to further works or discussions, possibly inviting readers to explore more detailed manuscripts or texts related to the subject at hand.

7

In summary, the text is likely a scholarly work or a commentary on the transmission and verification of knowledge, particularly in the context of Abū Bakr al-Rāzī's works. It emphasizes the importance of consultation and the need for careful examination to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the information presented.
In the name of God, the merciful and compassionate, whose help we seek
A treatise on eating Syrian mulberries after watermelons, by Ibn Zakariyyâ' al-Râzî
[1.1] Abû Bakr Muhammad ibn Zakariyyâ' al-Râzî said: If ignorant and stupid people who adorn themselves with useless frippery refrained from criticizing, slandering, and vilifying what they do not understand, they would spare intelligent people the trouble of refuting them, and they [the intelligent people] could just pass them [the ignorant ones] over in silence. [1.2] But since the matter in this case is as we have said, namely, that they tend to despise what they do not know [؟], the intelligent people’s trouble is doubled and their hardship increased. [1.3] It is not enough that they have to lose their breath, because this is so difficult in many instances, [1.4] but they also must provide long explanations and commentaries, and protect the text [from misinterpretation]; it is arduous to refute [these ignorant people] and to establish [the truth]. [1.5] Therefore, we must, through this treatise, confront the person who criticized us for having advised Ahmad ibn Isma‘îl to consume mulberries after watermelons.

[2.1] I am referring to my advice to him following his recovery from an acute tertian fever that was turning into a burning [fever], at which point he got some watermelon and was positively resolved to eat it. [2.2] At that time he had an empty stomach, a healthy appetite, and little thirst. [2.3] I knew that for people in this state, watermelon quickly turns into bile, especially those [watermelons] chosen for their exceptional sweetness. [2.4] If someone eating it

Translation

In the name of God, the merciful and compassionate, whose help we seek
A treatise on eating Syrian mulberries after watermelons, by Ibn Zakariyyâ' al-Râzî
[1.1] Abû Bakr Muhammad ibn Zakariyyâ' al-Râzî said: If ignorant and stupid people who adorn themselves with useless frippery refrained from criticizing, slandering, and vilifying what they do not understand, they would spare intelligent people the trouble of refuting them, and they [the intelligent people] could just pass them [the ignorant ones] over in silence. [1.2] But since the matter in this case is as we have said, namely, that they tend to despise what they do not know [؟], the intelligent people’s trouble is doubled and their hardship increased. [1.3] It is not enough that they have to lose their breath, because this is so difficult in many instances, [1.4] but they also must provide long explanations and commentaries, and protect the text [from misinterpretation]; it is arduous to refute [these ignorant people] and to establish [the truth]. [1.5] Therefore, we must, through this treatise, confront the person who criticized us for having advised Ahmad ibn Isma‘îl to consume mulberries after watermelons.

[2.1] I am referring to my advice to him following his recovery from an acute tertian fever that was turning into a burning [fever], at which point he got some watermelon and was positively resolved to eat it. [2.2] At that time he had an empty stomach, a healthy appetite, and little thirst. [2.3] I knew that for people in this state, watermelon quickly turns into bile, especially those [watermelons] chosen for their exceptional sweetness. [2.4] If someone eating it
chooses only the part that is thoroughly ripened and far from the rind, so that it is almost all liquid flowing in pure sweetness, then this changes more quickly than that which is close to the rind and slightly unripe. [2.5] The bile generated by this [the latter] is sharper and thinner, and penetrates more quickly toward the liver; its—that is, the liver’s—burning fever does not subside but follows one after another [?]. [2.6] In states of extreme high temperature [the liver] generates jaundiced blood, which is extremely deeply dyed for less than three days. [2.7] I had come to know that when this [type of] watermelon reaches [the liver] it causes it to increase in warmth and acidity, so that it [sc. the watermelon] turns into pure bile, which could potentially lead to the return of the fever. [2.8] It was, therefore, my aim and intention at that time to prevent it from turning into bile, and to make it slip down quickly, before any of it could reach the liver. [2.9] It can, however, only be prevented from turning into bile by something acrid, only be made to go down by something moist, and only be made to slip out by something having a purging faculty.

[3.1] The use of laxative drugs at that time was by no means an option, since he needed nourishment soon, and was also recovering, so that one ought not to use any laxative drugs at all, given his condition. [3.2] I also knew that if he ate harmful food after it [sc. a laxative drug], then even if it [the laxative drug] would somehow prevent it [the food] from changing quickly and would hold it for a long time by having the stomach contain and envelop it [the food] until it were fully digested, it [the laxative] would in any case change [into bile], and thereby render the food bilious and corrupt. Therefore, the damage that it [the food turned bile] causes when penetrating into the blood vessels would be even greater.

[3.3] In this context, I saw that mulberries continue to prevent it [food] from changing into bile, because they [even] change absolutely perfect yellow bile from its natural state so that they extinguish it and remove its harmful and intense effect. [3.4] They also continue to make it go down before it reaches the liver, since they have a purgative power. [3.5] Given his condition, I was not afraid that flatulence and wind would be generated by the whole belly being hot because the fever was recent.

[4.1] Therefore, I chose this [sc. administering mulberry], because at that time all the requirements necessary to fulfil the aim that I set myself had come together. [4.2] By my life, he kept his promise to me that he would do this. [4.3] I did not leave his bedside until he passed stool, the watermelon and berries came down, the stomach became dry, and his appetite returned stronger than before. [4.4] For the stomach was cooled a little by the mulberries, and there was the slightest burning in it caused by this acidity, stimulating to the appetite, because of the black bile that naturally flows into it to annihilate successive bouts of appetite. [4.5] Thus the appetite returned stronger than before, as the thirst was quenched.

[5.1] So if somebody were to ask, “Why did you refrain from using oxymel?” I would say, “Because I am afraid that what is in the stomach quickly penetrates to the region of the liver, especially the kind [of oxymel] made with roses. Moreover it [sc. oxymel] is not appropriate for the stomach, and it does not strengthen the appetite, but rather weakens it. Neither does it help to purge the belly [?], but rather has a diuretic effect, nor does it suppress the appetite as mulberries do, and because it itself is . . . [?] that one ought to avoid.” [5.2] I do not doubt that if he had drunk it, he would have vomited it, for oxymel is something that aids vomiting, especially if it mixes with watermelon in the stomach. If he had vomited, his appetite would have been ruined, his strength would have failed, and his sleep would have been troubled. [5.3] For when someone vomits, his strength is usually weakened quickly. [5.4] Moreover, I could not be certain that he would not shiver and be attacked [?] by a light fever. [5.5] For if even the slightest exhaustion and worry had befallen him and stimulated his vomiting, they would have poured blame on me to the utmost for having given him oxymel to drink. [5.6] He would have grown much weaker, as it [the oxymel] normally has this effect, according to
my knowledge. [5.7] It would have been easier for him to avoid eating watermelons than to bear the exhaustion caused by vomiting—easier even than just to drink oxymel on its own, if it did not cause vomiting—because he really hated it [sc. oxymel].

[6.1] So when someone asks, “Why did you not forbid him to eat watermelons, since one is afraid of the damage that you mentioned?” I retort, “Because I am sure that he would have opposed me and eaten it anyway, [6.2] and would not have corrected its effect by what I had indicated to him. One only accepts completely what physicians order if one belongs to them [and is trained] in the art of medicine; [6.3] and also because one ought not to forbid people from what they desire as long as one can correct the damage.”

[7.1] And if he says, “Why did the doctors prescribe taking frankincense, cumin [electuaries],76 pepper [electuaries], ginger, and sour yellow unmixed fiery wine after eating watermelons?” [7.2] we retort, “Whoever prescribed this categorically acted wrongly, and did more harm than good, because these things help quickly turn watermelon into bile, and into sharper or purer bile than what would be generated by it on its own. [7.3] Then this [bile] penetrates the blood vessels, not to mention the liver, and can thus cause strong acute fevers.” [7.4] If one does not take these things, [the watermelon] turns [into bile] more slowly and with fewer bad consequences, and it also penetrates the liver and the blood vessels to a lesser extent, especially if he happens to walk afterwards a very short distance, and not quickly. [7.5] If someone is interested in this and concerned by it, then he should experiment as I have, so that the truth might dawn on him. He should not limit himself to reading the books of mediocre physicians who commit many errors as regards this subject. [7.6] Since I had reservations about Yahyä [ibn Mäsawayh] and what he said about this, I gave a man who ardently desired watermelon pure strong wine to drink in the amount of one rîl, after [having eaten] watermelon for many days. [7.7] Then he was affected during that year by many acute burning tertian fevers, which became chronic. [7.8] This caused pustules and malignant corrosive ulcers, his appetite weakened, his body grew emaciated, he constantly suffered from nausea, dizziness, hiccups, and headache, and rapid pulse with weakness, bitterness of mouth, a change in the smell of his breath, and all the other symptoms that indicate the domination of bile. [7.9] Then, [in the next year], I forbade him to drink [sc. wine] as before. [7.10] His state improved, his appetite returned, and he fully recovered from the burning fevers. [7.11] I investigated and saw myself things similar to this experience, so that the testimony given to me by reason was proven correct by my own observation.

[8.1] Therefore I do not refrain from saying that Yahyä ibn Mäsawayh was ignorant of the nature of watermelons when he wrote his book “On Repelling the Harm Inflicted by Foodstuffs.” [8.2] With it, he did more harm than good,77 since he wrote on the subject of watermelons that one ought to take after it—and not just sometimes—something that he mentioned, except that the term [watermelon] was applied to the sour [variety] of it and to large cucumbers (fuqûs). [8.3] For watermelon, especially the truly sweet kind, is quick to transform and penetrate owing to its cleansing and stinging quality, and it stimulates the intestines and the stomach to push what requires assistance,78 so that it often generates the sharpest possible form of diarrhoea (hayda), abrades the intestines, stimulates urination, and may even harm the bladder when a stone is in it or in the kidneys. [8.4] Those who understand the principles set out by the great Galen know that this is a specific characteristic of

77. As noted (n. 36 above), al-Räzi repeats this accusation in the opening sentences of his Manâfic al-aghdhiya.
78. I.e., it helps to push down food that cannot come out on its own.
cutting and thinning substances, not to mention that it is a specific characteristic of anything that needs to be cut and thinned. [8.5] So anyone with the slightest grasp of the art of medicine requires no further explanation from me here. [8.6] The person who criticizes us on this subject should know that he exceeds Yahyā [ibn Māsawayh] in ignorance as much as Yahyā exceeds him in knowledge. [8.7] And if Yahyā’s ignorance is as I described it, then, oh Lord, how very ignorant must he be? [8.8] When he says that he repented of practicing medicine after he heard what I said about watermelons, I retort that if he keeps his pledge, then one can have confidence, because he is rightly guided [in doing so]. [8.9] For it would have been best for the inhabitants of this region, if he had repented not just at this time, but many years ago. [8.10] Praise without end be to him who giveth intelligence, as is right and meet.

CONCLUSIONS

Both epistles edited and translated here for the first time arose out of the competitive court culture that dominated much of Abbasid society. In both epistles al-Rāzî mentions that the topic of discussion originally arose during a majlis, which could refer to a courtly setting of what essentially amounted to state-sponsored debate, or to a less formal social gathering in which learned people discussed intellectual matters, perhaps over a meal or a beverage (i.e., a symposium). In the first epistle al-Rāzî summarizes his arguments against an unknown opponent. The topic is one of natural history and related to the Maḫbūl or problem literature that goes back to Problēmata physikâ attributed to Aristotle. The second epistle arose from a concrete situation, namely, critique of al-Rāzî for prescribing mulberries after watermelon in the case of Ahmad ibn Ismā’il, the founder of the Samanid dynasty. Again, we are in a court setting and competing physicians vie for the attention of the political elite.

This competitive court culture did not affect only al-Rāzî’s career. In the autobiography of Ḥunayn ibn Ishāq as recorded in Ibn Abī Usaybī’a, we read about an incident, alluded to above, in which Ḥunayn’s Christian rival, a fellow physician, tricked him into spitting on an icon in front of the caliph, who quickly jailed him for this transgression. Only when the caliph required medical attention and other doctors were unable to offer a cure did he consult Ḥunayn, after having been thus instructed in a dream. Ḥunayn effected the cure and not only regained his old position but curried even greater favor with the caliph. Although the historicity and authenticity of this account remain disputed, it illustrates that rivals at court could go to great lengths to eliminate the competition.79 Likewise, al-Kindī reportedly lost his library in a court intrigue, and only had it restored when the Banū Mūsā botched an engineering job and were at the mercy of someone who, despite an enmity between al-Kindī and himself, insisted that they make amends.80

One might ask whether the questions discussed in these two epistles were of any practical relevance. After all, the question of why one sometimes undresses to get warm would appear rather academic. Here again, a parallel case is illuminating. There is a wonderful exchange of letters between two physicians, the Christian Ibn Buṭṭān from Baghdad and the Muslim Ibn Riddān from Cairo, who argued about whether “the chicken has a warmer nature than a young bird.”81 This also appears to be a problem of natural history that is of little practical

implication, but it stirred up a great controversy. In the course of their exchange of letters, they accuse each other of being quacks, not true physicians. The very credibility of the medical practitioner seems to depend on his ability to converse about topics of natural history.82

The broader topic of the second epistle, what and how to eat, is also of great relevance to court life. Here we can quote an anecdote from Ibn Abi 'Uṣaybi'ā's biographical entry on Ibn Mâsawayh, whom al-Rāzī attacks in his epistle. Although this physician wrote medical treatises on a range of topics, he had a special interest in the order in which foodstuffs are consumed:

We copied from the handwriting of al-Mukhtâr ibn al-Hasan ibn Butlân that Abû 'Uthmân al-Jâhiz and Yuhannâ ibn Mâsawayh, he said, were together (almost certainly in my opinion) at the table of Isma'il Bulbul, the vizier. Among the foods presented were fish followed by madîra [soured milk stew], and Yuhannâ refrained from mixing the two. Abû 'Uthmân said to him, “Sir, fish must inevitably be either of the nature of milk or its opposite. If one is the opposite of the other, then it will be a remedy for it, but if they are of the same nature, then we must conclude that we may eat either one of them until we are satisfied.” “By God,” answered Yuhannâ, “I have no knowledge of rhetorical debate, but eat, Abû 'Uthmân, and see what happens tomorrow!” Abû 'Uthmân ate because of what he claimed, and was struck with hemiplegia that night. “This,” [Yuhannâ] said, “is the result of false reasoning. Abû 'Uthmân was misled to believe that fish is of the nature of milk. If we conceded that they are both of the same nature, then they would possess a faculty when mixed together not found in one of them alone.”83

This anecdote features the famous father of Arabic prose, Abû 'Uthmân al-Jâhiz (d. 868), copied from the handwriting of Ibn Butlân, a man known for both his medical skill and his literary talents (and the adversary of Ibn Ridwân in the exchange of letters discussed above). In its blending of the rhetorical and the medical, it demonstrates the complex relationship of rhetoric and speech to food and the body, as well as the competitive debate atmosphere that surrounded the study of medicine. Although rhetoric and debate were pervasive in all fields of learning, in medicine they inevitably implied a balance between erudite book-learning and the demands of the physical body. One may engage in sophistry on any topic under the sun, but the results of such rhetorical activity could have very real and dire consequences when they influenced medical treatments.

Al-Rāzī's competitive tone has broader roots in rhetorical practices close to the ancient Greek sources from which he draws in his medical practices. These same ancient Greek sources strongly influence the epistolary tradition in which al-Rāzī is participating. In particular, his epistle on eating watermelons before mulberries, the more belligerent one, displays

82. See also Pormann, “Physician and the Other” (n. 41 above).
characteristics of rhetorical debating styles and even of vaunting.\textsuperscript{84} Despite his rhetorical flourishes, however, al-Râzï seems earnest in his desire not only to advance his career but to share his medical knowledge, which he claims he tested empirically and bore witness to “with [his] own eyes.”\textsuperscript{85} The \textit{risāla} genre provides the perfect setting for the dissemination of original medical theories like those expressed in these treatises.

