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ABSTRACT

The chronically ill participants in this study are vulnerable experts in life’s uncertainties, and have become aware over time of multiple medical and social needs and practices. But, unlike the hypo-aware respondents documented in some studies of diabetes mellitus Type 1, these research participants are also conscious of their inability to recognise when their own fluctuating blood glucose levels are rising or falling to extremes, a loss of hyper- or hypo-awareness that puts their lives constantly at risk. Particular sources of better life management, increased self-esteem and means of social (re-)integration are trained medical alert assistance dogs who share the human home, and through keen olfactory sensitivity, are able to give advance warning when their partners’ blood sugar levels enter ‘danger’ zones. Research studies in anthrozoology and anthropology provide extensive literature on historic and contemporary human bonds with domestic and/or wild nonhuman animals. Equally, the sociology of health and illness continues to extend research into care practices performed to assist people with chronic illness. This study draws from these disciplines in order to add to multispecies ethnographic literature by exploring human-canine engagement, contribution and narrative, detailing the impact each member of the dyad has on the other, and by observing the ‘doing’ of the partnerships’ daily routines to ward off hypo-glycaemia and hospitalisation. In addition, the project investigates the place, role and ‘otherness’ of a medical alert dog in a chronically ill person’s understanding of ‘the-body-they-do’. The perspective of symbolic interactionism assists in disentangling individual and shared meanings inherent in the interspecies collaboration by examining the mutualistic practices of care performed. The often-flexible moral boundaries that humans construct to differentiate between acceptable use and unacceptable exploitation of nonhuman animals are questioned within ethics-of-care theory, based on the concept of dogs as animate instruments and biomedical resources.
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Tina *(her voice drops as she speaks)*: I just thought they’re going to come and find me dead.
I: That must be frightening?
Tina: Well, you’d go to bed of a night and sleep straight out so if they come, they haven’t got much to do... *(she demonstrates as if lying on her back, legs together, arms close to her body, resembling an alabaster effigy of a medieval knight on a church tomb chest).*
I: So you were preparing?
Tina: Yes, have I got everything clean and I’d get into my new pyjamas...

1 **Introduction**

Complacency, likely created by ignorance, laziness or disinterest, allows all manner of negligence, unkindness and condescension to occur in everyday life. For example, an overheard coffee-shop conversation might reveal: ‘Oh, Jenny told me she’s got diabetes...’ Response: ‘Poor thing, no more cream teas for her. Did you see that film on ...?’ Instantly the unfortunate Jenny is disenfranchised, boxed into the category of invisibly-unwell individuals who could probably do something to improve their health if they made an effort. There is no endeavour by her friends to discover which type of diabetes was diagnosed, whether she might need to increase rather than decrease carbohydrate ingestion; it is as if Jenny has ‘a bit of a headache’. Complacency enables disparaging sympathy, even vague condemnation, and an avoidance of the need to care, to ‘do something about it’ or to question the significance of such a diagnosis.

When Tina, a participant in this research who has Type 1 diabetes, describes her long-term fight to live on her own and ‘survive’ frequent and lengthy hypoglycaemic collapses, any trace of complacency in my attitude to this illness is given a trenchant shake-up. Her consideration of the feelings of others, such as care-workers and undertakers, in the situation above is mind-blowing and in total contrast to the attitude of my imagined café conversationalists. She adds: ‘You get to the point in your life where you think, am I going to wake up? Or you spend all night up...’

Tina could remain unconscious for four to five hours after a hypoglycaemic collapse at home. If she collapsed in the street, she recalls:

People would just walk past. They’d think ‘you’re drunk’, and really it’s no different to what I’d do, but I was in desperate need, you know, for someone to know that I’m not right...
Mentally ripped out of their comfort zone by the unexpected tearing of their corporeal mantle, people are often forced to discover alternative modes of social existence and other resources that enable coping with newly-shaped ways of being. One method of locating these is to talk of upsetting events and experiences with psychologists and physicians, and with those who have had similar disruptions in their lives. Gradually and often uneasily, the altered selves are enabled to release stories of their embodied corruption and its forceful entourage of side effects. Sarah Nettleton exemplifies the significance of personal narrative: ‘When people have the opportunity to give voice to their experiences of illness, it becomes evident that their accounts are woven into their biographies’ (2013: 73).

The voluntary participants in this study, who are accustomed through necessity to communicate personal illness and wellbeing issues to their family, work colleagues and members of healthcare professions, are therefore enabled to narrate tales of medical and social upheaval in their own lives as a result of a Type 1 diabetes diagnosis. They relate personal stories of internal and external bodily damage and repair; they laugh when they might weep over the obstacles that beset them in society and at home, and are grave when contemplating the life-changing experiences of others faced with unpredictable hurdles in similar minority groupings.

They are expert but vulnerable witnesses to the inconsistencies and uncertainties of their lives and are conscious of the need for ongoing medical and social practices. They recognise when things are ‘out of synch’ within their embodied worlds and often, how to get the external world to intervene so they may adapt and achieve safe passage onwards. They are explorers and adventurers, consultants and chemists, who traverse the world of chronic illness and in so doing, reveal to others their best practices of self- and other-care.

But they are also conscious of their inability to recognise when their own fluctuating blood glucose levels are rising or falling to extremes. This loss of hyper-(too high) or hypo-(too low) glycaemic awareness constantly endangers their lives.

Particular sources of increased self-confidence and of companionship in social (re-)integration are the diabetes alert assistance dogs who share the human home and give advance warning when blood sugar levels enter ‘danger’ zones. Their keen sense of smell, combined with training by the charity, Medical
Detection Dogs, to perform active alarm signals, ensures the dogs retain a much-vaunted significance in the lives of their human partners. These are dogs (*Canis familiaris*) of diverse breeds and backgrounds whom those with Type 1 diabetes credit with being life-savers and confidence-boosters, as having full membership both of their families and of the society in which their close interspecies relationships are situated. Adrian Franklin (2006: 142) relates this new concept, of companion animals being seen as ‘belonging’ to and becoming family, to a ‘hybridization of the family’ and not to imagined anthropomorphism whereby a dog’s qualities and characteristics are observed as ‘human-like’ (see also Milton, 2005). Importantly, the diabetes alert dogs are further considered to be fully deserving of respect, recognition and gratitude for their incomparable prowess in scent detection for human benefit. And the good-natured dogs seemingly acknowledge praise and reward as their wont, and accept the need to collaborate and tolerate, and to enact the requisite behaviours, as worthwhile and customary practices.

As Franklin contends:

Unproblematic similarities might include co-residence, enduring ties, emotional inter-dependence, friendship, company and shared activities. When this happens, it is important to realize that it is not a one-way, human-orchestrated attribution, but one built of close feelings and emotions self-evidently expressed also by the animals themselves (2006: 142).

‘Shared activities’ and hybridized practices are prominent in the daily routines of the species under focus here. These interactive human and nonhuman animal partners are the central figures performing on the ethnographic stage of this research into trans-species co-existences and the practices of normalising lives unsettled by chronic illness; lives in bodies that fail to function as expected and desired in a human, but also lives that enable bodily adaptation, alteration, survival and the creation of new identities, through the exceptional sensory perception of a nonhuman animal. These closely united companions are actors whose 'lines', spoken and unspoken, and vivid images of life in chronic illness, animate and illuminate their interconnecting biographies. Following Irvine (2013: 5), their narrations become ‘resources, helping us understand and share what we find meaningful and what gives us purpose’.
To examine the symbiotic practices of care referred to in the project’s title, I use the perspective of symbolic interactionism (Irvine, for example, 2004, 2007, 2012) to disentangle the shared meanings inherent in the co-existing partnerships who work together within the bounds of chronic illness. The ecofeminist-derived ethics of care theory (Hamington, 2008; Adams and Gruen, 2014) supports exploration of interdependency and what it might be like to care and be cared-for (Taylor, 2014: 109) in a symbiotic human-nonhuman co-existence.

Ethics of care theory also enables questioning of the elastic moral boundaries that humans construct to differentiate between the use and the exploitation of others. A firm moral stance needs to be considered and activated to avoid abuse and cruelty in human-nonhuman animal interactions. Symbiosis enables the pairing of unlike couples so that at least one member of the partnership gains benefit, be it improved self-worth, nutrition or increased ability to achieve reward. Under the symbiosis umbrella huddle parasitism, commensalism and mutualism (refer Leung and Poulin, 2008) and it is mutualism in this canine-human coexistence that motivates and leads towards acceptance of certain morally justifiable interspecies usages. A mutualistic and therefore moral form of usage can impel development of credible and ethical multispecies collaboration, even unification, when compared to the unethical exploitation of commodified nonhuman animal masses.

The project investigates the importance of animal 'mattering' (Irvine, 2013; Law, 2004; Rollin, 2011) in exploring the question: ‘how do chronically ill people engage with medical alert assistance dogs in their self- and other- care behaviours and in their lives?’ The responses offered by clients of the charity, Medical Detection Dogs (MDD), record and explore action and performance, the doings and re-shapings of life with chronic illness, by themselves and their MDD-trained working canine companions as they together effect and experience best practices that maintain lives worth living, lives that matter to more than one lone being.

The complexities examined and resolved by these multispecies practitioners in their everyday lives enables a visible becoming of an emotional, familiar, self- and other-respecting amalgamation; a dyad identifiable as ‘one-self’. Gazing into, and reflecting on these harmonising coexistences enables an ethnographic contribution to the social sciences, drawing attention to innovative
multispecies health care practices and raising awareness of the multi-disciplinary science of anthrozoology. Anthrozoology is a recent but significant addition to the social sciences, as John Bradshaw (2017) affirms in the title of his most recent volume: *The animals among us: the new science of anthrozoology*. It emphasises a present and future need for an ethics that is involved in, allows for and advocates multispecies' dependencies and interdependencies.

Students in this fast-developing field explore the relationships and interactions - whether collaborating or conflicting, whether bringing risk or gain to either or both - that are shared by human and nonhuman animals. An attempt is made to avoid, as far as possible, an anthropocentric bent and an ontological assumption that the ‘other’ is an already fully-known and understood being, and further there is effort to engage in self-reflection to encourage challenges to human interpretations and analyses of nonhuman animals.

Embedded in and emanating from this ‘new science’, this project studies domesticated healthy members of the canine species, who are educated to make use of their olfactory sensitivity in working for unwell members of the human species, and examines their situatedness, attempting also to comprehend their perspectives. Unlike anthropology, which investigates humans and their cultures, for the most part ignoring nonhuman animal participants' impact in such cultures, and sociology, which has refused acknowledgement of the nonhuman animal's position in our society until very recently (Irvine, 2012), anthrozoology borrows evidence from many disciplines including the aforementioned, and for example, from those of philosophy, geography, biology and psychology in order to accentuate the significance of 'other' animal species in our evolutionary coexistences.

Research literature already published in regard to multispecies entanglements within the field of health and illness is examined to provide a broad backdrop to the innovative influence that working scent-detecting dogs are having on chronic illness. This project is based on the anthropological and sociological intentions to make the familiar, strange, and the strange, familiar. In the words of Raymond Madden (2014: 281). 'we all know that a close and knowing experience with some "other" (regardless of their relative strangeness or familiarity) can be a transforming experience'. So this study aims to make a space for anthrozoological research on the shelves of social science by
sourcing meanings and extending knowledge of the efforts by both dogs and humans to do daily life better together; indeed to do a life of vibrancy that leads to an improved method of survival in chronic illness, and engenders increased enthusiasm for the possibilities of ethical transformation in those multispecies lives.

1.1 Humans and other animals: the familiar and the foreign

Humans and other animals, or human and nonhuman animals, are newly talked of as a single collective, one that enables us to imagine we are of the same ilk and of similar classification, one that enables us anthropocentrically to feel more comfortable about be(com)ing with (Maurstad, Davis and Cowles, 2013) or being alongside (Latimer, 2013) those whose discourse and gait are mostly at variance with human modes of communication and mobility.

However, there remains a pronounced divide between human and nonhuman animals encouraged by the frequent use of ‘non’; such wording segregates one species from the other just as effectively as more-than-human or other-than-human equally succeed in distancing those with whom we might want to live more closely. Hurn (2012) notes the terms nonhuman or other-than-human animal may be used to show that humans are also animals, but the good intention also highlights an opportunity to emphasise human anthropocentricity. Talking about human exceptionalism, Hurn reminds that 'the humanist approach has been guilty of taking humans out of context and putting them on a pedestal' (2012: 205). However, to mitigate for such human error, she draws attention to the greater opportunity for choice and 'liking' among friends than our already-selected family members; thus 'when humans choose to take responsibility for the welfare of another animal, one of the reasons for doing so is because of some spark of mutual attraction, or a recognition of personhood across the species barrier' (Hurn, 2012: 109).

Would we therefore perhaps be better off by talking of humans and animals as (potential) allies, colleagues and friends, and retreating from what may sometimes seem an overly-fastidious attempt to make ourselves into a more-than-similar species when we anyway majorly belong to the taxonomic ‘kingdom’ of Animalia?

The use of ‘other’ can also distance humans from those creatures with whom we wish to communicate, collaborate and co-exist. ‘Othering’ produces disenfranchisement, no matter how it is induced; it highlights mental and
physical differences that can lead to alienation, isolation and a discrediting of that other’s identity. It is an encouragement or stimulus of alterity that intentionally conjures more than difference – it may create distance, provoke ageism, racism, sexism or speciesism, inviting objectification of nonhuman animals to the ‘status of lesser beings’ (Hurn, 2012: 25) and the oppression of minority groupings (also Nibert, 2002). But, in the perhaps comforting words of Rosa Braidotti (2009: 526), ‘a bioegalitarian turn is encouraging us to relate to animals as animals ourselves’. Such movement denounces ‘modes of embodiment, in the sense of both dialectical otherness (nonwhite, nonmasculine, nonnormal, nonyoung, nonhealthy) and categorical otherness (zoomorphic, disabled, or malformed)’ and enables ‘rich new alliances’ (2009: 529).

As people concerned with the integration of multiple species, we may therefore hope these ‘others’ become accustomed to accepting our more considered ways of being with and behaving towards them, so they may eventually recognise, enjoy and seek out harm-free inter-species inclusivity, compatibility and friendship. We may hope too that, through improved knowledge of a symbiotic ethics of care and better human ‘management’ of wild and feral other species, there will be a reduced exhortation for the extinction of their free-roaming natural lives through culling or sterilisation.

Involving images of the domesticated animal ‘other’, rather than the still-wild nonhuman animal, this project intends to highlight a microcosm of society in which both human and nonhuman animals succeed in cooperating and communicating to achieve a ‘rich new alliance’, an interspecies mutualistic co-existence – a form of functioning, mostly stable homeostasis that is not only physiologically, but also socially successful. This co-embodied way of living negates the anthropocentric historical drive for dominance and control over domesticated companion animals explored by Ingold (2000) and Palmer (1977) and further contemplated by Tuan (2007: 148):

- Power over another being is demonstrably firm and perversely delicious when it is exercised for no particular purpose and when submission to it goes against the victim’s own strong desires and nature

Instead, the shared way of life and the inter-subjective appreciation of each other’s capabilities under discussion in these pages, also frame inter-dependency – a need by each for the other – and mutuality – an avoidance of
intentional harm by one to the other. A trans-species co-existence is activated in which interconnectedness is paramount and alterity takes a more positive turn in reducing the significance of differences in appearance, linguistic skill and degrees of sentience and in illuminating the similarities and capacities for mutual understanding. The alien ‘other’ animal visage that has been expected and accepted by society instead becomes a familiar one and no longer accentuates Cartesian distinctions between human and nonhuman animals.

As postscript to this section, I draw attention to Kendra Coulter’s (2016b: 201) consideration of her readers and of the nonhuman animals of whom she speaks: ‘For linguistic efficiency, [...] and to avoid continuously identifying others in relation to but one of the species they are not, I use terms like people, humans, women, men, and so forth for homo sapiens, and refer to nonhuman animals as animals, or by their species or common name’. However, in this writing, as will become obvious, I have intentionally maintained the use of ‘human’ and ‘nonhuman’, or ‘more-than-human’, ‘other-than-human’, animals as terms to illustrate how language does differentiate categories, and to emphasise, irritatingly drawn-out as these identifiers may be to the reader, how such differentiation may further divide rather than meld multispecies existences.

One method of ensuring that trans-species communication is consistently shared between, for example, a horse, acting as a nonhuman animal, and an equestrienne, as a human rider, is the co-embodiment of mobility and emotion in their working recognition of ‘feel’.

1.2 Becoming ‘one-self’

Global scrutiny and knowledge of other-than-human animals becomes meaningful only when there is human recognition and engagement with these ‘animate objects’ (Czerny, 2012: 8) in inter-personal relationships. Such engagement and performance, acted out between the medical alert assistance dog and the human with Type 1 diabetes, endow significance that affects both species beyond the visible partnership. Identity becomes a shared image not only in the eyes of the human partner but also under the searching gaze of society.

This study involves dogs and humans ‘doing’ diabetes (Mol and Law, 2004; Mol, 2008) and reflects Ingold’s (2013) research in which he encourages demolition of Agamben’s (2004: 33-38) ‘anthropological machine’ that separates humans from ‘the continuum of organic life’ (Ingold, 2013: 8). He suggests
action begins by thinking of humans and all other beings ‘in terms not of what they are, but of what they do’ (Ingold, 2013: 8, italics in text). And further, ‘to think of ourselves not as beings but as becomings - that is, not as discrete and pre-formed entities but as trajectories of movement and growth’ (Ingold, 2013: 8): becomings who work to ‘forge’ ways forward and ‘guide the ways of consociates’ (2013: 8).

In the world of assistance dogs too, there are those who ‘forge ways forward and guide the ways of consociates’ (Ingold, 2013: 8), be they diabetes alert dogs or the service dogs who ‘guide’ their sight-impaired human partners. There is a becoming of a unique entity, a becoming of ‘one-self’ that involves a mutual understanding gained through effort and practice, discovered by Rod Michalko (1999) in the always-developing symbiotic relationship conducted between himself and his assistance dog, Smokie. Perhaps this is more a ‘co-becoming’ concept that is also gaining prominence in natural horsemanship where ‘embodied collaboration’ is felt within some, although not all, human-equine partnerships (Birke and Hockenhull, 2015: 82). The co-embodiment of ‘feel’ provides an important human means of gauging equine temperament and movement, the horse’s most apposite ‘way of going’. ‘Feel’ allows the nonhuman to respond to questions asked of him or her, and the human to comprehend that response so that a communication channel becomes open to an unspoken two-way flow of information.

‘Feel’ may be considered instinctive and derived through emotion, but it is also sourced through vision, from seeing and reading ‘a different look in a horse’s eyes, ear positioning and tail swishing’ (Coulter, 2016a: 35) as well as from the physically tactile, minute muscle movements continuously communicated between horse and rider, or between horse and human-guardian. as perceived in the tale of Clever Hans (Despret, 2004). Despret remarks Pfungst’s (1988) claim that horses are good at reading muscle-movement and that they ‘read the mind of their rider through the pressure of the bit’ (2004: 114). Good riders today might better have the horse read their minds through the ‘minute muscle movements’ learned from intuition and feeling than from the controlling influence effected by a human’s sometimes severe pressure on the metal bit in the horse’s mouth. A thinking rider produces a co-embodied ‘feel’ that enables the horse to ‘reproduce’ (Despret, 2004: 115) the rider’s thoughts in actively performing a wanted movement.
Lynda Birke (2008: 113) highlights an increasing recognition of equine signs of comfort and discomfort in horse-human partnerships. ‘Touch’, a more obviously tactile version of the co-embodied ‘feel’, may elicit an unspoken, comfortable and comforting comprehension of the equine partner; although not of course when produced by Ingold’s (2000: 307) ‘tools of coercion’, the whip or spur, that touch a horse’s flanks as ‘aids’ to encourage performance.

However, touch may fittingly contribute to interspecies communication and so succeed in explicating the need for, and the production of, empathy and compassion and the importance of these emotional attributes in the lives of intermingling species. A clear example appears in Donna Haraway’s ‘touching comfort’ (2008: 202-204) where an interspecies tactile closeness between dog and human results in the assuaging of reciprocal need. A similar ‘touching comfort’ was observed at a country hospital where a cat lay draped over the lap of an elderly bedridden woman who never appeared to have contact with human visitors beyond the nursing staff. Repetitively she stroked the cat, the cat purred soothingly and settled closer to her; both gave and received mutual care, increasing each other’s levels of oxytocin, known variously as the ‘love’ or ‘hug’ hormone. Odendaal and Meintjes (2003) found that oxytocin levels almost doubled in 18 participants and in their dogs when the humans touched and stroked their nonhuman companions. Fine and Beck (2010: 11) suggest that their study ‘highlights the enormous physiological impact that animals have or could have on our lives’, impact that is slowly being recognised in human-animal studies and animal-assisted interventions.

1.3 Facilitators of human-human relationships

A stranger’s conversational gambit may be addressed to the canine as often as to the human when the interspecies dyad walk down a street or through a public park – and an ensuing presumptive dialogue may be expressed by the human/s on behalf of the dog. Hurn (2012: 102) suggests that dogs can be ‘effective “ice-breakers”’ when it comes to forming new social relationships; although some unruly¹ companion canines may inevitably ruin potential human partnership formation.

¹ Having considered ‘unruly’ to describe over-excited or bouncy behaviour, perhaps it more correctly signifies ‘unable to be ruled’, or controlled, inevitably by human pressures, and becomes an example of anthropocentrism in dominance and objectification – which was not the original intention.
Participant Terry achieves mobility with the aid of a wheelchair but says he is ‘below most people’s eyeline’. However, he continues:

It’s a case that people see the wheelchair and they don’t want to know; people see the dog and they come and talk to you, so the dog is a bit of an ice-breaker, they talk to disabled people because they’ve got a dog; they want to know what he does and about the charity.

Sanders (1990: 662-668) claims that in general ‘companion animals act as facilitators of human-to-human interactions’, and goes on to suggest that human animal-companion animal social exchanges acknowledge ‘co-participation in the encounter, mutual definition of the perspective of the other, imaginative estimation of the other’s intentional definition of the situation, and mutual adjustment of behaviour’.

How guide dogs impact the identity of people with visual impairments is the focus of Sanders’ research (1990) and his words retrieve memories of a conversation held with a bereaved elderly resident of a bustling city who had previously walked her now-deceased dog in the camaraderie of other dog-walkers in a neighbourhood park (Eason, 2011). She sadly remarked:

I went to the park alone after she died. People with their dogs came up to ask where my little dog was – they’re well-meaning but it’s too painful. I won’t go back to the park anymore. I’m too old to have another dog, my sight’s failing and I can’t risk falling down again. But I do miss the companionship...

Those few words exhibit the loss of social friendships, both human and non-human, the loss of exercise, emerging signs of physical frailty and emotional isolation, and the destructive loss of reason to care.

Lynette Hart (1995: 166) and Leslie Irvine (2013) are among researchers citing a study by Adell-Bath et. al. (1979) in which 83% of 259 Swedish dog-owners agreed that ‘my dog gives me the opportunity of talking with other people’ and 79% also agreed with the statement ‘the dog makes friends for me’. Hart avers that ‘dogs seem to display an inexhaustible willingness to form and sustain partnerships with humans’ (1995:167), illustrating this with an example of the partnership between service dogs and people in wheelchairs ‘who come to be seen by other people as a team, more predictably together than any mother and child, marital couple or pair of siblings’ (Hart, 1995: 167). Such shared identity, exemplified above by participants Terry and his curly-coated
assistant, Jim, and the always-present but unnamed wheelchair, will be returned to later.

Similarly viewed as teams are the other interspecies dyads also participating in this study who occupy the time and space of their everyday existences weighed down by the dominative burden of chronic illness. Good management of this largely invisible load is intrinsic to the human’s survival, and in certain respects to the dog’s health and welfare, too. There is reciprocal responsibility in catering for effective mutual care. These canine-human partnerships are compelled to take ownership of the chronic illness that is Type 1 diabetes, to care for it, enact its routines, carry it from room to room, from home to work, to shopping mall or medical institution, to draw out blood and replenish insulin to assuage its needs and stresses; to be conscious of its fluctuating symptoms and pay homage in the form of insulin donation and calorific balance. Inept lifestyle management is not to blame for a Type 1 diabetes diagnosis, a lifetime Sword of Damocles which requires consistent care and attention to preclude its fatal downward trajectory.

Essences, ethics and practices of care guide or direct much of the social interactions in life, whether in school, home, institution or workplace: who and what we care about, for and of (Haraway, 2008; Mol, 2008; Mol, Moser and Pols, 2010; Pols, 2012; Van Dooren, 2014; Taylor, 2014; Coulter, 2016b). Material goods and ethereal yearnings, the animate and inanimate causes of pleasure and pain, variations on Maslow’s hierarchy of needs (Maslow, 1943) motivating survival...the power in ecologies and in governments.

If we fail to care about or for our fellow human and nonhuman animals – and there are of course those who pathologically find regard or respect for others impossible to express within acceptable social norms – there would be little point in continuing to journey through our seemingly brief spell of existence. So care is a prominent feature when traversing our own lifescape, providing opportunity to investigate other lives, to excavate and interpret illness and its attendant biopsychosocial requirements and practices. Ethics guiding moral care practices, and mutual performances of care activity performed in symbiotic relationships, are investigated more fully in a later chapter, much of it integrating the research of Adams and Gruen (2014); Coulter (2016); Taylor (2014) and Zamir (2006).

1.4 Multispecies cooperation
‘To succeed in life, you need to work together – pursuing the struggle for existence, if you like – just as much as you strive to win the struggle for existence’, stresses Nowak (2011: xvii) in urging global cooperation. And it is to encourage multispecies cooperation, and human understanding of such collaboration in this struggle for existence and success in life, that is the endeavour of the following pages.

Hamilton and Taylor (2013: x) research ‘the “hows” of daily life spent working with animals - in relation, among other contexts, to experiences of farming and slaughter, safe places for the unwanted, veterinarians who care for the welfare of small animals - by examining the ‘complex steps, interactions and negotiations’ necessary for a better perspective and understanding of how animals matter in diverse places of work. In similar vein, Coulter (2016: 146) draws attention to the way in which nonhuman animals can become ‘partners and friends’ and/or ‘useful commodities or tools’ when they are perceived as workers. Their lives may improve or may not, dependent on ‘context’ (2016: 146) and on the ways in which they ‘matter’, are recognised and integrated into multispecies society, or are rejected.

Prepared separately for a meeting that may join them almost literally ‘by the hip’ for their future co-existences, once ‘matched’, and only from that moment of onward bonding, the interspecies dyads become what Higgin (2012: 74) terms ‘works-in-progress’ and members of Haraway’s ‘lively knottings’ (2008: vii). Although conventional medical technologies and laboratory-derived medications have position and purpose in these species’ managements of daily life, it is the warm-blooded intertwined care of and by each being - framed by innovative biomedical technology and structured within the values and guidelines of a code of symbiotic ethics of care - that is analysed under the ethnographic microscope.

Assistive inanimate technologies, for example, the test strip, insulin pump or glucose monitor, or the white stick aiding visually-impaired individuals with Type 1 diabetes, all facilitate life compromised by chronic illness. However, when examined alongside the dog’s exceptional olfactory abilities and companionable behaviours which do more than merely facilitate the diabetic person’s continuing aliveness, it becomes evident that this animated resource leads to far greater enhancement and enrichment of the human’s hold on life and being-well.
When beginning this project, I imagined that the human participants would fall under the ‘disabled’ label, a classification freely and stereotypically bandied about in ‘Western’ society. I prepared readings from ‘disability studies’ relating to minority groups of those disenfranchised and ignored because of bodily malfunction (refer Tom Shakespeare, 2014: 101-106, who expands research on challenges to disability identity), or because they were born nonhuman, sentient but suffering from condemnation under human social norms and constraints. Further readings related to animal rights to have personhood, and advocacy for the freedom of domesticated species to enter public places, shops and libraries, schools and hospitals, whether as assistance animals or not.

But, when I met those who volunteered to participate as human-canine partnerships in this research, my imaginings became clouded, and anticipated images seemed unworthy of association with respondents of either species. Instead I learned that, as one human participant succinctly put it, ‘this is normal for me, this is my normal way of life’. Shakespeare (2014: 102) cites Linton (1998: 12): ‘The question of who “qualifies” as disabled is as answerable or as confounding as questions about any identity status. One simple response might be that you are disabled if you say you are’. Despite difficulty with aspects of mobility, this participant is by no means un-able and has altered and ordered his environment to suit his abilities and preferred lifestyle, at the same time doing best practices to satisfy the needs of the human and canine companions sharing his home. Similarly, the blind lawyer cited by Rod Michalko (1999: 118) describes his condition:

I don’t think of myself as disabled. I’m like everyone else. I just happen to be blind. Blindness is no big deal. All it is is a nuisance and an inconvenience. Like any other nuisance, it can be overcome. There’s lots of technology around, especially nowadays.

For Michalko, the technology is his guide dog, Smokie; for others, it may consist of a white stick or walking frame, and for participant Terry, technology involves both a wheelchair and a medical alert assistance dog, Jim. The two pieces of ‘equipment’ enable him to go on my own to the football because I don’t need anyone – I mean it’s nice to take a carer and have somebody else to talk to, but it’s also nice to just go out on your own, to have the freedom to go out on your own, which I had lost.
Although Terry is lauding Jim’s companionship and the scenting abilities that give him ‘freedom’ on the one hand, he also seems to appreciate going out ‘on his own’ as if Jim is a useful instrument or an invisible chaperone rather than ‘someone’, albeit that this someone lacks vocal speech comprehensible to a human ear. The concept of companion animals as tools or technologies is discussed in a later chapter.

As Charmaz (1995: 657) explicates, adaptation to living with impairment calls for changing ‘life and self to accommodate to physical losses and to reunify body and self accordingly’. Life and self adaptation enable a becoming-normal that concurs with Schillmeier’s (2014: 1) suggestion that the cosmopolitics of illness make us conscious not only of the normativity of embodied social relations, but also the practices and procedures shaping ‘normal bodies’.

The experiences of illness trouble the practices and relations of our embodied life, the more so if these illnesses are life threatening, infectious, and/or resist to be [sic] curable.

So opines Schillmeier (2014: 1) in the introduction to Eventful bodies: the cosmopolitics of illness. These cosmopolitical events lead to conscious visualisation of ‘the unsettlement of embodied human life’, and provide insight into ‘the complex and powerful practices of normalizing embodied human relations’ (italics in text).

Other members of society might observe disablement or impaired ability as reasonable causes of anger or frustration but, to the ‘owners’ of a chronic illness, difficult circumstances are the norm, not the extraordinary, and issues of risk or hazard inherent in their illness, tend to provide challenge, but do not necessarily provoke continual rage or depression. A sense of purpose and an ongoing mindful determination accompany participants in this research who live life differently after a ‘hypo-unaware’ Type 1 diabetes label is affixed to their medical records.

Sara was diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes when in her thirties. Describing her chronic illness, she shrugs her shoulders as if attempting to shake it off:

Well, you have to learn to cope, don’t you? You can’t not; you can’t take it off and put it in the bin and say I’m finished now. It doesn’t work like that...
Similarly, Janet, a long-term possessor of a variety of severe health
difficulties including Type 1 diabetes, has become resigned to the vicissitudes
that upset routine and best practice:

A lot of it was (done) on autopilot. The one thing with having a
long-term condition is that you get used to having lots of
knockbacks and you just think, right, I'll get myself through it...find
a way.

Despite the many ‘knockbacks’, participants speak openly and candidly
about their efforts to navigate the complexities of life with Type 1 diabetes, their
endeavours to swim through riptides of institutional bureaucracy and to surf
waves of internal discomfort. The pitch of their voices rises and falls, the pace
of their speech quickens, dawdles, halts and speeds up again as they recollect
good days and bad times. They re-live experiences with gesture, facial and
vocal expression, and the companion dogs sit and listen, watch and wait, tip
their heads to one side or other, raise a questioning eyebrow, or occasionally
sniff and nudge to remind the human partner to test their blood sugar levels.
Often exhausted by the daily physical and mental battering that is generally
concomitant with chronic illness, the human participants appear resolutely
determined to perform to the best of their abilities so as to attain goals and
standards of life that realistically may only become achievable through the
assistance of their medical alert dogs.

1.5 Aims and objectives

The study’s general aim is to contribute to anthrozoological research that
examines human-nonhuman animal interactions and experiences within
medical and social contexts, at the same time increasing public knowledge of a
potentially fatal, rarely visible, chronic illness. The sometimes tempestuous
odorous symptoms of illness are consistently revealed to canine olfaction, but
fail to present many Type 1 diabetic people, or their human companions, with
recognisable signs warning of an approaching hypoglycaemic episode that may
result in emergency hospitalisation.

A more particular objective is to draw on aspects of the conceptual
approach developed by Mol and Law (2004: 16) who examine hypoglycaemia in
terms of ‘the body we do’. Their approach points to self-practices which are
enacted by the chronically ill in order to evade an unwanted early demise: ‘one
does not hang together as a matter of course’, they remind (2004: 1). Such
necessarily active self-practices are conducted to prevent the body collapsing,
to keep the individual’s unpredictable world whole and any errant corporeal pieces glued together to avoid ‘leaky’ boundaries (Mol and Law, 2004: 11). If the required self-practices and behaviours fail to be performed effectively, death may result.

In addition, this project intends to contribute to the practices of multispecies data collection and ethnographic analysis, exemplified by Kirksey and Helmreich (2010), through a lens magnifying now familiar domestic coexistences: the lives of dogs and their companions in human-structured homes. An objective being to reveal the choreography balancing and unbalancing human-nonhuman performances within chronic illness; a choreography of practices chiefly directed by canine olfactory sensitivity. In this are dances embellished with attendant artefacts - the blood test kit, the insulin pen, the packet of jelly-babies, the dog treats and the illness-identifying jacket; and the music of the beep-chirp monitor, the whine or bark of the alerting dog, the thump-hiss of the fridge door and the pop of an opening sample-jar lid used in training, or the human sigh of relief or annoyance at the monitor reading of blood glucose levels. All these are familiar environmental factors surrounding the human-canine partners as they weave their essential patterns for life.

A further intention is to discover the active efforts made by nonhuman animals to communicate with their human companions, and to examine the discourses contributed by the human element to communicate with members of these partnerships who do not share a common language.

James Clifford (1986:108) writes of the different ‘voices’ that emerge from an ethnographic discourse; voices that agree with or counter others’ dialogical pathways, providing concurring or contrasting commentary. In this research, such voices belong to Medical Detection Dogs’ clients who live with Type 1 diabetes and are knowledgeable through past and present experiences; individuals whose existence is embodied in discourse, in lifestyle management and in the reactions of human and nonhuman others. Their narratives recount the chiefly positive influences gained by living with an assistance dog in terms of medical support and companionship, and relate the value of publically-recognised social integration when contrasted with former social disenfranchisement.

Voices also emerge from the active communications presented by the diabetes alert dogs whose co-embodied presences and performances highlight
chronic illness to a less knowledgeable human public. As Irvine (2013: 165) explains, in her examination of the 'hidden' population among the homeless, she studied 'pet owners in order to introduce another set of voices'; voices who ‘in speaking for’ their animals, ‘help to establish the identity of the animal’ (2013: 15). In listening to and questioning the human participants of this project, who are used to the invisibility of their diabetes, there emerge other relational interspecies voices narrating stories of their lives and situations, while simultaneously opening paths that give space to self-reflection, to my thinking and experiencing of their symbiotic relationships and complex existences.

My interest in the ability of dogs to detect human illness through their acute sense of smell was stirred by news media commentary on a proof-of-principle study as to whether dogs could be trained to scent the odour of malignant cells in bladder cancer from urine samples. This study, researched by Willis and colleagues, was published in the British Medical Journal (2004), and attracted worldwide attention.

Prior to the bladder cancer study, an organisation, ‘Cancer and Bio-Detection Dogs’, had been formed by Claire Guest with scientists and medical practitioners in the United Kingdom to research multispecies collaborative exploration into illness odour detection and to discover whether anecdotal data could have scientific foundation. The organisation gained charitable status in 2008, and in 2011, the name was changed to ‘Medical Detection Dogs’ (MDD) as the range of illness conditions that the dogs were learning to detect from human breath and odour samples, was expanding. Not only in the United Kingdom, but internationally dogs are now being trained to discriminate among the odours of serious illness: for example, Gadbois and Reeve (2014) working with dogs in Nova Scotia, Sonoda et. al. (2011) publishing studies from Japan, and Cornu et. al. (2011), who have conducted canine odour detection research in France.

Cornu and colleagues (2011) indicated the possibility that dogs might become useful instruments in future screening for prostate cancer, a possibility which is becoming a reality as the MDD charity and the Milton Keynes NHS Hospital Foundation Trust are currently, according to information on the charity’s web pages (www.medicaldetectiondogs.org.uk), conducting an ‘NHS ethically-approved study’ with the help of trained cancer detection dogs, ‘to find an early, accurate, non-invasive method to test for prostate and other urological
cancers’, thereby avoiding biopsies which could become interventions ‘of last resort in many diagnoses’.

Research into canine detection of cancer odour is ongoing and a paper by Elliker et. al. (2014) accentuates the need for robust double-blind testing to ensure confusion does not arise in regard to the dogs’ abilities to discriminate particular odours. In their research, an investigator was isolated in one room, and the dog and handler were isolated in another, both observed by an independent referee. The investigator placed urine samples in an array and was then isolated, after which the dog and handler approached the array where the dog would sniff and select a sample containing cancer odour. The researchers concluded that trained dogs might be able to remember individual scents in large quantities of training samples rather than generalise on a common odour; their results suggested that dogs could be trained to detect prostate cancer, which is the focus of Medical Detection Dogs’ current research. Dogs are being trained to work on the detection of urological cancer using samples of human urine in double-blind trials. Importantly, the charity also plans to conduct molecular biomarker analyses to attempt to identify and isolate the volatile organic compounds detected by the dogs.

Sonoda et. al. (2011) researched the scenting abilities of trained dogs who were employed in the olfactory detection of colorectal cancer by means of breath and faecal samples, and their findings revealed, as is also noted by Guest (2013: 290), that ‘canine scent detection was not confounded by current smoking, benign colorectal polyps, inflammation or infection’ (2011: 117) nor was there any ‘correlation between canine scent judgement and human haemoglobin or transferrin’ (2011: 118), the latter being a serum protein carrying iron to bone marrow for red blood cell production.

Sonoda et. al. (2011) further contended that cancer has a specific odour as do other illnesses such as the apparent acetone or pear-drop smell of diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), a serious complication mentioned by participants in this research and explained in chapter 6. Terry agrees with the pear-drop smell but says there can also be a strong smell of almonds and he recalls Jim’s acute interest in Christmas cake (‘he goes bananas’) because of the almonds making up marzipan:

The only thing he’s ever gone to eat is Christmas cake and I’m convinced it was the marzipan because he didn’t bother with
anything else. You can even leave ham on the table and he won’t take it. He’ll put his nose up and he’ll look, but he won’t take it. He was trying to pick up the Christmas cake and bring it to me: ‘this should be yours. The smell is yours so why is it over here?’

Terry’s explanation may be based on an egomorph (Milton, 2005) perception of knowledge gained from personal experience and understanding, rather than from the more distancing concept of anthropomorphism, and this allows him to make sense of Jim’s unusual behaviour and the reason behind the dog’s effort to communicate. Terry can thus tell me the content of Jim’s actively-produced, silently-transmitted, information without leaving his chair, in vocal speech that I can understand. An action performed in the past by Jim, the dog, is recognised, comprehended and passed on by Terry, the human, in intelligible terms through time and space to me who, knowing the smell of almonds and marzipan from Christmas experience and seeing both dog and human before me, can perfectly imagine.

As a result of Jim’s attempt on the Christmas cake and their reasoning of it, Terry and Nick try never to have anything ‘almondy’ in the house so that Jim won’t be distracted from detecting Terry’s changing blood sugar levels. Nick comments that the smell of almonds is also associated with semtex which bomb-detection dogs work on through scenting, and adds ‘dogs don’t just work with human beings, but with inanimate objects as well’.

1.6 Additional research purpose

Researching the symbiotic lives of humans and dogs involved in revealing the canine olfactory sensitivity that benefits people with persistent and sometimes terminal illnesses, has allowed the opening of a channel for a more positive form of grieving over the death of my elder son from colorectal cancer. Similarly, it has enabled remembrance of my closest childhood friend who was compelled to leave our boarding school to be cared for at her distant home after developing Type 1 diabetes – she too died young.

So the writing style of this project will incorporate auto-ethnographical musings and reflections that surface because of the activities and behaviours of the interspecies research participants who sometimes mirror aspects of life that are wholly familiar and not always comfortable to recognise. Leslie Irvine’s definition of participant observation, as requiring ‘full immersion’, has enabled lapses from ‘academic’ writing to more personal interjections when deeper, more emotional reflections are allowed to bubble up. Again borrowing from
Irvine (2004: 3-21), ‘although some of my arguments might well apply to other animals, I have studied only dogs...’ for the purpose of this project, although keen-scenting or macrosmatic olfactory ability applies to the majority of animals on this planet, with the exception of humans and most primates.

Like Keri Brandt (2004: 303), ‘my recent biography and personal history’ have become meaningful in terms of how and where this project is situated. Childhood life was spent among ponies and pigs, chickens and dogs – there was a division between the ‘named’ and ‘unnamed’ members of the so-called domesticated nonhuman animal species. Mary Phillips (1994: 123) draws attention to ‘proper’ names being given to laboratory animals as signifying ‘the social emergence of personality’, thus acknowledging their ‘unique characteristics’ (1994: 121). Similarly, Sanders (2003: 411) remarks that the designation of human names for dogs signifies their establishment as ‘virtual’ persons, and Irvine (2012: 129) posits the influence of ‘individual characteristics or behavioral tendencies’ on the choice of human name for a particular dog. An example of this became visible when I cared for ‘holiday’ dogs - at one stage, over a dozen Staffordshire bull terriers from a variety of homes were in residence: all looked ‘tough’ and were named Rambo, Tyson, Brutus and similar, but I cannot agree that their behaviour complemented their names. Rather like 'Ferdinand' (Leaf, 1936), the Spanish bull who wished to sit in the sun and not fight in the bullring, they appeared much happier playing and 'smelling the flowers' than in planning assault.

Sincere mourning was felt on the farm at the death of the named of any species who were identifiable from their ‘unique characteristics’, and a more opaque sadness fell among us when the unnamed went out of the farm gates. It was a sheltered childhood in which words such as ‘suicide’ or ‘cancer’ were enunciated letter-by-letter by adults, and funerals were not attended by children. And of course this encouraged much poring over dictionaries and feeling scared and slightly guilty at unexpected glimpses of oddly menacing, behatted, all-in-black figures silently walking up the church path.

Poppet, a little coal-black pony, came from the era of ‘docked’ tails, ponies-and-traps, and the often-given, kindly-intended, present of Black Beauty (Sewell, 1877), the harrowing tale of animal abuse and cruelty to horses that anecdotally, has elicited graphic nightmares from myriad children since its original publication. I spent hours spraying ‘grow-more-hair’ or something similar
on the few wispy strands of her tail in the hope that she could whisk it more effectively against flies, or swish it more dramatically, if asked to go along a path she had no wish to travel. She was a gentle horse living up to her name; piglets played uninjured around her legs and she once carried a hen’s new-laid egg across the fields to show us – her lips were so soft, there was not a crack in the shell. She had a stroke after which her left ear and lower lip drooped but she maintained her kind nature until the day I found her lying breath-less in the grass. Her unspoken lessons on multispecies cooperation and the need to care and show concern for the lives of others remain with me.

More recently, health, illness and the unethical treatment of multiple nonhuman animal species again became central to my ‘being-in-the-world’. The global abuse of animals by children: live hamsters cooked in microwaves or guinea-pigs thrown out of upstairs windows, tin-cans or fireworks tied to cats’ tails, dogs peppered with lead pellets or shut in crates and poked with burning sticks, the list of cruelty is endless and the above-mentioned violent maltreatment of companion animals excludes the wild nonhuman species also used as target practice or trapped to be taunted and tortured. These activities have a variety of potential causes (Kellert and Felthous, 1985), and results sometimes lead to increasingly abusive behaviour towards human and nonhuman animals as the child becomes adult (Ascione, 2005).

There is difference between curiosity and malice when nonhuman animals fall victim to young human predation. I studied psychology in an attempt to discover why childhood abuse of animals might lead to domestic violence and animal cruelty in adulthood, and then turned to studies of canine psychology and ethology, and to the importance of dogs in triadic animal-assisted activities.

Research into the lives of domestic animals fired interest in the deaths of companion animals and to methods of remembering them so as to reduce the social isolation felt by bereaved humans; those whose sole affection had been directed at someone who never judged, never argued, who always willingly offered an apparently caring affection in return for human hospitality. ‘It’s only a dog’ is one of the most harsh sentences that can be addressed to an individual bereft of a close companion animal, a friend who might also have been the last living link to a loved and previously-deceased human companion.
Interest in the discrimination and stigma that afflict multispecies minority groups, alongside living life ‘on a different plane’ during my son’s terminal illness, guided research into early stage diagnosis of illness and the arrogant invasiveness of the serpentine cancers. This in turn led to knowledge of the lack of privacy and the possibility of pain during investigation preceding their discovery, especially for adolescents and young adults.

Finding innovative, non-invasive and less expensive methods of diagnosing serious human illness in its early stages is the ongoing endeavour of Claire Guest, CEO of the charity, Medical Detection Dogs, and herself, a former possessor of a deep-seated breast tumour which might not have been discovered until too late were it not for the behavioural changes in her dog, Daisy. Such was the intensity of her dog’s unusually anxious behaviour, not wanting to leave her and jumping up against her, that Claire went for health checks leading to the eventual discovery of an early stage, but deeply-embedded tumour. After this was medically treated, Daisy’s attitude relaxed and she returned to her former joyful way of being.

But Claire learned of others whose dogs had changed behaviour towards them, dogs who incessantly tried to lick moles, barked or whined and became distressed when they never had been so before, or who nudged at particular areas on their companions’ bodies where carcinogenic material was later found. Certain that Daisy had sensed the malformation in herself, Claire gathered anecdotal data and spoke to members of medical professions, including John Church (1996, 1999, 2013), a surgeon with interest in biotherapy, and what began with a few individuals working in a small building without running water has become a recognised well-established charity, now patronised by the Duchess of Cornwall and invited to share its medical discoveries in Parliament.

When I first visited the charity, there were three offices, fewer than 10 staff members and a room where Daisy and one or two other dogs were trained to scent urine samples on a carousel and to sit pointedly in front of the one containing an affected sample. I met other dogs learning to recognise, through sniffing, rapid changes in volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the breath of people with Type 1 diabetes. The client waiting list for a diabetes alert assistance dog is around three years despite the increasing number of MDD staff now involved in training the dogs and matching them to appropriate human companions. However, public enthusiasm for canine scent detection of illness
symptoms has increased to the extent that the charity has been enabled to gain planning approval for extensive new buildings to be constructed from donations which will provide, according to the charity’s website (December 2016):

- two new Bio Detection areas, a client area for the Medical Alert Assistance Dogs, space for our specialist dogs to relax, dog washing facilities, a lecture theatre for our visitors, private rooms for client interviews and additional parking spaces...these new buildings will give us the space to increase the annual number of Medical Alert Assistance Dogs placements to up to 50 by 2019/20.
- We will also be able to expand on our existing urological (including prostate) cancer and malaria studies to include new projects such as colorectal cancer and Parkinson’s disease.

A forthcoming research study entitled 'using medical detection dogs to identify people with malaria parasites', incorporates an innovative use of canine olfactory sensitivity and involves Durham University, Medical Detection Dogs, the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, and the Medical Research Council Unit The Gambia. A statement on the MDD website identifies the project's purpose as finding a way to 'detect malaria that is non-invasive and can be used to test a large number of samples at a time. Current tests require finger-prick blood collection and laboratory screening. In contrast, the dogs are portable and rapid'. If successful, the investigation could lead to an eventual reduction in death from this illness and significant benefit to the health of global populations.

Starting with the bladder cancer proof-of-principle study, the charity now works with dogs in the detection of other cancers and is continuing to develop the adjacent branch in which staff members train dogs to use their scenting abilities in the detection of early signs of hypo- or hyper-glycaemia in clients who have Type 1 diabetes, Addison’s disease or are allergic to the smell and/or taste of nuts. This area of canine olfactory sensitivity has become the focus of my research.

This canine olfactory capability provides early non-invasive detection of extreme fluctuations in the blood glucose levels of people with chronic Type 1 diabetes so that medical care practices can be performed to evade hypoglycaemia and collapse leading to coma, and thus prevent urgent and frequent calls for an ambulance. An extensive list of symptom of hypoglycaemia reported by hypo-aware respondents appears in a study by Wells, Lawson and Siriwardena (2008: 1238).
The fifth chapter of this study is devoted to the sense of smell in diverse species and to the canine olfactory system which is pronounced macrosmatic when compared to the feeble-scenting (microsmatic) sensibility of the human nasal structure (Bradshaw, 2012; Craven, Patterson and Settles, 2010; Helton, 2009; Horowitz, 2016; Smith et al., 2004).

Also under examination are the care practices performed by human-canine partnerships to improve ‘personal’ health, welfare, domestic and social life within the limitations of Type 1 diabetes. How the interspecies dyad adopts or adapts the practicalities necessary to effect safe and mutualistic coexistences is revealed within inter-subjective and essential strategic activities that maintain wellbeing and coherent management of lives under constant threat. As Schillmeier (2014: 3) suggests, experience of serious illness that ‘breaches the general norms of health and related practices, introduces novel norms of illness...that have to be accounted for in a pragmatic sense’ (italics in text). Certainly new norms are introduced and practiced when dogs undertake care-work that assists in hypo-prevention and involves altered or altering procedures emerging from the effects of coincidental illnesses.

1.7 Care practices and problems

The overall aim of a multi-voiced form of investigative story-telling need not necessarily be to come to a conclusion. Its strength might very well be in the way it opens questions up (Mol and Law, 2004: 17).

There are occasions when corporeal ‘leaks’ undermine composure. Participant Paul has lived with chronic illness since childhood and his discomfort with a malfunctioning body becomes apparent:

My whole body just doesn’t feel right, you don’t feel like you’re in the right skin; nothing wants to settle. It’s like nothing’s moving but it feels like everything’s going; it’s all vibrating; all your nerve-endings are firing off but it doesn’t settle...

He adds that the cause of his bodily ‘unsettlement’ might not only arise from Type 1 diabetes but could originate from one of the attenuating ‘tightly intertwined’ complex issues by which his health is perpetually hampered. Autoimmune diseases are numerous and as yet cannot be prevented or cured; and the causes of the immune system’s malfunction, according to information available on the Diabetes.co.uk website, may include ‘bacteria or virus, drugs, chemical or environmental irritants’. Because autoimmune disorders can affect
different parts of the body simultaneously, for example, joints, red blood cells, muscles or skin, it is possible for someone who has Type 1 diabetes, also to have additional problems from rheumatoid arthritis, Addison’s disease, coeliac disease, multiple sclerosis or issues of hyper- or hypo-thyroidism (Diabetes.co.uk, 2016).

In taking an ‘inter-species’ approach to Mol and Law’s ethnographic view of people dealing with hypoglycaemia and the misbehaving body, this project investigates the place, role and ‘otherness’ of a medical alert assistance dog becoming co-embodied in a chronically ill person’s understanding and enactment of the body they do. Mol and Law (2004: 16) reflect that ‘keeping ourselves together is one of the tasks of life’, a necessary occupation to maintain survival. However, in this instance, the multispecies co-embodied entity works as a ‘two-in-one-self’ to achieve this – the dog, who alerts the human partner to take external steps to prevent the internal lack of insulin becoming a hazard to life, is in turn multiply rewarded by the human for vital assistance in their continued survival. As Adrian Franklin (2006) writes, in the context of what happens and what is done in ‘almost every home in the western world and beyond’, homes become ‘home to humans living very closely and purposefully with other species, particularly with cats and dogs (2006: 138).

Avoiding the threatening complications that commonly adhere to life with Type 1 diabetes calls for significant care methods and practices by the individual, under instruction from what may be a very distant (in terms of mileage and travel time) team of diabetes health care professionals. None of the participants in this study lives within walking distance of a hospital, and as the majority are no longer, if they ever were, licensed to drive, they and their medical assistance dogs become reliant on others to reach medical appointments or consultations.

When talking of institutional caring, Mol suggests that ‘care is not attractive...even good care is not attractive’ (2008: 28); it may be painful, invasive, boringly essential and time-consuming, an unwanted addition to the ‘daily grind’ of managing life’s progression through illness. And there is need for the human and canine partnership, coexisting within the boundaries of chronic illness whether at home or in social environs, to work hard - separately, together, as a team, as a dyad actively striving for health within a community of similar practitioners – in order to maintain a daily routine of mutual caring,
however dull, however stressful, painful and time-consuming, however sometimes unattractive to the self and others, that will attain optimum standards of care and demonstrate a body being well ‘done’ in both public and private settings. And the settings themselves need ordering and organising so as to facilitate best practices of care.

Kendra Coulter (2016b: 199) explicates the concept of care work, including that done by nonhuman animals, as being ‘tasks, interactions, labour processes, and occupations involved in taking care of others, physically, psychologically, and emotionally’. The work necessary for the sometimes challenging and complex delivery of care, calls for ‘skill and multifaceted communication’ (2016b: 204) at a time when distraction must be ignored no matter its attraction.

1.8 Blood, hygiene and biotherapy

In this interspecies skilled delivery and ‘doing’ of care in chronic illness, blood activities become silently prominent. ‘Taking your bloods’, giving blood, blood testing, blood glucose levels, blood transfusions. Into this constant manipulation of blood cells swerves Mary Douglas’s anthropological thinking on sullied contexts, dirt as ‘matter out of place’ (2002: 44) and the dangers of pollutants, bringing contrast to medical enthusiasm for cleanliness in care and treatment practices.

Thoughts of zoönotic disease, health risk and lack of hygiene at times when blood testing and insulin injection require ‘purity’ in the environment, may inspire caution before preparing to care for, and be cared for by, a nonhuman animal living in close proximity day and night. Education in canine welfare and the use of efficient hygiene methods to control disease transmission between species can reduce health risk (Hart, 1995; Gorczyca et al. 2010) as well as diminishing fear at the prospect of an unknown or complex infection or illness.

However, looking at hygiene and disease from a different perspective, Elliott and Weinstock (2012: 551) find growing evidence that ‘highly hygienic living conditions create risk for developing immune-mediated disease such as inflammatory bowel disease’ (IBD). Parasitic worms (helminths), for example, human hookworm and porcine whipworm, living in a symbiotic relationship with their hosts, become enabled to ‘activate cells of innate and adaptive immunity that suppress inflammation’ (2012: 551). It seems the more industrialised and socio-economically advanced a country becomes, the more likely are its
inhabitants to develop IBD, and so, they conclude, exposure to helminths may offer new ways to treat IBD.

Elliott, Pritchard and Weinstock (2013: 186) suggest that ‘over the next few years, T. suis (porcine whipworm) will be tested for efficacy in many of the major autoimmune and immune-mediated inflammatory diseases like psoriasis and Type 1 diabetes’.

Other creatures re-considered beneficial to human health in recent decades, are leeches, whose application in hirudotherapy to draw blood for ‘curative purposes’ is thought to have been effective since the Stone Age (Gileva and Mumcuoglu, 2013: 31). The researchers suggest that the usefulness of the leech in ‘reconstructive and plastic surgery, and traumatology’ and in other contemporary medicinal fields, might assist in the creatures’ protection and conservation in ‘nature’ (2013: 69), resulting in another form of symbiotic existence.

Biotherapy is defined as ‘the use of living organisms for the treatment of human and animal illness’ (Grassberger, Sherman, Kim, Gileva and Mumcuoglu, 2013: v) and the increasing number of multidisciplinary practitioners and researchers in this field indicates growing acceptance of maggots (Bowling et al., 2007), the ‘most commonly employed larvae have been those of the green bottle fly (Lucilia sericata)’ (Sherman, Mumcuoglu, Grassberger and Tantawi (2013), bees (Apis mellifera) (Molan and Betts, 2008), and leeches (Hirudo medicinalis) (Gileva and Mumcuoglu, 2013). Fish or ichthyotherapy involves, for example, the reddish suction barbel (Garra rufa) in therapeutic treatments (Grassberger and Sherman, 2013) while, more widely acknowledged, is the ability of larger domesticated mammals, for example, dogs (Canis familiaris) and horses (Equus ferus caballus) to aid mental and physical human corporeal complications (Levinson, 1969; Chandler, 2005; Fine, 2010). Church (2013: 4) suggests that instead of attempting to discover stronger antibiotics at a time when superbugs are showing firm resistance to current antibiotics, a burgeoning search for ‘probiotics’ should be encouraged so that natural mechanisms can be ‘harnessed’ towards preventing and managing disease, alongside care for the welfare of our environment.

As he claims (2013: 4), biotherapy is ‘challenging and demanding, but it is efficacious, relatively safe, low tech, low cost, and eco-friendly’ and the
human-nonhuman animal collaboration involved in the diagnostic achievements of Medical Detection Dogs exemplifies his contention.

1.9 Commodification and the ethics of care

Discussion of the ethical issues involved in the human use of other-than-human animals occurs in chapter 9 since the entwined strands of the human-dog symbiotic co-existence are also subject to issues of morality. Malamud’s (2013: 34) forceful statement - ‘service animals (those who are employed by and for humans who require assistance); serve us animals (the boar’s head degraded further by the apple forcing open its lifeless jaws, or the emplattered motionless silver salmon); serve us, animals (the superior human command to the inferior nonhuman recipient, for example, the timber- or tourist-laden elephant or the milk-producing cow)’ (my words in italics) - sadly reflects our generally anthropocentric attitude to those sentient providers who are compelled to donate their lives to the supply of human foodstuffs, and to the burdened, scrutinised and experimented-on subservient ‘other’ bodies we make use of for ourselves.

Josephine Donovan (2006: 305) elucidates the thinking behind the feminist animal care theory which stresses the need to listen to animals, to pay them ‘emotional attention’, to care about the content of their communications. Her approach counters the utilitarian argument of ‘mathematical balance’, killing one to save the rest, as seen in the UK during the foot-and-mouth epidemic in which herds of cattle were destroyed on some farms to save others on neighbouring farms. John Law’s (2004: 57) ‘care and killing’ chapter on the 2001 slaughter, results of which made distressing public viewing on television news channels, brought the pain of those carefully arranging the deaths into a world view. Farmers and veterinarians, who could be disparaged unseen via social media as cruel administrators of this destruction of a trusting species, sometimes became known as unexpectedly caring and feeling enforcers of death for the betterment of others (in line with government injunction), and they themselves were perceived to suffer to the extent that some ignited their own manner of death.

Hamington (2008:177) proposes that we can learn ethics from meaningful relationships with animals by realising that quality interactions with other-than-human animals ‘can stimulate the imaginative basis for the care and empathy that are crucial for social morality’: through the use of the ‘moral
imagination’, care can be universalised from one unfortunate creature, human or nonhuman, to the many. His research on embodied care is significant in relation to mutualism and the co-practices of care performed within chronic illness.

The ecofeminist theory of care, discussed in articles collected and edited by Carol J. Adams and Lori Gruen (2014), has become a viable approach to aspects of this research with its emphasis on ‘the importance of care as well as justice’ (2014: 1). Contributing to their collection, and discussed more fully in future pages, is a paper written by Sunaura Taylor (2014), whose incisive approach to the interdependency of animal species brings the ethic-of-care theory to life when facing her own and others’ dis- or in-abilities and society’s reaction to them.

Accepting that compassion and empathy are crucial qualities for social morality in respect of the human-canine relationships under focus here, permits belief in a cross-species embodiment of moral interdependence that also succeeds in extending the biomedical armamentarium.

Prominent among researchers of multiple species’ interactions are Frans de Waal (2006; 2010) who is visibly proactive in encouraging empathy to express and foster multispecies tolerance and understanding, and in similar vein, Lori Gruen (2015) whose writing concurs with the need for empathy to reduce or resolve human-human and human-nonhuman animal conflict in ways that can be considered moral.

The following chapter elucidates the qualitative methodology directing this multispecies project and the context in which it is situated. Symbolic interactionism is among perspectives taken in order to understand the significance of a human-nonhuman animal collaboration that enhances the quality of life for individuals who may be rendered physically and/or socially isolated by chronic illness. Symbiotic relationships are examined in terms of commensalism, mutualism and parasitism, with mutualism being identified as best suiting the human-canine partnerships under observation.

Backgrounding this research is the sense of smell and the outstanding olfactory ability of dogs and most other species of nonhuman animal, with the exception of humans and the majority of primates. Chapter 5 is devoted to olfactory perception and canine nasal architecture and to the role of the dog’s nose in scenting odours from human illness.
In Chapter 6, focus is centred on studies relating to the way people manage long-term corporeal dysfunction, highlighting Type 1 diabetes and its needs and complexities (Diabetes UK, 2014; Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation, 2013). The practical assistance given by the diabetes alert dogs at home and in public is made prominent, and their human partners provide narrative illustrations of the complexities involved in ‘doing Type 1 diabetes’.

The controversial use of domesticated nonhuman animals as devices manipulated for human benefit, in this instance, dogs as biomedical resources, is the topic of Chapter 7 in which such animal commodification may be seen as tenable or exploitative. Chapter 8 examines animals as health technologies and their performances as tools and devices of assistance.

Mutualism in care practices, the symbiotic ethics of care and moral behaviours, and the use of animal models for human treatments, are topics discussed in Chapter 9. The concluding chapter ‘endings’ investigates ‘what happens next’ to the no longer working diabetes alert dog and to the unwell human when their biomedical partnership dissolves, and what it means from their perspectives to collaborate and co-exist. More broadly, what cooperation in biotherapy and biomedicine will bring to illness detection through the sniffing prowess of nonhuman animals for the benefit of multiple other species.

At the centre of this anthrozoological research is the charity, Medical Detection Dogs, whose cross-species work in olfaction and biotherapy has been made available to enquiry and analysis. The methods I employed to uncover and sometimes disentangle the meaningful dances of the coexisting species’ daily lives are explained in the following chapter.

2 Methodology

Wide-ranging roles are opening up to dogs trained in olfactory pursuits beyond the already-known ability to sniff out drugs, bombs and buried human remains. Wishing to explore the phenomena surrounding canine olfaction in human health more extensively in terms of theory and practice, the Medical Detection Dogs charity was approached and provided with information regarding prospective ‘ethnographic’ research. Acting as gatekeeper, the charity’s CEO invited clients to participate in the study and those, who were interested in volunteering their experiences of living within interspecies partnerships, gave permission for their email addresses to be sent to the researcher. On receipt, the charity’s clients were sent information sheets
detailing the proposed research, together with informed consent forms which they were asked to sign and return.

These information sheets and consent forms followed Association of Social Anthropologists (ASA) (2011) and British Sociological Association (BSA) (March 2002) ethical codes of practice and guidelines relating to confidentiality, privacy and anonymity, and had received prior approval by the University of Exeter’s research ethics committee when the project was first put before its members.

Informing participants of confidentiality and privacy during primary interviews, I offered to change their names (both dog and human) when writing the text and to avoid mention of the regions in the UK where they reside. And these changes have been effected. They were also asked if there were other alterations to their identities that I could incorporate. No matter how great the attempts to disguise identity, the charity’s human-alert dog partnerships are not yet numerous so participants may recognise one another from narratives transcribed, and many of them give talks in public places or take part in media interviews, which give them local and national prominence. They are therefore aware that excerpts from their interviews may reveal their identities because of events or experiences they mention that others may have witnessed.

Sampling was limited firstly by the number of volunteer respondents as the charity is in its infancy and at that stage, there were fewer than 30 clients already matched and living with medical alert assistant dogs, although this number has more than doubled over the research period. Illness and unexpected changes in personal circumstance hampered interviewing in some cases, forcing abandonment of the planned discourses, but seven participants with chronic illness maintained contact with the researcher and interviews were held when convenient to their health practices and life events.

The first interviews took place in 2014 and were conducted over one to two hour periods in participants’ homes, holiday residences or in the homes of their family members. Participant Tina, and staff members Gill, Jenny and Liz, gave information and comment in conversations held at the MDD training centre where they work, and where, over the two-year period, opportunity was given to observe multispecies’ education, play activities and the rapport gained with one another: human-human, human-dog, and dog-dog interactions. Val’s advice relating to MDD client application forms, human and dog training, and matching
procedures, was given in personal correspondence (2017) in addition to the information detailed on the charity’s website.

Further unstructured interviews were conducted in 2015 and concluded in 2016 and, perhaps becoming a more known quantity, the researcher was invited to spend extended periods observing daily routines and practices, and to walk or travel with participants, their family members and friends, so as to see how the dogs worked in social situations and interacted with multispecies individuals away from their home environments. Partners, family members and friends entered conversations as they and the consenting individual wished, and this expanded knowledge of the embedded illness and the working assistance dog’s status in household hierarchy, as well as demonstrating the close-knit bond that develops between dog and human.

Participants were questioned as to ‘what it is like’ to have Type 1 diabetes, how they cope and what they do in everyday living; what are the particular complexities they have to face and what do they do to resolve difficulties brought about by living with a working assistance dog and with their illness. The semi-structured interviews allowed human participants to narrate their interspecies activities, the positives and negatives emerging from a close social and biomedical relationship with a canine companion, the routines that they successfully perform and the obstacles that prevent care practice fulfilment.

Recorded interviews were transcribed by the researcher and examined to find links, comparisons and interpretations for further discussion and analysis. The narratives were studied individually to identify and clarify each client’s personal feelings, emotions and behaviours in relation to their illness, the canine partner and the 24-hour assistance enacted by the dog.

Participants have been magnanimous in explaining the mutual care practices performed, and with their responses to questions. Time and again, I am astonished by the open and frank comments and explanations that are afforded me during data collection and recall Sarah Nettleton’s words: ‘When people have the opportunity to give voice to their experiences of illness, it becomes evident that their accounts are woven into their biographies’ (2013: 73). ‘My’ research participants, human and canine, enable me to take pride in shared-ownership of the narratives they offer, the roller-coaster stories of their
obstacle-fraught existences, and the truths of life changes when sharing home and illness management with working dogs and Type 1 diabetes.

Field notes provided context, emotion and atmospheric conditions. For example, heightened noise levels during interviews, unexpected visitors curtailing significant conversations, or distress overwhelming participants, are among causes sometimes adversely affecting narratives. Equally, contented animals and tranquil human partners may produce apparently stress-free interviews belied by the pattern and tenor of the words spoken during their narrations.

Type 1 diabetes is the invisible and weighty ‘elephant in the room’ who constantly tramples and reshapes the other lives also embedded there. Sara was diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes at the age of 31 and was matched with Apple, a trained diabetes alert dog, by Medical Detection Dogs. She has become very aware of the invisibility of her chronic illness and appreciates how Apple helps to draw much needed attention to its existence:

The number of people that come and speak to you about the diabetes alert dog accompanying you in shops, saying ‘oh, I’ve never seen that before’, or ‘I’m diabetic’ or ‘my husband’s diabetic’ and you wouldn’t know, you don’t know that those people are diabetic, just walking around...it’s a very hidden disability, isn’t it? You get lots of disabilities that are very visual...but you can’t see diabetes in people...

Information was gathered that specifically related to Type 1 diabetes but canine medical alert assistance also benefits humans with severe allergic reactions to the taste or smell of nuts, those who suffer extreme pain seizures, who are narcoleptic or are affected by Addison’s crises or postural tachycardia syndrome (PoTS) whose symptoms are shown by an abnormal increase in heart rate after sitting up or standing. The first dog trained to assist a client with PoTs has had a very high alerting success rate, according to Gill, an MDD instructor who added that the client has hypo-mobility so can lift very little:

She’s hardly got any strength so she wears a belt, like a jogging belt, (to which the dog’s leash is attached) to leave her hands free because she uses a stick as well. The dog’s a big boy and she’s only slight, so it’s all on verbal communication because she’s not hands-on with him at all. Upstairs, downstairs, he stays very, very close.

2.1 Qualitative mixed methods
In this empirical study, the intention is to give a rich and deep insight into, and a detailed description of, a small group of human-dog dyads, whose interspecies life practices involve them in a broader socio-medical community of health practitioners. Qualitative mixed methods, including fieldwork based on multispecies participant observation and personal reflection, informal conversation and open-ended interviews leading to ethnographic analysis, are employed here to examine interspecies collaboration and cooperation in the field of chronic illness, and further, to reveal the significance of such cooperation in adding to biomedical epidemiology and the improvement of trans-species integration in society.

Ethnographic research is appropriate to the study of community, enabling fieldwork to take place in locations that are familiar to participants: home environments, supermarkets, while walking in urban or rural settings, visiting town halls or city restaurants. Because of the flexibility made available to the researcher by participants, this method allows the establishment of rapport and trust among human and nonhuman respondents. Such research evolves as it progresses through studying the social behaviours and lifestyle patterns of an ‘identifiable group of people’ (Creswell, 2013: 92). The common denominator of the identifiable group in this study is chronic illness, and membership invites a private and public coexistence between an unwell human and a medical alert assistance dog.

Much has appeared in the media over recent decades that relates to assistant animals and their human carers and in particular to the dogs who guide humans with visual impairments through life. Contemporary sociology frames research and writing - by Krieger (2005; 2010) and Michalko (1999) and others whose vision is partially or wholly impaired - which draws attention to the ways their assistant dogs have made significant, positive differences to their lives. However, there is sparse research, beyond media investigative reporting, that examines the day-to-day lived experiences of scent-detecting dogs and the significant impact they have on humans with malfunctioning internal organs.

New arrivals to the society of assistance animals are these canine medical ‘detectives’; dogs of diverse breeds who not only share a ‘nose’ for discovery but have also become expert interspecies collaborators in the early diagnosis of certain illnesses or are able to act as alarmists of unwanted medical happenings through their willing attitude and reward-based training.
By asking questions of participants over a two-year period (2014-2016) and in observing the environmental strictures surrounding these human-canine pairings, answers are found which then encourage more extensive and deeper questioning; and this provokes a rich narrative involving phenomenological interpretation and recognition of the sometimes-hidden interactive medical and social worlds of the dog and human as they ‘do’ their daily symbiotic stuff to survive together in chronic illness.

As the partnership practices self-care, so it takes up membership of a practicing community; that which Wenger (1998) and O’Reilly (2012) suggest is a group of individuals coming together to act out social life, giving structure and significance to what they all ‘do’ together and separately in an evolving, revolving set of circumstances. So self-practice in Type 1 diabetes becomes situated within a community of practice. And such progressive, fluid practices are extensively activated and enabled by the agency of the canine partners.

‘We shifted terminology and used words like perform, or do, or enact’ (Mol, March 2014), ‘what is the reality that takes shape and that various people come to live with?’ She contributes ‘to theorizing medicine’s ontological politics: a politics that has to do with the way in which problems are framed, bodies are shaped, and lives are pushed and pulled into one shape or another’ (Mol, 2002: viii). Her theories, and those of Lori Gruen (2015), Donna Haraway (2003, 2008) and John Law (2004), relating to multiple realities and their entangled versions - realities routinely enacted by the multispecies performers involved in doing Type 1 diabetes care practices - have enabled questioning, listening and reflection during participants’ everyday living.

Ethnography is both flexible and permeable, allowing the researcher’s writing to be influenced by interdisciplinary theories and perspectives developed in sociology, anthropology, philosophy and psychology and by the increasing number of studies relating to human-animal interactions. I have followed Karen O’Reilly’s (2012) suggested use of an iterative-inductive research approach, in which data collection, analysis and writing are inextricably linked, one in which an open mind admits preconceptions, studies previous theories on the topic, and allows theory to develop from the collected data. O’Reilly’s approach is also cited by Sarah Pink in her 2014 volume, Doing sensory ethnography, which examines, inter alia, sensory embodied knowing; knowledge and how it is transmitted between researchers and participants.
At the forefront of understanding health in the context of chronic illness are studies by Charmaz, Schillmeier and Bury. Particularly relevant to this project is Rod Michalko’s (1999) phenomenological expression of ‘being at home’ with blindness and as ‘one’ with a guide dog. Phenomenology is an appropriate approach to perceiving and drawing out tales of beings in a social and unsociable world, and to reflecting the co-embodied experiences of humans and dogs doing diabetes day after day after day. However, I have not extracted and coded themes in the phenomenological tradition, nor used a formal analysis system to interpret findings. Instead I have allowed open questioning and participant narration to guide and make prominent what is significant in the events and routines of their daily lives, refraining from restricting their voluntary thoughts and comments by erecting specific theoretical boundaries.

Leslie Irvine (2012: s125) sees symbolic interactionism as an approach that centres on both ‘the creation and negotiation of meaning’, where humans actively engage in creating the social world so that ‘full immersion’ into situated interactions is required to understand sensitively the actions and creations performed. Drawing on the multiple studies by Arluke and Sanders between 1987 and 2010 as examples of significant insight into human-nonhuman animal interactions, Irvine (2012: s132) highlights the need for ‘careful listening and observation, without imposing prefabricated definitions’ in order to comprehend how meaning may be reached within multispecies relationships. She lets ‘tellers have their say’ (2013: 4-7), to relate authentic stories without insisting on verifiability, and recollects her earlier narrative concept of the self as ‘not so much a matter of people making up stories as it is of stories making up people’ (1999: 2).

A researcher, intent on discovering the significance and symbolism that chronically ill people attach to a medical alert assistance dog moving into their social spaces, necessarily embodies personal expectation and opportunity, values, boundaries and biases, all of which may have impact on, and implication for the daily lives of the two species under examination.

So inward reflection is contingent on outward data collection and such reflexivity should, as far as is humanly possible, ensure questions are not skewed to gain participant responses that may be pointedly advantageous to the research. Candea writes of a researcher’s ‘active skepticism’ and ‘the
difference between moments of engaged commitment and moments of detached non-commitment’ (2013: 431).

Reflection over the duration of this project has brought my way of living into sharper perspective: enabling recognition that interspecies beings do their lives in ways that are highly similar and entirely different to my own; that a level external surface may shield an inner dishevelment that can blow apart a harmonious existence both individually and collectively. In examining my field-notes and attempting to avoid all forms of prejudice or judgemental thinking that might inaccurately influence the research findings, it was necessary to bear in mind Haraway’s caution (1989: 245) regarding ‘interference’ of the observer with the ‘natural character of the object of knowledge that will be so important to the epistemological status of the resulting report’.

As an illustration of possible interference, the researcher was invited by participant Mel to accompany the family, including her son, Mark, and diabetes alert dog, Gemma, on a shopping trip. Thinking this to be an ideal opportunity to observe how the dog, wearing a jacket proclaiming her profession, works in a social arena, and further how members of the public view the interspecies partnership, acceptance was given immediately.

The ‘team’ meandered through the shopping mall, the child eyeing sports equipment and the dog eyeing the child. Wherever the pair moved, shoppers turned to look at the child and the red-coated dog, and I was surprised to find myself feeling proud to be sharing ‘ownership’ of their visible combined achievement and wondered what it might be like to be the mother who worked so hard to produce and preserve this ‘normal’ way of life while under public scrutiny.

To keep a safe distance from bias and to avoid the chance of loaded questioning favouring personal judgement, it was necessary to remain silent and merely observe and listen, until once more in the family’s home environment where the topic could be introduced in a more balanced context and with more thoughtful questioning. Without influencing Mel’s comments because of personal interpretation, interview questions could then become less contrived and less prejudicial to the outcome.

Within the context of the alerting dog’s relationship with the human companion, the concepts of attachment, attunement and empathy become primary means of performing their close communications. Challenging
questions need to be asked regarding the human emotions, thoughts and feelings when the dog acts in a particular way. There is a fine line between gentle probing beyond accepted social norms and an impolite questioning that may squander any achieved value from discourse between participants and researcher. Lack of forethought may ruin an honest, informative interview that might have provided a wealth of detail on canine-human symbiotic coexistence.

Despite receiving and signing informed consent documents prior to the researcher’s arrival on their doorstep for an interview, inviting a stranger over the threshold must inevitably create internal, if not external barriers for the participants. Therefore, if everyday mixed-species living behind the front-door is to be revealed fully and accurately, in descriptions and analyses that convey reliable and honest human and nonhuman perspectives, findings should also be interpreted and reflected on in ways that are sensitive to the vulnerability of the multispecies storytellers.

2.2 **Interspecies participants**

The paragraphs below give insight into the lives of the interspecies working partnerships central to this study. The dyads comprise canine actors who perform learned reactions to the particular volatile organic compounds they smell in their human companions, and provide advance warning of likely ‘hypos’.

As mentioned above, the names of the participating dogs and humans have been changed to protect identity.

2.2.1 **Sara and Apple**

Sara lost her hypo-awareness after being ill with shingles and found herself frequently falling indoors and outside at the school where she taught. She was close to losing employment as a teacher because of her frequent collapses during school classes and the resultant need for ‘time-off’ to make hurried visits to hospital Accident and Emergency departments. Such serious issues were the norm before medical detection dogs became health interventions for those humans who embody chronic illness, and who are also hypo-unaware.

After discussion with her family and with members of medical and educational institutions, she is now able to continue teaching classes with her Cockerpoo companion, Apple, in close attendance. ‘He takes it all in his stride; he’s used to 2000 pupils – kids and noise and that sort of environment doesn’t
faze him at all’. Apple was the family's first dog because Sara and her husband both work and had agreed that leaving a dog at home all day was 'not fair'; now Apple is her fulltime medical alert associate and is never left behind. Sara has learned that Apple is 'better off his lead' when other dogs have to be faced or passed on a walk: 'he'll just deal with it in his own way, he can read the dogs far better than I can, he knows when he wants to approach a dog and when he doesn’t'.

2.2.2 Terry and Jim

On arrival, I am offered coffee and sit in the sunshine that streams through the window taking up most of one wall in the sitting-room where Terry is describing the attributes of the new home into which he, Nick and Jim have recently moved. Terry has the brittle form of diabetes in which fluctuating blood sugar levels are more sudden and extreme, but he is helped constantly by Jim, his quick-witted and multi-skilled working dog. I observe his manifold abilities: several times Jim displays his olfactory alerting sensitivity to Terry; he also collects and returns items such as the pen that fell unnoticed onto the carpet and which he carefully picks up and drops into my hand; he demonstrates how he attracts the attention of someone else to his human companion’s health issues by jumping up and putting his front paws on their back (the only time he may jump up), and he fetches medical equipment when needed. 'We have a job to stop him working', claims Terry: 'we’ve had the devil of a problem when I’ve dropped a glass because you don’t want him picking up glass’, and Nick adds that if Jim hears something hit the floor, ‘he’s there – quite difficult, if you’ve dropped a sharp knife, to tell him not to pick it up. He’s watching and waiting all the time’.

Nick emphasizes their appreciation of Jim’s additional competencies:

It's not just the dog doing a job, the dog is a companion. You can say things to a dog that perhaps you couldn’t say to another person, not even to me, even though we’ve been together for 20-odd years. A dog keeps you on your toes, keeps you thinking, keeps your mind active.

The 'working' dogs of these pages condense the 'piece of equipment' and 'close companion' into one identity, one which might successfully fall into the category incorporating moral virtue as envisaged by Goode (2007: 110-114) and accepted by Michalko (1999: 73-74) (see also section 9.8).

2.2.3 Richard and Higgins
When I first meet Richard, who lives and works in an urban environment, he tells me that he has never shared home-life with a canine companion either when a child or as an adult, and he is awaiting the arrival of Higgins, his future diabetes alert dog, with some excitement and slight trepidation. He tells me that Higgins stayed with him for several days as a form of ‘practice run’ to see how they interacted and to find out whether Higgins would settle comfortably into Richard’s way of life.

He fitted in very well, was much liked by everyone and wasn’t a problem in any way. I took him to a jazz restaurant and we sat at the front and he was absolutely fine.

Eighteen months later, we meet again, this time in the company of Higgins, who is small and who now lives permanently with Richard, who is tall. Their difference in height seems not to have prevented the formation of a close interspecies bond and Higgins’ alerts have already prevented Richard having to call out emergency services on several occasions.

We walk through a city park active with children, dogs and bicycles where Higgins runs free from leash restriction, sniffing tree roots and other items that call for investigation. He dashes back and forth, ears flapping and tail seeming to rotate and propel with undisguised joyfulness. Richard is relieved that he is ‘very responsive to the Recall’ and he uses a whistle to gain Higgins’ attention if he has gone out of ‘earshot’ or is distracted by the park’s plentiful squirrel population.

In the park he sometimes alerts Richard by taking ‘a running jump at my legs’ but ‘normally he will stare at me or scrabble at my legs’. The latter method would not be appreciated or accepted as good behaviour by the majority of trainers or human partners of assistance dogs, but the ‘scrabbling’ appears not to be painful or irritating to Richard and seems to be an appropriate alert for them both. While we talk, Higgins performs an alert in that exact manner and Richard checks his blood sugar levels. ‘Yes, I’m 6.5 and he knows that it’s coming down as it was 16.5 mmol/l, a lot higher, at breakfast a couple of hours ago. It’s going down but not to the level where I need to have a jelly-babies boost’.

Arriving at a people-packed café – it is raining hard outside – Higgins again performs as Richard described earlier. He paws at the trousered knee and stares fixedly at his face so Richard checks his blood glucose levels again,
expecting a lowered figure as breakfast carbohydrates will have been burned off
during the ambulatory interview. Higgins receives praise, a treat and a gently-
ruffled coat. ‘If his alert is incorrect, I shake my head and he understands that’.

Adam Miklósi (2009: 186) reports that barking is ‘often observed as a
means for communicating with humans, and according to Boitani and Ciucci
humans respond to the wide range of dogs’ high- and low-pitched, short and
long, single and repetitive, yelps, growls, barks and howls, can demonstrate
simple vocal communication between species. In alert-signalling barks, the
sound must be decisive and dissimilar to the one emitted to announce visitors,
to frighten intruders or to demonstrate the dog’s own fear of someone or
something.

Although an alert has to be a sound or movement that is natural to the
dog and easy to perform, Richard was given opportunity, during initial training at
the centre, to choose whether he would like his future partner to alert vocally. ‘I
said, from a practical point of view, I would rather he didn’t bark, particularly if
he needed to alert in the middle of the night’.

And, as mentioned by Bradshaw (2012), timing of the reward for
accurate alerting is essential in maintaining or improving quality and quantity of
correct warning signals.

Richard had previously collapsed at work on more than one occasion. He
recounted having hypos at least three times at work and, while attending a
friend’s party, an ambulance and paramedics being called ‘because no-one
there knew what to do to help me’.

When I’m occupied at work, I won’t think of testing and sometimes
the pressure of what you’re doing, particularly if you’re involved
with a lot of other people; you’re distracted by responding to them.
But Higgins warns me all the time, and the environment doesn’t
matter; he just picks up.

At the beginning, there was a transition from my breath in the
sample pots used for scenting training to recognising the real
thing. It was a learning curve for him and I did wonder if he was
able to alert correctly because on several occasions he missed,
and I would still do a routine check.

Tangentially, Franklin (2006: 142) draws attention to ‘vocal expressions between cats and
humans’. Cats do not meow to each other, he contends, and are ‘largely mute in their dealing
with each other in the wild, but they seem to have learned of the significance of vocalization
between humans, and the fact that humans vocalize to them’.
However, he seems to have sharpened up in the last year or so. It probably took him three or four months to settle in and make the transition from scent pots to scenting the change in blood sugar levels in me.

Flying to a wedding abroad may not usually be considered work, but for Higgins, flying has become an essential element of his working practice. After a trial flight to Scotland with his trainer and Richard, during which he slept unperturbed, he then flew to Ireland for the wedding, attending the church service at Richard’s side:

The sound of the plane’s engines and the air pressure seemed to deaden other sounds and his ears weren’t affected, nor did he seem uncomfortable. Higgins has his own passport now and, after going by car for a summer holiday in south-west England, we then flew to France for three weeks. We also took the train to Wales.

2.2.4 Paul and Nero

I am completing the primary interview with Paul, who is on holiday not far from where I live and who has invited me to spend time with Labrador Nero and his human family. As we discuss the quotient of successful canine alerts predictive of dropping blood sugar levels, I wonder aloud if he is happy just having Nero as a companion, regardless of his alerting prowess. Paul blows out his cheeks ‘well, 90% of the time’ and laughs. ‘There are days..., but having him gets me out, it gets me walking. I used to love walking and I still do’, so they generally leave home, linked by a leash, and walk for about an hour every morning, and 30 minutes to an hour in the evening.

On a later occasion, one of the Medical Detection Dogs’ trainers is visiting Nero and his companions, Paul and Natasha, at their home and I listen to their discussion. Paul relates that Nero is not at all ‘forceful’ and his alerts are very subtle, but that as soon as ‘we’ve done the bloods, he goes mad for it, but prior to that he’s very subtle’. Asked what form the alerts take, Paul responds:

- paw, that’s his main one, although he does nudge occasionally, but as I say, I’ve got hardly any feeling and the rest of it, so I can’t really notice the nudge – then it’s mostly Natasha saying ‘oh, he’s alerting’.

During my initial visit, Natasha drew my attention to Nero’s subtle ways of alerting:

- Wait, see what he’s just done, he’s come here and he’s got something in his mouth; he’s laid down and he’s gone (she demonstrates Nero’s inward breath; he draws in air very slightly
more obvious than in a normal inspiration of air). Quite often, that's his way of alerting, but if you didn't know that, you wouldn't clock it. He doesn't just come in and go oo, oo, ooh (and she illustrates pawing), which is what a lot of the other dogs do, but he gets really waggy.

2.2.5 Janet and Alfie

Janet was diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes at the age of four and when attending primary school, had a ‘hypo’ that was observed by her classmates, who cruelly mocked her as ‘a diabetic spastic’. Many years later after completing her education and following employment, Janet explains the excitement and scepticism felt by herself and her mother when attending their first three-day training session with diabetes alert Labrador, Alfie.

He actually did his first alert when we were having coffee in a supermarket; he was lying under the table, settled really well, when I started to feel pawing on my foot and I said to the trainer, do you think he wants to go out for a wee or something? She said why don’t you do a blood test and I was like okay – and I was hypo. So you want to believe it but you think does he really pick it up that quickly or was it just fluke? It was a real sort of mix of emotions because you really want to believe that he was doing it so quickly but at the same time, you’re thinking maybe he just wanted to go out.

Janet explains that once she was shortlisted for a dog, she sent scent samples to the centre ‘so they had about 50 of my pots taken when my blood sugars were low so he had been trained a bit on what my scent was like, but it’s obviously a lot stronger in person than in the samples’.

That first alert occurred on training day two, with the second on the following night when they reached home and the young Labrador had gone ‘exploring’ while Janet told her family about the training.

All of a sudden he picked up my blood test kit and gave it to me...’are you telling me to do it?’ And he was right again, and it was like okay (she laughs, with the sense of recognition and relief still evident in her voice) considering it was his first night in a new home with new people and everything...and it just went from there.

Janet recalls Alfie’s first night alert after about four months of living with her:

He was whining by my bed so I took him outside but he came straight back in...as soon as I switched off my light, he was crying again and jumped up on the bed and this doesn’t normally happen - ‘yes, we’re talking about you, Alfie’ (the dog appears to hide his
head under the sofa cushions) – and the penny sort of dropped, like maybe I should do a blood test...and I was 3.something.

Janet talks, as do others with chronic illness diagnoses, of suffering severe bouts of depression and relates how she feels she might not have got through ‘those really hard times, if he wasn’t there’:

You get home in the evening and have a cuddle ... and it really brought home to me just...how I depend on him on so many levels.

So I ask whether she feels the charity is correct in wanting to spend time matching dogs and humans:

Yeah, whenever I’ve spoken to people who’ve been on the (MDD) waiting list and have got frustrated because it’s taking so long, I’ve said ‘you don’t want to be put with just any dog because the dog’s got to want to help you.

It’s not like a Guide Dog who has visual prompts, you know, the dog sees a kerb and knows to sit and wait. These dogs don’t have that so they’ve got to want to do it (alert) for you and that’s where the bond is so important – because if that’s not there, then they’re not going to want to do what you need them to do.

Michalko (1999: 149) also writes of the human-assistance dog bond's significance:

...the bond binds not only dog and person, it also binds the species, breed, training, and personality necessary for success into the ‘team’. The idea of the bond is an essential feature of dog guide training as well. In fact, the presupposed ability of dogs to bond to people and vice versa is one reason why dogs are used for guiding. Dog guide trainers also work with the assumption that a dog guide will only work well with a blind person if the two are bonded.

As well as her human relatives, Janet shares the family home with Alfie and two cats: ‘the tabby is best mates with Alfie, and as soon as they see each other, they rub noses. In the evening when I take Alfie for a walk, the tabby follows us’.

After several successful years of fulltime employment in the health professions, Janet became hypo-unaware and was no longer able to fulfil her work commitments. She has recently given birth to her first child in hospital, and with the support of her health care team, Alfie was permitted to stay in the ward for the days preceding the baby’s arrival so as to maintain pre-hypo alerting and to ensure neither member of the partnership suffered additional anxiety or stress in the absence of the other.
2.2.6 Tina and Harley

Tina was diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes nearly 30 years ago at a time when she was moving into her own home. To pay the mortgage she had fulltime employment and two casual jobs but her parents would often ‘find me in comas and I’d have been there for three or four hours at a time’. After their deaths, Tina and her four-month-old black Labrador puppy, Harley, were taken into sheltered housing – ‘when you’re in your late forties, it’s the last thing you want’.

In desperation, she searched online, found and applied to Medical Detection Dogs. Her consultant and doctor were supportive because every other option was ‘dead’. She had been offered a transplant where a living cell is placed in the pancreas; ‘because my pancreas is dead, it would mean after two years, I’d come back to being a diabetic again’ ostensibly with hypo-awareness. However, because Tina had had side effects from long-acting drugs for a previous illness, the planned transplant failed. Harley then received training in hypo-detection at the Medical Detection Dogs charity so that he could become Tina’s fulltime alert assistant:

He alerts me to my highs, he alerts me to my lows, he also alerts me if I’m dropping quickly which I tend to do. I can be in the 20s and then drop to nothing.

Now I can catch a bus, come to work, go shopping, go on holiday, go into hotels, go out for meals, do anything.

2.2.7 Mel and Gemma

They call the dogs who work with the children ‘Team Dogs’ because they work as part of the group with the adult who cares for the child. So the dog forms part of that team; the dog and the child don’t work on their own like an adult partnership would. They call them ‘Team Dogs’ because the families are very much involved.

Mel’s son, Mark, was diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes at the age of three, and has benefitted from the assistance given by Gemma, the family’s canine companion, now trained in hypo-alerting by MDD instructors. Mel describes Gemma’s alerting practices:

She’s amazing at night. She sleeps on the bed with him and if there’s a problem, she comes from his bedroom doorway to mine. If I’m only sleeping lightly, then I’ll hear her claws coming across the floorboards and she’ll greet me with the meter in her mouth. But if I don’t wake up when she does that, she goes back into his room, comes back through our doorway, goes back into his room,
comes out again and goes back – and then she barks. That seems to be the pattern.

Terry and Nick believe the charity focus on youth is directed towards: children with Type 1 when having a dog is fun, rather than on teenagers who want to be part of their own group and don’t want anything to do with adults because we don’t know anything. Most diabetic teenagers don’t want to be different, don’t want to be diabetic so if you tell them something is for their diabetes, they don’t want to know. Rather let them find out for themselves. We suggest adults give them the MDD website and let teenagers do the research. Let them find out a bit more for themselves; don’t ram the idea down their throats.

Multiple articles relating to Type 1 diabetes and adolescents, for example Lewandowski and Drotar (2007), detail the difficulties faced by teenagers in keeping to routines of diet and insulin when frolicking hormones unsettle all efforts at stability.

Enthusiasm and gratitude for the dogs’ efforts pervade every conversation held with participants. It appears understandable that the dogs are creatures, like humans, who cannot be perfect all the time, but any missed alert or failure to return immediately when called, does not call for criticism of the dog; it is considered more likely to be a failure to act swiftly or accurately on the part of the human partner. Quite refreshing when so often nonhuman animals are ‘blamed’ (see Ritvo, 1987; Irvine, 2003) for human error.

Conversing with MDD instructor, Gill, en route to a shopping mall where, on behalf of MDD, she will observe Paul and Nero working together in public, I learn how necessary it is for the MDD staff to explain clearly how the charity’s partnerships evolve so that members of the public can comprehend the variety and amount of training and encouragement that goes into ‘producing’ an accredited diabetes alert dog:

We try to say to people that it’s a partnership, that it’s a two-way thing, so there’s a lot of work on both sides. It’s not easy, especially for people who have never lived in close proximity to a dog - the dog is with you 24/7, going everywhere with you, and you have to plan. You can’t just pop to the shops any more, you have to think what you need for yourself and for the dog, and obviously public attention is going to be centred on the dog when you’re out.

People want to talk to you about the dog so we try to say to clients that everything will take much longer [than they would expect]; and the biggest thing that we’re trying to put across at the moment
is that there’s a lot of work still to be done. Things go on in people’s lives, things that don’t always go according to plan.

She believes that people have high expectations of the dogs’ capabilities because of the increased media coverage of the charity and the work of the detection dogs, but are unaware of the amount of work going on behind the scenes ‘even down to keeping records of every blood test the clients do’.

We realise it’s a lot of work but it’s vital for us to be able to see anything going on. The computer program we’ve got is fantastic; to be able to input the client-recorded details and see the percentage of successful alerts given by the dogs. But we can also see if there are problems occurring and there’s a lot we can do on there to try and find out if there are any trends, if there’s a reason for the problem, if it’s a particular time in the day – it’s things like that that are so helpful.

The year is 2015 and the charity has 60 working partnerships and a further 20 dogs in training. When the dogs are placed with a diabetic client, there will be a weekly visit from the trainers in the early months to ensure the smooth-running of the care practices and the comfort of both individuals when living in such close proximity. Thereafter, contact between home assessment sessions is maintained by telephone or email, or visits to the centre are arranged either as individual partnerships or to meet other clients at social events or refresher courses.

A young dog still undergoing training accompanies the instructor and we join Paul, Natasha and Nero in the shopping complex. Despite his impaired vision, Paul is able to navigate stairs, lifts and crowded shops by following Nero’s lead. We move through a pet accessories and nutrition shop to see if the dogs become distracted by toys, bags of food and any possibly diverting smells. The younger dog occasionally turns her head to see what lies on the shelves but keeps walking. Nero walks slightly ahead of Paul and is never distracted, despite swinging shopping bags, children shouting and open displays of dog chews and treats. He lies down under a restaurant table and appears to relax, but his eyes are constantly trained on Paul’s legs; I am told that, although calm, he won’t really settle and sleep until they return home because of the need to alert either when items on restaurant menus are eaten without carb-counting, or when environmental stresses rapidly change Paul’s blood glucose levels.

The diabetic alert dogs are fully appreciated by the individuals with health issues whom they assist, but whether the people they meet socially are able to
recognise and comprehend the degree of assistance available from and given by another species, is less certain, since so much of their sensory work is invisible to public observation. The similarity is striking in terms of the invisibility of the two minority groups here: there is rare public recognition of the immensity of the dogs' sensory assistance nor, in general, is there social awareness of the human partners' chronic illness and inabilities. Sara's earlier comment (page 37) on the invisibility of diabetes comes to mind: 'it's a very hidden disability, isn't it'.

2.3 Social sensitivity and self-reflexivity

Before reviewing published literature relating to chronic illness and canine history and domestication, further discussion of methodology follows regarding the need for social sensitivity and self-reflexivity when attempting to understand interspecies respondents' behaviours and communications.

Existential anthropologist Michael Jackson (2012: 179) encourages researchers to employ 'social sensitivity' to the people who accept them 'into their households and everyday lives'. This is an essential element of fieldwork interview procedure, particularly when conversing with people affected by chronic illness who share their households with medical alert assistance dogs. These inter-species partnerships are vulnerable to the complexities of the focal illness but also to society’s views and judgements on their lives as co-existing members of a cooperative team.

Their relationships with a researcher are dependent on their own personalities, experiences, tolerance of pain, or frustration-with-life-as-it-is; they are also reliant on the researcher’s efforts to question with empathy, to listen actively and passively, to act and react with appropriate language, mannerisms and consideration. Madden (2014: 279-280) refers to Haraway (2008: 27, 63) in contending that ‘animals are co-constituting, co-evolving, active, and reactive agents in the formation of social relationships with humans’ and seem fully deserving of a researcher’s mindful efforts to understand their ways of behaving, to perceive their emotion, their reasoning, their actions and reactions to mutual coexistence with a chronically-unwell human.

Human and canine perceptions are liable to vary according to genetics and environment, so conducting fieldwork among partnerships of more than one species involved in health and illness performances, requires sense and sensitivity. Humans and nonhuman animals considered to be in good health are
still subject to changes in mood and behaviour, so those plagued by the complications of chronic illness or by enforced placement in a human household, may not always live in an imagined harmony.

‘Ideally’, Jackson contends, ‘one’s writing shows how understandings emerge from the space between people – a space of conversation, negotiation and encounter, that switches unpredictably between accord and discord, attunement and disharmony’ (2012: 174). So this study endeavours to write of everyday encounters and attempts to reveal the shifting understandings that emerge from spaces between the researcher and the human-canine dyads immured within the boundaries of chronic illness.

It is hoped that the physical, and sometimes emotional, mutualistic practices of care, supported or negated by the diverse theories referenced throughout the text, will embody the concept of symbiotic ethics itself – theory informing practice as practice informs theory – and assist in further developing the role to be played by empirical research in bioethics as delineated in Lucy Frith’s ‘practical research methodology for the inclusion of data from social science studies into ethical deliberation’ (2012: 198). Ethical theory, she suggests, ‘is useful for criticizing practices and guarding against any serious contraventions of particular principles, for example, liberty or equality’ (2012: 205). Not insisting that her approach and methodology should replace others, she assigns it to a bioethicist’s expanding tool kit as additional equipment; perhaps an instrument that can add value, lying in useful proximity to the ranks of health tools and animate instruments contained in the biomedical cabinet.

Reflexivity enables retention and explication of emotional contact between researcher and participants in the study. Participants have shown willing acceptance of this investigation into their interspecies ‘families’ so close observation can provide objective and subjective considerations of the advantages and disadvantages created and enacted by the nonhuman assistant who establishes/is given an identifiable place/space in the home.

2.4 Trans-species communication

Those who have a trained alert dog to assist in the performing of their care treatments, in the ‘doing’ of their medical practices, may consider what the dog’s active, agentic body needs to do to survive within their human environment, how their own agency might act to enrich and improve canine options in their mutual life-sharing, and, being co-embodied in this
biopsychosocial context, what these interspecies performers can achieve in symbiotic partnership.

Examples of human insight into close human-canine relationships are to be found in David Goode’s *Playing with my dog Katie* (2007), an ethnomethodological portrayal of the importance of play in enhancing interspecies communication. ‘What I wanted to do was show the observable, intrinsic events of play as they appeared to and were done by Katie and me’, Goode (2007: 156) concludes, describing his endeavours to study and analyse their everyday events - Garfinkel’s (2002) ‘lived orders’ - which occurred at specific times and places. Ken Shapiro (1990: 186) sources his insights and reflections from watching and interacting in play with his dog, Sabaka. According to Goode (2007: 137), Shapiro’s intention is to understand ‘the subjective experience of the other’, whereas Goode’s objective is to obtain data and demonstrate ‘detailed practices’.

People talk of ‘my friend’, ‘my child’, ‘my love’, when addressing their assistant nonhuman companions, and the personal pronoun triggers a sense of ownership and possession, but not of an alien object or being. Rather the ‘my’ appears to signify trust and concern, a ‘belonging together’ by the human and an effort to gain and demonstrate insight into a shared identity. As Czerny (2012: 10) claims, a ‘sense of responsibility’ seems necessary for pro-active assistance in the dog’s negotiation of a human *umwelt* (Von Uexküll, 2010), the subjective environment that is continually experienced by an organism.

But Deleuze and Guattari (2007: 40) look at the other side of the coin and consider that ‘individuated animals, family pets, sentimental, Oedipal animals each with its own petty history, “my” cat, “my dog”...invite us to regress, draw us into a narcissistic contemplation, and they are the only kind of animal psychoanalysis understands, the better to discover a daddy, a mommy, a little brother behind them’. Whether ‘motherese’, narcissism, or simple pronouns of affection, the result is interspecies communication of a positive, if imagined, kind.

Cassidy (2002: 135-136) ponders the ‘consistent personalisation of ties between humans and particular horses that blurred the category distinction made between humans and horses’ on the stud where she conducted fieldwork. ‘The use of categories usually restricted to humans, such as ‘maiden’, ‘mummy’, ‘baby’... reflects the propensity of those who work on studs to imagine their lives
through horses and horses’ lives. Leslie Irvine (2004: 175-176), talking of selfhood, suggests that

people who work with and care for others who cannot speak will readily acknowledge the selfhood within. Friends and caregivers will ‘speak for’ the mute, the autistic, the brain-injured, the Alzheimer’s patient, and the severely retarded. The same takes place, albeit with a few differences, between people and animals. If we look for selfhood in interaction, we will see it even without language.

Similar category blurring occurs within the human-dog teams where participants, for example, Natasha and Mel, speak of the dogs as family without hesitation, and Tina believes her co-existence with Harley provides the two of them with a single shared identity. Their inter-species dialogues may on occasion become more familiar, more intimate, than those held among human family members, and imagined responses are vocalised by the human partner in order to activate and progress the interspecies conversation.

Cassidy’s ‘consistent personalisation of ties between humans and particular horses’ is further reflected in social media where companion dogs are given their personal ‘Facebook’ identities and converse on their ‘pages’ in the words and phrases thought appropriate to them by their human guardians. They may comment on their human companion’s dialogue in humorous or ironic terms, send photographs or describe events in their daily lives. In this way, dialogical species boundaries fade as communication crosses barriers between individuals and their social networks, nationally and often, internationally, when ‘friends’ of the dog post messages to him or her which may be read aloud by human voices, and replies concocted with appeal to the dog for imagined comment.

But challenges face ethnographers who wish to take animals ‘seriously as social beings and ethnographic subjects’. Raymond Madden (2014: 285), like Jackson, considers researchers should act with sensitivity to participants’ ways of being in society and in the world so as to achieve and communicate inter-subjective trust, ‘the paragon quality one wants in ethnographic social exchange’. Despite our willing determination to become embroiled and entangled in the so-called ‘messiness’ of life, Madden contends that it is also ‘the most ill-defined and difficult’ (2014: 285) quality to achieve in ethnographic communication.
Allowing a subjective absorption of emotion, as well as objective observation and necessary detachment, has been fruitful in providing greater comprehension of Type 1 diabetes and the multiple side-effects and complications that also require performances of care. These complexities can lead to severe dysfunction in mobility and sensory perception, and to physiological alteration in those encumbered by chronic illness.

Doing the daily routines of assessment, measure and control necessary to balance blood glucose levels and carbohydrate absorption, and performing the external behaviours (doing tests and recording what, why and when they have done, are doing and will do) requires physical and mental work, intense concentration and a need for internal and external self-knowledge. Observing the length of time which respondent, Paul, spent enacting these routines, the question arose ‘how much of the day do you spend thinking about the illness?’

The answer was thoughtful:

It’s probably on your mind 24 hours a day or all the time you’re awake. If you think about dinner, you’d just put something on; but I first have to think about what I’m going to eat, how much I’m going to inject. It may only take 15 minutes but when you sit down to eat, you think I’ve got to eat all of this, and then if you don’t, you think I’ve missed that out so that’s left me short on eggs, so then you’ve got to get something else to fill the gap.

And this calmly-spoken statement fails to encompass the depth and breadth of the causes and effects of life with Type 1 diabetes and its conjoined emotional upheaval. Extracts from Paul’s narrative, given during fieldwork observation, reveal many of Type 1 diabetes’ complex, and sometimes confusing, requirements, and detail the necessary ‘juggling’ of carbohydrate to suit the moment. ‘Carbs and cals’ interactions when consumed, can be highly effective or completely ineffective, depending on the rate of absorption, the speed of blood glucose levels’ rise or fall, the items being consumed, current ‘mood’ and the variety of contextual settings.

Each person with chronic illness is unique within and without so a diet suiting one diabetic, for example, may lead to an increase in hypoglycaemic episodes in another; one type of energy drink may increase blood sugar levels almost instantly for some, but make no difference whatsoever for others. Discovering similarities and differences in such care practices may afford assistance to ‘newcomers’ with Type 1 diabetes who are likely overwhelmed by
the enormity of their diagnosis, and to parents shocked to learn of their infant’s seeming lifetime medical ‘sentence’.

Throughout the project, participant narratives expand detail and exemplify their shared lives, giving commentary on the advantages and disadvantages of mutualistic practices of care. With emotion and knowledge relating to chronic illness being embodied in both participants and researcher, the narrative gains from insight into the body as subject and as object, into the interconnectedness and engagement of the two species, and as Mol and Law (2004: 4) have suggested, into ‘the body we do’ to avoid a hypo.

Despite apparent general scepticism at the outset, or lack of knowledge about the possibility of nonhuman animals detecting symptoms of cancer and forewarning of hypoglycaemia in people with Type 1 diabetes, the charity at the centre of this study has persisted in extending research into innovative canine medical detection of human illness through reward-based training methods and bio-techno-scientific investigation.

The possibility of ethical bias or other implication accruing from alignment with the charity 'helping' these research participants agitated my thinking as, in my first year of research, I spent several months acting as a regional volunteer explaining the charity's work to members of the public. However, living far from the MDD training centre, I was unable to observe the close interaction taking place there among trainers, dogs, and clients who fulfilled the criteria making them eligible for a medical alert assistance dog.

During 2015, I was offered the opportunity to join the organisation to provide occasional home checks and received training from an instructor in how to assess prospective clients' homes and home-life so as to ensure the future comfort and welfare of an alert dog. Such visits require observation not only of family members, all of whom are invited to join in conversations with their own questions and comments, but also of the amount of space indoors and out for the dog to move around safely, the number of nonhuman family member with whom the dog would have social interaction, whether a dog could easily navigate stairs or outside steps, whether garden fencing/hedging would keep a large or small dog within the garden and other dogs, out, where the alerting dog would sleep and eat, the home's neighbourhood, amount of traffic and parking facilities, safe places for a dog to run free, and the proximity of a veterinary surgery.
The introductory home visit I undertook with an MDD instructor and her dog-in-training did not involve the consenting participants of this project, so the school-going child who has Type 1 diabetes and who enjoys the close companionship of several nonhuman animals, including a dog, chickens, fish, rabbits and a budgerigar, is not identified here and was not interviewed.

However, in listening and observing, the visit opened my eyes to the amount of detailed information needed in order to match a trained diabetes alert assistance dog to a human partner so that both enjoy a safe and supportive home environment. Although it has not yet been necessary to undertake a home assessment visit in the region where I live, I have been offered access to further education directed at MDD staff and volunteers which includes instruction in aspects of health and safety, methods of transporting humans and dogs safely and legally, and means to ensure the safety and protection of myself and others, all of which have increased my awareness of the need for high levels of care knowledge and interpretation when working with adults, children and dogs, beyond the categories of illness, linguistic ability, age or species.

As a result of participation in and observation of procedures, conversations and interactions among the charity’s staff, clients and working dogs, and the lack of pressure to bend my research to any specified norms or conventions held by MDD, it has been possible to maintain a consciousness of my ‘self’ in the world of ‘other selves’, to become aware of the possibilities and benefits of a mutually-directed empathy, and to take an inter-subjective view of the diabetes alert dog’s ‘doing’ of practices in response to the trainer’s recommendations, and later, to the client’s health behaviours.

Broadening the scope of the study to include relevant already-published research brings focus onto the necessary interdisciplinarity of studies involving the health and welfare of multispecies populations. Academic research into the advantages of multispecies interactions improving human health and wellbeing has surged in recent years both quantitatively and qualitatively. Literature sources reviewed for this study are derived principally from the disciplines of anthrozoology, anthropology and the sociology of health and illness, but those of philosophy, psychology and other disciplines related to human and nonhuman animal welfare, play contributory roles that support or explain narrative content communicated by participants.
3 Review of historical and contemporary literature

In the recent past, anthrozoology has ‘prioritized’ (Hurn, 2010: 27) the human-observed aspects of trans-species interactions, objectifying the nonhuman animals under focus. But today’s researchers are more likely to observe and accept nonhuman animals as ‘social actors in their own right’ and, like us, as do-ers of their own thing. Further, compassion and empathy may now be incorporated in human endeavour to take up, as far as possible, the nonhuman’s sensory perspectives so as to see, hear, smell, taste, touch, aspects of their worlds in order to better comprehend them and those who inhabit them, what they do and how they re-act, how they impact their environments and experience their surroundings.

Participant observation and shared experience, conducted through empathic spoken or unspoken communication, enable research to take place in homes and habitats, both wild and domestic. Living-with, even ‘becoming-with’, companion animals as friends and assistants, invites new perceptions of what it might mean to be ‘an animal’, with reference to Ingold’s (1994 [1988]) question: ‘What is an animal?’

Turning to the sociology of health and illness, quality of life has previously appeared dependent on how long a passive patient would live before death occurred; a decision being based on observed and diagnosed symptoms of illness. This was a highly objective approach that failed to acknowledge any psychological effects that such an illness might have - nor the social consequences that it might produce - on the patient, their families, friends and colleagues and certainly not on any resident family cat or dog. A seemingly simple inability to provide meals for family members, including cats or dogs, could cause physical hunger in the home, fatigue, changes in mood and result in possible antisocial behaviour. However, a contemporary perspective pinpoints a more active and thinking patient, one who requires knowledge and skills to improve their own physical, psychological and social way of being, alongside proffered medical treatments. In this instance, a diabetic individual may gain beneficial information from the sensory assistance afforded by trained medical alert dogs.

Schillmeier’s (2014) research into the cosmopolitics of illness highlights contemporary societal diseases such as dementia that cause overwhelming and damaging costs to personal and social lives and to the economy. Obesity is
currently a problem affecting human adults and infants alike, and has become so severe an issue that many, in particular ‘Western’, countries now require government interventions to reduce its effect on the health and welfare of their societies. Similarly, obesity and its close companion, diabetes, have affected domestic animals living in human homes so that both species may require medical intervention and insulin donation. Rock and Degeling (2013) explicate the impact of companion animals on human health in the light of personhood, property, and municipal or council by-laws. They suggest that investigation into ‘socio-ecological ethics in public health’ (2013: 494) and multispecies interactions might encourage quality of life improvement for both companion animals and their human counterparts living in urban societies.

Prevalence figures for 2015 state that more than 3.5 million people in the UK are known to have been diagnosed with diabetes (Diabetes UK, November 2015) and more than half-a-million adults and children are estimated to have undiagnosed symptoms of this life-changing illness.

Type 2 diabetes can be partially attributed to obesity and lifestyle behaviours and allows ‘room for improvement’ in health by the individuals themselves. Type 2 diabetes is the condition generally understood as ‘diabetes’ by society, whereas juvenile-onset or insulin-dependent Type 1 diabetes is a ‘silent’, lesser known chronic illness, and occurs when the immune system views its own cells as alien, resulting in destruction of the body’s insulin-producing beta cells in the pancreas.

From the onset of a Type 1 diabetes diagnosis, care practices and practitioners infiltrate the life of the ‘patient’; whether nurse or physician, parent or pharmacist, nutritionist or neurologist, weighing and measuring, pricking fingers and testing blood, teacher, work colleague, friend or paramedic – care is given of necessity by individuals and communities to improve ways of doing life with this form of diabetes.

Research by Annemarie Mol (2008) has influenced this study insofar as her intentions of writing to ‘articulate the specificities of good care’ within the parameters of diabetes ‘so that we may talk about it’ (2008: 2), will hopefully resonate effectively in this examination of care practices conducted within the mutual co-existences of medical alert assistance dogs and their human companions with Type 1 diabetes. And in resonating, will inform members of society who may currently lack knowledge of symptoms, side effects and
treatments for this complex illness, and may be unaware of the efficient interspecies collaboration that can prevent the unpleasant effects of hypoglycaemia.

The illness condition, Type 1 diabetes, has as yet no cure or clinical treatment to prevent its development, so innovative procedures to improve self-care practices and strategies, augmenting lifestyle management, are crucial requirements for day-to-day living and for the social integration of individuals with this illness who may have to endure a lifetime of specialised behaviours. How these care strategies are embodied in individual and collective health practices and routines is learned from observing the daily activities and behaviours of people with Type 1 diabetes who share their lives with medical alert assistance dogs, and by listening to their significant personal narratives.

This canine-human symbiotic relationship stems from a recently developed, non-invasive procedure to benefit people with Type 1 diabetes. The collaborative multispecies process incorporates the dog’s acute sense of smell in detecting extreme fluctuations in blood glucose levels, symptoms which may fail to be recognised as needing urgent attention by the individual with Type 1 diabetes, but which may result in serious and severe reactions.

Unlike the participants with Type 1 diabetes in Mol and Law’s 2004 study, respondents active in this research have, over their life-times, lost awareness of symptoms and the embodied ability to sense an approaching hypoglycaemic crisis. When all educational attempts and medical encouragements to continue feeling or sensing symptoms of an imminent hypo fail, the chronically ill person may, on frequent occasions, become dizzy, lose environmental awareness and sense of location or situation, may collapse, and without human assistance to call an ambulance, fall into a potentially-fatal coma.

However, the humans with Type 1 diabetes participating in this study are able to rely on a canine ‘stand-in’ for an embodied sensation. Canine olfactory acuity perceives what the human fails to recognise, and inter-corporeal sensitivity maintains an advance warning system to prevent a hypoglycaemic episode or ‘hypo’. Dogs are trained to act on their olfactory detection of changes in the diabetic’s odour signature in order to alert the individual so that blood sugar levels can be tested, insulin dosages can be adjusted and medical treatment measures enacted appropriately. This forewarning by the dog can
avoid the previously-frequent need to call for emergency medical services and prevent collapse into unconsciousness and hospitalisation.

Canine olfaction and nasal architecture, accuracy in naso-sensory detection and differentiation, the co-embodied care practices performed by the dog-human partnership and the doing of interactive behaviours that impact on better management and resultant extension of life, are topics for further discussion. The concept of dogs as animate instruments, as biomedical resources in the Type 1 diabetes medical kitbag, is also examined and interpreted while incorporating the views expressed in the narratives spoken by human participants in this research.

3.1 Examining research

Literature investigating the advantages of animal-human interactions in the context of health and wellness has increased nationally and internationally over the past 50 years. Levinson (1969), Fine (2010), Friedmann, Son and Tsai (2010), and Wilson and Turner (1998), are among inter-disciplinary researchers whose work is prominent among well-cited studies. Lynette Hart draws attention to the value of ‘pet’ animals in enhancing human quality of life ‘that can stem an unravelling decline into disability or disease’, but adds the significant rider: ‘they only rarely offer a pathway to curing disease’ (2010: 63).

While trained medical alert assistance dogs are regarded both as working dogs and as companion animals, there is no pretence that they can provide a cure for diabetes. However, their exceptional olfactory prescience can dramatically enhance quality of life, and enable the provision of an acceptable and more secure standard of living it, when viewed from both medical and societal standpoints. Disenfranchisement because of disability frequently isolates the chronically ill, so the company of a dog bolsters safety in public venues while aiding social integration, and shores up self-confidence in knowing the dog can act promptly in bringing medical assistance and equipment when required.

Many disciplines now remark both the value of animal models for human behaviours and reaction to illness (Blackburn-Monro, 2004; Shanks, Greek and Greek, 2007), as well as the improvement in health to be gained from animal-assisted activities and therapy (Fine, 2010). Riding for the Disabled (RDA) is one well established and widely recognised association which helps riders of all ages to improve balance and muscle strength. Admittedly, this is an
anthropocentric perspective which sees the sociability of human-equine interaction within a group also facilitating integration into communities, particularly if the riders have medically-recognised difficulties that might include ADHD (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder), or impaired coordination, vision or hearing.

The regular movement of the horse beneath the rider, and the safety offered by the human companions walking on either side of the interspecies partnership, allow at least a short-term mutual coexistence. A union bounded by care and empathy from the volunteers towards the horse and the rider, and perhaps from the horse silently communicating with the (usually) young rider in the saddle. I once observed children of the RDA carefully balancing beanbags on their heads as they sang 'twinkle, twinkle, little star' to the blue skies of Africa while 'racing' their ponies as fast as the helpers could walk. Certainly they gave an impression of enjoyment that seemed mirrored in the human and nonhuman animal assistants, despite the bridle perhaps evidencing one of Ingold's 'tools of coercion' (2000: 307). Horses and ponies retired from competition work are likely candidates for donation to animal-assisted activities (Chandler, 2005: 31) just as many human retirees volunteer to assist in charity work after completing their working careers. Both may be indirectly coerced into 'helping'; the human helpers finding purpose and satisfaction in being among communities of like-minded individuals and the horses are not seen to 'kick against the traces', nor to dislike the mixed-species companionship. Horses live naturally in herds, and humans, for the most part, dislike social isolation.

Another 'use' of therapy animals has benefitted individuals who are affected by autism spectrum disorders (Grandin, Fine and Bowers, 2010). And the global employment of assistance dogs to support people with physical and mental impairments has increased substantially in recent years, for example, the non-profit UK organisation, Dogs for Good, which changed its name from Dogs for the Disabled in October 2015, provides accredited assistance dogs to people with impaired physical mobility.

Reviewing research sourced principally from the disciplines of anthrozoology and the sociology of health and illness, it appears that human-nonhuman animal cooperation can offer significant multispecies welfare benefit. Rock and Babinec (2008) offer an example supporting this collaboration, in relation to diabetes in people and in their domesticated companion animals. The
fact that diabetes is also a condition affecting dogs and cats, who therefore require medical treatment similar to that needed by humans, produces what Rock and Babiniec (2008: 325) term 'intricate' multispecies 'interconnections'.

There is an essential closeness in such complex and intimate practices. During the years spent running boarding kennels, a small, wavy-coated elderly dog came to stay regularly, bringing her case of syringes and needles, insulin vials and specific food items, and her agenda for treatment was exacting. Meals were served to her three times a day allowing a precise number of hours between each, and an injection into the loose skin on the back of her neck would be given before she could eat, according to written instruction. Seemingly simple, it proved not to be so when other domesticated species also required caring attention: so she travelled with me to collect prescribed food from the veterinarians, to deliver dogs to their family homes, and on other similar journeys in order that any delay on the road would not disrupt her diabetes management. Her medical bag and weighed food came too and we sat in car parks, driveways and roadside lay-bys following the rules of time and insulin donation. As a result of our intricate and, to my mind, mutually empathic interconnection - her patience with my tapping of the syringe to remove any air bubbles and fiddling to find space on the back of her neck that was not thickened by years of injection - my appreciation of her stoicism and tolerance grew into attachment. She became a friend because of the ‘intricacies’ of her illness.

### 3.2 Morals and minority groups

Underpinned by studies into human-animal interactions written by an eclectic group of multidisciplinary researchers such as Adams, Arluke, Birke, Coulter, Despret, Fine, Gruen, Haraway, Hurn, Ingold, Irvine, Michalko, Sanders and Serpell, and including quotations from eminent authors of classic literary fiction to illustrate salient points more graphically in the academic text - for example, excerpts taken from the novels of Margaret Atwood (2013), J.M. Coetzee (1999) and Virginia Woolf (1933 [2016]) - this anthrozoological study endeavours to project the moral values to be learned and social lifestyle benefits to be gained by two minority groups as a result of interspecies collaboration and coexistence, actively applied to good effect with the biomedical knowledge and canine training skills of Medical Detection Dogs.
Whether such symbiotic coexistence might fail to benefit either species on occasion, or cause unanticipated difficulty in terms of health and welfare, is also for discussion since there is no avoiding the ethical whirlpool where the human use of nonhuman others is directed towards human health benefit and such usage may be condemned as morally wrong by more than animal rights advocates alone. This is not the issue of bovine tuberculosis or the culling of badger populations, nor the fight for survival of foxes in town and country. It is not related to the grave matters of puppy-milling, abandoned ‘Christmas present pets’ or underground illegal dog-fighting, all of which require continuing in-depth research and urgently applied solutions. As mentioned later in the context of animal exploitation, this research is not about intentional cruelty to domestic nonhuman animals, nor about laboratory experimentation on caged other species but it does investigate the moral dilemma of using animal ‘others’, however kindly, as commodities for bettered human lives.

Disability brought about by chronic illness can enforce a bounded way of life - an occasionally oppressive need for the security of routine and control contrasting with the frustration of needing to need - onto individuals requiring assistance to perform essential daily practices. This produces a social minority group entitled to, although sometimes disenfranchised from, what are termed ‘human rights’.

Qualified medical alert assistance dogs, as is the case for other accredited assistance dogs, have been granted legal rights to access shops and institutions, public transport, theatres and cinemas, hotels and university lecture halls. Their animal ‘rights’ are reflected in human disability rights’ imperatives – in other words, members of both species are constrained under the minority group banner of individuals requiring ‘rights’ – a label which continues to invite condescension, prejudice and de-valuation despite improved social awareness, advocacy and activism. Nocella, Bentley and Duncan (2012: xvi) strive for eco-ability, a meshing of ecology and ability among human and nonhuman animals and nature, that ‘argues for the respect of difference and diversity, challenging social constructions of what is considered normal and equal’.

In their volume, Arrigoni (2012: 153) writes that in her opinion, ‘respect for animals and respect for people with disabilities are not separate issues’. However, she recalls an elderly man looking for information about ‘disabilities
and social welfare during retirement. He had lost a leg due to diabetes, and he was also worried about the hunting license he could lose because of the amputation, which happened a few years ago. He was still hunting. I am still bewildered' (2012: 153).

Wolfe (2013: 8) has drawn attention to Esposito's (2011) statement which is significant in the lives of the two minority groups under discussion. Esposito (2011: 209) contends that 'the category of those who enjoy a certain right is defined only by contrast with those who, not falling within it, are excluded from it'.

‘Animal rights’ is a phrase synonymous with the names of Peter Singer (1975 [1995]; 2006) and Tom Regan (1983 [2004]). Their writings, on animal liberation and the rights of animals, have had decades-long influence on active protest against the use of animals in laboratory experiments, factory-farming, culling, hunting, circuses and zoos, and on the components of the sometimes vegan, increasingly vegetarian, but principally flesh-based ‘Western’ diet.

The multispecies search for 'rights' to freedom of access, for example, becomes an entanglement such as that described in the writings of David Nibert (2002: xiii), who claims that

In myriad ways the oppression of other animals has been devastating for the cultural, spiritual and economic well-being of the vast majority of humans...the oppression of devalued groups of humans has been, and remains, disastrous for other animals.

Nibert presents challenges to the customary definition of two terms ‘minority group’ and ‘speciesism’, both of which have significance for the human-nonhuman partnerships central to this study. Nibert contends that ‘minority group’ was a term used to define groups which ‘differed from the one that controlled society’ (2002: 6) and that it became commonplace for sociologists to consider minority group members as different or 'special'. As a result, he finds 'oppressed' group to be more accurate than ‘minority’ group in that the term avoids ‘the human-centred concept of minority groups and helps challenge the prevailing view that human use and mistreatment of other animals lies in the realm of “natural affairs”'(2002: 7).

Agreed; however, ‘minority’ rather than ‘oppressed’ will be the preferred term used here to best describe the two collaborating groupings of humans with Type 1 diabetes and the dogs who provide care, since it is the aspects of
quantity, i.e. fewer than others, and status in society, rather than emphasis on human or animal oppression or mistreatment, that feature most prominently.

‘When we began to think of animals as ‘others’, we also made them inferior...a belief known as speciesism’ (Irvine, 2008: 1957), a concept introduced by Richard Ryder in the following excerpt from his pamphlet, Victims of Science, delivered in Oxford in 1975. He claims to have characterised the ‘conventional prejudice against nonhumans as “speciesism” - drawing the parallel with similar forms of ‘irrational discrimination such as racism, sexism, and ageism’ (2006: 89). Ryder’s terminology has been associated with the anti-prejudice themes found in the writings on speciesism by Singer and Regan, and Nibert (2002: 7) cites Singer’s definition (1990: 6)

> Speciesism ... is a prejudice or attitude of bias in favor of the interests of members of one’s own species and against those of members of other species.

But Nibert is concerned that there is a tendency to diminish the social structural basis of oppression of other animals, by the emphasis on overcoming prejudice and immoral reasoning in order to secure their freedom. He considers speciesism to be an ideology, a socially shared belief system that ‘results from and supports oppressive social arrangements’ (2002: 10).

Cohen, as a self-proclaimed ‘speciesist’, wades into the morality argument, allowing that animals should not be made to suffer needlessly but highlighting the errors arising from critical inference that biomedical research causing animal distress ‘is largely (or wholly) wrong’ (1986: 867). The assumption that all animals have equal moral standing is unacceptable since, contends Cohen, ‘humans engage in moral reflection; humans are morally autonomous; humans are members of moral communities...human beings do have rights; theirs is a moral status very different from that of cats or rats’ (1986: 867). Furthermore, he suggests that those who base their objection to the use of animals in biomedical research on pain and the loss of animal ‘rights’, ignore the benefits emerging from such research, for example, a reduction in diseases, saving of lives and better quality of multispecies lives.

Instead of reducing the use of animals in biomedical research, Cohen advocates increasing their use so as to avoid issues of risk when using humans as participants in experimental medical investigations. While disagreeing with much of Cohen’s contention, which seems to fuel further ‘rights’ argument, his
suggestion that animal use in biomedical research should be increased while avoiding any form of pain, harm or hardship to human or nonhuman (my italics) holds attention; the olfactory detection of human disease by canine ‘technicians’ featured in this study, appears to enable the use of animals in biomedical research without apparent pain, detectable harm or obvious hardship.

3.3 Marks’ amoral animal ethics

Whether their ‘moral rights’ are infringed depends on human personal decision and belief, and Joel Marks sets out a challenging consideration that would dispense with moral argument and sever the complex ‘Gordian Knot of animal ethics with a meta-ethical sword’ (2013: 445). He provides an example of animal use in biomedical research that is ‘typically defended by an appeal to a utilitarian theory’ legitimising harm to some for the good of others, or by other arguments that, he contends, fail to resolve the problem of which moral theory would be most appropriate to ‘our moral intuitions’ (2013: 446).

Having planned to cite more of Marks’ contention in a later chapter, I rather bring his words into the frame now so that his concept of amoral animal ethics can be assimilated, considered and contrasted throughout the paragraphs of this study into the use of nonhuman animals in biomedical, but non-injurious, research. He proposes the following argument (2013: 452):

Premise 1: Eating meat in the modern world typically involves complicity in the cruel treatment of animals.
Premise 2: For most people in the modern world, it is unnecessary (for health and even for gustatory pleasure), and in many ways (health, environment, etc.) desirable, not to eat meat.
Premise 3: Unnecessary complicity in cruelty is wrong.
Conclusion: Most people in the modern world are morally obligated to become vegetarian.

Marks finds premise 3 to have an obscure meaning and suggests his tendered conclusion ‘lands with a thud of futility’. Thus he proposes removing the moral premise 3 and all thoughts of any argument so that what remains are the first two propositions only. Although his statements refer particularly to animal cruelty and meat-eating, the significance is that action could take place to resolve the issues, instead of ‘superfluous’ and often insoluble moral argument (2013: 453).

Concluding that ‘morality does not exist, and advocacy on behalf of morality is, as a rule, ineffective and even counterproductive’, Marks allows the possibility of a ‘robust ethics in the form of applied amorality, which replaces
ingenious dialectic about a fictitious realm of prescriptions with heartfelt dialogue about a factual realm of preferences’ (2013: 454). I plan to write about moral values and symbiotic ethics, and about the ethics of care in symbiotic relationships, but Marks has muddied the pool of contemplation a little by dropping in the notion of ‘motivation’ in place of ‘justification’. At all events, ethical issues relating to animal use are later discussed in relation to research by Gruen, Taylor and others, particularly those who follow ecofeminist perspectives on morals and ethics.

3.4 Moral principles and dilemmas

Positive reward methods and the formation of genuine interspecies bonds are flagged up as being of high significance in the human-canine partnerships studied here, and enable support for pain-free ‘use’ of animals in the sensory field of olfaction in biomedical research. However, looking at urgent medical treatment involving, for example, the human use of porcine heart valves, the majority of humans, vegan or not, would likely lower their principles in order to bring relief and save human lives if there were no alternative options. Karen Emmerman (2014: 163) flags up the moral inter-species dilemma she faced in needing vegan-sourced breast milk for her premature baby when none was available. Noting the importance of attending to context in such decision-making, she did find a soy formula but the source of vitamin D3 in it was obtained from sheep lanolin via a process that caused stress and suffering to the sheep and resultant distress in herself:

I cannot reduce the conflict to my son having more moral significance than the sheep used to make his formula. My son is more significant to me than the sheep because he is my son and I am his mother and this clearly influences my choices. He is not more morally significant that the sheep, however, simply because he is human.

Alternatively, Hamilton’s (2008) proposal that we could learn ethics from meaningful relationships with particular animals suggests such relationships assist in developing moral faculties necessary for ethical behaviour, additionally stimulating a ‘moral imagination’ that improves with use. Examining these arguments brings attention back to the utilitarian legitimisation of ‘harm to some for the good of others’ (Marks, 2013: 445) and to the concept of trans-biopolitics, which researchers Blue and Rock (2011: 354) contend is ‘the classification and evaluation of life as it unfolds in complex, technologically-
mediated networks within global reach’ (italics in text), enabling academic exploration of power mobilities and transformations among both human and nonhuman animals. Who is sacrificed for whom in interspecies relationships?

Richard Twine (2015: 4) comments that ‘in quite obvious ways human social lives include a wide range of relations with living (and dead) agential nonhuman animals’ which relationships can impact and transform personal and social identities, and influence the ways in which nonhuman animals are affected in consequence. Referring to animal studies’ ‘assault on human-animal dualism’, and suggesting that this dualism is ‘similarly pertinent to the operation of, for example, gendered, classed and racialised relations’, Twine notes that it then may become possible to view animal studies as encouraging improved comprehension of intersectionality, ‘a social science concept that posits shared features and interaction between what have traditionally been seen as categories of oppression’ (2015: 9).

Nibert’s chapter, examining ‘the social construction of speciesist reality’, takes issue with ‘invidious ideologies’ such as racism and speciesism that require anthropocentrism for legitimacy. If, he suggests, the general populace is educated to disparage the oppressed as “foreign,” “alien,” “uncivilised,” “unclean,” “stupid,” “inferior,” and so on, they become ‘socially distanced from the devalued others’ (2002: 197), and lose any opportunity to feel or utter empathic responses.

And compassion and empathy are essential qualities for non-injurious human-animal coexistence. While sympathy is separated from these significant qualities for this study, the writing of Deane Curtin (2014) has provided a further division – compassion being seen as beyond the empathic ‘putting one’s self into another’s shoes’. Curtin considers compassion to be less about pity and more about a developed and ‘cultivated feeling about emotion’ (2014: 45), a ‘practice in which one engages deliberately and reflectively, through which one becomes skilful in identifying the true causes of suffering in others and in ourselves’ (2014: 48). Compassion, claims Curtin (2014: 55), is ‘a form of practical therapy. The model for an ethic of compassion is health, not cognitive correctness’. By merging reason and feeling, Curtin suggests that compassion becomes more resilient than empathy and is neither altruistic nor reciprocal in nature. For example, participant Tina’s compassion for those who might
discover her body no longer animated due to ‘dead-in-bed’ syndrome does not expect reciprocity.

However, compassion contains elements of sympathy for the sufferer and for the causes of that suffering, whereas empathy – the symbolic wearing of someone else’s gumboots while the subject, already in them, is wading through a mire of emotional experiences - can enable sharing of both emotion and experience whether concocted from sadness or from joy and delight. It is possible to empathise with human or nonhuman senses of happiness or relief as well as those of betrayal or anguish. And in thinking of happiness, Deborah Slicer’s (2014) chapter entitled ‘Joy’ has an uplifting anecdote about a horse chomping human-written instructions for his Parelli training, while staring at the instructor. Slicer, like Irvine (2013), stresses the significance of stories ‘because they help us see and feel that and how animals are subjects’ (2014: 60). Mark Bekoff’s foreword to Irvine’s (2004) ‘If you tame me...’ suggests that ‘anecdotes are basic to most, if not all, of the sciences, for it is usually stories that motivate further empirical or experimental research. Moreover, it is important to remember the plural of anecdote is data’ (Irvine, 2004: viii).

3.5 Interspecies empathy

The writings of Barbara Smuts, who gained ‘a feeling for what it means to be a baboon’ (2001: 294) and Frans de Waal’s (2010) widely-cited research into nonhuman animal empathy, provide evidence in support of the significance of, and need for, interspecies empathy. De Waal suggests that yawn contagion reflects the power of ‘unconscious synchrony’, a synchrony ‘ingrained’ in species that adds to means of survival, exemplified by him as the instantaneous ‘lift-off’ of a whole flock of birds when ‘one bird suddenly takes off’ (2010: 49). Jaak and Jules Panksepp (2013) draw attention to an emotional contagion felt among neighbouring laboratory rats and mice as a neuro-evolutionary strategy for understanding human empathy. And Lori Gruen (2015: 38) recalls Theodor Lipps’ (1903) suggestion that empathy signified a ‘specific perceptual way of understanding the world and others in it’. De Waal also cites Lipps’ interpretation of empathy as a feeling of being inside a high-wire walker traversing a thin piece of steel wire far above him; he was no longer just an observer, he was the walker.

Sitting before the televised annual Badminton cross-country event, many former and current equestrians may feel muscles twitch involuntarily as ‘they’
ride towards the complex fences. For those minutes, they will ‘become with’ those on-screen equine-human partnerships, will smell the churned-up turf, hear the pounding hooves and feel the shared rush of adrenalin, the sense of flying through space over timber and water. Maurstad, Davis and Cowles (2013) use multispecies ethnography to give insight into co-being and intra-action in horse-human relationships; an interspecies relationship that Birke, Bryld and Lykke (2004: 167) explore in terms of performativity, emphasizing animality as ‘a doing or becoming, not an essence’ and enabling thinking ‘about the complexity of human/animal interrelating...as a co-creation of behaviour’.

Vinciane Despret (2004) continues the human-equine theme in the example of Clever Hans, the horse mentioned earlier who could allegedly use his hooves to stamp out solutions to simple human-set mathematical problems, until research by Oskar Pfungst (1998) suggested the body language of his human questioner gave Hans clues to the correct answers. According to Despret (2004: 114), Pfungst (1998: 203) discovered that Hans could ‘play the role of a device that induced new articulations between consciousness, affects, muscles, will, events “at the fringe” of consciousness’.

Despret employs the history of Clever Hans to explore issues of articulation and communication under the overarching title of anthropo-zoo-genetic development. She proposes (2004: 114) the notion that the horse ‘embodied the chance to explore other ways by which human and non-human bodies become more sensitive to each other’ (italics in text). Questioning mutual influence between a horse and a human rider, Despret suggests that ‘both...are cause and effect of each other’s movements. Both induce and are induced, affect and are affected’ (2004: 115).

This shared ability to perform as expected and preferred by each other, to embody at least a part of the other's mind and movement, is seen in the active rapport between a human with Type 1 diabetes and their accompanying diabetes alert dog. It allows adjustment in agency and produces the mutual influence of give-and-take in a powerful bonding, in fine attunement that enables the 'liking' of each other and therefore beneficially affects the care practices of each. There is a balance of inter-dependent cooperation between these two species, not the one-sided over-emotional, psychological reliance of the needy dependent personality (DSM-IV-TR®, 2000: 725) who may have a ‘pervasive and excessive need to be taken care of, that leads to submissive and
clinging behavior and fears of separation...’, a personality that forces a potentially agreeable but unbalanced drive for dependency on the support of the other.

It is perhaps questionable whether some zealous carers of ‘pet’ animals inadvertently fulfil one or two of the criteria for this dependency need in their use of nonhuman rather than human animals for comfort and support. It seems possible that companion animals can offer, if not always empathy, at least tolerance, and, being long domesticated, they do require the offices of good care, whereas a human other may attempt to ward off, sometimes unkindly, the fawning\(^3\), ‘clinging’ approaches of someone with traits of a dependent personality.

### 3.6 Animal personhood in a shared identity

Talking about her thoughts on the intensity of the human-canine bond central to this ethnography, Tina, a long-term possessor of Type 1 diabetes, reveals deep feelings of shared identity with her diabetes alert assistant, Harley. Harley is a seemingly warm-hearted and attentive companion who rarely fails to alert appropriately - despite demonstrating an impressive snore, although his nostrils may have been briefly compressed against a chair leg - and who never leaves her side during our interview sessions:

> And what I like, the thing I love about him is that we’re no longer a number, we’re actually an individual person, an individual being, and that’s what fascinates me.

Significantly, Tina makes use of the inclusive first person plural, ‘we’, in her dialogue and it seemed unnecessary to question whether frequent encounters with medical personnel who incline towards the use of ‘we’ when talking with or on behalf of children or patients, could have embedded this plurality of speech into her natural way of speaking. Her delight in their shared personhood and avoidance of life as a mere inanimate statistic seemed genuine; she was inviting acceptance of both dog and human as the single embodiment of a shared identity; two well-matched animal beings contained in the extravaganza of one life.

---

3 I use the word ‘fawning’ with regret that an animal should once again be exemplified in a term that disparages a sentient and young nonhuman creature in order to deride the focal human, and refer to the pertinent writing of Edmund Leach (1989) concerning animal categories and verbal abuse.
Shared identity and entangled personhood are prominent in the work of Donna Haraway (for example, 1989, 1991, 2003, 2008, 2016) whose literary contributions, highlighting entwined interspecies relationships - the 'lively knottings that tie together the world I inhabit' (2008: vii) - have influenced thinking in many academic disciplines as well as in the human-canine world of sports agility competition, and have impact in these pages.

Throughout the fieldwork experience and the attempted disentangling of the human-nonhuman interactions and the effect of researcher presence, bias, and interview method, one item has continuously rung muffled bells in my conscience. This is the easy generalisation of grouping human and nonhuman animals into the so-called category of 'people'. Personalities, personhood, traits and characteristics in nonhuman animals that remind of human beings, seem acceptable; but deeming nonhuman animals, people, I find a harder concept to absorb, particularly when there is increasing desire and encouragement for an all-animal collective.

The right to be respected, to be treated with fairness and justice, regardless of species, has to be honoured for all creatures. Understanding, for example, elephant populations or communities in sub-Saharan Africa seem feasible, but elephant people would more likely be attributed to humans who live/work among elephant congregations. Miklósi (2009: 47) opens a chapter with the words: 'It is only recently that people have begun to think about dogs in terms of populations', allowing dogs to have populations but people to be the human species. Terming domesticated nonhumans, 'people', seems to drop an anthropomorphic, anthropocentric veil over those we so want to admire and treat as equals; they become once more caught in the brambles of our verbiage. If not resident in a laboratory cage, many are over-cuddled and petted 'fur babies', similar to Haraway's 'cuddly, furry, childlike dependents' (2003: 36), and may run the risk of being routinely oppressed or smothered into states of anxiety.

However, despite such misgivings about terming nonhuman animals, 'people', because of the sometimes disparaging, sometimes disrespectful, anthropocentric connotations involved, animal personhood seems a more fitting concept and, in this research, gains further credibility when made prominent by the behaviour of trained canine olfactory 'detectives'. These detection dogs sniff chemicals in human body odours to detect extreme fluctuations in blood sugar
levels. They are viewed as medical assistants, as agentic ‘animal selves’ (Irvine, 2007), who work in the homes of humans with chronic illness and illustrate the fact that recognition of animal personhood is no longer considered disquieting to ‘Western’ society.

The loud, shrill warning notes sounded by a blackbird at the sight of human, cat or fox in an English garden may have become a learned pattern of behaviour, but the alarm calls have cause and effect, signifying some form of cognitive ability. Irvine’s ‘behavioural flexibility’ (2007: 7) can be seen in the adaptive posturing of a horse’s body, the angling of the mobile ears, and the variety of ‘snorts’ that might indicate matter ‘out of place’ in the field – a human stranger, a snake to be feared, a familiar dog or flock of guinea-fowl to be played with, or a sometimes-airborne plastic bag filled with invisible predators. From watching and listening, by attending to what attracts the horse’s focus, I become informed by the horse’s communicative performance whether to watch and enjoy the display or to investigate immediately.

Kohn (2007: 4) relates that Runa individuals living in Ávila, Ecuador, believe it is possible to know the ‘dreams, intentions and motivations of dogs’ because they consider that ‘all beings, and not just humans, engage with the world and with each other as selves – that is, beings that have a point of view’. This multispecies engagement and recognition of animal personhood/selfhood may also be inferred both from the newly-recognised notion of a dog becoming an autonomous medical support worker in a family home and from the growing number of relevant studies, for example, those contained in John Knight’s (2005) Animals in Person, in which Kay Milton’s proposal of egomorphism, as an alternative to the ‘distancing concept’ (Alger and Alger, 1999: 203) of metaphorical anthropomorphism, is a significant contribution to human-animal interaction studies.

Milton suggests that an individual’s repeated experiences of, and engagement with, another’s way of doing and being in certain contexts, can lead to an improved understanding of members of different species. As she suggests (2005: 268), ‘perceptions emerge, not only out of what we do with and towards objects in our environment but also out of what they do to us’.

Without apparent supervision, Newfoundland ‘Nana’ is able to provide health care for the Darling children in J.M. Barrie’s Peter Pan (1911). This seems less of a fantastical concept when trained medical alert assistance dogs
are now able, of their own volition, to bring urgently-needed medication or medical equipment to their human companions, or to rouse them physically to perform blood tests.

The ‘Norland Nanny’, seen pushing a pram through Hyde Park or Kensington Gardens, has undertaken and successfully completed a prestigious and intensive training course, initiated in 1892, before taking responsibility for her employer's youthful 'charges'. Similarly necessitating complex training, the diabetes alert dogs learn accurate olfactory detection of a specific human’s chemical odours, occurring when blood sugar levels rise or fall into zones of risk, before undertaking independent alerting decisions that benefit the welfare and care of their diabetic human companions.


The medical condition, Type 1 diabetes, is the chronic illness under focus and its symptoms, diagnoses and treatments are principally explored through information detailed by Diabetes UK (2015) and the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation (2013). Deeper and more personal knowledge of this illness is gained from the narratives verbalised by participants who volunteer their own insights into life regulated by treatment routines, practices of care and inevitable illness-related hazards. The dogs cannot give vocal contributions in language decipherable by human cognitive ability, nor can they sign informed consent documents, but their contributions to human welfare are ably performed and perceived and therefore present different-to-human versions of their insights and experiences in situations of chronic illness.

4 Anthrozoological and sociological perspectives

The dogs themselves were the ‘other’ species who made me question their cognitive abilities, their sensory perceptions and their ways of being in our shared world. They show no artifice and scarce deception in the actions they perform with and towards their human caretakers. The communications ‘flowing’
between each species allow for external physical intermingling and internal mental porosity, and appear to result in caring engagements. The dogs act as beings who help and are worthy of help. Symbiotic relationships flourish, and good companionship and mutual understanding are offered and accepted without much use of spoken language.

The medical alert assistance dog is a working animal companion, treated for the most part as a family member who has a keen olfactory talent for helping human health to improve. Rarely do the human partners label their canine companions ‘pets’ unless in answer to an enquiry asking for clarification of the dog’s identity in their minds as ‘piece of equipment’ and/or ‘pet’? In recent decades, since the interspecies initiatives taken by Arluke and Sanders (1996), Bryant (1979) and Levinson (1969), ‘pet’ has taken on problematic connotations of dominance and hierarchy in human-human and nonhuman-human animal social engagement.

Belk (1996: 139) suggests that ‘it is their metaphoric status as loved ones that keeps pets from being regarded as mindless machines, programmed computer games, or even livestock’. Considered to be ‘more than machines’ but ‘less than humans’, Belk contends that it is this incomplete human status that ‘places pets in presumed need of our care’ at the same time granting us ‘impunity in treating them as subhuman’ (1996: 139). Earlier, Belk (1988: 139) examined the relationship between ‘possessions and the sense of self’, a concept appropriate to UK legislation which considers domestic animals to be property of their ‘owners’ (refer Animal Welfare Act 2006).

Nobis (2016), for example, pinpoints the use of the word ‘pet’ as signifying ownership - and thus property which can, for the most part, be manipulated or destroyed without criminal liability, an aspect of law that requires amendment particularly in the context of domestic abuse where animals may be injured or killed to wreak malevolent damage on a human partner who has no recourse to law when the abuser resides on the property and the abused nonhuman animal is ‘owned’ by the abuser. If an animal is considered to be an ‘owned’ pet, rather than a nonhuman animal companion, the consequence may be a worrying avoidance of the need to consider or care well for the owned creature, and invites recollection of Descartes’ mechanistic animals which, rather than who in this context, have no conscious awareness and can thus be treated as insentient objects. But Coppinger and Coppinger suggest, with
reference to domesticated companion animals, ‘we do not think of owning them any more than we would think of owning a child, even though we pay all the bills’ (2016: 129).

A ‘pet’ or ‘to pet’ may, furthermore, not only lead to contexts of objectification and thoughts of superiority and control, but can also enable issues of bestiality and zoophilia. Heidi Nast (2006: 301) recollects the research of Marc Shell (1986: 122) in which he explored the sexual, familial, and social role that the ‘institution of pethood plays in contemporary politics and ideology’. She continues:

As an anthropologist, he (Shell) wanted to explore the limits of that familial relationship, both ideologically and in practice: can one love or marry a pet (leading to a discussion of petting, puppy love, Playboy bunnies, and so on); and would physical love with a pet (especially dogs) be akin to bestiality? Incest? Or neither? (2006: 301)

Reminiscent of the Haraway (1991) and Mullin (1999) notions of animal mirrors mentioned earlier, but bearing in mind Haraway’s (2003: 11-12) claim that dogs ‘are not a projection, nor the realization of an intention, nor the telos of anything. They are dogs; i.e., a species in obligatory, constitutive, historical, protean relationship with human beings’, Heidi Nast (2006: 325) explicates contemporary inferences relative to ‘pets’:

Pet animals have become variably positioned screens onto which all kinds of needs and desires are projected; they co-habit with humans; their production and investment is tied to globalized pet industries and genetic engineering; and the ethics of pet-human encounters is riven with complexities and specificities that few have explored.

Similarly, Hurn (2012: 110), noting that pets can be ‘companion animals, working animals and friends, mascots, accessories, mediators and victims of human control’, also remarks the ‘fluidity’ of the ‘membership criteria for the category "pet"’. Thus is my concern at using the word ‘pet’, despite its still-common usage in conversation. However, exchanging ‘pet’ for the term ‘companion animal’, preferably ‘friend’ (Hurn, 2012: 110), allows opportunity for a greater interspecies equality and at least a human recognition of another species’ socio-emotional worth; and perhaps removes one thin layer from the onion of domesticated animal commodification.

Companion animals can be horses, dogs, cats, or a range of other beings willing to make the leap to the biosociality of service dogs,
family members, or team members in cross-species sports. Generally speaking, one does not eat one’s companion animals (nor get eaten by them); (Haraway, 2003:14)

4.1 Symbiotic relationships

Fuentes (2010: 600) reflected on the entwined lives of humans and monkeys in Bali to demonstrate that ‘both species are simultaneously actors and participants in sharing and shaping mutual ecologies’. They may be partners in complex relationships, sharing histories and social behaviours and co-creating their practices and environments. Similarly co-acting and co-creating care practices and domestic environments around their already-domesticated selves are the medical alert assistance dogs and chronically-ill humans who spin and weave their interlacing existences around Type 1 diabetes.

Coppinger and Coppinger (2016) disentangle the imbricating layers of commensalism, mutualism and parasitism involved in symbiotic relationships. They talk of ‘overlapping’ and ‘changing’ niches which require species adaptation, and the advantages, or lack of, to be gained by ‘living in proximity’ (2016: 130). Reliance on and responsibility for the self and other are essential elements of companionable relationships, so inter-species trust becomes implicit to conducting symbiotic partnerships and to demonstrating successful ways of living together in chronic illness.

An Acarine tick of the genera *Rhipicephalus* or *Amblyomma*, has long been considered to have a parasitic symbiotic relationship with, for example, the buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) or rhinoceros (*Rhinocerotidae*) on which it feeds since the burdened animal gains nothing but skin irritation and possible disease. On the other hand, the red-billed oxpecker (*Buphagus erythrorhynchus*), perched on the buffalo and removing the blood-sucking ticks, does have a mutualistic relationship with the host animal – the latter is cleansed of disease-donating ticks and the bird gains nutrition – neither harms the other. However, research by McElligott, Maggini, Hunziker and König (2004) suggests that this bird, in searching for parasites and larvae, frequently opens old scars and creates new wounds on the host animal causing increased bleeding and irritation; so the oxpecker then becomes a less than equal partner, one whose behaviour verges on parasitism and not mutualism – and the buffalo or rhinoceros remains host sometimes to a mutualistic symbiont, sometimes to a parasitic one.
There are flexible shades of meaning overhanging these symbiotic relationships. ‘Even though the different organisms are not in constant physical contact, the parties involved rely upon the association to fulfil a major part of their life cycles’, suggest Leung and Poulin (2008: 107). They propose that where the host and symbiont reciprocally benefit from the relationship, the association represents mutualism, whereas if the symbiont utilises the host without benefitting or harming it, it is considered as a commensal. If, however, the symbiont (for example, the oxpecker) is using the host (for example, the rhinoceros) as a resource and causing harm as a result, then it qualifies as a parasite.

There are further intricacies within mutualistic relationships involving obligatory and facultative dependencies: obligatory organisms cannot survive in the absence of the other partner whereas facultative organisms are able to exist independently. Coppinger and Coppinger (2016: 131) flag up the hummingbird-flower image of obligatory mutualism whereby the hummingbird (Trochilidae) cannot survive without the flower because its ‘designer bill’ has evolved to enable the bird to reach needed nectar from that plant, but conversely, the flower cannot survive if pollen is not transferred to others via the bird’s bill.

A complex example of a multispecies mutualistic relationship in sub-Saharan Africa, is that of the greater honeyguide (Indicator indicator), who is known to give a loud and distinctive call to ‘solicit the assistance of a symbiont’, human or honey badger (Mellivora capensis), in opening wild bees’ (Apis mellifera scutellata) nests (Hurn, 2012: 115). When the human recognises the call and follows the honeyguide, the bird will lead the way to the nest; the human honey-hunter retrieves the honeycomb required and the bird is rewarded with a safely-opened nest and the remaining honey-less waxy combs – collaborative foraging highlighting mutualism on a grand scale. Budiansky (1997: 47-49) similarly draws attention to the Boran people of northern Kenya who 'still collect wild honey following the practices of their ancestors'. The Boran honey collectors watch the flight patterns and listen to the vocalizations of the honeyguide which informs them of the 'direction and distance to a nest, guides them to it, and alerts them when the nest is reached' (1999: 47).

Spottiswoode, Begg and Begg (2016) ascertained further that the honeyguide recruitment and foraging collaboration could also be initiated by the human. They report that Yao honey-hunters in northern Mozambique use a loud
trilling and a particular grunting sound, learned from their fathers, to attract the honeyguide’s attention and so increase the probability of finding a bee’s nest. Spottiswoode et. al. (2016) suggest these results provide experimental evidence that a wild animal in a natural setting responds adaptively to a human signal of cooperation.

As these researchers contend, humans use many species, for example, hounds or cormorants, to find food, and these co-opted ‘companions’ are trained to collaborate in the hunt for prey. Alternatively, Miklósi and Topál (2013: 5) suggest the rat (Rattus norvegicus) exemplifies a 'competitive inter-specific relationship' wherein the human may 'actively act against the intruding species'. While, over millennia, a human home may have become the natural setting for dogs as companion species, and an adaptive, well motivated and domesticated canine may find the lived-in accommodation to be worthy of interspecies co-existence (and even that mutual cooperation with a human symbiont might be sufficiently rewarding), it cannot be said that a human home is a natural 'wild' location or situation for a dog vis à vis that of the ‘village' dogs of the world (Coppinger and Coppinger (2016).

Miklósi and Topál (2013: 5) illustrate the three different forms of dog-human symbiotic interaction:

During their evolution, dogs (wolves) shared all these forms of symbiotic relationships with humans. The final stage of domestication was characterised by the emergence of a mutualistic relationship between humans and dogs, but, depending on the ecological and cultural situation, present-day dogs share all three forms of relationship with humans (Figure 1).

Continuing the theme of divisions in symbiotic relationships, Coppinger and Coppinger disparage the 'stories full of euphoria' about useful and helpful working dogs, and the assumption that 'dogs and people live together for each species' mutual benefit' (2016: 224). They give an example of the connection between 'guide dog and blind person [that] is not exactly mutualism because it doesn't have much if any benefit for the sterilized and constantly controlled dog' (2016: 224). However, from the small sample of assistance dog-chronically ill human partnerships I have observed in this research, in guide dog-vision impaired human relationships viewed previously, and from personal research conducted by Michalko (1999) and others, it appears that a mutualistic co-existence can offer benefit to both. The domestic dog, living alongside the
human animal species for thousands of years, has perhaps become accustomed to working for human-given reward and even to be living 'the life of Riley' (Dictionary.com) in some human homes. It may be a very different lifestyle to that of their village-residing kindred but the human partner of an assistance dog is willing to pay and provide optimum welfare, care and attention that includes daily off-leash 'free-running', in return for an attentive sniff or safe guidance around unseen hazards.

Coppinger and Coppinger consider the dog-human symbiotic relationship in terms of 'obligatory' commensalism (2016: 134) and reach interesting conclusions: if dogs were removed from the relationship, people would survive, but, they assert, if humans left the equation, dogs would not. ‘Wilderness niches’ adapted to the lives of ‘wolves, coyotes, jackals and foxes’, would be unavailable to dogs suddenly forced to hunt for food, they suggest (2016: 133). However, their very adaptability to experiences and environments, their capacity for social learning 'by observing conspecifics' (Miklósi, 2009: 191; Pongrácz et. al., 2003) might ensure they would create new niches and increasingly large communities (safety in numbers and wider choice of hunting dogs) within very brief moments of time.

Leung and Poulin's (2008) exploration of the symbiosis continuum, along which parasitism, commensalism and mutualism shift and ‘overlap’, reviewed investigations in which symbiotic interactions are argued to be ‘highly plastic across circumstances and timescales’ (2008: 107). Their study details ‘how easily symbiotic associations can switch between mutualism and parasitism in response to even the slightest environmental change’ (2008: 107). While the subjects of the studies they review are chiefly marine organisms, plants and insects, and symbiosis is generally used within biological and ecological research, this umbrella term covering parasitism, mutualism and commensalism can also be opened to include multispecies human-nonhuman plastic interactions that make up the ever-changing co-existences of diabetic alert dogs and humans with Type 1 diabetes.

Commensalism is an association between two organisms in which one benefits and the other does not, but neither is the latter harmed (Leung and Poulin (2008); Miklósi and Topál (2013: 5); Coppinger and Coppinger (2016: 133-135). So that fails to describe the inter-species relationships referred to here – after all, both species gain some benefit from their partnership and
neither of them harm nor is intentionally harmed by the other, if the human domus is considered natural habitat to the long-domesticated canine companion.

Chimpanzees (Hare et al., 2006, 2001, 2000; de Waal, 2010) and corvids (Emery and Clayton, 2001) are among species now considered to possess aspects of a 'theory of mind', and are able to recognise the mental states of other beings. The dog in this context is considered to have the capacity for intention, even 'a precursory theory of mind' (Reid, 2009); there may not be 'thinking or planning' as those concepts are understood linguistically, but dogs do organise their living in multiple ways so as to obtain the food, shelter, mates and playmates that they require beyond the present.

It is this notion of interwoven, flexible, mutualistic coexistences that supports research into the shared lives of the agentic medical alert assistance dog and a person with chronic Type 1 diabetes. The canine-human partnerships involved in this study restructure their former ways of being and living into synchronised performances of mutual care; roles similar to those co-embodied inter- and intra-active roles played in the human-horse relationships described earlier by Maurstad, Davis and Cowles (2013).

4.2 The working dog

The following examination of the recent history of the working dog is supported by a review of canine domestication (Clutton-Brock, 1995), and Helton’s research into canine ergonomics (2009). Also discussed here are methods of training ‘working’ dogs (Savalois, Lescureux and Brunois, 2013; Miklösi, 2009), the charity Medical Detection Dog’s concept of acceptable dog training and discussion of the criteria enabling eligible chronically ill individuals to invest in assistive relationships with diabetes alert dogs and to develop mutualistic biomedical partnerships that evolve from particular interspecies companionable bondings in the field of illness and care.

4.2.1 Domestication and history of the working dog

Man (sic) is far from the only species to practice domestication...The state of dependence of one species upon another...is a finely honed evolutionary strategy for survival. In a world made up so much of competition for survival, nature has with surprising frequency cast upon the solution of cooperation. Budiansky (1997: 17)
Opening the anthrozoological subsection relating to working dogs and canine domestication is a brief history of the close relationship that has developed between humans and dogs over millennia, and examination of the speculation or imagination involved in inferred conclusions as to how these very different species of animal have learned to co-exist companionably.

Clutton-Brock (1995 [2008]) observes that Canis familiaris, the omnivorous but chiefly carnivorous animal who is globally recognised and identified as a dog, is considered to be the only fully domesticated member of the canine family, Canidae. Listing 38 species within this family, she acknowledges earlier studies that indicate the wolf, Canis lupus, to be the principal ancestor of our current most favoured companion animal, notwithstanding the feral Australian dingo who is related to the pariah dogs of south-east Asia as well as to the wolf.

Exactly when the ‘domestic’ dog split from its lupine relation is inconclusive and currently ranges between 150 000 years ago and 15 000 years ago. Both Helton (2009) and Miklósi (2009) highlight the contentions of Csányi (2005), and Vila et. al. (1997) who argue that if the divergence of dogs from wolves occurred 150 000 years ago, this was similar to the date ascribed to the Homo sapiens sapiens’ divergence from other Homo sapiens, such as Homo sapiens Neanderthals, and therefore ‘could be a pivotal point in both human and dog evolution’ (Helton, 2009: 2). Adding to the evolutionary quandary is the Coppinge and Coppinger (2016) enquiry as to which wolf ancestor became the antecedent of today’s domestic dog since wolves, coyotes, jackals and dingoes all fall under the Linnaeus-classified genus Canis and are ‘interfertile’ (2016: 9).

Accepted as the first animal species to be domesticated, seemingly by hunter-gatherers at the end of the last Ice Age, dogs were thought to have assisted humans in tracking, guarding and hunting (Brewer et. al. (2001), Clutton-Brock (1995), Coppinger and Coppinger (2016), Miklósi (2009) and Serpell (1995). Miklósi (2009: 96-97) provides five theories of domestication that, if combined, offer a comprehensive view of dogs' evolutionary process, and goes on (2009: 103-109) to explain the sequence of events over millennia in which bones of working dogs have been uncovered during archaeological research. Research which suggests that dogs have accompanied humans for
many thousands of years; accompanied in terms of interspecies companionship as well as for hunting, protection and the retrieval of fallen prey.

Contemporary media sources have been excited by news relating to the discovery - at the Blick Mead archaeological site near Stonehenge in Wiltshire – of a ‘domestic dog’ tooth carbon-dated to more than 7000 years ago – 2000 years before the sarsen stones of Stonehenge were erected. The attention arises in particular from results of the scientific testing of the tooth. When young, it seems the dog drank water from the York area, the same region of England in which Clutton-Brock (1995: 13) notes that the skull of a five-month-old puppy was found (at Star Carr) dating from the Mesolithic period. The Blick Mead dog is later believed to have travelled 250 miles southwards in human company to where the tooth was discovered; evidence of what is thought to be ‘the earliest journey in British history’ (BBC News, October, 2016). That is sufficiently newsworthy but what made me sit up were the words spoken by an archaeologist in situ, who referred to the dog travelling with ‘its people’. Despite the objectifying ‘its’, it is encouraging to find the ‘animal turn’ verbally expressed in the notion of human animals belonging to, or at least being company for an ancient nonhuman animal. Perhaps, borrowing again from Ingold (2013), they may be considered ‘biosocial’ co-‘becomings’ of previous millennia, part of the evolutionary process 'carried forward in the life-histories of the organisms themselves, along their lines of becoming' (Ingold, 2013: 11).

Estimates vary as to when human co-habitation with Canis familiaris began, but co-existence must have had valuable advantage over life in separate communities. Admittedly, mutual tolerance is likely to have taken considerable time to form and become a useful characteristic, just as smaller size must have become evolutionarily beneficial in domestication. It seems likely that groups of both humans and nonhumans may have gained from interspecies cooperation and companionship as well as from competition and contest in terms of hunting guidance and resultant share of the proceeds. But as Miklósi (2009: 130) intimates, it may be ‘impossible to isolate a single selective factor, a single trait, and a single causal chain for determining morphological and behavioural changes during dog domestication.’

Over centuries, the dog’s natural wildness has been tamed and adapted to suit human ownership and its formerly-liberated status has altered dramatically, turning large numbers of this species into property, mandatory in
Britain, or commodity that currently can be bought and sold, used and discarded.

Thurston (1996) writes of ‘our 15,000-year love affair with dogs’, highlighting the interspecies links that promoted healing as long ago as the time of the Egyptian Pharaohs when deceased respected dogs were mummified and placed in the tombs of their owners. Since Roman times dog breeds have been developed to be functional, with the chief purpose being companionship (Clutton-Brock, 1995). The aristocracy of Ancient Egypt, Greece and Rome appeared to value their dogs greatly, wrote poems to them and erected shrines and monuments to remember them (Thurston, 1996; Serpell, 1986 [1996]).

Tangentially, cats, although embalmed and treated after death with similar dress and decoration as honoured many Ancient Egyptian humans living approximately 4000 years ago, were so plentiful that quantities of their mummified forms were disinterred in the 19th century and one shipment to the UK contained the packaged remains of approximately 180 000 embalmed cats. These became items for auction in Britain, some going on view in museums but the greater balance being ground into fertiliser and presumably spread across our ‘green and pleasant land’ (excerpt from The Daily Graphic newspaper, exhibited and viewed in the Manchester Museum September, 2015).

Cemeteries in the United Kingdom, designated for companion animal interment, bear witness to valued deceased animal companions (Toms, 2006). An example is the Hyde Park cemetery created in the late 1880s which contains more than 300 engraved memorials to loved dogs who were interred within a brief 12-year span, after which time the cemetery was deemed full to capacity (Thurston, 1996). Online sales of grave ‘goods’ and memorial items for loved animal companions - urns, coffins, plaques and ornaments – signify the continued importance of maintaining their presence in absentia.

A secondary result from selective dog breeding, identified by Clutton-Brock, has been to ‘increase the personal status of the owner at home or in the hunt’ (1995: 18). A collection of papers edited by Cassidy and Mullin (2007) lays out the evolution and purpose of dog breeding and brings the concept of genetic engineering into modern-day reproductive practices that create the ‘wanted’ family, sporting or working dog, or the neotonised puppy-childlike 'pet' dog. Greenebaum's family dogs, attending 'Yappy Hour' with their 'parents', are 'elevated to the status of children, or fur babies' and 'by treating the dogs like
children and following traditional gender roles and expectations, the dog owners' status becomes elevated to parent' (2004: 132). But Sartre's somewhat acidic comment may be appreciated by those who like dogs because they are dogs - good friends and companions from a different species: 'When you love children and dogs too much, you love them instead of adults' (Sartre, 1967: 112).

Certainly dogs may be considered multi-functional, being purchased to boost the owner's social status, to provide company for children or to provide a sentient, live companion when family homes become 'single' accommodation. Their functioning as extensions of the human self may, in this instance, be seen in their embodiment of the human-needed self-awareness of approaching hypoglycaemic episodes. Irvine draws attention to the 'behavioral flexibility' of nonhuman animals, which adaptability indicates consciousness 'because it implies monitoring of one's own performance' (Irvine, 2007: 9) and, in Type 1 diabetes assistance, the monitoring of another's performance as one's own.

Participants in this project confirm that dogs assist those who have difficulty in performing certain tasks, as well as enabling their independence and more secure home life, all of which lead to the improved physical and mental well-being of their human companions. However, on the basis of their innate abilities and under human instruction, dogs have also become items of technology, learning to conduct a wide range of tasks whether as circus performers, hunting hounds, dog-fight participants, bomb detectors, guide dogs or guard dogs, and for these efforts, they are variously appreciated.

4.2.2 Stray dogs and village dogs

Those of the canine species who lack human-required capabilities, who are less attractive to purchasers or 'rescuers' than others around them, or whose temperaments fail to meet the required standard, also fail to be appreciated as well-functioning members of society. Dependent on their location in the human world, they may be euthanized or discarded, passed from home to home to street where they join the vast tribe of stray dogs surviving on street-pickings and foraging on rubbish dumps, scavenging on middens as their ancestors have done for thousands of years. More than 50 000 street dogs were said to inhabit the city of Bucharest in Romania (Crețan, 2015: 159) until the 2013 Stray Dog Euthanasia Law established an intensive cull to purge the city of dogs who were considered aggressive to humans. Similarly concerned
was the ‘mayoral committee member for safety and security J P Smith [who] said the city (of Cape Town, South Africa) had at least 230 000 stray dogs’ (Lewis, Western Cape News, 5 July 2011).

As Coppinger and Coppinger (2016) remark, dogs are ubiquitous throughout the world and number approximately one billion, although the majority of these are ‘village dogs’ who mostly fend for themselves and cannot therefore be termed ‘pets’, ‘companion animals’ or ‘assistance’ dogs.

Interesting to this project is the arrival of ‘rescued’ dogs from South Korea who may be considered ‘village dogs’, whether or not they were specifically bred for sale at dog meat markets or were captured while roaming for later human consumption. They have travelled across the world to take on the vastly different role and status of cancer detection dogs at the MDD training centre. While they continue to be ‘used’, their employment will be in a strikingly different format to that of their prior exploitation: not only are they likely to survive and enjoy a lengthened life, they will receive constant human attention to their needs, and in return, they will be requested to perform an activity that is natural to them when attending to the significant health requirements of another species: the seemingly simple act of sniffing human odour. Importantly, MDD’s cancer detection dogs live in homes as family members and visit the training centre to work on samples for short periods of time two to four times a week, so these well-travelled dogs will have opportunity to belong to a multispecies community as friends and colleagues as well as scent detection technologists.

To promote life, biopolitics must continuously determine not only what it is to be a living thing, but also which lives are better able to be developed, which lives are worthy of enhancement, which are left to perish, and which are terminated in the name of sustaining or preserving other life (Blue and Rock, 2011: 357).

This may convey shades of human domination and power as referred to in Ingold’s (2000) research on trust and control in domestication, and which argument is countered by Karen Armstrong Oma’s (2010) response urging human-animal social contracts, as first proposed by Mary Midgley (1983), centring instead on ‘notions of trust and reciprocity’ (2010: 177). In the same context, Clare Palmer’s (1997) research deals with the problematic issues of inequality and lack of free consent in the creation of a domesticated animal contract.
Fijn (2011) highlights co-domestication between herders and their herds in Mongolia, examining reciprocal social behaviour and communication between humans and other animals as being important elements of animal domestication within today’s cross-species communities. Distant as that co-existing collective may be from the medical assistance dogs sharing life with their human partners in urban England, the concept of a multispecies social giving-and-taking reverberates between them.

John Hartigan’s thoughts on domestication, in *Aesop’s Anthropology: a multispecies approach*, flag up mutuality in terms of anthropology’s ‘new’ consideration of animals as active agents in the production of ‘the companion specieshood that has so transformed the globe’ (2014: 72). While agreeing with the mutuality concept, the aforesaid transformation of the globe seems still to have a long journey to travel. But Cassidy and Mullin (2007: 6) suggest that efforts are now being made to ‘replace the unidirectional, progressive history of increasingly exploitative relationships with the environment, with a more halting and incomplete vision...[in which]...emphasis has been placed on mutual interaction between human and nonhuman species’. And such mutuality can result in a companionable co-existence and a collaborative friendship (Hurn, 2012) based on trust and reciprocated practices of care, easing a smoother path through what Hartigan (2014: 72) terms the ‘muddled terrain “between” the human and the nonhuman, that of domestication’.

Continuing with the theme of using animals, as human animals, we make daily use of our own physical and mental attributes for profit, be it for the education, entertainment or welfare of others as well as ourselves. Indeed, being employed instantly places metaphorical yokes and chains around human individuals, regardless of the nature of the occupation. Self-interest that engages security and protection for the self emerges from Hobbes’ (1962 [1651]) social contract theory, which signifies the transition from the state of nature to the state of so-called civil society; a transition in which the selfish humans’ ‘acquisition of scarce resources, their own safety and their own reputation’ is prominent. There is no trust and threats to life are all-encompassing so self-interest is a means to self-security (Leviathan 1(13) in Palmer, 1997: 414).

However, this concept may be problematic for some, as reflected in Palmer’s (1997:411) ‘idea of the domesticated animal contract’, since the
avenues open for human choice and accord as to suitable terms of use or employment, may be closed to any nonhuman animal agency wishing to consent or agree to it.

In Britain, dogs are considered to be possessions, the property of human owners, and if found straying, may be impounded for seven days while the owners are accessed; then if not claimed as belonging to someone, they may be ‘disposed of’ by finding them new homes with persons ‘who, in our opinion, will care properly’ for them or by ‘putting them to sleep’ (London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council, 2017). A Parliamentary discussion on UK stray dog control, based on information sourced from a Dogs Trust Stray Dog Survey 2016 summary report (September 2016: 6), contended that the number of stray dogs had dropped to 81 000 in 2016 from 102 000 the previous year, and from 136 500 in 1997. An explanatory note to the Environmental Protection (Stray Dogs) Regulations 1992 No. 288, that is ‘not part of the Regulations’, mentions that an officer is obliged to keep ‘a register of dogs “seized” by him or her’ which conjures Hogarth-style images of power and pain but is presumably a doffed cap to the official linguistics of a previous era. These roaming dogs became the responsibility of local authorities instead of the police, under the Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Act 2005. Compulsory microchipping of all dogs from the age of eight weeks was mandated in the UK in April 2016, with advice that every dog should wear a collar with the owner’s name and address on a tag attached to it.

The companion animal, the domesticated dog living within a human home, enables the human-nonhuman animal bond to strengthen and intensify. These are the canines who may provide reason for human exercise, improved health and social integration, who encourage empathy and compassion for other species, who may be perceived as surrogate children in ‘empty nest’ homes and who often maintain an active link between their bereaved human carer and his/her deceased partner. Their human guardians see them as family members, treat them as best they can and are anecdotally likely to settle their veterinary, animal nutrition and welfare bills before buying their own food or paying household accounts.

Certainly dogs living in human households have majorly altered ‘Western’ cultural habits for both interacting species; for example, the legal rights to travel on public transport and enter museums or educational
institutions, or the widespread availability of ‘pet’ medical insurance policies. But on the downside, often based on human change in socio-economic status or alteration in life to a more penurious, even criminal style of living, there may be opportunity for the denigration of the interspecies bond, to abandoning, selling, starving, neglecting, or abusing the creature previously welcomed and treated as a family member.

Statistics in 2016 published by the Pet Food Manufacturers Association (PFMA) claim that more than 8.5 million dogs are currently inhabiting 24% of UK households, evidence which certainly appears to support the British public’s alleged ‘love’ of dogs. These population figures were published by the PFMA after survey results, averaged over two years, gave an effective sample of over 8000 people, and estimated that 11 million (40% of) UK households have companion animals in their homes. Eight years earlier, Wells, Lawson and Siriwardene published research on canine response to hypoglycaemia in Type 1 diabetes patients and noted that, according to PFMA statistics in 2008, this nation of ‘self-confessed’ animal lovers then shared their homes with six million dogs (2008: 1235); so the increase in UK dog numbers is substantial.

4.2.3 Canine ergonomics: the science of the working dog

Bearing the ‘best candidate model’ in mind, Helton (2009) introduces the notion of canine ergonomics, the science of working dogs, to name a new perspective integrating animal science and ergonomics. As a science, ergonomics is designed to fit and match workers to their work so that comfort and suitability are paramount and productivity is expected to increase.

Suggesting that ergonomics may also be considered ‘the study of entities that share human capacities in working situations’ (2009: 4) - entities who in this instance are flexible and able to work autonomously - Helton flags up the value of working dogs acting as human surrogates. Incorporated into the human workplace in order to clear minefields, detect illegally-transported drugs, or discover victims buried under avalanche or destroyed buildings, ‘sniffer’ dogs are encouraged to perform tasks in areas where human lives may be at risk or in which they are unable to achieve equivalent success.

Human and canine expertise is gained through skills training and continuous practice. Adapting Ericsson’s (2001) set of behavioural determinants of deliberate practice, Helton (2009: 7) suggests that training working dogs involves four factors –
- Motivating them to perform a task
- Providing clearly-defined tasks to be performed
- Providing feedback
- Ensuring practice is repeated and purposeful

He indicates that verbalising language to enable knowledge memory may not be essential for a dog who may encode declarative knowledge in images and consequently be able to perform tasks requiring skill. However, he notes as does Bradshaw (2012), that mental imagery in dogs is a ‘very underdeveloped’ research area (Helton, 2009: 8).

According to Irvine (2012), George Herbert Mead (1934) claimed influentially that animals lacked mental ability. Irvine (2012) cites Strauss’s research which suggests that Mead considered animals to have ‘no mind, no thought, and hence there is no meaning [in their behaviour] in the significant or self-conscious sense’ (Strauss, 1964: 168). This attitude prevailed until Bryant (1979) critiqued sociologists for failing to ‘address the zoological component in human interaction and attendant social systems’ (1979: 339). Irvine develops Sanders’ consistent portrayal of animals as ‘minded participants in social life’ (Irvine, 2012: s128) and, ignoring the need for language to define the ‘self’, highlights how ‘dog training involves encouraging the dog to shape his or her behavior to human expectations’ (Irvine, 2007: 8). She illustrates this with an example of her own dog’s behaviour modification which she attributes to the dog’s basic ‘understanding of causality’ (Irvine, 2007: 8) and ability to intervene satisfactorily in an action.

A similar understanding of causality, and resultant intervention, frames the unexpected behaviour modification of this researcher’s cat and dog, behaviour that has intensified belief in the animal self:

The cat lay prostrate on the dog’s bed, stretched so expansively that there was no room whatsoever for the dog to lie there too. Instead of immediately pushing her off the mattress, which would have earned him the swift swipe of an unsheathed claw, he play-bowed, whined and lowered his head submissively, looking downwards and not directly at the cat.

He slowly opened his mouth, grasped a small corner of the blanketed mattress, and gave a gentle tug – the cat remained immobile. He grumbled and pulled harder. The cat sat up and yowled at him; the dog jumped backwards and barked, crept forward and with his teeth, slowly lifted the mattress higher and higher in one corner. The cat rolled off, but retaining dignity in
failure, stalked away swishing her tail; the dog lay down on his bed, mission seemingly accomplished.

However, the cat then jumped up onto a kitchen cupboard close to the backdoor, lifted a front paw, eyed the dog, and rattled the keys in the door lock. Of course, the dog leapt off the bed and raced to the door – the cat gazed at the vacant mattress for a long moment.

Did she glory in smug triumph? She looked as if she did, but that is a human anthropomorphic interpretation of what might be termed trans-species negotiation – mutual coexistence achieved without injurious aggression – and this particular interactive dialogue occurred between them on more than one occasion. Anthropomorphism may be derided but it seems sometimes to facilitate the human comprehension of interspecies communication of thoughts and behaviours. In this instance, however, my understanding of their behaviour and semblance of (re)-experience could more likely be attributed to egomorphism (Milton, 2005), which 'implies that I understand my cat, or a humpback whale, or my human friends, on the basis of my perception that they are "like me" rather than human-like' (Milton, 2005: 261).

Hurn (2017: personal communication) elaborates, suggesting that egomorphism is 'in many respects an aspect of an inter-subjective relationship (i.e. one can be egomorphic in response to another being with whom one does not have a direct or personal relationship'. Milton's humpback whale, for example, or, citing Hurn's example, 'I engage egomorphically with those pigs on trucks bound for slaughterhouses'. With reference to the cat and dog interaction above in which they both engage egomorphically, their relationship might be considered 'a form of intersubjectivity grounded in egomorphism' (Hurn, 2017).

Whether it was just a game or a wily method of goal achievement, both species appear to evince minded forethought and planned action. The dog seemed conscious of the cat's likely reaction on several levels and took care in preparing successful retrieval of his bed, while the cat showed remarkable tolerance as well as knowledge/memory of canine behaviour or indeed of human behaviour when keys are shaken and doors are opened. It is this awareness that lends credibility to the idea of animal personhood and interspecies negotiation. In terms of the medical alert dog and the diabetic human, there is broad opportunity for a shared human-canine coexistence that has value for both when resulting from well-conceived training and a
comfortable closeness, and from a continuous endeavour to attain some form of mutual understanding.

The strength of the human-canine bond has been frequently evaluated in evolutionary terms. A 20-year study of breed-specific behaviour (Coppinger and Schneider, 1995) focussed particularly on the ‘evolutionary mechanisms’ (1995: 22) of dogs who were identified by the researchers as experts in their fields of work - sled-pulling, livestock guarding and herding. They discovered the historical importance of breeding and training for temperament, and noted adolescence was the most beneficial age for trainability and adaptation to new ideas and behaviours.

This is confirmed in the training of assistance dogs who generally spend their first 10-12 months in a ‘foster home’, often situated in a family with children and other companion animals, so as to become ‘socialised’ and accustomed to travel on public transport, to walk quietly past barking dogs and shouting, running children, for example, and to learn how to be confident in the face of unexpected noise hazards, such as slamming doors, fireworks, or express trains thundering through railway stations. Once well-acclimated to the wide variety of human lifestyle management methods, young medical alert assistance dogs remain resident in family homes but visit the MDD headquarters where they are trained by reward and encouragement, to sniff and identify odours associated with illness in exhaled human breath and to respond with an alerting signal.

Only recently (1971) did Linus Pauling discover that hundreds of different volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are intermingled in human breath and that in people who are unwell, they show unique patterns based on individual metabolism; ‘you are what you eat’ sums up how internal bacteria behave towards ingestion and provides signposts flagrantly (I doubt fragrantly, though it may seem so to the dog’s nose!) wafted towards the nostrils of a canine medical detective.

Helton suggests that dogs in work are ‘the best candidate models of human workers’ (2009: 3). Although they lack a spoken language that we can understand and cannot hold tools in their paws as we hold instruments in our hands, they have succeeded in living and working in close proximity to humans. Dogs have other competencies we value - and they appear to share them willingly if rewarded, or at least treated appropriately. Rewarding and enriching
the life of the diabetes alert dog and other assistance dogs, is a continuing ambition for the human partner as well as the trainer, and exploration of the mental and physical needs of these working dogs is undertaken in the research of Coppinger, Coppinger and Skillings (1998), Rooney, Gaines and Hiby (2009), Robinson et al. (2014) and Coppinger and Coppinger (2016). Enrichment and empowerment are essential qualities which can, with forethought, be successfully expanded to engage significant markers of canine wellbeing in a detection dog permanently living within the home of an unwell human. A lack of support to enable fulfilment of these needs, or at least the satisfactory achievement of them, is discussed later.

Narcotic detection relies to a large extent on the expertise developed through canine olfaction. A study was conducted by Slabbert and Rasa (1997) to determine whether maternal narcotic detection behaviour accelerated later skill development and the acquisition of expertise by puppies. Early observation of working role models appeared to enhance the acquisition of narcotic detection skills. Whether puppies from expert medical alert assistance dogs would learn chemical detection skills more speedily if they observed their mothers at work, has yet to be tested, chiefly because the charity is still in its own infancy and most of the dogs in current training have been donated, 'rescued' or are family pets who have shown natural aptitude to alert their human guardians to extreme blood sugar fluctuations. Many species have been observed to pass on genetic and learned traits to their offspring or group members to aid breed/species survival. An example are the Forest Troop anubis baboons (papio anubis) who, over at least two decades, have undergone 'multigenerational culture transmission' as young male baboons adopted the behaviour of the new troop into which they transferred (Sapolsky, 2006: 645). Additionally, Irvine (2007: 16, note vii) recalls 'evidence that meerkats teach their young about hunting appeared in the journal Science 14 July 2006 (Vol 313 no. 5784: 227-229)'.

But despite the importance of continuous practice and training to develop expertise, there is a need for natural talent, a perhaps anthropomorphic attribution of a canine yen to fulfil the trainer’s particular requirements and find enjoyment in achievement. The medical alert assistance dog requires a temperament that allows the formation of a strong human-animal bond and a vigilant ability to sleep polyphasisically or in random snatches of time so that the
altered smell of changing blood glucose levels can be acted upon instantly. Both the alerting dog and the cancer-detecting dog need to have and maintain good health, mental ability and physical agility, show curiosity in training, a propensity to search by smell rather than sight, and display sufficient appreciation of the rewards offered as motivation to continue sniffing for detection purposes. But, as Michalko (1999:138-139) stresses, relative to the matching of a guide dog to a human companion:

All discussion of a dog’s breed and breeding is put in terms of human descriptors – a dog is intelligent, confident, curious, and so on. When a dog is described as having a ‘personality’, it is no wonder that we often forget that it is ‘just a dog’. ... Given roughly matching levels of activity and physical fitness, ‘personality’ is the criterion trainers consider when matching dog guides with blind people.

Guide Dogs for the Blind and Dogs for Good are among seven accredited canine-assistance charities that fall under the banner of Assistance Dogs UK (ADUK). The mission statement of this coalition of assistance dog organisations (ADUK, 2015) purports to

encourage the exchange of ideas and best practice amongst its members, raise awareness amongst the general public and promote behavioural and legislative changes to ensure the freedom, independence and rights of its clients.

Dogs are recognised by ADUK if they
- have been trained to behave well in public
- have safe and reliable temperaments
- are healthy and do not constitute a hygiene risk observed over a considerable period of time
- are fully toilet-trained
- are regularly checked by experienced veterinarians
- are accompanied by a disabled handler who has been trained how to work alongside their assistance dog
- are recognisable by the harness, organisation-specific coat, identity tag on their collar or lead slip that they wear

The dogs participating in this research are accredited globally by Assistance Dogs UK (ADUK), Europe (ADEU) and International (ADI), the latter providing an ADI Minimum Standards and Ethics document, and are trained by the UK charitable organisation, Medical Detection Dogs. They are identified as keen ‘sniffers’ and problem-solvers which aptitudes lend themselves to success in detection work achieved by olfactory ability (Gadbois and Reeve, 2014: 3-20; Bradshaw, 2012: 224-249). A study, examining the value of trained alerting
dogs to people subject to glycaemic episodes by Rooney, Morant and Guest (CEO of MDD) in 2013, used structured interview sessions in client homes, comparing nine client records of blood glucose levels, assistance dog behaviour, alerting method, and further comparisons between routine testing and response testing (when the dog provided an alert). Their findings showed that seven clients recorded a significantly higher proportion of routine tests within target range after obtaining a dog; and that, 'based on owner-reported data...trained detection dogs perform above chance level' (2013: 1)

Dog breeds are divided into sporting and non-sporting groups chiefly for the purpose of entering category classes at shows such as Crufts, ‘the world’s largest dog show’ held in Birmingham, UK. ‘Sporting’ dogs include hounds who were traditionally bred to hunt prey (for example, Deerhound or Dachshund), gun dogs such as the Labrador or Pointer, who indicate and retrieve prey, and terriers (Bull Terrier or Fox Terrier) who hunt smaller animals, particularly those who live in holes, for example, foxes and rabbits. They are known as sporting breeds because they are traditionally used by people who hunt with dogs for ‘sport’. The Kennel Club groups non-sporting dog breeds to include utility dogs (Dalmatian, for example), pastoral/herding dogs (for example, Border Collie, Anatolian Shepherd Dog), working dogs (Bull Mastiff, Dobermann) and toy dogs (Pomeranian), but as Odendaal (2003: 5-6) comments:

In a certain sense, the grouping of dogs is artificial because dogs are also used interchangeably for different (incorrect?) purposes...nowadays the sporting breeds are seldom used for their original purpose of hunting. Labradors, for example, are used as guide dogs, and terriers as watchdogs.

Working dogs in any breed group are so-labelled when ‘working’ and interacting with human individuals, whether in terms of rescue from landslide, scenting illness conditions, finding lost individuals, drugs or money, acting as therapeutic aides or emptying/filling a washing-machine.

Medical Detection Dogs’ canine work force may have pedigreed ancestry or come from mixed parentage. The majority would be categorised into the ‘sporting gundog’ group which includes Retrievers and Spaniels rather than the non-sporting working breeds; but as Coulter (2016) and Odendaal (2003, above) have suggested, a working dog is likely to be working and interacting with human partners in a range of fields, so the grouping of dog breeds does indeed seem 'artificial'.
Paul considers 'the fact that the charity works with all manner of breeds and sizes is a bonus'. He suggests some charities will not 'foresee other things' whereas diabetes has many other complications ‘so just having one breed of dog doesn’t always work’. He is thoughtful:

Sometimes you need a little dog, sometimes you need a big dog, sometimes, you know... I wanted a large dog purely because of losing sight and all the rest of it but when another illness flared up, I was...like, maybe a little dog is so much easier, you can pick it up and carry it.

Savalois, Lescureux and Brunois (2013: 77) draw attention to 'recent trends in social science advocating recognition of interactive properties in human-animal relationships' in their ethnographic investigation of herding dogs and their 'trainer-users'. ‘The dogs’ hunting skills are used to turn him/her into a working tool, through minimally constrained education and training’. As these researchers learned from their human participants, 'once trained, the dog should become an autonomous but controllable worker' (2013: 77) well versed in helping. The effects of medical assistance dog training enable independent decision-making by the dogs and in turn, learning is gained through observation of them by both clients and trainers.

However, there are important criteria to be fulfilled by the prospective human member of the interspecies partnership. Because of the high number of people applying for a medical alert assistance dog (MAAD), the charity’s website (www.medicaldetectiondogs.org.uk) defines criteria for acceptance onto the client waiting list:

- Those who are detrimentally affected by their health condition, in particular having Type 1 or Type 2 diabetes, with little or no hypoglycaemic awareness. Criteria do not prevent those who use insulin pumps from applying.
- Those who have made every attempt to come to terms with their condition and to manage it by other means, but still have frequent hypoglycaemic episodes.
- Children should be at least five years old and if under the age of 18, have adequate parental support.

The following list includes items considered when taking the decision to accept an application for a MAAD:
• the individual has been diagnosed with a life-threatening illness for a minimum of 12 months;
• the current impact of the condition on the applicant’s daily life; how often emergency paramedics are called out or how frequent the need for hospital admission; whether the applicant has had to stop work or, if a child, has had repeated absence from school;
• whether the applicant would be willing to monitor their blood sugar levels regularly and keep detailed records of them, and also be prepared to maintain records of the dog and his or her work performances;
• whether the applicant could commit to attending the MDD training centre regularly to participate actively in human and canine training days and further, would be able to manage the dog correctly;
• whether the applicant would be able to meet the emotional, physical and financial needs of the assistance dog and provide a stable home environment; and
• be able to understand that the MAAD is a working dog, similar to a Guide or Hearing Dog, and would wear a jacket identifying the MDD charity whenever out and about in public. Applicants should understand that when they are seen in public with a working dog, they are liable to attract interest in both themselves and their health condition.

More information is requested in application forms and questionnaires for those interested, chronically unwell individuals who are eligible to apply, including those who apply for their own dogs to become working diabetes alert assistance dogs. The need for responsible commitment to the assistance dog’s welfare is emphasised and applicants are asked to describe their past experiences of dog-handling and to name the person who would be responsible for the dog’s daily free-running and where this would take place. An additional document, to be submitted with the application form, asks the applicant’s diabetes healthcare professional to complete medical details that would assist in the charity’s ‘accurate evaluation’ of a prospective client.

The organisation, MDD, has charitable status and so does not charge for training a medical alert assistance dog - current costs to train each dog are approximately £13 000 - to the sometimes exacting and essential requirements of the diabetic individual. However, a paragraph in the application form advises that:
once a dog is placed, you are financially responsible for the care and welfare costs (including insurance) of the dog, except in exceptional circumstances. The dog’s welfare is paramount to us and the dog will at all times remain the property of Medical Detection Dogs.

Words such as ‘ownership’ and ‘property’ in terms of companion dogs and their human keepers are commonly stated in the Code of Practice for Dogs in the Animal Welfare Act 2006 and are in general parlance. This returns us to dominance and control issues, and thus to the use of animals as equipment managed by human means and therefore the labelling of them as ‘of unequal status’. But being a device or property belonging to another for health benefit can, as in this case, ensure a high standard of care and affection. Later chapters explore the concept of animate instruments in terms of ethics and morality, and in particular, the ethics of care.

4.2.4 ‘Works-in-progress’

Marc Higgin (2012: 74) takes human-dog partnerships working in the field of blindness to explore interspecies ‘works-in-progress’ who, like the diabetes alert dogs and their human partners, are developing and learning together how to be and do (mobilise) an animate assistive device. This is the becoming of a symbiotic progressing relationship in which smell is the overarching instigator of care-giving mechanisms to reduce health-risks in the interspecies lives.

The ‘working’ dog of this research is an assistant, a skilled and caring helper to those who require expansion, extension or improvement to a particular ineffectual or malfunctioning corporeal ability or element in order that they may proceed safely and successfully with worldly existence. In the context of scent detection and Type 1 diabetes, these dogs assist. They are not considered to be ‘in service’ in the same manner and below-stairs hierarchy of butler or housemaid. Their human companions do not conceive them as ‘trapped’ (Serpell et. al., 2010: 483) in servitude, dominated and oppressed, or imprisoned as slaves.

Once trained in scent detection relative to their human partner’s odour patterns, the dogs work of their own volition, using independent decision-making skills and are not situated in positions of subservience to their human companions. They are not slinking, servile creatures of hyena-like cunning, nor do they resemble Lofting’s (1920 [1997]) ambivalent pushmi-pullyu. They are
not employed in a contract that they have ‘personally’ signed (Palmer, 1997), and ‘they do not receive monetary pay directly, nor would they be interested in money specifically’ (Coulter, 2016: 147) for their consistent and considerable assistance. They are nutritionally or physically rewarded with food, toys or play, however, and have accreditation from the aforementioned national and international organisations, ADUK, ADEU and ADI; their human ‘schoolteachers’ similarly attain accreditation from recognised dog training organisations, for example, the Association of Pet Dog Trainers (APDT).

The medical alert assistance dog undertakes work that involves a previously-established task, for which he or she has been trained specifically. Jocelyne Porcher (2014: 7), when referring to the need for mutual recognition by farmers and farm animals, suggests ‘work can only reach its potential if it is recognized’, and contends that it is ‘with speech and petting that the farmers recognize their animals, and it is with trust and proximity that animals recognize their farmers’. The diabetes alert dogs and their human co-workers also offer and accept tangible or spoken reward and recognition of their endeavours, for example, to nudge and have the alert signal noticed and acted upon, to be nudged and offer reward, to give and take responsibility for each other’s care practices. In this work, social identities are formed, and become appreciated and accepted by multiple entities.

The work to be performed is comprehended through earlier instruction, by reward-based training that may take weeks or months of practice depending on the age and ability of each dog, leading to both an agentic way of acting and a habitual positive responding towards a future lifelong human companion. Classic conditioning or training methods stem from the days of Pavlov’s work with dogs, who salivated at the sound of a bell having learnt that that noise signalled food, but such learning can result in nonhuman animal discomfort and fear. Pavlov ‘helped to establish the fact that animals such as dogs were quickly able to learn the significance of artificial cues that evolution could not have prepared them for...Classical conditioning is automatic; it does not involve the dog reflecting on what has just happened’ (Bradshaw, 2012: 101). While positive is preferable to negative reinforcement, both of which may have success in animal-training methods, it is instrumental or operant conditioning, reward-based training – rewards taking the form of highly desired food items, toys, praise, active affection, or off-leash play – that seems most attractive in
concept and achievement for both dog and 'handler', and is the method used by the charity’s training staff. Bradshaw (2012: 107) emphasises that, as for classical conditioning,

the timing of the delivery of the reward is crucial. There must be no more than a second or two between the dog performing the desired action and the arrival of the reward. Longer than this, and not only will the learning be slower to establish, but there is also an increased chance that the dog will make unwanted associations with something else.

Throughout the observation periods, there was no evidence of anything but encouragement to ensure training moved at a pace suited to the dog’s personality, age and temperament.

4.2.5 Medical detection dogs in training

During a visit to the charity’s headquarters, I watch two trainers working with a young dog, Ben, who has completed the first week of scent training and is now moving on to a client’s individual scent. While the dog is relaxed and ambling around the office desks, one of the trainers, Liz, puts a client’s breath sample pot inside the leg of her boot and says that ‘as soon as he’s on it, we click and reward – the main thing is to let him find the client’s scent’. They encourage Ben who seems a little confused and unsure of his purpose; he licks his lips and his eyes wander round the room. Liz says the idea is to make it a game as he’s still in the early stages of training. ‘We don’t want him to get anxious or stressed out’.

The trainers wait patiently and gently guide him towards the general area of the sample without giving away the pot’s position. They will do this up to five times a day ‘but sometimes only twice’, depending on the dog’s degree of confidence. Rooney, Gaines and Hiby (2009: 133) confirm the need for dogs to have positive associations and gradual introductions to required actions so they are never afraid or concerned when asked to perform a particular behaviour.

The sample for medical alert dog training is always placed somewhere on the human body as that is the source of the scent. ‘It’s never visual’, always olfactory detection, and the sample pot is only used once so it gives off a clear and uncontaminated odour. The training starts with a cloth placed somewhere on the trainer and the search is treated as a game, using a general odour until the dog is ready to be matched with a client’s sample odour:

Once you’ve got that confidence, you let him search on his own to build on the confidence, and then hold off the food reward so that
a bit of frustration encourages him to want the reward – but it has to be very finely timed which is why I went straight in when he started to lip-lick and yawn, to show him he’s right and not push him too far. If you lose confidence in the early stages, then it’s really difficult to build it up again.

Ben has a food allergy so he’s on a fish and vegetable diet. His high reward treat is freeze-dried duck. Liz remarks that it is necessary to find the right high value item to motivate the alert dog, and not give something they’ve had during puppy training. ‘We have to be really careful with the choice of reward we use in training’.

However, being an animal and therefore sentient, the ‘working’ dog is not a mechanical robot, not of the order of the interactive therapeutic ‘Paro’, for example, the robotic seal which has achieved success in care facilities since 2003 and epitomises the advantages of neotony, having been created with large soulful eyes and a soft furry huggable ‘epidermis’. ‘It’ is designed to be ‘sociable’ and to have ‘states of mind’ (Turkle, 2011: 8-9), dependent on how the robot animal is treated and how this affects its tactile and other built-in sensors.

As with the Paro seal and the AIBO robot dog, the medical alert assistance dogs provide cost-savings and financial benefit to health-management organisations as well as providing health and social advantages for their diabetic carers. But neither of the former - which/who may certainly be beneficial to those who cannot care for their own companion animal in a residential or convalescent home, for example - can maintain the close, warm contact and agentic ability of the diabetes alert dog.

At the charity’s training centre where trainees are matched to individuals with chronic illness, whether rain is sheeting down or snow whitens the surrounding fields, the atmosphere indoors is inviting. Human and canine workers intermingle with seemingly contented, collaborative intent. Gates across doorways act as multispecies department-dividers rather than species-separators. There are desk-workers, eyes fixed on computer screens, who have dogs lying next to their chairs – these are trained dogs paired with humans who are themselves employed in the charity’s work but who would be unable to sustain employment without the alerting interventions of their canine companions.
When I arrived, a group of five large and small dogs were romping and racing around a field under the calm and watchful eye of an instructor. In a smaller paddock, a single dog was undergoing training, with enthusiasm apparent in student and instructor, and plentiful rewards for good work. Despite his free-running colleagues playing ‘tag’ close by, his concentration was impressive and the distraction was ignored.

The diabetes medical assistance dogs learn a routine of alerting behaviour that is appropriate and acceptable to the human recipients who themselves must learn and adjust to someone sharing their home and lifestyle, someone who is likely to have habits of which they may not always approve; and, if they have never before shared life with a dog, who will take up more space and time than they could possibly have imagined. They too have to learn how best to maintain the wanted optimal alerts through accurate and timely reward, paying due attention to the canine signals, and ensuring inter-species training continues, whether at home, in the shopping mall or open field.

A staff member, ‘Val’, (her name, as is the case for other members of the MDD staff, has been anonymised to maintain confidentiality) explains the procedures involved in placing a trained dog with a potential human match. She informs me that, prior to an interview, all applicants are first invited to attend an Applicant Awareness Day during which information, required by an applicant to make an informed decision as to whether a medical alert assistance dog is right for them, is given. Also offered are details of the requirements needed to ensure maintenance of a future effective partnership with a Medical Detection Dog.

Before a placement is finalised, the applicants receive training and handling sessions at a one-day ‘Introduction to Assistance Dogs’ meeting. After this session, the instructors advise whether they feel the applicants require further training before being placed into a dog-human matching procedure. These additional training periods may take one to four more sessions and result in a decision to proceed with matching. If a situation arises where it is considered not viable for a client to handle and manage an assistance dog safely and confidently, the applicant would not proceed further in the matching process.

Val explains that any clients with young children are invited to the MDD centre to attend a family handling day which ‘covers appropriateness around dogs and how to read the signals that dogs give to show they are happy or
uncomfortable’. Once applicants have carried out as much training in handling an assistance dog as is felt necessary, they attend a dog match day to find a suitable companion. Val observes that this may necessitate the applicant returning to the centre on a different day in order to work with other dogs, should the first assistance dogs they meet, not be found suitable. Once there is a potential match, the interspecies dyad attend a two-day advanced handling session at the centre, and then both spend a further three days in the client’s home environment.

If this is successful, scent training commences with an instructor. The partnership will then receive weekly visits for a period of six weeks following the home placement, changing to two-weekly visits for another six weeks, depending on how everything is progressing. Val comments that there is always support available by way of telephone calls, visits, and if needed, a two-day refresher handling course for the client, until the human-canine partnership achieves accreditation which may take place three to six months after placement, but can take longer. Thereafter, she says, the MDD instructors undertake a ‘six-month’ home visit to the partnerships and then annually. They also arrange and run regular refresher and get-together sessions at the training centre and in other regions, and the latter, Val affirms, are particularly benefitting partnerships residing some distance from the MDD Centre.

Medical Detection Dogs supports the concept of the ‘Five Freedoms’ for the good welfare of dogs in their care and in the care of their clients:

- Freedom from hunger and thirst
- Freedom from discomfort
- Freedom from pain, injury and disease
- Freedom to behave normally
- Freedom from fear and distress

The Code of Practice for the Welfare of Dogs (DEFRA, 2009) applies to all dogs and is intended to ‘provide practical guidance’ to assist in compliance with the provisions of Section 9 of the UK Animal Welfare Act (2006). The Act stipulates that all reasonable steps should be taken to ensure that dogs’ needs are met and elaborates as to how this should be done, based on the five freedoms. While breach of a provision of the Code of Practice ‘is not an offence in itself,’ if proceedings are brought against an offender under Section 9 of the Act, the Court will look at whether or not the offender has complied with the
Code in deciding whether an offence has been committed (DEFRA, 2009). For individuals who have canine companions, whether as trained medical assistance dogs or solely as good friends, the Code of Practice gives a set of guidelines for human practices of canine care.

4.2.6 Medical Detection Dogs in work

In the study by Savalois, Lescureux and Brunois (2013: 82), there is a section entitled ‘when the focused work tool becomes an autonomous worker’ which may be linked without difficulty to Paul’s later comment about his diabetes alert dog, Nero, being an instrumental aid. A further example can be identified in Mel’s commendation of Gemma’s independent decision-making. The dog wakes Mel in the middle of the night with the medical kit in her mouth so that Mel is immediately readied to test her child’s dropping blood sugar levels.

Within the bounds of their research into interactivity, in herding dog training and usage where the dogs’ trainers are livestock breeders, Savelois et al. (2013: 83) suggest that ‘the trainers consider that the dog’s knowledge of livestock functioning is much better than their own’. In the context under investigation here, the intention is to facilitate life with chronic illness and provide means to improve the functioning of blood glucose in the body. While medical professionals are usually human, it is fair to say that both the charity’s dog-training staff and the individuals living with Type 1 diabetes accept that the diabetes alert dogs’ knowledge, or rather their ability to detect the impact of diabetes on the human body, is much better than their own.

Natasha exemplifies this thinking and identifies emerging knowledges and abilities of the diabetes alert dogs, confirming that:

We all know the dogs know way more than we do, but there’s only so much that is currently scientifically proven that can be worked upon; and of course when you live with one, and certainly all the partnerships we’ve spoken to so far, you know, they will tell you that the dogs do more than what they’ve been trained to do.

This echoes the words of Despret’s (2008: 133) cattle breeders who denote intentionality to their animals by commenting that the animals ‘know what we want better than we know what they want’, and is further elaborated in Haraway’s (2016: 129) study:

Figuring out what their animals want, so that people and cows could together accomplish successful breeding, was the fundamental conjoined work of the farm […] the animals paid
attention to the farmers; paying equally effective attention to the cows and pigs was the job of good breeders.

Haraway (2016: 129) suggests that this is an 'extension of subjectivities' for both humans and animals, and in Despret's (2008: 135) words, this is 'becoming what the other suggests to you, accepting a proposal of subjectivity, acting in the manner in which the other addresses you, actualizing and verifying this proposal, in the sense of rendering it true'.

Intersubjectivity, elucidated by Hurn (2012: 125-138), and incorporating consciousness of the self and the other (Irvine, 2007), empathy (de Waal, 2010; Gruen, 2015), flexible personhood (Shir Vertesh, 2012) and a spiritual trans-species merging (Viveiros de Castro, 1998), is reflected in the entangled relationships of the medical alert assistance dogs and their chronically-ill partners.

Goode's 'general model of intersubjectivity' (2007: 90) in which matters may be 'assumed but not communicated; matters communicated but not spoken; and matters formulated into language' (2007: 89), can demonstrate how 'anthropomorphic description might be based upon shared aspects of dog-human intersubjectivity that are in some sense anterior to linguistic naming' (2007:90). For example, interspecies communication that takes place through the silent language expressed through the senses and in corporeal mobility. The assistance dogs central to this research have innate and taught skills that augment the capabilities of their human companions; those less well endowed with sensory awareness; and those who are restrained by chronic illness to limited movement in the home, or whose intention to integrate in society is prevented by fear of unprepared-for dizziness or an unexpected fall on the bus, in the supermarket or at school. There is reciprocal intention in their knowing and learning communications and in their care-workings together for comfort and improved health.

In the 'donation' of abilities and skills, the dog effectively 'becomes-with' the human and embodies the malfunctioning aspects in order to recognise them (in this instance, the extreme 'fall' in blood sugar levels made obvious to the dog in the altered odour of human breath) and create means for positive restructuring (human recognition of the dog's alert by follow-up blood testing).

**4.3 Needs and complex issues in chronic illness**
Turning to the sociology of health and illness, content is centred here on researching medical and sociological issues involved in chronic illness and examining the concept of disability, the study of needs and complex issues in Type 1 diabetes and the management of long-term bodily dysfunction.

When would someone identify themselves as disabled and why; when would society nominate an individual as disabled, and why? And how does a medical alert assistance dog make a difference to the human's self-esteem and social integration? These are among questions of personal and social identity formation, and the sharing of an interspecies identity, to be investigated and debated. Discussion is enhanced by the insights and personal opinions of Tom Shakespeare (2014); by Molly Mullin's (1999: 202) commentary on the crossing of fluctuating boundaries between species and the human use of nonhuman animals to construct identity, in which she cites (1999: 211) Haraway's notion of 'polishing an animal mirror to look for ourselves' (1991: 21); and further, by considering Rod Michalko's illustration of his shared identity with a guide dog, Smokie, 'the two in one' (1999). The concept of transhumanism and a cyborgean identity highlight the extension of human wellness and ability through partially-mechanical means, seen against achievement in health attainment by guidance from sentient instruments.

This section draws on research studies that explore long-term chronic ill-health with particular focus on Type 1 diabetes, and that show how chronic illness and resultant ongoing disability may alter an individual's existence and identity in the world; changing attitudes, behaviours and methods of living with a long-term illness in today's individualist 'Western' society.

In addition, care practices affecting canine-human partnerships in chronic illness, are examined within the boundaries of Type 1 diabetes communities, whether these are drawn from personal health teams, medical institutions, communal groups comprising members of the focal partnerships, instruction and training group collectives or the social groups in which the human-canine partnerships become integrated.

Nettleton avers that medicine has been 'taken to task for the way in which it treats patients as passive objects rather than 'whole' persons (2013: 5). 'Western' attitudes to the generally accepted 'medicalisation' of illness, the biomedical model in which the patient may be considered a passive anatomical bearer of disease in the hands of the medical professional, have swung towards
a more active process in which disease is also seen to be socially created and constructed, and can also therefore be affected by the patient’s choices and decisions on illness treatments. As Bury (1991: 452) opines, ‘interpretive sociology, in particular, has developed a view of people as agents, rather than being merely the products of the contexts in which they live’.

The current division between illness and disease allows an individual versus collective interpretation. In the former, illness is seen as a personally-owned experience whereas disease is medically identified as corporeal dysfunction. Impairment or dysfunction then gains medical attention and professional treatment whether it is a disabling condition or not. In this research, the condition of Type 1 diabetes can seriously hamper a desired lifestyle - unlike some illnesses, it is chronic and neither preventable nor curable. As a result those with chronic illness have to adapt in order to re-able aspects of their changed future, find, enact and establish new coping skills and strategies, and attempt to understand some of the complications and restrictions caused and being created by the diagnosis and ongoing treatment regimes.

Sociologists, suggests Nettleton (2013), should observe illness behaviour and attempt to understand illness action; regarding the latter, she enjoins sociologists to perceive how the experience of illness affects people, how they comprehend and interpret it, and find personal significance in it. ‘The sociology of health and illness involves the study of people’s interpretations of their bodily experiences and concerns the social aspects of the regulation of bodies’, opines Nettleton (2013: 9). As mentioned in the introduction, ‘when people have the opportunity to give voice to their experiences of illness, it becomes evident that their accounts are woven into their biographies’ (Nettleton, 2013: 73).

It is this use of an illness narrative that provides identity and meaning for the participants in this study. Experience of chronic illness is explained in discourse, buoyed by the extensive research conducted by Charmaz (1983; 1995) and Bury (1991; 2001). Bury (2001: 264) suggests that language and narrative are prominent features ‘in the repair and restoring of meanings when they are threatened’.

Shakespeare develops the narrative concept both in terms of academic research and autobiography in his Disability Rights and Wrongs Revisited (2014). He discusses the varying effects of impairment resulting from trauma or illness and highlights (2014: 32) Charmaz’s (1995: 657) suggestion that
chronic illness assaults the body and threatens the integrity of self. Having a serious chronic illness shakes earlier taken-for-granted assumptions about possessing a smoothly functioning body.

Charmaz’s use of abusive verbs - assault, threaten, shake - to illustrate the physical and mental turmoil that may be created by chronic illness is forceful and unambiguous. The demise of a formerly flourishing self-belief can cause the unwell individual to be dragged deep into a vortex of depression, into an unedifying day-to-day downward spiral where merely to exist may be a lonely, confusing, messy and seemingly pointless process. Kenneth Doka’s (2002) concept of ‘disenfranchised grief’ and its challenges, lends itself to bodies shaken apart and lives unsettled by chronic illness so that sorrow and regret for what might have been, become prominent and possibly destructive emotions.

Although resultant changes in lifestyle always occur, not all chronically ill individuals experience the intense psychological effects of such a diagnosis as those noted above. To wit, chronic illness involves the creation of an identity of self that incorporates the complexities of both ‘living a life’ and ‘living an illness’: what Whittemore and Dixon (2008: 177) term ‘uneasy bedfellows’ existing side-by-side through necessity and challenging the self to produce a personally- and socially-acceptable identity. These researchers employed a mixed-methods design to examine ways in which US adults with a chronic illness integrate it into their lives. Findings showed participants tried hard to integrate illness into a meaningful existence. However, the necessity to live an illness was seen as overwhelming because of the frequency and unpredictability of changing symptoms, the range of emotional challenges and the essential daily routines.

‘In mainstream medicine’, according to Howes and Classen (2014) technology has largely usurped doctors’ sensory diagnoses, ‘while in treatment the alleviation of pain is often considered the most that should be done for the patient’s sensory wellbeing’ (2014: 37). Investigation into sensory perception was once the sole preserve of psychology but now includes what Howes and Classen (2014: 13) term a ‘coalescence’ of disciplines, for example, those of anthropology, geography, literature, and more recently, sociology. They consider a combination of approaches has encouraged the development of a new field of sensory studies.

The prescription of alternative and complementary medicine has become more widespread as a result of the increasing Western desire to have senses
and emotions, 'lived experiences' (Howes and Classen, 2014: 7), recognised and catered for in medical treatments, for example, in music therapy (De NORA, 2016), equine acupuncture (Kosi, 2011) or animal-assisted therapy (Fine, 2010). These are among sensory healing remedies for multiple species now becoming acceptable in ways that were previously unheard of or merely anecdotal in the West⁴. This change has also affected the care and welfare of domesticated nonhuman animals, with examples seen in Reiki’s ‘hands-on’ touch therapy, in citronella-based fly repellents, and in plant-based homeopathic remedies such as ‘rescue’ drops for calming canine anxiety during thunderstorm, firework display and similar stress-inducing events.

Although complementary therapies and remedies are now more widely available for health-giving and caring purposes, it is the employment of other animal species to help in improving human wellbeing that is central to this study in which research into chronic illness investigates the new identities of humans integrating Type 1 diabetes management into their lives, before and after the arrival of a medical alert assistance dog. From this, as has already been shown in the partnership between Tina and Harley, emerge shared and individual identities – the human-nonhuman animal dyad becoming socially accepted and integrated as a single united ‘self’ in society, contrasted with the solitary human trying to escape the self-designated long-term societal outcast label, wanting to ‘belong’ but frustrated by the self’s inability to cope unaided.

Problematic issues can develop if continued social interaction and integration into ‘normal’ society are curtailed due to anxiety and the stress of anticipating public embarrassment at personal human failure. The narrative below from Janet, explaining her life before Alfie’s companionship, will exemplify such issues.

Kathy Charmaz (1983: 170) details four sources of suffering resulting from the loss of self in chronic illness - the necessity to cope with ‘living a restricted life, existing in social isolation, experiencing discredited definitions of self, and becoming a burden to others’. And nearly a half-century on, these issues remain prominent in diminishing both self-worth and a positive self-image among the lives of the chronically ill, regardless of age, ethnicity, gender,

⁴ Herzog (2011) finds conflicting outcomes of AAT in his examination of the ‘pet effect’ in relation to non-pet owners and pet-owners.
colour, creed or demographic context. ‘Experiences of being discredited, embarrassed, ignored and otherwise devalued’ (Charmaz, 1983: 177) contribute to growing isolation and loss of self worth.

In this instance, much self-esteem rides on the quantity and quality of support from medical personnel, family and friends, but where such validation is unavoidably absent, feelings of depression and inferiority may take over the reins of identity formation. Diminishing control of the illness and a lack of self-efficacy can lead to feelings of failure and incapability; losses leading to a halted progression towards better health and lifestyle, but alternatively, people with chronic illness may ‘gradually raise their hopes and progressively increase their identity goals when they meet with success’ in their adaptation to a changing body (Charmaz, 1995: 660).

When the human self-image is devalued and social disenfranchisement becomes personal to the individual with Type 1 diabetes or other chronic illness, the medical alert assistance dog can play a highly significant remedial role. The dog is not merely an autonomous medical assistant but a sentient partner able to provide positive, visible evidence of the human’s status in the world. The canine provision of respect, non-judgemental support and willing companionship, together with an apparent desire for the other’s approval, highlight characteristics that naturally boost human self-confidence.

4.4 In/dependence

The dog becomes an aid to independence, simultaneously allowing dependence - a weighty burden of responsibility that appears shouldered with equanimity. As a guide dog safely leads an unsighted companion around shopping malls and onto trains, so the diabetes alert dog can arrest the progress of their human partner before the disorientation and confusion of a hypo sets in during a shopping trip or journey on public transport. In return, the dogs are praised and rewarded, given time out and time to play.

Loss of an independent lifestyle complicated by an inability to function as expected and approved by our Western society, can lead to withdrawal from social activities and to a perceptible lessening of healthy cognitive thinking. A young participant, Janet, who relies on grizzle-muzzled Labrador, Alfie, for support in private and in public, exemplifies this:

I had no confidence before Alfie. I wouldn’t talk to people because I’d be so worried I might just collapse on the floor in front of them;
it got to a point where I just didn’t want to go out and I’d only go out with mum or my brother – it just felt too daunting. So yeah, having Alfie, my confidence just sort of soared really.

Bury (1991) suggests chronic conditions vary markedly in terms of their symbolic significance within segments of the cultural order, affecting individual adaptation as the meanings alter throughout life-stages. Changes in symptoms over time, he claims, can affect social responses and these may then affect experience (1991: 454).

Risk and uncertainty in the behaviour of those with chronic illness can cause an observer to draw incorrect conclusions - dizziness or visual impairment may lead to a wandering, stumbling gait more often associated with drunkenness, and incoherent speech encourages similar branding. A fall or loss of consciousness in public by a diabetic individual may be seen as stereotypical of an epileptic seizure or of a lengthy sojourn in the local pub, but is rarely considered, by a public likely lacking knowledge of Type 1 diabetes, to be the result of extreme fluctuation in blood glucose levels. And then those who do recognise it as a symptom of this form of diabetes may kindly but mistakenly offer sugar-free drinks or food whereas the need is more often for a boost in glucose intake for those suffering from a hypoglycaemic episode.

Whittemore and Dixon commend their adult participants living with chronic illness as ‘remarkably resourceful in developing attitudes and strategies to assist them in integrating the illness into their life context’ (2008: 11). Bury (1991: 451-452) also comments on results from studies that have detailed ‘the steps people take to manage, mitigate or adapt to it (the burden of chronic illness), and the meanings attached to these actions’. Such resourcefulness becomes equally apparent from interview excerpts in which this study’s participants narrate their personal interpretations of life with Type 1 diabetes and with a canine medical alert assistant.

It is, of course, not only the individual with chronic illness who is severely affected by the diagnosis. There is a visible ripple effect that spreads out the impact onto others in the household, onto work colleagues, friends and in fact, all who come into contact with him or her, be they members of the personal health care team, staff in shops or institutions, even those meeting the individual in a social setting or travelling on public transport:
The biggest challenge now is getting on the bus with the dog and the baby and the pram. I have to pick the time of day when I know the bus is going to be quiet otherwise space is so restricted for a dog and a pram; the dog needs to be tucked under the seat so his tail doesn’t get trodden on and still be within reaching distance for treats so I can reward him for being good.

(Janet and Alfie)

The implications of a chronic illness diagnosis are felt personally and socially, economically and financially, physically and mentally: the results cause manifold life-changes for more than the lone recipient of the diagnosis. Participant Paul’s partner, Natasha draws attention to some of the diverse consequences of this chronic illness:

When I think about it, you know the tinnitus, you know partially-sightedness, you know he’s now broken his nose twice, he doesn’t smell very good, he doesn’t taste very good (Paul interjects muttering that he does smell okay), he’s got diabetes and another chronic illness, and you know with depression and anxiety, your mental capacity is not as astute as it used to be, and yet to look at him, you wouldn’t think there was anything wrong with him; but it’s that whole silent illness thing.

Chronic illness within a family context has been well explored (among relevant studies are papers by Rolland, 1987; Newby, 1996; Knafl and Gilliss, 2002; and Gregory, 2005). But research has also analysed the effects of chronic illness on individuals living alone. Charmaz (2006) highlights the measuring of individual pursuits as a way to assess personal health and illness, and to aid the definition of a dynamic identity. In her research, those debilitated by chronic illness took on more social, rather than physical, roles in activities they had previously enjoyed; they were able to maintain friendships and social contacts that ‘reaffirmed that they had not become invalids’ and thus gained significant feelings of continuity and self-worth (Charmaz, 2006: 34).

Tina, who shares her home and life with diabetes alert dog (DAD), Harley, appreciates friends at work who understand the difficulties inherent in chronic illness, who go out of their way ‘to keep her within the work loop’ and who are seemingly unaffected by her repetitive questioning:

When I’m typing, I use the 18 font whereas the others are using 11. I’ve seen a lot of deterioration in my eyes and I think my memory’s going – I keep turning up at appointments at the wrong time; people tell me stuff and then I go and ask them again.

Through the endeavours of office colleagues and the night-and-day canine alerts to possible hypos, she continues to live on her own, to use public
transport and to be employed, all of which would be impossible without the
dog’s attentiveness to her changing chemical odours.

Whether or not the participants in this study had shared their homes with
canine companions before diagnosis, the affirmation given by a medical alert
assistance dog upholds self-validation and helps to avoid prejudice and
disenfranchisement. Those interviewed were able to maintain the same social
standing as other people who kept dogs, walked with them in urban and rural
settings, travelled distances on planes, buses and trains, attended dog-training
classes, who freely conversed in social situations and, as often occurs naturally
among 'dog-walkers', were fully able to discuss the merits, or otherwise, of their
companion animals on human enjoyment of life.

Perspectives were therefore not so altered by the diagnosis that former
lifestyle management methods had to be completely abandoned in the face of
changing social pressure. However, the age of the chronically ill person can
affect the opinion of the observer, whether they are aware of such an influence
moral dimension of beliefs and practices surrounding different health states'.
Type 1 diabetes is heritable rather than a result of certain lifestyle behaviours.
Therefore children may be diagnosed with this condition at a very young age
and receive beneficial support and likely sympathy and consideration
appropriate to their age.

But older recipients of this medical diagnosis may be considered less
kindly when seen, as mentioned earlier, to stagger, or to block doorways or
pavements with a wheelchair. Yet, when accompanied by an assistance dog
who is seen to fetch a medical bag or to press a doorbell for the hampered
individual of any age, public scrutiny is immediately swayed to more thoughtful
concern. How flexible is our moral thinking!

4.5 Self and social identity formation

Molly Mullin (1999: 202) comments on the human use of nonhuman
animals to construct identity and pertinently cites Haraway’s notion of ‘polishing
an animal mirror to look for ourselves’ (1991: 21, in Mullin, 1999: 211); a phrase
which so simply emphasises the human reliance on animal reflections to gain
images of ourselves and our place in the world. Charmaz and Rosenfeld (2006:
36) also investigate the mirror image when exploring Cooley’s (1902) ‘concept
of the looking-glass self as a tool for looking at the relationships between the
body, self and identity’. They point out the difficulties faced by individuals with dis- or in-abilities who are compelled to struggle against ‘obstacles that undermine realizing a recognizably competent identity’ (Charmaz and Rosenfeld, 2006: 37).

In public, ‘potentially discrediting visible characteristics...shape how actors manage their envisioned selves’ – whether they face ‘imagined comparisons with others and imagined normative standards’ (Charmaz and Rosenfeld, 2006: 38) or whether they turn away from social integration.

When I ask if she has ever collapsed in public, Janet admits a particular cause of personal fear, perhaps unconsciously relating it to the stigma that can so easily be manifested by the reaction of others when a malfunctioning body determines its own behaviours:

Not in public. I’ve always been so on the ball with blood-testing...but when I would have them (hypos) at home during the night, I make a real high-pitched shrieking noise and it’s always that that’s made me super, super, like I don’t want that to happen in public because that would probably really scare people and make them sort of ‘oooooohhh’ (Janet laughs quietly). Obviously with the convulsions and everything, I just thought I don’t want to put anybody through it.

I remark her thoughtfulness for others and am reminded of Tina’s similar care and concern for what other people might think of their illness-related ‘unusual’ behaviours.

Lynda Birke and Jo Hockenhull (2012: 2) draw attention to the ease with which we ‘focus on the outcome of our relationships with other species, but much less on how relationships work, as a process, or an ongoing interaction between two or more sentient individuals’ (italics in text). In this study, focus rests on working relationships and relationships being worked on within healthcare boundaries; mutualistic collaborations developing performances and processes by which human and nonhuman may cross boundaries and embody mutual attunement in a shared identity.

Richard comments on the frequency of his public identification as ‘the trainer’: ‘maybe the dog’s jacket should have “in training” on one side, and on the other, his actual job. Perhaps have “hypo-alert dog” on the jacket’. At this point a visitor sitting at an adjacent table in the café where we are conversing, questions: ‘he’s very small to be a blind guide dog, isn’t he?’ and I am surprised
that the speaker seems not to have noticed that Higgins’ jacket is red, in contrast to the Guide Dogs’ well-known yellow identification garments and accessories, nor that neither dog or human appear visually impaired. But again, many people ask if the dog is diabetic.

Charmaz and Rosenfeld (2006), as mentioned earlier, shed light on the self’s internal and external image presented to the individual as both mirrored reflection of self and visible appearance to others. They suggest (2006: 35) that increased knowledge of the body is extricated ‘beyond appearance and information control about the body into the experiences of the body and to those emanating from it as they arise during illness and disability’. Charmaz and Rosenfeld claim that ‘as our sensitivity increases to the unexpected gaze of others, staring into the looking-glass they hold can become increasingly painful’ (2006: 36) and then that pain can fracture the mirror, refracting ‘contradictory images’, they write with a jarring accuracy (Charmaz and Rosenfeld, 2006: 44). However, such ‘pain’ may be reduced when the mirrored image portrays the two-in-one, the dyad that is ‘our self’, and the strength of the symbiotic partnership is seen to diffuse the critical gaze of others, and magnify the visible interspecies bond.

Having gained confidence, Richard admits that he now looks less at people’s reactions to seeing the red-jacketed Higgins and himself when they’re perceptible to a public eye:

I’m more focused now on what I am doing. I’m probably more aloof than I was to begin with. I used to feel that everyone was looking at me. People ask if they can stroke him. Now I’m more conscious of the ratio; that one in every ten people wants to pat him or ask a question. On trains or buses, you can’t help but sit next to someone who wants to talk about what he does. I’ve got over the self-consciousness now and can concentrate on what the dog and I are doing.

As we speak in the park-side café, another refugee from the inclement weather comes across from a neighbouring table where he is seated with a companion:

Man: I see your little dog has ‘medical training’ on his jacket. Why medical training?
Richard: I have diabetes and he alerts for high and low blood sugar levels.
Man: So somebody with diabetes could have a dog like this?
Richard: Yes, to warn them.
Man: That’s very interesting.
Richard: You can look up the charity, Medical Detection Dogs.

The man thanks him and moves back to his companion. Richard ponders for a moment and says ‘that was enough, I think; you can overdo the explanations’. The difference in Richard’s demeanour, his altered identity and way of being compared to observations made during my previous visit, is perhaps in some way related to Haraway’s ‘animal mirror’ (1991: 21) and Mullin’s ‘mirrors and windows’ (1999: 201). I feel his newfound confidence is testament to Higgins’ visible abilities and the shared benefits of ‘crossing boundaries’ (Birke and Hockenhull, 2012) and creating bonds.

Erving Goffman (1959) examines the presentation of self in everyday life and his glimpse of humans working in lower-order service employment can relate also to the nonhuman animal considered to have a lower social and economic ranking than the human. ‘Face-to-face interaction may be roughly defined as the reciprocal influence of individuals upon one another’s actions when in one another’s immediate physical presence’, Goffman (1959: 26) pronounces, and then continues: ‘a “performance” may be defined as all the activity of a given participant on a given occasion which serves to influence in any way any of the other participants’ (Goffman, 1959: 26). The alerting dog’s performance is a constant activity on given occasions so that ‘when an individual or a performer plays the same part to the same audience on different occasions, a social relationship is like to arise’ (Goffman, 1959: 27), one in which belief and trust in each other is affirmed daily.

Michalko (1999) continues this theme:
From the beginning, Smokie demonstrated nothing but his desire to become my friend. He is my partner and the trust, respect and admiration we have for one another is captured even more in the idea of friendship than in that of a bond (1999: 187).

Tales of the presentation and reception of the shared identity under public scrutiny are woven through the narratives given by the human-half of the participating multispecies partnerships, while the dog’s presence and abilities are communicated by body language and active participation in the relationship. In particular the human-needed canine alert is communicated as a result of the dog’s acute sense of smell verifying changes in chemical odour detected in their human partner.
5  The canine sense of smell and olfactory acuity

Then what a variety of smells interwoven in subtlest combination thrilled his nostrils; strong smells of earth, sweet smells of flowers; nameless smells of leaf and bramble; sour smells as they crossed the road; pungent smells as they entered beanfields. But suddenly down the wind came tearing a smell sharper, stronger, more lacerating than any – a smell that ripped across his brain stirring a thousand instincts, releasing a million memories – the smell of hare, the smell of fox.

V. Woolf, (1933 [2016]: 7)

Conception of dogs as animate instruments and efficient and highly effective healthcare resources requires examination of the canine nasal structure to discover how this contributes to their exceptional accuracy in olfactory sensitivity. Low (2005: 397), having explained in his ‘ruminations on smell’ that smell occurs everywhere in the experience of life, suggests that discovering the role of smell in our daily routines might better be ‘apprehended within the domain of a sociology of everyday life’; and in this case, its role within the everyday realities of symbiotic life in chronic illness.

Looking at the dog’s sensory acuity compared to our own in terms of olfaction, appears to be an exercise in futility since the human nose conveys merely a microsmatic or weak-scenting ability, much as the nose in most primates, and functions far less capably than that of the pig, dog or rat. However, having said that, research by Zelano and Sobel ‘highlights results from studying humans, whom we think provide an underutilised, yet critical, animal model for olfaction’ (2005: 431). They comment on the canine ability to recognise humans through odour but ‘we don’t all appreciate that, reciprocally, humans can identify dogs by their odor’, as suggested by Wells and Hopper (2000), and seen in the practice of human scent detection ability that is learned, conducted and discussed by Horowitz (2016).

Sarah Pink (2015) delves into historical sociology of the senses, researching studies investigating the sense of smell and social interaction. She highlights the suggestion by Kelvin Low that ‘the differentiation of smell stands as that which involves not only an identification of “us” versus “them” or “you” versus “me”, but also processes of judgement and ranking of social others’ (2005: 405). Certainly our judgement of a dog may easily, and sometimes unknowingly, be affected by an unpleasantly odoriferous and unkempt coat that has been well-rolled in the intense scents left by fox, badger or cat, for example.
Howes and Classen (2014: 38) recall historical images of the senses as ‘gateways to the body’: illness could cause sensations in the body while some bodily sensations could cause illness or raise good health. Low’s study of smell, Pink avers, ‘attempts to move ... towards individual, lived experiences where smell may be utilized as a social medium in the (re)construction of social realities’ (2005: 398).

This research is vested in the canine sense of smell and its influential use in biomedical technologies, and therefore is not deemed a sensorial ethnography since I am not recording or interpreting personal or human naso-sensory perceptions of the odour of volatile organic compounds. However, there is no doubt that both human and canine participants are affected by olfactory experiences within their shared homes, within their communities, practices and co-embodied identities. The canine olfactory sensitivity that enables acutely perceptive and beneficial detection of symptoms of human illness at home, or in the public gaze, can be considered to have use, as Low has suggested, as a social means to (re)construct ‘social realities’ (2005: 398).

Irvine takes behavioural flexibility as well as multi-sensory integration to be indicators of consciousness (2007: 9), emphasising that the ability to integrate information from different sensory pathways allows beings to detect mis-information and respond to it. Hearing, touching, seeing, smelling and tasting all provide detailed information for conscious processing; the alert assistance dog has a highly developed sense of smell that allows detection and prediction of possible corporeal frailties of which the human is not consciously aware and therefore does not recognise as requiring urgent attention and action.

Serpell (2010) is among previously-named contemporary researchers who consider the contribution made to human health by companion animals, a theme invigorating the heart of this multispecies study to investigate the impact of canine olfactory detection on chronic illness management. This beneficial sensory ability is extensively explored by Miklósi (2009) and by Bradshaw (2012), the latter providing an anthrozoological perspective on the canine ‘world of smells’, a world enabling prediction and detection beyond vision and audition that has profound significance for human wellbeing.

5.1 Smelling like a dog
Alexandra Horowitz (2016: 3) commits to training her nose ‘to better conjure what it might be like to have the mind and nose of a dog’ in order to discover canine experiences of smell and the odours, often beyond our ability to recognise, which guide a dog’s perception and understanding. In her scenting endeavours, what Sluka and Robben (2007: 28) might term ‘sensorial fieldwork’, Horowitz (2016: 6) suggests ‘we may also see how to return to that perhaps more primal, so-called animal state of knowledge about ourselves and the world that we have forgotten in a culture wrought of technology and lab tests’.

However, it is also in perceiving the medical alert assistance dog as a modern-day health technology, that we gain increased experience of our shared identities and ‘situated knowledges’ (Haraway, 1988: 575-599). Haraway suggests that feminist objectivity ‘allows us to become answerable for what we learn how to see’ and recalls ‘lessons that I learned in part walking with my dogs and wondering how the world looks without a fovea and very few retinal cells for color vision but with a huge neural processing and sensory area for smells’ (1988: 583).

Research into the importance of the senses of vision and hearing to humans has been and continues to be a vast arena for exploration. But, in comparison, the sense of smell has been sparsely investigated; and research relating to the canine perception of odours remains scarce. Our sense of smell is not a priority for human survival, or at least not in contemporary ‘Western’ society.

5.2 Consequences of scenting

The human nose is practically non-existent. The greatest poets in the world have smelt nothing but roses on the one hand, and dung on the other. The infinite graduations that lie between are unrecorded. Yet it was in the world of smell that Flush mostly lived. Love was chiefly smell, form and colour were smell, music and architecture, law, politics and science were smell. To him religion was smell.

V. Woolf (1933 [2016]: 86)

Howes and Classen (2014: 4-5), in researching the importance of smell in global societies, cite Endacott’s study of the Batek peoples of peninsular Malaysia, who are reported to ‘classify virtually everything in their environment by smell, and say that the sun has a bad smell “like that of raw meat”, in
contrast to the moon, which has a good smell, “like that of flowers” (Endacott, 1979: 39).

Subconsciously, we evoke memories and draw conclusions based on odour, taking pleasure in smells enticing us to enter a favourite bakery or to linger in a rose-laden garden. We breathe in and, with eyes closed, can identify bruised mint leaves, the smoke from an autumn bonfire or a steaming tarred road after heavy summer rain, or the less pleasant sulphurous smell of a bad egg or worse, the noxious odours from open sewage or a decomposing carcass.

The examples above exhibit strong and distinctive scents whose pungency our sense of smell cannot ignore; all are consequential effects of where we are or what we are doing; neural messages from the nose to the brain affording future memories and related emotions. Mention the smell of rotten eggs and immediately I stand wind-blistered and sand-blasted under a leaden sky, on the shoreline between a darkened sea and the granite-grey desert of the Skeleton Coast of Namibia, watching the churning thunderous Atlantic rollers disinter sea-bed organisms and pump malevolent sulphurous smells landwards – smells that evoke memories.

Olfactory memory training has been shown to assist in improving the human ability to smell odours, notably for people with Parkinson’s disease who may have lost this sense (Haehner et al, 2013); furthermore, the inability to detect odours as predictive of Alzheimer’s disease is also under investigation. Hummel, Landis and Huettenbrink (2011) affirm that smell and taste disorders strongly affect quality of life and suggest that the ability of the olfactory epithelium to regenerate is significant to treatment.

However, our human survival depends more reliably on the sensory perceptions of keen sight and acute hearing (Ingold, 2000: 243-287) whereas dogs survive by employing their sense of smell as primary means of identification or discrimination, to detect areas of safety or danger, food and water, family member, friend or enemy, migratory pattern or the quickest route to the best mate. Evolution as human animals has reduced our scent detection ability, both in physiological structure and olfactory capability, so we now rely more on sight and hearing to perceive and achieve wanted goals.

Ache and Young (2005: 417) suggest that ‘the ability to detect and respond in an adaptive manner to chemical signals serves as the primary
window to the sensory world for most species of animal’, but an acute sense of smell is no longer the prime human survival mechanism in contemporary society. There are exceptions of course, such as the olfactory skills of ‘wine tasters and perfumiers’ (Bradshaw, 2011: 226), whose professional ‘noses’ may be highly insured for their commercial value to fragrance producers and vintners – but survival is not incumbent upon them.

The exceptional canine ability to perceive and identify odours with high accuracy, together with dogs’ sometimes surprising propensity to like the company of humankind, has become appreciably useful for a range of tasks, as noted above. Their additional value to biomedical research lies in the collaborative non-invasive detection of physically-limiting boundaries constructed by chronic illness – particularly that of Type 1 diabetes. As mentioned previously, people with this illness can only obtain necessary insulin through injection or infusion so have a continual struggle to maintain accurate practices of care and to conduct the stringent treatment regimes to correct and balance blood glucose levels that fluctuate dramatically according to intake of nutrition, levels of stress, barometric pressure changes and/or exercise.

Internationally, positive results are emerging from ongoing scientific research and development technologies in this field – for example, work on types of artificial pancreas, on pump therapy and the creation of human stem cell-derived beta cells (Vegas et. al., 2016). Staymates et. al. (2016) experimented with the design of a 3D printed dog’s nose which could sniff and found improvement in detection which could benefit future vapour samplers for explosives, narcotics and illness.

But 10%, of the 3.5 million people known to have diabetes in the United Kingdom, have already been diagnosed with Type 1 and this compels them to spend every day of their lives practicing the mechanics of care and performing strict treatment routines to control the effects of the illness, using whatever method is currently available to them. That those with Type 1 diabetes will look forward to new health technologies is not in doubt, as discussed in the section on transhumanism, but survival in the ‘here and now’ is the primary objective of those currently coping with the complexities of this chronic illness.

So a human inability to recognize symptoms of an onset of hypoglycaemia can result at worst in death. However, the smell of changing scent signatures, the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) exhaled in every
breath, disturbs the usual odour pattern recognised by the medical alert assistance dog who then actively warns the human partner of an impending episode. These dogs may nudge, nibble, stare, paw, whine, scabble or jump up to alert the human companion. They are trained to use an alert signal that is natural to them while being recognisable as such by their partner and not seen, for example, as an invitation to play or go for a walk. I ask Liz, an MDD trainer, how the young dog she is working with is likely to alert a future partner. She replies that it depends entirely on the dog. ‘Ben is quite a nudger so maybe he’ll do that. It’s whatever the dog offers, not what the client chooses’.

The alert behaviour needs to be of sufficient intensity to wake up a sound sleeper with fast-dropping blood sugar levels and at night is likely to be performed with increased volume and mobility when compared with more subtle alert signals in daylight (participants recall the dogs shaking their heads and rattling collars and tags, claws tapping floorboards, jumping on the bed, whining and barking when doing night-time alerts). The medical alert assistance dogs learn to give well-practiced alarm signals to their human partners when blood glucose levels rise or fall to unsafe concentrations, usually above 12 or below 4.5 millimoles per litre\(^5\), although the range will vary according to the individual concerned. People with Type 1 diabetes generally see 7mmol/l as a ‘normal’ blood glucose reading on their monitors.

The canine assistant may fetch the medical kit for their human companion and not leave them until sufficient treatment has been seen to be performed. As mentioned earlier (page 92) with reference to causality (Irvine, 2007: 8), the trained diabetes alert dog appears able to connect cause and effect: to have intention to act, to perform that intention, and then to wait and see the consequence of what they have done - and do more if he/she ‘think’ it necessary. As one participant confirmed, referring to her canine partner:

In the middle of the night, obviously I’m in a deep sleep, he’ll get me up and get my blood kit; but he doesn’t stop there because he won’t relax and let me get back into bed to go to sleep until I’ve had my Lucozade and something to eat - and then he’ll just chill out.

5.3  Canine nasal structure

\(^5\) Mole is the molecular weight of a biological substance in grams so that, for example, the molecular weight of glucose is 180 so 1mmol glucose equals 180 mg.)
The dog’s nasal cavity contains hundreds of millions of sensory neurons in the olfactory epithelium (OE), the skin which lines convoluted nasal turbinates – paper-thin, spiral-shaped bones at the back of the nose – providing a vast area for odorant transport. Helton (2009) opines the average dog to have an olfactory epithelium of approximately 170 square centimetres compared to the approximate 10 square centimetres of OE in a human. The OE of the pig is estimated to extend to 300 square centimetres (Roura and Tedó, 2009).

Whether the porcine olfactory ability could be aligned to biomedical treatments in the same manner that dogs are trained to assist in healthcare, is not for this study; but future research into the scenting prowess and ‘trainability’ of other macrosmatic species could lead to a broader resource base of animals specialising in odour variation: animals who may be able to deliver increasing accuracy in the detection of illness at an early stage, both among human and nonhuman sufferers.

As yet, no mechanical system, no technological equipment, have been designed to be as effective in odour detection and discrimination as the nasal architecture of mammals such as rats or dogs. That of the dog serves as a model for the E-nose, an electronic nose that will eventually provide non-invasive diagnosis of serious illnesses affecting human and nonhuman animals. Gas sensor arrays, such as the LABRADOR (the light-weight analyser for buried remains and decomposition odour recognition) which is used to find clandestine graves (Vass, Thompson and Wise, 2010), are among those advancing inanimate scent detection technology but there is nothing currently manufactured and available to match the keen olfactory sensitivity of most nonhuman living creatures.

News media and academic papers have commented variously on the exceptional scenting abilities of multiple species. It is recorded that:

in Europe, turkey vultures (*Cathartes aura*) are trained to search for, but not eat, human corpses in hard-to-access rural areas, their sense of smell being more acute than that of a dog (The Local de, 2010). When comparing the visual systems of New World turkey vultures and black vultures (*Coragyps atratus*), Lisney, Stecyk, Kolominsky, Graves, Whylie and Iwaniuk (2013) noted that the turkey vulture has larger nostrils, a larger nasal fossa, a greater surface area for olfactory receptors, and a relatively larger olfactory bulb, and that they are able to detect carrion in the absence of visual cues;
in Africa, the Giant Pouched Rat (*Cricetomys gambianus*) learns swiftly to scent and warn of concealed landmines (Poling et al., 2010) and to detect pulmonary tuberculosis from sputum samples (Poling et al., 2011);

in Southern Africa (Miller et al., 2015), the olfactory prowess of the African elephant (*Loxodonta africana*) enables them to detect traces of TNT;

in Britain, the People’s Trust for Endangered Species supports research with working ‘sniffer’ dogs to trace hard-to-find harvest mice (*Micromys minutus*) (Coles, 2015).

Locusts, of the family *Acridae*, are also becoming instruments in the olfactory search for explosives. BBC Technology ([http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/technology-36702704](http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/technology-36702704)) reported that researchers are currently developing technology that may allow locusts to detect explosives using their sense of smell. According to Baranidharan Raman of the School of Engineering and Applied Science at Washington University (2016), neural signals from a locust’s brain would be processed by an attached chip that could send information back to those guiding the locusts into remote areas.

Craven, Paterson and Settles (2010: 933) suggest a dog can detect odorant concentration levels at 1-2 parts per trillion and that, according to Walker et. al. (2003; 2006), canine olfactory acuity is ‘roughly 10 000 – 100 000 times that of a human’. Think of a drop of water – and two water-filled Olympic-sized swimming pools – to picture the ratio more easily. Investigating the fluid dynamics of canine olfaction, Craven, Paterson and Settles (2010) draw attention to the fact that olfaction and respiration each have a distinct airflow path through the nasal cavity and these unique nasal airflow patterns can explain macrosmia in certain animals.

Breathing-in enables odour-laden air to be transported to the olfactory recess area of the nose, while respiratory airways take the remaining airflow from the olfactory recess towards the nasopharynx, where it exits the nasal cavity. Figures a, b and c, published in the Craven et. al. (2010) study, provide graphic description of these nasal structures.

Craven explains that ‘expiratory pathlines originating from the nasopharynx demonstrate that airflow bypasses the olfactory recess during expiration, leaving quiescent (*inactive*) scent-laden air there, providing an additional residence time for enhanced odorant absorption’ (2010: 940, figure 7c), and enabling accurate detection and discrimination.
A canine alert can be given up to an hour before an episode that might cause human loss of consciousness. An example is offered by Mel, a participant whose child has had Type 1 diabetes for several years but has been able to play football because their family dog and trained canine alert assistant, Gemma, alerts to Mark’s changing blood glucose levels by jumping up as she watches from the sidelines before his blood sugar drops too low. Mel explains:

There is a respect barrier, a line of tape and posts between spectators and the players on the soccer field. Gemma will sit quietly beside me, then she will try to go over to him; she goes to the end of the lead, turns back and faces me, comes back and jumps up, paws at the bag I keep the meter in; then she goes back to the end of the lead which will be just about under the tape, and sort of jumps back and tosses her head as if to say ‘come on’.

I ask whether she herself then goes onto the field: Mel laughs and says she ‘couldn’t just waltz onto the field if there’s a match’, so instead she goes round to the coach and whispers her request to ‘get him off’. The player can be called off for a blood test, perhaps be given a swift-acting carbohydrate boost, and may then be able to resume play.

Similarly, adults can shop without fear of collapsing in the supermarket when the assistance dogs recognise and alert to scent changes prior to a ‘hypo’. Before she shared life with Apple, Sara frequently collapsed in public without warning, an often-embarrassing feature of hypoglycaemia in which blood glucose levels can suddenly drop dangerously low.

Tina, whose blood sugar levels are also inclined to drop very fast, recollected having a recent ‘funny turn’ when Harley, jumped up as she was paying for goods in a supermarket. The lady at the till asked if I was alright. I said I’d get a coffee and sit down. I came round in the ambulance’. The next time Tina went there to shop, the staff told her how sorry they had felt for Harley: ‘he was so stressed doing everything, making sure everyone was doing everything right, we thought you were a trainer’. Such nonhuman animal concern for human frailty, and such human concern for an animal’s visible and invisible anxiety, evidence more than one way in which symbiotic relationships are caused and maintained. (Methods that may reduce such emotional feelings and behaviours in a working dog are addressed further on). Tina believes the shop’s members of staff like to see Harley working and, referring to her collapse, adds: ‘by all accounts, he did super...but what upset me more than
anything that day was when I realised that I’d dropped my coffee and so didn’t have any to drink - and it were free!"

During our conversation, Harley lies stretched out, snoring loudly, under Tina’s chair. Suddenly interrupting her discourse, he gets up, stares at her, puts a paw on her leg, then jumps up and licks her face. She tests her blood sugar levels and finds them to be 23.5 millimoles per litre, far above her acceptable range. Harley is rewarded swiftly with praise and a treat and Tina goes off to eat and rebalance. In this case the dog has alerted to hyperglycaemia, when blood sugar levels rise too high rather than dropping too low, but he has been trained to alert to both hypo- and hyperglycaemic conditions endemic to his human partner.

Dogs can retain scents as can cats, rabbits, rats and the majority of other species. However, the olfactory recess is 'largely absent in microsmatic primates' (Craven, Paterson and Settles, 2010: 933), for example, humans and rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*), and olfactory mucosa (mucous membranes) in these species are located in the upper part of the nasal cavity. The dog’s exceptional olfactory acuity appears to ‘depend on its nasal airway architecture and odorant transport by these unique airflow patterns generated during sniffing’ (2010: 939).

John Bradshaw devotes a chapter of *In Defence of Dogs* to canine olfactory ability, comparing its advent to the diminution of human odour perception and the evolution of our three-colour vision (2012: 227). Excluding perfumiers and wine-tasters, who have specific verbal language to describe the odours they smell, Bradshaw draws attention to the lack of language available for human description of ‘the quality of odours’ surrounding us (2012: 226).

Where we have the advantage in daylight vision terms but have weaker auditory powers than dogs, the latter leap and bound streets ahead of us in the scenting stakes. Not surprising, when reading statistical claims by Bradshaw and other researchers (Craven et al, 2010; Miklósi, 2009) that between 220 million and two billion nerves link the canine olfactory epithelium to the dog’s brain.

So sniffing is a natural practice for a dog – air is inspired and expired both for olfactory purposes and for respiratory survival. Encouraging a dog to use its sense of smell to identify biomarkers of human disease through positive reinforcement or reward-based training appears advantageous to both species.
However, ethical issues arising from the concept of ‘using’ other-than-human animals are investigated and discussed more fully in a later chapter.

The following section, with its intense number of quoted and barely edited participant narrations, is strongly influenced by James Clifford’s image of a wished-for ‘utopia of plural authorship that accords to collaborators not merely the status of independent enunciators but that of writers’ (2007: 490). The voices of participants should be heard as speaking for themselves in their own choices of language, time and space. Clifford (2007) suggests it is only after they have been heard, that a researcher should attempt to reflect, discuss, interpret or analyse any verbal content narrated by the observed speakers in response to questions asked.

Respondents ‘speaking’ in the following chapter neither evade questions nor try to change meanings. The vocal responses are communicated in human speech since the dogs achieve interspecies communication mainly through physical movement and sensory perception. The participants volunteer word-pictures of their everyday routines in Type 1 diabetes, the co-embodied skills and behaviours they find compulsory to practice and make available to observation, and the mutualisms inherent in the symbiotic relationships developing from chronic illness.

6 ‘Doing’ diabetes Type 1

Josephine Donovan’s (2006: 324) call for ‘a feminist animal care ethic...political in its perspective and dialogical in its method’, pleads for the extinction of superimposed human voices over those of animals and urges recognition of their own subjectivity instead of considering them human-dominated objects. Clifford and Marcus (1986: 15) introduce the concept of ‘polyvocality’ into our cognition; the notion of a seemingly efficient and apposite method of hearing what discussants think and feel about themselves, their illnesses, the company they keep and the existences in which they live. However, ‘researcher mediation’ (Emerson, Fretz and Shaw, 1995: 13) cannot avoid some degree of influence on the direction and pattern of flow before, during and even after a particular discourse is complete. As Hurn suggests (2012: 212), polyvocality has become expected in ‘postmodern ethnographic writing’, but the multiple voices heard are principally of human creation. It is to be hoped that Donovan’s plea continues to be heard and acted upon so that multispecies dialogues are more sensitively and correctly interpreted.
6.1 The illness, Type 1 diabetes

Formerly known as insulin-dependent diabetes (IDD), Type 1 diabetes is, as noted earlier, a medical condition in which the immune system destroys the body’s insulin-producing beta cells in the pancreas. Produced successfully, insulin is a hormone that allows glucose to leave the blood and enter the cells of the body to provide energy, but once an individual is diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes, insulin injections or infusions take over and become a constant requirement.

Information from Diabetes UK (2017) suggests diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) may be an indication of undiagnosed Type 1 diabetes; it is likely to occur when insufficient insulin prevents glucose entering cells and results in the body burning fatty acids, and acidic ketones being produced, for energy. High levels of ketone bodies in the bloodstream can lead to DKA, loss of consciousness and possibly death if the individual is not treated rapidly.

Paul believes his ketone production is generally low and quite often, if he goes high, ketones are not registered on the monitor when testing so he is relieved not to suffer ketoacidosis. But then when Nero gives really strong alerts to his blood sugar levels, he wonders if ketones are being produced and decides he will start looking into this. His thoughts show him to be an ‘active’ rather than ‘passive’ patient (Nettleton, 2013: 5); one who will make an effort to discover improved methods and treatments, who will trial and experiment with what is new and available:

You don’t know unless you try these things – bear in mind that like the blood monitors, years ago it used to be urine sticks and the results were 4-6 hours in arrears I think, so when you used to do your dipstick and you turn round and find you’re high, bear in mind you only had the little strips to line up, and it was this and it was that...four hours ago.

He is surprised that people are unconcerned with finding new or improved methods of dealing with their illness; that there is passive acceptance instead of an active, ongoing endeavour to make life more comfortable:

Everyone’s different so what affects one may not affect the next; you tend to find what works for you and stick with it. You get people who’ve been 10-15 years with it and they don’t understand – you think surely they experiment, it’s the only way of learning anything – that in certain conditions, this happens, or that happens; it doesn’t always but it’s more likely to.
On a visit to the charity’s offices, I listen to clients talking to and learning from one another, as I am myself. They discuss symptoms of people who retain hypo-awareness, often noticed in pallor, shaking and sweating, but those are the early signals and if ignored may lead to moodiness, aggression or unexpected silence. One speaker, Sally, remembers teenage arguments with her mother over the need to test when ‘in a mood’. I ask if she is still aware of being ‘difficult’ and she answers ‘nowadays, no, not very often’:

My poor husband probably gets most of it now and there are times when he’s woken up in bed and found me trying to smother him with a pillow because I can get quite aggressive. I joke about it but it’s because my blood sugars are so low that my brain doesn’t know what it’s doing and I’m in fight or flight response – so I’m going to kill my husband. *(Laughter follows the comment and someone says ‘but that’s normal, isn’t it’ which maintains the humour – but as is so often the case, the humour is there to alleviate darker emotion and covers recognition of the complex and serious issues involved in coping with Type 1 diabetes.)*

One of the medical alert assistance dog trainers, Gill, admits she never realised ‘how debilitating’ the illness was until she was employed by the charity.

I knew people tested their blood, I knew they used insulin and things like that, but I was very naive about hypo-unawareness and how it stops people going out, stops people working, you know, puts a lot of boundaries in there for them.

### 6.2 Effects of the diagnosis

Richard relates that he was about 21 years old when first diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes. Expecting him to have been shocked and possibly depressed by the revelation, I was surprised when he said he had felt relief: ‘I had the classic symptoms of having a very dry mouth and not being able to quench my thirst’, so finding reason and name for his symptoms enabled access to a community of similarly-labelled individuals and eased some anxiety surrounding his unquenchable thirst when informed of available medical treatments.

Symptoms occurring before a hypo, and of which he is no longer aware, are listed on the kitchen wall at home and also in his office so that his work colleagues can recognise out-of-the-norm behaviour and respond appropriately:

I’ve broken the symptoms into groups. The first one is sweatiness, dizziness, trembling, shakiness, going pale; the second group is irritability, difficulty speaking and/or concentrating, confusion and in the third group, disorderly or irrational behaviour that may be mistaken for drunkenness.
Sometimes I think I’ve got low sugar levels when it’s the opposite, when it’s very high which is because...you know, not really having the full inkling now...it used to be sort of trembling of the lips, that sort of thing; tingling lips could be a sign. And just being a bit wobbly - I can remember when I was first diagnosed and would go into the canteen for a snack, and on some occasions just wasn’t really able to move my legs in the ways that I wanted, and people would speak to me and I wasn’t able to respond.

Whereas now I seem to be quite able to chat to people on the phone or in person at 2.2mmol/l, so I’ve lost all those signs that other people might pick up on.

Paul was diagnosed at a much younger age than Richard. He was six and avoided hospital visits for hypoglycaemia as his mother, ‘kind soul that she is’ [he speaks of her with warmth and appreciation throughout our conversations], decided she would deal with his hypos herself:

On the odd occasion, normally at night, if I didn’t wake up, she would force feed me, sometimes punching me in the stomach to shove food down my throat – it sounds terrible but you don’t remember any of it and I wouldn’t fault her for the world, she did a brilliant job, she did what needed to be done and I never did go into hospital.

Paul says he has never been aware of falling into a coma (although he is reminded by Natasha, his partner, that he was once unconscious for about four hours), because generally he keeps functioning and is not a ‘collapsing diabetic’:

My brain shuts down and just says keep walking, get something to eat and that’s what I do; it’s happened at work in different places but I just keep going.

Charmaz (1995) delineates the rigours and exacting demands of diabetes, its incessant clamour for attention to prevent loss of body, mind and spirit. Determination, patience and skill seem essential to performing the ongoing tasks inherent in this highly complex illness. Accurately weighing and measuring food intake, withdrawing exact amounts of insulin for injections, and gauging alterations in blood glucose levels resulting from stress, exercise or health issues, can have strong impact on day-to-day living, and ‘hypos’ can affect planned activities detrimentally.

Paul explains how weather changes can affect his blood sugar levels:

Generally I try to keep my bloods up so when I’m on a walk, they’re rising as I walk and so it stays level and when I get back, I’m not too bad. But different weather conditions have an impact
so today, although it’s quite blustery which means it’s harder to walk, I was warm on the walk and so burned off more. In summer, you strip off to a pair of shorts and a T-shirt so actually you’re cool on the walk.

Mol’s observations of the ‘miniaturized blood sugar measurement machines’ as diagnostic devices (2000: 19) draw attention to how an individual may learn to be independent from medical professionals as he or she become expert in self-regulation. But, if they are hypo-unaware, they may not recognise when testing and the reading of numerical results should take place, and therefore still risk potential hypo episodes unless they test very frequently; in which case the device may become an unwanted but necessary intervention instead of a valued assistive technology.

Mol allows that use of the diagnostic device may be ‘a practical nuisance’, an irritant that can ruin plans and ‘spoil the day’ (2000: 20) despite its significance to an autonomous lifestyle. Being diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes brings hard work and complex procedures; managing its effects so as to lead the best possible independent life incorporates obligatory management of the tiresome but beneficial blood glucose monitor. But if there is no recognisable symptom of a forthcoming hypo, many have to rely on guesswork and repetitive success or failure of the optional test.

In this situation, the scenting ability of a hypo-alert assistance dog is influential. Where a partner or friend may insist on the frequent need to do a blood sugar test which can lead to ructions in relationships and refusal to oblige, the DAD will continue to perform an alert regardless of the individual’s mood or language; will provide good practices of care despite argument and tension, and being animate, warm and friendly, is likely to gain acceptance of the alert and the need to follow it with a blood test, reducing friction and the need to nag.

6.3 Canine ‘alert’ communication

Natasha comments on the mental and physical agility used by Nero to communicate an alert when Paul is sleeping too deeply to be roused by the dog’s usual nudging and staring:

Nero gets up, he goes shake, shake, shake [she demonstrates his head swinging from side to side so his ears fly up and down], you hear his collar going and his ears flapping, and that’s what wakes me up...but it doesn’t wake Paul so Nero’ll come padding round the bed and I’ll just watch his behaviour while I’m pretending to be asleep in the hope that he’ll go round that side, but...he launches over him, actually on him because he can’t get to my side of the
bed, but Paul still doesn’t wake up; Nero clambers all over him and he still doesn’t wake up...

Sara describes Apple’s similar night-time alerting method:

Before he jumps up on the bed, he does a lot of walking about at night. He’ll get up, shake and you hear his tags jingling, and he paces around the room, sniffs the air and stands next to the bed, and he tends to wake you up then and you realise. If you don’t, he’ll jump up on the bed, but it does give you a chance to wake up gently – normally I think it’s my husband who’ll say to me ‘the dog’s up’ and I’ll look.

6.4 Blood and pollution

Janet Carsten (2013: 132) writes of the management of blood in laboratories where blood is extracted and screened, examined and analysed, before results are entered into information systems where data can be retrieved and referred to by medical practitioners. ‘The processes of extraction, analysis, storage, disposal, and data-recording are at the heart of what goes on in the labs’, she asserts; and perhaps not surprisingly, similar procedures are performed in every residence that is home to a Type 1 diabetic.

Carsten shows interest in the need for social engagement to make things happen, for example, ‘interactions between medical lab technologists and patients, between working colleagues, and between the staff of the labs and the samples they analyse as well as with the equipment they use’ (2013: 132). This research is focused on social engagement and the resultant interactions between medical assistance dogs and their diabetic human companions, between the participants in this research and members of their families and health care teams, and between themselves, the dogs and the insentient blood glucose meters, insulin pumps and test strips, the contents of their treatment toolkits.

Blood, pumping its circulatory route along arteries and veins, transports gases and nutrients, whatever is needed by internal organs to maintain and safeguard life. But blood has further function externally, whether in the form of transfusion, blood-letting or clotting, and in this instance, in providing internal information on blood glucose levels to the external observing eyes of its human ‘container’, who being hypo-unaware, cannot comprehend or manage without measurements and statistics being presented visibly or audibly. Those who have lost hypo-awareness are impeded by the loss of perceptive abilities that
pick up warning signs of hypos and instead rely on the vital drops of blood that are so constantly and determinedly extracted and monitored manually.

Considering here that our skin is the external porous and elastic casing of the body that contains among other essentials to life, the blood flow system, Carsten’s (2013: 4) alternative proposal of ‘the literal uncontainability of blood – its capacity to move between domains’, coincides with Mol’s concept of corporeal ‘leaking’ and the skin’s porosity mentioned earlier. ‘Blood can secure life, but also be a source of danger through its lack of boundaries’, Carsten suggests (2013: 5). For the most part, the body acts as an efficient receptacle for organs, bones, arteries and veins and is equally efficient at enabling the circulatory system to function optimally in pumping blood for life.

Sally comments on the difficulty of testing blood sugar levels when away from home where a hygienic and private environment may be hard to find:

Toilets aren’t the cleanest places [...] and doing the test through clothing isn’t ideal, so people usually go for the quick and easy way and that’s in your tummy or arm.

During my observation sessions, none of the participants ever wore latex or any other form of material glove to prevent molecules of dirt or dust combining with their blood on the test strip or attaching to their pumps, cartridges or glucose monitors. However, they all took care to test in environments that were hygienic, and always at home before and after exercise, shopping trips, or any public venture. Having the dog close at hand generally ensured that an alert would give sufficient time to find a safe and clean area in which to test blood glucose levels.

Mary Douglas’s well-cited observation of dirt as being ‘matter out place’ therefore seems not to require bold emphasis here (2002: 44). Blood is not dried, spilled or considered ‘dirty’ in this arena in the same way that it might become dirt and out of place as the result of a nosebleed or cut from broken glass or fall on a tarred road. However, to avoid contamination of the blood drop that is placed on the test strip, and any possibly incorrect blood sugar level readings resulting from that, advice on the Diabetes.co.uk website does suggest that test strips ‘that have been in contact with dirt, crumbs, food or liquids’ should not be used; on the test strip, those items would always be identified as ‘matter out of place’.

6.5 Injecting insulin
As Richard exemplifies, not everyone with Type 1 diabetes is automatically given an insulin pump and his treatment in the early Nineties involved ‘one of those huge syringes’ which injected a set amount of insulin every day. Sally has shown me one of these and it is indeed ‘huge’ and heavy and shoots the insulin almost with a thump into the chosen anatomical area – I wonder at the strength of character and pain threshold exhibited by those insulin-needy ‘patients’ of former years.

However, when he moved house, Richard’s new doctor informed him that the way he was injecting was ‘very old-fashioned’ and he would find it easier with a ‘pen’. At the kitchen table, Richard opens what looks like an optician’s spectacle-case, takes out a ‘pen’ and demonstrates how it works:

You just dial up the number and there’s a plunger which moves up every time you release a little insulin, so you’ve got a visual of how much insulin is left before you need to replenish the pen. I keep one in the fridge at work, one at home and one on me.

However, the ready-mixed insulin first recommended didn’t work at all because I don’t have the same amount to eat at the same time every day. It didn’t give me any freedom and, if you’re working and socialising and such like, you can’t live your life to such a tight regime. I was having lots and lots of hypos with it, so it wasn’t helping.

He now has long-acting insulin in the morning and evening and can top-up with short-acting insulin during the day, depending on the variables likely to extend his blood sugar levels. It is about an hour since he had breakfast when his levels dropped from 16 to 12 and then to 6.7mmol/l:

It’s okay at the moment, I think [but he tests anyway]; it generally stays high after a meal for about an hour, but then it’s keeping it right between meals. Oh, it’s still quite high, it’s jumped back up to 16.4. I can take a correcting dose – you put in the time, followed by the blood sugar level and it tells me I only need one unit to bring it down which is about 3mmol/l.

As he works at this seemingly non-stop balancing act, this continual ‘doing’ of diabetes care, he explains:

In reality, I’d be inclined to take more than one unit, but it’s all dependent on exercise. If I was going for a long walk, then one unit or none might be more appropriate...

6.6 The insulin pump

Patients are encouraged to attend explanatory courses such as the DAFNE (Dose Adjustment for Normal Eating) in order to gain skills and
knowledge that help in understanding and maintaining the effective use of insulin pump therapy and that enable those attending to share tips that may make life management easier for one another.

Several participants revealed significantly positive personal experiences from incorporating a pump to attain more accurate insulin dosage. But Janet sighs and relates that she used to swim a lot as a child but, although enthused by the pump’s improvement to her blood sugar monitoring, she has not been swimming for some time because ‘you can only have the maximum of an hour of it being off and then you have to do the extra insulin to compensate for what you've not had’.

Paul, recently accustomed to wearing an insulin pump which has been ‘a godsend; it’s so much better, not perfect, not brilliant, but so much better, I wouldn’t be without it’, also regrets the curtailed pleasure and comfort gained from relaxing for a long time in a hot bath because of the pump-free time limitation.

Like other informants, Sally has no hypo-awareness so her diabetic alert dog, Maggie, a sturdy and inquisitive chocolate Labrador, informs her when she should check outside the regular testing times. Sally has an insulin pump which reduces the likelihood of severe hypos but does not prevent them all. Although she has the 'latest' pump which will turn off the insulin when the blood sugar level is too low, Sally explains the ever-present need to know that the sensor’s reading is accurate. She emphasises that ‘we’re relying on so many bits of technology to work, it can be quite scary’. But that is where the assistance dog’s keen sensitivity can reassure and there seems to be comfort and relief in knowing that the canine alert is accurate in predicting ‘hypers’ and ‘hypos’.

I enquire how the pump is attached to her, and while disentangling a flexible line from beneath her clothing, she explains that you’ve got a little cannula which is hollow so the insulin will go down that line into the part that’s just under the skin (the cannula is a small tube that is inserted into the body to allow fluid to enter or escape). It’s a bit like an injection every two or three days, instead of five or ten times a day. Because the insulin is short-acting, the tube that’s now inside, has to stay open so you have to make sure it’s not blocked or kinking and therefore have to check your blood sugars to make sure it’s always open. Air getting in isn’t a huge worry as we only deal with very small amounts of insulin.
But even with this latest technology, there isn’t any less hassle; you’ve still got to be on it. So if the line came off at 3am and my blood sugars were going up, I’d have to change it at 3am – I can’t wait until morning to do it.

I remark, as I find myself doing frequently, that I am astounded at the amount of work and time employed in maintaining a ‘normal’ existence. Sally agrees and says people suffer from ‘diabetes burn-out’.

You go to the clinic and they say your blood sugars aren’t good enough, try harder, so you go back and again they’re not good enough...it’s difficult for teenagers. Whatever you do, will affect your health, and hormones can affect your blood sugar levels as well. With most conditions, you can have a day off without meds, but with diabetes, you can’t.

Paul, who lives in a region of the country distant from the charity’s training centre, is seated at a table set against one wall of the sittingroom when diabetes alert dog, Nero announces my arrival with a low-key bark and a fast-wagging tail. Natasha - who is partner, carer and an executive who has organised the administration of her business so that she can conduct it principally from their home - invites me in. Paul explains that he is putting in a new cannula:

It goes in every three days or thereabouts, it depends on the person. Because I’m still quite insulin-sensitive despite everything, I don’t have as much difficulty as others with the sites. When you’re injecting a lot of insulin, it tends to get sore. You can get a residue, a lump under the skin. You can see the little marks when I pull it off (there are small red discolorations of the skin above his right and left hipbones).

On another occasion, I walk with Richard and his just-above-ankle-height alerting dog, Higgins, through a rainy city park dotted with wet dogs and their umbrella’d human companions. I ask if he has received the insulin pump he was hoping for 18 months earlier. He says that he was found to be eligible and has completed the DAFNE training course which has to be undertaken to ensure users fully understand the pump’s requirements and practices:

The idea is to give you a much tighter control so you can adjust your dose according to the number of grams of carbohydrate you’re eating. It’s been a real success, the course, because I keep control that much tighter which avoids the longer-term complications like sight loss.
Richard is aware of the ‘wild swings from highs to lows’ in his blood glucose levels, so he has ‘phoned again in the hoping of speeding up the pump’s arrival: ‘it just seems to take forever’.

Janet hesitates before admitting the pump has made her life ‘better’ in that she can manipulate the settings to aid control, for example, ‘if you’re going to have a fatty meal which takes longer to absorb, you can set the pump to split the insulin so you have X amount straightaway and the rest over the next hour-and-a-half’.

I note her hesitation and ask what she considers to be the pump’s disadvantages. She laughs and says ‘having to wear it’, especially for me, I have to wear it on my arms because, where I’ve injected in my tummy for 20-odd years, I’ve got lots of scar tissue so absorption there is horrendous. The pump’s a lot better for people who haven’t got that because it’s completely hidden. But I don’t have that luxury so it’s quite obvious, but you know, I’m used to it and I think the benefits of it far outweigh the fact that I get a bit self-conscious.

6.7 The test strips

Paul, still seated at the table, continues to talk as he removes a narrow plastic test strip from a vial that, unopened, would usually contain 25 or 50 strips. So that I can later understand what his recorded words relate to, Paul lets me take photographs as he opens and closes boxes, bottles, and the zipped blood glucose meter pack that are required items. The table has a clean cloth over it and stands between the French window into the garden and the area where the family sit, eat, talk and relax. Sunlight beaming through the glass window onto the table may aid article distinction but Paul, whose vision is by no means accurate, appears used to changes in light strength and is confident in his manipulation of the correct equipment; he can read the figures on the meter without difficulty and identify the precise regions of his skin where the needle can safely be inserted.

You take the strip out of the bottle and insert it into the monitor. It stays like that until you put your blood onto the strip (he pricks his finger and puts a drop of blood onto the narrow white test strip). That’s that and you wait for the result ... that’s lovely, perfect for me, 7.5 on the screen. Then you enter information denoting whether the test is pre-meal, post-meal, bedtime or other. Obviously if you want a snack, you put in your planned carbohydrates and it tells you how much insulin you need for the blood sugar – for the moment, I don’t need anything so we turn it off and that’s that.
The diabetes test strips, despite their insignificant appearance, provide an important function in monitoring blood sugar levels. According to information appearing on the Diabetes.co.uk website

When blood is placed onto the test strip, it reacts with a chemical called glucose oxidase producing gluconic acid from the glucose in the blood. At the other end of the test strip, the meter transfers a current to the test strip. The test strip has electric terminals which allow the meter to measure the current between the terminals. The current between the terminals changes depending on the level of gluconic acid that has been produced. The blood glucose meter then uses an algorithm to work out the blood glucose level based upon the difference in current.

6.8 Cannula and pump

Paul continues his explanatory demonstration:

Now the fun bit. Everyone does it differently, this is the infusion and it goes in there (tell me if you want me to slow down or stop or anything?) and that’s then what flies into you. (He pushes a gadget with a spring mechanism that has a stapler effect attaching a small pad to his skin). You take the safety off and throw that away (He drops the lancet, a short fine needle, into a yellow hard-plastic, lidded Sharps bin\(^6\)).

Paul shows me how he removes any air bubbles from the cannula, fills up the vial and the reserve and starts up the pump again.

Now you take this one; I stop the pump and you can see there’s an air bubble in there so I need to get rid of that. I go onto the infusion set timing, it tells you three seconds (he taps to move the bubble using a similar action as if moving an air bubble from a filled syringe), if there were vials that just fitted, then there wouldn’t be any air. I’ve got to watch it all the way down through the cannula and when it’s gone out, which it has, I now hook up and that has just filled the reserve – there’s a little vial there that needs filling up before it goes into you. You need to fill it up before getting insulin. Then you re-start the pump.

He changes the cannula every three days and ‘the entire kit, changing the vial and everything, is every six days’.

I’ve got to have all the kit ready. You have to draw one of them up so now I do them (the insulin vials) in blocks of five. I have four in the fridge and one out, because you get fewer air bubbles if they’re warm. The vials come in a box so you take one out, then get the pack of insulin and take out one of your insulins – they can

---

\(^6\) So-named for its usage as a secure container for used needles and other sharp waste that might injure or carry infection – when full, the Sharps bin is usually disposed of safely at a surgery, pharmacy or hospital, and not put with general waste on pavements for council collection.
be out of the fridge for about a month. Everyone thinks that if you’re going on holiday, you must keep them in the fridge – no, although once they’ve been out of the fridge, you can’t put them back again.

6.9 Nutrition

The longer I spend with participants, the more I realise how much of their lives are entangled in the always-moving, ever-grabbing tentacles of Type 1 diabetes. I ask Paul how he caters for the varied needs of his embodied illness and how he deals with the very time-consuming weighing, estimating and balancing of ingredients for every meal.

It was never that accurate with the jabs. The pump is much more accurate so it tends to be that much harder to get it spot-on; you have to work a little bit more, you have to weigh food more and guessing has more or less gone out of the window. If you get chips out of the freezer, you’ve got to weigh them, so if you’re cooking for two, it becomes harder.

I ask if he takes a scale when they go out for a meal. But he shakes his head and says:

No, it’s just years and years of learning and guesswork....going out for a meal is hardest. I might order something with a bit of sauce on it; it doesn’t taste sweet but it might be. Then if you jab for that, it might not be correct so you do tend to run one way or the other if you go out for a meal. I run high generally because I go for a dessert as well and of course you’ve got no idea with desserts.

Nero nearly always alerts when we go out to eat. If I’m just peaking and then going to start dropping, he doesn’t bother. A number of times I’ve checked and seen I’ve gone really high so I have a jab and then he suddenly alerts, so I think ‘what now?’ and test again, and I’ve dropped because I was just peaking when I first checked.

Natasha remarks on the need to ‘know your carbs, your complex and your simple carbs’ and recalls that in the past week Paul’s blood sugars have been running high ‘for no reason’:

He hasn’t been doing anything differently, still been injecting the same, no air bubbles in his feed, he’s on the insulin all the time, he even swapped the vials because he thought the insulin might be dodgy.

Paul interjects, saying that that he develops a resistance ‘to pretty much anything over a period of time’:

Lucozade will work for a few weeks and then stop; same with sugar in tea; dextrose will work for a while and then take longer to
work. Even different flavours or just changing the flavour, has an impact. Some days I can eat what the pump dictates, like 28 grams of carbs, for example, so you have 28 grams of fast-acting carbohydrate to bring you back up to roundabout the 7 mark which is what my pump is set at for a good result. So I do that and 20 minutes later when I check, I'll still be low so then it says eat XYZ. So I do that, wait another 20 minutes and check again; I'll just be coming over so it says eat a little bit to bring you above. Well, two hours later, I'll be as high as anything because all that I've eaten has now taken effect – but at the time, it hadn't.

It’s a guessing game a lot of the time...an educated guess, but still a guess.

His narrative paragraphs are neither edited nor shortened in order to allow a reader without Type 1 diabetes to comprehend the issues involved in what should be the simple act of eating when hungry. Natasha values frozen food ‘like jacket potatoes; much as I’d rather have fresh when I cook, it's just so much easier ‘cos the carb count’s on the packet; someone’s already done it for you’.

Paul says that ‘bread’s a nightmare’ because of the many different varieties available and Natasha explains that at the moment they’ve got a particular brand of bread that isn’t mentioned in the ‘carbs and cals’ book. ‘There’s no label on it to tell you so you don’t know how thick to slice it – and that'll have a different impact on your blood sugar levels’.

Sara concurs with the complexities of eating to keep blood sugar levels within an acceptable range. When asked whether anger plays an emotional role in the time-consuming food preparation and digestion, she admits that she does get annoyed when ‘you end up having to eat when you’re not hungry’:

You might have breakfast and an hour or so later, you'll have a hypo because you need to eat something, toast or anything – and nobody, nobody wants to put on weight...you look at something and might as well apply the cake to your thighs as opposed to eating it!

I’d do the housework one afternoon for an hour and a half, we’d sit down and eat a massive spaghetti Bolognese, then the dog would be going alerting mad, I’d test and my husband would say but you’ve just had that massive meal and then it would really start to plummet me down; twice during that evening I’d have a bowl of cereal, then a bit of toast – on top of the spaghetti for dinner – and you’re like I really don’t want to be eating all these calories because my trousers are feeling a bit tight...I’ve given up on the weight but I do the carb count thing because I have to work out my insulin...
Mel increases my knowledge of nutrition in balancing blood glucose. Her family share their home with Gemma, a spaniel of boundless enthusiasm who took up residence as the young children’s companion before showing altered behaviour patterns prior to a hypoglycaemic episode occurring in one of them. Gemma’s outgoing attitude and scenting ability enabled her to be trained more fully in hypo scent detection and accurate alerting by the charity’s instructors. Mel relates an example of how nutrition, or lack of, affected her son in the years before he was given an insulin pump:

We went to my parents for Christmas and they decided to have a break between dinner and pudding. I’d given the injection for everything in the middle of his meal so I said ‘you can’t do that because he’s had all the insulin and needs to eat the pudding now’. It took a long time but I thought we might get away with it. My dad likes to go for a walk first but I said no, no. Mark was lying in the middle of their living room floor, screaming, and my mother wanted to tell him to stop – but he can’t.

In the days when children were invited to share their ‘packed’ school lunches, there was less knowledge of allergies or illness contagion through germ transmission. However, Mel tells me that, to offset the chance of this happening in a contemporary situation, the primary school attended by her children does not allow any learners to offer or receive items from ‘others’ lunchboxes.

Janet is pregnant when we first meet. She was diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes when aged four:

I’ve never known anything different; you just find diabetes is always there – before you eat, you have to carb count, figure out what insulin you need and whether you’re going to be doing any activity – you just find your mind is always thinking about diabetes...*(she sighs and then laughs)* yeah, pre-empting all the time.

6.10 ‘Not fit for purpose...’

Complications arising from Type 1 diabetes can cause renal failure, partial or total loss of sight, limb amputation, neuropathy (a degenerative disease or disorder of the nervous system), cardiovascular disease including heart attack or stroke, as well as possible sexual dysfunction and depression *(Diabetes in the UK, 2010)*. Adult participants talk matter-of-factly about different illnesses, such as asthma, depression or the consequences of neuropathy, that are known to emerge on occasion before, after or alongside
the diagnosis of Type 1 diabetes. There may be a need to ask for help from human and nonhuman members of the family, or from visiting friends or healthcare professionals, if severe neuropathy prevents maintaining a secure grip on hot pans, or visual impairment prevents accurate reading of recipe ingredients or oven temperatures.

Excerpts from participant narratives show how differently they are affected:

...with diabetes, your blood goes into every cell so the smaller the cell, the harder it is for the blood with that much sugar, to get to the tips of your fingers, which is why quite often you end up with neuropathy in your fingers, why you have hearing problems, eye problems, because all of these have really fine veins so the blood can’t get through; so it shuts down the blood supply which causes more problems.

...went to see a specialist about erectile dysfunction and he suggested Viagra, but you need to see a professional before taking it which is why we were there; he asks if I’ve tried it and I say ‘no, that’s why we’re here’; and he says ‘well, perhaps you should’. You walk away and think ‘what’s the point?’

...I’ve got peripheral neuropathy – zingy hands and feet sometimes so I couldn’t hold things for long. I was always dropping things out of the oven and getting very frustrated.

...they diagnosed me with bladder neuropathy. I failed a job interview and got depression. We had to give up the flat and move back here.

...you suffer lots of complications. One of them is hearing. Basically the nerve-endings to your ears and blood vessels clam up and don’t work so well. On top of that, I’ve got tinnitus; my eyes have problems so I’m having laser treatment; the tips of your fingers go because any bits that stick out...

6.11 Vision difficulties

Having been grateful that cars parked on the pavement so that it became possible to drive along a local road, I later walked down the same pavement and feelings of gratitude dissipated when, side-stepping between a car and a boundary wall to avoid traffic passing in the road, the stucco-ed wall grazed my hand and an overhanging climbing rose entangled my hair in its thorny grasp. A guide dog may succeed in squeezing through the reduced space on the pavement but has to decide whether the vision-impaired human can also manage this safely, when guidance around the parked car via the road is easier but likely to be an even more risk-filled endeavour.
Cars, parked on both pavement and road, become significant hazards when one misguided step forward by a sight-impaired individual may lead to a dog and/or human being seriously injured. A nationwide blanket ban on pavement parking has been requested by supporters of charities such as Guide Dogs and Living Streets. Sufficient signatures were gained for Member of Parliament Simon Hoare to sponsor the Pavement Parking (Protection of Vulnerable Pedestrians) Bill 2015-16 (Butcher, 2016: 12)....but he withdrew it at the end of the debate, having secured from the Minister a commitment to convene a round table to discuss footway parking issues and to ‘examine more closely the legal and financial implications of an alternative regime, and the likely impacts on local authorities’ (2016: 13).

Paul comments on his own failing eyesight when we have safely negotiated an uneven pavement leading from home to a park where Nero can run unleashed:

Most of the time, I’m so used to my eye being the way it is that I don’t realise how bad it is. When someone says ‘oh, you’re partially sighted’, I’m like, well, no, because I can see. I’m so used to it that I’ve adapted so that it isn’t so much of a problem. Obviously walking the dog with not much vision becomes more of a problem...(he hesitates for a few seconds)...and it takes concentration.

I can see the edge of the pavement if I’m looking but I’ve got very little vision this side; I’ve got a bit of peripheral vision on this side but not enough for me to see clearly. I know I’ve got my hand there and I can see my hand there, because that’s what I’m expecting to see. If the pavement changes, it’s just grey...but if a colour changes or it’s moving, I can see things easier – you know when you look through Perspex and it’s all scratched, that’s kind of what’s going on in that eye. I’ve got a lot of what they call scarring where I’ve had laser treatment, the relics of that, and where I’ve had bleeds and they haven’t cleared, you’ve got this kind of ... spider’s web.

It was that bad at one point that I was literally two feet in front of the TV just to see people and you know, I couldn’t work out what was going on.

He is silent for a moment and then says, considerately: ‘Erm, most, you’re kind of, erm, facially I couldn’t distinguish you from somebody else...when something’s new, it’s a little bit harder’. I realise then that he can walk across a field with Nero and round the village because the routes are
familiar to both himself and his companion, and that he can distinguish certain things if they are vivid in shape and colour. And again Paul stresses that

You see me walking around, you wouldn’t know because I tend to know my environment. It’s the same when I go shopping; they move stuff around in the shops but generally I know what’s where – and people are objects, you can see people, you can see cars. I can see enough, distinguish enough at least to get out of the way. But if I’ve turned my head and am looking the other way, then I’ll walk into people.

I don’t see myself as partially sighted...because I’m so used to it now, I’ve adapted for that. People say ‘can you sign there, by the cross?’ and the cross is that faint, or the colour isn’t strong enough so that I’m looking and thinking, I can’t even see the cross.

Natasha describes Paul’s vision impairments in relation to Nero’s placement when they are walking together along pavements:

Nero walks on his right because that’s where Paul’s got his sight...he’s really only got this eye as a half-good eye because this (other) eye doesn’t really see anything at all. We asked if it was okay for Nero to walk that little bit ahead of him (Guide Dogs are encouraged to maintain a light tension in the leash as they ‘lead’ their partners, whereas Medical Detection Dogs are asked to walk on a loose leash next to their companions. This attached ‘way of going’ is described as having a leash with a smile, or a ‘U’, in it, perhaps to remove any connotation of binding together with forceful restriction).

Paul volunteers that he is partially-sighted, wears glasses and is able to write, but he finds that ‘it’s a lot of effort for me; my spelling’s atrocious and I’m slightly dyslexic’.

I question Sara about any visual difficulties she has noticed, and she hesitates before responding:

I’m getting a few problems, my eyes go blurry. I’ve got a big black blob of a floater; you want to wipe it away. You try to look round it but of course you can’t because when you move your eyeball, it moves and then it goes blurry...

This is something about which I can empathise – reading to the end of a line and expecting to start the next one immediately, but finding a need to wait for the floater to catch up before continuing; or trying to sweep away a fly dancing in front of your eyes, only to discover it’s a floater and cannot be so easily removed, a tiny painless irritant, but a creator of intense frustration, distraction and slowed reading. Sara continues:
A top consultant at the eye clinic said...when your blood sugars go up, your body dehydrates so all the water in your body that’s left goes to the vital organs that need it, so the first thing to dry out is your skin which doesn’t need to be supple and smooth and doesn’t need water to keep you living whereas your heart, kidneys etc all do need the water. Well, your eyes are made up of 70% water, I think, and if the water goes from your eyes, they shrink, the bit at the back of the retina shrinks, and that’s why you get that blurred vision with diabetes.

So she said glasses wouldn’t really help; because you get different levels of blurriness, your prescription could be six different things on six days of the week so you can’t get glasses for when your eyes are bad because it’s not like long- or short-sightedness. Good blood glucose control prevents this - that’s what they say, isn’t it?

On a visit to talk to Richard and Higgins, I ask Richard about his visual acumen in relation to a hypoglycaemic episode:

I think when I go really low to the point of collapse, that’s when my vision goes funny and it’s almost too late to take corrective action. I just see light shining very intensely. I get a different perception of light - but Higgins now doesn’t let me go that low - it’s very foggy when you come round and you’re not quite sure where you are or what you’re doing, but in the run-up to a hypo, I can remember trying to get the right sort of sugar, like jelly babies or the little jars of clear honey that are easy to carry and not too difficult to get the lids off.

6.12 Driving and transport

Freedom to drive whenever and wherever allows choice and independence. Only one of those participating in this research is now able to maintain a driving licence and chooses to drive for short distances because of fluctuating blood sugar levels, preferring to use public transport to travel further afield. Others are compelled to rely on buses and trains or on available family members or friends to transport themselves and their canine assistants. This significant alteration to the habitual way of life has brought about changes in employment, reduction in mobility and loss of self-confidence and self-regard. Janet had worked independently and successfully in the field of health care despite her Type 1 diabetes diagnosis. However, when she could no longer recognise symptoms of hypoglycaemia, she was informed abruptly of her shrinking and insecure ‘reality bubble’, her personal umwelt (Von Uexküll, 1934 [2010]):
My job included driving patients in my car so when I lost my hypo-awareness, I was told I wasn’t safe ... they deemed me not fit for purpose and my driving licence was taken away.

Sara was also compelled to change methods of transport and household management:

I had my driving licence taken away because of my hypo-unawareness, so I walk everywhere, to public transport, to the bus, I walk for everything, walk the dog – we reckon I must do about 15 miles of walking a week. But I think if I did anything more cardiovascular, for instance if I was to mop the three hard floors downstairs, I’d have to sit down half an hour later because I’d have a hypo, but then again, it can get you two hours after that...

Tina says that during her first train journey on her own, Harley had to alert her three times:

and then it used to be that I’d come down on the train and people would give me money for the charity because, you know, they were so touched on how good he was. And then you sort of forget about your past and look and move forward – I can’t believe how far I’ve come.

Paul was diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes at the age of six but rarely collapses. However, other side effects have thrown powerful obstacles across his life-course. When he had to stop driving about 15 years ago, his world was shaken violently:

Not riding the bike was the worst one because I did enjoy the freedom. It gave me my space, it gave me a calm, you know, used to go out on that, buzz around, loved it to bits...yeah, I do miss the bike.

I used to have motorbikes, cars, used to drive a JCB at work and a dumper truck, a forklift – I was in and out of all these different vehicles all the time with no problem – and then suddenly I can’t, I’m not allowed to...the fact that I no longer have that piece of paper saying I can...that was hard.

...(silence)...erm, giving up work, you suddenly feel like, well, what am I good for? And that for me, was a big, big issue which took me a long time (he drags out the syllables and his voice drops)...and I’m still not over it now (his speech is slow and hesitant as he ponders his current situation).

6.13 School

For children and adolescents, having Type 1 diabetes can offer opportunity for unwanted prominence in the classroom (although current improvement in health education of staff has produced a greater understanding of the difficulties and needs of a school-going child with Type 1 diabetes,
according to several parents interviewed). Difficulties and emotional outbursts were remembered by those already diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes when first attending school:

...I was the only one (with Type 1 diabetes) at primary school and I’d quite often collapse in class. I did have one fit while I was at that school so I used to get called a diabetic spastic...so I isolated myself quite a bit because there just wasn’t the understanding. Secondary school was a lot better and the teachers let me go and do blood tests without questioning it. Then at college everyone was very understanding because there were more diabetics.

...my friends couldn’t understand. Initially they were understanding but soon got quite frustrated with me because I was so nervous about doing things, always worrying about something happening and having to deal with it. This did knock my confidence way off.

6.14 Losing hypo-awareness

Alfie lies on the sofa behind Janet, nose resting on his neatly-folded front legs and his eyes closed; the occasional twitching of a velvety ear reveals that he is paying attention to the nuances of our conversation but there is no sign of flaring nostrils suggesting his detection of steep changes in Janet’s blood sugar levels.

When in hospital after a sudden collapse several years ago, Janet tested her blood and found she was hypo despite not feeling any symptoms. She spoke to a nurse who explained that as Janet had had diabetes for more than 20 years, her body had lost the ability to give recognisable advance warning signs; and because she had been hypo for so long, her body now accepted a hypo as the ‘norm’ and any alarm signals had ‘worn out’. Janet states bleakly that that explanation completely changed her life.

I ask if she could describe her body’s former ‘warning signs’ of an impending hypo; her rate of speaking increases and she clumps words together so that the sentences become abrupt and stilted. As she ploughs through explanation, fear seems to stalk her words and activates speech in both present and past tenses, even though her hypo-awareness disappeared several years before:

...blurred vision, I get very shaky, go very pale, get quite panicky and jittery; erm, everyone always said I go really pale around the eyes; I wouldn’t be able to talk very well, probably wouldn’t be able to get my words out how I wanted.
Not having all those signals was quite disorientating, she recalls, and I wonder aloud if it felt strange and perhaps a bit frightening. Janet sighs:

Yes, definitely. You become dependent on those warning signs, otherwise you become anxious because you don’t know what’s going on all the time and so I started blood-testing obsessively.

A few weeks later, I visit Sara and over coffee in her kitchen, we talk about losing hypo-awareness. Her teenage children appear, listen and add comments, and disappear at intervals, but Apple, her diabetes alert dog, lies silently on his bed next to her chair throughout, ignoring us all. Sara was diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes at the age of 31 but maintained hypo-awareness for the following six or seven years.

She then contracted shingles after which any sign heralding a hypo vanished and no awareness has ever returned. She volunteers a comprehensive description of the incidents and lifestyle alterations that then took place.

About three weeks after the shingles had gone, I’d find my blood sugar levels had dropped as low as 2, but I didn’t feel like I was 2. I’d suddenly be really low without even feeling it and that resulted in collapsing a couple of times. The doctor said I was still having hypos but my nerve-endings had been suppressed by the shingles, so I was to give it time and the feeling would come back gradually. I waited a while and collapsed a couple of times a week. I’d stand and have a conversation and, boom, I’m on the floor, or I’d be teaching and the next minute I’m on the classroom floor.

Sara broke her arm falling down a flight of stairs at the school where she teaches and continued to be hypo-unaware, failing to recognise any signs that might give her warning of a forthcoming hypoglycaemic episode. Medical professionals suggested she keep an eye out for different signs such as an odd taste, or experience of an aura or unusual smell.

I read somewhere that coffee stimulates part of the brain that can make you more sensitive to hypos, so I thought I’d drink a couple of extra cups of coffee a day to see if that helped – it didn’t. But while I was searching online for hypo-awareness information, I came across hypo-detection dogs and found the website for Medical Detection Dogs.

We hadn’t ever had a dog because we both work and didn’t think it fair to leave a dog on its own all day, but I was in danger of losing my job because of the number of Accident and Emergency admissions I’d had and the frequent falls. If I lost my job, I’d be at home alone all day and if I collapsed, there’d be nobody here. At
least if you collapse at school, there are people around to call an ambulance.

Natasha is used to Paul and Nero going out for their daily walks but she recalls a recent incident when they planned to be gone for only 20 minutes, but were out for over an hour...’he came in in a hypo state as he’d had a hypo on the walk’.

Paul recalls being aware of his blood glucose levels being at about 4.2mmol/l and having something to eat. Then he played ball on the field with Nero. He stood there, thinking it would give the levels a chance to drop a little: ‘I was walking on and he alerted again, and I tested again and found I’d dropped, so I had something else to eat and then on the way back...’ Natasha says he doesn’t remember how he got back, but Paul claims he can remember bits of it, although not the entire trip.

In the end, I grabbed hold of the lead, pulled it right up tight against his collar and followed him home, so he actually walked me back. Obviously he didn’t cross the road, he waited till I’d come round a bit and all of a sudden this woman turned up – I don’t know where she’d come from...I was that fixated on getting home which is how my brain tends to work when I’m having a hypo. I’ve got no mental capacity, I’ve just got to get to something, so my feet will walk, my brain is focussed on my feet walking, nothing else exists. All I was doing was literally holding onto the lead and the dog was walking and he got me home.

6.15 The impact of mood swings...

The complications of chronic illness can lead to other mental and physical issues which may directly affect individuals working or living in close proximity to the person with Type 1 diabetes. Paul comments that

Diabetes is horrible because you snap at people, you know you’re doing it and you’re not doing it purposefully, it’s not like you want to lash out at people and sometimes you don’t know you’ve done it...well, in a hypo state, you’re not all there, you know. So occasionally you can be a bit snappy, sometimes you can completely blank things out without realising.

I remember Sally’s ‘fight or flight’ comment earlier when she explained her lack of control in a hypo state and this emotional side-effect is recognised and related to by several participants. The impact of Sara’s diabetes took its toll on her family relationships. Before Apple joined the household, Sara recalls her husband’s irritation at driving home from work during office hours because she hadn’t answered his telephone call. She says she often missed the sound of the
phone ringing when she was outside, or because of the noises made by the washing-machine or vacuum cleaner.

He’d be cross to find me having a cup of tea, having driven across town for 20 minutes because he’d thought ‘she’s out cold on the floor; I’ve got to get there...’. It would cause arguments because the family would quite rightly be worried, but then I’d say I didn’t ask you to, and I couldn’t help not hearing the phone...

Paul and Natasha recollect incidents resulting from ‘mood swings’.

Natasha tells of a ‘spat’ they had had one morning just before I arrived at their home for a follow-up interview:

Today I snapped back...but 95% of the time I take it with a pinch of salt...today he caught me unawares...it’s the things that he’s said to me before and I’ve gone out of my way to fix...occasionally I have to vent too.

Paul explains the reasoning behind his ‘outbursts’:

What you’ve got to remember from my point of view is that I used to work on buildings, I used to be very active, very mobile, I used to ride bikes, drive a motor and all that. That was my release. Well, now I’m kind of, everything’s been taken so sometimes you just can’t cope.

On another occasion, I ask if walking Nero across the fields helps to provide some form of release and Paul responds:

What he’s done for me is amazing, he’s got me out of me shell, he, I was suffering depression...I mean there are days when my bloods aren’t right and whatever, you know, take me out the back and shoot me, put me down, that kind of thing, but...(he hesitates)...we go out for walks and I always calm down on a walk, relax...If I’m wound up before I go on a walk, when I come back, I’m a lot better; not perfect but a lot better’.

However, Natasha gives an alternative picture:

Then on the contrary, he can go out in a really good mood and the dog can really annoy him on the walk and he’ll come back saying “bloody dog” because Nero’s eaten cat faeces and Paul’s now got to do his teeth!

But it is obvious how much they care for Nero; he’s washed and dried carefully if he’s played in stagnant water or rolled in mud or manure, his teeth and gums are brushed and monitored, his weight kept in check and anything out of the ordinary is recorded and the charity and/or veterinarian informed.

Paul continues to explain his earlier ‘venting’:

In my view, it’s because I’m annoyed at things I can’t do. You’re so annoyed you can’t do this, you can’t do that, you just end up
lashing out ‘look at that, can’t you do that...’; and really it’s nothing to do with that, it’s to do with the fact that there are things I need to do and I just can’t. I’m comfortable enough to know that I’ve upset you, but it lets me vent and then it’s okay, well, I’ve calmed down.

Natasha says that she succeeds in ‘washing it off in the shower’ but then relates the effect of their ‘spat’ on Nero:

The poor dog, caught up in the middle of it, is going ‘oh no, they’re shouting at each other’, so I go over to him and tell him it’s alright and ‘it’s not your fault, mummy still loves you’. Oh, he’s so sensitive...

Despite the earlier human disagreement, Nero seems in high spirits during my visit: he squeaks his ball and throws, catches and pounces on his ‘cuddly’ animal toy. He collects the mail from the front door and drops a packet decisively at Paul’s feet. However, Natasha urges Paul to check his blood sugar levels because Nero has re-ignited an old habit of getting all his toys out of the box as an alert, rather than performing in his usual manner. She has also noticed him go up to Paul and give him a nudge and that he’s ‘fidgety’ and not settling.

That they volunteer details of the stresses and strains caused by illness complications is to be appreciated. It cannot be easy to live within the constraints imposed by chronic illness and it takes courage to admit difficult issues and how they are or are not resolved to a relative stranger. However, it also provides depth and intensity to the picture of interspecies co-existence that this project wishes to convey.

6.16 Mortality and improving control

Tina’s fear of being found dead in bed, mentioned earlier, is not without medical foundation. ‘Dead in bed syndrome’ (DIB) is a phrase used when a person with insulin-dependent diabetes, usually under 40 years old, has gone to bed apparently well but is then found lifeless ‘in an undisturbed bed’ (Diabetes.co.uk, 8 January, 2017). People with Type 1 diabetes are advised to avoid nocturnal hypoglycaemia by attaining blood glucose levels within a 6.5 to 8.0 mmol/l range before they go to bed and between 5.5 and 7.5 mmol/l when first awake.

It has been noted that DIB has occurred more often since the introduction of synthetic insulin in the 1980s. Research by Teuscher and Berger (1987: 382) among others, found a change from beef/porcine-derived insulin to
human-made insulin was observed to cause less pronounced 'sympathoadrenal symptoms (tremor, sweating, &c)' in insulin-dependent diabetic patients, thereby reducing possible prediction of hypos in humans compared to the years when nonhuman animal insulin was utilised.

A 2008 study by Rock and Babinec highlights biomedical research into the historical employment of nonhuman animals as models for diabetes exploration, and draws attention to the fact that insulin required by humans, affected by diabetes between the 1920s and 1980s, was taken from bovine and porcine 'donors':

All people with type 1 diabetes, who had lost the capacity to produce insulin and therefore required regular insulin injections for survival, thus became dependent on industrial slaughter facilities (2008: 326).

So cattle and pigs became involved in insulin 'donation', or were until the 1980s, joining cats, dogs and humans in a giving-receiving multispecies relationship, in becoming object/subject organisms with 'flexible personhood' (Shir-Vertesh, 2012). Bird-David (2006: 47) talks of the 'sharing relations' in animist ontology which collect different types of 'persons' into a pluralist community as "we, the relatives," "we, the family." A we-ness is stressed that absorbs the differences. Sharing and caring are expected, even of perspectives.

Shir-Vertesh (2012: 428) concurs, suggesting 'animals can be included in families and homes as "flexible persons", but their nonhumanness sanctions the possibility of exclusion at any juncture'.

6.17 Keeping 'high' and life expectancy

The following paragraph is intended to inform rather than concern or confuse, but is included in order to highlight the immediate need for further research into, and increasing development of, medical treatment technologies; and to indicate the significance of medical alert assistance dogs as animate instruments of prevention, enabling human blood sugar levels to be kept within safe boundaries.

According to key statistics published by Diabetes UK, life expectancy for people with Type 1 diabetes is reduced, on average, by more than 20 years. A systematic review and meta-analysis, conducted 'to provide reliable estimates of any sex differences in the effect of Type 1 diabetes on risk of all-cause mortality and cause-specific outcomes', has been published online in the Lancet with interpretation suggesting women with Type 1 diabetes have an
approximate ‘40% greater excess risk of all-cause mortality, and twice the excess risk of fatal and nonfatal vascular events, compared to men with Type 1 diabetes’ (Huxley et. al., 2015).

In commenting on this article, David Simmons (2015) mentions that complications deriving from Type 1 diabetes can be limited by tight glycaemic control, but that excess all-cause mortality at every age and in any gender remains. He concurs with the need for action but not that it should be based on sex, rather by using personalised therapy and strategy for all, from the date of diagnosis.

The idea of keeping blood sugar levels consistently high to avoid ‘hypos’ can cause further severe medical complications such as cardiovascular disease or renal failure. Terry says that high blood sugars are what you look at for organ or nerve damage, and Nick adds:

With diabetes, you’ve got restriction of blood flowing to extremities so, like the gentleman next door, he’s had diabetes, he knows he’s got diabetes, he’s terrified of passing out to a low, so he’s always kept his blood sugars high. We can’t say specifically, but he’s lost part of one of his legs below the knee and his other one looks like it’s going that way as well. You often see people with diabetes where the skin on the lower leg is actually reddened, almost beetroot-colour; the skin's flaking...

Terry suggests it looks as if the neighbour sat too close to a fire for too long:

If you’re losing nerve feeling at extremities, hands, on your skin, then there’s pressure sores as well...very quickly you know there’s a lot of damage that can be done from high blood sugars. Low blood sugars will kill quickly, but high blood sugars will kill you slowly.

There is no cure for diabetes Type 1, nor as yet is there any way to prevent it from developing in the first place (JDRF). So the need for children to have regular tests, of what the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) identify as seven key measures, is essential to ensure diabetes can be controlled as far as possible by medical treatment and appropriate lifestyle management. The measures suggested include weight, cholesterol and blood pressure checks as well as diabetes risk assessments (NICE, 2004 [2014]).

Paul remembers

I got diagnosed when I was six and to have control and live by the regime is very hard to do. (He is silent for a minute and I feel I have dragged the following sentences out of him) It's not until you
get older and you get all the problems, you want to be free, you want to live.

When I first got diagnosed, it was like the chances are, you know, he’s not going to have much of a life, he’s not going to live beyond XYZ, that’s pretty much how it was, sort of 40s, 50s, with problems, you probably won’t make it, so you’ve got that barrier...well, if I’m only going to live to that age, I’m going to enjoy it.

Fortunately, mechanical/medical methods, such as the insulin pump, do exist to enable successful management of this chronic illness despite the likely initial anxiety, possible depression and frustration immediately after diagnosis.

### 6.18 Type 1 diabetes and pregnancy

Janet was relieved at no longer having to ‘set alarms throughout the night’ when Alfie took on ‘nightwatchman’ duties. She goes on to explain the dog’s newly-acquired method of alerting: ‘It’s quite funny now because he’s had to change his alert since I’ve been pregnant. Because I seem to be sleeping a lot heavier, he now growls to wake me up.’ But he doesn’t touch her and has not jumped on the bed in the night since her pregnancy.

I ask Janet how Alfie normally alerts her to a possible hypo and she says, in the daytime, he paws at her and is very focused on her. But, when her blood sugar levels are high and she is tending to show early symptoms of hyperglycaemia, ‘he does this funny walking backwards and crying...so he does two sort-of different alerts.’

Since Alfie has changed his methods of alerting during Janet’s pregnancy, I ask if he has altered any other of his usual behaviours. In her first trimester, Janet relates she had more than 80 blood sugar readings below 3.8, and felt she was hypo-ing so much that Alfie struggled to keep up. She was more tired than normal and he had to wake her up continually so ‘I got quite emotional because I thought if he wasn’t there, I would have gone into fits and nobody’d know’.

My confidence is just transformed...anxiety levels have dropped because I’m not constantly having to blood test...we’ve just got an incredible bond. He lies outside the bathroom door and he’s even alerted when I’ve been in the bath; he’ll cry outside the door.

### 6.19 Measuring and recording

The charity Medical Detection Dogs only came into being in 2008 so was very much an infant organisation when Richard applied for a medical alert assistance dog. His doctor and hospital consultant endorsed his application and
the charity then asked him for a continuous record of his blood sugar levels for a week. The hospital provided him with the results in the form of ‘a series of little graphs showing when my levels were dropping and rising, when I’d had insulin, and the carb content I was eating’.

The MDD charity requires clients with assistance dogs to record two to three months of blood testing annually. Included on the computer forms to be completed by them, are questions relating to times when the dog has alerted, what the individual was doing at the particular time and the blood sugar levels captured. Terry relates Jim’s accuracy in alerting as 95-100%. The recordings sent to MDD enable an image of the dog’s alerting success rate, whether there are particular times or reasons why alerting has not occurred or may be inaccurate, whether training has been maintained regularly, even a picture of exercise taken, travel and transport methods to events, to work or to holiday destinations.

This information, although time-consuming to collect and enter on the form, has wide-reaching consequences in that clients are aware of the charity’s interest in both their lives and the lives of the alert dogs and therefore necessarily have to attend consistently to the ups-and-downs of their shared existence. At the same time, both client and charity gain evidence of the efficacy of the dogs’ work and ‘proof’ of canine scent detection. The charity can offer human assistance to visit client homes to help with solving problems if test results appear unusually erratic and communication between the species looks to be blurred on occasion. The oscillating behaviours of human client and assistant dog are ‘umpired’ by the charity to ensure the mutual well-being of both.

Paul, Natasha and Nero are shortly to visit the MDD training centre for a ‘refresher’. Natasha relates that she spoke to the trainer earlier and heard that it will be a sort of social day; there’s three or four other partnerships going from what I can gather, and I think, because we got him in May, our annual assessment will be in May, so we’ll get a letter saying we need to give six weeks of bloods, with the highs and lows, and when Nero alerts, when he doesn’t alert and so on.

Paul refers to Nero’s alerting abilities:

Some days he’s brilliant and he can go for weeks being absolutely brilliant and catch 95-100% of everything, and then other days, it’s like he’s just completely lost the plot and he either misses them or
keeps doing false ones – but I’m still convinced that it’s the...[Paul’s additional chronic illness] because the dog smells something’s not right, different; and I think we’ve said to you before, like when Natasha has a headache or a nosebleed, or whatever, his behaviour will change.

Paul talks of the pump’s effect on Nero:

All of a sudden I smell slightly different, or that’s what I put it down to, because I’ve got insulin running through me all the time...

They continue talking about Nero’s personality but I am sharply reminded of the wayward behaviour of my son’s dog during his cancer treatment and wonder whether the strong chemical odours from both the cancer itself and the chemotherapy drugs upset and confused her so that she barked incessantly and occasionally nipped; behaviours that failed to accord with her natural way of being. Or, unlikely to my mind, was it an idiopathic⁷ condition to which we were unable to find cause or solution? Or again that stress levels in our home were consistently high so she reacted in the only way she could?

7 Dogs as biomedical resources

Our connection with another species may rest on categorisation: whether the member of the other species is purchased as a commodity to be eaten or investigated in a laboratory, whether traded to become a domesticated and owned ‘pet’, or whether trained and donated as a working animal for the betterment of human life. As in a spider’s web, tenuous threads may bind one to the other in the latter category but the end result is Coulter’s (2016) anticipated ‘interspecies solidarity’ of an ethically-permissible kind. Participants see the working dogs as respected practitioners of health care and equally as good friends and often, particularly among those who live alone, as recognised family members.

This chapter explores the issue of dogs becoming working equipment, in much the same way that they are employed as sentient assistants or close companions, and calls for broader discussion and analysis in relation to the canine and human interactive participants in this study. Coulter (2016: 11), looking through ‘the lens of animal work’, notes that ‘animal workers adapt to human demands and needs, and that animals shape multispecies worksites’.

⁷ Idiopathy is the name given to a disease or disorder that has no known or apparent cause. It may become apparent in canine head tremor syndrome or epileptic seizures. The rare ‘rage syndrome’, if no cause can be found, may be termed idiopathic aggression (Miller, 2004).
Savelois et al. (2013: 88), referring to the human participants under their observation who were all male ‘trainer-users’ of herding dogs, conclude that a trainer-dog association leads the former to enrich his knowledge, improve his competencies in understanding animal behaviours, and find satisfaction in the resulting quality of their relationships... More than a work tool, the dog appears to be an assistant, working in the establishment and maintenance of an optimal interrelational distance between human, dog, and livestock.

After observing over time how the participants in this research interact with one another and within the situations in which they are placed, a feeling emerges of not merely a multispecies camaraderie but also of a human learning and appreciation of what ‘be(com)ing’ dog might be like (Maurstad, Davis and Cowles, 2013). Human participants actively, through training and experience, and by a seemingly subconscious picking-up of signals, appear to learn to anticipate the dogs’ ways of being in the shared world of chronic illness, to know what might happen in a given situation whether surrounded by travellers on the ‘Underground’ or on planes and buses, to recognise the most favoured reward item, or where their canine companions most enjoy free-running, and even which locally-resident dogs or humans they prefer to avoid. As companions in chronic illness, the dogs in turn evidence a ‘be(com)ing human’ in that there has to be that level of intersubjectivity for them to succeed in their situated roles. Earlier discussion related how these scent-detecting dogs act as ‘stand ins’ for the loss of human-embodied sensation (hypo-awareness). In this instance, as Hurn (2012: 125) contends, animal ‘objects’ standing in for humans, ‘can also become active subjects with the capacity to impact on the relationships between the humans involved’.

When talking of the dogs as equipment or mobile devices, there is no suggestion of superiority; it is more an acceptance that they should be respected for what they ‘do’, as family members, as autonomous medical assistants and as mobile devices. Although I cannot record the alert dog’s verbal opinion, both species appear content with the benefits obtained from mutualistic co-existences which enable successful symbiotic practices of care and lengthened, more secure, lives for both, notwithstanding Srinivasan’s below-mentioned ‘anthropogenic norms’ (2013: 114). Budiansky's (1997) reasoning as to why animals 'chose domestication' resonates with contemporary mutualistic co-existences among species. He notes à propos
historic and current human-dog relationships that the survival of dogs has 'nothing to do with being rewarded for their utility to man. It has to do rather with their superb adaptation to human society' (1997: 36). Examining co-evolutionary development, Budiansky observes how 'one species' behaviour can influence the evolution of another's. The environment that a species inhabits often includes the behaviours of other species, which thus become a force in determining its evolution' (1997: 52).

Physical and imagined boundaries are variously constructed: the garden fence and the front door contain/protect both species; the dog is expected to eat given food, and expects to be given food. An identifying jacket and lead removed from a wall-hook may induce visible excitement in the dog at the prospect of ‘going out’, while simultaneously bringing about an imminent human restriction of canine ‘freedom’ through the length and tension of the lead between them (whether u-shaped when relaxed or flattened when taut), as well as decision on the route to be taken.

Srinivasan (2013: 114) explicates subjectification in non-human animals: the lives of animals are shaped by humans either by selective breeding and/or disciplinary techniques to such an extent that they are arguably unaware of alternative ways of being, and therefore govern themselves according to anthropogenic norms. This would explain a caged bird that does not fly away when released or a horse that does not throw off its rider.

There is no consciously-exhibited imposition of human norms besetting the human-partnered assistance dog but as a participating observer, it is necessary to view with some caution, how human influence does affect the life management and activities of the working nonhuman. What is evident comes about from thousands of years of domestication and interspecies companionship; the caring and empathic mutualistic co-existences studied here, appear to be accepted, tolerated, even seemingly appreciated by both dog and human. Routines and habitual responses, to and by each, support a comfortable accordance with sometimes unanticipated norms that may be introduced from necessity by independent and cooperative decision-making and by the co-embodiment of complexities arising from living with chronic illness. Srinivasan’s (2013: 117) ‘Note 10’ has significance:

Knowing what animals want is complicated because humans and animals do not share an unambiguous mode of communication. This inability to know with certainty makes it all the more important
to constantly query what is done in the name of animal wellbeing...by ‘paying attention to what the dogs [and other animals] are telling [me]’ (Haraway, 2003: 48) and by deploying ‘somatic sensibilities’ (Greenhough and Roe, 2011), I use my embodied encounters with animals and wider reading on animal sentience (Dawkins, 2006) to arrive at always tentative understandings about animal/dog wellbeing.

The human ‘doing’ of Type 1 diabetes has been narrated in an earlier chapter, so investigation now turns toward what the diabetes alert dog is seen to do within the symbiotic relationship that embodies this chronic illness. Nonhuman animal exploitation by human-created procedures is then considered before exploring canine usefulness in the field of biomedicine and human illness, and the concept of an assistance dog becoming an animate instrument, a sentient piece of equipment adapted to work within human health concerns; and empowered to make decisions autonomously but under human-manipulated norms.

Control of diet, exercise and lifestyle management are at the forefront of the diabetic individual's successful daily existence and this is echoed in the personal sentiments of Joseph Cevetello whose chapter in Evocative Objects (Turkle, 2007) suggests diabetes is all about the control of blood sugars, timing of meals, what food should be eaten, exercise planning, and the balancing of insulin intake to food. Interactions between himself and his glucometer define who he is, his sense of identity:

My meter maintains my image of myself as a man able to take care of himself. It also defines me as a diseased person, one who needs the aid of objects to sustain my life. The meter...communicates to others that I am different, somehow incomplete (2007: 67).

‘A diseased person, one who needs the aid of objects’ to survive, a claim by which Cevetello gives a true assessment of his situation, but the inanimate phrase falls heavily. How much more encouraging and revitalising would be the aid of sentient and significant others in helping to sustain his life? (My emphasis in italics.)

Cevetello (2007: 67) continues:

My interactions and dependency on my meter have made me realise that relationships between people and medical machinery are evolving...
Annemarie Mol (2000) examines the blood sugar measurement device which, like other diagnostic devices such as the diabetes alert dog, is an active intervention employed in specific situations. The blood glucose monitor is intended to maintain levels, as far as is possible, within the individual’s normal range. Those who ‘do their bit’ (2000:14) in treating a person with diabetes – the doctor, the diabetes nurse, the patient, friends and relatives, the note book, the food habits and so on’ – are links in the ‘great chain of beings’, among which the blood sugar monitor is a ‘crucial link’. Mol notes that with self-measurement, an individual with diabetes has greater freedom, fewer regulations restricting life and ‘it becomes possible to lead an irregular life’ (2000: 19).


For some diabetic individuals, for whom the continuous glucose monitor (CGM) remains unattainable, a welcome development is the personal insulin pump which assists in the regulation of insulin into the bloodstream on a continuing basis so that high and low blood glucose levels fluctuate less extravagantly and an improved quality of life is obtained and maintained. On the one hand, advantageous, but on the other, the small pump may be considered an unwelcome corporeal intrusion

The continuous glucose monitor has become a beneficial addition to self-caring practices for some, and transhumanist concepts of cyborgian relationships seem irrelevant to participants in comparison to the visible advantages gained from the invasive inanimate piece of equipment. Paul is hopeful that he will soon be able to add a CGM to his medical toolkit:

The pump is going very well but I would like to change it for a CGM. It connects to the insulin pump so, like when your blood glucose levels drop down to 4.5mmol/l, it shuts the pump off. On a walk I often go out quite quickly, I burn up a lot of sugar and then if I stop, the pump comes back on because the initial push has dropped me, so having something like the CGM which constantly monitors your blood sugar, would benefit me considerably.

Research is under way to develop an artificial pancreas which could release insulin into the body according to changes in blood glucose levels and would unite insulin pump technology with a continuous glucose monitor
A closed loop insulin delivery system, developed by Cambridge University and consisting of an external insulin pump that communicates wirelessly to a continuous glucose monitor worn as a patch on the skin, is already being tested on human research participants, according to Diabetes.co.uk.

Also reported online by Diabetes.co.uk (February, 2015) is an announcement that De Montfort University are in the process of creating an implantable insulin delivery device which makes use of a bioresponsive gel that enables insulin to be released faster in the body when blood sugar levels are high and slower when blood glucose levels are low. This small device could be implanted surgically and would release insulin into the peritoneum, allowing insulin to be delivered into the bloodstream more quickly than if delivered into the fat layer directly beneath the skin.

7.1 Transhumanism

Transhumanism, according to Bostrom (2005: 1), promotes an 'interdisciplinary approach to understanding and evaluating the opportunities', such as those mentioned above, 'for enhancing the human condition and the human organism, opened up by the advancement of technology.

Bostrom suggests (2005:2) that there is 'no reason to think that the human mode of being is any more free of limitations imposed by our biological nature than are those of other animals' and continues:

In much the same way as Chimpanzees lack the cognitive wherewithal to understand what it is like to be human - the ambitions we humans have, our philosophies, the complexities of human society, or the subtleties of our relationships with one another, so we humans may lack the capacity to form a realistic intuitive understanding of what it would be like to be a radically enhanced human (a "posthuman") and of the thoughts, concerns, aspirations, and social relations that such humans may have.

Remarking underdeveloped human sensory modalities, Bostrom highlights the contrasting keen sense of smell, magnetic orientation, and sharper eyesight of some animals and suggests that a range of possible sensory modalities may exist beyond the animal world. Macrosmia in many animals is a prime example of what our underdeveloped sense of smell cannot achieve. The keen olfactory sensitivity of creatures at home in the air, in water or on land, is now opening new vistas for increasing the biomedical armamentarium for all species and not just for human conflict advantage.
Supporting the basic tenets and values of transhumanism, Bostrum (2005) discusses the beneficial feasibility of a radical extension of the human healthspan, eradication of disease, elimination of unnecessary suffering, and augmentation of human intellectual, physical and emotional capacities. All goals that merit striving for achievement and in which the olfactory sensitivity of the dog and other macrosmatic species can play an important 'technological' role.

Max More (More and Vita-More, 2013) similarly expresses the view that becoming posthuman removes the sufferings of disease, aging and inevitable death (notwithstanding, admittedly, the likelihood of different challenges emerging over time), and enhances physical ability and individually-suited cognitive and emotional qualities. He contends that interest in philosophy and neuroscience among transhumanists shows acceptance of the Cartesian concept of the mind as a single entity to be no longer supportable.

More (2013: 13) suggests that
‘transhumanists typically adopt a universal standard based not on membership in the human species, but on the qualities of each being. Creatures with similar levels of sapience, sentience, and personhood are accorded similar status no matter whether they are humans, animals, cyborgs, machine intelligences, or aliens.

Anticipation of good things to come, despite risk and danger, seems to pervade the concept of transhumanism. Not necessarily a ‘throw caution to the wind’ yearning for advancement but, for some believers of its vision, a determinedly focused, cautious push for continual progress (refer More’s The Principles of Extropy (1990) in which it is stated that transhumanists appreciate the never-ending pursuit of knowledge and understanding).

Perhaps the above offers a comforting prospect and an approach in some ways consistent with this interdisciplinary, interspecies investigation into improving quality of life for those with chronic illness. However, as well as employing nano-technology, prostheses, or artificial intelligence as progressive technologies, the human condition is also currently being enhanced positively through canine olfactory perception: an inexpensive, non-invasive but nonetheless effective, use of a pre-existing biological ‘tool’ that detects, identifies and differentiates odours through the sense of smell and therefore prevents the life-threatening effects of hyper- or hypo-glycaemia on people with Type 1 diabetes.
Gregor Wolbring (2006: 32) reflects on the transhumanist model of health and disease, which sees every human being as defective and in need of improvement (above species-typical boundaries) leading to the transhumanist model of disability/impairment where every un-enhanced human being is, by definition “disabled” in the impairment/patient sense. The only way out of the impairment/patient label is to enhance oneself beyond the species-typical boundaries’ (2006: 34).

Those who cannot afford bodily enhancement will be marked impaired, becoming Wolbring’s 'new techno-poor disabled' (2006: 33), and the 'only way out of the impairment/patient label is to enhance oneself beyond the species-typical boundaries’, he suggests (2006: 34). Braidotti talks of former Olympic athlete Oscar Pistorius’s ‘otherwise human’ (2013: 196) medically-enhanced blade-running performances. With such transformations, she exhorts us to contemplate a posthuman social agenda in which human embodiment and subjectivity are currently undergoing a profound mutation. We are in what Braidotti calls ‘the immanent here and now of a posthuman planet’ and not the ‘transhumanist delirium of transcendence from the corporeal frame of the contemporary human’ (2013: 197).

In the case of chronically ill humans who suffer inequality in life and lack social integration, the assistance of a medical alert dog seems to provide an economically viable, non-invasive and non-mechanical form of bodily enhancement that enables comfort in the community as well as in the self. Co-embodied within the world of chronic illness, the diabetes alert dog may become an extension of the human self (Belk, 1988, 1996; Sanders, 2003). Belk (1996), describing 'pets as part of self', explains that 'the investment of time, money and energy on our pets' enables intense attachment to them and a perception that they are 'extensions of ourselves' (1996: 129). This extension of self, endorsing expressions of human identity, also allows the 'pets' to be 'appendages' (Belk, 1996: 131), whose pain may be felt by the human and whose empathy may be reciprocated.

While Belk’s 'pet' dogs are not considered in the category of assistance dogs, his observations reflect similar human-canine encounters in which the dog, acting as part of a human extended self, represents 'a divided self that is both civilised and tame, well-behaved and animalistic, controlled and
chaotic...as a mixed metaphor, it reflects the way we view ourselves in the contemporary world’ (1996: 140).

In contrasting the environmental backdrops of wolf and dog, Mark Rowlands (2008: 30) inverts the notion of the dog as extension of the human self/mind:

the dog has been forced to rely on us. More than that it has developed the ability to solve its various problems, cognitive and otherwise. For dogs, we are useful information-processing devices. We humans are part of the dog's extended mind.

Often a diagnosis of diabetes conjures immediate negativity – in the individual, among family members, friends and work colleagues. The person with diabetes takes on the label of ‘poor so-and-so’, whether or not achievements are later gained in the contexts of sport or exercise, home, college or work. However, the effort to take and maintain control of food and other lifestyle behaviours beyond that required by healthy individuals, deserves to engender personal sentiments of pride and accomplishment, and a conscious awareness of managing life well, enabling feelings of satisfaction to reverberate through the individual’s umwelt (Von Uexküll, 2010).

Cevetello asserts his need for objects to assist the sustenance of his life. Technoscience plays an important role but is thus far insentient, despite the advances in social robotics (Turkle, 2007; Miklósi and Gácsi, 2012) and Haraway’s ‘genetically engineered mice’ (1997: 53). As yet assistance objects, such as the insulin pump (which gives current blood glucose readings that can be acted upon), are not prescient and have to be manoeuvred to effect their benefit for the user.

It is the ability to prevent medical emergencies through sensory warning that has pushed the work of the companion nonhuman animal assistant into the forefront of Wolbring’s once ‘techno-poor’ (2006: 33), now en-abled, group of chronically ill humans. Unlike the inanimate insulin pump, a medical alert assistance dog may be considered an animate instrument

7.2 Animate instruments

For many years, well-loved dogs, whose human carers we unable to look after them for days, weeks, even months at a time, came to share those periods with our family. Some dogs visited because they were known to become ill during car journeys and therefore disliked travelling, some because their human companion was hospitalised or had died, a few had caused serious
injury to members of their own and other species; but the majority came to stay, often bringing suitcases brim-full of personal items, because their families were travelling abroad on business or holiday; and this was South Africa from where dogs could not travel far without long-term quarantine regulations coming into play. So we endeavoured to match the meals they were given at home, to play with them and keep them safe, warm and clean, and as far as was humanly possible, to situate them in a friendly, companionable environment.

Many returned year after year, rushing out of the family car to stand in front of the same kennel they had occupied previously – they recognised staff members and knew the daily routines; their memories proved outstandingly accurate, perhaps prodded effectively by their exceptional sense of smell which, as in human animals and mentioned in the earlier section on olfaction, encourages reminiscences of the previously familiar. Occasionally a client request necessitated driving a dog to his or her veterinarian for euthanasia and this would evoke discomforting internal questioning of a daily occupation which was principally to care for members of another species in the absence of their usual companions – could such a journey ever be seen as morally acceptable, even compassionate? Reflexivity can induce alarming mental disquiet so that, fearing Coetzee’s incisive writing would scratch open scars of past and present ethical concerns, it has taken many years to read Disgrace (1999) and the unwelcome descriptions of dogs being kennelled and euthanized.

However, it is because of Coetzee’s critical observations of human-dog interactions and cognitive-behavioural intersections that the following citation may be flagged up to illustrate his perception of this complex, often conflicting multispecies relationship:

They are part of the furniture, part of the alarm system. They do us the honour of treating us like gods, and we respond by treating them like things.

J.M. Coetzee, 1999: 78

In this research, the medical alert dog is judged to be a companion, friend, help-mate and assistant, a facilitator of safer living and a guard against the perfidies of chronic illness. However, he or she may also, sometimes simultaneously, be considered an animate instrument - 'having life' (Oxford

---

8 In contrast, a waning sense of smell may predict certain forms of dementia in humans (Strous and Schoenfeld, 2006; Velayudhan, Pritchard, Powell and Proitsi, 2013).
English Reference Dictionary, 1995: 52), ‘a thing used in performing an action’ (OERD, 1995: 730). The relevant action in this instance is the olfactory sniffing and subsequent warning performed by the alerting dog to prevent hypoglycaemia affecting the human companion with Type 1 diabetes.

Unlikely to be thought of as ‘furniture’, more likely to be appreciated as medical equipment (refer Paul and Terry commenting below that they view their dogs as equipment), and certainly considered ‘part of the alarm system’ that is required for day-to-day living when chronically ill, the diabetes alert dog is, however, much more than a ‘thing’ to the unwell human carer or to the observing public. As Budiansky comments in relation to farmers, hunters and ‘the few others in our modern world whose daily work brings them into contact with animals’ (1977: 12), ‘they know that animals are not people, but they are not things, either’ (1977: 13).

None of the human participants in this research, caring for their canine companions under the auspices of the provisioning charity, have evidenced unkind or irresponsible behaviour towards their well-respected partners (within my observation periods). But Coetzee’s phraseology continues to agitate emotions of guilt and shame at the way nonhuman animal neighbours are generally taken for granted without moral consideration, at the manner in which they are shaped mentally and physically as commodities to convenience our own interests while theirs may never be sufficiently accommodated and are, sometimes knowingly, ignored.

Hurn (2012:104) suggests that

in a capitalist market the value of social relations is influenced by the perceived value of commodities, but this value often rests on the symbolic qualities that ‘things’ are thought to possess.

She adds that ‘pets might also be regarded as commodities when they generate social profit for their owners’ (Hurn, 2012: 105). While the diabetes alert dog may be considered a working companion rather than commodity or pet, there is no doubt that ‘social profit’ is gained by a human partner, previously prevented from enjoying full social integration by the effects of illness.

Igor Kopytoff (1986: 64) examining ‘commoditization as process’ in economic terms and the concept of commodities as material things, begins by approaching ‘the notion of commodity’ in terms of slavery. The slave, he avers, is captured and/or put up for sale, loses social identity and ‘becomes a non-
person (1986: 65); but is then acquired by an individual or group, gains new status and identity but may continue to be a commodity, property of another.

Whether working herd-dogs, guard dogs or hearth-loving companion dogs, domesticated canines in the UK are still considered to be the possessions of their human keepers, as set out in the Animal Welfare Act 2006. ‘By contrast, in India, the law recognises the independent status of ownerless street dogs and so these animals are not confronted with the stark injunction to live well or die’ (Srinivasan, 2013: 106). The Code of Practice applicable to all dogs in the UK provides guidance to help dog-keepers comply with provisions of Section 9 of the Animal Welfare Act 2006; it is emphasised in the introduction that ‘you are always responsible for your dog’s needs’ and should be aware that ‘dog ownership is a major responsibility’ (DEFRA, December 2009). Although changes in international animal welfare laws over time have led to the occasional/partial prohibition of cruelty and suffering to animals for the benefit of human entertainment or consumption - the contested lives of the orcas of SeaWorld and the caged dogs of South Korean meat markets come to mind here - there still remains an ongoing human compulsion to exploit and hurt other species in the name of science or entertainment.

What is legally allowed, but appears ‘morally impermissible’ (Nobis, 2016: 26) in ethical thinking about the use of animal others, does not always benefit them when they are selected for a particular human-designed function. The transbiopolitics concept introduced by Blue and Rock (2010: 354) has significance here in relation to ‘animal and human bodies [that] not only co-exist but are instrumental in constituting one another, at many different scales and across multiple spatial and temporal dimensions’. Human provisioning of ‘care’ for the racing dog, and canine provisioning of funds that enable that care, produce a cycle of interspecies complexity. If, for example, greyhounds are well fed and ‘housed’, given opportunity for regular exercise, welfare checks and companionship, competing in the greyhound Grand National or the Oaks may be legally permissible. However, in terms of moral ‘rights’, caging and releasing greyhounds to race after a speeding mechanical lure, an artificial hare, seems unkind and abusive in the same way that pheasants and partridges are caged, fed, released and shot for so-called ‘sport’ and only occasionally end up on the table of ‘game’ meat-eaters. These sentient creatures are the animate instruments of British tradition, legally permitted to be treated as such, but the
moral acceptance of these traditions and adherent instruments should by now be seen as fast-diminishing.

7.3 Exploitation

Exploitation signifies a taking-advantage-of-another for benefit, whether it involves slavery, circus entertainment, laboratory experimentation, or the often inhumanely- and repetitively-produced gain from factory-farming or puppy-milling. Exploitation reflects more than shadows of human depravity and such moral corruption can rarely be deemed a force for good. Yet advantage of sentient nonhuman beings for human financial profit continues to be taken and observed in the fortunes made (or lost) by breeding and betting on animals compelled to participate in activities such as dog-fighting or greyhound- and horse-racing.

A further exploitation of other animals is undertaken for apparent social advancement when they are used as human status symbols (handbag or teacup primates and pigs, or supposedly-feasome dogs adorned in heavy metal-studded collars). Referring to consumer items designed to be thrown away after a brief life in order to satisfy trends of ‘new and even-better’ consumption, Hurn (2012: 103-104) contends that in current consumer thinking, companion animals may similarly be commodified and exploited as ‘potentially disposable material accessories in much the same way as cars, jewellery or clothing’ – a form of ‘planned obsolescence’.

So, as mentioned previously, the industries of puppy-milling and dog-fighting, factory-farming, laboratory experimentation or bear-bile extraction do not play important roles in this research because of the current inhumane use of animals as technologies solely for human gain°. However, the likelihood that both canine and human participants consume products manufactured from other animals, who did not choose martyrdom based on a utilitarian maxim, seems to undermine the non-speciesist ethic supporting the kindly, non-

°This is not to say that every human individual employed to work with animals in science and industry, has no heart; many of them demonstrate concern and emotion at inhumane human-nonhuman animal practices in agriculture, for example. The writing of John Law (2004) relating to the anguish of farmers and veterinarians compelled by governmental authority to euthanize healthy animals in order to contain foot-and-mouth disease on farms in Britain, and that of Kim Baker (2013) which studies developing relationships between pigmen and pigs in industrial farming, both offer balance to my overall condemnation of human cruelty to nonhuman creatures.
exploitative use of assistance animals. Further discussion of ethics and moral values follows in chapter 9.

In the meantime, the use of multispecies biomedical technology is in the frame to illustrate how the well-domesticated dog and the chronically unwell human become colleagues co-embodying good care practices and finding a single identity to guide them together through the uncertainties and complexities of chronic illness. Apart from the mutual care expressed in the symbiotic relationships between assistance dogs and their variously-impaired human companions, other positive human-canine collaborations, based on sensory perceptions and concomitant training methods and cooperations, exist beyond the boundaries of health, for example, the often exemplary care demonstrated by homeless people to their close animal friends (Irvine, 2013).

Cooperative enterprise is described in the works of former Royal Marine, Pen Farthing (2014), founder of the Nowzad Dogs charity rescuing the often-abused stray dogs battling to survive in Afghanistan warzones, and finding them safe and caring homes in other countries. Media communications and personal anecdotes frequently highlight the advantages of multispecies’ shared enterprise, for example, when members of police or defence force teams return home from service abroad, bringing their canine instruments of war with them. These may be drug-, body- or explosive-detection animals who have become emotionally attached to their human partners and together have co-created intense bonds, often deepened as the result of fear and heroism. Such close comradeship allows a mutualistic identity to be visible to the observing public.

But exploitation rears up here too, since war and destruction may heap pain, exhaustion, neglect and abandonment on the nonhuman creatures enlisted to work in war zones (Allon and Barrett, 2015), who may suffer from mental ‘shellshock’ as well as physical injury, and who may not ‘go home’ with their human keepers. Do they suffer from any of the symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) that may haunt human witnesses of fatal vehicle accidents, murder or other violent death? Although media coverage of the recently-recognised diagnosis of canine PTSD is beginning to spread in the ‘West’, there is sparse research literature available (for example, Mark Bekoff, 2011; Coulter, 2016: 80-81) to examine the psychological effects of traumatic events on animals in war zones; or indeed that explores treatment interventions
which might assist mentally-affected nonhuman creatures who suffer from the conscious contribution of an animal to a dystopian world of human manufacture.

Ryan Hediger (2013: 55) contrasts the ‘superior sensory abilities’ of dogs used by the US military in the Vietnam wars, with their abandonment as ‘mere machinery’ when the US forces withdrew. Bearing the status of canine heroes when used in warfare, ‘most of the 4000 or so dogs used in conflict were abandoned in the war zone when the United States withdrew, leaving many of the dogs to become meat, to be eaten by the Vietnamese’ (2013: 55).

More recently, Allon and Barrett (2015) have written of the Dobermann dogs co-opted into marine service in the Pacific wars, some of whom suffered ‘shellshock’ and were destroyed; whether they suffered a canine form of PTSD which seems likely in that wartime environment, is not known. Dodman (2016), a veterinarian accustomed to treating canine cases of PTSD, and Alger and Alger (2013), have also noted how trauma affects dogs well after they witness violence or crisis. PTSD is only diagnosed in humans when ‘the full symptom picture is present for more than one month (Criterion E: DSM-IV-TR®) after exposure to an extreme traumatic stressor’ (American Psychiatric Association, 2000: 463).

Ignoring this scarcity of research into post-traumatic stress disorder in companion animals, use and exploitation of domesticated animals continue to be practiced at each end of the elastic commodity continuum. The domesticated canine may be considered a highly-valued companion but may also be shaped into a commodity to be fashioned and used as an instrument, one that can be selected from the ranks of health tools contained in the biomedical armamentarium.

7.4 Use and exploitation

Kendra Coulter (2016 (b): 146) reminds us that ‘what we condemn and condone’ are affected by our knowledge and understanding of those alternatives. Observing animals ‘as working, and even as workers, may increase their immediate value in particular ways’, she avers, and then highlights how ‘becoming “useful” can change how individuals and/or species are seen and treated’. She offers an example, pertinent to those with macrosmatic scenting ability, of the rats employed by the Belgian APOPO organisation (Anti-Persoonsmijnen Ontmijnende Product Ontwikkeling, which is translated into English as ‘Anti-Personnel Landmines Removal Product
Development’) to sniff out both concealed landmines and symptoms of tuberculosis. From being considered generally to be a destructive, dirty creature who carries disease, the African Giant Pouched Rat (Cricetomys ansorgei) has gained status through ‘usage’ and is now at least recognised, paternalistically perhaps, as a life-saving individual worthy of human gratitude.

Moving away from animals used in war zones to those working in the field of health and illness, Tzachi Zamir (2006: 179-199) differentiates between use and exploitation in the field of animal-assisted therapy (AAT). He posits the liberationist stance that places value on the animal’s life and the quality of that life and suggests exploitation harms the exploited but is beneficial to the individual whereas, in AAT for example, ‘service dogs are used, though not exploited, since their welfare is promoted by the relationship’ (189).

However, Serpell, Coppinger, Fine and Peralta (2010: 497) contend that ‘the use of animals in animal-assisted activities and therapy imposes a unique set of stresses and strains’ and recommend a set of guidelines for their care and supervision during such usage, the issue being ‘how to balance the needs of human clients with respect for the needs of the animal’ (2010: 502). The provisions offer ‘basic ethics principles for the use of the therapy animal’, ‘procedures for ethical decision-making regarding therapy animals’ and ‘implications for ethical decision-making regarding therapy animals’.

Medical Detection Dogs maintain responsibility for the rescued or donated animals who they train in bio-detection. Client do not purchase a diabetes alert dog and the charity covers the costs of veterinary care, canine insurance, medical needs, toys, equipment, training and food. Only after dogs have taken up residence with human partners do those clients take over the expenses, The charity issues clients with guidelines, similar to those proposed by Serpell et. al. (2010), directing essential but ethically-based humane care and treatment of the diabetes alert assistance dogs, and time is taken to ensure both human and nonhuman are well suited and likely to form deep and long-lasting bonds.

Terry considers the charity’s current ‘matching process’ asks questions and expects answers that ‘push you to the limit’. Because working with a dog at the centre takes place on individual days over several months, prospective clients have to show commitment to wanting a diabetes alert dog and be prepared to travel to be and work with the dog. He adds that those who say
they’re coming down to the charity for a day and want to take a dog home with them will be unlikely to succeed, since they show no sign of moral commitment to ongoing consideration of the working dog’s ‘rights’ to a harm-free and cared-for future.

If either dog or human present signs of discomfort or a lack of interest in the other, then the dog will not become that individual’s companion and further discussion will take place before a different dog may be introduced. Paul, for example, emphasising that Nero is ‘the right dog for me’, says he was offered a different dog by the charity before Nero, but ‘there was just no connection’.

Similarly, Janet recalls how deflated she felt at the lack of interest in her shown by the first dog introduced by the charity:

- We went for a walk in the park, did a bit of ‘recall’; he was very good, obedient in the shops and everything was perfect but you could just tell he wasn't interested, and the trainers felt that as well, so they said they had a dog who was a bit hyperactive to show me in the afternoon.

Janet and her mother had two very elderly cats in their twenties at home and were thinking ‘this might not work’ when Alfie came ‘bounding in, full of energy’.

- As soon as I spoke to him, he responded straightaway...he kept looking up at me and when we took him to the park and did some recall, he came galloping back all excited which was lovely. My mum even noticed that he was mimicking my walk and so of course...

Human participants affirm the need to ‘click’ with the canine working assistant, this ‘click’ being an emotional feeling within human cognition that is thought to signify mutual liking and a possible future bond of friendship. Among many studies into the effects of interaction between companion humans and dogs on their oxytocin levels, are those of Odendaal and Meintjies (2003) and Miller et. al. (2009). Swedish researchers, Handlin et. al. (2011), examined heart rate and levels of oxytocin, cortisol, and insulin in 10 male Labrador dogs and their female human companions, in response to an interaction during which the ‘owner stroked, petted, and talked with her dog during the first 3 minutes’ after which blood samples were taken from both species. The researchers found the dogs’ oxytocin levels were 'significantly increased 3 minutes after the start of the interaction (p = 0.027)' while the ‘owners’ oxytocin levels peaked between 1 and 5 minutes after interaction (p = 0.026). No such effect was seen
in the controls’ (Handlin et. al., 2011: 301). Similar experiments might provide instrumentation which the MDD charity could adopt as means to quantify the interspecies bonding 'click' necessary for successful processing of their partnerships.

7.5 Anxiety: fear of failure

There is little certainty for members of the canine or human species sharing life together with chronic Type 1 diabetes. The daily turmoil of fluctuating blood sugar levels ensures intervals for relaxation are brief for either partner. The dog cannot be switched off for a nap, nor are he or she always able to rouse the deeply-sleeping or comatose diabetic. If a timely reward is not given for correct alerting because the human partner is slow to react or fails to notice an alert, how can the dog’s uncertainties be resolved?

Coppinger, Coppinger and Skillings (1998: 133) observed that the most common working dogs in the 21st century could be those who assist people with tasks that they are unable to manage as individuals. According to Assistance Dogs UK (personal communication, April 2017), 7000 dogs are now qualified to work within the ADUK accreditation system, so it appears Coppinger et. al. (1998) may have forecast the situation correctly. Their paper relates particularly to dogs pulling wheelchairs or opening doors for people in wheelchairs, indicating the possibilities of the dogs becoming injured or failing to achieve the expected goal. Relevant here is their conclusion that not everyone instructs their assistance dogs correctly so it becomes important that those matched with assistance dogs acquire the training and experience necessary to comprehend the quantity of possible complications and how they can best resolve them.

Birke and Hockenhull (2015) discuss horse-human mis-communication and failing cooperation that appear remedied over time and proximity as each gain trust and knowledge of the other. The horses 'are not animals simply plodding around at the behest of a human, but they are mindful of how to read the human from moment to moment - mindful in moving and being moved' (2015: 97). Birke and Hockenhull continue:

Sadly, we humans all too often misread what animals are trying to tell us, often with dire consequences for the animals. We may never know for sure how they themselves experience the relationships, but knowing how they behave within it does tell us something. A better understanding of how togetherness and
partnership are built, by humans and by companion animals, would surely benefit us all (emphasis in text, 2015: 97).

Coppinger et. al. (1998) suggested that inability to perform a wanted task was less to do with the inadequacy of the dog but more relative to the difficulty of the required tasks, ‘the inadequacy of much of the equipment they are required to perform with’ (1998: 143), and the instinctive behaviour of the dogs themselves.

Terry relates to the ‘difficulty of required tasks’ when attempting to manoeuvre himself, his wheelchair and assistance dog, Jim, safely in and out of lifts in multi-storeyed buildings:

If I’m approaching a lift in the wheelchair, I’ve got to make sure my dog is safe going in and out because it’s incredibly dangerous going into a lift with a dog whereas you wouldn’t care if the chair hit the lift door.

Once you’ve learned to handle the wheelchair, you have to be aware of ground surfaces because a pothole can throw you right out of it, but working with a dog keeps your mind more active which has to be a good thing.

The possibility of diabetes alert assistance dogs suffering anxiety at the collapse into unconsciousness of their human companions is itself cause for concern and rigorous investigation; for example, whether the frequency of such episodes may cause the dog to develop learned helplessness or deepen the possibility of depression at failure to succeed in assisting the human partner – or at least from a repetitive failure to receive anticipated rewards for active alerting. Certainly the public observation of Harley’s anxiety and concern when Tina became ‘hypo’ and collapsed serves as an appropriate example here. However Harley’s naturally, at least outwardly, cheerful temperament appears to have prevented symptoms of depression overly affecting his personality, and his alerting ability to warn of both hyper- and hypo-glycaemia remains at a high level of accuracy, according to the charity staff members and his diabetic companion who regularly observe his emotions and behaviours.

A lack of reaction to an alert, particularly by those who live without other human company, draws attention to stresses that may occur in the assistance dog’s life; no reaction to a given alert may signify failure, no alternative option, and no reward. Clara Mancini and colleagues, who work in the Animal-Computer Interaction laboratory at the UK’s Open University and at times in conjunction with Medical Detection Dogs staff, clients and their diabetes alert
dogs, are investigating methods and developing means to lessen the types of anxiety likely to affect working assistance dogs in situations such as that facing Harley, and to find outlets for the dogs’ anxiety if their alerting efforts fail to be acknowledged by the unwell human.

Researchers in the animal-computer interaction field consider nonhuman animals to be active participants in investigations - and not passive objects or instruments to be acted upon; a similar consideration to Nettleton’s (2013) description of human patients undergoing medical treatment. They believe that nonhuman animals need to be involved comprehensively in the design and development of multispecies user-friendly, interactive technology which should relate beneficially to the users’ personalities and idiosyncratic traits. Such interactive technology should also relate to aspects of the nature of the work to be undertaken, the context in which the activities will be situated, and to how the species will be empowered by such technology (Mancini, 2016).

Investigating the development of technologies that could empower diabetes alert assistance dogs, Robinson, Mancini, van der Linden, Guest and Harris (2014) presented a paper at the Intelligent Systems for Animal Welfare (ISAWEL) conference, which contended that a lack of predictability and control of their environment could cause stress and possible depression in dogs. Such consideration, which draws on the real and imagined points of view of both human and dog partners living in homes affected by chronic illness, could build or restore certainty in what might sometimes be a difficult-to-balance mutualistic relationship.

The ISAWEL’14 conference paper (Robinson et. al., 2014, np) ‘explores the intersection of assistance dog welfare and intelligent systems with a technological intervention...an emergency canine alert system’. They suggest the possibility of a diabetes alert dog becoming distressed when their partner becomes unconscious due to a hypo, perhaps due to temporary separation during which they cannot estimate when their human partner will regain their normal behaviour patterns, and they therefore cannot effect their usual methods of keeping control. These researchers explore the idea of a canine emergency alert system by which the dog could, for example, pull on a wall-mounted rope tug-toy, and trigger a software system that would set off an alarm request to external sources, perhaps from the human’s health and social network. This could benefit the welfare of both dog and human user, the dog empowered to
act positively and receive an appropriate feedback signal, and the human to receive medical assistance more swiftly. Just as knowledge and awareness of multispecies interactions are expanding, discussed above in relation to humans and horse co-operations (Birke and Hockenhull, 2015), so too is the technology increasing to aid safety and security for both assistant dogs and unwell humans.

Tina explains Harley’s focused alerting:

He’s sharp, he’s very sharp you know. But even if I go into me coma, when I come round, he’s sat there with the medical kit in his mouth. And you know that he’s tried everything, so, yes, he’s good [...] but they’re (MDD) making me a pulley at home. It will actually go through to home care that’ll send help out. So if I go into a coma - you know I’m out for anything up to five hours – well, in that time Harley will pull the rope and when they don’t get a voice, they know to come straight away.

Before that I was given a thing for my bed because when you go into your comas, you actually faint, but that thing were forever going off, and I thought ‘I can’t live with that’, so I’d much rather have Harley so that if I’m out, he can pull the rope.

Canine life enrichment is a priority if the ‘instrument’ is to maintain effective levels of alerting. Balanced nutrition, fresh water, outdoor exercise that enables interspecies social contact and improves circulation, muscle strength and heart rate, together with problem-solving games that invigorate brain activity, all contribute to the dog’s wellbeing and enjoyment of canine life in a human home. The economic as well as physiological status of the human with certain impaired abilities is likely to play a role in evaluating the degree to which enrichment, nourishment, security, exercise and play, interspecies and same-species social interactions, grooming and welfare needs are sufficiently supplied to maintain a healthy and satisfactory personal lifestyle for the assistance dog sharing the home.

Paul contends that his care of Nero is the same as he would give to any dog:

The fact that he’s an alert dog makes no difference. If he was just a pet, he would still get the same care ... he gets a good brush, he gets his teeth done; normally about two or three times a week he gets a dental stick...I do look after him. He sleeps on the bed now because it’s easier for me to have him on the bed than to have him padding around every hour and a half to two hours.

7.6 Parasitism: a selfish harvesting
The notion of the assistance dog being a useful item of sentient equipment may appear contra the thinking of Randy Malamud (2013) who suggests human inadequacies cause inferiority and produce a sense of entitlement to ‘harvest’ and ‘co-opt’ nonhuman animal abilities. He further posits that we should rather think of ourselves as ‘service animals’ and what we can offer to nonhuman animals in return for what we glean. Lynda Birke’s direct question ‘what’s in it for the animals?’ (2009: 1) resonates here, and emphatically invites us to take responsibility, even though we may have no knowledge of their ‘points of view’, when engaging with those whose abilities we ‘harvest’ so often without regard for their consciousness, their feelings and their thinking.

The concept of a kindly interspecies reciprocity is agreeable and, provided there is mutualism in such shared co-existences, it may be possible to accept an invitation to become less vehemently disapproving of the usage and usefulness of long-domesticated and carefully trained assistance animals. Trained diabetes alert dogs do receive health and welfare benefit and become recognised and socially-distinguished partners in symbiotic relationships; partnerships that enable one member to provide non-judgemental companionship and exceptional olfactory ability in safeguarding human health, and the other to give security, shelter and nutrition, and even the prospect of a collaborative friendship. Seemingly, these donated behaviours are considered forms of provision and gratitude rather than entitlement, and succeed in highlighting an empathic multispecies interaction that does endorse Malamud’s thinking. Extracts from interviews with research participants illustrate this instrument/companion oscillation throughout the project.

Cassidy (2002) contends that racehorses change from subject to object dependent on how they are perceived and by whom, for example, by their jockeys, owners, grooms or the betting spectators. Shir-Vertesh (2012) suggests that a dynamic range of factors can influence how people perceive and act towards their pets, for example, the flexible status of a companion animal obtained and treated as a child substitute whose position is later preempted on the arrival of a human baby. When Richard refers to Higgins making the olfactory transition over time from alerting to a scent pot to alerting to himself as ‘the real thing’, he may sense Higgins as equipment prior to the dog.
becoming companion, ‘but he’s not something that you just switch on and off’, he remarks.

There is increasing need to study the expanding biomedical situations inhabited by human and nonhuman animals. The apparently beneficial use of both humans and dogs for multispecies health improvement should not always be decried – provided, of course, that good care is the paramount concern and any use does not become exploitation that causes suffering to any sentient creature whether through mental or physical abuse or cruelty and resultant anguish. Responsible and sensitive research (Birke, 2009) should also not harm nonhuman subjects, nor reduce any forms of life enrichment they may be able to access, so that their good health and welfare are always to be maintained at the highest level. And if that is the case, making use of the cared-for biomedical animate resource should promote a greater wellness in the user. Rock and Degeling (2015), in their research into One Health and public health ethics, ‘conceptualise solidarity to encompass not only practices intended to assist other people, but also practices intended to assist nonhuman others including animals, plants or places’ (2015: 61). Their conception is supported in Coulter’s ‘Anifesto’, in which she also calls for recognition of the role played by economic oppression ‘in perpetuating both people’s and animals’ suffering’ (2016: 163).

7.7 Recognition

These canine biomedical collaborators reciprocate the care and attention given by their human counterparts in the field of chronic illness, so it seems that a plastic symbiotic co-existence emerges in which each species depends on the other to an extraordinary degree for survival. Hurn (2012) illustrates the swings and roundabouts of symbiosis in domestication with examples of the human-nonhuman relationships between the Welsh breeders and exhibitors of ‘indigenous equines’ (2012: 66); human and nonhuman animals who are interdependent and who ‘live off’ each other, unlike promoters of factory farming who are sole beneficiaries of nonhuman animal products and therefore engage in a more parasitic relationship.

As mentioned in the methodology chapter framing this project, Leung and Poulin (2008: 107) unravel the complex categories of parasitism, commensalism and mutualism which oscillate variously along an elastic continuum of symbiotic interactions. Although Coppinger and Coppinger (2016: 133) refer to commensalism or ‘eating at the same table’ as an ecologist-
favoured term for the human-canine symbiotic relationship that evolved from dogs' need to 'feed in the presence of humans', it is mutualism – in which neither member of the relationship harms the other in a mutually beneficial sharing of life - that seems to identify most nearly with the symbiotic partnership of the co-existing unwell human and assistance dog.

The intertwined lives of dog and human see the former become a construct in the creation of an animate instrument, a biomedical resource, able to assist in navigating through the culture of chronic illness performances and care practices. In this activity, some benefit for the dog comes from a work ethic that suggests the provision of enrichment opportunity and occupation may reduce the prospect of boredom or frustration in a confined space. Such constriction is felt by Elizabeth Barrett Browning’s young spaniel, Flush, whom Virginia Woolf (2016: 22) describes in her biography of the dog, as yearning ‘for air and exercise’ when compelled to remain secluded for seemingly endless days and nights in his guardian’s heavily-curtained bedroom: ‘alone together in a cushioned and firelit cave’.

A lack of occupation is a common cause of inappropriate behavioural problems occurring in companion animals (Horowitz, 2009: 216-217; Serpell et. al., 2010: 481-503). Therefore, having this useful occupation of animate, active biomedical resource not only situates the medical alert assistance dog as a valued and knowledgeable cosmopolitan, instrumental in both chronic illness treatment and in social environs, but also, and perhaps more importantly from the dog’s perspective, allows some degree of agency and opportunity for empowerment and enrichment. Surprisingly - or perhaps not - is the scarcity of literature supporting or evidencing such agency or life enrichment opportunity.

Mental and physical stimulation are enabled in the work of an assistance dog, but choice of when, where and how play should be conducted, is reliant on human decision. Research into working dog welfare by Rooney et. al. (2009) provides guidelines for practitioners caring for kennelled working dogs, which the working DADs are not.

Referring to the psychiatric service dog (PSD), Tedeschi, Fine and Helgeson (2010: 421) suggest certain 'obvious' benefits to human recipients of a PSD, for example 'increased social interaction [and] reduced feelings of avoidance and stigmatization'. But they are concerned by the new demands now made on service animals and cite Burrows et. al. (2008) who identified 'lack
of rest, recovery time, and opportunity for recreation, lack of structure in a daily schedule, and unintentional maltreatment as the primary concerns for the welfare of service dogs working with autistic children’ (Tedeschi et al., 2010: 433). Their Table 20.2 (2010: 434) lists exclusion criteria for potential PSD handlers (life circumstances, individual characteristics and patient’s clinical status).

While the above pertains specifically to PSDs, the impact of ‘service’ on a medical alert assistance dog also requires continuous monitoring. Tedeschi et al. emphasise dogs’ sensitivity to emotional and mental status changes in humans and suggest thorough and accurate screening of clients can determine ‘requisite stability and readiness of the handler or recipient’ (2010: 436). Screening of MDD clients is maintained throughout their co-existences with diabetic alert dogs to ensure both gain maximum benefit from the partnerships.

The medical alert assistance dog may be trained to alert to particular odours and rewarded when successfully performing the warning, but there is choice here in that failure to alert will not be punished (other than loss of potential reward). While some of the diabetic alert dogs give an accurate alert 95-100% of the time, there are those of both species who may be resistant to alert training once situated in a client’s home.

Paul comments on Nero’s ‘headstrong’ character which had originally made him difficult to work with, and as a result of which he was sent back to the centre for further training. He says the charity had to put in a lot of work leading up to Nero’s accreditation as a medical alert assistance dog:

You have to bear in mind that I’m not a well person so what looks easy to a healthy person, you know, and when you’re adjusting the dog, and checking the dog, and doing all the things you need to do to get him to qualify, it’s hard work for me. And they always say it’s not the dog, it’s the owner, you’re giving cues and this and that...

There are occasions in every family when expected synchrony fails and a misunderstanding of voiced or silent communication causes conflict, but there is perhaps a greater effort to comprehend and resolve such issues immediately between the dog and human who work in chronic illness and need their interdependence for bettered existence. Time and timing may be significant in interspecies activity within Type 1 diabetes. It may not be possible to extend
argument when conflict vies with necessity for an immediate alert and medical treatment.

Natasha is relieved that Paul and Nero did bond again: ‘well, had we had another three months like that, we would have divorced each other, I think’. They both laugh but the situation appears to have had serious implications. She continues:

You know you want to know how the dog affects the family unit? I’m not kidding you, we, I mean Paul and I don’t fall out, we very, very rarely argue, but then we really were, it was not good; it gets me emotional just thinking about it. He wanted Nero to go and I wanted him to stay.

Paul interjects: ‘You say I wanted him to go, but I didn’t, I just couldn’t cope with what was happening’.

This ‘headstrong’ behaviour by Nero draws recollection of remarks by Terry about Jim’s ‘playing without stopping’. Terry describes Jim’s method of alerting to high blood sugar levels or hyperglycaemia, as ‘frenetic’. He wonders how many dogs are being re-homed because of that behaviour, caused by people’s blood sugars rising to a high level when they have not been diagnosed as diabetic.

How many people have planned to re-home their dogs because they think they can’t control them when in fact, the dogs are doing their best to alert to high blood sugars? If my sugars go high you cannot stop it (Jim’s playing), and yet as soon as I get the test kit out and I’ve done the test, he’ll go and lie down.

This conjures an immediate image of a young West Highland terrier, Becky, hurtling wildly round and round my 12-year-old friend Jane as she stood in the garden. ‘We call them her mad-dog episodes; she’s always doing it’ – both Jane and her father had Type 1 diabetes, so it is likely Becky’s days were often interrupted if intense play is indeed a form of hyper-alerting. Whether she and Jim were merely trying to use up excess energy by having fun, or were attempting instead to draw urgent attention to their human companions’ erratic blood sugar levels, is for further contemplation.

7.8 An ‘alliance of friendship’?

The lion may be anthropocentrically heralded ‘king of the beasts’, and the horse as ‘man's [sic] noblest creation’ (Cassidy, 2002: 137), but those are titles that, while calling for admiration of aristocratic breeding, create distance from the iconic creatures so labelled. In terming the dog ‘man's[sic] best friend', the
anthropocentric intention seems to be a plaudit for the ‘other’, an appreciation of the goodness and a tribute to the best in companion animal-ship; and perhaps an attempted classless bringing-in to the human ‘fold’. There seems to be an increasing and to-be-welcomed human desire, illustrated at least in contemporary social science literature (for example, Birke and Hockenhull, 2015; Braidotti, 2009; Hurn, 2012; Irvine, 2012), to eradicate ideals of our superiority over nonhuman animals and reduce differentiation between human and other-than-human beings.

Is this kindly best-friend ing a genuine wish to share a common status? Adam Miklósi (2009) is among those who consider that the human-dog relationship could be an alliance of friendship, and referring to Silk (2002), he contends that this form of friendship could engage ‘a social dimension for mutual trade without the need of immediate reciprocation, having a propensity for sharing things and the possibility of offering social support (and thus enhancing mental and physical health) and engaging in cooperative actions’ (2009: 165). In the case of a diabetes alert dog and human ‘alliance’, sharing, supporting and cooperating are definite facets of the friendship, but there is, however, a need for immediate reciprocation in mutual trade, since as soon as the dog gives an alert, the human has to test blood sugar levels and reward the dog for an accurate assessment straightaway. As commented on by Richard, a few seconds of delay in offering a reward for appropriate alerting can seriously offset alert training since dogs benefit from association with the action and reward in order to bolster memory for future alerting:

I think I was a bit disorganised in terms of alerting when he first arrived and didn’t recognise an alert quickly enough. The charity said I had to ‘treat’ him more quickly but I’m slow at checking my blood sugar levels. I used to drop things although I don’t have neuropathy.

Certainly Hurn’s (2012) contention that friendship may be an appropriate way to think about the human-companion animal bond, seems viable and easy to accept, provided there is mutual desire for such bonding and resultant friendship regardless of the usefulness of each to the other. The ‘spark of mutual attraction, or a recognition of personhood across the species barrier’, (2012: 109) invite trust and co-responsibility to deepen new bonds of friendship. Perhaps by now we have been sufficiently encouraged to move away from Cartesian dualism (the separation of mind from body) and are ready to
recommend a contemporary mutualism to fuzz those divisive boundaries that separate 'man and his dog'.

Dogs are not the sole sentient instruments of detection and identification. As discussed in the section on olfaction, academic research, anecdote and media articles have all reported the scenting abilities of rats and elephants, land, avian and marine creatures. Serpell (1996: 19) contends that pigs are 'no less intelligent than dogs or cats; they are sociable and clean and, when tamed, make amiable pets', despite the general belief that they are unclean creatures. The pig is also an efficient and accurate olfactory sensor in the detection of drugs, for which employment there is reward; and, in a very different industry, the pig, as shown below, is proving to be a key factor in contemporary scientific experimentation to improve human health.

7.9 Xenotransplantation and animal models for human treatment

...en route, he’s stalked by escaped gen-mod animals, among them the vicious wolvogs and the giant pigoons, made crafty by their human brain tissue

Atwood, MaddAddam, 2013: xvi

Here is undoubted controversy, where head and heart collide, and advocacy for equal status among species and a symbiotic code of ethics, vents vociferous protest above laboratory experimentation on nonhuman others. Xenotransplantation is no longer the novelist’s imaginary construct and Margaret Atwood’s dystopian creation, the ‘giant pigoon’ cannot be regarded as a ‘pigs might fly’ adynaton, an exaggeration beyond the possible, when stem cell technology and gene editing are fast altering definition of what it is to be pig, bird or human.

Pierson et al. (2009: 263) suggest xenotransplantation, the use of nonhuman animals such as genetically-modified pigs, in organ donation/transplant surgery, may reduce or close the gap between insufficient human cells, tissues or organs, and the needs of numerous people with diabetes who may require pancreatic islet 'allotransplantation'10.

A study in Cell Stem Cell examines ‘tolerance induction and reversal of diabetes in mice transplanted with human embryonic stem cell-derived

---

10 Allotransplantation transfers cells, organs or tissues from one individual to another of the same species with a different genotype, whereas, in xenotransplantation, the transfer takes place between different species, for example, pigs and humans.
pancreatic endoderm’ (hESC-PE) (Szot et. al., 2015: 148). Their results ‘support the clinical development of hESC-derived therapy, combined with tolerogenic\textsuperscript{11} treatments, as a sustainable alternative strategy for patients with Type 1 diabetes’ (2015: 148).

More recently, Yamaguchi et. al. (2017) injected mouse pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) into Pdx-1(pancreatic and duodenal homeobox \textit{Homo sapiens} (human))-deficient rat blastocysts, generating rat-sized pancreata composed of mouse-PSC-derived cells. Islets from these pancreata were transplanted into mice with streptozotocin-induced diabetes where they ‘normalized and maintained host blood glucose levels for over 370 days...’ (Yamaguchi et. al., 25 January, 2017). The researchers suggest their data provide proof-of-principle evidence for the therapeutic potential of ‘PSC-derived islets generated by blastocyst complementation in a xenogeneic host’ (25 January, 2017).

Complex and progressive as this experimentation would appear, use of the pig (and rats, mice and others) as laboratory model and ‘future xenograft donor’ (Hansen, Dahl and Sørensen, 2002: 45) invites concern over the ‘transgression of species boundaries’ (Birke and Michael, 1998: 245) as envisioned in Atwood’s ‘gen-mod giant pigoon’. This hybridized creature plays a significant role in \textit{MaddAddam} (2013), the concluding instalment of her post-apocalyptic trilogy, as well as performing actively in the preceding two volumes, \textit{Oryx and Crake} (2003) and \textit{The Year of the Flood} (2009).

Recent advances in animal biotechnology and deepening discussion of the field of bioethics are highlighted by Richard Twine (2015); and Donna Haraway’s ‘genetically engineered lab critter, patented under the name OncoMouse’, is creeping into a broader public knowledge (2008: 76). This ‘transgenic animal’ was created to act as a model for breast cancer investigation but other transgenic mice have been used ‘to study the expression of AIDS in the human immune deficiency system’ (Salvi, 2001: 16) and, as mentioned below, continue to provide vast numbers of living models for ongoing global experimental studies into human health and illness.

Gail Davies poses at the outset of her study (2013: 130), ‘what might it mean to become with an inbred mouse?’ Talking of ‘managing mutation in mouse models of human disease’, she examines the increasingly useful role of

\textsuperscript{11} capable of producing immunological tolerance (Merriam-Webster Medical Dictionary, n.d.)
mouse models to understand human biology and disease which has resulted in a human-mouse biomedical entanglement and inbred mice who become globally commercial and in Haraway’s words (1997: 7) scientific instruments ‘for sale like many other laboratory devices’.

Looking at directions for future research, authors of the JDRF Type 1 Diabetes Research Roadmap suggest new animal models in the field of diabetes complications need to be created since, they opine, there are relatively few robust current models and, ‘although the progression to disease is compressed into approximately six months compared to the decades of progression in humans, molecules accessed from industry could be rapidly tested and moved into new trials where appropriate’ (2013: 24). Support here perhaps for Cohen’s (1986) contention that animals should increasingly be used in biomedical research.

Again involving mice as laboratory ‘models’, different options may evolve from the ongoing research into beta cell replacement, regeneration and transplantation (JDRF, 2013). Animal models, for example the non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice, are commonly used for research into Type 1 diabetes, but do not always display identical modelling to that evidenced in human Type 1 diabetes. A JDRF (2013) article points out that these mice may spontaneously develop insulin-dependent diabetes as a result of insulitis, an inflammatory autoimmune reaction within the islet cells, which can cause divergence from human Type 1 diabetes.

However, although this developing research conveys breakthrough options for the future, there is no doubt that the ability to participate safely and confidently in daily social activities remains the current major objective of those already diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes, whether living alone, or with family members, friends and colleagues.

These creatures, co-opted willingly or unwillingly to extend human ability and desire, appear to embody technologies for peaceful purpose, to prevent extinction or to aid in conflict resolution, with most species wearing some form of harness, or a transmitter attached to a harness. The medical alert assistance dog may wear an identifying jacket, collar and leash when working in public, but within the shared home, the dog performs the wanted health behaviour without wearing any additional, manufactured equipment. Anticipated reward for correct
hypo-detection may be the motivation but the dog alone is the unadorned instrument for improving care practice and wellbeing.

Morrison and Morgan (1999: 10) examine models as ‘autonomous agents’ and detail how they function as ‘instruments of investigation’. Models, they suggest, may function as tools or instruments and are ‘independent of, but mediate between things; and like tools, can often be used for many different tasks’ (1999:11). That the authors refer to models as ‘one of the critical instruments of modern science’, does not preclude the concept of the medical alert assistance dog working autonomously as an instrument of varied function. The dogs provide effective alerting to fast-falling blood sugar levels but also, in representing and co-embodiment chronic illness, bring knowledge to the individual companion and to a diabetes-unaware public (refer Sarah's comment earlier on the invisibility of diabetes).

Tim Ingold (2000: 294) views the use of tools as ‘an instance of skilled practice’ in contrast with the use of them as ‘the operation of a technology’. He suggests ‘tools of coercion, such as the whip or spur’ (2000: 307) were and still are used by the ‘human master to control the skilled tool-using performance of his charges’ under conditions of human/animal slavery. In the training of medical alert assistance dogs, the whip is more likely made of cheese or other strong-flavoured edible item of reward and all requests are asked in positive terms and the wanted responses are similarly recognised. But there still remains the issue of the animate instrument.

The significance of this sentient means of improvement in healthcare is well illustrated by Paul who portrays his canine companion Nero, in terms of both equipment and ‘pet’ animal:

I see him first as an aid like a blood monitor, in other words, he’s just another tool that helps me. Bearing that in mind, I obviously also see him as a pet, he gets me out, he gets me doing things... I mean I’m walking again and I’m exercising which I wasn’t doing, he’s keeping me fit, he gives me a better value of life – so he’s just another tool on top of being a companion; he’s just another tool that adds to the list of things that will help – in a really cut-throat kind of way, he’s serving a purpose. I see him in that role - and then I see him as a pet, but the pet is the last thing.

Natasha takes an opposing view, observing that Nero is a member of her family and she considers him ‘first and foremost to be a pet who just happens to have a very cool, clever, life-saving ability’.
I note that Terry talks of his well-mannered DAD, Jim, as a companion but, like Paul, he also perceives the dog as an instrument. He compares his reading of Jim’s signals to reading the signals of a faulty vehicle:

You know when there’s something wrong with your car and you take it to a mechanic if it’s not driving right or the brakes are a bit sloppy – you know, you just know. And in that way, I treat the dog like a piece of equipment because I’m reading the signs that this piece of equipment gives me.

But, as Paul has explained his varying views of the tool/pet, inanimate/animate dichotomy, Terry also observes that

the dog’s not a robot, not a piece of machinery; you’ve got to allow the dog to be a dog and do what a dog needs to do. You’ve always got to think they’re animals, not like robots or a wheelchair. You can’t park him up in a corner, put the brake on him and say that’s it – I’m not using you for the next eight hours.

Of course he can be a bit naughty; he’s a dog, not a robot, that’s the sort of difference. My wheelchair won’t misbehave.

This brings a dry ‘unless it breaks down’ from Nick, and Terry mutters wryly ‘I don’t have to feed the wheelchair’, but it is abundantly clear that Jim holds high status in the family and they are aware of his needs as much as their own:

With an animate tool like the assistance dog, you have to think of another individual. If you’re going along a busy road, how will your dog react to something like a 10-ton truck going past – you may have to change sides, putting the dog on the inside (instead of between Terry in the wheelchair and the traffic) for his own safety.

Among a wide-range of complex situations they face every day, they recall Christmas-time ventures into town with Jim and the wheelchair:

It’s busy and people are carrying big bags and they don’t think...you get clobbered, never mind the dog. They step straight out of a shop and because you’re below their eye-level, they don’t see you.

Continuing with the theme of the living, active dog and the ‘static piece of equipment that just sits there’, Nick relates comment about a well-known sports personality who has embodied wheelchair-living for most of her life:

To start with, she believed you’ve got to walk, you must wear leg callipers, you must walk because walking’s good for you; but she found it such hard work and was so tired. However, in a wheelchair, she said she’s got life, she can go off and do things, play with other children and ‘run away’ – things she couldn’t do in callipers, so to her the wheelchair was an enabler whereas to
others, ‘it’s the end of my life’. So you’ve got two completely different attitudes to the wheelchair – it’s turning more towards thinking of it as a life-enhancing piece of equipment – but so is an assistance dog.

Referring to disability studies (for example, Bury, 1991, 2001; Charmaz, 1995, 2006; Nettleton, 2013; Shakespeare, 2014, and Taylor, 2014), in which stigma and disenfranchisement are highlighted and result in a negative slant towards individuals lacking certain abilities, such research also envisages treating disability equipment as means to make an individual feel unique and to have a life worth living – raising personal status to an achievable and desirable standard. Tom Shakespeare (2014: 97) investigates people with impairments who are socially identified as disabled but may be reluctant to identify with what has become a stigmatising label. Janet, for example, feared that the co-presence of DAD, Alfie, would draw attention to her illness and cause her increased social isolation. However, others such as Terry, whose capabilities are hampered by physical impairment and the need for particular equipment, identify themselves as ‘normal’ and not disabled in the first place.

### 7.10 Wearing status

In public, the dog wears a jacket identifying a link to the charity training and matching the diabetic alert canines to their human partners; a collar and lead are similarly-labelled means of identity, bringing attention to the dyad and an elevated status to the working dog. This scarlet, labelled jacket designating the dog’s prowess in biomedical achievement, echoes Rebecca Cassidy’s depiction of the importance and power assigned by racehorse clothing. ‘Perhaps the most significant horse clothing of all is the rug presented to the winners of big races; usually brightly coloured and bearing the name of the race and its sponsor, the horse carries its status on its back’ (Cassidy, 2002: 27).

The alert assistance dogs may not be race winners but the jacket identifies the significance of their work and broadcasts the high status earned by each of them.

Terry remarks their visibility in public:

It’s not just the fact you’ve got an assistance dog; you’re an ambassador for the charity. People see the name of the charity (on the dog’s jacket) so they’re expecting a certain level of behaviour from you and from the dog. People will approach you and want to know what the charity’s about – and he can be a monster. (Terry and Nick both laugh and I find it hard to believe
that this intelligent, kind-natured person could ever demonstrate what contemporary society might imagine to be ‘monsterhood’.

Rod Michalko (1999) offers alternative reasoning for clothing designating prestige to the wearer. He suggests that his guiding dog, Smokie’s harness presents a cue to the social observer that symbolises ‘work and blindness’ Similarly the DAD’s jacket and leash therefore represent work and diabetes, and draw attention to the usually-hidden complexities of this illness. To an observing member of society, this is likely to indicate that a dog in an identifying jacket is a well-trained, working assistance dog.

However, online columnist Helen Dolphin is among those who are ‘concerned that growing numbers of untrained and badly behaved fakes could compromise the credibility of the real thing’ (Disability Now, 4 January, 2016). Terry and Nick mention they know of three ‘fake assistance dogs cropping up’ in their region. People buy or make dog jackets in order to convey the impression that their dog is a well-trained assistance dog so as to enter buildings or organisations, into which a dog would not normally be allowed access. If an untrained dog then misbehaves while wearing an ‘internet'-purchased ‘fake’ assistance dog jacket in public, Terry believes that accredited assistance dogs may ‘get a bad name’. On the other hand, as seen in the many online responses to Dolphin’s concern, there are long waiting lists for qualified assistance dogs and some people with mobility issues find it necessary to train their own dogs as best they can in order to have any sort of social contact.

So that we can see Gemma ‘working’ in public, Mel and her family drive one of the charity’s instructors and myself to a shopping mall and we park on the roof of a multi-storey car park. Gemma wears her red DAD jacket and I am struck by an apparent change in her bearing compared to her un-jacketed self when on a ‘free run’ earlier in the day.

On our walk in the countryside, I listen to the conversation between Mel and the instructor and watch Gemma and her young companion, Mark, zigzagging ahead. Gemma is off the leash but continually looks back at him. She jumps over tussocks and bushes, racing through long grass and saplings, ears flapping like soft wings. Every time Mark calls to her, she rushes back to him. However, there is a single hiatus when the smell of decomposing rabbit
proves too much to ignore, when she could be said to demonstrate agency\textsuperscript{12} in activating her inner 'dogness' so as to enjoy the fruits of her macrosomatic sense of smell: she triumphantly parades the corpse. Surprising to me is that she returns to Mel when called and allows her to retrieve the rabbit and dispose of it without argument. The leash is produced and she stays with us briefly before being released to continue exploring her chosen pathways.

Wearing her jacket in town later in the day, she appears to hold her head higher, her stance is more erect and her gait is shortened, more precise, neat and purposeful – her identity seems to take on the persona of an authoritative medical assistant, yet retains that of a happy, well-loved family member.

But then, other participants say they see no change at all in their dogs’ demeanours, jackets on or off. Paul and Natasha believe that Nero portrays the other side of the coin. Anthropomorphically, they consider him to be 'a man of his own mind' and put human words into his mouth so that his imagined emotions may be verbalised:

It’s a bit like when we used to give a talk and get all dressed up in the MDD-logo clothes – you could see him sitting on the stairs going ‘oh god, I’m going to get patted on the head all afternoon. Will I get a run at the end of it or...?’ But he’s so affable, he’ll do anything you ask him to do...He’s not a cuddly dog and as far as putting the jacket on, he’s a man of his own mind and I don’t think it makes any difference, I don’t think he’s more proud, he doesn’t do a ‘ooh look I’ve got my jacket on’.

Paul: No, he’s more of a ‘let me get my head-collar off’ – that’s his main goal – but then he could be the anomaly...

I wonder if I, too, am imagining, anthropomorphising, incorporating what others have told me that, when their dogs wear their labelled jackets, they seem to be aware that they are professionals and have a sense of pride in their work. ‘A sense of pride?’ That is inference and not knowledge so I must be attributing certain human personality traits to these dogs, allowing them some form of emotional awareness and abstract thinking, even the cognitive ability to possess ‘theory of mind’, and to attribute mental states to themselves and to others. This is a topic well investigated by Bekoff (2002; 2004), de Waal (2010), Panksepp (2005; 2011), Von Uexküll (1934 [2010]), Weil (2012) and others who

\textsuperscript{12} A definition of ‘agency’ appears in Coulter (2016: 79): ‘Agency (understood concisely) means the ability to think, act, and make choices and/or change. Agency can be individual and/or collective’.
have considered that bonobos, chimpanzees, elephants, dolphins as well as more domesticated species, for example, dogs and humans, are able to share in the perceptions, emotions and environments of other familiar beings. However, despite increasing neuroscientific investigation (Panksepp, 2011), definition of nonhuman animal consciousness shifts over time and remains unclear, perhaps because of the impossibility of accurately ‘reading’ another’s mind or of absorbing sufficient aspects of their subjective *umwelt*.

Miklósi and Topál (2013) write of developmental social competence (DSC), ‘an individual’s ability to generate social skills that conform to the expectations of others and the social rules of the group’ and this reminds again of Srinivasan’s ‘anthropogenic norms’ and conjures further guidelines for my human imagining that dogs have degrees of ‘awareness’ - although having a sense of pride in their occupation may not yet be recognised evidence of canine competence and cognition.

Gemma’s altered presence in my imagination from that of playmate to professional may perhaps be compared to horses entering a show-ring or dressage arena. ‘She’s such a diva’ is a frequent comment but it’s not a censure, rather an acclaim, an admiration of the horse’s altered self-carriage and ‘presence’ before spectators, and a further example of attributing human-created values to a nonhuman other. Similar attributions of ‘presence’, ‘stamp’ and ‘type’ are spoken by spectators and breeders observing prized Welsh Cobs entering a show-ring with their ‘handlers’ (Hurn, 2008a; and 2008b: 32 and 41n13).

However, it seems likely that the diabetic human’s status would be perceived as of higher import if the dog were unidentifiable (un-jacketed) beyond the rank of companion animal. In contrast, the rider would be ‘just a rider’ without the horse demonstrating his or her prowess. Hurn (2011: 109) contends that ‘the act of clothing animals’ is a means of control over their ‘animality’, whereas the ‘removal of clothing and material paraphernalia’ (for example, the DAD’s jacket, collar and leash) could instead signify respect and recognition of nonhuman animal personhood. But then again, if the alert dog were not wearing identification when the dyad is viewed by a public eye, their combined access into many institutions and organisations could be barred.

### 7.11 Disabling identity
What has been noticed by participants is that the add-on items of our material culture, the labelled jacket and harness, also highlight the human inability to perform many of the functions achieved by more able members of their communities. Even though being diagnosed with diabetes does not strap an identifying jacket onto the human self, an imagined discriminatory label is felt to have been metaphorically attached to the individual walking with the jacketed assistance dog. This may lead to unwanted isolation and social disenfranchisement, the result of a public designation or at least inference of the possibility that the human partner has failed to live appropriately within the generally-accepted norms of society and its local cultural patterns.

Clinton Sanders has examined the impact of guide dogs on their visually-impaired human companions, noting how ‘the stereotypic expectations of the sighted public’ affected feelings of self-worth and accorded diminished identities to the unsighted or partially-sighted humans (2000: 136). In this way, the assistance dogs draw negative attention to the human disability and as a result, are objectified by the disabled person as well as by a wider society.

Sanders affirms that others follow this way of thinking, suggesting that some people with visual impairments see their assistance dogs as ‘mobility devices’. The diabetes alert canines may equally be considered ‘diagnostic devices’ that can lead to social isolation. For the chronically ill individuals depicted here, the medical alert assistance dog may be a sign that visibly highlights their in-abilities to society, a symbolism from which they may fervently wish to disentangle themselves. However, they may not survive without the ever-present early warning system that accompanies them on the bus to go shopping, who lies close by in the pub or restaurant, who nudges an arm at the cinema or disturbs their sleep at night so that extreme fluctuations in blood glucose levels can be quickly rectified.

Alternatively, regarding the DADs as animate equipment, as sentient colleagues, can significantly change how people with chronic illness regard themselves and their social interactions. Self-esteem is boosted by cooperative endeavour and enables the previously-mentioned Michalko-merger of the two-into-one for those with vision difficulties, into a shared identity, the ‘two-in-one’, for those with complex Type 1 diabetes.

The dogs become complex, even contradictory symbols (semiotically-speaking) which can impact on the way their humans perceive them. This leads
to the notion of ‘flexible personhood’ introduced by Shir-Vertesh (2012: 420) to ‘describe the Israeli cultural reasoning in the treatment of pets that encourages people to react adaptively and opportunistically to changing ways of life and social conditions’ (2012: 421). Within the observed multispecies relationships, she discovers an oscillating momentum that alters boundaries and practices, activity that is similarly reflected below in Janet’s changing perspective. Shir-Vertesh proposes a theoretical approach which ‘goes beyond the treatment of animals as commodities or symbols that are treated irresolutely in their service to humans’ (2012: 429). This perspective, she concludes, enables investigation into the ‘intimacy created’ with companion animals as a ‘flexible, yet structured, space with its own objectives and meanings’ (2012: 429).

Prior to Alfie’s arrival, anticipation of an always-in-attendance medical assistance dog provoked Janet to consider whether she might be labelled ‘disabled’, because of the dog’s constant and close proximity. Having put forward this suggestion, she then kept silent for several minutes before admitting that

...for a while I had a bit of a thing where I didn’t want people to think that because I had an assistance dog, I was disabled, and I had a real thing in my head that that was how I was going to be seen...

But the advantages obtained by sharing life with the assistance dog appear to outweigh the disadvantages, and she added that her fear of the disabled label

...didn’t last too long. I think once our bond properly started and he was alerting effectively, that just went out of the window. It became – that’s what he does and it’s far more important than how I feel or am being perceived.

The bond established between Alfie and Janet, and the efficacy of the working dog’s alerts, banished her fears of public identification as disabled, and therefore considered incapable, because of the dog’s presence.

8 Animals as health technologies

Considering early Anthropocen(tr)ic management of/collaboration with nonhuman animals to be the tinder sparking forever-after domestic ‘use of other animals as technologies’ (Twine, 2015: 14), and recalling the previous domestication perspectives observed by Budiansky (1997), Clutton-Brock (1995) and Miklósi (2009), regarding historical canine domestication in chapter
Silverstone’s (1992) theory of domestication is now employed to expand the concept of using domestic animals as instruments to improve health. The four tenets of this perspective – appropriation, objectification, incorporation, conversion - enable exploration as to how the diabetes alert dog might be considered an everyday health technology. Haddon (2007: 26) reminds that the metaphor of 'domestication' evolves from 'the taming of wild animals' but was applied by Silverstone to describe procedures mobilised in the domestication of information and communication technologies.

The difference suggested here between consideration of diabetes alert dogs as animate instruments and/or as health technologies may rest partially on degrees of activity or passivity; whether they function as domesticated, obedient tools available for selection among those in the human’s medical bag and/or as domesticated but agentic and autonomous enablers of human health improvement.

Morrison and Morgan have articulated the concept of models as mediating instruments, an example which can be effectively reflected in the DAD’s performance as equipment and companion:

Models have to be used before they will give up their secrets. In this sense, they have the quality of a technology – the power of the model only becomes apparent in the context of its use (1999: 12).

It is only this century that has seen ‘the power’ of the dog’s olfactory sensitivity and its use in rendering an individual with Type 1 diabetes free from social isolation and the fear of a hypoglycaemic coma.

Peter Soppelsa (2015: 252) examines the question of animals as technology, following Ann Norton Greene’s (2008) contention that horses were ‘a crucial power source’ behind the rise of American industrialisation. Coulter supports this by suggesting that ‘horses do work of various kinds for people, and people garner material, social and/or personal gain from that work’ (2016a: 148) and adds that this statement ‘can be extended to aptly characterize animals’ work more broadly’ (2016: 6).

‘Our technologies both shape and express who we are’, claims Soppelsa (2015: 253) and this is evident in the co-embodied interspecies partnerships which become single entities in the public understanding of multispecies performances. Two necessarily entangled beings with one identity, similar to that discovered by sociologist Rod Michalko with his guide dog, Smokie (1999),
and the becoming of Goffman’s (1959) presentation of ‘our self’, the two in one in blindness, or in this instance, the two in one in chronic illness. In this research the alerting assistance dog and the human with Type 1 diabetes take on a shared persona, becoming a carefully choreographed, but also independently active, instrument of biotechnology performing the complex dance that is exacted by chronic illness.

As living organisms trained as, guided by, and ultimately ‘doing’ medical technology, these human-nonhuman products of interspecies cooperation and collaboration accept the challenges of chronic illness, navigate its unpredictable oscillations, and foretell the vagaries of lives that would be lost without insulin. Soppelsa (2015: 255) suggests Greene’s book demonstrates how ‘socially-desirable animals also serve to reshape the society’ in which we reside. Horses defined that economy, being used as a technology to ‘drive’ industry, pulling fire-engines and canal barges, hauling gun-carriages and ploughs, extending human abilities through their actions. Ingold (2000: 308) hammers home the unedifying point that ‘perhaps in no other employment has an animal come closer to being converted into a pure machine, functioning simply as a prime-mover’. So what did these bred ever-larger, ever-stronger, horses gain from this evolutionary determinism?

Repeating Lynda Birke’s (2009) question that refers to researcher accountability to their nonhuman participants, what is in it for the animals? Certainly an avoidance of harm, but did the ‘mechanical’ horses of industry become more successfully empowered to improve their own welfare? As soon as insentient technology was developed, for example, in the form of steam engines and armoured tanks, the heavy horses devolved into show-pieces at agricultural shows or were brought out of redundancy for ceremonial occasions, and are now rarely considered desirable shapers of society, regardless of their historical industrial worth.

Seen as ‘socially desirable’, but possibly achieving a greater reward and avoidance of harm in comparison to the harnessed horses of the pre-industrial era, the working medical alert assistance dog has had a more recent impact on the reshaping of our society. Used as instruments of biomedical technology to rearrange and improve management of life with chronic illness, dogs' olfactory skills stretch and hone human capabilities, and when compared to many cold
inanimate diagnostic or remedial devices, their warm practices of care sculpt socially-acceptable multispecies niches.

8.1 **Contemporary canine domestication**

If the Latin word, ‘*domus*’, is translated into ‘house’ or ‘home’ (see also Leach, 1989), it is simple to understand ‘domicile’ as a place of settled residence. Yet, if the noun’s meaning changes when it is adapted to become ‘domestic’, a servant of others in the home, it is also altered further in significance when the word becomes ‘domesticate/d’ or ‘tame/d’ inviting visions of servitude and connotation of human domination and control over a ‘wild’ nonhuman being (Ingold, 2000; Malamud, 2013).

In this instance, there is a softer side to domestication whereby the human offers to share a trusting co-existence (Armstrong Oma, 2010; Palmer, 1997) and to shepherd a fear-free and curious dog into a human-made protective fold; an invitation that can result in an emergence of symbiotic health care practices within the bounds of an already-domesticated unwell individual and a collective social ‘*domus*’; an acceptable multispecies niche.

Historical canine domestication and the engagement of an ‘other’ in care practices have been previously mentioned, with focus on the diabetes alert dog’s ability to assist an unwell human with coping skills for survival management; so more contemporary approaches to multispecies domestication are now explored.

Donna Haraway (2008: 207) highlights the collaborative world of humans and dogs who work together in sports agility competition and remarks in that context:

> Training together puts the participants inside the complexities of instrumental relations and structures of power. How can dogs and people in this kind of relationship be means and ends for each other in ways that call for reshaping our ideas about, and practices of, domestication?

Haraway recalls Despret’s (2004) concept of ‘anthropo-zoo-genetic practice’ in her redefinition of domestication where people and animals become more available, more interesting, more open to each other and gain new identities.

In using this approach to domestication in support of a routinely-used health technology, motivation was encouraged and informed by the writings of Haraway and Despret; by research into the role of communication and
information technologies in the home and in society (Silverstone, Hirsch and Morley, 1992), by Jeannette Pols’ (2012) research into care and technology, and by a study (Carter, Green and Thorogood, 2013) examining the domestication of an everyday health technology, the electric toothbrush.

However, instead of the inanimate electric toothbrush which requires manipulation via electric current and human dexterity, under focus here is the domestication of a sentient ‘everyday health technology’: an entirely animate and long-domesticated canine, the working diabetes alert assistance dog. Consideration is given as to whether this canine creation may be perceived as an everyday viable health technology mobilising improvement in the diabetic individual’s way of living, or, as Carter et. al. (2013: 344) have postulated, as a sometimes “unstable object” in the domestic setting.

Tim Dant (2007) suggests that daily interactions with material objects depend on the way each individual makes sense of their significance and how they may be altered to fit current needs. He writes of design and consumption in respect of car repair and furniture construction, but here the dog comes already designed (macrosomatic scenting ability) and constructed (trained), and consumption of its scenting prowess relies on interactions between the human and nonhuman in order to achieve required goals.

Miller’s concluding request in ‘Stuff’ calls for ‘a consideration of things commensurate with the place they evidently have in our lives’ (2010: 156) and as items of material culture who hold ongoing significance in and for the lives they share, diabetes alert dogs deserve consideration and approbation as living beings ‘in our lives’; as creatures whose status seems often to rank of equal significance to that of the human with whom they co-exist.

The electric toothbrush is an efficient health technology for oral hygiene similar to the well-marketed insulin pump’s usefulness as a health technology in Type 1 diabetes, to balance insulin intake against carbohydrate ingestion and exercise. However, both material items have inanimate dispositions and lack the ability to function without human intervention.

At the start of this century, assistance dogs, for example, the Guide Dog, were recognised as material sources of health and social improvement for sight-impaired people, but the notion of canine scenting ability being sufficiently accurate to change the boundaries and relationships inherent in human chronic illness, and in other life-altering diseases, had yet to become reality. The
A concept of a dog’s sense of smell actively leading to the detection of cancers is becoming more widely accepted (Guest, 2013; Lippi and Cervellin, 2012) while the canine olfactory prevention of human hypoglycaemic collapse is now prominent in media presentations, well supported by research evidence and accepted as a positive health intervention by the general public.

However, a hypoglycaemic collapse that requires emergency health care, often brings shame and embarrassment to the individual in social situations. Once consciousness is regained, the no-longer comatose person is likely to feel stigmatised and that any form of social inclusion is denied to them. This is in direct contrast to the positive effect of an attending hypo-detection dog who acts as a preventative technology, a constantly on-hand biomedical and biosocial resource that adds to positive identity rather than detracting from it, as shown, for example, in the significant impact on onlookers made by Harley when Tina collapses in public.

8.2 A theory of domestication

The four phases of appropriation, objectification, incorporation and conversion, proposed by Silverstone, Hirsch and Morley (1992: 18) as ‘elements of the transactional system in which the moral economy of the household is expressed’, may themselves be ‘appropriated’ conveniently to allow the alert dog to be seen as a commodity in a ‘transactional system’, and as a functional health technology within, and beyond the household defines.

Domestication theory in this context involves cultural, social and technological networks of household daily life. Haddon (2007: 26) suggests the metaphor of ‘domestication’ came from the taming of wild animals, but contends that Silverstone, Hirsch and Morley (1992) intended it to ‘describe processes involved in "domesticating ICTs" when bringing them into the home’. The meanings and significance of all media and information products depend on the participation of the user, according to Silverstone (1996), and this suggestion can reflect how much meaningful use is made of the autonomous working assistance dog by a chronically ill person.

The first phrase, employed to describe the concept of domestication as envisaged by Silverstone, Hirsch and Morley (1992: 18-23), is:

**Appropriation:** when a technology leaves the world of commodity, that is ‘at point of sale’, it is appropriated. Then it can be ‘taken possession of by an
individual or household and *owned*. Appropriation permits artefacts to become ‘authentic (commodities become objects) and achieve significance’ (1992: 19).

When a medical alert assistance dog is trained as a health-improving technology and is ‘matched’ to a human individual with Type 1 diabetes, the label of sentient biomedical resource is necessarily altered. The dog is brought into another species’ living space as a commodity which, in the UK, may then be ‘owned’ (cf Kopytoff, 1986), the joint purposes being assistance and companionship. The dog crosses the boundary from formally-trained commodity to a seemingly well cared-for sentient possession situated in the domain of health care and improvement.

But does the dog remain a commodity, and not a possession, when adopting the clothing of a biomedical assistant? This question may be related to Shir-Vertesh's concept of a flexible personhood and the notion of a DAD becoming an emotional commodity when considering the moral appropriation of the assistance dog. In the public's general gaze, the dog becomes an ethically-cared-for individual and is seen to be ethically-consumed for human health benefit. Participants are, however, contracted to give optimal care to the dogs who share their homes, under the observation and supervision of the charity's staff. Acts of appropriation, the passage of artefacts from commodity to object possessed, enable ‘self-creation’, a way of defining and distinguishing themselves from, and allying themselves to, each other. Such appropriation allows for new identities to appear and be clarified; and reciprocal practices of care and service to be engaged.

*Objectification:* this is expressed in the use and in the physical situating of objects in the home’s 'spatial environment' and also in the 'construction of that environment'. Silverstone, Hirsch and Morley aver that

all technologies have the potential to be appropriated into an aesthetic environment [...] Many are purchased as much for their appearance and their compatibility with the dominant aesthetic rationality of the home as for their functional significance’ (1992: 20).

As ‘objects that appear and are displayed in an already constructed (and always reconstructable) meaningful spatial environment’ (1992: 20), working alert dogs may add to the aesthetics of the human home, thereby seeming to boost the homeowner's status, through coat-colour co-ordination with room furnishings or because of their natural grace and elegance. However, it is for
their functional as well as aesthetic significance that they are objectified here (refer Harley’s image reflecting on Tina). The pungent smell of wet dog, the cacophony of welcoming or defensive barks, clumps of shed hair on floor and furniture, or half-eaten ‘chews’ left in doorways, may not invite an aesthetic sensory appreciation of the environment from a human visitor to the canine home. But the interspecies dyads of this study bond chiefly through both need and ‘likeability’. The beauty of the beast might be obvious but is not considered of high import compared to the good practices he or she make available.

Thinking of colour coordination in this objectification concept of a domestication approach brings a chance to digress and tell of the first of my ‘rescued’ dogs – two six-month-old black Great Danes who were advertised in the ‘classified’ section of the local paper below ‘fridges and freezers’ but above ‘grey school blazer’. Had they been advertised under ‘kennels and pets’, I might have continued reading, but such an ignominious position for such beautiful creatures...when collecting them, I realised the ‘clash’ potential that had brought them to their uncertain future.

The dogs seemed to have been purchased to ‘match’ the black leather sofas and other ebony adornment in the white-walled rooms and to dispose themselves ornamentally, adding glamour to the well-chivvied and bountiful gardens overlooking the sea as they stalked along flower-bordered paths.

But untrained young Danes play vigorously and appear unconcerned by the damage they cause to human-prized furniture and flowerbeds. In my appropriation of the dogs, there was no need for functional significance or particular multi-sensory aesthetic beauty or bounty; they came to play and bounce and bring their joy into our home. But of course they did adorn landscape and hearth, and they acted with ‘personal’ agency in ways that had functional significance for us as Coetzee’s ‘part of the alarm system’ (1999: 78), since they were a force to be reckoned with in speed and size when greeting strangers walking across the fields.

 Sadly, this is not a one-off misguided happening as I have since been regaled (although by no means in the sense of ‘gala’ or amusement) with stories of other dogs ‘donated’ to rescue shelters and animal welfare centres because they no longer ‘match’ home furnishings or fit in aesthetically with the lifestyles of their incongruously-termed human ‘guardians’. Joanna Swabe (2005: 111)) gives the ‘exasperated’ veterinarian attitude to unnecessary
euthanasia of animals ‘treated as throwaway items’ to be disposed of, because that is considered cheaper than paying kennelling fees over holiday periods.

_Incorporation:_ Silverstone, Hirsch and Morley (1992) draw attention to the ways in which objects, especially technologies, are used, suggesting that ‘technologies are functional. They may be bought with other features in mind and indeed serve other cultural purposes in appropriation’ (1992: 21). In order to become functional, the researchers contend that a technology has to ‘find a place within the moral economy of the household, specifically in terms of its incorporation into the routines of daily life’ (1992: 21).

Incorporation, as they claim above, may facilitate ‘control’ of time and this is highly relevant to chronic illness where time plays a prominent role in measuring and eating, blood testing and insulin preparation, rest and exercise. ‘Where a technology is located and when and how it is used (and of course by whom) become crucial elements in the moral economy of the household as a whole’ (1992: 22). While Silverstone, Hirsch and Morley refer to control and use of television remotes and computers, such ‘usage’ of a diabetes alert dog by a chronically ill individual in their home, can become a routine health technology.

But, once trained, the alert dog functions autonomously - with more self control than evidenced by my untrained youthful Dane companions - in working to maintain the safe and prolonged existence of the human partner, and at the same time co-embodies a shared way of living life within societal and medical contexts. As a technology, the DAD helps maintain the choreography and chronology involved in the day-in and day-out dances of diabetes.

_Conversion:_ Like appropriation, Silverstone, Hirsch and Morley suggest conversion ‘defines the relationship between the household and the outside world’ (1992: 22). It may happen that ‘artefacts and meanings, texts and technologies’ pass through boundaries, as the household ‘defines and claims for itself and its members a status in neighbourhood, work and peer groups in the “wider society”’ (1992: 22). The behaviour of the jacketed and therefore purpose-identified dog, with a human partner, for example, Gemma and Mark or Terry and Jim, achieve conversion from two single beings to a conjoined dyad and gain a status that seems to earn greater levels of respect than either would have achieved alone.

An example of a technology ‘passing through boundaries’ might include the insulin travelling from the vial via the pump and cannula to the bloodstream;
but may also be seen in the medical alert dog converting council legislation to allow assistance dogs and their human partners to enter institutions and societies formerly forbidden to them as single minorities.

The domestication of ‘wild’ animals and the history of human-canine companionship are topics covered earlier, but here, the dog is already domesticated and has now become a household commodity – we too have been ‘tamed’ into domesticity (Budiansky, 1997) and want for things to ornament our homes, to assist in improving our existence. The animate technologies, bolstering health practices in the home, are trained to convert their best care practices and perform them equally in the streets and buildings of ‘wider society’.

8.3 Tools and devices

I write of dogs and concomitant with this species as companions to human animals, is the frequent endeavour by the latter to tame, train and ‘make behave’; so that a connecting ‘leash’ between the two species itself becomes an object of domestication and a device of obedience; perhaps one of Ingold’s ‘tools of coercion’ (2000: 307) and not always the ‘smiling’, u-shaped link encouraged by MDD trainers. Training of the diabetes alert dog involves use of the leash but the ultimate goal is that, after consistent practice, a smile should develop in the material allowing the dog to walk next to his or her partner without tension in the device being felt by either of them.

Domestication theory, writes Jeannette Pols (2012: 18), ‘grants humans more agency: animals, plants and technologies do not determine our lives but come to live with us, in our homes, and on our terms’, not always in the ways and uses for which they were ‘designed’. However, Budiansky (1997) takes an opposing view, elaborated below, determining that other animals domesticated human ways of being.

Telling of heuristic ‘activities that “unleash” and “tame” individuals and devices’, and that precede the ‘domestication of a species or their living together – or apart – happily ever after’ (2012: 18), Pols speaks of new technology being ‘let out of its box’ so that ‘individual devices are unleashed into the daily life practices to which they come to belong’ (2012: 18). Here, both the diabetic alert dog and the leash become individual devices working together in the daily practices devised by their human partners, the one being animate, the
other, inanimate (although the latter, if constructed from leather, may have been constructed from a deceased once-animate being).

Referring to the unpredictability of the unleashed device and its unexpected activities contra the design formulation, Pols (2012: 18) takes the ‘domesticated telephone’ as an example, whereby the intention was to ‘transmit the business conversations of American men’, but grew instead into a widely-used instrument of social discourse for American women. ‘The history of technology shows again and again that devices will behave differently to what their designers intended’ (Pols, 2012: 18). Indeed, no-one would have predicted that dogs bred for herding or guarding would become adept at sniffing for explosives or disease.

Pols’ second activity ‘in the process of mutual adaptation involves taming the devices’ so that they become practical and fit for purpose, even if not all the available attributes are needed or used (2012: 19). Here again, the medical alert dog, as a technological device, is already domesticated and tamed, and through contemporary positive training methods is enabled to match the requirements of the chronically-ill individual; to nudge or ‘scrabble’ (Higgins) rather than bark or to bring the medical kit autonomously (Gemma). But the dog could easily be trained to switch on lights, press doorbells, pick up dropped laundry, collect mail posted through the letterbox, and so on. Taming here is ‘according to requirement’, to make ‘fit for purpose’. Terry explains that it took five minutes to teach Jim to turn the light off.

He’d come and cuddle at night and, because all my light switches are low down, I got him used to working with the laser pointer. I pointed it at the light switch and said ‘touch’, which was the first bit. He touched the light from the pointer with his nose and it flicked the switch, so he got lots of praise for that and now he knows.

‘We taught him “fetch help” that way too,’ and Nick moves into the kitchen and faces away from where Terry and Jim are sitting. Terry directs the laser pointer so that the red dot is visible on the back of Nick’s shirt and says ‘look, look’. Jim runs to the kitchen and jumps up, placing both paws on Nick’s back. ‘That’s the only time he’s allowed to jump up – good boy’ and Terry gives him a treat, ‘so that’s how all this training’s been done’.

Terry’s previous canine ‘device’ only alerted to low blood sugar readings. As soon as the pen drawing up the blood sample ‘clicked’, the dog would lie
down. Dogs, accepted as beings with agency, are unpredictable in temperament and behaviour no matter how similar in colour, size or pedigree. Jim, unlike Terry's previous assistance dog, is a more attentive and perhaps a more conscientious individual who waits for the 'beep' to show the meter reading is ready. Terry describes Jim's behaviour and, like other participants, enables the dog to have linguistic ability, in this case to explain his conscious decision to 'lie down' only when his work is complete:

So you do the click of the pen, draw the blood, put it on the strip, wait for the machine to diagnose the level and it goes beep when it’s got the result. He goes 'you've got the result there, I'll go and lie down, I've done the job'. Sometimes, if he knows your blood sugar has gone quite low, he'll sit there and make sure you've eaten a biscuit or had something – then, he'll watch you for another ten minutes or more, then slowly relax and lie down.

There are seemingly different rates of metabolism and conscientiousness in dogs just as are exhibited by human individuals. Despite advancement in genetic engineering, the animal conscious has not yet been cloned and dogs remain similar enough to fit with specified breed appearance, but not in terms of motivation, temperament, personality or conscientious endeavour. They may assent, adapt and comply with questions asked to become adequately 'tamed' devices but users need to take inventive action to achieve a progressive and accurate 'goodness of fit'.

Pols' third heuristic ‘for analysing domestication processes looks at how devices unleash the imagination and creativity of their users who promptly invent new applications’ (2012: 19). The founders of the canine biomedical resource under focus might never have expected crossbred and pedigreed dogs to adapt, tolerate and collaborate with humans to the extent that they become highly sensitive medical detectives, regardless of birth and social education. The dogs' keen scenting ability to detect VOCs in cancer cells has been acknowledged and expanded creatively so that medical detection dogs now assist humans with diabetes, allergies, potentially early stage malaria and other illnesses, while acting as their proverbial 'good companions' in social contexts.

13 ‘Goodness of fit’ is an analytical test used to check that statistical data corresponds with a model hypothesis, but is also used as a measure of temperament, for example, in psychosocial functioning.
Budiansky's concept of nonhuman animals domesticating humans and the need for 'cooperative associations' for survival (1997: 16), in this instance supports Pols' fourth heuristic which observes how devices dance a *volte face*, a turn-around intended to tame the humans, 'by allowing for, or even forcing, some activities while hindering others' (Pols, 2012: 19). Manipulation of the human by the diabetes alert dog is a visible and accepted construct in their cooperative domestication. It is essential that an individual with Type 1 diabetes follows a set procedure that is insisted on by the dog's warning behaviour. The dogs are unable to relax and 'chill' until their human companions have performed a blood test – and acted upon it, by topping up insulin or ingesting fast-acting carbohydrate. Jim and Harley have illustrated this avoidance of lying down and sleeping until Terry and Tina have conducted the necessary procedures. A further example occurred when a performance of care practice by the dog, which was not included in the training, 'empowered' him to do more, to act autonomously, to decide how to fulfil an action deemed necessary, for example, by 'blocking' the stairs to prevent his human partner's imminent fall because of 'blackout'.

Pols' four approaches to unleashing and taming enable a practice in which humans and 'devices' have 'established their particular relations':

Their identities and functions are interdependent. Unleashing and taming processes may lead to a fit between individual devices and users and, eventually, to a form of domestication, implying more or less established practices of cooperation, such as those of the telephone (2012: 19).

Such processes may also 'lead to a fit' between the diabetes alert dog as device, and the Type 1 diabetic as user, and to 'a form of domestication' in which their practices of cooperation invite mutualistic co-existence.

The biomedical interspecies' oscillation that swings from canine olfactory sensitivity to the human monitoring of blood sugar levels and a resultant usually chewable reward for both, contrives a pendulum of activity by which force and hindrance act as gravitational weights. The urgent nudging to encourage swift testing or the abovementioned physical 'blocking' of the stairs to prevent fall and injury are examples of how the human is appropriately tamed/manipulated by the dog. This perspective of mutual taming amplifies how medical alert assistance dogs act as biomedical resources empowering human self-care
practices, at the same time empowering themselves as agents (Mullin, 2002; Coulter, 2016).

Mills and De Keuster (2009: 322) remark the need to 'appreciate how much dogs are genuinely an integral part of our society' so that we become 'more prepared and willing to seek out solutions of mutual benefit to both species'.

Engaging the 'other' in care practices requires investigation of 'care' with all its complex energies and intensities of meaning. If we care, we involve the consciousness of ourselves and others. Narcissistically, we may care 'selfishly' for ourselves and our own well-beings, but generally care incorporates the presence of another being, in this case principally and reciprocally, a dog who cares for, and is cared-for by, a human.

9 Symbiotic practices of care

9.1 Meanings of care

When we see a mother whale supporting her calf to the surface to breathe, we see direct evidence of purpose and emotion – we see caring.

Kay Milton (2005: 265)

Thom van Dooren (2014) is affected by the need for caring in conservation and the amendment of daily practices performed in captive breeding. He draws on Haraway’s ‘unsettling obligation of curiosity’ (2008: 36) and stands with Kendra Coulter (2016b: 199) in supporting Maria Puig de la Bellacasa’s ‘thinking care as inseparably a vital affective state, an ethical obligation and a practical labour’ (2012: 197). Caring about and caring for someone or something involve both concern and that notion of the ‘unsettling obligation of curiosity’ (Haraway, 2008: 36), but where caring about indicates interest and whether or not something may be correct, moral, appropriate, it also permits distance and a broader concern. It is possible to care, on varying levels of conscious, thinking curiosity, about the –isms of discrimination, about today’s weather pattern, or about the partial skeleton of our very distant Australopithicus afarensis ancestor, Lucy, whose apparent ‘fall from a tree’ (Kappelman, Ketcham, Pearce, Todd, Akins, Colbert, Feseha, Maisano and Witzel, 2016) caused manifold fractures in her bones (was she asleep, was she pushed or was there an unfriendly predator sharing the branches of her imagined tree?), or about the future welfare of refugees and earthquake victims.
But it is also possible to care physically for the welfare of those who are bonded particularly closely, human kindred and nonhuman multispecies animal companions. Those who are well-known to the carer and who may rely on that care for their welfare. Health workers, medical practitioners and veterinary staff care for their own relatives, colleagues and companion animals in the same way, but with an additional, professional focus centred on caring about the comfort and welfare of ‘stranger’ others in their personal responsibility agenda. This can develop into an empathic relationship between the carer and the cared-for which, in the words of Jeannette Pols (2010: 143), enables care to be about ‘sensitivity and concern, about being-there for those in need’.

It cannot be said that the medical alert dogs have choice in being there for those in need, beyond opportunity to exhibit obvious dislike or acceptance/tolerance of a potential human companion. They are there, carefully matched and placed with a chronically unwell human partner, and they act autonomously to assist those in need. But, no matter the pleasurable reward gained for correct alerting, no dog will exert him or herself to serve ‘those in need’ unless they care or at least have some concern about the others, unless they feel the bond and are conscious of their companions’ altered states of being when blood sugar levels drop dangerously low – and something needs to be done. As Janet related, ‘the dog has to want to do it for you’ and in that, there is choice.

Coulter’s (2016: 200) ‘multispecies analysis of care work’ notes the expansion of interest in ‘engaging animals in the provisioning of care work for people’ while nonhuman animals’ personal ways of caring for others are scarcely perceived as care work of any shape or form. Coulter divides the work performed by nonhuman animals into the three categories of subsistence work, voluntary work, and work mandated by humans. Although training of dog and human are mandatory obligations before matching procedures can be performed, aspects of Coulter’s ‘voluntary’ category relate particularly to this study since she considers voluntary work to be that commonly provided in homes where domesticated animals reside alongside humans, and may be both ‘recipients and beneficiaries of humans’ care work...and may also perform different kinds of voluntary labour’ (2016b: 203).

While emotional support and comfort given by companion animals to human family members is not often considered work, or even recognised
consciously as caring by another species, Coulter (2016b: 204) suggests this can provide ‘interactive care work that is especially important for seniors, marginalized or vulnerable people, and women who are confronting domestic violence, are homeless, or are precariously housed’ (see also Irvine, 2013). Although Coulter does not mention the significant work of diabetes alert dogs in detecting possible hypoglycaemic episodes, she does draw attention to the engagement of ‘animals’ abilities to guide, assist, comfort, calm, and detect physical challenges like seizures before they happen’ (Coulter, 2016b: 204). In the same way that the human participants of this research perceive their canine companions, Coulter suggests ‘people often identify the animals and their contributions as life-saving, transformative and essential’ (2016: 204).

9.2 Understanding nonhuman animal work

Of note is Coulter's contention that such care involves skill in communication and may induce challenging situations for the other-than or more-than-human animal partners who are compelled to ignore how they might naturally respond and disregard all distractions outside the care work on which they are expected to focus. There is therefore the need to acknowledge animal intersubjectivity (Hurn, 2012) without which, such communication could not occur. Hurn suggests that by 'standing in' for humans, animals who were formerly considered 'objects' may become active 'subjects' with influence on the human relationships (2012: 125). She draws attention to Cassidy's notion of 'intersubjectivity between the thoroughbred and its human attendants' (2002: 9), which depended on the status of the racehorse in the actions or eyes of the human beholders. 'When horses were recognized as active subjects, situated along a continuum which also included humans, then some form of intersubjective relationship could result' (Hurn: 2012: 127).

In proposing a ‘continuum of suffering and enjoyment as a concept and framework for seeking to understand animals’ work from their perspectives, across contexts’, Coulter (2016b: 205) suggests that their work would fit on the continuum, dependent on ‘the occupation and labour required, the co-workers or employers, the species, social relations and interactions, and individual animals’ own personalities, moods, health, preferences, and agency, among other factors’.

By incorporating broad context and becoming open-minded to offered nonhuman animal communication, this proposed continuum seems a positive
way to develop improved human comprehension of, for example, the working medical alert assistance dog’s perspective of life as a care worker. In this way, it becomes possible to achieve more ‘accurate, effective, and ethical multispecies standards’ (Coulter, 2016b: 209; Serpell et. al., 2010: 502-503) for the care programmes in which the dogs participate.

My observations and perceptions of the diabetes alert dogs working with the chronically ill individuals of this study are encapsulated in Coulter’s belief (see also Zamir, 2006: 184) that ‘some animals can justifiably be engaged’ in providing care in the abovementioned ways if interspecies relationships and routine care practices embody “respect and reciprocity” (2016: 209). She then offers the attractive prospect of interspecies solidarity as means to involve ethical commitment, empathy and compassion, in multispecies care work: ‘others should not have to be like us for us to care about their wellbeing’ (212). Here again, Milton’s depiction of egomorphism (that we understand animals because we perceive them as being 'like us' rather than 'human-like' (2005: 261), is useful in explaining how our perceptions, and therefore understanding, impact nonhuman others, and their influence on us:

personal experience, rather than human-ness, is the basis for understanding others, and that understanding is achieved by perceiving characteristics in things rather than, as anthropomorphism implies, attributing characteristics to things (Milton, 2005: 260, italics in text).

Coulter’s concept of interspecies solidarity is intended to stress the values of empathy, dignity and reciprocity, in understanding routine work procedures and political relationships, and in enabling a view of care ‘as not only a practice or type of work, but also as the lifeblood of society and of this earth’ (2016: 213). The importance of mutual tolerance and trust could well be added to the above values. If this interspecies solidarity results from a refracted\textsuperscript{14} sense of responsibility, we may perceive the necessity of care and take actions to effect its practice through multiple reflections.

Coulter’s conclusion reminds us emphatically that other animals ‘have minds, bodies, personalities, feelings, desires, and relationships that matter...animals deserve to receive care and provide care’ (2016: 215). In the field of medical alert assistance dogs, empathic recognition of the human-

\textsuperscript{14} if refract is taken to mean an altered appearance of something observed through a different medium (Encarta Dictionary: English (U.K.)
canine working relationship and its essential mutualism, also incorporates the significance of an overarching symbiotic ethics of care in guiding approaches and performances to make the intersections of both species and care work, work.

9.3 Morally acceptable?

The earlier references to Joel Marks’ thoughts on amoral animal ethics are extended by Taschi Zamir’s (2006: 179) liberationist discussion of the ‘moral basis of animal-assisted therapy’, mentioned earlier, in which he distinguishes between ‘use’ and ‘exploitation’, and asks the questions that bother me as I deliberate the value of canine medical assistants in helping humans with chronic illness:

Can such uses of nonhuman animals [i.e. in animal-assisted therapy] be morally justified from a ‘liberationist’ perspective, a perspective that acknowledges that animals are not merely a resource to be exploited by humans? (2006: 180)

A liberationist perspective encompasses the value and quality of an animal’s life, including whether a lack of liberty can be morally justified. Speaking as a liberationist, Zamir (2006: 181-182) contends that using animals to treat humans may be immoral under the six particular ways elaborated below:

Limitations of freedom. Companion animals as ‘modified pets’ have freedom limited whether they are assistance animals or not. But a difference is exemplified in situations where domesticated creatures (his examples include rabbits, snakes and birds) fail to gain pleasure from human-nonhuman animal interactions in the same way that assistance animals seemingly do – ‘unlike alarm or service dogs’ (2006: 181), they seem unable to pass their social needs onto humans, thereby becoming severely hampered by the loss of freedom.

Life determination. Zamir suggests that making a ‘total decision’ to use an animal therapeutically, as a companion animal, racehorse or an occupant of a zoo, is more than a ‘limitation-of-freedom’, more a determination of their future lives (2006: 181).

Training. To use dogs and other species as human health assistants is likely to involve lengthy training that may violate their well-being, he contends, adding that cats and dogs are more accustomed to contact with humans than other species but there is still loss of kinship and therefore the possibility of social deprivation.
Social disconnection. ‘Simians live in packs. By turning them into nursing entities, one disconnects them from whatever it is that they maintain through their social context... ...it is morally safe to make the probable assumption that such disconnection (or bringing up the animal without contact with the animal’s kin) is a form of deprivation’ (Zamir, 2006: 182)

Injury. Exposure to strangers and over-handling of therapy animals can lead to anxiety and possible injury.

Instrumentalisation. ‘Liberationists turn the human-nonhuman model from the thoughtless instrumentalization that is typical of human relations with objects, into forms of interaction that approximate human-human relations’ (2006: 182).

Zamir opines that any of the above violations of the moral status of nonhuman animals could bring liberationist concern as to the moral legitimacy of animal-assisted therapies and therefore possible censorship of such assistance.

However, he contends that the practice of keeping companion animals is not always objectionable, since ‘an ideal liberationist world will include pet-owner relationships, and such relations - at their best – also show us that a paternalistic, yet non-exploitative, human-animal relation is both possible and actual’ (2006: 184). ‘Service animals’ such as guide dogs, claims Zamir, may belong in the pet-owner category since this can be justified ‘in principle’ but dogs do pay a price for this way of life:

...they are spayed or neutered, trained for long periods...and isolated from their kin. But dogs seem able to transfer their social needs onto humans, and some of the prolonged training can arguably be an advantage, providing important (and pleasurable) mental stimulation to these dogs. If humanity were to endorse a hands-off approach with regard to animals, such dogs would appear to lead qualitatively inferior (and probably shorter) lives in the wild – even in the few countries in the world in which the notion of ‘the wild’ still makes sense (2006: 184).

The anticipated brief and ‘qualitatively inferior’ lives in the wild of Zamir’s assistance dogs diverge from Coppinger and Coppinger (2016: 226) who believe adult street and village dogs may also have a short, four-year average life expectancy but that they 'are fine-looking individuals with rich social lives' within their natural environment (2016: 227). With regard to 'village' dogs and a 'hands-off approach' (Zamir, 2006: 184), Coppinger and Coppinger (2016: 227)
consider a reduction in size of their home niche to support fewer dogs - rather than mass sterilisation and rehabilitation as companion dogs in first world countries, or mass culling - might be a more acceptable solution and humane way of reducing populations (see also Srinivasan (2013: 106) below regarding the 'independent status of ownerless street dogs' in India and their individual needs for freedom).

Disentangling Cartesian and Kantian, speciesist and utilitarian arguments, Zamir concludes that 'given responsible human owners', the lives of cats and dogs used in AAT are 'qualitatively comfortable and safe', and their social needs are catered for (2006: 195). Much rests on those 'responsible human owners' when working therapy and assistance dogs are separated from their kin and sometimes from other members of their own species in order to provide needed help and social encouragement in a human residence.

In contrast, and in a broad generalisation of species, Zamir suggests 'rodents, birds, monkeys, reptiles, and dolphins gain little by coercing them into AAT and lose a lot' (2006: 189); although, in a notation, he relates that in some AAT therapy sessions, dolphins remain in their natural environment and are not coerced to obtain 'therapeutic objectives' (2006: 198). He indicates that his remarks regarding dolphins therefore 'do not apply to such programs'. However, in his overview of species, Zamir refers to the notion of 'bringing a particular member of these animals into existence for the purpose of AAT [that] benefits the member' (2006: 192). Allowing that, say, a rodent bred for use in AAT could have a 'comfortable' existence, and not necessarily be abused by the therapist, he contrasts this with the abuse of animal lives in factory-farming.

Zamir contends that coercive practices are exploitative since 'the human interests that are involved can be easily met without exploiting these beings, the moral conclusion is that such forms of AAT should be abolished' (2006: 195). However, Serpell, Coppinger, Fine and Peralta (2010 [2006]), identifying sources of animal welfare problems in AAA/T and assistance work as 'growing pains' emerging in the 'new field of animal exploitation', volunteer a number of recommendations and 'specific guidelines...pertinent to both services provided in large-scale institutionally-based programs as well as small clinical practices' (2010: 499). Their suggested 'ethical guidelines' (2010: 502-503) for the care and supervision of animals used in animal-assisted therapies and activities, are fully detailed in Fine's Handbook of animal-assisted therapy (2010).
Since the original publication of their paper (2006), many of their guidelines have been consciously and conscientiously followed by some animal therapists and assistance dog charities. Examining the training and care of diabetes alert dogs in the guise of assistance dogs and following the guidance of Serpell et. al. (2010), it seems the MDD charity espouses these recommendations which include principles similar to those of the Five Freedoms mentioned earlier. The 'implications of procedure for ethical decision-making regarding therapy animals' (2010: 502) are further analysed relative to working alert dogs 'downtime' and retirement from 'service' in the concluding chapter.

Recommendations and advice for the ethical care of other beings produce valuable suggestions but essentially, emotion has to play a significant and vital role. In this, empathy above all is the prominent conveyor of interspecies feeling.

9.4 Compassion/empathy/sympathy

Walking along a city street, I saw a grey-haired man, mouth downturned and forehead frowning, who might have been deep in thought or have been commanded ‘to take the dog out’. His demeanour was in total contrast to the ignored spaniel with bright eyes and lightfooted gait walking obediently at the end of the lead. My eyes were concealed behind dark lenses so eye contact was minimal but I gave the dog a small, closed-lip smile as we drew level, about five yards between us – and the effect changed the man’s expression as the dog launched into a tail-wagging frenzy towards me.

On another occasion, driving slowly towards a red traffic-light, I grinned at a happy labradoodle taking a human out for an airing along the opposite pavement – the dog jumped into the road to reach me, again with a whole body-wagging enthusiasm that put them both at risk of annihilation by oncoming traffic if the lights had changed. I now take more care of possible risk to the other before offering the smallest overtures of friendship; but remain intrigued by the seconds of instant relationship, of common understanding that can flow between species despite complete ignorance of the other’s existence seconds earlier. Is this a form of intersubjectivity as discussed by Hurn (2012)? An example of empathic communication as felt by de Waal (2010; 2011), Smuts (2001), Gruen (2015) and others? There was no attempt, nor time, to be consciously in the mind of the other, to interpret how that creature was feeling,
but there was an emotional interspecies bond between us for those brief moments.

And not just dogs. Horses, cats, rats and pigs, sheep and cows are among those domesticated animals who volunteer emotions we can feel and reciprocate, should we make a minimal effort to recognise and appreciate them. This is not being ‘fey’, or necessarily practicing Serpell's (2002: 441) anthropomorphic selection and attribution of admired human traits to nonhuman animals. It is, or should be, natural means of relationship-shaping; an instinctive liking of the other and an appreciation for what they do and how they perform in ways we can perhaps both recognise and understand. Following Milton's (2005) concept of egomorphism, opportunity enables provision of warmth, even gladness, as more appropriate reactions to those performances; the 'distancing' device (Alger and Alger, 1999) of anthropomorphism serves less well here and is better rejected.

Hamington (2008: 182) encourages the use of anthropomorphism - although egomorphism might better encompass his claim that we should care for nonhuman animals as 'extensions of ourselves' - as an approach to encompass empathy, understanding and care for the unfamiliar:

It is praiseworthy to care for families, friends and companion animals but not as morally praiseworthy as caring for those for whom we are less familiar. That extension or reach to empathize with unfamiliar others requires an imaginary leap...anthropomorphism often represents an imaginative or playful attempt to understand animals. I claim that, to the extent that anthropomorphism facilitates caring for unknown others, ‘humanizing’ animals can be morally beneficial. ... If we can see our way to care for nonhuman creatures, not as property, but as extensions of ourselves, perhaps we can also come to care for and about one another.

These words drive a human perspective that encourages care and empathy with others but it holds a valuable premise that allows nonhuman animals to be considered ‘extensions of ourselves’ as means to ‘care for and about one another’. Thinking of them as extensions of ourselves is less likely to bring about conditions of human domination and control of nonhuman others. Hamington intends to bring about mutual care between species for the good of all, so improving care for the ‘less familiar’ members of society aids a multispecies moral progression that is perhaps better exemplified through Milton's egomorphism and not the misleadingly-labelled (2005: 256)
anthropomorphism. Understanding of ‘others’, suggests Milton, may be based on sensing that they are “like me” rather than the more objectifying perception that they are "human-like" (2005: 261).

Curtin (2014: 40) prefers the word ‘compassion’ to care or empathy, since she avers that compassion is a ‘developed moral capability whereas care or empathy are closer to the natural capacities that make compassion possible’. Compassion, she believes, is a ‘cultivated aspiration to benefit other beings’ (2014: 40), and is more resilient than empathy since it incorporates both reason and feeling. However, Willett (2014) disparages compassion as having similar significance to the way in which I see the concept of sympathy: as a generous bestowal of cognitive kindesses and compassion that has a more practical, more selfish emphasis.

A sympathetic mien or verbal phrase is theoretically intended to offer kindness and perhaps elements of sorrow that someone else has received injury, bereavement, unemployment or similar. But this perspective seems to come from above, looking down; it carries faint condescension, separateness and perhaps a concealed sense of relief that the other is suffering and not oneself. As Lori Gruen discerns, 'sympathy for another is felt from the outside, the third person perspective' (2015: 40), adding that 'while we're being sympathetic, we attempt to be disconnected from others'. Empathy, Gruen (2015: 41) suggests, 'recognizes connection with and understanding of the circumstances of the other...the goal is to try to take in as much about another's situation and perspective as possible'.

In instances of bereavement, the phrase ‘I'm so sorry for your loss’ is genuinely meant and every individual’s grief experiences are unique to that person – but sympathy in that context doesn't build strength or assist in the other’s coping method. Rather, it conveys ‘poor you’, that you require consolation, comfort and 'sympathetic concern' (De Waal, 2010: 90); all good, but sympathy manages to maintain distance and infers the other's existence on an inferior level. Sympathy is given with kindly intent but may fail to offer more than knowledge of a sorrowful event and does not necessarily discern what feelings may be induced in the 'object' of sympathy. De Waal (2008: 283) cites Eisenberg's (2000: 677) definition of sympathy as 'an affective response that consists of feelings of sorrow or concern for a distressed or needy other (rather than sharing the emotion of the other). Sympathy is believed to involve an
other-oriented, altruistic motivation'. But De Waal believes the personal distress aroused in sympathy 'makes the affected party selfishly seek to alleviate its own distress, which mimics that of the object' (2008: 283).

In contrast, however, empathy is an essential quality in the development or improvement of human-human relationships, enabling greater understanding of one another’s hopes, desires and despairs and the ability to reflect this comprehension appropriately and meaningfully by each attempting to ‘walk in the shoes of the other’. It is therefore a salient quality required by a member of the 'helping' professions in psychological counselling practice just as it is a necessary quality in the health and welfare of symbiotic interspecies relationships.

Hoffman (1987: 4) viewed empathy as an ‘affective response more appropriate to someone else’s situation than to one’s own’, proposing that (1987: 3)

to me, empathy is the spark of human concern for others, the glue that makes social life possible. It may be fragile but it has, arguably, endured throughout evolutionary times and may continue as long as humans exist.

Empathy is more inclusive of the speaker and the spoken-to, and perhaps deserves greater societal acclamation and increased attempts to put it into practice. More recently, eminent primatologist Frans de Waal's (2010) seminal work, The age of empathy: nature's lessons for a kinder society, invited attention to other species who are able to take and make use of the perspective of another, thereby giving nonhuman examples for the bettered education of human society. He writes of the need for an increase in fellow feeling and social responsibility, for coordination and caring for and by one another, and echoes Hoffman in taking empathy to be the glue that binds communities and societies:

The way our bodies ... are influenced by surrounding bodies is one of the mysteries of human existence, but one that provides the glue that holds entire societies together (De Waal, 2010: 63).

When it comes to multispecies empathy, true understanding by human observers may be less easy to achieve but De Waal, Smuts and other researchers have established its existence. Panksepp and Panksepp (2013: 489) suggest it ‘reflects the capacity of one animal to experience the emotional feelings of another’. Studies relating to interspecies empathy have been conducted among rodents by these researchers, among chimpanzees, baboons
and bonobos (De Waal, 2001, 2006; Smuts 2001, 2006), and among elephants (Mark Rowlands, 2012). The latter work highlights how elephants care for their injured relatives, illustrating the ways in which these multispecies beings share in others’ pain.

De Waal (2010) points to the connection between imitation, synchrony and mimicry, and bonding or socially connecting. Cross your legs or lean backwards or forwards a little when sitting and conversing with another, and it is likely your actions will be mirrored. Such behaviour conveys mutual and empathic intention, the wish to understand, and to offer respect and trust; thus it is valuable in counselling sessions and in enabling confidential intimacies to be spoken and heard during data collection for ethnographic analysis.

Additionally, emotional ‘feeling’ and empathy enable a deeper understanding, a broader knowledge of the impact of chronic illness on an individual’s family, friends and work colleagues, and on the assistance dog – an impact that inevitably and constantly changes personal and social behaviours and the practices of life management when compared to the impact of minor or short-term illness on social existence.

Lori Gruen (2015: 70) provides a comprehensive definition of what she terms ‘entangled empathy’; a ‘process of sharing experiences and perspectives’, an explanation that echoes through moral human-nonhuman experiences as much as in human-human relationships:

A type of caring perception focused on attending to another’s experience of wellbeing. An experiential process involving a blend of emotion and cognition in which we recognize we are in relationships by attending to another’s needs, interests, desires, vulnerabilities, hopes, and sensitivities.

Suggesting empathy is a ‘moral perception’ that requires an ability ‘to see what is morally relevant or important’ and then to make a decision ‘to do the right thing’ according to what has been perceived (2015: 38), Gruen (2015: 61) adds that, in wanting to empathise with ‘very different others’, we need to ‘understand as best we can what the world senses, feels, smells, and looks like from their situated position’. In endeavouring to empathise with those others, we should attempt not to engage anthropomorphic tendencies, but rather to accept changes in perception that may produce unexpected understandings of other ways of being.
Relating to empathy and ‘unexpected understandings’, Mark Rowlands (2012) asks the question ‘can animals be moral? and attempts to answer some of its complexities with examples that demonstrate how nonhuman creatures can act for moral reasons. In a section titled ‘Animals and moral practice’, he confirms that ‘animals, trained or otherwise, are not morally responsible for their actions’ in the way that human creatures are expected to be; ‘they can be moral subjects, but not moral agents’ (Rowlands, 2012: 209). He introduces the family dog, Hugo, a German Shepherd trained to protect. Regularly knocked off his feet by the dog when wearing the ‘bite sleeve’ used in Hugo's training, Rowlands recalls the change in the dog’s attitude and behaviour when his four-year-old son wears the sleeve: ‘Hugo will merely walk up to him and gently chew on it’ (2012: 210).

Rowlands suggests ‘three key features of the moral profile of trained animals’ (2012: 210-211); ‘features' which are relevant to the trained assistance dogs of this research:

**Practical wisdom.** 'Hugo is sufficiently intelligent to understand the differing consequences of the same behavior when it is directed at two different individuals'.

**Concern.** 'Hugo does not wish my son to be hurt. This is a manifestation of his concern for my son's welfare...Having concern for his pack comes naturally to Hugo as part of his biological endowment'.

**Inhibition of desire.** Used to working with the sleeve, Hugo has 'an intense desire to bite it' but has to inhibit this strong urge when the sleeve is 'attached to the arm of a four-year-old child'.

While the dogs and humans of this study have sincere and deep bonds of friendship, it is the empathic assistance offered and received by each partner in their mutualistic coexistences that enables life expectancy for both to increase. An empathic researcher observing the situation of medical alert dog and diabetic human, may investigate further and delve deeper into their united lives, without abusing shared confidences or destroying the developing triadic relationship. (However, this could become a risk-laden venture if an over-use of applied sensitivity becomes false rhetoric, a pretentious attempt to share similar experiences or emotions that may be seen as not genuine and therefore cause a ‘bringing down of the curtain’ on any future significant disclosures).
When ill health is the Maypole of a social gathering, the simple question ‘how are you?’ invites and anticipates a genuine response and is not a nicety of speech, an introduction to conversational, possibly insincere, small-talk. It is noticeable among groups of bereaved people, who accept or try to find meaning in their grief for another’s life, and who, as a result, accommodate, tolerate and empathise with, if not always perfectly understand, wide swaths of physical and emotional unsettlement in others. Such hard-earned knowledge can be put to beneficial and non-judgemental use in social dialogue with individuals embodying Type 1 diabetes who have recently opened a symbiotic partnership with a medical alert assistance dog.

Collective friendship in adversity develops more quickly and simply perhaps because of the compassion and empathy that infiltrate those who have already faced personal disasters of life and now observe lives of others at risk or endangered. It seems taken for granted that members, with chronic illness and an assistance dog, are already seated in the same boat and therefore understand the situation being confronted newly and similarly by others.

Including the value of empathy in interspecies communication and social integration allows the concept of ethics of care theory and practice to become prominent in order to examine how it affects and assists in dependency and interdependency; and furthermore, how respect, responsibility and trust become involved in interspecies care and being cared for. Sunaura Taylor's research (2014) and (2017) illuminates many of the conflicts facing 'disabled' and 'nonhuman' minorities.

9.5 Ethics of care

Collected ecofeminist writings of intersections with animal others and the earth are introduced by Carol J. Adams and Lori Gruen (2014: 1) who suggest that

Ecofeminist theory helps us imagine healthier relationships, stresses the need to attend to context over universal judgements, and argues for the importance of care as well as justice, emotion as well as rationality, in working to undo the logic of domination and its material and practical implications.

Deane Curtin’s examination of compassion and being human contends that an ethic of care is inclusive ‘since it values the diverse ways that women and men tend to organise their moral experience’ (2014: 39). It does not
assume that ethics should be built on a uniquely human feature i.e. human reason; ‘it is less anthropocentric’.

Further, in considering that animal advocacy discourse in principal acknowledges humans as ‘stewards of animal welfare’, Maurice Hamington (2008: 177) proposes the notion that ‘quality’ human-animal relationships might encourage a moral imagination enabling the care and empathy necessary for ethical social thinking and behaviour. He takes the concept of embodied care as an extension of feminist ethics-of-care and remarks (2008: 179):

Phenomenologically, the one cared-for and the caregiver engage in a relationship marked by mutuality in terms of attentiveness and responsiveness. In this manner, care is an ethic that cannot be separated from epistemology – we care about that which we know and it is difficult to care for that which we have little or no knowledge of. Although knowing is not a sufficient condition of care, it is a prerequisite.

And this is where Sunaura Taylor’s feminist disability ethic-of-care, mentioned earlier, highlights interdependency and mutual respect as significant factors in well-functioning interspecies co-existences. Symbiotic relationships in which caring for and being cared-for (despite certain ‘stifling’ kindnesses) enable trust, reliance and responsibility leading to ‘rights, justice and an accessible society that does not limit or make impossible our involvement and contributions’ (Taylor, 2014: 109).

Taylor writes from a position of knowledge in that she herself is compelled to accept care and apply habits and routines of dependency, being both grateful for assistance and repressed by the need for it. Her chapter ‘interdependent animals: a feminist disability ethic-of-care’, in the Adams and Gruen-edited collection (2014), draws attention to issues echoed in the daily activities necessarily performed by the human participants of this research. Tina recalls the simple act of ‘putting something in the oven, then having a hypo, and it would be burnt’. She continues:

So now all of a sudden I’m on ready-made meals and everything’s from a box, but when I read the instructions at the back, if it comes into a different line, I can put the kitchen light on but still not see it. It’s all muddled together so now I look at the number and hope it’s said six minutes, but if I can’t work it out, I shove it back in fridge and have it in daytime.
Frustrated in the kitchen by her impaired sight, she is also compelled to ask others for help in the office but is at the same time grateful for their concern which enables her to maintain employment and social purpose.

Remarking the dependency of domesticated animals on human care and responsibility, Taylor suggests (2014: 110) that ‘a feminist ethic-of-care offers a framework of justice that has the potential to complicate conceptions of dependency...to understand animals not as dependent beings with no agency, but rather as vital participants and contributors to the world’. Vitality and agency are key properties for the multispecies collaborating individuals involved in doing diabetes on a daily scale and Taylor fittingly recognises ‘that to understand another being who does not communicate in ways able-bodied/able-minded humans have historically valued, we must pay attention to individuals - learning from them so that we can recognise their agency and preferences’ (2014: 111).

Pertinently, Taylor encourages any discourse relating to the liberation of animals and the less able members of society - Nibert’s ‘oppressed’ minorities (2002: 6) - to concentrate more on ‘radical discussions about creating accessible, non-discriminatory space in society in which individuals and their communities can thrive’ and focus less on ‘suffering and dependency’ (2014: 111). What do animals say, want and contribute, asks Taylor, and what might it be like for them to be cared for?

Lumped together as incapable and therefore dependent on others, those human or nonhuman animals - who are in varying aspects either dis- or un-enabled physically and/or mentally - are stereotypically sometimes viewed as social failures, a perspective that is not only mostly incorrect but is also disrespectful, if not insulting. Individualism is still a prized and advocated ‘Western’ way of life that seems insufficiently challenged by the concept of a collaborative community, where the extended family instead of being admired for its collective ways of dealing with life’s hazards, is marginalised and disparaged for a failure to encourage each family member to live a better life as an independent.

Taylor takes the bit between her teeth and firmly, and ironically, contends that the ‘language of dependency is a brilliant rhetorical tool, as it is a way for those who use it to sound concerned, compassionate, and caring while continuing to exploit those who they are supposedly concerned about’ (2014:
Dependency, she writes, ‘is a reasoning that has been used to justify slavery, patriarchy, colonization, and disability oppression’ and of course, it gives apparent reason for the human need to kill and eat domesticated animals since, according to Callicott (1989) and others such as Budiansky (1997), if released into the wild, such beings would no longer be able to cope with and survive in a ‘natural’, unfettered state of existence.

However, Coppinger and Coppinger (2016) provide a different stance when studying the ‘village dogs’ of this world and their coping mechanisms. Taking note of the reduction in numbers of vultures in India (see also Van Dooren, 2010a and 2010b) that enabled an increase in the range of dogs, they suggest sterilisation or culling – or ostensibly, killing for food - may not greatly reduce populations as other species, or more of the same, will move into the vacated ‘niches’. They depict an alternative approach to ‘dog control’ conducted by planned humane methods in which stray or street dogs are captured, neutered and transported to ‘rich countries to be adopted into family status, where they are made totally dependent and entirely restricted’, and where apparent benefit to the dogs, who are highly social by nature, ‘is not measured in terms of a better social life for them, but, rather, in terms of longer, healthier lives’ (Coppinger and Coppinger, 2016: 227). Srinivasan (2012) emphasises the individual requirement for freedom that is promulgated in India so that street dogs may roam safe from human culling practices.

Coppinger and Coppinger commend ‘the village dog’ as a ‘wondrous animal with a self-tailored lifestyle’ and suggest that, instead of the above, the most humane way of reducing their populations is to lessen the size of their ‘niche’ so that it could only cater for a smaller number of dogs (2016: 228), a similar contention to that put forward earlier by Zamir (2006). But such an evolutionary notion would take more time to effect than a speeding bullet or veterinarian’s knife or needle, even if the result were of greater multispecies benefit in the long-term. Re-ordering evolutionary direction may return us to economic battlegrounds rather than moving domesticated species forward to safely-interdependent environments and ways of being.

Whether extinction of the domesticated species, who have become dependent on human whimsical, faulty, mercenary, gentle or harsh notions for their quality of life, is the right, moral and responsible direction to take, leaves, or should leave, an unpalatable sense of guilt and responsibility among the
human so-called guardians of nonhuman animals: whether they are the unnamed held in abattoirs, laboratory cages, fattening pens or zoo enclosures, or indeed, the named and trained autonomous care-workers in health assistance organisations or the loved playmates of myriad human children. Erasure of the domesticated creatures, tamed and harmed by human endeavour, is surely anathema to moral thinking. Creating extinction of those we developed to better our lives, whether for the purposes of survival, industry or care performance, must be a reversal of ethical social norms and a fast-track to demolition of what might be good and just in our evolutionary developments.

9.6 Where the social contract fails...

Taylor refers to Martha Nussbaum’s discussion of the social contract’s failure to ‘address [disability, species membership, and nationality] as it assumes that in a “state of nature” the parties to this contract really are roughly equal in mental and physical power’ (2009: 118). This assumption does not account for the likely lack of physical and intellectual balance between disabled and able humans, the well-off or the poverty-stricken, or between humans and nonhumans. Significant to this study is Nussbaum’s contention that the ‘social contract tradition’s reliance on the idea of mutual advantage falls short when addressing disability and “species membership” as disabled individuals and animals don’t necessarily offer mutual advantage per se’ (2014: 118) and, in fact, claims Taylor, may disadvantage or unbalance the other.

She elaborates (2014: 120):

What disability studies and a feminist ethic-of-care brings to the conversation is a more nuanced understanding of how to define mutual advantage and a much-needed analysis of what it means to be accountable to beings who are in many ways the most vulnerable. A disability studies perspective of interdependence is about recognizing that we are all vulnerable beings, who during our lives go in and out of dependency, who will be giving and receiving care (and more often than not, doing both), and that contribution cannot be understood as a simple calculation of mutual advantage.

Animal ethics also requires a critical engagement with our assumptions about who is valuable and who is exploitable and a reimagining of what it means to contribute to the world.

Following social contract theory’s apparent successful perspective that justifies the eating of meat, Pollan (2009: 120) and others, proclaim the value of co-evolution theory with its source seemingly based in mutual advantage to
human and nonhuman animal species. Taylor flags up their contention that humans and domesticated animals have contracted to enable the former to be responsible for care of the latter, while the latter offer their services and sometimes their bodies for consumption. How such contract can be deemed approved by those to be eaten or exploited, suspends (my) belief: but there is the more credible notion of interdependence where death by whatever means remains outside the frame and symbiosis does bring mutual advantages to both human and nonhuman domestic creatures.

9.7 Why veganism?

Gruen and Weil (2012: 482), in writing ‘Animal others – editors’ introduction’, ask:

How can we turn shame into compassion, response into responsible action? To tend to an animal, to respond to her is to change her as it is to be changed by her in return. The ‘difficulty of ethical responsibility’ is that we must accept it in the face of uncertain changes.

They continue: ‘How might we balance conflicts between different sorts of oppression? Should feminist animal studies scholars be vegan?’ and my reading is interrupted by this latter question. I have been following Adams and Gruen, Donovan and Taylor and other feminist writers in their efforts to find ‘connections between sex, gender, and the more than human world’ (Gruen and Weil, 2012: 485), and to encourage

development of a praxis built on compassion, care, and empathy, one that includes cognition and affect in ways that cannot be disentangled, and that will lead to richer, more motivating approaches to understanding and improving our relationships with others (2012: 479).

I have endeavoured to find a fitting explanation as to why I have not eaten meat for the last two decades. Regrettably, no moral factor swayed the original decision to halt meat consumption. I did not become overly-concerned that the meat-filled plate before me might contain part of a murdered nonhuman animal (Gaita: 2004: 198) and thoughts of Jeffrey Dahmer, who first cooked and ate nonhuman ‘road-kill’ before moving on to human edibles, did not disturb sleep. Much more mundane, I did not like the smell of meat cooking, I did not like the texture, nor did I much like the taste. I did not want the dogs I cared for to smell their food, the flesh of other species, being cooked long before it would be given to them, not because I was ashamed to be catering for their needs in
that way, but more because it seemed unkind to let them salivate and anticipate when the meat was too hot to serve them. Simple reasons that expanded and grew into a firm dislike of any form of meat, and subsequently fish and dairy products. I increased intake of fruit, nuts, salads and legumes and found that vegetarianism had health benefits as well as enjoyment, and engaged certain moral as well as nutritional fibre.

I appropriated a ‘holier than thou’ attitude; I was on trend, it became easy to adopt the stance of a vegetarian. But then the unethical transport of live, often overheated, injured, unfed and unwatered, abattoir-bound sentient species crashed into my pious carrot-crunching, and action against animal cruelty, on individual or industrial levels, overtook the sedentary, passive non-meat eating route.

Veganism was the more ethical option but one harder to follow despite the contemporary food-counter alterations in supermarkets to accommodate vegan shoppers. Personal food consumption becomes less of a moral issue once the decision to ‘become vegan’ is made; the problem is in realising what your shoes are made of, the dog’s collar, cosmetics, ‘the bristles of make-up brushes to the gelatine that encases vitamin supplements’ (Irvine, 2009: 1), glue, and in coping with advertisements and labels detailing the contents of soap and soup. This involves harder, more circumspect thinking and more intense, more active caring for others. Utilitarianism allows hardship, suffering and the sacrifice (death) of one so that many more survive; but that will not suffice. Using products sourced from lanolin in sheep wool, for example, vitamin D3 for addition to soy formula for babies, sees acceptance or ignorance of intense pain for the commodified sheep when folds of skin may be removed without anaesthetic after shearing to reduce fly infestation (Emmerman, 2014: 163, and 170 (Note 17) with reference to ‘cruelty’ in wool production (Baur, 2008: 79).

Tolerance of animal cruelty, or ‘unmindedness’ of its ongoing performances, allows the wearing of shoes and the carrying of bags created from crocodile and ostrich, buffalo and snake, the purchasing of coats made from the skins of calves and karakul lambs, and the trading of ornament and aphrodisiac from elephant ivory and rhinoceros horn – it is plain to see who is sacrificed for whom.
In becoming vegan and recognising why, compassion and ethical care for ‘others’ increasingly occupy the uppermost rungs of a personal moral ladder, ignoring the jaw-snapping consumers of nonhuman animal products urging expansion of factory-farming, abattoirs and tanneries, canned lion-hunting and puppy-milling, residing on the lowest steps. Self-righteous as that sounds, it is concern for the welfare, the doing well of others’ lives – human and nonhuman – that has driven study underpinned by feminist/eco-feminist animal studies, ethics of care investigations and individual experiences.

Taking a closer-to-home view of the ethics of care in relation to human rather than animal beings, Mol, Moser and Pols (2010: 13) suggest that ‘local solutions to specific problems need to be worked out’ and ‘fairness, kindness, compassion, generosity’ should be considered important norms. ‘Care implies a negotiation about how different goods might coexist in the given, specific, local practice”. ‘Care seeks to lighten what is heavy, and even if it fails, it keeps on trying’ (2010: 14), a phrase which both dogs and humans exemplify in their daily managements of life shadowed by chronic illness.

Highlighted in this text are practices of self-care and ‘other-care’, trust and responsibility – by the individuals to benefit their own health, by the individuals for the benefit of their non-human companions, by the canine medical alert assistance dogs for themselves, and by the MAADs for their chronically ill human companions.

Parental moral views may dictate the hoped-for ethical route to be followed by children but in adolescence and adulthood, environmental nudges may shift thinking about behavioural manners directed towards ourselves and others. Eating meat farmed locally may not continue after viewing televised factory-farming, but vegans do live on cattle farms and meat-eating humans are fully able to protest the ill-treatment of animals destined for an abattoir. Ethical behaviour and moral belief are intensely individual and highly volatile features of human existence. Co-opting their usage into daily life may be essential for decision-making among the ideologies of racism, ageism or speciesism, for determined effort to do the ‘right’ thing and for protection of self and others against those of differing personal creeds. But their execution can create internal havoc and external upheaval that require informed practices of care for their safe resolution.
Taylor (2017: 217) explains the inherent difficulties of dependency based on leaving domesticated animals to fend for themselves without our moral or immoral interference.

We are all affecting one another and our environments all the time - all of us depending on one another - sometimes in terrifyingly intimate ways. Perhaps dependency is so uncomfortable precisely because it demands intimacy. With domesticated animals and with many disabled humans, there has to be involvement and interaction; there can be no illusions of independence.

Admitting that such vulnerability could provide 'opportunities for coercion', Taylor (2017: 217) suggests it may also provide 'new ways of being, supporting and communicating - new ways of creating meaning across differences in ability and species'.

Only recently have vegan or vegetarian 'dog food' items been produced for general purchase and the charity and its clients currently feed meat-based kibbles to their canine collaborators. Although dietary requirements vary, and each dog is considered individually, some form of meat product is generally the main ingredient in the DADs' daily nutrition. Whether this will change when vegan dog food becomes more widely advertised and available, is an ethical question for the charity and clients to debate, bearing in mind their reliance on donation for many products aimed at the dogs' health and welfare. Certainly the dogs' collars and leads are not made from tanned animal hide and instead are crafted from a lightweight synthetic material.

9.8 Morality and symbiotic ethics

David Goode (2007: 111) highlights differentiation between the companion ‘pet’ animal and the companion ‘working’ animal, recalling the words of Rod Michalko (1999: 74) who cites Aristotle’s Nichomachean Ethics (Book Two, 15-25) to explain his guide dog’s ‘virtuous’ work ethic:

...moral virtue comes about as a result of habit...from this it is also plain that none of the moral virtues arise in us by nature; for nothing exists by nature can form a habit contrary to its nature...nor can anything else that by nature behaves in one way be trained to behave in another.

Michalko considers that his close companionship with working guide dog, Smokie, may be seen as an outcome of ‘virtuous occupation’ (1999: 74) since it is customary today to view work with blind persons as moral employment – and indeed Smokie can well be considered to be similarly engaged in ‘virtuous
occupation’ as he guides the visually-impaired sociologist according to the repetition and reward training he receives. By nature, states Michalko, dogs would not take on the role of virtuous guide work – ‘this virtue is the result of habituation through training’ (1999: 74) and is therefore not contrary to their nature. But an alternative view comes from Frans de Waal (2008: 292) who considers that empathy, being the basis of human altruism which enables the ability to take the perspective of another, may also see such altruism inherent in the nature of other animals.

When reward is the result of requested goal achievement and tasks become habitual and are seen as near natural, autonomous behaviours, all trained and qualified assistance dogs may then be considered to perform what Goode and Michalko refer to as ‘virtuous occupations’ when working with their human companions.

Perhaps this too is ‘virtuous occupation’ for this human-canine minority group. Yet I am mentally diverted from the interspecies health behaviours to an image of cornetted heads bowed over pews, downcast eyes and folded hands, fingered-rosaries and the whiteness of purity and cambric: connotations of goodness and spirituality also contained in ‘virtuous occupation’.

But then the image changes again and the dictionary is called for to search for the roots leading away from virtue and virginal to virago, virility and virulent – this appears to be a tactic of procrastination, a moving away from the roles of virtuous occupation played by the assistance dogs and their diabetic companions in their communal activities, and by my thinly-veiled preoccupation with writing about them. The mind is hauled back to contemplate ‘virtuous’ – ‘possessing or showing moral rectitude’ – and ‘virtue’ – ‘moral excellence, uprightness, goodness’ (The Oxford English Reference Dictionary, 1995: 1614). So virtuous occupation seems praiseworthy, potentially humble, but with a firm backbone of goodness ribbed by goal-directed endeavours.

Where Goode adds value is in his incisive perception of his own relationship with his pet dog, Katie, as being an emotional and affective bonding but one that is in contrast to the relationship between Michalko and Smokie, one that lacks the functional, purposeful and determined effort to achieve a ‘practical’ goal and therefore fails to achieve the mutualistic co-embodied identity of the two-in-one. Frans de Waal’s (2006: 162) suggestion that ‘morality helps people get along and accomplish joint endeavours’, permits the
comfortable ‘do as you would be done by’ ethic, and within such concept enables the decision to help, or not to help, an ‘other’. There is moral behaviour in the companionship between animal companions and their caring guardians, but as Goode remarks, it is not the morality gained from ‘sharing some form of virtuous work together’ (2007: 111).

The mutualism that binds together an assistance dog and a human weakened by ill health incorporates ‘discovery and practice’ (Goode, 2007: 111), as well as Coulter’s ‘respect and reciprocity’ (2016: 209), that lead to better management of the intricacies and complexities of chronic illness.

If virtuous shared work produces admirable morality, then the less practical function of play between dog and human still succeeds in achieving routines of ethical reciprocity and interspecies interdependence. However, elements of trust that are expected in virtuous occupation may be exchanged for elements of deception in play, for example when a toy is hidden completely or is brought into view and swiftly concealed several times. In this case, teasing can become overdone and result in a dog understandably snapping from frustration. Alternatively, positive lessons can be learned from a deceptive concealment: items of food can be placed out of sight, under upturned flowerpots for example, the smell attracting the dog to the correct container and an instant reward.

Care is convoluted in meaning and in activation. Mol, Pols and Moser (2010: 14) talk of the twentieth century definition of care as warm and emotional ‘nourishing’, in contrast to instrumental ‘cold and rational’ technology. There is always a motivation to care, to do better for the self or others, to perform ‘maintenance and repair’ work (Puig de la Bellacasa, 2012: 198) that keeps individual and global existences spinning. Recalling Haraway’s (2003: 5) ‘dogs are here to live with’, Puig de la Bellacasa emphasises how ‘laborious’ living-with can be, contending that ‘relations of "significant otherness" are more than about accommodating “difference”, co-existing or tolerating’ (2017: 83). She suggests (2017: 83) that

thinking-with nonhumans should always be a living-with, aware of troubling relations and seeking a significant otherness that transforms those involved in the relation and the worlds we live in.

Natasha recalls Paul’s complete lack of interest in leaving the house, unless she ‘put him in the car and took him somewhere’, which infers that he
never enjoyed exercise or the appeal of scenic rural views and changing seasons observed while walking outdoors. She remembers:

No, no, because obviously living where we are, he wouldn’t go for a walk and I’d say ‘just go for a walk, there’s loads of walks round here’, but he’d say ‘no, I can’t’. But now, with the dog, there’s a reason to go for a walk again; from a care perspective, that’s one of the things they say with your health – anything that you can do, keep it going – whereas a lot of people won’t, they’ll sit there and just say I’m sorry for myself.

Free-running, being able to explore unleashed, is important for all dogs and Paul says he probably lets Nero run free for longer than he should, but ‘you know it’s got to be unkind for a dog if he’s on a lead all the time; and anyway, it takes too much out of me so I let him off’. He seems to care not only for the dog as someone with personhood, but also shows concern for Nero’s welfare and contentment.

Following Serpell et. al. (2010) and MDD ethical guidelines for canine care, participants in this research maintain an unspoken but active adherence to ethics of care, particularly in regard to their companions’ freedom to run, to play, to do what it might be expected that a ‘pet’ or non-working companion dog would have opportunity to do. However, I have observed these assistant companion dogs interact unleashed with other members of canine society in city parks; seen them race across commonage and through wood and forest; chase dogs they know and don’t know, and gambol muddily in puddles and ploughed fields. If anything, DADs have working occupations that can enrich their daily lives, have opportunity for ‘time-out’, are fully respected by those with whom they live and work, and are treated considerately by the charity which shares responsibility for their good welfare.

Separation anxiety is endured by many dogs every day when human families leave for school or work. But DADs are never left alone; like Apple, they may spend the working day among schoolchildren, or, like Harley and Higgins, they share office space with their working companions.

Paul then remarks on the difficulties that occasionally arise from the very close relationship he has with Nero:

I might say I’m going to send him back but I’d struggle not having him. There are times when I think I can’t deal with him now and a couple of times when I’ve left him with my dad when I’ve gone
shopping with my mother because I haven’t had the strength – but
dad walks the dog and he loves it.

Unsurprisingly, Paul lacks strength as well as poor vision and it requires
intense concentration for him to focus on both dog and environment when
walking with Nero.

Listening to Paul and Natasha describing the complexities and
discomforts inherent in Paul’s illness, I am struck by the invisibility of the
majority of symptoms and observe aloud ‘yet you look so well’. Paul laughs and
says he’s had a really good walk earlier in the day, but while agreeing that he
does look well ‘at the moment’, Natasha wishes she could show me a picture of
him before he went out.

Human care for a diabetes alert dog accepts and accommodates myriad
differences between the two species, allows and tolerates unaccustomed
behaviours until they become customary and reasonable, so that their relations,
their ways of being are transformed to comfortable and progressing co-
existences. However, Natasha worries what might happen if she’s not at home
and Paul has a bad day:

How would he get on caring for the dog? There will be a time at
some point potentially where there isn’t somebody around; like
when Nero kept licking and licking his paw and of course Paul
couldn’t see, in fact even his mum and dad looked and couldn’t
see anything wrong, but I did a proper thorough inspection; and
it’s things like that – yes, he cares for Nero very, very well but
because he doesn’t see very well...I don’t know how blind people
work with Guide Dogs...

But Paul says he would rely on the veterinarian if something seemed
wrong with Nero. ‘You’d notice and get the vet. If something occurs and re-
occurs over three days, then it’s the vet – for example, if he keeps licking his
paw, you’re aware of it and you’ve washed his paws but he’s still doing it’.

9.9 Object and subject of care - collective identities

The highly perceptive canine sense of smell and prowess in olfactory
detection enable a human-animal collaboration that advances medical
exploration of Type 1 diabetes and improves lifestyle management and security
for the members of this group, provided that the human collective are able to
commit fully to the dog’s health and welfare as well as to their own. Each
person in a collective of humans and nonhumans is ‘simultaneously an object
and a subject of care’, writes Myriam Winance (2010: 95), discussing disability
and care, wheelchairs, and those hoping for rehabilitation: there is opportunity and need for ‘care in shared work’ dispersed among the multispecies group.

Chatjouli (2013: 88) encapsulates - through research in Greece with thalassaemics, people who inherit a particular form of anaemia - the way in which ‘a biosocial approach to studying emerging biologies, normalities and socialities in diverse contexts enables us to better understand the ways in which new biotechnologies interact with socio-cultural forces...’. In Type 1 diabetes, as in thalassaemic disease, research participants form and share a collective identity and their participation in local and national community congregations ‘facilitates the exchange of knowledge and experience’ which may lead to improved treatments and more considered research and support.

Exchanging ‘insider’ information - about pumps and pens, multispecies travel abroad, canine behaviour with young children and blood-testing, or how best to inform a knowledge-thirsty public keen to understand what the canine companion is doing for the human, and occasionally vice versa - enables the continuous melding of the very different human and nonhuman individuals congregating under the banner of Type 1 diabetes, into a cooperative, collaborative and caring progressive community – a group of multispecies members whose collective identity enables a welcomed sense of belonging.

The group members become an amoebic shape-changing phenomenon, continually developing and reforming through trial and test of shared information, or increase or decrease in attendance numbers, in order to cope more easily with the intricacies of life with chronic illness. Newly-advertised glucose tablets, most easily absorbed and quick-acting carbohydrates, blood glucose monitors, instructive courses and the like provide foundation for dialogue and communication among both the recently-joined and more permanent members of the group.

Items and moments of irritation and frustration with health professionals are recalled:

...you don’t want to tell these people anything because as far as they’re concerned, you don’t count. I get better reception when I talk to nurses than when seeing a doctor because the nurse is more understanding and inclined to steer you in the right direction, and then you go and see the doctor.

But nurses are allowed to have a 15-20 minute appointment slot for you, and the GPs have a 5-minute slot and you can only go in with one issue – how can anybody know the emotional,
psychological, you know...the doctors just look at the symptoms...(*fingers drum on the table*)...however, we can’t complain about the treatment we have now...

As with many who care for, or are cared-for by others, there is willingness to welcome new entrants to the ‘fold’ and I am frequently asked if I will be attending one or other gathering, if I would like to travel with others to a particular destination and what topic I would like to discuss with them.

There is a generosity of spirit that becomes visual, almost tactile, in this multispecies community; the dogs are amicable towards one another and towards the human complement, while the human contingent make obvious the pride and respect they hold for their canine companions – the prowess observed in rapid detection of rising or falling blood glucose levels, the condition and conformation of their other-than-human home-sharers, the attention paid so consistently to preventing hypoglycaemic episodes. There appears to be enjoyment in taking responsibility for the welfare of a multi-talented partner, in displaying the beneficial and benevolent behaviours created and received, and perhaps in sharing status.

Paul responds to a question asking whether participants feel their dogs enhance life or assist in managing it:

Enhance irrespective, because the dogs give you freedom to do stuff. As assistance dogs, they’re there all the time and they keep a check on you; any problems they’re there telling you, so it gives you more freedom, you’re more relaxed, so it’s got to be enhancement. The dog gives you freedom to, you know, be more adventurous, to actually go out into the world, rather than being shut in, basically, I was shut in.

He laughs: ‘Oh god, I sound so sad’.

But inhabiting chronic illness allows for, even expects, the playing of a xylophone of emotions, notes struck that are sometimes tuneful, sometimes discordant. That Paul is able to appreciate the difference in his life pre- and post-Nero’s arrival so graphically, illustrates for me a little of what it must be like to be liberated from the squidish tentacles of Type 1 diabetes.

9.10 Systems of cooperation and mutuality

Agustin Fuentes (2013: 57) draws attention to the ‘widespread and complex patterns of cooperation (that) lie at the heart of human evolutionary success’, and follows Nowak and Highfield’s (2011) concept of humans as ‘SuperCooperators’ whose ‘ability to cooperate goes hand in hand with
succeeding in the struggle to survive’ (2011: xviii), by suggesting that human societies are all based on extensive and exceedingly complex systems of cooperation and mutuality, rendering any consistently selfish behavioural strategy unsustainable.

‘Humans are the selfish apes...the creatures who shun the needs of others...we are motivated by self-interest alone’ (Nowak and Highfield, 2011: xiii)... yet, claim the authors, creatures of every persuasion and level of complexity cooperate to live, including the meerkats ‘who risk their lives to guard a communal nest’. As Nowak and Highfield suggest, these complex systems appear to work equally for nonhuman animal societies and for the collaborative and unselfish interspecies communities of which the group under discussion is but one.

Cooperation and mutuality underlie the co-existences of the medical assistance dog and the health-impaired human. Compassion, even altruism, could not survive in their midst, were the practices of unselfish care not actively performed. Practices that include care for self, care for the assistant alert dog, mutual care based on an interspecies symbiotic co-existence, and the care practices occurring in social and institutional organisations, among health teams and hospital staff.

9.11 Communication and understanding

Dogs may not offer many vocal contributions to the researcher’s learning but an ethnographer’s field observation of the personalities of any canine character generally allows time and space at least to attempt to view and hear - occasionally smell and touch - and to interpret canine efforts to hold interspecies conversation. Similarly, Hurn (2012: 213) considers that there will always be 'a need for someone to "speak for" animal persons on a political or legal stage’. Donovan contends that ‘we use much the same mental and emotional activities in reading an animal as we do in reading a human. Body language, eye movement, facial expression, tone of voice ... helps us to know about the species’ habits and culture’ (Donovan, 2006: 321).

In the world of dogs, the gaze, the interval-barks of the lonely, the repetitive monotone barks of the deaf, the pointing of direction, the wag of a tail or curl of a lip, and the posturing play-bow are among familiar communication signals to which we easily react, often physically as well as verbally. Vivid examples of canine communication appear in the writings of David Goode
(2007: 30), who describes himself as ‘an available playmate’ in his dog, Katie’s ‘reckoning’, but who also confesses to embarrassment at his ‘babyish’ verbal responses to her communications.

Coulter (2016a: 34) suggests that ‘effective understanding and exchange is not automatic, but rather requires continuous reflection, control, augmentation, and adjustments to promote understanding’. Taking ‘the dog’ for a walk is mind-numbingly dull for both species if there is no communication, no recognition of previous enjoyable experience, no sharing of play strategies, no effort to instigate social interaction and conversation. Such lack of communication could also lead to the perpetuation of a sense of otherness, a perception of distance or indeed a concept of distancing.

Highly-attuned communication methods are essential between trainer and potential assistance dog. Because the former has learned over time to recognise varying signs and signals given and received by dogs in conversation, the knowledge gained from such experiences can be employed to augment training methods and practices, and produce significant dialogue that may be understood and responded to by both species.

Human actors in this chronically ill grouping recognise what some of them term ‘the sulk’, the refusal to alert because it seems that a voice has been raised too loudly or signals performed in the previous alert have been ignored; they witness the enthusiastic nose-to-tail body language exhibited when all members of the multispecies family are shepherded together, or the firm nudge that means ‘you need to check your blood sugar levels now’; the anxiety denoted by the angle of the ears or seen in the tightly-clamped tail curved under a lowered body, and the joy displayed out of doors when cavorting freely in the company of human or nonhuman others. In their recognition of the meaning embedded in their partners’ attitude and behaviour, the human companions, as best they can, comprehend canine communication and become openly empathic and caring about the other’s offered dialogue and way of well-being.

In Czerny’s words, ‘the flow of dog knowledge ... informs the practices of humans’ as exemplified between ‘police dogs and their handlers, service dogs and their owners, and sniffer dogs and doctors’ (2012: 13).

Travelling with an MDD instructor and her in-training companion, Jessie, to visit a client, I learn the background that brought Gill to the charity. Her career started with Guide Dogs and the-then Dogs for the Disabled, first as a kennel
staff member and then dog-trainer. After 11 years, she continued working with
dogs but this time in a prison with drug-scenting dogs.

You have an active and a passive dog; the passive one searches
people so that anybody coming into the prison including staff are
searched; the pro-active dog searches cells, vehicles, workshops,
everywhere else, and the one I had was trained on mobile phones
as well so he could find mobile phones, SIM cards, chargers, any
part of a mobile phone (cf. Horowitz, 2016: 20).

She worked with the drug-detecting dogs for several years but then her
working environment became ‘very violent’ and demoralising. ‘You’re taught not
to trust anyone but I was lucky that I had my dogs and could trust them day-in,
day-out – they were my pals.’ Czerny’s earlier examples as to how the ‘flow of
dog knowledge ... informs the practices of humans’ (2012: 13) is exemplified in
this instructor’s close social and working relationships with the dogs of her
different vocations.

It is the image of ‘flow’ that is significant - the ongoing movement of
information, the fluid circulation of intent and meaning, a stream of unspoken
but graphic language pouring into the consciousness of an accepting other
species.

Sara recalls Apple wanting to get on her as yet unmade bed, but
‘knowing’ he could not until a throw was laid over the bedding:

He’s standing there and keeps looking as if to say ‘are you going
to make this then? You know I’m waiting here’; he stands (she
demonstrates Apple’s head tilted upwards and to one side at the
bed’s edge) as if to say ‘you know where I want to go’. It’s almost
like I can read him.

On another occasion, Mel actively describes the sports in which her child
is now able to participate: we are sitting at the dining table on which lie the
‘carbs and cals’ book, our coffee mugs, and my recording equipment and
notebooks. She explains how she tried to manage swimming lessons with all
the necessities that normally accompany a traveller with diabetes – the monitor,
pen and test strips, the jelly babies and extra food and drink – and the additional
food, water, rewards, bowls, jacket and lead that accompany Gemma; and then
the swimming towels and extra clothing for the children.

I enquire rather tentatively how the swimming lessons progressed and
Mel starts to speak:

I just...er Gemma, no, stop...(Gemma has climbed onto the table
and is sitting on the voice recorder)...off, madam, go on the floor,
you’re a dog... *(Mel then pretends to speak for Gemma)* 'I’m not, I’m one of the family...’, *(Mel to Gemma)* I know you’re beautiful, yes... *(Mel to me)* so she’s er... very clever, *(then to Gemma)* ‘aren’t you’?

Fittingly relevant to Gemma’s position in the family, Franklin suggests that ‘animals are just as good as people for the expression of love and attachment and they are equally good at asserting their agency in human households’, whether as medical assistants or as ‘one of the family’ (2006: 148).

Listening to Mel’s ‘dialogue’ with Gemma, I realise that all the human participants addressed their canine companions as if they were capable of understanding their words and in turn, endeavoured to translate canine communication into English. They frequently attempted to take what they anthropomorphically considered to be the dog’s perspective which helped them to understand why certain behaviours occur in their homes and in public. In addition to an emotional contiguity, they seem to take a commonsense approach often egomorphically (Milton, 2005) sharing a single identity to explain social occurrences, for example, using the plural ‘we’ as do mothers to their babies and nurses to their patients, exhibiting deep signs of attachment and attunement.

However, Cynthia Willett (2014: 38) maintains, as do many who live and work with animals, ‘animals are not like our children; they are like us’, a reflection of Milton's (2005: 261) inclusive egomorphism. Willett suggests animals ‘are not vulnerable sites of protection and recipients of human sympathy, but kindred political agents in their own right with interlocking histories, cultures, and technologies’ (2014: 38).

Leslie Irvine (2004: s129) draws attention to our assignation of individual traits, preferences and personalities to dogs we know so that they become, in Sanders’ words (2003: 410), ‘much more than a breed or species representative’. Often the identity of the person walking with a dog in public takes on the identity of the dog in the eyes of the observer so that the man accompanying his medical alert dog gains a shared status. Together they may attract a united nomenclature reminiscent of Michalko’s ‘two in one in blindness’, or become, for example, the ‘sniffer-dog man we saw on the bus’; or of course Coetzee’s Lurie who becomes a ‘dog-man’ in Disgrace (1999) and ‘a guardian of animal souls’ (Willett, 2014: 156).
Just as the dog is the likely topic of conversation with strangers or friends in the park, so he or she becomes the prominent and symbolic representative of both the chronic illness and of the human companion – and of the charity: weighty responsibilities for a creature caring for another, without verbal communication skills.

The medical alert assistance dogs learn through continuing education, through a sharing of emotion and experience, through their acute sensory perception, to know their human partners' bodies better than they do themselves. Through the close relationships, narrated and described here, the dogs are perceived as becoming part of the human body and may therefore be considered to have reached a peak, if not the pinnacle, of Ingold and Palsson's (2013) 'biosocial becomings'. The trans-species partnership becomes greater than the sum of their parts as individual selves. Mol's porosity of the body (2004) and Vaisman's (2013) embodied identities and differing perspectives share an image both of momentum and change.

Vaisman (2013) cites Viveiros de Castro's observations of Amazonian societies who perceive the world as inhabited by 'different sorts of subjects or persons, human and nonhuman, that apprehend reality from distinct points of view' (2012: 45). Following Viveiros de Castro, Vaisman (2013: 107) writes that 'animals see themselves as humans, they see their food as human food, they see their social system as organized in the same way as human culture, and they see humans as animals or prey'. By this means, 'animals see the same way we do different things because their bodies are different from ours' (Viveiros de Castro, 1998: 478 in Vaisman, 2013: 115). This notion of bodies refers to the 'affects, dispositions and capacities' (2013: 115), the skills and situations of life that make up Bourdieu's (1977) *habitus*; they are not just physical objects but 'assemblages' of experiences and emotions.

The assistance dog self and the human self have a single shared identity and two separate identities. Borrowing Vaisman's 'Magic Eye' illustration, for example, the question of seeing either a horse's head or a frog in a drawing, depends on your perspective, but once both individuals are sighted, neither will become a fixed, static image. Perception is altered and it becomes impossible not to see one without the other. As Vaisman (2013: 122) suggests, alternative ways of perceiving are becoming more meaningful and require increased
development for a better-enabled existence in our fast-changing technological and scientific world.

9.12 Welfare concerns

When I remarked on the welcoming attitudes shown me by all the human and nonhuman participants, Terry said they were all proud of their dogs and the charity puts great care into the ‘matching’ process beginning with a human-only interview before human training takes place over several days at varying intervals in the training centre. This is to ensure the client’s commitment to continue training and take full responsibility for the dog’s welfare is accepted and understood.

The charity is fast expanding, say the participants, but it hasn’t lost the ‘family feel’, something the staff members appear keen to encourage and maintain. ‘Everybody knows everybody and they’re nice – it’s not growing so fast that they lose touch’ (with the interspecies partners the charity has brought together). There are meetings at the training centre where administrative staff (I am informed that ‘people love coming to work here’), human and canine clients, trainers and volunteers, get together to discuss improved ways of living with chronic illness, gain news of the charity’s diverse health investigations sourced from canine olfactory capabilities, and to learn from one another what works well or doesn’t, ways to keep the dog actively wanting to alert accurately, and things to avoid in nutrition, when travelling or when surrounded by interested strangers in the supermarket.

The following excerpts from narratives show human care and concern for nonhuman partner welfare. Janet takes on the work of monitoring her blood sugar levels when Alfie has ‘free’ time:

Alfie’s the first (of the multispecies family) to be fed because I always like to feed him two hours before we go out for a walk to make sure he’s digested his meal properly. He does alert when we’re out but I think this is his time – he’s free then and because he sniffs everything, there are deer, pheasants, rabbits, he gets very caught up in the scents so I monitor myself then.

Janet explains how Alfie was enabled to cater for her lack of hypo-awareness and to continue his alerting practices when she spent time in hospital for the birth of her child:

What was amazing was that they let Alfie stay with me. The nursing staff offered to find me a side room so that he could stay. He did find it incredibly boring and looked as if he was question
'why are we here?’ but my mum took him out for walks and things, because the nursing staff obviously couldn’t take responsibility for his care.

I ask Janet how Alfie has coped with the change in his position in the household hierarchy and she says that they have had to try and do things to boost him: ‘Three times a week he and I go out together so that it’s just our time’. We watch her baby’s determined efforts to crawl; face on the carpet, bottom in the air, a lot of pushing and stretching but it doesn’t come together and he rolls onto his back. ‘Alfie’s hair gets everywhere…we’re teaching the baby not to grab hair so much – Alfie would move away before, but I think he knows now that it’s not being nasty; we keep all their interactions as being very positive…I praise Alfie for being good around the baby’.

Paul keeps Nero in close proximity during hospital consultations. Only when x-rays are being taken, does he have to wait for Paul on the other side of the door because of radiation risk. Natasha recalls Nero not wanting to settle with her:

Paul was out 10 minutes, maybe 15, and Nero’s nose was going, his ears were going, he’d lay down but he was alert, you know, the whole time, licking, and once for about two seconds, he put his head on his paws and I thought, okay he’s going to settle down now, but somebody made a noise and that was it.

‘He comes in when I have my eyes checked; wherever I go, he goes’. They walk together into medical consultations in the same way that they walk into shops but, Paul considers, ‘probably more people stop you in the hospital than they do in the shops’.

Talking of pain or injury affecting dogs, Paul remarks their tolerance of human behaviours, whether or not these are actions demonstrating care for canine welfare:

That’s the trouble with dogs; you never really know how bad they are because they cover it up with so much. He just, you know, the times I’ve had my hand halfway down his throat pulling bits of bread out or when he’s stuffed down something he’s found in the field, and he just stands there, not trying to shut his mouth, just looking at me as if to say ‘please let me have it’ … I could get into his dinner-bowl, take a bone off him, he’s just brilliant.

The concern shown for Nero’s welfare seems constant. Paul and Natasha worry that Nero may pick up and eat something with pesticide on, or drink from a puddle that might have ‘antifreeze or some chemical in it’ when
he’s free-running in the fields, and they empty his mouth by hand if they can’t

tell whether or not he has ingested something toxic. Nero’s teeth are brushed
regularly, his coat groomed frequently, his pads checked for thorns or splinters,
and his weight watched to maintain good health.

His human family feed him in three bowls at every meal, each amount
weighed and set down only after he has eaten the first, sat and waited for the
second bowl, and repeated the performance for the third quantity. They hope
this will help him to slow down his eating behaviour as he gulps the food at
speed, and risks gastric torsion, a serious condition in which the stomach
revolves closing the entrance and constricting blood circulation, thereby causing
a gas build-up and extreme bloating – death can follow torsion, so the human
carers are wise in their preventative endeavours on Nero’s behalf. He is given
carrot, interspersed with more special rewards for good alerting performances,
and the weight - which he ‘put on’ over three months when the kitchen scales,
thought to be accurately weighing his kibbles, proved erroneous and allowed
him a third extra pellets at every meal! – is slowing disappearing.

When we got him he was 37.2 kilograms which is a lovely weight
for him to be, and since then we’ve managed to keep him pretty
much where he was...but he was losing a little bit of his waist so
he went on the scales at the vet and it was 42.4kg [because of the
additional kibbles]. He’s now down to 41.2.

Nero’s between-meals snacks involve carrot and cucumber:

If you give him a chunk of cucumber, he just looks at it, but if you
slice it, he chews it. But it’s the opposite with carrot, if you give
him a slice of carrot, he goes ‘what’s that, there’s nothing there’ –
he likes the whole thing and crunches it. He likes apple too, but
we’ve stopped giving it because it’s so sugary.

Tina’s humour combines a sort of gallows-glee and a genuine willingness
to share moments of hilarity and warmth. Recalling a previous hypoglycaemic
collapse, she assumes Harley alerted her as she remembers going into a
supermarket to buy chocolate after a day at work and sitting on a bench at the
bus-stop. ‘I didn’t know how to do my blood or even eat the chocolate but one
lady saw Harley was barking and licking my face and she stopped’.

Tina woke up on the hospital resuscitation table and the medical staff
immediately asked ‘what time do you feed your dog?’ Tina replied:

Only at 6’clock, we’ll be home by then; it’s only 5pm now so we’ve
got plenty of time. They said ‘it’s 8 o’clock’ and I went ‘ooh, don’t
worry, he’ll be alright’ but they ordered him two meat
sandwiches...but they didn’t feed me! I’m diabetic in coma but they didn’t give me owt! (she laughs at the memory). But they were really taken with him and the ambulance man says ‘I know you Harley, you’re a good lad’ and I said ‘where do you know him from?’ and he says he picked me up earlier on and repeats ‘what a good dog, there were no way he were going to go with anyone else, just with you’.

No matter the degree of collaboration and friendship developed over time, the partnership will eventually draw to some form of conclusion, occasionally because the human’s blood sugar levels become more balanced due to evolving mechanistic technology, or because secondary illnesses take up prime medical positioning. However, most often the interspecies collaboration will end because signs emerge in the dog that exhibit diminishing good health and an increasing inability to recognise extreme blood sugar levels through the sense of smell.

10 Endings and 'ethical decision-making'

10.1 No longer useful?

Natasha responds to this question:

...if Paul’s blood ends up being really, really stable and Nero doesn’t have a job to do, it leads to the question of what happens to him because technically he then probably shouldn’t be a fully-trained medical alert assistance dog out in public – but of course, he’s never been left alone at home, he’s always had someone with him 24/7.

Paul joins in:

Obviously I am still diabetic and there are going to be times when, providing he gets a bit stronger on the alert signals, I don’t think there will be a problem. It’s one of those things; every time there’s a change, it knocks the dogs. So before, when I had all the problems with the other illness, it knocked him so he reacted differently, which meant he had to go back and be retrained...

Natasha:

And that had another, different effect: Nero came back and the bonding was much better because he was like ‘you’re back, you really belong to me, and I really belong to you’. The connection between them has really strengthened since I was away and he’s got very little respect for me now.

He’s not disrespectful in that he’s naughty or anything but I really have to get his attention or tell him something three times before he takes any notice of me. Before I went away last year, I’d only got to look at him and lift a finger or say just one word – in fact he was much more obedient to me than he ever was to Paul – but
because they had no choice but to be together all the time while I was away, the bond had completely shifted when I got back.

Paul is not a ‘collapsing’ diabetic so finds his ‘biggest problem is that I think I can cope with this, I can manage, and I look around and see other people and think they’re so much worse off than I am...’ He is concerned that he could be taking Nero away from a partnership that really needs him, when ‘in actual fact, I really need him’. Natasha confirms this, adding that without Nero, Paul would have ‘no reason to get up in the morning because he gets up to feed him and take him for a walk round the village which he would not do on his own’.

Leslie Irvine (2013: 140) records a similar reasoning in her research on homeless people and their animal companions when Tommy speaks of his dog, Monty, helping him ‘with’ depression:

He makes me come out and walk with other people. He gets me socializing with other people. ... He gives me energy because he can make me get out and walk.

Nero and Monty may be identified as life-changers, as good companions, as social facilitators but, particularly, they are motivators who help channel idling thoughts or feelings into beneficial actions. Whether suffering from depression or from Type 1 diabetes, and the latter illness is likely to incorporate depression as a fluctuating symptom, the dogs provide animated encouragement to ‘do’ something positive, to move onwards to better things.

10.2 Recycling

Jan Shillum (2016: 23), writing in the charity’s magazine, The Sniff, tells of a ‘new’ matching between a diabetes alert dog, who became ‘redundant’ when his MDD partner’s circumstances changed, and an individual whose first canine partnership ‘didn’t work out’. A charity instructor worked with the ‘redundant’ dog to ‘retrain’ him to his new partner’s ‘hyper and hypo odour and range, which is below 5mmol and above 15mmol – slightly different to his previous partner.’ This newly-evolved partnership is developing successfully in terms of attachment and alerting, giving both more opportunity for a healthy symbiotic relationship and providing an ‘example of recycling a ... four-legged resource’.

The concept of recycling the dog was cause for deeper thought: recycling instantly produced mental images of waste disposal centres and artefacts
contrived from melded others, leading on to further contemplation of a DAD as potentially faulty, disposable equipment. However, the ‘proof of the pudding’ was demonstrated in the renewed purpose provided for a trained and willing medical alert assistant whose new employment, albeit in accordance with Srinivasan’s ‘anthropogenic norms’ (2013: 114), has reinvigorated the health and social life of his formerly reclusive, mobility-impaired partner. The latter has gained a personal companion whose attention is consistently directed towards him and who provides him with the best practices of care available to her. In this instance, ‘recycling’ has less of a cold and metallic feel, more of warm and re-entangled ‘knotting’ of lives (Haraway, 2008: vii).

The DAD may be a device, but not in the manner that glucometers or dialysis machines, for example, are cold, impersonal and yet vitally assistive. The alerting dog is warm-blooded, a very personal partner and vital in both senses of the word – vital in being regarded as essential and of high importance, but also vital in feeling alive and warm, physically and emotionally sentient.

Jeannette Pols and Ingunn Moser (2009: 159), in an analysis comparing robotic companion animals, viewed in a documentary, with ‘ethnographic material about a particular care technology’ the Health Buddy, have drawn alternative meaning from ‘cold technologies versus warm care’ suggesting there is no opposition between them,. The robot AIBO dog, who is able to supply entertainment for the inhabitants of a residential home, can refuse to do a requested action and instead is programmed to offer a different behaviour. It is said to offer ‘affective appeal’ (2009: 169) that cannot be matched by the speaking robot cat who provides certain services but is unable to interact successfully, nor as warmly. However, the Health Buddy technology does provide an important communication system between a patient at home and a nurse in a hospital and, according to Pols and Moser, ensures a feeling of safety and being well looked-after despite the distance between the independent patient and the offered care. They conclude that ‘warm and cold, rational and affective, medical and social, technological and social, are not opposites’ (2009: 176), but differently aligned in different practices.

However accurate their findings, which demonstrate the ability of cold or warm devices to suit a variety of contexts, the option of having a consistently warm and proximate companion, who can act and react in multiple situations
and locations, may have more appeal to the chronically ill than a ‘novelty item’ that may be discarded under a chair once its repertoire becomes prosaic and its activities, limited.

10.3 Replacing

No-one wants to think about replacing an affectionate, sentient companion who has shared a home and a lifetime, with a new, ‘other’ dog, with a necessarily alien usurper, but it happens. Whether through curtains of tears or a stoic determination, this event takes place in homes countrywide and is of prime importance to the human participants with whom I have conversed in this research. Daniel Miller’s (2008: 105) chapter, ‘Talk to the dog’, articulates one of the street's residents' regret that his dog Jeff would not ‘be with him much longer’ because of his advancing years. He records Jeff's annoyance at a visiting fortune teller’s ‘presumption’ in predicting his future meeting with ‘a brown Labrador’; Miller gets the ‘feeling that Harry no more wants to talk in terms of Jeff’s replacement than one would of replacing a wife or a child whose demise looks imminent’ (2008: 105).

It is this emotional ‘replacement’ concern that makes me hesitant to broach questions about DAD futures. However, Terry brings up the topic of Jim’s working life:

He’s almost five so we’re halfway through his working life and now I’ve got to start thinking along the lines of a replacement. I’m getting on and when he retires, I won’t want a big dog, and not one that’s too young either, as I will have to exercise a new dog myself. It will depend on whether the charity has anything physically available, possibly one of the smaller spaniel breeds.

The diabetes alert dogs’ sense of smell is so good that they probably can go on working for longer but if they get arthritis or limp a bit, the charity would have to say they’re sorry but you can’t use the dog any more. The thing is that you can’t put a jacket advertising the charity onto a dog and then go out shopping or whatever when the dog is obviously unwell, limping or seems to be in pain.

Serpell et. al. (2010: 502) suggest the following in their recommendations for ethical decision-making regarding therapy animals:

Animals that, due to age or other reasons, become unduly stressed, should have their service scaled back or eliminated entirely. Attention should also be given to transition the animal as s/he begins to retire. This will help with the animal's sense of wellness.
Val explains the MDD policy regarding dogs who are no longer able to work as alerting dogs and I learn that

in the case of placing a successor dog with an existing client, if they are not in a position to home two dogs, we would discuss with the individual whether it is better to wait until the elderly dog has passed over, or if this is not viable, in the case of an MDD-owned dog, we would support the client by finding a foster home for the dog until the dog passes away.

In response to my question about change of owner, Val says that a fostered, no longer working dog, would remain in the ownership of Medical Detection Dogs for the rest of his or her life.

Terry continues talking about the possibilities for Jim's future:

This is where your animate or inanimate become the same. When my wheelchair is starting to wear out, I will get rid of it; when our dog stops working or becomes unreliable with the blood sugars, I will have to replace him; and living here, I couldn’t cope with two dogs, that would be my problem. So in that way he is then being treated as a piece of equipment, even though he’s a warm-blooded live creature.

I very tentatively pose the next question: ‘in that case, if you couldn’t cope with two dogs here, what would you do?’ There is a moment of silence and Terry, looking forlorn already, hesitates before speaking:

I don’t know – that’s why it was such a shock this morning to think that he’s five – the average age for a dog of this size is 10-12, not like the smaller ones who go on to live for 15 or 16 years

and I realise that despite his earlier remarks about 'robots', he is thinking of Jim as his long-term sentient companion and not of the length of time that he would continue to work as an animated piece of equipment.

They holiday together - ‘the chair allows me to go out and he (Jim) makes me comfortable’ - and to increase his fitness, Terry has been extending the length of time he is able to push himself in the wheelchair:

I wouldn’t have had the confidence to push myself for 49 miles without having him to check my sugar levels. I could have stopped and used the machine, but what draws people’s attention? Me getting out the kit and stabbing myself, or stopping for a dog and putting my hand close to his nose? Nobody ever noticed it being done and I didn’t bother testing because he was convinced.

There is pride in his voice as he states ‘I wouldn’t have done it without him’.
After my old dog, I said I’m never having another dog because it hurts and no dog will ever take his place. But he does (he points to Jim); he’s just kicked the hole into a different shape, made it his shape instead of the previous dog’s.

Some, like Mel, chose their assistance dogs as puppies for family companionship and only later discovered their sensitivity to odours of illness and their aptitude for medical alert assistance dog training; other participants selected their alert dogs from those who were fostered and socialised by volunteers before training by the charity.

In all cases, bonds of friendship developed throughout the entangled lives so that when it seemed likely that these could be broken in the not-too-distant future, anticipatory grief began to swirl and spiral like smoke from a November bonfire. Anticipatory grief can become a doom-laden cloud, evasive but ever-present. Susan Dawson (2010: 73) examines this form of grief which ‘may begin when there is a threat of loss or of disruption of the bond’ between the human and nonhuman companions. Emotion weighs heavy over the prospective death of a close relationship. Human voices whisper so dogs cannot hear what might be treacherous conversations and human eyes avoid contact with those of the dogs for fear of emotional reflection or possible contagion.

Like Jim, Alfie is also more than five years old and on my second visit, I ask Janet if the charity has spoken to her about what might happen when his scenting ability slows and he has to stop working.

Mmm, yeah...MDD say they would retire a dog who is achieving less than 50% of the alerts, so erm, yes, he would retire and be a pet, and then I would be given a choice either to have another dog to train alongside Alfie or to just wait and start afresh. (She frowns and takes a deep breath.) Erm, I’d probably wait and start afresh...mmn, I don’t know.

10.4 What next for human-canine biomedical collaboration?

What do the dogs gain from my interest in their work as medical assistants and in their lives as one-half of an interspecies coexistence, a close companion in a shared identity? So often multispecies ethnography portrays, understandably, the human view of the nonhuman animal subject but there is need for the canine perspective in order to bring balance to the research. Karen Emmerman (2014: 161) records her ecofeminist approach to ‘inter-animal conflicts which is non-hierarchical, pluralist about moral significance and
contextualized' in order to 'obtain as full a picture as possible of what is at stake for all parties'. Participant observation allows an experiencing of other lives instead of distant perception; it enables the physical reality of 'I wouldn’t have believed it if I hadn’t been there and seen it for myself'. But that is still a human experiencing of those lives. By examining what the dogs do in their everyday roles as medical assistants, by watching their communications with their own and other species, and by listening to polyvocal dialogues deciphering canine behaviours and human responses to those activities, I have attempted to ‘bring in’ diabetes alert dog perspectives and make more lucid their impact on multispecies families and on the possibilities of biotherapeutic and biomedical research.

Lynda Birke (2009: 2) questions whether or not we better the world for animals: she pleads for scholars to ‘pause, to ask more overtly what the animals might think about what we do, about whether who they are, really informs our work?’ It would be possible to reject research projects for ethical reasons if they were to risk the welfare of the animals in focus, she suggests, but to do research studies that result in animals becoming ‘empowered’ is less simple. It is to be hoped that, at least in some respects, the diabetes alert dogs have gained more tangible and visible credibility through exposure of their work in these pages.

Hurn (2012: 211), like Birke, asks what animals gain from human research into their lives. What choice might an assistance dog make if given the opportunity to voice words in language understandable by humans? Whatever the answer and however phrased, the result is still derived from an anthropocentric imagining. A dog’s rose-tinted image might endorse the release of their species’ members from any form of cage, whether bars of a crate, walls of a house or fences round farmland or prison; and the forbidding of any future milling or manufacturing of puppies for sale, so that castration and spaying, and culling to reduce numbers, become historical applications and the hundreds of thousands of unwanted dogs are lessened in number and become persons who are cherished. Like humans who care and are cared for, dogs could be given opportunity to demonstrate more widely their capabilities, the possibilities they offer that enable them to be recognised and trusted presences in the world.

Alternatively, the human-admired and respected medical alert assistance dog might well feel that the mutual healthful gain of both species provides
sufficient means of a comfortable and caring survival in which the loss of total freedom in terms of space, reproduction or hunting, may be balanced by the provision of food, shelter, friendship, entertainment and daily off-leash exploration of field and forest, with and without multispecies companionships. Franklin reminds that the companion animal, no longer an inferior ‘pet’ animal, ‘ushers in the potential for greater mutual becomings’ as both dogs and humans in this instance, ‘explore even more possibilities of co-presence’ (2006: 137). Tolerance and trust seem prominent features of mutual co-existences, where care for each other’s wellbeing includes empathy and ‘touching comfort’ (Haraway, 2008: 202-204).

What this shared identity, this mutualistic coexistence, brings to the fore is the concept of caring, the carer and the cared-for in a reciprocal relationship that neither might have ever known or conceived of without the constant presence of chronic illness and its particular odours, and the embodied care essential to its ongoing presence. The interspecies partners are not locked into nor entrapped by an illness such as Type 1 diabetes in the sense of an overpowering need to escape, but rather they make up an entanglement of bodies and minds that challenges and encourages, that evokes new learnings and new ‘situated knowledges’ so that life can go on with meaning, with purpose significant to the relatins but also recognisable and acceptable to society.

Referring to the human exploitation or extinction of animals, Taylor (2017: 218) suggests that it is time to take responsibility for those with whom ‘we have co-evolved’:

We could take seriously the ways domesticated animals contribute to our lives and world, in ways that don’t involve slaughter. We could recognize our mutual dependence, our mutual vulnerability, and our mutual drive for life.

Although referencing multiple research studies to clarify and explain anthrozoological thinking and current sociological theories relating to health and illness, an objective throughout has been to evidence contemporary application of mutual care practices and to put forward examples of ‘doing Type 1 diabetes’. The intention being that others may learn of the highly complex routines and disturbances that affect the shared daily lives of those collaborating in, limited by, and embodying chronic illness: and additionally, how
unsettled lives are smoothed and shaped within an acceptable, albeit somewhat speciesist, symbiotic ethics of care.

The collaboration between the dogs of olfactory biomedical detection ability and the humans, who train them in practices that advantage individuals with corporeal inabilities, combines to produce a mutualistic ethics of care and care practice that provides a living sensory resource of future use to the chronically ill of all populations. The interspecies cooperation performed between dog and human offers alternative means to benefit the multispecies unwell, without the contested need for invasive, often public, diagnostic procedures being conducted at medical and veterinary hospitals.

Imagining a diagnostic electronic nose and creating one that functions as effectively as that of a dog in multipurpose scent detection, is the aim of biotechnologists and engineers who have researched canine nasal airflow patterns and produced printed examples (Craven, Paterson and Settles, 2010); but while these are valuable models and markers of progress in this field, as yet the dogs remain leaders, particularly so in the rapid and sensitive detection of illness symptoms.

In the introductory paragraphs, I stated that I would principally be discussing assistance dogs and their human partners as, apart from the staff members, they are the current 'components' of the Medical Detection Dogs charity. However, recalling Singer’s definition (1990: 6) that:

Speciesism ... is a prejudice or attitude of bias in favor of the interests of members of one’s own species and against those of members of other species,

and also bearing in mind that dogs are the particular species incorporated in the charity's name, future research might encourage charities similar to MDD to consider the inherent speciesism of what they do and promote, and to examine the 'use' of other species, not only dogs but perhaps the equally macrosmatic pigs, in the diagnosis of illness. As there is now widespread approval of APOPO’s working rats, such species-collaborative charities might encourage change in public perception of other widely stigmatised and abused animals.

While some breeds of rat become firm friends to their human guardians, and Giant African Pouched Rats are optimal sensors of Tb symptoms in sputum samples, the latter have personhood that has yet to sit comfortably in a domestic mutualistic relationship with a tuberculosis-infected human companion.
The rats are sensitive and accurate in their diagnostic endeavours, but lack the millennia of domestication procedures and interspecies familiarities that structure dog and human relating. Only now are they taking up a role in the compatible interspecies companionship that is currently enjoyed by dogs and humans across much of the world.

As discussed earlier, pigs are efficient olfactory sensors and expert truffle-detectives and they could become accurate sensors of VOCs for oncological purpose or for other medical diagnoses. They might take time in health assistance training to reach accreditation levels that qualify dogs, but companion pigs already share human homes, learn acceptable hygiene behaviours and offer good friendship. However, in the UK, the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) currently considers pigs to be livestock so their employment, as home-based medical scent-detectives with family privileges, remains an ambitious objective. There seems sparse research into their ability to scent drugs or explosives, although a Google search revealed media stories of accolades to the pig's prowess in that regard.

The warm-hearted multispecies companions who have given time and space, histories and anecdotes, to this research more than merit the impact of their narrations resonating beyond the ink of this text. It is hoped that coffee-shop conversation may now incorporate the responsive question: ‘what type of diabetes does she have?’ and perhaps lead to enquiry as to whether ‘Jenny’ may still be hypo-aware, or not. In particular, that the conversation might discuss the possibilities for mutual care practices if one partner in the dyad embodying chronic illness, is a nonhuman animal and the other, a human one.

Neither the working assistance dogs nor their unwell partners should be marginalised, set aside as being different and ‘other’. Their cooperative practices may be complicated and not always fulfilling, but their collaboration in olfactory biomedical research to improve the lifestyles and better balance the bodies of those unsettled by chronic illness, is innovative and gaining rapid acceptance in the fields of health and society.

**I:** I’ve attended courses but unless you’re close to someone who has diabetes, or you have it yourself, you can’t really imagine...

**Terry:** To see it *(canine medical alert assistance)* work gives you 100 times more than any lecture can give you. My specialist at the hospital would rather rely on him than the blood meter, to the point
where he says ‘don’t test unless the dog tells you to’. So instead of 20 or 30 tests a day, it’s now two or three.

The diabetes alert dog becomes an ally, an affectionate and loyal friend and associate, in addition to acting as a highly efficient diagnostic device which may save minutes, hours, days and months, of human life-time. In embodying the complexities of chronic illness, the assistance dog is able to restructure the performance of that human life.

Adrian Franklin lists ‘companionship, friendship, love and even community’ as words that have been ‘rescued for many through new relationships with companion animals’ (2006: 138). Those four words of communal involvement may enlist, or be enlisted by, mutual concern and empathy, to demonstrate the warmth derived from close multispecies cooperation between trans-species partners, whether they work as independent dyads or among the collaborative ‘relatings’ (Haraway, 2003: 6-10, for example, and Franklin, 2006: 145) or groupings within the charity that has enabled these combinations.

Braidotti’s intense ‘rich new alliances’ (2009: 529) are forged from disparate, but willingly congruent, ‘living beings’ (Hurn 2012: 219) co-supporting attainment of bettered life within chronic illness’s elastic boundaries, and demonstrating what they do in their bonded fellowship and shared identity to shape and achieve multispecies ‘mattering’.

Tina: The dogs go out of their way to help. That’s why when I meet people, I say to them tell the charity what you’re having difficulties with, because it’s amazing what the dogs can do – and they just love it. They just love to be there to care for you, you know.
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