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Summary

• During fertilisation, PRKs control pollen tube growth through the pistil in response to extracellular signals, and regulate the actin cytoskeleton at the tube apex to drive tip growth.

• We investigated a novel link between membrane-integral PRKs and the actin cytoskeleton, mediated through interactions between PRKs and NET2A; a pollen-specific member of the NETWORKED superfamily of actin-binding proteins.

• We characterise NET2A as a novel actin-binding protein that localises to punctae at the plasma membrane of the pollen tube shank, which are stably associated with cortical longitudinal actin cables. NET2A was demonstrated to interact specifically with PRK4 and PRK5 in N. benthamiana transient expression assays, and associated at discreet foci at the shank membrane of Arabidopsis pollen tubes. Our data indicates NET2A is recruited to the plasma membrane by PRK4 and PRK5, and that PRK kinase activity is important in facilitating its interaction with NET2A.

• We conclude that NET2A-PRK interactions mediate discreet sites of stable interactions between the cortical longitudinal actin cables and plasma membrane in the shank region of growing pollen tubes, which we have termed Actin-Membrane Contact Sites (AMCSs). Interactions between PRKs and NET2A implicate a role for
NET2A in signal transduction to the actin cytoskeleton during fertilisation.
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Introduction.

Pollen tube growth is a critical step of fertilisation during the angiosperm reproductive cycle, and facilitates the delivery of non-motile sperm cells to the female gamete. It is known that the growing tube is guided through the pistil to the ovules by a large number of secreted signalling molecules, to ensure the targeting of pollen tube growth to the egg (Qu et al., 2015a); however our knowledge of the mechanisms controlling pollen tube growth and guidance during fertilisation remain limited.

The actin cytoskeleton is crucial for pollen tube growth (Gibbon et al., 1999; Vidali et al., 2001); driving cytoplasmic streaming (Vidali et al., 2001) and targeting of Golgi-derived secretory vesicles to the growing tip (Vidali & Helper, 2001; Lee et al., 2008; Rounds et al., 2014), whilst actin-dependent exocytosis and endocytosis also occurs in the pollen tube shank region (Moscatelli et al., 2012). To achieve polarised cell growth, the actin cytoskeleton has a highly organised and distinctive structure in growing pollen tubes. In the shank region of the tube (corresponding to the non-growing region, >4 µm from the tip; Qu et al., 2017), F-actin is arranged into thick longitudinal actin cables, co-ordinating rapid, long range transport of organelles (Chen et al., 2009; Qu et al., 2015b). At the apical zone, (corresponding to the growing region, <4 µm from the tip; Qu et al., 2017), a distinct and highly dynamic population of longitudinally-aligned actin filaments coordinate tip growth and turning: cortical filaments drive and define the direction of tip growth through targeted apical exocytosis, and cytoplasmic filaments prevent retrograde movement of vesicles (Kost
et al., 1999, Lovy-Wheeler et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2009; Qu et al., 2017). This highly distinctive actin structure is regulated by a large number of actin-binding proteins, which regulate actin dynamics and organisation (Hussey et al., 2006; Staiger et al., 2010; and Qu et al., 2015b).

During fertilisation, the pollen tube actin cytoskeleton must be regulated in response to extracellular signals to drive pollen tube growth and navigation in the pistil. The actin cytoskeleton of pollen tubes is regulated by Pollen Receptor-Like Kinases (PRKs); a family of transmembrane leucine-rich repeat (LRR) receptor-like kinases (RLKs), with important roles in fertilisation (Lee et al., 1996; Takeuchi & Higashiyama, 2016). PRKs are known to influence pollen tube growth (Chang et al., 2013), downstream of binding external signalling ligands (Tang et al., 2002; Tang et al., 2004; Wengier et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2014) and mediate pollen tube navigation towards pistil-secreted guidance cues (Takeuchi & Higashiyama, 2016), demonstrating their importance as upstream surface regulators of pollen tube growth. PRKs have been implicated as regulators of the actin cytoskeleton through their involvement with Rop (Rho of plants) GTPases; molecular switches that control tip extension through the RIC3/ERIC4 pathway, which co-ordinates actin dynamics at the pollen tube apex (Fu et al., 2001; Gu et al., 2005; Zhang & McCormick, 2007; Lee et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2013, Takeuchi & Higashiyama, 2016). Therefore, PRKs are thought to control pollen tube growth downstream of external guidance signals through regulation of actin at the tube apex. However, the mechanisms of signal transduction to the pollen tube actin cytoskeleton by PRKs are only recently becoming understood, and it is likely that
novel regulatory links between PRKs and actin have yet to be discovered. Moreover, these cited studies have focused on the coupling of actin dynamics to the growing plasma membrane and trafficking at the tip, but have not revealed how villin and fimbrin-bundled actin of the shank interfaces with the older membrane and maturing cell wall.

Here, we report the identification of a novel link between PRK membrane receptors and the actin cytoskeleton, mediated by the actin-binding NET2 proteins. The NET2 proteins are a pollen-expressed subclade of the NETWORKED superfamily of actin-binding proteins, which bind actin filaments at various membrane compartments through their conserved N-terminal NAB (NET actin-binding) domains (Deeks et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). Members of the NET2 subfamily localise to discreet foci at the plasma membrane of the pollen tube shank, at which they bind both integral membrane protein kinases, PRK4 and PRK5, and cortical longitudinal actin cables. Furthermore, these results indicate that the NET2 proteins are regulated by PRKs to mediate stable points of contact between the plasma membrane and actin filaments in the pollen tube shank, which we have termed ‘actin-membrane contact sites (AMCS)’.

Our data identify a role for NET2A in forming links with specific PRKs, raising the possibility that this connection at the AMCS acts as a platform for the transduction of extracellular signals to the actin cytoskeleton during fertilisation.
Materials and Methods

Plant material and transformations

Arabidopsis (col-0) ecotype was used for the generation of stable Arabidopsis transformants using the floral dipping method according to Zhang et al., (2006). Seeds were grown on ½ MS agar or compost in a growth chamber with a 16-hour day and 8-hour night cycle, with 22 °C day temperature and 18 °C night temperature.

Transient transformation of Nicotiana benthamiana was performed using leaf infiltration as described Sparkes et al., (2006). Plants were grown in a growth chamber with a 16-hour day and 8-hour night cycle, with 25 °C day temperature and 18 °C night temperature.

Molecular cloning and vectors

cDNAs of full-length NET2A, NET2B, PRK1, PRK2, PRK3, PRK4, PRK5, PRK6, were PCR-amplified from total floral cDNA using the primers listed in table S1. Coding sequences of respective subdomains and truncations of these proteins were also amplified from these cDNA templates using the primers list in table S1. The cDNAs were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells as fluorescent fusion proteins by cloning them into various binary gateway vectors using the gateway cloning system (Invitrogen). pB7FGW2 (C-terminal GFP), pH7RGW2 (C-terminal RFP) and pMDC83-mCherry (C-terminal mCherry) were used.

For stable expression of PRK4 and PRK5 as fluorophore fusions under the pLAT52 promoter, pB7FGW52 (C-
terminal GFP) and pH7RGW52 (C-terminal RFP) were used.

The expression vectors pMDC83-mCherry, pB7FGW52 and pH7RGW52 were generated using restriction subcloning. To generate pMDC83-mCherry, the mCherry coding sequence was PCR amplified with added 5’Ascl and 3’BstBI restriction sites using the primers listed in table S1. Ascl/BstBI double restriction digest of pMDC83 was performed to excise the GFP coding sequence, and ligation of 5’-Ascl-mCherry-BstBI-3’ into the pMDC83 Ascl/BstBI site was performed using T7 DNA ligase (NEB).

To generate pB7FGW52 and pH7RGW52, the pLAT52 promoter sequence (Twel et al., 1990) was PCR amplified with added 5’Sacl and 3’SpeI sites using the primers described in table S1. Excision of the CaMV 35s: promoter sequence was performed using Sacl/Spel double restriction digest, and the 5’-Sacl-pLAT52-Spel-3’ DNA fragment was ligated into the excision site using T7 DNA ligase (NEB).

To generate the PRK5K403R kinase-dead PRK5 mutant construct, site-directed mutagenesis was performed on the full-length, wild-type PRK5 coding sequence using the QuickChange II Site Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent). The codon for Lys403 was altered to Arg using the primers listed in table S1.

Live cell imaging and FRET-FLIM

Transiently transformed N. benthamiana leaves were imaged 4 days after infiltration using laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM; Leica TCS SP5). Images were acquired in multi-track mode with line switching when imaging co-localisation of multiple fluorophores. For drug
treatments, leaf sections were incubated in 50 µM Latrunculin B (30 minutes) or 50 µM APM (2 hours) to disrupt actin or microtubules respectively.

FRET-FLIM was performed using the Leica TCS SP5 SMD LSCM combined with fluorescence lifetime system (PicoQuant). Data analysis and acquisition was performed with SymPhoTime software (PicoQuant). The lifetime of the donor construct expressed alone was measured as a negative control, and compared to the lifetime of the donor when co-expressed with the acceptor construct. The GFP fluorescence lifetimes of GFP-RFP and GFP-mCherry fusion proteins were measured as a positive control. All measurements were taken from whole-field images of cells expressing fluorophore fusion proteins at similar levels.

**Yeast-2-hybrid**

The intracellular domains of PRK1, PRK2, PRK3, PRK4, PRK5 and PRK6 were PCR amplified using the primers listed in table S1. The cDNAs were cloned into pGBKT7 (Clontech) using gateway cloning (Invitrogen), to facilitate their expression as N-terminal BD fusions. The full-length NET2A cDNA was cloned into pGADT7 (Clontech) using the gateway cloning system (Invitrogen) to facilitate its expression as an N-terminal AD fusion protein.

The pGBKT7 constructs were transformed into the MATα Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain Y187 (Clontech), and pGADT7 constructs were transformed into the MATa strain, AH109 (Clontech) using the manufacturer’s instructions.

NET2A in pGADT7 was mated against each pGBKT7 construct on YPDA media at 28 °C for 24 hours, and
diploids containing both constructs were selected on SD media lacking leucine and tryptophan. Interactions between AD and BD constructs was assessed by selecting diploid yeast on SD media also lacking histidine, and supplemented with 2.5 mM 3AT. As negative controls, pGADT7 constructs were mated against empty pGBK7, and pGBK7 constructs were mated against empty pGADT7.

In vitro pollen germination and observation

Arabidopsis pollen was germinated in vitro on solid germination media as described by Li et al., (1999). Germination media consisted of 18 (w/v) % sucrose, 0.01 % (w/v) H$_3$BO$_4$, 1 mM Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$, 1 mM MgSO$_4$, 1 mM CaCl$_2$, and 0.5 % (w/v) Agarose Type VII-A (Sigma), pH 7. Mature Arabidopsis pollen was dusted onto the solid germination media. 3 - 4 excised Arabidopsis pistils were placed on surface of the media and samples were incubated in a dark humid environment at 22 ºC for > 4 hours. Subsequently, germinated pollen was analysed using LSCM as described above.

Results

All members of the Arabidopsis NET2 subfamily co-localise with actin filaments in vivo

The NET proteins represent a novel superfamily of actin-binding proteins which we have shown to associate with actin through their conserved N-terminal NAB domains
Accordingly, the NAB domain is highly conserved in each member of the NET2 subclade (Fig. 1a; Hawkins et al., 2014), indicating that they are also likely to bind actin directly. Here, we show each member of the NET2 subfamily has the ability to associate with F-actin in vivo. GFP fusions of the NET2A NAB domain were observed to localise to actin filament networks when transiently expressed in N. benthamiana (a simple experimental system for rapid expression and analysis of fluorescently-tagged proteins). NET2A-GFP co-localised with the F-actin marker, RFP-lifeact (Fig. 1b), and this localisation was disrupted by treatment with actin-targeting drugs (Fig. 1c). Likewise, GFP fusions of the NET2B, NET2C and NET2D NAB domains also localised to actin filaments in vivo (Fig. 1d), effectively demonstrating each NET2 subfamily member can localise to F-actin through their N-terminal NAB domains. It was observed that full-length NET2A-GFP and NET2B-GFP also localised to actin filaments when transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves: 90.7 ± 2.3 % NET2A-GFP punctae co-localised with actin filaments, decorating them in the ‘beads-on-a-string pattern’, as is characteristic of NET superfamily proteins (Fig. 1e, Fig. S1; Deeks et al., 2012). Taken together, our data indicates that each member of the NET2 subclade is able to localise to F-actin in vivo, through their N-terminal NAB domains.

**NET2A co-localises with F-actin at the pollen tube plasma membrane**

Having determined the ability of the NET2 proteins to localise to the actin cytoskeleton in transient leaf transformation, it was then investigated as to whether they
may also co-localise with actin filaments *in situ*. Therefore, we analysed NET2A-GFP in Arabidopsis pollen tubes (the NET2 proteins’ endogenous environment). Previously, we have demonstrated that native promoter-driven NET2A-GFP localises to discrete punctae specifically at the shank region of the pollen tube plasma membrane (Deeks *et al.*, 2012; Fig. 2a & 2b). Here, we demonstrate that these NET2A foci co-localise with cortical F-actin cables at the shank membrane of the pollen tube. The NET2A-GFP punctae aligned along actin cables stained with the F-actin probe, rhodamine-phalloidin (Fig. 2c), and co-localised with F-actin filaments in live pollen tubes co-expressing native promoter-driven NET2A-GFP and the genetically encoded actin-marker construct, FABD2-RFP, stably expressed in pollen under the pollen-specific promoter, *pLAT52* (Fig. 2d; Twell *et al.*, 1990). The NET2A punctae decorated actin filaments in the characteristic ‘beads-on-a-string’ pattern typical of NET superfamily proteins, and 80.2 ± 6.1 % of NET2A-GFP punctae were observed to co-localise with FABD2-RFP-labelled actin filaments. Using rapid time-lapse imaging, we observed the localisation of NET2A-GFP punctae at the plasma membrane to be highly stable and persist at the membrane throughout pollen tube growth (video S1). The punctae were not highly motile, but appeared to undergo abrupt, co-ordinated, short-range, anterograde and retrograde movements along linear vectors (Fig. S2). This indicates that NET2A localises to stable punctae at the pollen tube cortex. Taken together, these data show that NET2A forms stable associations with cortical actin filaments at the pollen tube membrane.
NET2A interacts specifically with PRK isoforms 4 and 5

Our data showed that F-actin localisation is conferred by the NAB domains of NET2 proteins, however it remained unknown how actin-localised foci of full-length NET2A are recruited to the plasma membrane as NET proteins do not contain transmembrane domains or identifiable modification sites associated with known peripheral membrane proteins. A potential orthologue of the NET2 proteins in Petunia, Petunia inflata Kinase Interacting Protein 1 (PiKIP1), has been identified as an interactor of PRK proteins in a yeast-2-hybrid (Y2H) screen using Petunia inflata Pollen Receptor-Like Kinase 1 (PiPRK1) as bait (Skirpan et al., 2001). Importantly, PiKIP1 was not characterised as a NET-family actin-binding protein. PRKs are integral membrane proteins, suggesting the hypothesis that PRKs contribute to NET2 membrane recruitment. We used combinatorial Y2H to test the potential for interactions between Arabidopsis NET2 and PRK family members. Full-length NET2A was observed to interact with the cytosolic domains of PRK4 and PRK5 (Fig. 3a) but did not interact with PRK1, PRK2, PRK3 or PRK6 (Fig. S3). Interestingly, PRK4 and PRK5 belong to a distinct evolutionary subclade of PRKs (Chang et al., 2013; Takeuchi & Higashiyama., 2016), suggesting that the NET2 family show sequence-based isoform specificity in this assay.

We then sought to validate NET2 kinase interactions in planta using FRET-FLIM, NET2A-mCherry interacted specifically with PRK4-GFP and PRK5-GFP in FRET-FLIM assays when transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaf tissue. When co-expressed with NET2A-mCherry, the average fluorescence lifetime of PRK4-GFP was reduced
by 0.23 ns to 2.22 ± 0.06 ns compared to the control (2.45 ± 0.02 ns). Similarly, the fluorescence lifetime of PRK5-GFP was reduced by 0.36 ns to 2.15 ± 0.02 ns compared to the control (2.51 ± 0.02 ns; Fig. 3b), sufficient to demonstrate an interaction (Danquah et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014). Consistent with the Y2H data, NET2A-mCherry did not interact with PRK1-GFP, PRK2-GFP, PRK3-GFP or PRK6-GFP (table S2). Interestingly, we also observed NET2B to interact specifically with PRK4 and PRK5 using FRET-FLIM (table S3). Our data therefore shows that multiple NET2 subfamily members interact specifically with the PRK4/PRK5 subclade of Arabidopsis PRKs in planta.

NET2s are recruited to the plasma membrane by PRK4 and PRK5

Transient co-expression of NET2A-GFP with either PRK4-RFP or PRK5-RFP in N. benthamiana leaves resulted in striking changes in NET2A-GFP subcellular localisation. Whereas NET2A-GFP localised to punctae and filaments when expressed alone, it was found distributed exclusively at the plasma membrane when co-expressed with PRK5-GFP (Fig. 4a, Fig. S4); where the two proteins could be observed to co-localise (Fig. 4b). When co-expressed with PRK4-RFP, NET2A-GFP localised to the plasma membrane and peripheral cytosol (Fig. 4a). As a negative control, the subcellular localisation of NET2A-GFP was analysed when co-expressed with PRK6-RFP (no interactions between NET2A and PRK6 were detected in Y2H or FRET-FLIM assays; Fig. S3, Table S2). Importantly, NET2A-GFP was observed to remain localised to filaments and punctae and did not localise to
the plasma membrane (Fig. S5). Furthermore, it was also observed that like NET2A-GFP, NET2B-GFP could also be recruited to the plasma membrane by PRK4-RFP and PRK5-RFP specifically (Fig. S6).

To further investigate how PRK4 and PRK5 interact with NET2 proteins, we analysed the specific subdomains of the PRKs that mediate the interaction with NET2A. Truncated PRK mutants lacking intracellular C-terminal kinase domains were generated (Fig. 4c). RFP fusions of PRK4ΔK (PRK4\(^{1-374}\)) and PRK5ΔK (PRK5\(^{1-376}\)) were unable to recruit NET2A-GFP to the plasma membrane, which instead localised to punctae and filaments in a similar manner to NET2A-GFP expressed alone (Fig. 4d). FRET-FLIM indicated no interaction between NET2A-GFP and PRK5ΔK-RFP (Fig. 4e), suggesting that PRKs bind and recruit NET2 proteins to the membrane through their cytoplasmic kinase domain.

We then investigated specific residues of PRK5 important in mediating the interaction with NET2A. In vitro experiments have indicated that phosphorylation of petunia PiKIP1 by PiPRK1 contributes to the interaction between the two proteins, and kinase-dead mutant variants of PiPRK1 are diminished in their ability to bind PiKIP1. Lysine-403 of PRK5, (homologous to PiPRK1 Lysine-462; predicted to be important for kinase Mg\(^{2+}/\)ATP binding; Skirpan et al., 2001) was replaced by arginine to generate PRK5\(^{K403R}\). It was observed that the PRK5\(^{K403R}\)-RFP construct recruited NET2A-GFP to the plasma membrane when co-expressed in N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells, similar to WT PRK5-RFP. However, PRK5\(^{K403R}\)-RFP showed reduced resonance with NET2A-GFP in the FRET-FLIM system (Fig. 4g). When co-expressed, the full length PRK5-RFP construct induced a
decrease in average NET2A-GFP fluorescence lifetime of 0.38 ns to 2.10 ± 0.07 ns, compared to the control (2.48 ± 0.08 ns). In comparison, PRK5K403R-RFP induced only a small decrease in average NET2A-GFP fluorescence lifetime of 0.14 ns to 2.34 ± 0.05 ns, suggestive of a relatively weak interaction. This indicates that Lysine-403 of PRK5 is important in facilitating the interaction between PRK4/PRK5 and NET2s in vivo. We speculate that PRK5 Lysine-462 and may be important for PRK5 kinase activity, which is likely to mediate an interaction with NET2A.

Taken together, the data suggests that specific members of the PRK family, namely PRK4 and PRK5, are able to bind, and recruit NET2 proteins to the plasma membrane in vivo through their intracellular kinase domains.

NET2A associates with PRK4 and PRK5 at discreet foci at the plasma membrane of the pollen tube shank

We have shown that NET2 proteins associate with actin filaments and can be recruited to the plasma membrane through interactions with specific PRKs in leaf transient expression assays. However, NET2A forms punctae at the plasma membrane of the pollen tube shank. We therefore asked whether populations of PRK4 and PRK5 coincide with these punctae in growing pollen tubes. We observed PRK4-GFP and PRK5-GFP localised to discreet foci at the pollen tube plasma membrane (Fig. 5), with a similar pattern: the average puncta size for both PRK4-GFP and PRK5-GFP was observed to be highly similar (average PRK4-GFP puncta size = 0.47 ± 0.11 µm, average PRK5-GFP puncta size = 0.46 ± 0.10 µm), as was the density of PRK4-GFP and PRK5-GFP punctae at the shank plasma
membrane (PRK4-GFP punctae density = 0.65/µm², PRK5-GFP punctae density = 0.62/µm²). The PRK4-GFP and PRK5-GFP punctae were, alike, distributed along the membrane of the pollen tube shank region but were reduced in intensity at the growing tip (both were visible only at distances greater than ≈ 15 µm distal to the apex), in a manner highly similar to those of NET2A-GFP (Fig. 2). Therefore, it was investigated as to whether NET2A may associate with PRK4 and PRK5 at these membrane foci. The results show that NET2A-GFP and PRK4-RFP co-localise to the same punctae at discreet foci at the pollen tube membrane in stable transgenic Arabidopsis lines expressing native promoter-driven NET2A-GFP and PRK4-RFP (Fig. 6). In pollen tubes co-expressing NET2A-GFP and PRK4-RFP under pLAT52, we observed 83.0 ± 7.3 % of NET2A-GFP punctae co-localised with PRK4-RFP punctae (n = 265 punctae in 6 cells). Taken together with the yeast 2-hybrid and FRET-FLIM experiments, these data show that NET2A co-localises with PRK4/PRK5 punctae at the pollen tube membrane, representing discreet sites of interaction between NET2A and PRK proteins at the plasma membrane of the pollen tube shank.

Discussion

Our data demonstrates a novel mechanism of interaction between the actin cytoskeleton and the pollen tube plasma membrane, in which NET2 proteins bind actin filaments to the plasma membrane through association with the membrane-integral pollen receptor-like kinases, PRK4 and PRK5. This discovery suggests that the NET2 proteins have an important role in angiosperm fertilisation and in
the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in response to extracellular signals. In this context, whilst it is known that PRKs control actin dynamics at the pollen tube apex (Zhang & McCormick, 2007; Lee et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2013; Takeuchi & Higashiyama, 2016), nothing is known about how the cortical longitudinal actin cables of the pollen tube shank may be regulated at the plasma membrane in response to external signals. This unique subpopulation of actin filaments has specialised functions in mediating rapid, long-range anterograde, cytoplasmic streaming (Chen et al., 2009; Qu et al., 2015b), and their specific association with NET2A indicates importance of their regulation in response to external signals, and an interesting role for NET2 proteins in their organisation downstream of PRK signalling.

The NET2 proteins represent a subclade of the NETWORKED superfamily of actin-binding proteins, which associate with actin filaments at various organelle membranes through their N-terminal NAB domains (Deeks et al., 2012). Accordingly, we have demonstrated that the NET2 proteins are, likewise, proteins that co-localise with F-actin in vivo through their conserved NAB domains, as GFP fusions of each NET2 NAB domain and full-length NET2 proteins were observed to localise to actin filaments in vivo. Consistent with other NET superfamily proteins, we show members of the NET2 subfamily to bind actin at cellular membranes: NET2A was observed to localise to discreet foci at the pollen tube plasma membrane, which aligned along actin-filaments. Taken together, we conclude that NET2A associates with cortical actin at the plasma membrane of the pollen tube shank.

Our data suggests that NET2 proteins bind cortical F-actin at the membrane through association with PRK4 and
PRK5 at discreet foci, which we have termed ‘actin-membrane contact sites (AMCSs)’. During this investigation, we determined that NET2s interact specifically with the PRK4/PRK5 subclade of PRKs (but not PRK1, PRK2, PRK3, or PRK6), in Y2H and FRET-FLIM assays. In growing pollen tubes PRK4 and PRK5 localise to punctae in a similar distribution, specifically in the mature regions of the growing pollen tube, at which co-localisation with NET2A was observed. Therefore, NET2A interacts with PRK4 and PRK5 at the pollen tube plasma membrane at discreet foci.

In transient expression assays, it was noted that PRK4 and PRK5 recruit NET2s to the plasma membrane: we therefore hypothesise that NET2s bind actin filaments at the cell cortex through their associations with PRK4 and PRK5 at the pollen tube plasma membrane to form AMCSs. AMCSs appear to be persistent structures, and NET2A punctae were observed to localise permanently to the shank membrane, indicating their associations with PRKs to be highly stable. AMCSs formed by NET2-PRK interactions may therefore serve as stable membrane anchors for actin filaments, with roles in the organisation of cortical longitudinal actin cables in the pollen tube shank.

Through their associations with PRKs, the NET2 subfamily may be implicated as having roles in extracellular signal transduction to the cytoskeleton during fertilisation. PRKs are believed to be important in fertilisation and transduce a number of extracellular signals to direct pollen tube growth to the female gamete. Notably, PRK4 and PRK5 recognise and transduce the extracellular signalling peptide, GRIM REAPER (GRI): an orthologue of *Lycopersicum esculentum* STIGMA-SPECIFIC 1.
(LeSTIG1; Wrzaczek et al., 2009), which promotes pollen
tube growth downstream of binding tomato LePRK2 (Tang
et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2014). During fertilisation, PRK4
and PRK5 may promote pollen tube growth in the stigma
in response to binding members of the STIG1 family.
Considering this, it is tempting to speculate that NET2A
may regulate the actin cytoskeleton downstream of PRK4
and PRK5 to facilitate STIG1-stimulated pollen tube
growth. Our data indicates that the kinase activity of PRK5
is important in promoting its interaction with NET2A.
Consistent with this, phosphorylation of PiKIP1 by PiPRK1
has been shown to be important for interactions to occur
between the two proteins (Skirpan et al., 2001). It is
therefore probable that NET2A is phosphorylated by PRK5
and may serve as a downstream signalling effector. In
Arabidopsis, other PRKs such as PRK2, PRK3 and PRK6
are believed to regulate cytoskeletal dynamics
downstream of ligand binding to control pollen tube growth
through the Rop signalling pathway, specifically at the
pollen tube apex (Chang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013;
Takeuchi & Higashiyama, 2016). Importantly, here we
have identified an additional mechanism by which unique
PRKs may regulate the actin cytoskeleton through NET2A;
distinct from apical Rop signalling and spatially localised to
the shank region of the tube. We propose that PRK4 &
PRK5 may regulate the cortical longitudinal actin cables of
the pollen tube shank in response to extracellular signals,
during fertilisation.
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**Figure Legends**

**Fig 1**: NET2s belong to the NET superfamily of actin-binding proteins and localise to the actin cytoskeleton in *N. benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells through conserved N-terminal NAB domains

(a) multiple alignment of the NET superfamily NAB domains. (b) NET2A-NAB-GFP co-localises with actin filaments *in vivo*. (c) disruption of the actin cytoskeleton using 40 µM Cytochalaisin D results in breakdown of NET2A-NAB-GFP filament network. (d) GFP fusions of NET2B, NET2C and NET2D NAB domains also localise to
actin filaments *in vivo*. (e) full-length NET2A-GFP co-localises with actin-filaments *in vivo*. Scale bar = 10 µm.

**Fig 2: NET2A localises to punctae at the pollen tube plasma membrane that co-localise with actin filaments**

(a, b) subcellular localisation of natively expressed NET2A-GFP to the plasma membrane in growing Arabidopsis pollen tubes (single z-plane images). (c) co-localisation of NET2A-GFP punctae with actin filaments in the Arabidopsis pollen tube shank, labelled with rhodamine-phalloidin. (d) co-localisation of NET2A-GFP punctae and the actin-marker, FABD2-RFP. 80.2 ± 6.1 % of NET2A-GFP punctae were observed to co-localise with FABD2-RFP-labelled actin filaments. Scale bar = 10 µm.

**Fig 3: NET2A interacts with Arabidopsis PRK4 and PRK5**

(a) NET2A interacts with PRK4 and PRK5 in yeast-2-hybrid assays. Yeast were grown on permissive (−WL) media, or selective (−WLH) media. Yeast containing pGADT7-NET2A and pGBK7-PRK4, or pGADT7-NET2A and pGBK7-PRK5 were able to grow on selective media, indicating an interaction. Yeast containing pGADT7-NET2A and empty pGBK7, empty pGADT7 and pGBK7-PRK4, and empty pGADT7 and pGBK7-PRK5 were used as negative controls and were unable to grow on selective media. (b) FRET-FLIM analysis of interactions between PRK4-GFP and NET2A-mCherry, and PRK5-GFP and NET2A-mCherry *in N. benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells. The average fluorescence lifetimes of the PRK4-GFP and PRK5-GFP donor constructs was reduced
in the presence of the NET2A-mCherry acceptor construct, to comparable levels to the GFP-mCherry control. Images are pseudocoloured according to GFP fluorescence lifetime. Associated charts represent peak lifetime frequency of the acceptor construct in each image. A leftward shift in peak lifetime frequency indicates a reduction in average GFP fluorescence lifetime. (c) diagrammatic representation of actin-membrane interactions mediated by NET2A and PRK4 & PRK5. Scale bars: 10 µm.

Fig 4: PRK4 and PRK5 interact with NET2A through their cytosolic kinase domains and recruit NET2A to the plasma membrane in *N. benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells.

(a) co-expression of NET2A-GFP with PRK4-RFP or PRK5-RFP induces alterations in NET2A-GFP subcellular localisation in *N. benthamiana* transient assays. (b) NET2A-GFP co-localises with PRK5-RFP at the plasma membrane when both constructs are co-expressed together. (c) schematic diagrams of PRK4∆K and PRK5∆K truncation mutants. (d) NET2A-GFP does not localise to the plasma membrane when co-expressed with PRK4∆K-RFP or PRK5∆K-RFP. (e) NET2A-GFP does not interact with PRK5∆K-RFP in FRET-FLIM interaction assays. (f) NET2A-GFP cannot be recruited to the membrane by PRK∆K mutants. (g) FRET-FLIM indicates the interaction between NET2A-GFP and PRK5-RFP is weakened in the PRK5^K403R mutant. Scale bars: 10 µm.

Fig 5: PRK4 and PRK5 localise to punctae at the plasma membrane of the pollen tube shank
(a) PRK4-GFP in Arabidopsis pollen tubes. (i) max projection of whole pollen tube. (ii) magnified image of PRK4-GFP punctae at the pollen tube shank (cortical section). (iii) magnified image of PRK4-GFP punctae at the pollen tube shank (cross-section). (b) PRK5-GFP in Arabidopsis pollen tubes. (i) cross section of whole pollen tube. (ii) magnified image of PRK5-GFP punctae at the pollen tube shank (cortical section). (iii) magnified image of PRK5-GFP punctae at the pollen tube shank (cross-section). Scale bars: (i) = 10 µm, (ii) and (iii) = 5 µm.

Figure 6: NET2A associates with PRKs at discreet foci at the shank plasma membrane of Arabidopsis pollen tubes
(a) NET2A-GFP punctae co-localise with PRK4-RFP punctae in Arabidopsis pollen tubes. Scale bar = 10 µm. (b) magnified image depicted by the inset in (a). Scale bar = 2 µm.

Supporting Information Legends
Fig. S1: NET2B-GFP subcellular localisation in N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells.
Fig. S2: Kymograph of video S1 showing co-ordinated linear movement of NET2A-GFP patches.
Fig. S3: Interactions between NET2A and Arabidopsis PRKs are restricted to PRK4 and PRK5 in Y2H assays, and NET2A is unable to interact with PRK1, PRK2, PRK3 or PRK6.
**Fig. S4:** NET2A-GFP is absent from transvacuolar cytoplasmic strands when co-expressed with PRK5-RFP in *N. benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells.

**Fig. S5:** NET2A-GFP localises to actin filaments when co-expressed with PRK6-RFP in *N. benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells.

**Fig. S6:** NET2B-GFP is recruited to the plasma membrane by PRK4-RFP and PRK5-RFP in *N. benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells, but not by PRK6-RFP.

**Table S1:** Primers used in this study.

**Table S2:** NET2A does not interact with PRK1, PRK2, PRK3 or PRK6 in FRET-FLIM assays.

**Table S3:** NET2B interacts specifically with PRK4 and PRK5 in FRET-FLIM assays but not with PRK1, PRK2, PRK3 or PRK6.

**Video S1:** NET2A-GFP Punctae Dynamics in Growing Pollen Tubes.