

1 **The acute effects of maximal sprint interval exercise on**
2 **energy intake and appetite in adolescents**

3

4 Joanna L. Varley-Campbell¹, Melanie S. Moore², Craig A. Williams¹

5 ¹Children's Health and Exercise Research Centre, Sport and Health Sciences,
6 St Luke's Campus, University of Exeter, Heavitree Road, Exeter, EX1 2L, UK

7 ²School of Health Professions, Plymouth University, Plymouth, Devon, PL6
8 8BH, UK.

9 Address for correspondence:

10 Professor Craig Williams

11 University of Exeter,

12 Children's Health and Exercise Research Centre (CHERC)

13 St Lukes Campus, Heavitree Road,

14 Exeter, Devon

15 EX1 2LU

16 Tel: +44 1392 724890

17 Fax: +44 1392 724726

18 c.a.williams@exeter.ac.uk

19 Key words: HIIE, eating behaviour, sprint exercise, gender

20 Running title: Exercise effect on energy intake and appetite

21 **Conflict of Interest.**

22 Joanna Varley-Campbell's work has been funded by a project grant from
23 Kellogg's Ltd. The results of the study do not constitute endorsement of
24 Kellogg's Ltd, and there are no conflicts of interest.

25 **ABSTRACT**

26

27 **Background/Objectives:** Imposed bouts of physical activity may alter
28 subsequent energy intake (EI) and appetite in adolescents. The purpose of this
29 study was to investigate the acute effects of maximal sprint interval exercise
30 (MSIE) on EI and ratings of subjective appetite (hunger, fullness and
31 prospective consumption) compared to a sedentary condition (SED) in thirteen
32 boys and thirteen girls (12-13 y). Eating behaviours (emotional, restrained and
33 external eating) were also investigated as potential attributors for the large
34 variability commonly found for compensatory EI.

35 **Subjects/Methods:** MSIE comprised of fifteen 10 s maximal sprint cycling
36 exercise each followed by 110 s of active recovery. A homogenised
37 macronutrient composition lunch was offered *ad libitum* and weighed EI was
38 recorded. Subjective appetite was rated throughout the test period.

39 **Results:** EI at the lunchtime meal was significantly greater (mean difference
40 0.56 MJ) following MSIE compared to SED ($P < 0.05$) for the boys. There were
41 no significant changes to EI between conditions for the girls. MSIE acutely
42 reduced appetite in boys ($P < 0.05$) but not girls ($P > 0.05$), however by lunch (1 h
43 post exercise) appetite had recovered for the boys to match that of the SED
44 condition. Measures of eating behaviour did not significantly correlate with EI in
45 either condition.

46 **Conclusions:** This is the first study to indicate acute changes to EI and
47 appetite following MSIE in boys, but not girls in a controlled laboratory
48 environment. These findings may suggest the utilisation of sex specific exercise
49 modality prescriptions as a method of appetite and EI control for this age group.

50 **INTRODUCTION**

51

52 The effect of exercise on subsequent energy intake (EI) and subjective ratings
53 of appetite has been investigated and reviewed in adults¹⁻⁴, with limited
54 research conducted within the lean paediatric population⁵⁻⁷. Changes to EI
55 and/or appetite following exercise are often attributed to the type of exercise
56 (e.g. running, cycling, swimming or resistance exercise) intervention
57 implemented or to sex differences. Another variable suggested to effect EI is
58 eating behaviours, however this area has received limited focus to date⁸ in
59 adults and remains unstudied in children.

60

61 Exercise interventions utilised within the lean paediatric population, when
62 assessing the effects of exercise on EI and/or appetite, have been either
63 continuous moderate intensity^{5-7,9} or continuous high intensity exercise^{5,9,10,11}.
64 Sprint intensity exercise interspersed with periods of low- to moderate-intensity
65 exercise has been suggested as more representative of children's activity
66 patterns under natural conditions¹². A bout of high intensity interval exercise
67 (HIIE), a mode similar to maximal sprint intensity exercise (MSIE), have only
68 been investigated once within an obese adult male population¹³ and once with
69 an obese paediatric male population (8-12 y)¹⁴. Both indicated EI reductions
70 following HIIE compared to control and no changes for ratings of appetite.
71 Whether lean girls as well as boys also reduce their EI following a bout of MSIE
72 compared to a control condition remain unstudied.

73

74 Large individual variability to changes in EI following exercise have previously
75 been reported in adult literature^{15,16} and large standard deviations around the
76 mean for EI have been observed within paediatrics^{5,10}. Eating behaviours
77 (emotional, restrained and external eating) have been suggested as a measure
78 that may correlate to the variability in EI⁸.

79

80 The aims of the present study were firstly to examine the acute effects of MSIE
81 on EI and appetite in both boys and girls. Secondly, to understand how eating
82 behaviour impacts EI responses. Therefore we tested the hypothesis that EI will
83 reduce acutely following a bout of MSIE although it will not alter appetite and
84 secondly eating behaviours will correlate to EI variability.

85

86 SUBJECTS AND METHODS

87

88 Thirteen healthy boys (12.8±0.3 y) and 13 healthy girls (13.1±0.3 y) volunteered
89 to participate in this study. The Institutional Ethics Committee approved the
90 study (ref# 2011/70) and written informed consent was obtained from the
91 parents/guardians and informed assent from adolescents. A brief medical
92 history and food intolerance questionnaire was used to exclude adolescents
93 with a history of metabolic disorders known to affect intermediary metabolism.

94 Design

95 The study utilised a within-subjects, randomly assigned design (**Figure 1**).
96 Acute changes in appetite and EI from a lunch-meal were assessed following a
97 period of sedentary activity (SED) or after completing MSIE. Participants

98 attended the Research Centre in pairs on three occasions (familiarisation and
99 preliminary measurements, SED and MSIE conditions). Wherever possible,
100 testing occurred on the same day of the week and was separated by 7-21 d.
101 Based on the primary outcome variable of EI, a sample size of $n=10$ was
102 calculated ($\mu_1=4.57$, $\mu_2=5.92$, $\sigma=1.06$, $\alpha=0.05$ and desired power= 0.80)¹⁰.
103 To account for drop-out we recruited 13 participants.

104 **Body composition**

105 Stature and sitting stature (Seca stadiometer, Seca, Germany) and body weight
106 was measured (Hampel digital scales, Hampel Electronics Co. Taiwan) in light
107 sports clothing and no shoes. Body density was measured by air displacement
108 plethysmography, (BODPOD, Life measurement instruments, Concord, USA)
109 and estimated maturity status was calculated¹⁷. **Table 1** represents the
110 participants' anthropometric characteristics.

111 **Preliminary visit**

112 Heart rate (HR) monitors were fitted to adolescents before exercise
113 commenced. Participants completed an incremental ramp test to volitional
114 exhaustion for determination of $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ on a cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur
115 Sport V2, Lode BV, The Netherlands) using methods described in previous
116 investigations from our laboratory¹⁸. Before leaving the adolescents were asked
117 to select their breakfast (choice of cereal or toast) and lunch preferences
118 (choice of sandwich fillings) so that acceptable meals could be provided to them
119 on subsequent experimental days. To measure eating behaviour, the
120 adolescents were asked to complete the Dutch Eating Behaviour
121 Questionnaire-Children¹⁹.

122

123 **Testing days**

124 Participants arrived at the laboratory at 0830 h following a 12 h overnight fast.

125 Between 0840 h and 0900 h participants consumed an *ad libitum* breakfast.

126 Intake weight was recorded to standardise breakfast for the subsequent testing

127 day. Breakfast intake was not included in EI calculations as it remained a

128 constant between conditions. Additional standardisation included participants'

129 recall of the dinner meal from the previous night that was recorded and

130 requested to be repeated before the next test visit. This was verbally verified on

131 the subsequent visit.

132 When participants were required to remain sedentary, they chose to read,

133 complete homework, watch DVDs or play computer games.

134 **Exercise day**

135 The exercise started 2 h after breakfast (1050 h) for all participants. The

136 protocol commenced with 5 minutes warm-up, pedalling at 25 W at a self-

137 determined cadence, but actively encouraged to be below 50 rpm, after which

138 the MSIE bout began. The work rate resistance was applied, calculated from 70

139 g·kg body weight (BW)⁻¹ for boys and 67 g·kg BW⁻¹ for girls for 10 s. Different

140 work rates were selected for each sex, as previous literature has indicated that

141 the force needed to yield the highest mean power differs between the sexes²⁰

142 and when the resistance is the same, girls end up working on average 19 %

143 harder than boys²¹. As work rates were different between the sexes, sex

144 comparison analysis was not appropriate. Settings on the electronically braked

145 cycle ergometer allowed the work rate to be added at a rate of 1000 W's

146 ensuring a negligible delay in achieving the desired work rate. In the lead up to
147 the sprint, the participants were given a 5 s countdown to gradually increase
148 pedal cadence before the load was added. The adolescents were asked to
149 sprint for the duration of the 10 s and were given verbal encouragement to
150 ensure completion. After each sprint the adolescents reduced pedal cadence
151 (between 30-40 rpm), whilst the power output returned to 25 W for 110 s of
152 active recovery. In total the exercise lasted 40 min and incorporated 5 minutes
153 warm up, 15 sprints and 5 minutes cool down. Between 3 and 5 of these sprints
154 were practiced on the preliminary day so the adolescents understood the
155 procedures of the exercise. Expiration data was collected throughout the MSIE
156 using a respiratory gas system (oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$) and expired carbon
157 dioxide ($\dot{V}CO_2$); Cortex Metalyzer 3B, Germany and Metasoft v2.1 software).
158 Following the exercise bout participants were offered 250 mL of water. Any
159 water remaining was measured and recorded, to control for any EI or appetite
160 altering effects previously demonstrated^{22,23}.

161

162 **Sedentary day**

163 As with the exercise day, the participants performed sedentary activities
164 following breakfast. To mimic water provisions offered on the exercising day,
165 participants were offered 250 mL of water at 1130 h, any water remaining was
166 weighed and recorded. At 1140 h, resting expired air samples were collected
167 through the respiratory gas system for 15 min in a seated position and used to
168 calculate EE at rest²⁴.

169 **Lunch provisions**

170 The lunchtime meal was offered *ad libitum*, to all participants at 1230 h, (1 h
171 after exercise had concluded). All test meals were prepared by one researcher
172 and consisted of sandwiches (a choice from: cheese and margarine; sausage,
173 margarine and ketchup; chocolate spread; jam and margarine, or peanut butter
174 and margarine) and a plain sponge cake with vanilla frosting. The same
175 selected sandwich was provided for each test visit. Each food item had
176 homogenised macronutrient compositions of 53 % energy from carbohydrate,
177 35 % from fat and 12 % from protein to prevent any effects of macronutrient
178 satiety hierarchy²⁵ or changes in macronutrient preferences following
179 exercise²⁶. The macronutrient composition is similar to the average
180 macronutrient requirement for adolescents of this age group²⁵. As the meals
181 were offered *ad libitum*, volumes of food placed on the plate were offered in
182 excess of requirement and were similar to the daily estimated average
183 requirements of energy for adolescents of this age²⁵. The boys were offered 6
184 MJ of sandwiches and 4 MJ of cake whilst the girls had 4 MJ of sandwiches and
185 4 MJ of cake. Each food item was cut into bite-sized pieces to disguise the
186 quantity being offered. Such large amounts of food were offered to encourage
187 the adolescents to eat until they felt comfortably full and not when the plate was
188 empty. The adolescents were informed that they were not expected to finish the
189 plates of food however, more could be provided if requested. Water (250 mL)
190 was offered with the lunch meal. Food and water intakes were calculated from
191 subtracting the final plate/glass weight from the initial weight to the nearest 1 g
192 (Air Super Slim Kitchen Scales, Salter, UK). Adolescents were separated from
193 one another for the lunch meal and constantly observed by the researcher who

194 sat between the two rooms. Participants had until 1300 h to finish their meal (30
195 min).

196 **Appetite ratings**

197 At set times throughout the SED and MSIE conditions (0930 h, 1045 h, 1130 h,
198 1200 h, 1230 h and 1300 h) adolescents were asked to rate their hunger,
199 fullness and prospective consumption (desire to eat) on a Visual Analogue
200 Scale (VAS) 100 mm line^{5,10}.

201 **Calculation of energy expenditure**

202 EE for SED and MSIE was calculated using the $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{V}CO_2$ output from the
203 respiratory gas system expressed as 1 s readings. EE per 1 s was calculated
204 using the respiratory quotient equations by Weir (ref.24) and totalled to give
205 exercising EE from the 40 min bout and resting EE for a 40 min period.

206 **Statistical analysis**

207 Data are presented as mean \pm SD unless otherwise stated. EI, EE and water
208 consumption were investigated for boys and girls using Students paired t-test.

209 Appetite from the VAS: (hunger, fullness and prospective consumption) were
210 investigated using mixed model ANOVA (2x6) for condition and time and any
211 interactions for condition*time. Significant findings were further investigated with
212 Bonferroni corrected post hoc tests.

213 Pearson's product moment correlations were performed between eating
214 behaviours and EI for both conditions. The data were analysed using SPSS
215 (version 20, SPSS Inc., Chicago, US). Statistical significance was accepted at
216 $P < 0.05$, except for the results from Students paired t-tests where the alpha level
217 was adjusted to $P < 0.025$.

218

219 **RESULTS**

220

221 Energy intake was significantly higher ($P=0.011$) following MSIE (4.14 ± 1.04 MJ)
222 compared to SED (3.58 ± 0.87 MJ) for the boys; but there were no significant
223 differences for girls EI ($P>0.05$) between MSIE (3.30 ± 0.92 MJ) and SED
224 (3.37 ± 0.88 MJ). Energy expenditure was significantly higher ($P<0.001$) following
225 MSIE (boys: 0.83 ± 0.06 MJ; girls: 0.69 ± 0.10 MJ) compared to SED (boys:
226 0.23 ± 0.03 MJ; girls: 0.21 ± 0.03 MJ). Water intake was significantly higher
227 ($P=0.004$) following MSIE (339 ± 122 mL) compared to SED (221 ± 182 mL) for
228 the boys. In contrast, there were no significant differences for girls water intake
229 ($P>0.05$) between MSIE (260 ± 163 mL) and SED (215 ± 142 mL).

230

231 Mean ratings of hunger (**Figure 2**), fullness (**Figure 3**) and prospective
232 consumption (**Figure 4**) are present for both boys and girls.

233 For the boys a significant effects of time and time*condition were found for
234 hunger ($F_{(2.664,31.967)}=56.933$, $P<0.001$; $F_{(5,60)}=3.069$, $P=0.016$) fullness
235 ($F_{(2.485,29.784)}=56.747$, $P<0.001$; $F_{(5,60)}=3.447$, $P=0.008$) and prospective
236 consumption ($F_{(3.185,38.219)}=50.130$, $P<0.001$; $F_{(5,60)}=3.299$, $P=0.011$). No
237 significant effects between conditions for all 3 appetite scales were found
238 ($P>0.05$). Post hoc pairwise comparisons indicated boys' felt significantly less
239 hungry and more full following MSIE at time point 1130 h when compared to
240 SED (hunger: $P=0.037$, mean change 23 mm; fullness: $P=0.049$, mean change
241 23 mm). Whereas, for the girls a significant effect of time was found for hunger

242 ($F_{(2.070,24.841)}=32.186$, $P<0.001$), fullness ($F_{(1.880,22.564)}=40.524$, $P<0.001$) and
243 prospective consumption ($F_{(1.735,20.824)}=48.808$, $P<0.001$). Whilst no significant
244 effects between conditions nor interaction effects of time*condition for all 3
245 appetite scales were found ($P>0.05$).

246

247 **Eating behaviour**

248 Emotional eating was significantly correlated to EI during SED but not MSIE for
249 the girls. There were no other significant correlations for the three eating
250 behaviours to EI following SED or MSIE for either the boys or girls. Results are
251 shown in **Table 2**.

252

253 **DISCUSSION**

254

255 **Following MSIE when compared to SED, EI was significantly increased in the**
256 **boys but no changes were observed for the girls.** Therefore, we rejected our
257 hypothesis that EI will reduce acutely following MSIE. There were no significant
258 differences for appetite between conditions for either boys or girls. However, a
259 significant interaction effect for condition and time was found for the boys.
260 Therefore, the hypothesis that there will be no significant changes to appetite
261 between conditions is accepted for girls but rejected for boys. Our second
262 hypothesis was to test whether eating behaviours would correlate to EI.
263 Emotional eating was found to significantly correlate to EI during SED but not
264 MSIE, with girls only. **Therefore, we rejected the second hypothesis. The**
265 **findings from the present study extend the current paediatric literature**

266 surrounding changes to EI and appetite following a previously untested mode of
267 exercise: MSIE. Reporting similar exercise interventions for both sexes are
268 sparsely investigated within the paediatric population and provide novel findings
269 to extend the literature.

270

271 **Energy intake**

272 Significant increases in EI following MSIE for boys and but no change for girls is
273 not in comparison to previous findings using a similar style of exercise (HIIE) in
274 obese adults¹³ and obese children¹⁴, where both studies observed reduction in
275 EI. Methodological differences may explain the variance between the results
276 were either the test meal was initiated 5-10 min after the cessation of the
277 exercise¹⁴ or a post exercise preload (1.1 MJ) had been consumed, prior to
278 measuring EI, 70 mins post exercise¹³. The present study had a 1 h time delay
279 between cessation of exercise and provision of the lunch-meal, as it was
280 deemed more indicative of habitual activity, both in school (where lessons occur
281 following bouts of activity) and outside of the school environment (where travel
282 time between the location of the physical activity and meal location may occur).
283 The adolescent boys may have significantly increased their EI following MSIE
284 based on an exercise-induced increase in hedonic reward following the heavy
285 exertion of performing MSIE. Hedonic reward has been theorised as an
286 increase in the enjoyment of food following exercise despite a suppression in
287 hunger². Application of this theory suggests that hedonic reward maybe higher
288 in boys, as they increased their EI following exercise. Whereas, the girls who
289 were working at a slightly lower intensity may not have experienced the same

290 hedonic reward therefore did not increase their EI. This theory needs further
291 explorative testing, particularly within the paediatric populations.

292

293 **Appetite**

294 A significant acute reduction in appetite immediately following the MSIE in boys
295 was not comparable to similar HIIE where no change to appetite were
296 observed^{13,14}. However, it is comparable to HIE where suppression of hunger
297 has been observed in lean adults²⁷⁻³⁰ and paediatrics⁷. This may suggest the
298 differences observed between the present study and the HIIE studies^{13,14}, who
299 recruited obese participants, could be attributed to body size.

300 Mechanisms behind appetite suppression following exercise have been
301 theorised as a redirection of blood flow, away from splanchnic circulation
302 following HIE³¹. As the boys were working at a slightly higher work rate, this
303 may explain why appetite suppressions were observed only in boys following
304 MSIE. Appetite scores for the boys returned to match those of the control
305 condition 60 min post exercise, suggesting the time delay between completion
306 of the exercise and provision of the test meal allowed the blood flow to return
307 towards the splanchnic circulation, thus losing the anorexic effect on appetite.
308 Comprehensive investigations altering the time between exercise cessation and
309 lunch-meal provision have yet to be explored within paediatrics. Suggested
310 future work would examine specific sex changes following work-matched
311 exercise at different intensities within paediatrics.

312

313 **Water intake**

314 The boys consumed significantly more water following MSIE, whereas there
315 were no significant differences between conditions for girls. There is currently
316 insufficient evidence to clarify the role of water on EI and appetite within the
317 paediatric literature. However, there are some implications within the adult
318 literature that water consumption would increase energy consumption³², a
319 theory that is comparable to our findings in the boys.

320

321 **Eating behaviour**

322 Observations of changes in EI from previous work indicate large variability for
323 EI^{5,10,15,16}. Similarly, the present study also reported large variability with
324 standard deviations of 25 % of the mean value for EI. **Eating behaviours were**
325 **therefore examined as potential determinant for large inter-subject variability.**
326 Only emotional eating was significantly negatively correlated to EI following
327 SED in girls from this work, suggests that the more controlled eating behaviour
328 is by emotion, the lower total EI is likely to be in a sedentary condition.
329 However, this is likely to be a chance finding as no significant correlations were
330 found for emotional eating and EI following MSIE. **Investigations as to why such**
331 **large EI inter-person differences exist between conditions needs further**
332 **exploration in both the adult and paediatric population as eating behaviour**
333 **appears not to be an indicator.**

334

335

336

337 **Limitations**

338 The present study was an acute highly controlled study, which consequently
339 reduced ecological validity. As studies with paediatric populations are sparse,
340 the aim was to ensure validity of acute measurements, thereby limiting its
341 application to a more ecological, longer duration study with larger participant
342 numbers.

343 We also acknowledge the limitation of the chosen sedentary activities may
344 themselves alter EI³³. However, this method of SED activities is commonly used
345 within the paediatric literature and is more representative of habitual activities.

346 Circulating hormones are suggested to influence appetite and eating behaviour
347 in adults³⁴. Therefore, a further limitation to this study was the lack of control for
348 female menstruation. Measuring menstruation was not included within the
349 protocol, as it may have negatively impacted upon recruitment.

350 **Conclusion**

351 MSIE acutely reduced appetite in boys but not girls, however, by the time the
352 lunch meal was administered (1 h post exercise), appetite had recovered in the
353 boys to match the SED condition. EI in the lunchtime meal was significantly
354 greater following MSIE compared to SED in the boys but not girls. Measures of
355 eating behaviour (emotional, external and restrained eating) had no significant
356 impact on EI for either sex. This is the first study to indicate significant sex
357 differences for both EI and appetite in normal weight adolescents following
358 MSIE.

359

360

361 **REFERENCES**

- 362 1 Blundell JE, Stubbs RJ, Hughes DA, Whybrow S, King NA. Cross talk
363 between physical activity and appetite control: does physical activity
364 stimulate appetite? *Proc Nutr Soc* 2003; **62**: 651–61.
- 365 2 Elder SJ, Roberts SB. The Effects of Exercise on Food Intake and Body
366 Fatness : A Summary of Published Studies. *Nutr Rev* 2007; **65**: 1–19.
- 367 3 Martins C, Morgan L, Truby H. A review of the effects of exercise on
368 appetite regulation: an obesity perspective. *Int J Obes* 2008; **32**: 1337–
369 47.
- 370 4 Bilski J, Teległów A, Zahradnik-Bilska J, Dembiński A, Warzecha Z.
371 Effects of Exercise on Appetite and Food Intake Regulation. *Med Sport*
372 2009; **13**: 82–94.
- 373 5 Moore MS, Dodd CJ, Welsman JR, Armstrong N. Short-term appetite and
374 energy intake following imposed exercise in 9- to 10-year-old girls.
375 *Appetite* 2004; **43**: 127–34.
- 376 6 Bellissimo N, Thomas SG, Goode RC, Anderson GH. Effect of short-
377 duration physical activity and ventilation threshold on subjective appetite
378 and short-term energy intake in boys. *Appetite* 2007; **49**: 644–51.
- 379 7 Bozinovski NC, Bellissimo N, Thomas SG, Pencharz PB, Goode RC,
380 Anderson GH. The effect of duration of exercise at the ventilation

- 381 threshold on subjective appetite and short-term food intake in 9 to 14 year
382 old boys and girls. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2009; **6**: 66.
- 383 8 Lluch A, King NA, Blundell JE. No energy compensation at the meal
384 following exercise in dietary restrained and unrestrained women. *Br J Nutr*
385 2000; **84**: 219–25.
- 386 9 Tamam S, Bellissimo N, Patel BP, Thomas SG, Anderson GH.
387 Overweight and obese boys reduce food intake in response to a glucose
388 drink but fail to increase intake in response to exercise of short duration.
389 *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2012; **37**: 520–529.
- 390 10 Dodd CJ, Welsman JR, Armstrong N. Energy intake and appetite
391 following exercise in lean and overweight girls. *Appetite* 2008; **51**: 482–8.
- 392 11 Thivel D, Metz L, Julien A, Morio B, Duché P. Obese but not lean
393 adolescents spontaneously decrease energy intake after intensive
394 exercise. *Physiol Behav* 2014; **123**: 41-46.
- 395 12 Bailey RB, Olson J, Pepper SL, Porszasz J, Barstow TJ, Cooper DM. The
396 level and tempo of children's physical activities: an observational study.
397 *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1994; 1033-1041.
- 398 13 Sim AY, Wallman KE, Fairchild TJ, Guelfi KJ. High-intensity intermittent
399 exercise attenuates ad-libitum energy intake. *Int J Obes* 2014; **38**: 417–
400 22.

- 401 14 Crisp NA, Fournier PA, Licari MK, Braham R, Guelfi KJ. Optimising sprint
402 interval exercise to maximise energy expenditure and enjoyment in
403 overweight boys. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2012; **37**: 1222–31.
- 404 15 Unick JL, Otto AD, Goodpaster BH, Helsel DL, Pellegrini CA, Jakicic JM.
405 Acute effect of walking on energy intake in overweight/obese women.
406 *Appetite* 2010; **55**: 413–9.
- 407 16 Finlayson G, Bryant E, Blundell JE, King NA. Acute compensatory eating
408 following exercise is associated with implicit hedonic wanting for food.
409 *Physiol Behav* 2009; **97**: 62–7.
- 410 17 Mirwald RL, Baxter-Jones ADG, Bailey DA, Beunen GP. An assessment
411 of maturity from anthropometric measurements. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
412 2002; **34**: 689–94.
- 413 18 Breese BC, Williams CA, Barker AR, Welsman JR, Fawkner SG,
414 Armstrong N. Longitudinal changes in the oxygen uptake kinetic response
415 to heavy-intensity exercise in 14- to 16-year-old boys. *Pediatr Exerc Sci*
416 2010; **22**: 69–80.
- 417 19 Strien T Van, Oosterveld P. The Children ' s DEBQ for Assessment of
418 Restrained , Emotional , and External Eating in 7- to 12-Year-Old
419 Children. *Int J Eat Disord* 2008; **41**: 72-81.
- 420 20 Bar-Or O. The Wingate Anaerobic Test: An Update on Methodology,
421 Reliability and Validty. *Sport Med* 1987; **4**: 381–394.

- 422 21 Welsman JR, Armstrong N, Kirby BJ, Winsley RJ, Parsons GSP. Exercise
423 performance and magnetic resonance imaging-determined thigh muscle
424 volume in children. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1997; **76**: 92–7.
- 425 22 Flood JE, Roe LS, Rolls BJ. The effect of increased beverage portion size
426 on energy intake at a meal. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2006; **106**: 1984–90;
427 discussion 1990–1.
- 428 23 DellaValle DM, Roe LS, Rolls BJ. Does the consumption of caloric and
429 non-caloric beverages with a meal affect energy intake? *Appetite* 2005;
430 **44**: 187–93.
- 431 24 Weir JB. New method for calculating metabolic rate and special reference
432 to protein metabolism. *J Physiol* 1949; **109**: 1–9.
- 433 25 Department of Health. *Dietary Reference Values of Food Energy and*
434 *Nutrients for the United Kingdom*: 1991.
- 435 26 Nemet D, Arieli R, Meckel Y, Eliakim A. Immediate post-exercise energy
436 intake and macronutrient preferences in normal weight and overweight
437 pre-pubertal children. *Int J Pediatr Obes* 2010; **5**: 221–9.
- 438 27 Thompson D, Wolfe L, Eikelboom R. Acute effects of exercise intensity on
439 appetite in young men. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1988; **20**: 222–227.
- 440 28 Pomerleau M, Imbeault P, Parker T, Doucet E. Effects of exercise
441 intensity on food intake and appetite in women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004; **80**:
442 1230–6.

- 443 29 King N A, Blundell JE. High-fat foods overcome the energy expenditure
444 induced by high-intensity cycling or running. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1995; **49**:
445 114–123.
- 446 30 King NA, Snell L, Smith R D, Blundell JE. Effects of short-term exercise
447 on appetite responses in unrestrained females. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1996; **50**:
448 663–667.
- 449 31 Blundell JE, Stubbs RJ, Hughes DA, Whybrow S, King NA. Cross talk
450 between physical activity and appetite control: does physical activity
451 stimulate appetite? *Proc Nutr Soc* 2003; **62**: 651–61.
- 452 32 Negoianu D, Goldfarb S. Just add water. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008; **19**:
453 1041–3.
- 454 33 Chaput JP, Klingenberg L, Astrup A, Sjödín AM. Modern sedentary
455 activities promote overconsumption of food in our current obesogenic
456 environment. *Obes Rev* 2011 **12**: e12-e20.
- 457 34 Davidsen L, Vistisen B, Astrup A. Impact of the menstrual cycle on
458 determinants of energy balance: a putative role in weight loss attempts.
459 *Int J Obes* 2007; **31**: 1777–85.
- 460
- 461
- 462
- 463
- 464

465 Figure 1. Experimental design: Participants undertook 2 conditions; maximal sprint
466 intensity exercise (MSIE) and a sedentary condition (SED). VAS * indicates the time
467 points where the appetite Visual Analogue Scales were recorded.

468 Table 1 - Participant physical and physiological characteristics.

469 Figure 2 - Mean (\pm SEM) visual analogue scales (VAS) for subjective feelings of hunger
470 in boys (n=13) and girls (n=13) on sedentary (SED) and maximal sprint interval
471 exercise (MSIE) conditions. Very hungry = 100. [REDACTED], Intervention (i.e. 40 min of
472 exercise or rest); [REDACTED], lunch meal. * Indicates a significant difference at the
473 time point ($P>0.05$) between conditions.

474 Figure 3 - Mean (\pm SEM) visual analogue scales (VAS) for subjective feelings of
475 fullness in boys (n=13) and girls (n=13) in sedentary (SED) and maximal sprint interval
476 exercise (MSIE) conditions. Very full = 100. [REDACTED], Intervention (i.e. 40 min of
477 exercise or rest); [REDACTED], lunch meal. * Indicates a significant difference at the
478 time point ($P>0.05$) between conditions.

479 Figure 4 - Mean (\pm SEM) visual analogue scales (VAS) for expressions of prospective
480 consumption in boys (n=13) and girls (n=13) on sedentary (SED) and maximal sprint
481 interval exercise (MSIE) conditions. Lots and lots = 100. [REDACTED], Intervention (i.e.
482 40 min of exercise or rest); [REDACTED], lunch meal.

483 Table 2 - Pearson's correlation coefficients for eating behaviours against energy intake
484 for the maximal sprint interval exercise (MSIE) and sedentary (SED) conditions.

485